UCSF

UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Transactional sex and prevalence of STIs: a cross-sectional study of MSM and transwomen screened for an HIV prevention trial

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2sz7m374

Journal

International Journal of STD & AIDS, 26(12)

ISSN

0956-4624

Authors

Solomon, Marc M Nureña, César R Tanur, Judith M et al.

Publication Date

2015-10-01

DOI

10.1177/0956462414562049

Peer reviewed

Int J STD AIDS. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 October 18.

Published in final edited form as:

Int J STD AIDS. 2015 October; 26(12): 879–886. doi:10.1177/0956462414562049.

Transactional sex and prevalence of STIs: a cross-sectional study of MSM and transwomen screened for an HIV prevention trial

Marc M Solomon^{1,5}, César R Nureña², Judith M Tanur³, Orlando Montoya⁴, Robert M Grant^{1,5}, and J Jeff McConnell⁵

¹Department of Medicine, University of California, CA, USA

²Escuela de Antropología, Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos, Perú

³Department of Sociology, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY, USA

⁴Fundación Ecuatoriana Equidad, Ecuador

⁵Gladstone Institutes, CA, USA

Abstract

Introduction—Few studies have characterised the degree of engagement in transactional sex among men and trans-gender women who have sex with men and explored its association with sexually transmitted infections and human immunodeficiency virus in Ecuador.

Method—We screened 642 men who have sex with men and transgender women for a preexposure prophylaxis clinical trial (iPrEx) in Guayaquil, Ecuador, 2007-2009. We analysed the association of degree of engagement in transactional sex and prevalence of sexually transmitted infections including human immunodeficiency virus using chi-square and analysis of variance tests.

Results—Although just 6.2% of those who screened self-identified as sex workers, 52.1% reported having engaged in transactional sex. Compared to those who had never been paid for sex, those who had been paid were more likely to have a sexually transmitted infection (56.6% vs. 45.0%, p =0.007) and trended towards a higher human immunodeficiency virus prevalence (16.6% vs. 10.4%, p = 0.082) at screening. Transgender women compared to other men who have sex with men were more likely to have sexually transmitted infections diagnosed at screening (75.6% vs. 50.0%, p = 0.001).

Discussion—Transactional sex is practiced widely but occasionally among the men who have sex with men and trans-gender women in Guayaquil who screened for the iPrEx study; however, engaging in transactional sex may not lead to a sex worker self-identification. Both transactional sex and being a transgender woman are associated with sexually transmitted infections prevalence.

Reprints and permissions: sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav

Corresponding author: Marc M Solomon, 1650 Owens Street, 5th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA. marc.solomon@ucsf.edu. **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Keywords

South America; homosexual; sexual behaviour; sex workers; HIV

Introduction

Much of the research characterising male transactional sex (TS) has been conducted in response to the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) epidemic ^{1,2} particularly in Latin America ³ where men who have sex with men (MSM) and transgender women (TW) represent key populations for HIV transmission. ^{4–9} Men and TW who sell sex are thought to be key vectors for HIV transmission ^{10–13} as TS has been associated with an increased risk of sexually transmitted infections (STIs) and HIV. ^{14–16} Engagement in TS occurs along a spectrum of participation, ranging from casual, infrequent encounters to continual professional exchange. ¹⁷ The degree to which an individual engages in TS, how one conceptualises of his or her engagement and how his or her identity impacts the overall risk for HIV and other STIs have not been sufficiently studied.

In the present study, we explored the degree to which engagement in TS, identity and gender may be associated with HIV and STIs or their risk factors.

