UCLA UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Genomic signatures of host-associated divergence and adaptation in a coral-eating snail, Coralliophila violacea (Kiener, 1836)

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2vg5526v

Journal Ecology and Evolution, 10(4)

ISSN 2045-7758

Authors

Simmonds, Sara E Fritts-Penniman, Allison L Cheng, Samantha H <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2020-02-01

DOI

10.1002/ece3.5977

Peer reviewed

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

WILEY

Genomic signatures of host-associated divergence and adaptation in a coral-eating snail, *Coralliophila violacea* (Kiener, 1836)

Sara E. Simmonds¹ | Allison L. Fritts-Penniman¹ | Samantha H. Cheng^{1,2} | Gusti Ngurah Mahardika³ | Paul H. Barber¹

¹Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

²Center for Biodiversity and Conservation, American Museum of Natural History, New York, NY, USA

³Animal Biomedical and Molecular Biology Laboratory, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Udayana University Bali, Denpasar, Indonesia

Correspondence

Department of Biological Sciences, Boise State University, Boise, ID 83725, USA. Email: sarasimmonds@boisestate.edu

Funding information

Sigma Xi; University of California, Los Angeles; Office of International Science and Engineering, Grant/Award Number: OISE-0730256 and OISE-1243541 ; Division of Ocean Sciences, Grant/Award Number: OCE-0349177; United States Agency for International Development, Grant/Award Number: 497-A-00-10-0008-00

Abstract

The fluid nature of the ocean, combined with planktonic dispersal of marine larvae, lowers physical barriers to gene flow. However, divergence can still occur despite gene flow if strong selection acts on populations occupying different ecological niches. Here, we examined the population genomics of an ectoparasitic snail, Coralliophila violacea (Kiener 1836), that specializes on Porites corals in the Indo-Pacific. Previous genetic analyses revealed two sympatric lineages associated with different coral hosts. In this study, we examined the mechanisms promoting and maintaining the snails' adaptation to their coral hosts. Genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) data from type II restriction site-associated DNA (2b-RAD) sequencing revealed two differentiated clusters of C. violacea that were largely concordant with coral host, consistent with previous genetic results. However, the presence of some admixed genotypes indicates gene flow from one lineage to the other. Combined, these results suggest that differentiation between host-associated lineages of C. violacea is occurring in the face of ongoing gene flow, requiring strong selection. Indeed, 2.7% of all SNP loci were outlier loci (73/2,718), indicative of divergence with gene flow, driven by adaptation of each C. violacea lineage to their specific coral hosts.

KEYWORDS

adaptation, coral reefs, ecological divergence, gastropods, population genomics, RAD-seq

1 | INTRODUCTION

While ecological speciation has been documented for almost three decades across a wide variety of organisms on land (Case & Willis, 2008; Feder et al., 1994; Jiggins, 2008; Martin et al., 2013; Schluter, 2009; Seehausen et al., 2008; Sorenson, Sefc, & Payne, 2003; Thorpe, Surget-Groba, & Johansson, 2010; Waser & Campbell, 2004) and in freshwater (Hatfield & Schluter, 1999; Langerhans, Gifford, & Joseph, 2007; Puebla, 2009; Seehausen et al., 2008; Seehausen & Wagner, 2014), ecological speciation in the ocean was thought to be rare, and only recently has that viewpoint begun to change (Bird, Fernandez-Silva, Skillings, & Toonen, 2012; Bird, Holland, Bowen, & Toonen, 2011; Bowen, Rocha, Toonen, Karl, & ToBo Laboratory, 2013; Foote & Morin,

© 2020 The Authors. Ecology and Evolution published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

WILEY_Ecology and Evolution

2015; Hurt, Silliman, Anker, & Knowlton, 2013; Ingram, 2010; Litsios et al., 2012; Rocha, Robertson, Roman, & Bowen, 2005). There are a number of reasons for this reassessment. First, absolute physical barriers in the sea are exceedingly rare (Ludt & Rocha, 2015; Rocha & Bowen, 2008; Rocha et al., 2005). As a result, speciation must often proceed with varying levels of gene flow and aided by divergent selection in different habitats or hosts (Palumbi, 1994). Second, the strong interspecific interactions that can promote ecological speciation in terrestrial species (e.g., host-parasite, mutualisms) are also common in certain marine ecosystems (Blackall, Wilson, & van Oppen, 2015; Stella, Jones, & Pratchett, 2010). For example, reef-building corals have tight ecological associations with a wide variety of invertebrate taxa (Zann, 1987), including ~900 named species of sponges, copepods, barnacles, crabs, shrimp, worms, bivalves, nudibranchs, and snails (reviewed by Stella et al., 2010). This wide array of symbiotic relationships creates tremendous potential for host shifting and the development of host specificity that can lead to sympatric speciation.

Evidence from traditional genetic markers (i.e., microsatellites, RFLPs, allozymes, nuclear, mitochondrial, and ribosomal genes) demonstrates the potential for ecological speciation in marine taxa exhibiting symbiotic relationships (Bowen et al., 2013; Miglietta, Faucci, & Santini, 2011; Peijnenburg & Goetze, 2013; Potkamp & Fransen, 2019), including amphipods on macroalgae (Sotka, 2005), coral-dwelling barnacles (Tsang, Chan, Shih, Chu, & Allen Chen, 2009), coral-eating nudibranchs (Faucci, Toonen, & Hadfield, 2007; Fritts-Penniman, Gosliner, Mahardika, & Barber, 2020), parasitic snails (Gittenberger & Gittenberger, 2011; Reijnen, Hoeksema, & Gittenberger, 2010), anemone-associated shrimp (Hurt et al., 2013), anemone fish (Litsios et al., 2012), and coral-dwelling gobies (Duchene, Klanten, Munday, Herler, & van Herwerden, 2013; Munday, van Herwerden, & Dudgeon, 2004). While encouraging, there are gaps in our knowledge that with the expansion of genomic technologies, we are now in a position to begin to fill. Detecting signatures of natural selection in populations where there is likely ongoing gene flow is now possible using genome-wide data, lending insight into the mechanisms of ecological speciation (Bernal, Gaither, Simison, & Rocha, 2017; Campbell, Poelstra, & Yoder, 2018; Puebla, Bermingham, & McMillan, 2014; Westram et al., 2018). To date, however, no studies examining the genomic signatures of ecological divergence in marine host-parasite systems have been conducted.

The ~6 million km² Coral Triangle region is home to over 500 species of reef-building corals (Veron et al., 2011) and thousands of unique species of fishes and invertebrates (Barber & Boyce, 2006; Briggs, 2003), making it the global center of marine biodiversity (Cowman & Bellwood, 2011; Hoeksema, 2007). Most of the literature examining the evolution of this biodiversity hotspot has focused on allopatric processes such as divergence across geological and oceanographic features such as the Sunda Shelf or Halmahera Eddy during Pleistocene low sea levels stands (for reviews, see Barber, Cheng, Erdmann, Tenggardjaja, & Ambariyanto 2011; Carpenter et al., 2011; Gaither & Rocha, 2013). Allopatric divergence is clearly an important factor in the biodiversity of the Coral Triangle. However, the extraordinary diversity in this region, combined with the prevalence of strong species-species interactions on coral reefs, makes it likely that ecological speciation also contributes to the evolution of biodiversity in this hotspot.

The marine snail, *Coralliophila violacea* (Figure 1), is an obligate ectoparasite, living, feeding, and reproducing exclusively on corals in Poritidae, a highly abundant and diverse coral family (Kitahara, Cairns, Stolarski, Blair, & Miller, 2010), which is found in shallow reefs across the tropical Indo-Pacific. The snails attach themselves to their host, form feeding aggregations, and drain energy from their host as it tries to repair damaged tissues (Oren, Brickner, &



FIGURE 1 Violet coral snails, (a) *Coralliophila violacea* (Kiener, 1836), are obligate ectoparasites of corals in the family Poritidae. Their shells are usually fouled with crustose coralline algae because of their sedentary lifestyle, making them difficult to spot on their host corals. They are commonly found living among the branches of species such as (b) *Porites cylindrica* and can form aggregations on massive coral species like (c) *P. lobata*. (Photos by S.E. Simmonds)

					Coral host species	
Location	Country	Province	Latitude	Longitude	Porites lobata	Porites cylindrica
1. Pemuteran	Indonesia	Bali	-8.1400	114.6540	-	7
2. Nusa Penida	Indonesia	Bali	-8.6750	115.5130	11	10
3. Pulau Mengyatan	Indonesia	East Nusa Tenggara	-8.5570	119.6850	4	3
4. Lembeh	Indonesia	North Sulawesi	1.4790	125.2510	7	1
5. Bunaken	Indonesia	North Sulawesi	1.6120	124.7830	9	6
6. Dumaguete	Philippines	Negros Oriental	9.3320	123.3120	2	7
				Total N	33	34

Loya, 1998). They are sequential hermaphrodites, a common trait of parasitic mollusks (Heller, 1993), and breed with conspecifics on their host coral colony. Two genetically distinct lineages of *C. violacea* occur sympatrically on reefs of the Coral Triangle, but each lineage occupies one of two groups of *Porites* corals, suggesting ecological divergence (Simmonds et al., 2018). A lack of evidence of genetic structure within each lineage of *C. violacea* inside the Coral Triangle precludes physical isolation as an explanation for the observed divergence. Host specificity commonly results from preferential larval settlement (Ritson-Williams, Shjegstad, & Paul, 2003, 2007, 2009). This genetic evidence combined with observations of adult preference for specific coral hosts (unpubl. data S. Simmonds) strongly suggests ecological divergence driven by host association.

