

UC Merced

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society

Title

Linguistic Features of Lectures: Offsetting Challenging Words

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2st8051c>

Journal

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 36(36)

ISSN

1069-7977

Authors

Medimorec, Srdan
Pavlik Jr., Philip I.
Olney, Andrew
et al.

Publication Date

2014

Peer reviewed

Linguistic Features of Lectures: Offsetting Challenging Words

Srdan Medimorec
University of Waterloo

Philip I. Pavlik Jr.
University of Memphis

Andrew Olney
University of Memphis

Arthur C. Graesser
University of Memphis

Evan F. Risko
University of Waterloo

Abstract: Recent studies (e.g., Graesser et al., 2011; McNamara, 2013) have used Coh-Metrix, an automated text analyzer, to assess differences in language use across different academic disciplines. McNamara (2013) reported that texts in the natural sciences were characterized by lower narrativity and word concreteness than texts in the language arts, while being higher in syntactic simplicity and referential cohesion and suggested that this pattern reflected a kind of compensation where text difficulty on one dimension (e.g., concreteness) was compensated for simplifying text difficulty on another dimension (e.g., syntax). In the present study we provide a test of this idea by analyzing language use across humanities and natural science lectures. Results are consistent with the idea that decreases in word concreteness in lectures are compensated for by increases in narrativity, syntactic simplicity and deep cohesion. Discussion focuses on the potential mechanisms underlying this putative compensation behavior and its implications for instruction.