Method

The pre-exposure prophylaxis initiative (iPrEx) was a randomised double-blinded, placebocontrolled clinical trial to determine the efficacy of once-daily oral emtri-citabine/tenofovir (FTC/TDF) in preventing HIV infection in MSM and TW. ¹⁸ One of the five eligibility criteria for trial participation, any one of which was qualifying, was self-report of TS in the past six months, because TS was thought to be a risk factor for HIV acquisition. TS included all compensated sex defined by the study as 'exchange of money, gifts, shelter or drugs for sex with a partner in the last six months'. Guayaquil, Ecuador, was selected as a site because of the high incidence of HIV among MSM in the region. Baseline surveillance carried out by Universidad San Francisco de Quito in 2007 demonstrated an HIV prevalence of 19.2% among MSM. 19 There was also a networked community capable of recruiting that brought potential participants into the clinic for screening visits that included a written informed consent, a computer-assisted self-interview (CASI), risk-reduction counselling, a physical examination and a blood draw including testing for STIs. 18 Participants received US\$10. The site screened 642 individuals between 12 December 2007 and 1 July 2009. The CASI included questions on demographics, attitudes about HIV and risk, sexual debut, sex and drug history, and measures of recent sexual exposure.

Preliminary exploratory analysis had revealed that while 52.1% of participants had engaged in TS, only 6.2% had self-identified as sex workers. Therefore, we hypothesised that self-identified sex workers were a unique subset of those engaging in TS and that such identification may have resulted from different life circumstances or lead to different outcomes. Specifically, we thought that those demonstrating ownership of a sex work identity might also have developed efficacious strategies for avoiding HIV.

We evaluated the association of TS and gender identity with socio- and sexual-demographics including HIV and STI prevalence. We focused on psychosocial development, human capital, social integration/disorganisation and economic necessity.

We thus explored the data looking for evidence that these indicators of social, psychological and economic processes were associated with mode of engagement in TS represented by the grouping categories 'ever been paid for sex', 'self-identified sex-worker', and 'never been paid for sex'. Individuals who identified themselves to be sex workers comprised the 'self-labeled sex-worker' category. Individuals who had engaged in TS but did not identify as sex workers were grouped in the 'ever been paid for sex' category. Those who had never engaged in TS were grouped as 'never been paid for sex'. We hypothesised that the following indicators would be associated with higher prevalence of reported engagement in TS: an earlier age sexual debut and anal sexual debut; a forced sexual debut; lower educational attainment; more lifetime sexual partners; current unemployment and lower income and living apart from family.

Statistical methods

Baseline characteristics were compared by t-test or F test for continuous variables (with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons) and by chi-square test for categorical variables. Medians were compared using the Kruskall–Wallis analysis of variance followed by Mann–Whitney tests (in the spirit of Bonferroni testing) to ascertain which pairs of medians were different. Data were analysed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 22.

Results

A total of 6.2% of individuals who were screened for iPrEx in Guayaquil self-identified as a sex worker. However, another 45.9% reported having ever been paid for sex. Therefore, more than half (52.1%) had participated in some form of TS (Table 1). When questioned about the past six months, 28.2% individuals reported at least one exchange partnership for anal sex.

Despite widespread participation in TS (17.1% had at least one transactional partnership), just 7.2% reported that all three of their last three sexual partnerships were transactional. TS is therefore *widely experienced*, but for most only *occasionally practiced* among MSM and TW.

We found differences between individuals who self-identified as sex workers and those who did not (Table 1). The never-paid group (compared to the ever-paid group) experienced a later sexual debut and later anal sexual debut (first sex: ever paid: 13.5 years vs. never paid: 14.5 years, p = 0.001 and first anal sex: ever paid: 14.9 years vs. never paid: 16.0 years p = 0.008, respectively), achieved higher levels of education (p < 0.001), and had higher monthly median income (ever paid: US\$180 vs. never paid: US\$220, p = 0.005). They were also less likely to have experienced forced sex at their anal sexual debut (p = 0.004), have more than 150 lifetime sexual partners (p < 0.001), and have an STI diagnosis at screening (56.6% vs. 45.0%, p = 0.007) (Table 1).

Compared to participants who had ever been paid or never paid, the self-labelled sex workers had strikingly lower levels of education (p <0.001) and identified more frequently as bisexual (p <0.001). Compared to those who had never been paid, sex workers were more likely to live with a friend or lover (rather than with family, p =0.009). Sex workers also were less likely to have ever had an HIV test than either of the other two groups (p =0.002, Table 1).

As compared to MSM, TW reported earlier first sexual experiences (age at sexual debut: 12.8 years for TW vs. 14.3 years for other MSM, p < 0.001 and age at anal sexual debut: 13.1 years for TW vs. 16.1 years for other MSM, p < 0.001), leaving school (p = 0.001) and being more likely to have used recreational drugs (47.3% vs. 36.6%, p = 0.024) (Table 2). TWs were almost four times as likely to have had 150 or more lifetime partners (24.8% vs. 6.4%, p < 0.001), were more likely to live with friends, lovers or alone (atypical among young Latin Americans) (p < 0.001), and were more likely to have an STI (75.6% vs. 43.4%, p = 0.001) and HIV at screening (16.8% vs. 12.5%, p = 0.201). We found no significant differences in recent income between TW and other MSM (p = 0.512).

Sexual networks

A total of 30.6% of individuals who were screened for iPrEx in Guayaquil reported unprotected receptive anal intercourse (URAI) in the past three months with at least one of the last three sexual partners. Self-identified sex workers were least likely to report URAI (5.4%) as compared to the ever been paid (34.9%) and the never been paid (30.0%) groups, and most likely to report unprotected insertive anal intercourse (UIAI) (Table 3a, Supplementary material).

The median number of highest risk (i.e., URAI) episodes for all three groups was 0 (Table 3b, Supplementary material), though the comparison reached significance due to the 95% of self-identified sex workers who reported *no* URAI. Among those who did report URAI, the mean number of episodes across the past three partners during the past three months was 8.7, but there was only one for each of the two self-identified sex workers in this group (Table 3c, Supplementary material).

Discussion

Of the participants in Guayaquil who reported ever having engaged in TS – surprisingly more than half –only about 1 in 10 self-identified as sex workers. We found that TS was practiced widely but only occasionally for most, inconsistent with financial dependence on full-time TS. A similar pattern was observed in Australia, where 21.3% of MSM reported TS, while only 0.2% self-identified as sex workers.²⁰

The distribution of high-risk behavior for HIV acquisition was by no means even across groups, and, interestingly, those who self-identified as sex workers did not have the highest rates of the highest risk sex (URAI), but instead engaged in more insertive anal intercourse which confers a lower risk of HIV acquisition than URAI.

A recent study of epidemic and differential patterns of HIV–STI risk among MSM in Quito, Ecuador, reported high levels of STIs including HIV among the MSM studied as well as strong associations among sex workers with an odds ratio for HIV acquisition of 3.3 when compared to those who have never engaged in TS.²¹ Our study corroborates an increased STI prevalence and a trend towards increase in HIV prevalence among individuals engaging in TS.

Additionally, we found that TW as compared to other MSM maintain many risk factors for STI acquisition leading to higher prevalence. Specifically, TW more often experienced an earlier sexual debut, left school early, had more sexual partners, were paid for sex and used recreational drugs.

Public policy interventions intended to reduce risk among self-identified sex workers among MSM and TW should cast a wide net to include the wider population of individuals who exchange sex for good or services. Otherwise, certain individuals who engage in TS but do not consider themselves to be sex workers –simply may not recognise themselves as the intended targets of such interventions.

Limitations of the present study include the cross-sectional approach that does not permit assessments of causality. We looked at numerous comparisons which can increase the Type-I error rate, though we used Bonferroni corrections to help mitigate this possibility. Our participants were recruited for a clinical trial and may not fully represent the entire spectrum of the MSM and TW community in Guayaquil, Ecuador, or beyond. Our findings contribute to the existing literature by providing new data on TS among MSM and TW in a region where such studies are scarce.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We are indebted to the men and TW who screened for the iPrEx HIV prevention trial in Guayaquil. We thank Equidad (iPrEx site in Guayaquil); Vanessa McMahan managed regulatory affairs; Megha Mehrotra assisted with data transfer; John Carroll and Teresa Roberts provided excellent graphics support. We thank John H. Gagnon, Kimberley A. Koester, Anna Lisa Lucido, Sheri Lippman, Christopher Eden, Vanessa McMahan and Megha Mehrotra who reviewed early drafts of this manuscript.

Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was supported by the Division of Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (DAIDS), National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, as a cooperative agreement [U01 AI64002, to R.M.G.] and by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

References

- 1. Aggleton, P. Men who sell sex: international perspectives on male prostitution and HIV/AIDS. Philadelphia: Temple University Press; 1999.
- 2. Bimbi DS. Male prostitution: pathology, paradigms and progress in research. J Homosex. 2007; 53:7–35. [PubMed: 18019068]

3. Caceres CF. HIV among gay and other men who have sex with men in Latin America and the Caribbean: a hidden epidemic? AIDS. 2002; 16:S23–S33. [PubMed: 12685922]

- Baral S, Sifakis F, Cleghorn F, et al. Elevated risk for HIV infection among men who have sex with men in low- and middle-income countries 2000–2006: a systematic review. PLoS Med. 2007;
 4:e339. [PubMed: 18052602]
- 5. van Griensven F, de Lind van Wijngaarden JW, Baral S, et al. The global epidemic of HIV infection among men who have sex with men. Curr Opin HIV AIDS. 2009; 4:300–307. [PubMed: 19532068]
- Montano SM, Sanchez JL, Laguna-Torres A, et al. Prevalences, genotypes, and risk factors for HIV transmission in South America. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2005; 40:57–64. [PubMed: 16123683]
- UNAIDS. [accessed 1 December 2014] Country Report on National response to HIV Ecuador 2012.
 http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/country/documents//ce_EC_Narrative_Report.pdf
- 8. Gouws E, Cuchi P. Focusing the HIV response through estimating the major modes of HIV transmission: a multi-country analysis. Sex Transm Infect. 2012; 88:i76–i85. [PubMed: 23172348]
- Barrington C, Wejnert C, Guardado ME, et al. Social network characteristics and HIV vulnerability among trans-gender persons in San Salvador: identifying opportunities for HIV prevention strategies. AIDS Behav. 2012; 16:214–224. [PubMed: 21538082]
- Reisner SL, Mimiaga MJ, Mayer KH, et al. Tricks of the trade: sexual health behaviors, the context of HIV risk, and potential prevention intervention strategies for male sex workers. J LGBT Health Res. 2008; 4:195–209. [PubMed: 19928046]
- 11. Morse EV, Simon PM, Osofsky HJ, et al. The male street prostitute: a vector for transmission of HIV infection into the heterosexual world. Soc Sci Med. 1991; 32:535–539. [PubMed: 2017720]
- Price MA, Rida W, Mwangome M, et al. Identifying at-risk populations in Kenya and South Africa: HIV incidence in cohorts of men who report sex with men, sex workers, and youth. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2012; 59:185–193. [PubMed: 22227488]
- 13. Silva-Santisteban A, Raymond HF, Salazar X, et al. Understanding the HIV/AIDS epidemic in transgender women of Lima, Peru: results from a sero-epidemiologic study using respondent driven sampling. AIDS Behav. 2012; 16:872–881. [PubMed: 21983694]
- 14. Narayanan P, Das A, Morineau G, et al. An exploration of elevated HIV and STI risk among male sex workers from India. BMC Publ Health. 2013; 13:1059.
- 15. Tun W, de Mello M, Pinho A, et al. Sexual risk behaviours and HIV seroprevalence among male sex workers who have sex with men and non-sex workers in Campinas, Brazil. Sex Transm Infect. 2008; 84:455–457. [PubMed: 19028946]
- Bacon O, Lum P, Hahn J, et al. Commercial sex work and risk of HIV infection among young drug-injecting men who have sex with men in San Francisco. Sex Transm Dis. 2006; 33:228–234.
 [PubMed: 16565643]
- 17. Mimiaga MJ, Reisner SL, Tinsley JP, et al. Street workers and internet escorts: contextual and psychosocial factors surrounding HIV risk behavior among men who engage in sex work with other men. J Urban Health. 2009; 86:54–66. [PubMed: 18780186]
- 18. Grant RM, Lama JR, Anderson PL, et al. Preexposure chemoprophylaxis for HIV prevention in men who have sex with men. N Engl J Med. 2010; 363:2587–2599. [PubMed: 21091279]
- Publica MdS. Declaracion De Compromiso Sobre VIH-SIDA, UNGASS: Informe del gobierno del Ecuador relativo al periodo 2006–2007. Ecuador: Ministerio de Salud Publica y Ministerio de Coordinacion de Desarrollo Social; 2007. p. 88
- 20. Mao, L.; Van de Ven, P.; Prestage, G., et al. Health in men: baseline data. Sydney: National Centre in HIV Social Research; 2002.
- Jacobson JO, Sanchez-Gomez A, Montoya O, et al. A continuing HIV epidemic and differential patterns of HIV-STI risk among MSM in Quito, Ecuador: an urgent need to scale up HIV testing and prevention. AIDS Behav. 2014; 18:88–98. [PubMed: 23620242]

Author Manuscript

Table 1

Individuals who screened for iPrEx in Guayaquil: characteristics by TS history.

	Ever been paid for sex	aid for sex	Self-labelled sex workers	sex workers	Never been paid for sex	paid for sex	Total		
	N = 295	45.9%	N = 40	6.2%	N = 307	47.9%	N = 642	100%	
Characteristics	M	as	М	as	М	as	M	as	Notes
Age at interview ($F = 1.118$, $df = 2,633$, $p = 0.328$)	25.8	6.4	27.5	9.4	26.3	∞	26.1	7.4	
Age at sexual debut $(F = 6.574, df = 2,632, p = 0.001)$	13.5*	3.4	13.5	2.7	14.5*	3.6	14.0	3.5	
Age at anal sex debut $(F = 4.907, df = 2,631, p = 0.008)$	14.9*	4.4	16.3	2.6	16.0*	4.5	15.5	4.4	
First anal sex was obliged, manipulated or seduced ($\chi^2 = 10.932$, $df = 2$, $p = 0.004$)	N = 294		N = 40		N = 307		N = 641		a,b
	31.3%*		37.5%		20.8%*		26.70%		
Education ($\chi^2 = 36.640$, $df = 4$, $p < 0.001$)	$N = 293^*$		$N = 40^*$		$N = 306^*$		N = 639		a,b
Did not complete high school	39.2%		67.5%		25.8%		34.6%		
Finished high school	31.4%		20.0%		31.4%		30.7%		
At least some college	29.4%		12.5%		42.8%		34.7%		
Sexual orientation ($\chi^2 = 40.373$, $df = 4$, $p < 0.001$)	$N = 289^*$		$N = 39^{*A}$		$N = 299^{^{\wedge}}$		N = 627		a,b
Homosexual	%6.69		20.5%		%6:29		64.9%		
Bisexual	26.3%		74.4%		28.8%		30.5%		
Heterosexual	3.8%		5.1%		5.4%		4.6%		
More than 150 lifetime sexual partners ($\chi^2 = 17.766$, $df = 2$, $p < 0.001$)	N = 285		N = 39		N = 302		N = 626		a
	15.4%*		10.3%		5.0%*		11.2%		
Earned an income this month ($\chi^2 = 2.010$, $df = 2$, $p = 0.366$)	N = 295		N = 40		N = 307		N = 642		
	35.9%		32.5%		40.7%		38.0%		
This month's income among those earning any (USD)	Median	IQR	Median	IQR	Median	IQR	Median	IQR	
(K-W = 10.65, p = 0.005)	180*	150	200	125	220*	142.5	200	180	
Household composition ($f^2 = 13.417$, $df = 4$, $p = 0.009$)	N = 270		$N = 39^*$		$N = 286^*$		N = 595		c
Lives alone	16.3%		7.7%		16.1%		15.6%		
Lives with friend or lover	25.9%		38.5%		17.1%		22.5%		
Lives with family	57.8%		53.8%		%8.99		61.8%		
STI diagnosis at screening (χ^2 =9.886, df =2, p =0.007)	N = 295		N = 40		N = 307		N = 642		p

	Ever been p	aid for sex	Self-labelled	Ever been paid for sex Self-labelled sex workers Never been paid for sex Total	Never been	paid for sex	Total		
	N = 295	45.9%	N = 40	6.2%	N = 307	47.9%	N = 642 100%	100%	
Characteristics	M	SD	M	as	M	SD	M	SD	Notes
	* 89.95		40.0%		45.0%*		50.0%		
Ever had an HIV test? ($\chi^2 = 12.305$, $df = 2$, $p = 0.002$)	N = 294		N = 40		N = 307		N = 641		a
	70.1%*		50.0%**		75.9%^		71.6%		
HIV prevalence $(\chi^2 = 4.993, df = 2, p = 0.082)$	N = 295		N = 40		N = 307		N = 642		
	16.6%		12.5%		10.4%		13.4%		

Note: TS, transactional sex; STI, sexually transmitted infection; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; IQR, Interquartile range.

^a Difference in denominators is due to an early version of computer-assisted self-interview (CASI) that allowed participants to advance without registering any answer.

b. These variables are temporally prior to current TS status, and may indeed help determine that status. Nevertheless, the data are percentaged in this fashion to facilitate comparisons between the groups. Causality is not implied.

^cRefused to answer, don't know and other were recoded to missing (N=47).

dSTIs and symptoms that may lead to an STI diagnosis at screening include syphilis, gonorthea, chlamydia, genital herpes, human papillomavirus or any of the following deemed to be the result of a sexually transmitted infection: genital ulcers, urethritis, procitits, inguinal bubo syndrome, or other STI-related conditions. This does not include any hepatitis diagnoses or HIV.

* Pairs of means, medians or percentage distributions indicated by * are statistically different as shown by the Bonferroni method of multiple comparisons.

^ Pairs of means, medians or percentage distributions indicated by ^ are statistically different as shown by the Bonferroni method of multiple comparisons.

Table 2

Individuals who were screened for iPrEx in Guayaquil: characteristics by gender identity.

	, AL		Mark model	74.5	1040		
	<u> </u>			INIC	10131		
	N = 131	20.4%	N = 511	%9.67	N = 642	100%	
Characteristics	M	as	M	as	M	as	Notes
Age at interview $(t = -2.027, df = 634, p = 0.043, \text{two-tailed})$	27.3	8.9	25.8	7.5	26.1	7.4	
Age at sexual debut ($t = 4.825$, $df = 224.124$, $p < 0.001$, one-tailed)	12.8	3.1	14.3	3.5	14.0	3.5	
Age at anal sex debut ($t = 8.294$, $df = 252.816$, $p < 0.001$, one-tailed)	13.1	3.5	16.1	4.4	15.5	4.4	
Ever been paid for sex ($\chi^2 = 35.648$, $df = 1$, $p < 0.001$)	N = 131		N = 511		N = 642		
	74.0%		44.8%		8.09		q
First sex was obliged, manipulated or seduced (χ^2 =0.412, df =1, p =0.514)	N = 131		N = 510		N = 641		a,c
	24.4%		27.3%		26.7%		
Education $(\chi^2 = 14.138, df = 2, p = 0.001)$	N = 131		N = 508		N = 639		a,c
Did not complete high school	45.0%		31.9%		34.6%		
Finished high school	33.6%		29.9%		30.7%		
At least some college	21.4%		38.2%		34.7%		
Sexual orientation ($\chi^2 = 74.309$, $df = 2$, $p < 0.001$)	N = 130		N=497		N = 627		a,c
Homosexual	%6.96		56.5%		64.9%		
Bisexual	1.5%		38.0%		30.5%		
Heterosexual	1.5%		5.4%		4.6%		
Ever used any recreational drugs? $(\chi^2 = 5.059, df = 1, p = 0.024)^3$	N = 131		N = 511		N = 642		c
	47.3%		36.6%		38.8%		
More than 150 lifetime sexual partners ($\chi^2 = 37.472$, $df = 1$, $p < 0.001$)	N = 125		N = 501		N = 626		a
	24.8%		6.4%		10.1%		
Earned an income this month $(\chi^2 = 1.571, df = 1, p = 0.210)$	N = 131		N = 511		N = 642		
	42.7%		36.8%		38.0%		
This month's income among those earning any (USD) (K–W =0.431, p =0.512)	Median	IQR	Median	IQR	Median	IQR	
	200	180	200	160	200	180	
Household composition ($\chi^2 = 17.890$, $df = 2$, $p < 0.001$)	N = 124		N =471		N = 595		p
Lives alone	17.7%		15.1%		15.6%		
Lives with friend or lover	35.5%		19.1%		22.5%		

	TW		Other MSM	SM	Total		
	N = 131	20.4%	N = 511	%9.62	N=131 20.4% N=511 79.6% N=642 100%	100%	
Characteristics	М	as	M as	as	M		Notes
Lives with family	46.8%		%8.59		61.8%		
STI diagnosis at screening (χ^2 =43.052, df =1, p =0.001)	N = 131		N = 511		N = 642		в
	75.6%		43.4%		50.0%		
Ever had an HIV test? $(\chi^2 = 2.267, df = 1, p = 0.132)$	N = 130		N = 511		N = 641		a
	76.9%		70.3%		71.6%		
HIV prevalence ($\chi^2 = 1.638$, $df = 1$, $p = 0.201$)	N = 131		N = 511		N = 642		
	16.8%		12.5%		13.4%		

Note: MSM, men who have sex with men; TW, transgender women.

^a Difference in denominators are due to an early version of computer-assisted self-interview (CASI) that allowed participants to advance without registering any answer.

b. The total proportion of sex workers in Table 2 (52.1%) includes nine individuals who self-labelled as compensated sex workers (i.e. 'cachero'), but did not report ever having been paid for sex (cacheros more often accept gifts, housing, etc.).

^cThese variables are temporally prior to current transactional sex (TS) status, and may indeed help determine that status. Nevertheless, the data are percentaged in this fashion to facilitate comparisons between the groups. Causality is not implied.

 $d_{\rm M}$ Refused to answer, don't know and other were recoded to missing (N =47).

e Sexually transmitted infections (STI) and symptoms that may lead to an STI diagnosis at screening include syphilis, gonorrhea, chlamydia, genital herpes, human papillomavirus, or any of the following deemed to be the result of a sexually transmitted infection: genital ulcers, urethritis, proctitis, inguinal bubo syndrome, or other STI-related conditions. This does not include any hepatitis diagnoses or HIV.

Page 10

Author Manuscript

Table 3

Sex in the three months prior to screening and with the past three partners.¹

		Transactional sex category	x category	Gender identity	entity			
	Sexual contact	Ever been paid	Self-labelled sex workers	Never been paid	ı paid	Other MSM	4	
	N^2	295	40	306	131	510	641	
	Reported transactional anal sex in the past six months	40.3%^	*%09	12.4%*^	31.3%	27.5%	28.2%	
а		$(\chi^2 = 79.023, df = 2, p < 0.001)$	2, p <0.001)		$(\chi^2 = 0.761, df = 1, p = 0.383)$	-0.383)		
Behaviour Prevalence	$N_{\mathcal{S}}$	249	37	Z77	110	453	563	
	Past three months							
	Total % reporting URAI with any of the last three partners	34.9%^	5.4% **^	30%*	37.3%	28.9%	30.6%	
		$(\chi^2 = 13.332, df = 2, p = 0.001)$	p, p = 0.001		$(\chi^2 = 2.912, df = 1, p = 0.088)$	-0.088)		
	N	228	32	259	108	411	519	
	Total % reporting UIAI with any of the last three partners	33.3% *^	62.5%^	45.2%*	14.8%	47.9%	41.0%	
		$(\chi^2 = 13.516, df = 2, p = 0.001)$	p, p = 0.001		$(\chi^2 = 38.765, df = 1, p < 0.001)$	<0.001)		
		Median IQR	Median IQR	Median	Median IQR	Median	Median	IQR
	NS	291	39	303	131	502	633	
	Number of male partners in the past three months	*4	3 5	2*	5 7	8	3	8
		(K-W = 32.125, df = 2, p < 0.001)	f = 2, p < 0.001		(K-W = 43.686, df = 1, p < 0.001)	1, p < 0.001		
	Median sexual contacts with the last three partners by type in the last three months	type in the last three	months					
	N^3	277	37	249	110	453	563	
	Receptive anal intercourse (RAI)	2* 8	0 **0	2>	4.5 13	1	2	9
р		(K-W = 26.294, df = 2, p < 0.001)	tf = 2, p < 0.001		(K-W = 45.671, df = 1, p < 0.001)	1, p < 0.001		
Median contacts	N	277	37	249	110	453	563	
	Unprotected RAI	0, 2	0 *0	*0	0 3	0	0	1
		(K-W = 14.411, df = 2, p = 0.001)	tf = 2, p = 0.001		(K-W = 5.083, df = 1, p = 0.024)	p = 0.024		
	N_{ϕ}	228	32	259	108	411	519	
	Insertive anal intercourse (IAI)	0, 3	4*^ 10	*-1	0 0	2	-	5
		(K-W = 16.932, df = 2, p < 0.001)	tf = 2, p < 0.001)		(K-W = 51.518, df = 1, p < 0.001)	1, p < 0.001		

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

		Transactional sex category	nal sex ca	ıtegory		Gender identity	ntity				
	Sevual confact	Ever been paid		Self-labelled sex workers	x workers	Never been paid	paid		Other MSM	1	
	N^2	295	4	40		306	131		510	641	
	Reported transactional anal sex in the past six months	40.3%^	9	*%09		12.4%*^	31.3%		27.5%	28.2%	
	N	228	3	32		259	108		411	519	
	Unprotected IAI	0**	1 1	*_	4	v ₀	0	0	0	0	3
		(K-W = 12)	.466, <i>df</i> =	(K-W = 12.466, df = 2, p = 0.002)			(K-W = 38.846, df = 1, p < 0.001)	846, df = 1,	<i>p</i> < 0.001)		
	Mean sexual contacts with the last three partners by type among those reporting <i>any</i> such contacts in the last three months	M	SD M	1	SD	M	M	QS	M	M	SD
	N	177	∞			183	96		272	368	
၁	Receptive anal intercourse (RAI)	11.4	29.5	12.0	14.9	8.4	16.1	37.2	7.7	6.6	22
Mean contacts among those reporting any such contacts		(F = 0.864, df = 2,365, p = 0.422)	df=2,365	p = 0.422			(t = -2.151,	df = 102.86	(t=-2.151, df=102.862, p<0.001)		
	N	87	2			83	41		131	172	
	Unprotected RAI	10.1	19.5 1.0	0.	0.0	7.4	12.1	17.8	7.6	8.7	16
		(F=0.886, df=2,169, p=0.414)	df = 2,169	p = 0.414			(t=-1.466, df=58.628, p=0.148)	df = 58.628	, p = 0.148)		
	N	101	2	26		140	23		244	267	
	Insertive anal intercourse (IAI)	10.4	15.3 13.6	3.6	20.4	16.3	5.0	9.9	14.6	13.8	36
		(F=0.788, df=2,264, p=0.456)	df = 2,264	p = 0.456			(t=3.497, df=198.208, p=0.001)	f = 198.208	, p = 0.001)		
	N	92	2	20		1117	16		197	213	
	Unprotected IAI	8.4	11.1	10.4	16.2	15.8	5.4	7.7	13.2	12.6	39

Note: MSM, men who have sex with men; URAI, unprotected receptive anal intercourse; K-W, KruskallWallis.

(t=2.279, df=111.922, p=0.025)

(F=0.881, df=2,210, p=0.416)

Most of these data were collected in the computer-assisted self-interview (CASI) interview in a partner-by-partner sexual network instrument limited to the past three partners in a three-month recall period.

One participant failed to complete the CASI so that all screening sexual network data are based upon n = 641 of the 642 individuals who screened.

 $[\]frac{3}{2}$ Any individual answering 'don't know' or 'refused' to RAI contacts with any of the last three partners (n=78) was coded to missing for these variables.

 $^{^{4}}$ As above those who answered don't know or refused to IAI with any of their partners were recoded to missing (n = 1.25).

 $[\]frac{5}{7}$ Total number of male partners was collected on an interviewer administered instrument not limited to the past three partners. Individuals declining to answer were coded to missing (n = 8).

Pairs of means, medians or percentage distributions indicated by * are statistically different as shown by the Bonferroni method of multiple comparisons or by the Mann-Whitney U test.

^ Pairs of means, medians or percentage distributions indicated by ^ are statistically different as shown by the Bonferroni method of multiple comparisons or by the Mann-Whitney U test.