Ecology and Evolution

To determine where diverging populations of *C. violacea* lie on the continuum of the speciation process (i.e., host-associated lineages, sibling species or good species), it is important to examine patterns of realized gene flow between the divergent coral host-associated lineages. Effective contemporary gene flow should result in linkage disequilibria between host-associated marker loci in populations utilizing different hosts. However, if lower rates of gene flow (<1% per generation) are found, then populations should be considered incipient species (Drès & Mallet, 2002; Malausa et al., 2007).



FIGURE 2 Collection locations for *Coralliophila violacea* from coral host species *Porites lobata* and *P. cylindrica*. 1. Pemuteran, 2. Nusa Penida, 3. Pulau Mengyatan, 4. Lembeh, 5. Bunaken, 6. Dumaguete. Map made with vector and raster map data available at naturalear thdata.com

II FY_Ecology and Evolution

Genomic tests of selection are key to distinguishing between these possibilities. If divergence among *C. violacea* lineages results purely from neutral processes, genetic drift and migration should have approximately equal effects on all parts of the genome (Nielsen, 2005), and frequencies of neutral loci should show similar levels of differentiation (Via, 2009). However, if divergent selection is driving diversification of *C. violacea* lineages, there should be clear signatures of divergent selection (Feder et al., 1994; Nosil, Funk, & Ortiz-Barrientos, 2009), because natural selection affects non-neutral parts of the genome, as well as linked loci, to a greater extent (Smith & Haigh, 1974). As such, frequencies of loci under selection (outlier loci) or linked loci should either be unusually high or unusually low, in host-associated populations, depending on the type of selection occurring (Beaumont & Nichols, 1996).

In this study, we use genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) to investigate the possibility of ecological divergence with gene flow in populations of a corallivorous gastropod, *C. violacea*, from the Coral Triangle. Specifically, we (a) test for reduced gene flow between sympatric lineages of host-associated snails, (b) identify outlier loci under putative selection between hosts, and (c) annotate possible functions of linked genes that might be necessary for adaptation to hosts.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Sample collection

We collected snails on snorkel during 2011–2013 from six sympatric populations of two lineages of *C. violacea* representing unique parasite-host groups (Table 1, Figure 2, Appendix S1). We chose snails from the most abundant *Porites* species from each group (*P. lobata*, *P. cylindrica*, Dana, 1846, Figure 1) to maximize the number of samples and reduce potentially confounding effects of differences among hosts within the same group. To further reduce confounding effects resulting from taxonomic complexity within *P. lobata* (Forsman, Barshis, Hunter, & Toonen, 2009; Prada et al., 2014), we used coral host species identifications from Simmonds et al. (2018) that were confirmed through RAD-seq data.

2.2 | Creation of RAD libraries

We extracted genomic DNA from 20 mg of foot tissue from 67 individual *C. violacea* (34 from *P. cylindrica* and 33 from *P. lobata*; Table 1) using a DNeasy[®] Blood and Tissue Kit (QIAGEN), following manufacturer's instructions, save for elution of DNA with molecular grade H_2O rather than AE buffer. We estimated initial DNA concentrations using a NanoDropTM 2000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo ScientificTM) and visualized DNA quality on a 1% agarose gel stained with SYBR[®] Safe DNA Gel Stain (InvitrogenTM). We used only high-quality DNA with a bright high molecular weight

band and minimal smearing. We dried DNA extractions using a SpeedVac[™] (Thermo Scientific[™]) on medium heat and reconstituted using molecular grade H₂O to a final uniform 250 ng/µl DNA concentration.

We created reduced representation libraries to survey SNP variation following published protocols (Wang, Meyer, McKay, & Matz, 2012) as updated by Dr. Eli Meyer (http://people.orego nstate.edu/~meyere/docs/Preparing2bRAD.pdf). AlfI restriction enzyme digest reduced representation (1/16th) libraries were labeled with individual barcodes and subjected to 18-20 PCR amplification cycles. The number of PCR cycles varied based on the optimal number determined in the test-scale PCR to find the minimum number of cycles to produce a visible product at 166 bp. We electrophoresed products on a 2% agarose gel in 1 × TBE buffer and ran at 150 V for 90 min, visualized target bands (165 bp) with SYBR[®] Safe DNA Gel Stain (Invitrogen[™]), and excised them from the gel. Then, we purified the excised bands using a QIAquick[®] Gel Extraction Kit (QIAGEN). A final cleaning step used Agencourt[®] AMPure® XP beads (Beckman Coulter). QB3 Genomics at the University of California, Berkeley performed quality checks (gPCR, BioAnalyzer) and sequencing, multiplexing 10-20 snails per lane in 5 lanes of a 50 bp Single-End run on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 sequencer.

2.3 | RAD-seq data processing

To prepare raw sequence data for SNP identification, we truncated all raw sequence reads to the insert size (36 bp), filtered for quality (PHRED scores >20), and discarded empty constructs. We then processed the resulting data using custom scripts written by Misha Matz, available on the 2bRAD GitHub site (https://github.com/ z0on/2bRAD_denovo). First, we counted unique tag sequences (minimum sequencing depth 5×) and the number of sequences in reverse-complement orientation and then merged these tags into one table. Then, we clustered all sequences in CD-HIT (Fu, Niu, Zhu, Wu, & Li, 2012) using a 91% similarity threshold. Next, we defined the most abundant sequence in the cluster as a reference sequence and then filtered a locus-annotated table from the previous two steps, excluding reads below 5× depth and those exhibiting strand bias. Lastly, we flipped the orientation of the resulting clustered sequences to match the most abundant tag in a cluster.

To call genotypes (as population-wide RAD-tag haplotypes), we used GATK (McKenna et al., 2010) and applied mild allele filters (10× total depth, allele bias cutoff 10, and strand bias cutoff 10), with the additional requirement that alleles appear in at least two individuals. We then applied locus filters allowing a maximum of 50% heterozygotes at a locus, no more than two alleles, genotyped in 30% of samples and polymorphic. Finally, we removed loci with the fraction of heterozygotes >75% (potential lumped paralogs) and missing >70% of genotypes. The final set of SNPs was then thinned to one per tag (that with the highest minor allele frequency) for F_{ST} and STRUCTURE analysis to remove linked loci.

2.4 | Individual sample filtering steps

To maximize the quality of the final dataset, we further filtered out individuals (N = 11) with low genotyping rates, indicating low DNA quality, by taking the \log_{10} of the number of sites genotyped per individual, and removing any individuals that were outside one standard deviation (*SD*) of the mean. We used VCFtools (Danecek et al., 2011) to estimate inbreeding coefficients and removed individuals (N = 5) with inbreeding coefficients outside the normal range ($\pm 2 SD$ of mean *F*) indicating possible low coverage sequencing or lumped paralogs (https://github.com/z0on/2bRAD_denovo). The remaining 51 individuals were used in analyses of population genetic structure. The final data file was in VCF format and converted to other formats using PGDSpider v2.0.8.0 (Lischer & Excoffier, 2012).

2.5 | Genetic structure

To test whether the patterns observed in a mitochondrial locus were present in loci genome-wide, we inferred the population genetic structure of the full RAD-seq dataset (2,718 loci), outlier loci only (73 loci), and neutral loci only (2,645 loci), from 51 individuals using two methods. First, we ran the Bayesian model-based clustering method STRUCTURE (Pritchard, Stephens, & Donnelly, 2000) using a burn-in period of 20,000 followed by 50,000 MCMC replicates for K = 1-12, and 10 runs for each K. We used the admixture model, with allele frequencies correlated among populations. The results from STRUCTURE were then analyzed in CLUMPAK v1.1 (Kopelman, Mayzel, Jakobsson, Rosenberg, & Mayrose, 2015) to select for the best K and graphically display the results.

2.6 | Outlier analyses

To test for evidence of natural selection in relation to coral host, we compared SNPs between lineages of snails on different hosts, pooled across six localities, with two datasets: (a) including all individuals and (b) excluding migrants and admixed individuals that we identified using STRUCTURE. First, we performed an outlier loci analysis using BayeScan v2.1 (Foll & Gaggiotti, 2008) with a prior of 10, a sample size of 5,000, and 100,000 iterations, using a burn-in of 50,000, and 20 pilot runs of 5,000 each. To explore the impact of misleading data, we employed a 10% false discovery rate.

To further explore outlier loci, we used a second method to detect loci under selection (FDIST2) as implemented in ARLEQUIN (Excoffier & Lischer, 2010). We ran 100 demes per group and 50 groups for 50,000 simulations. This model compares a simulated neutral distribution of $F_{\rm ST}$ to the observed distribution and identifies outliers. Loci with significant $F_{\rm ST}$ *p* values (<0.01) were considered to be under selection (Excoffier & Lischer, 2010).



FIGURE 3 Histogram of variation in F_{ST} between lineages of *Coralliophila violacea* on two different coral hosts (*Porites lobata* and *P. cylindrica*) across all SNPs, excluding migrants and admixed individuals. F_{ST} calculated using FDIST in ARLEQUIN. Red line indicates the mean F_{ST} value (0.075)

2.7 | Candidate gene identification and annotation

To annotate the putative functions of genes linked to outlier loci, we aligned sequences containing SNP outlier loci to nucleotide collections (nr/nt) available on the NCBI website, in Blast2GO 5 Basic version (October 7, 2019) using the BLASTn algorithm (Altschul et al., 1997) with a taxonomic filter for Mollusca (taxid:6447). We adjusted parameters (expected threshold 10, word size 7, no low complexity filter, no mask for look-up table only) to accommodate short read sequences. We only examined hits with a high query coverage (>80%). Then, we identified and annotated any associated genes using NCBI and GeneCards[®].

3 | RESULTS

After removing empty constructs and filtering for quality, we obtained an average of 5,710,091 unique sequence reads per individual at a minimum 5× depth. In total, we sequenced and genotyped 17,676 high-quality RAD-seq loci with \geq 25× coverage, in 67 snails collected from two different coral host species, at six locations. After filtering for 30% maximum missing data per locus, this total decreased to 5,999 loci and then to 2,718 SNPs following thinning to one SNP per loci to remove any physically linked SNPs for STRUCTURE and F_{ST} analyses. Next, we removed 16 individuals that had either low DNA quality (missing data \geq +1SD from the mean) or potential contamination issues (inbreeding coefficient \geq +2SD from the mean), leaving 51 individuals.

3.1 | Genetic structure

Tests of genetic differentiation between sympatric snail lineages on different coral hosts revealed moderate but significant structure (mean F_{ST} = 0.047, weighted F_{ST} = 0.090 (Weir & Cockerham, 1984)), between host-associated lineages of snails (Figure 3). CLUMPAK

FIGURE 4 Bar plot of Bayesian assignment probability from STRUCTURE for K = 2 using 2,718 loci from 51 *Coralliophila violacea*. Each vertical bar corresponds to an individual. The proportion of each bar represents an individual's assignment probability to cluster one (green) or two (gold), shown grouped by coral host and then by location as numbered in Table 1, Figure 2

Coral host

analysis of the STRUCTURE results indicated K = 2 as the best K value (Appendix S2). At K = 2, the majority (88%) of all snails grouped by their coral host (Figure 4). Grouping by host was stronger in snails collected from *P. lobata* (97%) than from *P. cylindrica* (79%). Neutral loci (2,645) and outlier loci only (73) showed similar patterns of population structure in STRUCTURE to the full dataset of SNPs (Appendix S3).

3.2 | Migration and admixture

Inferring the ancestry of individuals in STRUCTURE, using host as a prior, revealed strong differences among *C. violacea* living on different coral hosts (*P. lobata* and *P. cylindrica*, Figure 4), despite some migration and admixing between sympatric lineages. Moreover, migration rates were strongly asymmetric between snails living on these two hosts. In total, 19% (5 of 26 samples) of the snails collected from *P. cylindrica* had *P. lobata* genetic ancestry, while no snails (0 of 25 samples) with *P. cylindrica* ancestry were ever found on *P. lobata* (Appendix S4 and S5). Admixed individuals were only found at locations where migration was also observed (Dumaguete and Pulau Mengyatan; Appendix S5). After excluding migrants and admixed individuals, the mean F_{ST} across all loci increased from 0.047 to 0.075 and the weighted F_{ST} from 0.090 to 0.150.

3.3 | Host-specific directional selection

Because STRUCTURE identified 9/51 individuals that were either migrants from one coral host to the other, or of admixed ancestry (Appendix S5), we used two different datasets for detecting host-specific selection: (a) all individuals in the filtered dataset and (b) excluding migrants and admixed individuals. We then searched for loci under selection using two methods. The first involved a Bayesian model, BayeScan (Foll & Gaggiotti, 2008). Using the default false discovery rate (FDR) of 10%, we identified six loci as outliers (pairwise F_{ST} = 0.241–0.354, mean F_{ST} = 0.305, Figure 5a, Table 2) in the dataset with all snails. Three of these outlier loci (tag21753, tag39884, tag52997) had log₁₀ (PO)> 1 giving substantial-to-strong support as candidate loci, based on criteria from (Jeffreys, 1961). After excluding all admixed and migrant

individuals, the number of outlier loci only increased to eight (pairwise $F_{ST} = 0.419-0.543$, mean $F_{ST} = 0.480$, Figure 5b, Table 2). Four of these outlier loci (tag21753, tag28478, tag39884, and tag25141) had \log_{10} (PO)> 1 giving substantial-to-strong support as candidate loci, based on criteria from (Jeffreys, 1961). All outlier loci had positive alpha values, indicating they are under directional selection between snails on different coral hosts.

In the second method, FDIST2, we used the infinite island model of migration to identify 51 outlier loci (pairwise $F_{ST} = 0.177-0.729$, mean $F_{ST} = 0.492$, Figure 5c) in the dataset with all snails. After removing migrants and admixed individuals, the number of outliers increased to 65 with higher F_{ST} values (pairwise $F_{ST} = 0.320-0.925$, mean $F_{ST} = 0.620$, Figure 5d) indicating directional selection, resulting in a combined total of 73 outlier loci across the two methods and datasets. Of these 73, a total of 43 outlier loci were shared between the two datasets; 8 were unique to the all-individual dataset, and 22 were unique to the dataset that excluded migrants and admixed individuals (Table 2). Three outlier loci (tag28478, tag21753, and tag39884) were common among all datasets and methods (Table 2).

3.4 | Mapping and annotation of outlier loci

The majority (78%) of putative outlier loci did not align to any other mollusk sequences currently available in the NCBI database (11/2019, Table 2). Sixteen outlier loci DNA sequences aligned with a variety of mollusks including four gastropods (Aplysia californica, Littorina saxatilis, Lottia gigantea, and Pomacea canalicutata), three bivalves (Mizuhopecten vessoensis, Crassostrea gigas, and C. virginica), and two cephalopods (Octopus bimaculoides and O. vulgaris) (Table 2). Of these loci, 7 mapped to hypothetical or uncharacterized proteins. The remaining 9 loci mapped to gene regions with predicted functions. The annotated genes had various associated gene ontology terms including lipid metabolism, metal-ion binding, methyltransferase activity, immune response, chromatin binding, DNA binding, and serine/threonine-protein kinase. The top two hits (lowest e-values) were a neurotransmitter gene (tag15079, SLC6A7 gene) that plays a role in gastropod feeding behavior (Miller, 2019), and a hormone receptor gene (tag28347, HR96 gene) involved in the regulation of xenobiotic detoxification (Lindblom & Dodd, 2006; Richter & Fidler, 2014). At tag28347, there were two alleles that occurred



FIGURE 5 (a)-(b). Results from BayeScan analysis of full RAD-seq dataset (2,718 loci) from *Coralliophila violacea*. Filled gray dots are F_{ST} outlier loci. (a) All individuals, 6 outlier loci identified FDR = 0.10, (b) excluding migrants and admixed individuals, 8 outlier loci identified FDR = 0.10, (c)-(d). Results from FDIST2 analysis implemented in ARELQUIN using the hierarchical island model of migration. Full RAD-seq dataset (2,718 loci) from *Coralliophila violacea*. Filled black dots are F_{ST} outlier loci above the 99% quantile (red line). (c) All individuals, 51 outliers, (d) excluding migrants and admixed individuals, 65 outliers

in almost equal frequency (43%, 57%) in the *P. lobata*-associated lineage of snails but were nearly fixed (97%) for one allele in the *P. cylindrica*-associated lineage of snails. Another gene of interest (tag13930, *DRPR* gene) codes for receptors involved in larval locomotory behavior (Freeman, Delrow, Kim, Johnson, & Doe, 2003).

4 | DISCUSSION

Genome-wide SNP data from six sympatric populations of *C. vio-lacea* revealed two clearly differentiated clusters that were largely concordant with coral host, consistent with results from mitochondrial DNA (Simmonds et al., 2018). As with insects (Jean & Jean-Christophe, 2010; Simon et al., 2015), this genome-wide differentiation supports the conclusion of ecological divergence based on host association and adds to a small but growing literature on ecological divergence in marine environments (Fritts-Penniman et al., 2020; Potkamp & Fransen, 2019; Titus, Blischak, & Daly, 2019).

While SNP data reveal significant divergence between host-specific lineages of *C. violacea*, divergence was substantially lower in genome-wide SNPs compared to mtDNA (F_{ST} = 0.047 vs. Φ_{CT} = 0.561). This result may partially be a function of the smaller effective population size of the mitochondrial genome (Palumbi, Cipriano, & Hare, 2001). However, lower divergence values also suggest intermediate levels of gene flow between distinct host-associated lineages (Nm>10), values that are similar to other cases of sympatric host-associated divergence (e.g., Gouin et al., 2017; Peccoud, Ollivier, Plantegenest, & Simon, 2009; Smadja et al., 2012). Divergence with gene flow is further supported by the presence of admixed genotypes and unidirectional gene flow from one host lineage to the other. Moreover, considerable detection of outlier loci under directional selection (2.7% of all SNP loci; 73/2,718) strongly suggests that selection by coral host is likely contributing to the partitioning of *C. violacea* lineages.

4.1 | Divergence with gene flow

In parasitic species such as *C. violacea*, divergence with gene flow likely occurs through two mechanisms of premating isolation (Nosil, Vines, & Funk, 2005). The first is host preference for egg laying and/ or recruitment to their host (either individual or species). Divergence occurs when mating takes place solely on that host, eventually

Table 2	Outlier loci	i analysis	from Coral	liophila v	<i>iolacea</i> found on differe	ent coral hosts (Porites lobata, P. cyli	ndrica), E	3LAST hits,	and funct	cional an	notations			824
Outlier a	nalysis				BLAST search results								Gene ontology		
Dataset	Method	FST	log10(PO)	Tag ID	DNA sequence	Organism	Description	Score	Coverage (%)	l E-value (dentity %)	Gene symbol	GO terms	Predicted function	WIL
all ind.	FDIST2	0.716		21753	AGGTCCTCTCTGG CACTGAGCTGCCA	Mizuhopecten yessoensis	Prosaposin- like	35.6	80%	0.23 8	86%	PSAPL1	Lipid metabolic process	NA	EY-
all ind.	Bayescan	0.354	2.465		AGCTTCCACA								Adenylate cyclase- inhibiting G protein-coupled receptor signaling pathway		Ecology and Eve
no mig./ adm.	FDIST2	0.885											Sphingolipid metabolic process		olution
no mig./ adm.	Bayescan	0.474	1.125										Regulation of metabolic process		Open Access
all ind.	FDIST2	0.665		28478	CATCCCCTCTAT										
all ind.	Bayescan	0.241	0.585		GCAACAGTATGC AAGTCCCCCTCT										
no mig./ adm.	FDIST2	0.948													
no mig./ adm.	Bayescan	0.534	2.446												
all ind.	FDIST2	0.718		39884	GGGTTGGCTGTAG										
all ind.	Bayescan	0.3511	2.2244		CAACCTGCTGCC										
no mig./ adm.	FDIST2	0.905													
no mig./ adm.	Bayescan	0.484	1.2823												
all ind.	FDIST2	0.659	1.743	52997	CCAGGGATCAGC	Aplysia	Hemocyanin 1	34.6	86%	0.81 8	34%	KLH1	Metal-ion binding	NA	_
no mig./ adm.	FDIST2	0.91			AGTCTCCTGCC ACTGTTCCACAAG	californica							Oxidoreductase activity		
all ind.	Bayescan	0.507													
all ind.	FDIST2	0.654	1.456	25141	GGCTAAAAGT										
no mig./ adm.	FDIST2	0.869			IGCALIGCIGIG CACAAAAGTTCA										
no mig./ adm.	Bayescan	0.488													
															SIMM

1824

IMON	IDS ET AL.												E	cology	and I	Evoluti	on	WILEY 1825
	Predicted function	NA											NA					(Continues)
Gene ontology	GO terms	Metal-ion binding Methyltransferase activity	Transcription coactivator activity	DNA binding									NA					
	Gene symbol	KMT2D											Hypothetical	protein				
	Identity (%)	%06											84%					
	E-value	0.066											0.23					
	Coverage (%)	80%											100%					
	Score	38.3											36.5					
	Description	Histone-lysine <i>N</i> - methyltransferase 2D-like											Hypothetical	protein				
	Organism	Aplysia californica											Lottia	gigantea				
BLAST search results	DNA sequence	AGACAAATTGCC GCACACATGC AGACAAAACACA			GGCTATGGGTTT	GCAAGGGAGTG CACTCTGCAATCA		TGATCAAGCTT	CGCATCGGTCTG CGCTCTCTTCTTC		AGCAAGAGAATT	GCACAAGGATGC GACCACAGAATG	GATGATCCTGCAG	CAGTGTACTGCC TCTCTCTCTCT	CACCCCCTCTATGC	AACAATATGCAC GTCCCCCTCT	AGCTGCTCTCTAG CAGGTGACTGC ATGTTGTGTACG	AGCCTGGATACTG CAGTAACCTGCTT TACAGGAGCA
	Tag ID	14249			19628			36127			30631		37258		10161		30668	21640
	log10(PO)		1.378				0.603			0.508								
	FST	0.633	0.321	0.798	0.702	0.893	0.403	0.54	0.869	0.419	0.588	0.83	0.65	0.823	0.478	0.795	0.627 0.794	0.461 0.788
nalysis	Method	FDIST2	Bayescan	FDIST2	FDIST2	FDIST2	Bayescan	FDIST2	FDIST2	Bayescan	FDIST2	FDIST2	FDIST2	FDIST2	FDIST2	FDIST2	FDIST2 FDIST2	FDIST2 FDIST2
Outlier a	Dataset	all ind.	all ind.	no mig./ adm.	all ind.	no mig./ adm.	no mig./ adm.	all ind.	no mig./ adm.	no mig./ adm.	all ind.	no mig./ adm.	all ind.	no mig./ adm.	all ind.	no mig./ adm.	all ind. no mig./ adm.	all ind. no mig./ adm.

TABLE 2 (Continued)

\sim
p
Ψ
Ē
÷
Ē
പ
2
-
-
2
2
-Е 2
3LE 2
NBLE 2
ABLE 2

2	1	1000													
Outlier a	nalysis				BLAST search results								Gene ontology		
Dataset	Method	FST	log10(PO)	Tag ID	DNA sequence	Organism	Description	Score	Coverage (%)	E-value	Identity (%)	Gene symbol	GO terms	Predicted function	· · · · L
all ind. no mig./ adm.	FDIST2 FDIST2	0.515 0.784		24247	AGTTGCGGCAGG GCAGACTACTGC ATTGACGATCCC										
all ind. no mig./ adm.	FDIST2 FDIST2	0.572 0.775		38182	CGACGGCTAGTGG CAATGCTTTGCA ATCGAACATCA	Lottia gigantea	Hypothetical protein	32.8	83%	2.8	83%	Hypothetical	NA	NA	
all ind. no mig./ adm.	FDIST2 FDIST2	0.55 0.768		17358	CAGAATGTTCATG CAGTCCCATGCC ATGTCTCAACT	Mizuhopecten yessoensis	Uncharacterized	37.4	83%	0.066	87%	Uncharacterized	ИА	NA	0
all ind. no mig./ adm.	FDIST2 FDIST2	0.541 0.742		38553	AGCACACGACATG CATTTCTGTGCC TGAGAATGCC										Access of the second se
all ind. no mig./ adm.	FDIST2 FDIST2	0.485 0.735		33555	AGGCCTTCATCAG CATCCCAGTGCA TCTCAGGAACA										
all ind. no mig./ adm.	FDIST2 FDIST2	0.518 0.729		22329	TGCTAACACAAGG CATAGTATTGCGA CATATAACCG	Crassostrea gigas	Uncharacterized	38.3	91%	0.066	85%	Uncharacterized	AA	AN	
all ind. no mig./ adm.	FDIST2 FDIST2	0.536 0.727		21872	CGACTCGCGAATG CATTCTTTTGCT GCCTCTTTTC										
all ind. no mig./ adm.	FDIST2 FDIST2	0.456 0.721		39420	TGTTTGGCTATGG CAGCTGTGTGC TACAACAGAATT										
all ind. no mig./ adm.	FDIST2 FDIST2	0.468 0.705		33550	TGAGGAAACACA GCATTAGTTTGC AAATTTATTTCT										
all ind. no mig./ adm.	FDIST2 FDIST2	0.415 0.679		30176	AGGCCTTTTATG GCAAACAGCTG CAACATACTGCCA										
all ind. no mig./ adm.	FDIST2 FDIST2	0.526 0.673		32580	CACCGTTATCTGG CACAACAGTGCG ACGCCTGAACT										

1826 WILEY_Ecology and Evolution

~ ~
0
(1)
Ψ
_
_
_
~
•
-
<u> </u>
_
-
()
. ~
()
\sim
\sim
C I
_
-
Ξ
1
ВЦ
ВЦ
BLE
ABLE
ABLE

1MON	DS ET AL.						Ecology and	l Evolution		VILEY┘
	Predicted function			٩	Ч Ч		Xenobiotic detoxification			
Gene ontology	GO terms			Metal-ion binding Histone methyltransferase activity Chromatin binding	Kinase, serine/ threonine-protein kinase, transferase DNA binding, ATP binding, endocytosis		Metal-ion binding DNA binding Receptor			
	Gene symbol			PRDM8	AAK1		HR96			
	Identity (%)			81%	85%		83%			
	E-value			6.6	0.23		0.019			
	Coverage (%)			86%	91%		100%			
	Score			30.1	35.6		39.2			
	Description			PR domain zinc finger protein 8-like	AP2-associated protein kinase 1-like		Nuclear hormone receptor HR96-like			
	Organism			Pomacea canaliculata	Octopus bimaculoides		Aplysia californica			
BLAST search results	DNA sequence	TGCTTGCAACATG CACGCATATGCA CACCACAAACT	GGTGTGAAATTGG CAGGCAAATGCC TTACTCATCCT	GGATAAAGCGCG CACCAAAATGCG CATAATTTTCT	TGTGATACTCTTGC ACTTTACTGCAA AGGCCATGTT	GGCCTGATCACTG CAGGATCTTGCT GGTATTTGTCA	AGAAAAGGGGC AGAGAAGATAT GGGAGAAGAACA	AACTCAAAAATCG CATTTGTTTGCT TTAGTTGCGCT	TGCAATTGCGAAG CAAATGTCTGCT CTGGTGCGCCG	TGCATATTGTGTGC AGTGCCTTGCAG AGTATATGCC
	Tag ID	28305	10755	24085	32708	24158	28347	37421	22275	24087
	log10(PO)									
	FST	0.525 0.67	0.471 0.659	0.498	0.462 0.646	0.57 0.634	0.429 0.617	0.417 0.614	0.463 0.611	0.404 0.599
nalysis	Method	FDIST2 FDIST2	FDIST2 FDIST2	FDIST2 FDIST2	FDIST2 FDIST2	FDIST2 FDIST2	FDIST2 FDIST2	FDIST2 FDIST2	FDIST2 FDIST2	FDIST2 FDIST2
Outlier a	Dataset	all ind. no mig./ adm.	all ind. no mig./ adm.	all ind. no mig./ adm.	all ind. no mig./ adm.	all ind. no mig./ adm.	all ind. no mig./ adm.	all ind. no mig./ adm.	all ind. no mig./ adm.	all ind. no mig./ adm.

1827

(Continues)

TABLE	2 (Contii	nued)												
Outlier a	nalysis				BLAST search results								Gene ontology	
Dataset	Method	FST	log10(PO)	Tag ID	DNA sequence	Organism	Description	Score	Coverage (%)	E-value	ldentity (%)	Gene symbol	GO terms	Predicted function
all ind. no mig./ adm.	FDIST2 FDIST2	0.427 0.587		16452	AGTGACTGGAGAG CACTTGTTTGCG GCCTATGTTCC	Littorina saxatilis	AA	41	88%	0.005	88%	Uncharacterized		
all ind. no mig./ adm.	FDIST2 FDIST2	0.432 0.557		27928	CGTGACAACGCCG CAACAGAGTGCC TTGGGGGACGCC									
all ind. no mig./ adm.	FDIST2 FDIST2	0.458 0.556		48048	GACACGACAACTG CAGCCAGTTGC TTCCCTTGATCG									
all ind. no mig./ adm.	FDIST2 FDIST2	0.414 0.554		17029	TGGTGTTACCTTG CAGTCAACTGCA TTTATTCCTCT									
all ind. no mig./ adm.	FDIST2 FDIST2	0.374 0.526		34705	AGCAGTCTCACTG CAGTTTTCTGCA CTGCATAAACT									
all ind. no mig./ adm.	FDIST2 FDIST2	0.34 0.522		20904	TGGCAAGACCTGG CAAACAGCTGCT GAGATGGGACC									
all ind. no mig./ adm.	FDIST2 FDIST2	0.372 0.52		20142	AGATTCATGCCAG CACAATCCTGCA AGACACTATCC									
all ind. no mig./ adm.	FDIST2 FDIST2	0.388 0.516		21098	TGAGAAAAGTTG CATGTGAGTGCG TGCATGGCGCG									
all ind. no mig./ adm.	FDIST2 FDIST2	0.334 0.471		27266	TGCAATGAAAACA CATAAAACACC TGTGTGCACTC									
all ind. no mig./ adm.	FDIST2 FDIST2	0.407 0.451		15079	GGCTGAGCAGAGG CAGACGGCTGCG GAGCAGGAGGA	Pomacea canaliculata	Sodium-dependent proline transporter-like	43.7	86%	0.002	%06	SLC6A7	Neurotransmitter Sodium symporter activity	Gastropod feeding behavior
no mig./ adm.	FDIST2	0.748		42043	CGCAATCGTATTGC AAAATTGTGCAAT TGCTCCACT									

1828 WILEY_Ecology and Evolution

(Continued)	
2	
Щ	
B	
₹	

MON	DS ET AL.								Ecolo	gy and Ev	olution	W	ILEY-
	Predicted function		AN		A					Ч Ч			
Gene ontology	GO terms		AN		Developmental protein, neurogenesis, differentiation					A			
	Gene symbol		Uncharacterized		MAM					Uncharacterized			
	ldentity (%)		78%		87%					87%			
	E-value		9.9		2.8					0.019			
	Coverage (%)		100%		83%					86%			
	Score		30.1		31.9					39.2			
	Description		Uncharacterized		Neurogenic protein mastermind-like					AA			
	Organism		Octopus vulgaris		Aplysia californica					Crassostrea gigas			
BLAST search results	DNA sequence	CGAACAGATGTGG CAAAAGACTGCTG CCTTGGACCA	AGAGACAGAGTTGC ATCCCTTTGCGTC GCACTCACC	TGTGTGTGTGTG CACCTACATGCACC TAAGTTACG	CGGAGGTTTGTAGC AGAGCCTTGCCTG CCATAGTCT	AGGCTTTGAAGTGC ATGCATGTGCAGC CGTCTGTCA	TGACACTAGTCAGC AGATAGATGCCAG GGATGGCCC	GGTCCGTGGCTTGC ACAGGGATGCAAT GCAATGTCT	TGAACATGTCCAG CACCCTTTTGCG CTAAAGAACCT	CACATCCATCTCGCA TAGTTCTGCTGATC CAGAGCA	GAAGTTACACAAGC ACTGCCATGCGTA AAAATGACT	TACCTTGGGTATG CAACCCGATGCC AAGACCAAGAT	CACGTCCTGACAG CACAAACCTGCA CTGATGTCTCT
	Tag ID	31609	22586	22561	31557	21042	33474	11613	15069	18108	27744	32951	33996
	log10(PO)												
	FST	0.676	0.651	0.636	0.624	0.559	0.555	0.514	0.492	0.486	0.478	0.476	0.448
alysis	Method	FDIST2	FDIST2	FDIST2	FDIST2	FDIST2	FDIST2	FDIST2	FDIST2	FDIST2	FDIST2	FDIST2	FDIST2
Outlier an	Dataset	no mig./ adm.	no mig./ adm.	no mig./ adm.	no mig./ adm.	no mig./ adm.	no mig./ adm.	no mig./ adm.	no mig./ adm.	no mig./ adm.	no mig./ adm.	no mig./ adm.	no mig./ adm.

TABLE	2 (Contin	(pen)												
Outlier an	alysis				BLAST search results								Gene ontology	
Dataset	Method	FST	log10(PO)	Tag ID	DNA sequence	Organism	Description	Score	Coverage (%)	E-value	ldentity (%)	Gene symbol	GO terms	Predicted function
no mig./ adm.	FDIST2	0.44		16737	TGTGTTGTGTGTGC AGGTTCATGCAGCT GATTGGTG									
no mig./ adm.	FDIST2	0.431		13930	AGGTGAAATAAGCA ATGAAATGCAGGG CCGTGTCA	Pomacea canaliculata	Protein draper-like		91%	0.81	82%	DRPR	Transmembrane receptor, phagocytosis	Larval locomotory behavior
no mig./ adm.	FDIST2	0.428		34999	GGATCTGTCTCTGCA AAAGCTTGCCTG CTGATCTTG									
no mig./ adm.	FDIST2	0.424		27749	TGAGACGTTAACGCA TACGGCTGCTTTT AAGTAGCC									-
no mig./ adm.	FDIST2	0.424		17800	TGTGCTTCCTTGGC AGAACCCTGCAAA AATAATCTG									
no mig./ adm.	FDIST2	0.407		13296	AGAAATTCTTGGCA CTGTGCTGCTATT GCTTATCA									
no mig./ adm.	FDIST2	0.404		17181	AGCACACAGCACGCA CGTGTTTGCACAC CAAGAGCA									
no mig./ adm.	FDIST2	0.373		16929	GGGTAATCCAAAGCA ACTCAGTGCCTTAC CCCCCCT									
no mig./ adm.	FDIST2	-0.033		23096	CACCCCCTCTATGCA AAGTCATGCAAGT CTGCCTCT									
all ind. all ind.	FDIST2 Bayescan	0.638 0.255	0.655	21172	GGTACTAAAAAGCA ACCGTATGCGTAAT CGTCTCA									
all ind.	FDIST2	0.593		20062	CACCATGTCTATGC ACGTGCATGCAG ACACTGGGCA									
all ind.	FDIST2	0.491		38482	AGGGCACACAGGGGC ACACAGATGCACA TCTTACTCA									

1830 WIL FY_Ecology and Evolution

SIMMONDS ET AL.

	Predicted function						
Gene ontology	GO terms						
	Gene symbol						
	Identity (%)						
	E-value						
	Coverage (%)						
	Score						
	Description						
	Organism						
BLAST search results	DNA sequence	GAGTTGTCCAAGGC AAAATTCTGCAGA AAGGAAACA	TGAGGCTATTTGC ATGCAGCTGCTA GATCTCTCC	TGCAAGCTTTTTGCA TTCCTTTGCAAAT CGAAGGCT	TGCTCATTACTCGCA TACTGTTGCTCTG TTCAGACT	CGCAGAAGGAAGG CAAGCAGATGCCT AATAATCGCT	shown. , migrants.
	Tag ID	32340	33003	9230	19533	11006	istics are : luals; mig.
	log10(PO)						t cutoff stat ind., indivic
	FST	0.417	0.366	0.323	0.225	0.195	s that me admixed;
alysis	Method	FDIST2	FDIST2	FDIST2	FDIST2	FDIST2	/ the result ions: adm.,
Outlier ar	Dataset	all ind.	all ind.	all ind.	all ind.	all ind.	<i>Note:</i> Only Abbreviat

1831

WILEY

WILEY_Ecology and Evolution

leading to speciation (Funk, Filchak, & Feder, 2002; Hawthorne & Via, 2001). Second is host adaptation, where selection acts against immigrants from another host via immigrant inviability (Nosil, 2007; Nosil et al., 2005; Porter & Benkman, 2017). Our study suggests that both mechanisms may be occurring in *C. violacea*. All migrants were individuals that genetically sorted to the lineage associated with *P. lobata* but were instead living on *P. cylindrica*. Additionally, only admixed individuals were observed on *P. lobata*. This pattern suggests that gene flow and admixture between host-associated lineages are unidirectional—from *lobata* to *cylindrica*. Such unidirectional gene flow could result from two possible scenarios, either the failure of larvae to recruit, or the failure of recruited larvae to survive.

Larval recruitment processes could promote asymmetrical gene flow if the lineage associated with *P. cylindrica* strongly prefers *P. cylindrica* as a host over *P. lobata* or does not respond to chemical settlement cues from *P. lobata*, preventing the recruitment of *P. cylindrica*-associated larvae to *P. lobata*. In addition, larvae from *P. lobata* would need to be less selective in their recruitment, occasionally landing on *P. cylindrica* rather than *P. lobata*. Such a mechanism makes sense, given that there are twice as many coral species (*N* = 8) in the clade of *Porites* to which *P. lobata* belongs, than in that to which *P. cylindrica* belongs.

An alternative, but not mutually exclusive explanation is that asymmetry in gene flow and admixture could result from postsettlement processes. For example, if larvae from *P. cylindrica*-associated individuals settle on *P. lobata*, but are less likely to survive and reproduce, this could lead to immigrant inviability (Ingley & Johnson, 2016; Nosil et al., 2005; Richards & Ortiz-Barrientos, 2016) and asymmetry in admixture. Under such a scenario, genes beneficial to snails living on *P. cylindrica* would likely be less helpful on *P. lobata* and we should see some indication of a selective sweep in the derived lineage with respect to the standing genetic variation of the ancestral lineage (Przeworski, Coop, & Wall, 2005). Indeed, results showed some outlier loci (e.g., *HR96*, detoxification gene) that were in equal proportions in *P. lobata* (43%, 57%) but were at near fixation in *P. cylindrica* (97%), indicating a soft sweep on standing genetic variation at that locus.

Regardless of whether the limited misalignment of snails and coral hosts results from pre- or postrecruitment processes, the fact that the vast majority of snails sort by host coral in the face of hybridization and gene flow indicates that natural selection must be relatively strong to counteract gene flow of Nm>10 (Funk, Egan, & Nosil, 2011). Moreover, the high fidelity of the snails occupying *P. cylindrica* and lower fidelity of snails occupying *P. lobata*, combined with selective sweeps in *P. cylindrica*, suggest that snails parasitizing *P. lobata* are the ancestral lineage. This conjecture is consistent with the observation that specialist species often evolve from generalist ancestors (Nosil, 2002), likely because specialization constrains further evolution by reducing genetic variation (Moran, 1988). If it is generally true that specialists evolve from generalists (Kawecki, 1996, 1998), then host specialization could be an important mechanism of divergence within the Coral Triangle (Briggs, 2005) as

increased diversity should raise niche partitioning, leading to more opportunities for host specialization (Janz, Nylin, & Wahlberg, 2006).

4.2 | Candidate genes involved in adaptation to host

Outlier loci can provide insights into the targets of natural selection (Storz, 2005) and are a useful starting point for determining how selection may be acting on lineages diverging on different hosts. Our analysis revealed 73 putative gene regions with $F_{\rm ST}$ values significantly higher than neutral expectations, suggesting that they are likely under selection and could be involved in adaptation to coral hosts, or linked to such genes via hitchhiking (Via, 2012).

There is no a priori information on the types of genes involved in the adaptation of mollusks to different hosts and, due to a lack of genomic resources for *C. violacea*, only 9 of 73 outlier loci mapped to gene regions with predicted functions. However, a useful comparison can be found in ectoparasitic phloem-feeding insects adapting to different host plants (Oren et al., 1998). Genes under selection in these insect-plant interactions include genes involved in sensing hosts, that protect insects against plant defenses and facilitate feeding, and that code for digestive and detoxifying enzymes to neutralize plant toxins (e.g., metal-ion binding, Simon et al., 2015).

Experimental evidence suggests genes with metal-ion binding functions are repeatedly under selection in stick insects adapting to different host plants (Soria-Carrasco et al., 2014). Indeed, four of the *C. violacea* candidate genes we identified in outlier tests are involved in metal-ion binding (*KTM2D*, *KLH1*, *PRDM8*, and *HR96*). Very little is known about how corals and their algal symbionts chemically defend themselves against or react to parasites and predators. *Symbiodinium* species do produce toxins—Zooxanthellatoxins—(Gordon & Leggat, 2010), but it is unknown whether these toxins are upregulated in response to parasites or predators.

Additional evidence for detoxification playing a role in host divergence comes from *HR96*, a nuclear hormone receptor involved in xenobiotic detoxification (Richter & Fidler, 2014). Interestingly, *HR96* was nearly fixed for one allele in *C. violacea* from *P. cylindrica* (97%) but was at 50% in *C. violacea* from *P. lobata*, which indicates a selective sweep at that locus. This result, combined with the four metal-ion binding gene regions, suggests that there may be important differences in host-associated detoxification processes in the different *C. violacea* lineages. If adaptation to host-specific toxins drives host specificity, mismatches between snail metabolic abilities and coral hosts could explain the strong asymmetry in snails being found on an atypical coral host.

While the above results suggest a putative detoxification role for some outlier loci, two other genes with predicted functions, a neurotransmitter (*SLC6A7*) important for gastropod feeding behavior (Miller, 2019) and a transmembrane receptor (*DRPR*) involved in larval locomotory behavior, indicate a possible role of behavior in adaptation (Freeman et al., 2003). Notably, this is only the first genomic exploration of *C. violacea* and a broader survey of genomic diversity would be needed to pin down areas of the genome that

VILEY

are crucial for adaptations to coral hosts. Future work would benefit from a fully annotated genome of *C. violacea* that would allow us to examine the genomic architecture of divergence with gene flow and quantitative trait loci. In turn, this would allow us to better pinpoint regions of the genome under selection, and the specific functions of genes involved in adapting to different hosts.

4.3 | Ecological divergence in the sea

John Briggs originally proposed the idea of sympatric speciation as an important diversification mechanism within the Coral Triangle (i.e., "Center of Origin" hypothesis), as well as in the export of species formed under intense competition within the region (Briggs, 1999, 2005). To support his hypothesis, he pointed to multiple cases of sympatric sibling species with distributions centered on the Coral Triangle, where the older of the two species has a wide range, while the younger has a much more restricted range limited to the Coral Triangle (Briggs, 1999). Our study provides the first genomic evidence to support his assertion that ecological divergence with gene flow could be generating biodiversity in the Coral Triangle. In addition, spatial patterning of *C. violacea* sympatric host lineages also matches the pattern Briggs described, with the ancestral *P. lobata* host lineage having a broad geographic distribution, and the derived *P. cylindrica* host lineage restricted to the Coral Triangle (Simmonds et al., 2018).

As the global epicenter of marine biodiversity, there is a large and diverse literature on the processes shaping the Coral Triangle (Barber, Erdmann, & Palumbi, 2006; Bowen et al., 2013; Carpenter et al., 2011; Gaither et al., 2011; Hoeksema, 2007; Kochzius & Nuryanto, 2008; Tornabene, Valdez, Erdmann, & Pezold, 2015). While there is ongoing debate (Evans, McKenna, Simpson, Tournois, & Genner, 2016; Huang, Goldberg, Chou, & Roy, 2018; Di Martino, Jackson, Taylor, & Johnson, 2018; Matias & Riginos, 2018), there is clearly a multiplicity of processes driving diversification in this region (Barber & Meyer, 2015). Given the results of this study, it is important to expand our thinking beyond models that focus solely on allopatry to advance our understanding of marine speciation and origins of the Coral Triangle biodiversity hotspot.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Dr. Eli Meyer and Dr. Misha Matz for the use of their scripts to process and analyze 2b-RAD sequence data. We acknowledge the Indonesian government, including the Indonesian Ministry of Research and Technology (RISTEK), Indonesian Institute of Sciences (LIPI), Nature Conservation Agency (BKSDA), and the National Marine Park offices of Bunaken and Wakatobi for their support. We are also grateful to the Indonesian Biodiversity Research Center at Udayana University and the Institute for Environmental and Marine Sciences at Silliman University for institutional support. This work was funded by three National Science Foundation programs (OISE-0730256, OISE-1243541, and OCE-0349177) and a grant from the United States Agency for International Development (497-A-00-10-00008-00). The UCLA Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Lemelson

Foundation, Conchologists of America, and Sigma Xi gave additional funding to S. Simmonds. Sampling was covered under research permits obtained in the Philippines (Department of Agriculture–Bureau of Fisheries and Aquatic Resources) and Indonesia (RISTEK 2011, 198/ SIP/FRP/SMNI/2012, 187/SIP/FRP/SM/VI/2013).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SES conceived of and designed the study. SES, AFP, and SHC collected samples, prepared libraries, and analyzed genomic data. All authors worked on and approved of the manuscript.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Raw single-end Illumina HiSeq 2000 reads and RAD-seq loci datasets are archived on Dryad: https://doi.org/10.5068/D1995V.

ORCID

Sara E. Simmonds D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3438-3559

REFERENCES

- Altschul, S. F., Madden, T. L., Schäffer, A. A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W., & Lipman, D. J. (1997). Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: A new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 25(17), 3389–3402. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.17.3389
- Barber, P., & Boyce, S. L. (2006). Estimating diversity of Indo-Pacific coral reef stomatopods through DNA barcoding of stomatopod larvae. *Proceedings. Biological Sciences/the Royal Society*, 273(1597), 2053– 2061. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2006.3540
- Barber, P. H., Cheng, S. H., Erdmann, M. V., & Tengardjaja, K. (2011). Evolution and conservation of marine biodiversity in the Coral Triangle: Insights from stomatopod Crustacea. In C. Held, S. Koenemann& C.D. Schubart (Eds.), *Phylogeography and population* genetics in Crustacea. Crustean Issues (pp. 264–277). Boca Raton, FL:CRC Press.
- Barber, P. H., Erdmann, M. V., & Palumbi, S. R. (2006). Comparative phylogeography of three codistributed stomatopods: Origins and timing of regional lineage diversification in the Coral Triangle. *Evolution*, 60(9), 1825–1839. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2006.tb00526.x
- Barber, P. H., & Meyer, C. P. (2015). Pluralism explains diversity in the Coral Triangle. In C. Mora (Ed.), *Ecology of fishes on coral reefs* (pp. 258–263). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. https://doi. org/10.1017/CBO9781316105412.032
- Beaumont, M. A., & Nichols, R. A. (1996). Evaluating loci for use in the genetic analysis of population structure. *Proceedings. Biological Sciences/the Royal Society*, 263(1377), 1619–1626. https://doi. org/10.1098/rspb.1996.0237
- Bernal, M. A., Gaither, M. R., Simison, W. B., & Rocha, L. A. (2017). Introgression and selection shaped the evolutionary history of sympatric sister-species of coral reef fishes (Genus: *Haemulon*). *Molecular Ecology*, 26(2), 639–652. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13937
- Bird, C. E., Fernandez-Silva, I., Skillings, D. J., & Toonen, R. J. (2012). Sympatric speciation in the post "Modern Synthesis" era of evolutionary biology. *Evolutionary Biology*, 39(2), 158–180. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11692-012-9183-6
- Bird, C. E., Holland, B. S., Bowen, B. W., & Toonen, R. J. (2011). Diversification of sympatric broadcast-spawning limpets (*Cellana* spp.) within the Hawaiian archipelago. *Molecular Ecology*, 20(10), 2128-2141. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05081.x
- Blackall, L. L., Wilson, B., & van Oppen, M. J. H. (2015). Coral-the world's most diverse symbiotic ecosystem. *Molecular Ecology*, 24(21), 5330– 5347. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13400

VILEY_Ecology and Evolution

- Bowen, B. W., Rocha, L. A., Toonen, R. J., Karl, S. A., & ToBo Laboratory. (2013). The origins of tropical marine biodiversity. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 28(6), 359–366. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2013.01.018
- Briggs, J. C. (1999). Modes of speciation: Marine Indo-West Pacific. Bulletin of Marine Science, 65(3), 645–656.
- Briggs, J. C. (2003). Marine centres of origin as evolutionary engines. *Journal of Biogeography*, 30(1), 1–18. https://doi. org/10.1046/j.1365-2699.2003.00810.x
- Briggs, J. C. (2005). The marine East Indies: Diversity and speciation. Journal of Biogeography, 32(9), 1517–1522. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2005.01266.x
- Campbell, C. R., Poelstra, J. W., & Yoder, A. D. (2018). What is speciation genomics? The roles of ecology, gene flow, and genomic architecture in the formation of species. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*. *Linnean Society of London*, 124(4), 561–583. https://doi.org/10.1093/ biolinnean/bly063
- Carpenter, K. E., Barber, P. H., Crandall, E. D., Ablan-Lagman, M. C. A., Ambariyanto, Mahardika, G. N., ... Toha, A. H. A. (2011). Comparative phylogeography of the Coral Triangle and implications for marine management. *Journal of Marine Biology*, 2011, 1–14. https://doi. org/10.1155/2011/396982
- Case, A. L., & Willis, J. H. (2008). Hybrid male sterility in *Mimulus* (Phrymaceae) is associated with a geographically restricted mitochondrial rearrangement. *Evolution*, 62(5), 1026–1039. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2008.00360.x
- Cowman, P. F., & Bellwood, D. R. (2011). Coral reefs as drivers of cladogenesis: Expanding coral reefs, cryptic extinction events, and the development of biodiversity hotspots. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 24(12), 2543–2562. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2011.02391.x
- Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C. A., Banks, E., DePristo, M. A., ... 1000 Genomes Project Analysis Group. (2011). The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics*, 27(15), 2156–2158. https://doi. org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330
- Di Martino, E., Jackson, J. B. C., Taylor, P. D., & Johnson, K. G. (2018). Differences in extinction rates drove modern biogeographic patterns of tropical marine biodiversity. *Science Advances*, 4(4), eaaq1508. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaq1508
- Drès, M., & Mallet, J. (2002). Host races in plant-feeding insects and their importance in sympatric speciation. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences*, 357(1420), 471– 492. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2002.1059
- Duchene, D., Klanten, S. O., Munday, P. L., Herler, J., & van Herwerden, L. (2013). Phylogenetic evidence for recent diversification of obligate coral-dwelling gobies compared with their host corals. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 69(1), 123–132. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ympev.2013.04.033
- Evans, S. M., McKenna, C., Simpson, S. D., Tournois, J., & Genner, M. J. (2016). Patterns of species range evolution in Indo-Pacific reef assemblages reveal the Coral Triangle as a net source of transoceanic diversity. *Biology Letters*, 12(6), 20160090. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rsbl.2016.0090
- Excoffier, L., & Lischer, H. E. L. (2010). Arlequin suite ver 3.5: A new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 10(3), 564–567. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2006.3685
- Faucci, A., Toonen, R. J., & Hadfield, M. G. (2007). Host shift and speciation in a coral-feeding nudibranch. *Proceedings Biological Sciences/ the Royal Society*, 274(1606), 111–119. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rspb.2006.3685
- Feder, J. L., Opp, S. B., Wlazlo, B., Reynolds, K., Go, W., & Spisak, S. (1994). Host fidelity is an effective premating barrier between sympatric races of the apple maggot fly. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 91(17), 7990–7994. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.91.17.7990

- Foll, M., & Gaggiotti, O. (2008). A genome-scan method to identify selected loci appropriate for both dominant and codominant markers: A Bayesian perspective. *Genetics*, 180(2), 977–993. https://doi. org/10.1534/genetics.108.092221
- Foote, A. D., & Morin, P. A. (2015). Sympatric speciation in killer whales? *Heredity*, 114(6), 537–538. https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2014.120
- Forsman, Z. H., Barshis, D. J., Hunter, C. L., & Toonen, R. J. (2009). Shapeshifting corals: Molecular markers show morphology is evolutionarily plastic in *Porites. BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 9, 45. https://doi. org/10.1186/1471-2148-9-45
- Freeman, M. R., Delrow, J., Kim, J., Johnson, E., & Doe, C. Q. (2003). Unwrapping glial biology: Gcm target genes regulating glial development, diversification, and function. *Neuron*, 38(4), 567–580. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(03)00289-7
- Fritts-Penniman, A. L., Gosliner, T. M., Mahardika, G. N., & Barber, P. H. (2020). Cryptic ecological and geographic diversification in coral-associated nudibranchs. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 144, 106698, ISSN 1055–7903. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ympev.2019.106698
- Fu, L., Niu, B., Zhu, Z., Wu, S., & Li, W. (2012). CD-HIT: Accelerated for clustering the next-generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*, 28(23), 3150-3152. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts565
- Funk, D. J., Egan, S. P., & Nosil, P. (2011). Isolation by adaptation in *Neochlamisus* leaf beetles: Host-related selection promotes neutral genomic divergence. *Molecular Ecology*, 20, 4671–4682. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05311.x
- Funk, D. J., Filchak, K. E., & Feder, J. L. (2002). Herbivorous insects: Model systems for the comparative study of speciation ecology. *Genetica*, 116(2–3), 251–267. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-010-0265-3_10
- Gaither, M. R., Bowen, B. W., Bordenave, T.-R., Rocha, L. A., Newman, S. J., Gomez, J. A., ... Craig, M. T. (2011). Phylogeography of the reef fish *Cephalopholis argus* (Epinephelidae) indicates Pleistocene isolation across the Indo-Pacific barrier with contemporary overlap in the Coral Triangle. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 11(1), 189. https://doi. org/10.1186/1471-2148-11-189
- Gaither, M. R., & Rocha, L. A. (2013). Origins of species richness in the Indo-Malay-Philippine biodiversity hotspot: Evidence for the centre of overlap hypothesis. *Journal of Biogeography*, 40(9), 1638–1648. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12126
- Gittenberger, A., & Gittenberger, E. (2011). Cryptic, adaptive radiation of endoparasitic snails: Sibling species of *Leptoconchus* (Gastropoda: Coralliophilidae) in corals. *Organisms Diversity & Evolution*, 11(1), 21-41. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13127-011-0039-1
- González, A. M., Prada, C. A., Ávila, V., & Medina, M. (2018). Ecological speciation in corals. In M. Oleksiak & O. Rajora(Eds.), *Population genomics* (pp. 1–22). Cham, Switzerland: Springer.
- Gordon, B. R., & Leggat, W. (2010). Symbiodinium-invertebrate symbioses and the role of metabolomics. *Marine Drugs*, 8(10), 2546–2568. https://doi.org/10.3390/md8102546
- Gouin, A., Bretaudeau, A., Nam, K., Gimenez, S., Aury, J.-M., Duvic, B., ... Fournier, P. (2017). Two genomes of highly polyphagous lepidopteran pests (*Spodoptera frugiperda*, Noctuidae) with different host-plant ranges. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 11816. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-017-10461-4
- Hatfield, T., & Schluter, D. (1999). Ecological speciation in sticklebacks: Environment-dependent hybrid fitness. *Evolution*, *53*(3), 866–873. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1999.tb05380.x
- Hawthorne, D. J., & Via, S. (2001). Genetic linkage of ecological specialization and reproductive isolation in pea aphids. *Nature*, 412(6850), 904–907.
- Heller, J. (1993). Hermaphroditism in molluscs. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society. Linnean Society of London, 48(1), 19–42. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.1993.tb00874.x
- Hoeksema, B. W. (2007). Delineation of the Indo-Malayan centre of maximum marine biodiversity: The Coral Triangle. In W. Renema (Ed.),

Biogeography, time, and place: Distributions, barriers, and islands (pp. 117–178). Dordrecht, Netherlands: Springer.

- Huang, D., Goldberg, E. E., Chou, L. M., & Roy, K. (2018). The origin and evolution of coral species richness in a marine biodiversity hotspot. *Evolution*, 72(2), 288–302. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.13402
- Hurt, C., Silliman, K., Anker, A., & Knowlton, N. (2013). Ecological speciation in anemone-associated snapping shrimps (*Alpheus armatus* species complex). *Molecular Ecology*, 22(17), 4532–4548. https://doi. org/10.1111/mec.12398
- Ingley, S. J., & Johnson, J. B. (2016). Divergent natural selection promotes immigrant inviability at early and late stages of evolutionary divergence. *Evolution*, 70(3), 600–616. https://doi.org/10.1111/ evo.12872
- Ingram, T. (2010). Speciation along a depth gradient in a marine adaptive radiation. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 278(1705), 613–618. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2010.1127
- Janz, N., Nylin, S., & Wahlberg, N. (2006). Diversity begets diversity: Host expansions and the diversification of plant-feeding insects. BMC Evolutionary Biology, 6, 4. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-6-4
- Jean, P., & Jean-Christophe, S. (2010). The pea aphid complex as a model of ecological speciation. *Ecological Entomology*, 35, 119–130. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2311.2009.01147.x
- Jeffreys, H.. (1961). Theory of Probability (3rd). Oxford, UK:Clarendon Press.
- Jiggins, C. D. (2008). Ecological speciation in mimetic butterflies. BioScience, 58(6), 541–548. https://doi.org/10.1641/B580610
- Kawecki, T. J. (1996). Sympatric speciation driven by beneficial mutations. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 263(1376), 1515-1520. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rspb.1996.0221
- Kawecki, T. J. (1998). Red queen meets Santa Rosalia: Arms races and the evolution of host specialization in organisms with parasitic lifestyles. *The American Naturalist*, 152(4), 635–651. https://doi. org/10.1086/286195
- Kitahara, M. V., Cairns, S. D., Stolarski, J., Blair, D., & Miller, D. J. (2010). A comprehensive phylogenetic analysis of the Scleractinia (Cnidaria, Anthozoa) based on mitochondrial CO1 sequence data. *PLoS ONE*, 5(7), e11490. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0011490
- Kochzius, M., & Nuryanto, A. (2008). Strong genetic population structure in the boring giant clam, *Tridacna crocea*, across the Indo-Malay Archipelago: Implications related to evolutionary processes and connectivity. *Molecular Ecology*, 17(17), 3775–3787. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-294x.2008.03803.x
- Kopelman, N. M., Mayzel, J., Jakobsson, M., Rosenberg, N. A., & Mayrose, I. (2015). Clumpak: A program for identifying clustering modes and packaging population structure inferences across K. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 15(5), 1179–1191. https://doi. org/10.1111/1755-0998.12387
- Langerhans, R. B., Gifford, M. E., & Joseph, E. O. (2007). Ecological speciation in Gambusia fishes. *Evolution*, 61(9), 2056–2074. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2007.00171.x
- Lindblom, T. H., & Dodd, A. K. (2006). Xenobiotic detoxification in the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. Journal of Experimental Zoology. Part A, Comparative Experimental Biology, 305(9), 720-730. https:// doi.org/10.1002/jez.a.324
- Lischer, H. E. L., & Excoffier, L. (2012). PGDSpider: An automated data conversion tool for connecting population genetics and genomics programs. *Bioinformatics*, 28(2), 298–299. https://doi.org/10.1093/ bioinformatics/btr642
- Litsios, G., Sims, C. A., Wüest, R. O., Pearman, P. B., Zimmermann, N. E., & Salamin, N. (2012). Mutualism with sea anemones triggered the adaptive radiation of clownfishes. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 12, 212. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-12-212
- Ludt, W. B., & Rocha, L. A. (2015). Shifting seas: The impacts of Pleistocene sea-level fluctuations on the evolution of tropical marine

taxa. Journal of Biogeography, 42(1), 25–38. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12416

Ecology and Evolution

- Malausa, T., Dalecky, A., Ponsard, S., Audiot, P., Streiff, R., Chaval, Y., & Bourguet, D. (2007). Genetic structure and gene flow in French populations of two Ostrinia taxa: Host races or sibling species? *Molecular Ecology*, 16(20), 4210–4222. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-294x.2007.03457.x
- Martin, S. H., Dasmahapatra, K. K., Nadeau, N. J., Salazar, C., Walters, J. R., Simpson, F., ... Jiggins, C. D. (2013). Genome-wide evidence for speciation with gene flow in *Heliconius* butterflies. *Genome Research*, 23(11), 1817–1828.
- Matias, A. M. A., & Riginos, C. (2018). Revisiting the "Centre Hypotheses" of the Indo-West Pacific: Idiosyncratic genetic diversity of nine reef species offers weak support for the Coral Triangle as a centre of genetic biodiversity. *Journal of Biogeography*, 45(8), 1806–1817. https:// doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13376
- McKenna, A., Hanna, M., Banks, E., Sivachenko, A., Cibulskis, K., Kernytsky, A., ... DePristo, M. A. (2010). The Genome Analysis Toolkit: A MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Research*, 20(9), 1297–1303. https:// doi.org/10.1101/gr.107524.110
- Miglietta, M. P., Faucci, A., & Santini, F. (2011). Speciation in the sea: Overview of the symposium and discussion of future directions. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, *51*(3), 449–455. https://doi. org/10.1093/icb/icr024
- Miller, M. W. (2019). GABA as a neurotransmitter in gastropod molluscs. The Biological Bulletin, 236(2), 144–156. https://doi. org/10.1086/701377
- Moran, N. A. (1988). The evolution of host-plant alternation in aphids: Evidence for specialization as a dead end. *The American Naturalist*, 132(5), 681–706. https://doi.org/10.1086/284882
- Munday, P. L., van Herwerden, L., & Dudgeon, C. L. (2004). Evidence for sympatric speciation by host shift in the sea. *Current Biology*, 14(16), 1498–1504. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2004.08.029
- Nielsen, R. (2005). Molecular signatures of natural selection. Annual Review of Genetics, 39, 197-218. https://doi.org/10.1146/annur ev.genet.39.073003.112420
- Nosil, P. (2002). Transition rates between specialization and generalization in phytophagous insects. *Evolution*, 56(8), 1701–1706. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2002.tb01482.x
- Nosil, P. (2007). Divergent host plant adaptation and reproductive isolation between ecotypes of *Timema cristinae* walking sticks. *The American Naturalist*, 169(2), 151–162.
- Nosil, P., Funk, D. J., & Ortiz-Barrientos, D. (2009). Divergent selection and heterogeneous genomic divergence. *Molecular Ecology*, 18(3), 375–402. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03946.x
- Nosil, P., Vines, T. H., & Funk, D. J. (2005). Perspective: Reproductive isolation caused by natural selection against immigrants from divergent habitats. *Evolution*, 59(4), 705–719. https://doi.org/10.1554/04-428
- Oren, U., Brickner, I., & Loya, Y. (1998). Prudent sessile feeding by the corallivore snail, Coralliophila violacea on coral energy sinks. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 265(1410), 2043–2050. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1998.0538
- Palumbi, S. R. (1994). Genetic divergence, reproductive isolation, and marine speciation. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 25(1), 547–572. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.25.110194.002555
- Palumbi, S. R., Cipriano, F., & Hare, M. P. (2001). Predicting nuclear gene coalescence from mitochondrial data: The three-times rule. *Evolution*, 55(5), 859-868. https://doi.org/10.1554/0014-3820(2001)055[0859:PNGCFM]2.0.CO;2
- Peccoud, J., Ollivier, A., Plantegenest, M., & Simon, J.-C. (2009). A continuum of genetic divergence from sympatric host races to species in the pea aphid complex. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 106(18), 7495–7500. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0811117106

- Peijnenburg, K. T. C. A., & