UC Irvine Clinical Practice and Cases in Emergency Medicine

Title

Aortic Pseudo-dissection

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2tb414wr

Journal

Clinical Practice and Cases in Emergency Medicine, 1(4)

Authors

Huesgen, Karl Gul, Sarah Norman, Candice

Publication Date

2017

DOI 10.5811/cpcem.2017.9.35658

Copyright Information

Copyright 2017 by the author(s). This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

Aortic Pseudo-dissection

University of Florida, Department of Emergency Medicine, Gainesville, Florida

Karl Huesgen, MD Sarah Gul, MD Candice Norman, MD

Section Editor: Rick A. McPheeters, DO Submission history: Submitted July 12, 2017; Revision received August 19, 2017; Accepted September 6, 2017 Electronically published November 3, 2017 Full text available through open access at http://escholarship.org/uc/uciem_cpcem DOI: 10.5811/cpcem.2017.9.35658 [Clin Pract Cases Emerg Med. 2017;1(4):448–449.]

CASE PRESENTATION

A 21-year-old female with a past medical history significant for asthma and oral contraceptive use presented complaining of shortness of breath and wheezing. Symptoms started after contact with a dog. She came to the emergency department (ED) after home albuterol treatments failed to provide relief. Initial vital signs included a blood pressure of 145/49mmHg, pulse rate 127 beats/minute, respirations 32 breaths/minute, temperature 37.1°C (98.8°F), and oxygen saturation of 87% on room air. On auscultation, lung fields demonstrated bilateral wheezing and the expiratory phase was prolonged. She also had retractions and endorsed chest tightness. ED workup included an elevated D-dimer, and subsequent computed tomography (CT) pulmonary angiography indicated ascending aortic dissection instead (Image).



Image. Motion artifact suggesting luminal flap of aortic dissection (arrow).

DIAGNOSIS

Aortic pseudo-dissection artifact. Emergent preoperative transesophageal echocardiography disproved presence of intimal flap and dissection, so operative repair was aborted. The patient's tachycardia after multiple beta agonist treatments produced a motion artifact concerning for aortic root dissection. Although CT imaging is highly sensitive and specific for aortic dissections, there is a potential for falsepositive ascending dissections (Stanford type A).^{1,2} Such artifacts are frequently seen in the thoracic aorta due to its close proximity to the heart,³ and tachycardia correlates significantly with motion defects on CT.⁴ This problem can be overcome by use of electrocardiography-synchronized (ECG-gated) CT instead.^{5,6} The patient's asthma exacerbation was treated as an inpatient and she was eventually discharged home. This case illustrates the importance of taking the clinical history along with the patient's presentation into account when making a diagnosis.

Address for Correspondence: Candice Norman, MD, University of Florida, 1329 SW 16th Street, Gainesville, Florida 32610. Email: candie@ufl.edu.

Conflicts of Interest: By the *CPC-EM* article submission agreement, all authors are required to disclose all affiliations, funding sources and financial or management relationships that could be perceived as potential sources of bias. The authors disclosed none.

Copyright: © 2017 Huesgen et al. This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) License. See: http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/

CPC-EM Capsule

What do we already know about this clinical entity?

An aortic dissection occurs when blood enters the medial layer of the aortic wall through a tear in the intima. An aortic pseudo-dissection on the other hand occurs due to aortic pulsation motion artifact on imaging.

What is the major impact of the image(s?) An inaccurate diagnosis of an aortic dissection might result in a patient undergoing unnecessary emergent surgery.

How might this improve emergency medicine practice?

There is a risk for false-positive computed tomography (CT) results with ascending dissections. Using electrocardiography-gated CT is useful and may prevent unnecessary surgery. It is also important to take the patient's history and presentation into account and not rely on imaging alone when making a final diagnosis.

artifacts of the aorta on non-ECG-assisted 0.5-sec thoracic MDCT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2005;184(4):1225-30.

- Morgan-Hughes GJ, Owens PE, Marshall AJ, et al. Thoracic aorta at multi-detector row CT: motion artifact with various reconstruction windows. *Radiology*. 2003;228(2):583-8.
- Raymond CE, Aggarwal B, Schoenhagen P, et al. Prevalence and factors associated with false positive suspicion of acute aortic syndrome: experience in a patient population transferred to a specialized aortic treatment center. *Cardiovasc Diagn Ther.* 2013;3(4):196-204.
- Roos JE, Willmann JK, Weishaupt D, Lachat M, et al. Thoracic aorta: motion artifact reduction with retrospective and prospective electrocardiography-assisted multi-detector row CT. *Radiology*. 2002;222(1):271-7.

REFERENCES

- Duvernoy O, Coulden R, Ytterberg C. Aortic motion: a potential pitfall in CT imaging of dissection in the ascending aorta. J Comput Assist Tomogr. 1995;19(4):569-72.
- Batra P, Bigoni B, Manning J, et al. Pitfalls in the diagnosis of thoracic aortic dissection at CT angiography. *Radiographics*. 2000;20(2):309-20.
- 3. Ko SF, Hsieh MJ, Chen MC, et al. Effects of heart rate on motion