# Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

**Recent Work** 

### Title

MASS SPECTRCMETRIC DETERMINATION OF GAS IMPURITIES SEPARABLE BY CONDENSATION

**Permalink** https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2v08s4p7

### Author

Newton, Amos.

**Publication Date** 

1952-03-03

UCRL-1747 Unclassified Chemistry Distribution

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Radiation Laboratory

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

# UNCLASSIFIED

MASS SPECTROMETRIC DETERMINATION OF GAS

IMPURITIES SEPARABLE BY CONDENSATION

Amos Newton

Radiation Laboratory and Department of Chemistry University of California, Berkeley, California

April 3, 1952

Berkeley, California

### DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

### MASS SPECTROMETRIC DETERMINATION OF GAS

-2-

### IMPURITIES SEPARABLE BY CONDENSATION

Amos S. Newton

### Radiation Laboratory University of California, Berkeley, California

April 3, 1952

### ABSTRACT

A method of analysis of non-condensible impurities in condensible gases or condensible impurities in non-condensible gases is presented. The method utilizes an arbitrarily added internal standard of the same type gas as the impurities. The precision of the method as used here is of the order of a few parts per hundred thousand.

# MASS SPECTROMETRIC DETERMINATION OF GAS IMPURITIES SEPARABLE BY CONDENSATION

Amos S. Newton

\_<u>}</u>\_

Radiation Laboratory University of California, Berkeley, California April 3, 1952

The determination of condensible impurities in non-condensible gases and the inverse, the determination of non-condensible impurities in condensible gases using condensation with liquid air as a means of concentrating the impurities is well known. Recently Shepherd, Rock, Howard, and Stormes (4) described the use of this method for determining condensible impurities related to smog in air, while Happ, Stewart and Brockmyre (3) used the method for the determination of condensible vapors in air.

In both of these papers the mass spectrometer sensitivity and the volume of the system were used to determine the amount of impurity present, relating it to the volume of sample collected. This method is quite satisfactory for the study of condensible gases in non-condensible gases provided the sample bulb volume is known and the pressures do not become too large. The method does not work as well, however, for non-condensible impurities in condensible gases where the volume cooled to liquid nitrogen temperature is somewhat dubious although it may be a small fraction of the total volume, and the fraction of gas mechanically entrapped in the large bulk of condensate is unknown.

To obviate this difficulty an internal standard has been introduced in concentration large enough to be measured easily in the total gas mixture but small enough so that after condensation the ratio of impurities to the standard is still reasonable. This eliminates errors due to mechanical entrapment and temperature variations. Internal standards have been used in mass spectrometric analyses before. Grosse, Hindin and Kirshenbaum (2) used an internal standard of  $O^{18}$ ,  $N^{15}$ , or  $C^{13}$  for the determination of oxygen, nitrogen or carbon in the elementary analyses of organic materials, while Thomas and Sigfried (5) used an internal standard of benzene in the analysis of liquids. In the work given here, neon was used as an internal standard for non-condensible gases while sulfur dioxide was used as an internal standard for condensible gases. Any gas not a component of the mixture can be used for the standard, but it is convenient to use one with an isolated peak of considerable sensitivity.

#### Procedure

All gases used were of the highest purity available and all condensible gases were distilled three times in a vacuum, pumping on the gas with a fast oil pump after each distillation. All gases were mass spectrometer checked for purity. Where the impurities were noninterfering, e.g., 0.2% argon in oxygen, impurity peaks were considered part of the gas spectrum. The CO used was only 97.5% pure, containing both oxygen and nitrogen, and these impurities were added to the  $0_2$ and N<sub>2</sub> contents respectively. Known mixtures were made up in a 500 ml gas bottle shown in Fig. I, A. It has a fine sintered disc inlet sealed onto the side and covered with mercury. This bottle must of course be maintained upright and the disc covered with mercury at all times. The volume of this bottle was accurately measured by expansion comparison to a standard bottle calibrated by weight of water contained. The stopcock used on both this bottle and the subsequent mixing bottle were high vacuum stopcocks of the hollow plug type which eliminated trapping of unequilibrated gas in a stopcock bore.

-5-

For the preparation of compositions containing known gas impurities, the bottle was evacuated to better than  $10^{-5}$  mm mercury as measured on a McCleod gage. The non-condensible gases were then added through the sintered disc using calibrated gas pipettes of the type described by Charlet. (1) After the impurity gases were added, the main component was added from the vacuum system and the total pressure measured on a manometer. The stopcock to the system was left open only a few seconds after the introduction of the main component to prevent diffusion of the impurities into the system yet long enough for pressure equilibration in the two parts of the system. This gas mixture was allowed to sit overnight after warning and cooling spots on the side of the bottle to aid in mixing of the gas components. For making mixtures containing traces of condensible gases in non-condensible, the condensible gases were added as liquids through the mercury disc from calibrated precision capillary dippers (1) of suitable volume. The

UCRL 1747

non-condensible gas was then added from the vacuum system as before.

-6-

After composition equilibration of the gases in the 500 ml gas bottle, the gases were mixed with the internal standard in a mixing bulb of about 100 ml capacity shown in Fig. IB. The internal standard was added to the mixing bulb to a pressure of 8 to 10 mm and the gas to be analyzed was then quickly added to the equilibrium pressure between the two bulbs. The mixing bulb was closed off, heated on one side for a few moments to thoroughly mix the gases, and then analyzed with the mass spectrometer. The total gas was run first and then the mixture frozen and the non-condensibles run or conversely, the non-condensibles pumped off and the condensibles run after warming.

The spectrometer used was a Consolidated Engineering Corporation model 21-102 converted to model 21-103 except for the inlet system. The spectrometer was operated in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations. In running the total gas, only the ratio of main component to the internal standard was determined, so the internal standard peaks (Ne masses 20722, and SO2 mass 64) were run at 5 times sensitivity by increasing the electron emission while the rest of the spectrum was scanned at normal emission. When running the separated fractions, all peaks except the internal standard peaks were run at 5 times sensitivity. This allowed the ratios of the internal standard to the minor components to be obtained with considerable accuracy. The analyses of the mixture spectra were performed in the usual manner. For mixtures containing CO and  $N_{29}$  the contributions to the peaks 12, 14, 16, and 28 were adjusted to give the best fit to the observed residual spectrum on all these peaks after all other components were removed.

#### <u>Discussion</u>

-7≃

The results on several synthetic mixtures are shown in Tables I It is seen that the precision of the method is of the order of a and II. few parts per hundred thousand, and the unknown mixture V, which was divided into two parts and run independently, including separate neon additions, on separate days, illustrates that the reproducibility is better than the absolute precision. For non-condensible impurities in condensible gases the least precision is exhibitedly carbon monoxide. This is probably related to the hackground at mass 28 on the instrument, due apparently to CO from the filament which varies in amount with the gas composition being analyzed. Methane in SO2 was also low and this may be related to the solubility of methane in solid SO2 which would lead to erratic results in this gas. It might be mentioned that in mixture II, the propane used, (Phillips Petroleum Co., research grade), contained a trace of methane which gave very erratic results on both the pure propane blank and the mixture. The methane spectrum in this case was simply subtracted out and not considered in the analysis. The absolute accuracy of condensibles in air is about the same as that of non-condensibles in condensible gases. In Table II, all condensible impurities are low by a few parts per hundred thousand due probably to adsorption on the glass disc and the walls of the mixing chambers resulting in the actual composition being lower than calculated from the dipper volumes.

As used here the sensitivity of the method of analysis by use of an internal standard and liquid air separation seems to be limited to a few parts per hundred thousand separable impurity. This limitation

is imposed by the range of sensitivities of the components. The sensitivity might be pushed to a few parts per million by using the available decreased sensitivity of a factor of 10 for the main component and internal standard in the two respective runs, but this has not been done in the present work.

-8-

This work was done under the auspices of the Atomic Energy Commission. The author wishes to thank Mr. Laurin Tolman for aid in running the samples on the mass spectrometer.

Γa	bl	е	Ι

# Non-condensible Impurities in Condensible Gases

<u>Mixture I</u>	Added	Found	$\Delta$
CO <sub>2</sub> Air CO Ne - Internal standard	99.66% 0.127 0.208	99.67% 0.129 0.197	+0.002 -0.011
<u>Mixture II</u>			
Propane He <sup>H</sup> 2 O <sub>2</sub> Ne - internal standard	99.74% 0.112 0.112 0.034	99.74% 0.114 0.117 0.032	+0.002 +0.005 -0.002
<u>Mixture III</u>			•
CO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> N2 CO Ne - internal standard	99。45% 0。111 0。222 0。215	99.46% 0.109 0.222 0.205	-0.002 0.000 -0.010
Mixture IV	·		•
SO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> N2 CO H <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>4</sub> A Ne - internal standard	99.46% 0.036 0.037 0.116 0.205 0.114 0.035	99.50% 0.034 0.045 0.102 0.198 0.086 0.034	-0.002 +0.008 -0.014 -0.007 -0.028 -0.001
<u>Mixture V (unknown)</u>	Anal I	Anal II	·
CO2 CO O2 N2 Ne - internal standard	99.847% 0.102 0.017 0.034	99.842% 0.102 0.018 0.038	

# Table II

## Condensible Impurities in Non-condensible Gases

<u>Mixture I</u>		Added	Found	Δ
Dry CO <sub>2</sub> free Air		99。62%	99。66%	
Ethanol		0.087	0.072	-0.015
Acetone		0.032	0.028	<b>~0</b> 。004
Ethyl Ether	•	0.048	0.043	-0,005
n-Pentane		0.084	0.074	0.010
Ethyl Bromide		0.130	0.125	-0.005

SO<sub>2</sub> - internal standard

### Bibliography.

- 1. Charlet, E. M., described at the New Orleans Group Meeting, Consolidated Engineering Corporation. Information on both gas pipettes and liquid capillary dippers obtainable from Consolidated Engineering Corporation, Pasadena, California. Liquid capillary dippers have been described by Purdy, K.M., and Harris, R. J., Anal. Chem., 22, 1337 (1950).
- 2. Grosse, A. V., Hindin, S. G., and Kirshenbaum, A. D., Anal. Chem. 21, 386 (1949).
- 3. Happ, G. P., Stewart, D. W., and Brockmyre, H. F., Anal. Chem., <u>22</u>, 1224 (1950).
- 4. Shepherd, Martin, Rock, S. M., Howard, Royce, and Stormes, John, Anal. Chem., 23, 1431 (1951).
- 5. Thomas, B. W. and Sigfried, W. D., Anal. Chem. 21, 1022 (1949).



-12-



A) GAS BOTTLE FOR MAKING MIXTURES

B) MIXING BULB FOR ADDING INTERNAL STANDARD GAS

MU3353

### Fig. 1