UC Berkeley

UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Phosphonium Formation by Facile Carbon-Phosphorus Reductive Elimination from Gold(III).

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2sz2c75f>

Journal

Journal of the American Chemical Society, 138(2)

ISSN

0002-7863

Authors

Kawai, Hiroyuki
Wolf, William J
DiPasquale, Antonio G
et al.

Publication Date

2016

DOI

10.1021/jacs.5b10720

Peer reviewed

Phosphonium Formation by Facile Carbon–Phosphorus Reductive Elimination from Gold(III)

Hiroyuki Kawai,^{†,§} William J. Wolf,^{†,§} Antonio G. DiPasquale,[†] Matthew S. Winston,^{*,‡} and F. Dean Toste^{*,†}[†]Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, United States[‡]Los Alamos National Laboratory, Chemistry Division, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A recent trend in homogeneous gold catalysis has been the development of oxidative transformations relying on Au(I)/Au(III) redox cycling. Typically, phosphine-supported Au(I) precatalysts are used in the presence of strong oxidants to presumably generate phosphine Au(III) intermediates. Herein, we disclose that such Au(III) complexes can undergo facile C_{aryl}–P reductive elimination to afford phosphonium salts, which have been spectroscopically and crystallographically characterized. Mechanistic studies indicate that this process occurs from cationic species at temperatures as low as –20 °C but can be accelerated in the presence of nucleophiles, such as acetonitrile and phosphines, via a five-coordinate intermediate. Importantly, this study highlights that irreversible C_{aryl}–P reductive elimination is a feasible decomposition or activation pathway for phosphine-supported Au(III) catalysts and should not be ignored in future reaction development.

INTRODUCTION

Most examples of homogeneous gold(III) catalysis exploit the metal's Lewis acidity to activate heteroatoms or alkynes.¹ As a hard acceptor, Au(III) is often stabilized by hard carbon and nitrogen donors,² such as ylides, imines, and pyridines, but many reactions rely on the enhanced Lewis acidity of “ligand-free” Au(III) (i.e., AuX₃ and NaAuX₄).³ Despite their accessibility, broad steric and electronic profiles, and ubiquity in transition metal catalysis,⁴ phosphines have largely been avoided as ligands in Au(III) catalysis, due to “mismatch” between the soft donor properties of phosphines and the hard acceptor Au(III).⁵ Furthermore, due to the high Au(III)/Au(I) potential (1.401 V),⁶ these processes are mostly redox-neutral, and the typically hard donor ligands need only to stabilize the high-valent metal.

The compatibility of unsaturated substrates with certain strong oxidants has fueled recent developments in homogeneous Au(I)/Au(III) redox catalysis. Due to their stability toward air and water, phosphine-supported Au(I) complexes are convenient precatalysts in these processes. For instance, Zhang^{7a} and our group^{7b} have demonstrated oxidative heteroarylation of alkenes by catalytic Ph₃PAuCl and dp^{pm}(AuBr)₂ (dp^{pm} = 1,1-bis(diphenylphosphino)methane), respectively, using Selectfluor as oxidant. Muñiz⁸ has shown that Ph₃PAuOAc catalyzes the oxidative diamination of alkenes in concert with stoichiometric hypervalent iodine, while Glorius⁹ has demonstrated that [Ru(bipy)₃]³⁺ (bipy = 2,2'-bipyridine) oxidizes R₃PAuCl in photochemical alkene heteroarylations. Phosphine-stabilized Au(I) and Au(III) complexes have also shown promising stoichiometric redox behavior foundational for

catalyst development. For instance, Cy₃PAu(aryl) undergoes photoinitiated oxidative addition with CF₃I to access a species that can trifluoromethylate arenes,¹⁰ while Nevado¹¹ has exploited C_{aryl}–H activation by Au(III) to oxidatively cross-couple arenes. Additionally, Nocera¹² has demonstrated that dp^{pm}(AuX₃)₂ (X = Cl, Br) reductively eliminates X₂ upon photoexcitation. Although not observed, reactive phosphine-supported Au(III) intermediates conceivably lie along these catalytic and stoichiometric pathways.¹³

Our group and others¹⁴ have observed unusually fast reductive eliminations from Au(III) (Figure 1).^{10,14,15} The barriers to challenging bond-forming processes, such as C_{aryl}–CF₃¹⁰ and cyclobutane^{15g} reductive elimination, are especially small from

Figure 1. Examples of observed reductive elimination behavior from phosphine-supported Au(III) complexes.

Received: October 13, 2015

Published: January 8, 2016

cationic Au(III) species. We speculated if reductive elimination of a phosphine and aryl/alkyl ligand on Au(III) to a phosphonium is similarly kinetically feasible. Although O'Hair has provided evidence that this process may occur in the gas phase in a mass spectrometer,^{16a} our group has reported formation of phosphonium in solution under relatively mild conditions (room temperature) with concomitant catalyst decomposition.^{16b} Catalyst deactivation via phosphonium reductive elimination has also been observed in Pd-catalyzed Heck reactions.¹⁷ In contrast, Lloyd-Jones¹⁸ has shown that phosphine oxidation in (R₃P)AuX₃ (in MeOH) activates Au(III) toward oxidative coupling chemistry, a pathway often proposed for activation of Pd(II) salts, as well;¹⁹ likewise, C–P reductive elimination of phosphonium from a phosphine-supported organogold(III) species can result in activation of a Au(I) catalyst.

Most metal-mediated carbon–phosphorus bond-forming reactions generate neutral products²⁰ (i.e., phosphines, phosphites, and phosphinates). Reductive elimination of phosphonium is far less precedented and is typically observed at group 10 metals.²¹ For instance, Yamamoto,^{21a} Migita,^{21b,c} and Chan^{21d,e} have developed Ni- and Pd-catalyzed phosphonium syntheses from phosphines and aryl halides, while phosphonium reductive elimination plays a critical role in aryl–aryl interchange in phosphine-supported organometallic Pd(II) complexes.^{21f}

Herein, we present several phosphine-supported Au(III) organometallic complexes that undergo irreversible C_{aryl}–P reductive elimination and our mechanistic studies that identify conditions under which these reductive eliminations are observed. We aim to achieve a thorough understanding of the nonspectator role of phosphines on Au(III) and how they influence the redox behavior of the metal center.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

C_{aryl}–P Reductive Elimination of a Monodentate Phosphine. Systems of the type (R₃P)Au(aryl)Cl₂ are well-suited for study of C_{aryl}–P reductive elimination. The cation [(R₃P)Au(aryl)Cl]⁺ can be discretely prepared by Ag(I)-mediated halide abstraction, and C_{aryl}–P bond formation has to compete with P–Cl and C_{aryl}–Cl reductive elimination, which are likely slow processes.^{15f}

Oxidation of (R₃P)Au(aryl) by PhICl₂ is extremely sensitive to phosphine substituents. For instance, when triarylphosphines or phosphites are used,^{15e} only half of the starting material is oxidized, and aryl transmetalation from unreacted Au(I) to Au(III) outcompetes oxidation of the remaining half; the resulting *cis*-(R₃P)Au(aryl)₂Cl undergoes fast C_{aryl}–C_{aryl} reductive elimination (Scheme 1A). Complexes supported by alkyl–diaryl and dialkyl–arylphosphines, such as ^tBu–JohnPhos, exhibit more complicated behavior resulting from competing Au(I) oxidation and aryl transmetalation. Use of strongly donating, bulky trialkylphosphines, on the other hand, sufficiently slows aryl transmetalation from Au(I) to Au(III), resulting in quantitative oxidation of starting material (Scheme 1B).

cis-(Cy₃P)Au(4-F-C₆H₄)₂(Cl)₂ (**1a**) was prepared by oxidizing Cy₃PAu(4-F-C₆H₄) with PhICl₂ (Scheme 1B). Treatment of **1a** with AgSbF₆ in CD₂Cl₂ resulted in the precipitation of Au nanoparticles (implicating a reductive process) and formation of a single product as judged by ³¹P{¹H} and ¹⁹F NMR (Scheme 2). X-ray analysis of white crystals grown from a filtered reaction solution unambiguously revealed the formation of the phosphonium salt [(4-F-C₆H₄)PCy₃][SbF₆] (**2**), as well (Figure 2A). To our knowledge, this transformation represents

Scheme 1. Solution Behavior of (A) PPh₃-Supported^{15e} and (B) PCy₃-Supported Au(I) Aryl Complexes under Oxidizing Conditions

Scheme 2. Proposed Mechanism of Phosphonium Formation from 1a

Figure 2. Thermal ellipsoid representations of phosphoniums: (A) **2 at the 50% probability level. Hydrogens and counterion (SbF₆[−]) have been omitted for clarity. (B) 7[AuCl₄] at the 50% probability level. Hydrogens and counterion have been omitted for clarity.**

the first example of C–P reductive elimination involving a phosphine as bulky as PCy₃

The reaction was monitored by low-temperature NMR. Injection of a AgSbF₆ solution to **1a** in CD₂Cl₂ at −78 °C cleanly generated a new species (**3a**) by ¹⁹F and ³¹P NMR, which reacted at −20 °C to afford **2** (*k*_{obs} = (7.2 ± 1.5) × 10^{−4} s^{−1};

see Supporting Information for more information.) Although $C_{aryl}-P$ reductive elimination likely occurs from **4a**, three-coordinate Au(III) complexes are generally too reactive for observation and isolation;^{14,15e–g} thus, we propose that **4a** readily undergoes reversible dimerization to **3a** (Scheme 2).

A monomeric, cationic Au(III) complex can be trapped by addition of pyridine to a solution of **3a** at -7°C to generate $[(Cy_3P)Au(4-F-C_6H_4)(Cl)(pyr)][SbF_6]$ (**5**) (Scheme 3 and Figure 3). This complex is stable at room temperature but

Scheme 3. Trapping of Mononuclear Cation 4a with Pyridine

Figure 3. Thermal ellipsoid representations of **5** at the 50% probability level. Hydrogens have been omitted for clarity.

undergoes $C_{aryl}-P$ reductive elimination in the presence of a pyridine-abstrating agent ($B(C_6F_5)_3$), suggesting that pyridine dissociation from **5** is reversible. The ratio of diffusion coefficients of **3a** and **5** ($D_{3a}/D_5 = 0.467 \pm 0.070$) obtained by

diffusion-ordered spectroscopy (DOSY NMR) supports that **3a** is dimeric (see Supporting Information); from the Stokes–Einstein relationship²²

$$\frac{r_{3a}}{r_5} = \frac{D_5}{D_{3a}}$$

where r is the van der Waals radius of a molecule and the ratio of radii of dimer **3a** to **5** is 2.13 ± 0.31 . Furthermore, halide abstraction from **1a** and $(Cy_3P)Au(4-CF_3-C_6H_4)(Cl)_2$ (**1b**) results in a statistical mixture of *three* intermediates observable at low-temperature—homodimers **3a** and **3b** and heterodimer **3c**. If, instead, we observed a monomeric species by NMR (either **4** or a solvento adduct, for instance), this crossover experiment would have resulted in just *two* observable intermediates (Figure 4). Indeed, bis(μ -halo)-bridged Au(III) dimers are well-precedented,²³ and our group has recently reported solution-state and crystallographic evidence of a dicationic N-heterocyclic carbene-stabilized bis(μ -fluoro)-bridged dimer, $[(SIPr)Au(CH_3)(\mu-F)]_2[F]_2$ ($SIPr = 1,3$ -bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazolidin-2-ylidene).^{23c}

$C_{aryl}-P$ Reductive Elimination of a Bidentate Phosphine.

Given the preference for linear geometries of Au(I) enforced by relativistic mixing of 5d, 6s, and 6p orbitals,^{6b} new modes of catalysis rely on the development of novel, often complex, phosphines. Furthermore, the Lewis acidity of Au(III) has long been recognized to effect arene C–H activation by electrophilic aromatic substitution;²⁴ while this reactivity has been exploited in catalysis, it has also led to ligand activation. To assess whether irreversible $C_{aryl}-P$ reductive elimination also occurs from auracycles, we developed a naphthalene-based phosphine that would place an electron-rich arene in the proper orientation for activation by a Au(III) center.

Treatment of **6** with $PhICl_2$ (1 equiv) afforded roughly 50% of the phosphonium $7[AuCl_4]$ (Scheme 4 and Figure 2B). Addition of another equivalent of $PhICl_2$ brings the reaction to full conversion, implicating a mechanism involving *two* oxidations: $P(III) \rightarrow P(V)$ and $Au(I) \rightarrow Au(III)$. Since the first step is rate-determining oxidation of Au(I), we cannot distinguish between pathways of phosphorus oxidation, including (1) $C_{aryl}-H$ activation by Au(III) followed by $C_{aryl}-P$ reductive elimination, (2) $P-Cl$ reductive elimination, and (3) phosphine dissociation from Au(III) and direct oxidation of phosphorus by $PhICl_2$. However, in support of mechanism 3, phosphine

Figure 4. (A) Crossover experiment with **4a** and **4b** generated simultaneously should produce a statistical mixture of **3a**, **3b**, and **3c**. (B) ^{31}P NMR spectra of **3a** (bottom), **3b** (middle), and crossover experiment (top) in CD_2Cl_2 at -60°C . ^{31}P NMR spectra referenced to H_3PO_4 .

Scheme 4. Synthesis of $[7][AuCl_4]$

dissociation from Au(III) is facile,¹⁴ and treating the free phosphine **8** with $PhICl_2$ (1 equiv) results in the fast, quantitative formation of phosphonium $7[Cl]$ (eq 1).

We reasoned that exchanging Cl on **6** for a substantially more electron-withdrawing C_6F_5 ligand (**9**) might discourage oxidation of the Au(I) product and slow phosphine dissociation relative to oxidation of starting material. Gratifyingly, treating **9** with stoichiometric $PhICl_2$ resulted in quantitative metal oxidation and ligand activation to form cyclometalated **10** (Scheme 5). Unfortunately, crystals of **10** suffered extensive disorder but established the relative geometry around the metal center, with the two aryl ligands in a *cis* relationship, in agreement with their relatively large *trans* influence (Figure S16).

Complex **10** was reasonably stable in the solid state, although it underwent very slow $C_{aryl}-P$ reductive elimination to phosphonium salt $[7][AuCl(C_6F_5)]$ in chlorinated solvents at room temperature. The reaction was monitored by 1H NMR in $CDCl_3$ and exhibited first-order behavior at 60 °C (Figure 5) (8.3 mM, $k_{obs} = (3.4 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-4} s^{-1}$, $t_{1/2} = 2029$ s), quantitatively generating $[7][AuCl(C_6F_5)]$. The first-order behavior in $CDCl_3$ was conserved between 40 and 70 °C, and kinetic parameters of the rate-determining step $\Delta H^\ddagger$ (21.9 ± 0.4 kcal/mol) and $\Delta S^\ddagger$ (-9.1 ± 1.4 eu) were determined by Eyring analysis (Figure 6 and Figure S6). The rate was not perturbed by the addition of 5 equiv of $[Bu_4N][Cl]$ but nearly doubles ($k_{obs} = (7.2 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4} s^{-1}$) in $CDCl_3$ saturated with $LiBF_4$ (Figure S7), indicative of a kinetic salt effect. Incremental addition of a polar cosolvent, CD_3OD (0–30% v/v in $CDCl_3$), also results in a rate acceleration (Figure 7 and Figure S8).

These results are consistent with rate-determining chloride dissociation from **10** preceding $C_{aryl}-P$ reductive elimination (Scheme 6), and the negative entropy of activation likely arises from solvent reorganization to stabilize the forming ion pair. Alternate mechanisms can be discounted based on simple electronic arguments. For instance, phosphine dissociation

Figure 5. Log plot for thermolysis of **10** in $CDCl_3$ at 60 °C.

Figure 6. Eyring plot of the thermolysis of **10** in $CDCl_3$ between 40 and 70 °C.

Figure 7. Effect of cosolvents CD_3OD or CD_3CN on rates of thermolysis of **10** in $CDCl_3$ at 60 °C. Although $[CD_3CN]$ exhibits a stronger linear correlation with k_{obs} , linear regressions for both cosolvents are shown.

from **10** followed by slow nucleophilic aromatic substitution at the *ipso* position of the aryl ligand would certainly generate a charged Meisenheimer intermediate, but S_NAr at an arene with electron-donating *ortho*- and *para*-OMe substituents is unlikely;

Scheme 5. Proposed Mechanism for Oxidation of **9** by $PhICl_2$ and Ligand Activation

Scheme 6. Proposed Mechanism for the Thermolysis of **10**

in other words, the arene must be *both* electrophilic and nucleophilic toward aromatic substitution, even upon coordination to a Lewis acidic Au(III) center. In fact, the phosphine might be expected to react preferentially at the *ipso* position of the electron-deficient perfluorophenyl ligand (ring strain notwithstanding),¹¹ yet we observe no evidence of the resulting phosphonium. As a unimolecular reaction, formation of [7][AuCl(C₆F₅)] may be kinetically biased relative to the second-order substitution reaction by exogenous phosphine. However, even in the presence of 10 equiv of PPh₃, *only* [7][Cl] and Ph₃PAu(C₆F₅) were observed (*vide infra*). This evidence is not definitive but suggestive of an inner-sphere C_{aryl}–P reductive elimination process.

Incremental addition of CD₃CN (0–30% v/v in CDCl₃) also accelerated the reaction, although unexpectedly, we observed a linear correlation between k_{obs} and [CD₃CN] (Figure 7, with k_{obs} in CDCl₃ as the nonzero y -intercept, and Figure S9). This clean, first-order behavior cannot be attributed simply to changes in polarity of the medium²⁵ but more likely to a second, competitive reductive elimination mechanism involving intimate participation of CD₃CN. In this process, we propose that the nucleophilic cosolvent coordinates to **10** and induces C_{aryl}–P reductive elimination from a five-coordinate solvento complex (Scheme 7). We cannot discount that the sterics and

Scheme 7. Proposed Mechanism for Phosphine-Accelerated C_{aryl}–P Reductive Elimination

nucleophilicity of CD₃OD absolutely preclude this solvent-assisted reductive elimination pathway in CD₃OD, but a weaker correlation between k_{obs} and [CD₃OD] suggests that stabilization of the ionic transition state in the dissociative pathway dominates. This conclusion is reasonable considering that the alcoholic cosolvent can hydrogen bond to the chloride.

To further interrogate this hypothesis and to avoid potentially complicating solvent effects at higher cosolvent ratios, we speculated if larger yet substantially more nucleophilic PPh₃ can accelerate C_{aryl}–P reductive elimination from **10**. Indeed, the reaction was significantly accelerated in the presence of PPh₃, although the formation of Ph₃PAu(C₆F₅) upon reductive elimination complicated the kinetics.^{26,27} With ≥ 10 equiv excess PPh₃, the reaction maintained well-behaved pseudo-first-order behavior and was first-order in PPh₃ (Figure 8 and Figure S10). Because consumption of **10** was so fast at elevated temperatures under these conditions, the reactions were monitored at 20 °C with 10–15 equiv of PPh₃. With 10 equiv of PPh₃, the reaction was complete within 20 min ($k_{\text{obs}} = (1.2 \pm 0.0) \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$,

Figure 8. First-order relationship between pseudo-first-order rate constant k_{obs} and [PPh₃] at 20 °C.

$t_{1/2} = 575 \text{ s}$), while in the absence of phosphine, we observed less than 50% consumption of **10** in 2 days ($k_{\text{obs}} = 3.1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$, extrapolated from Eyring data). We have previously observed a similar associative process in the C_{aryl}–C_{aryl} reductive elimination from Au(III),^{15e} and others have shown coordination-induced reductive bond-forming process from five-coordinate Ni(II) and Pd(II) complexes.²⁸

Comparison of the thermolysis of **10** and silver-mediated chloride abstraction from **1a** provided valuable insight into the general C_{aryl}–P reductive elimination reaction. Both processes involve the intermediacy of mononuclear Au(III) cations, which have been recently shown to undergo low-barrier reductive transformations that are often challenging at other metals. However, an even lower barrier dimerization process dominated when **4a** was generated from **1a**, allowing trapping of the reactive cation and spectroscopic observation of dication **3a** at low temperature. Due to the poor bridging ability of perfluorophenyl ligands, cation **11** likely cannot dimerize, and any step following formation of this cation is fast. Alternative reductive eliminations from the Au(III) intermediates are also clearly slower than C_{aryl}–P bond formation. For instance, C_{aryl}–C₆F₅ or P–C₆F₅ reductive elimination in **11** is undoubtedly discouraged by the strong Au–C₆F₅ bond.²⁹ Thus, deleterious C_{aryl}–P reductive elimination may become non-negligible in catalytic cycles, particularly when Au(III) is stabilized by halides that may dissociate. Since initial chloride dissociation is slow, polar solvents will also accelerate phosphonium formation.

Nevertheless, the use of rigid bidentate phosphines can preclude C_{aryl}–P reductive elimination. For instance, in the presence of AgSbF₆, **10** undergoes instantaneous C_{aryl}–P reductive elimination, while **12**,³⁰ which would reductively eliminate to a strained phosphonium, converts to several cationic species persistent at room temperature. The oxidation state of the metal in these complexes is undoubtedly +3 since addition of [Bu₄N]Cl regenerates **12** and disproportionation products (P–C)AuCl₂ (**13**) and (P–C)Au(3,5-(CF₃)₂-C₆H₃)₂ (**14**) (Scheme 8). Reductive elimination to generate the highly strained, phosphacyclobutane did not occur.

Scheme 8. Behavior of a Sterically-Constrained Auracycle

CONCLUSION

These studies suggest that deleterious $C_{aryl}-P$ reductive elimination is not only facile from Au(III) cations, but that this process is kinetically accessible from neutral Au(III) species via the cation under certain conditions (i.e., the Au(III)–Cl bond is weakened by strongly donating *trans* ligands, and C–Cl reductive elimination is discouraged due to a strong Au(III)–C bond). In fact, because Au(III)–X bonds weaken in the order $Cl > Br > I$,^{15f} application of the Bell-Evans-Polanyi principle to the initial Au(III)–Cl heterolysis from **10** suggests that this rate-determining step should be faster with heavier halides. We are currently investigating this hypothesis, as well as the influence of pseudohalides on this process.

Au(III) is often stabilized by hard, neutral donors, such as nitrogen (i.e., pyridines, bipyridines, imines) and N-heterocyclic carbenes. In a catalytic cycle proceeding through multiple intermediates of varying ionicity and coordination environment around the reactive Au(III) center, a polarizable phosphine ligand can engage in redox transformations that deplete active species. The high reduction potential of Au(III) complicates reports of Lewis acidic Au(III) catalysis, for instance, in which it is often ambiguous whether Au(I) or Au(III) is the active catalyst; in these cases, phosphonium reductive elimination from a precatalyst can lead to an active Au(I) species.^{18b,31} Phosphines are far too broad and accessible a ligand class to avoid completely, however, and these studies shed light on several pathways that can irreversibly alter a phosphine-supported Au(III) catalyst or Au(I) catalyst under oxidizing conditions. We have also shown that this deleterious process can be avoided (or at least slowed) by rational ligand design.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b10720.

X-ray data (**5**) (CIF)
 X-ray data (**2**) (CIF)
 X-ray data (**12**) (CIF)
 X-ray data ([7][AuCl₄]) (CIF)
 X-ray data ([7][AuCl–C₆F₅]) (CIF)
 X-ray data ([7][Cl]) (CIF)
 X-ray data (**10**) (CIF)
 Experimental details and characterization data (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*mwinston@lanl.gov

*fdtoste@berkeley.edu

Author Contributions

[§]H.K. and W.J.W. contributed equally.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge Andrew Samant for helpful discussions. This work was generously funded by the NIHGMs (RO1 GM073932), a JSPS Postdoctoral Fellowship for Research Abroad to H.K., a NIH fellowship to M.S.W. (F32 GM103238-02), and a NSF fellowship to W.J.W. (DGE 1106400). X-ray crystallography was performed using the UC Berkeley College of Chemistry CheXray facility supported by the NIH Shared Instrumentation Grant S10- RR027172.

REFERENCES

- (1) Toste, F. D.; Michelet, V., Eds. *Gold Catalysis: An Homogeneous Approach*; Imperial College Press: London, U.K., 2014.
- (2) For examples, see: (a) Murray, H. H.; Fackler, J. P.; Mazany, A. M. *Organometallics* **1984**, *3*, 1310. (b) Vicente, J.; Chicote, M.-T.; Cayuelas, J. A.; Fernandez-Baeza, J.; Jones, P. G.; Sheldrick, G. M.; Espinet, P. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1985**, 1163. (c) Vicente, J.; Chicote, M.-T.; Bermudez, M. D.; Sanchez-Santano, M. J.; Jones, P. G.; Fittschen, C.; Sheldrick, G. M. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1986**, *310*, 401. (d) Gorin, D. J.; Sherry, B. D.; Toste, F. D. *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, *108*, 3351. (e) Eryazici, I.; Moorefield, C. N.; Newkome, G. R. *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, *108*, 1834. (f) Wang, S.; Zhang, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 8414. (g) Smith, D. A.; Rosca, D.-A.; Bochmann, M. *Organometallics* **2012**, *31*, 5998. (h) Romanov, A. S.; Bochmann, M. *Organometallics* **2015**, *34*, 2439.
- (3) For examples, see: (a) Hashmi, A. S. K.; Frost, T. M.; Bats, J. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 11553. (b) Dyker, G.; Muth, E.; Hashmi, A. S. K.; Ding, L. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2003**, *345*, 1247. (c) Nguyen, R.-V.; Yao, X.-Q.; Bohle, D. S.; Li, C.-J. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 673. (d) Engel, D. A.; Dudley, G. B. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 4027.
- (4) Pignolet, L. M., Ed. *Homogeneous Catalysis with Metal Phosphine Complexes*; Plenum Press: New York, 1983.
- (5) Ho, T.-L. *Chem. Rev.* **1975**, *75*, 1.
- (6) (a) *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, 96th ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 2015. (b) Gorin, D. J.; Toste, F. D. *Nature* **2007**, *446*, 395.
- (7) (a) Zhang, G.; Cui, L.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 1474. (b) Melhado, A. D.; Brenzovich, W. E.; Lackner, A. D.; Toste, F. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 8885.
- (8) Iglesias, A.; Muñoz, K. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, 10563.
- (9) Sahoo, B.; Hopkinson, M. N.; Glorius, F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 5505.
- (10) Winston, M. S.; Wolf, W. J.; Toste, F. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 7777.
- (11) Hofer, M.; Nevado, C. *Tetrahedron* **2013**, *69*, 5751.
- (12) Teets, T. S.; Nocera, D. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 7411.
- (13) For other examples of catalysis proceeding through purported phosphine-supported Au(III) intermediates, see: (a) Brenzovich, W. E.; Benitez, D.; Lackner, A. D.; Shunatona, H. P.; Tkatchouk, E.; Goddard, W. A.; Toste, F. D. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 5519. (b) Pradal, A.; Toullec, P. Y.; Michelet, V. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 6086. (c) Zhang, G.; Luo, Y.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, L. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 4450. (d) Tkatchouk, E.; Mankad, N. P.; Benitez, D.; Goddard, W. A.; Toste, F. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 14293. (e) Marchetti, L.; Kantak, A.; Davis, R.; DeBoef, B. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 358. (f) He, Y.; Wu, H.; Toste, F. D. *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 1194. (g) Tlahuext-Aca, A.; Hopkinson, M. N.; Sahoo, B.; Glorius, F. *Chem. Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 89. (h) Kim, S.; Rojas-Martin, J.; Toste, F. D. *Chem. Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 85.
- (14) For foundational work, see: (a) Tamaki, A.; Magennis, S. A.; Kochi, J. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1974**, *96*, 6140. (b) Komiyama, S.; Albright, T. A.; Hoffmann, R.; Kochi, J. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1976**, *98*, 7255. (c) Komiyama, S.; Kochi, J. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1976**, *98*, 7599.
- (15) (a) Vicente, J.; Bermudez, M. D.; Escibano, J.; Carrillo, M. P.; Jones, P. G. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1990**, 3083. (b) Vicente, J.; Bermudez, M. D.; Escibano, J. *Organometallics* **1991**, *10*, 3380. (c) Hashmi, A. S. K.; Ramamurthi, T. D.; Rominger, F. J. *Organomet. Chem.* **2009**, *694*, 592. (d) Hashmi, A. S. K.; Ramamurthi, T. D.; Todd, M. H.; Tsang, A. S.-K.; Graf, K. *Aust. J. Chem.* **2010**, *63*, 1619. (e) Wolf, W. J.; Winston, M. S.; Toste, F. D. *Nat. Chem.* **2014**, *6*, 159. (f) Winston, M. S.; Wolf, W. J.; Toste, F. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 7921. (g) Levin, M. D.; Toste, F. D. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 6211. (h) Serra, J.; Whiteoak, C. J.; Acuña-Parés, F.; Font, M.; Luis, J. M.; Lloret-Fillol, J.; Ribas, X. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 13389.
- (16) (a) Robinson, P. S. D.; Khairallah, G. N.; da Silva, G.; Lioe, H.; O'Hair, R. A. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 3812. (b) Shu, X.; Zhang, M.; He, Y.; Frei, H.; Toste, F. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 5844.
- (17) Melpolder, J. B.; Heck, R. F. *J. Org. Chem.* **1976**, *41*, 265.

(18) (a) Ball, L. T.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Russell, C. A. *Science* **2012**, 337, 1644. (b) Ball, L. T.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Russell, C. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, 136, 254.

(19) For examples, see: (a) Amatore, C.; Jutand, A.; Amine M'Barki, M. *Organometallics* **1992**, 11, 3009. (b) Ji, Y.; Plata, E.; Regens, C. S.; Hay, M.; Schmidt, M.; Razler, T.; Qiu, Y.; Geng, P.; Hsiao, Y.; Rosner, T.; Eastgate, M. D.; Blackmond, D. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, 137, 13272.

(20) For examples, see: (a) Xu, Y.; Zhang, J. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1986**, 1606. (b) Barbaro, P.; Peruzzini, M.; Ramirez, J. A.; Vizza, F. *Organometallics* **1999**, 18, 4237. (c) Gelman, D.; Jiang, L.; Buchwald, S. L. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, 5, 2315. (d) Schwan, A. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2004**, 33, 218. (e) Chan, V. S.; Bergman, R. G.; Toste, F. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, 129, 15122. (f) Tappe, F. M. J.; Trepohl, V. T.; Oestreich, M. *Synthesis* **2010**, 2010, 3037. (g) Glueck, D. S. *Top. Organomet. Chem.* **2010**, 31, 65.

(21) (a) Hirusawa, Y.; Oku, M.; Yamamoto, K. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1957**, 30, 667. (b) Migita, T.; Shimizu, T.; Asami, Y.; Shiobara, J.; Kato, Y.; Kosugi, M. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1980**, 53, 1385. (c) Migita, T.; Nagai, T.; Kiuchi, K.; Kosugi, M. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1983**, 56, 2869. (d) Kwong, F. Y.; Chan, K. S. *Chem. Commun.* **2000**, 1069. (e) Kwong, F. Y.; Lai, C. W.; Yu, M.; Tian, Y.; Chan, K. S. *Tetrahedron* **2003**, 59, 10295. (f) Goodson, F. E.; Wallow, T. I.; Novak, B. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, 119, 12441.

(22) The Stokes–Einstein equation is $r = kT/6\pi\eta D$, where r is van der Waals radius of a molecule, D is the diffusion coefficient, k is Boltzmann's constant, T is temperature, and η is solution viscosity. The ratio of two van der Waals radii obtained under the same experimental conditions ensures that k , T , and $6\pi\eta$ cancel.

(23) For examples, see: (a) Margrave, J. L.; Whitmire, K. H.; Hauge, R. H.; Norem, N. T. *Inorg. Chem.* **1990**, 29, 3252. (b) Zharkova, G. I.; Baidina, I. A.; Igumenov, I. K. *J. Struct. Chem.* **2007**, 48, 108. (c) Mankad, N. P.; Toste, F. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, 132, 12859.

(24) (a) Kharasch, M. S.; Isbell, H. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1931**, 53, 3053. (b) Liddle, K. S.; Parkin, C. J. *Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1972**, 26. (c) Fuchita, Y.; Utsunomiya, Y.; Yasutake, M. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **2001**, 2330. (d) Reetz, M. T.; Sommer, K. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, 2003, 3485.

(25) (a) Grunwald, E.; Winstein, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1948**, 70, 846. (b) Winstein, S.; Fainberg, A. H.; Grunwald, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1957**, 79, 4146. (c) Fainberg, A. H.; Winstein, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1956**, 78, 2770. (d) Shiner, V. J.; Dowd, W.; Fisher, F. D.; Hartshorn, S. R.; Kessick, M. A.; Milakofsky, L.; Rapp, M. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1969**, 91, 4838. (e) Kevill, D. N.; Anderson, S. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, 108, 1579.

(26) Baker, R. W.; Pauling, P. J. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1972**, 2264.

(27) $\text{Ph}_3\text{PAuC}_6\text{F}_5$ can be independently synthesized by treating a CDCl_3 solution of $[\mathbf{6}][\text{AuCl}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)]$ with PPh_3 at room temperature. The reaction is quantitative after 1 min.

(28) (a) Komiya, S.; Abe, Y.; Yamamoto, A.; Yamamoto, T. *Organometallics* **1983**, 2, 1466. (b) Tatsumi, K.; Nakamura, A.; Komiya, S.; Yamamoto, A.; Yamamoto, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, 106, 8181. (c) Komiya, S.; Akai, Y.; Tanaka, K.; Yamamoto, T.; Yamamoto, A. *Organometallics* **1985**, 4, 1130.

(29) (a) Cambeiro, X. C.; Boorman, T. C.; Lu, P.; Larrosa, I. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, 52, 1781. (b) Hofer, M.; Nevado, C. *Tetrahedron* **2013**, 69, 5751.

(30) Amgoune and Bourissou have generated structurally similar reactive Au(III) cations by $-\text{CH}_3$ abstraction with $\text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3$. See: Rekhroukh, F.; Brousses, R.; Amgoune, A.; Bourissou, D. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, 54, 1266.

(31) Hashmi has shown that Au(I) catalysts are activated by $\text{C}_{\text{vinyl}}-\text{C}_{\text{vinyl}}$ reductive elimination from Au(III) precatalysts. See: Hashmi, A. S. K.; Blanco, M. C.; Fischer, D.; Bats, J. W. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, 2006, 1387.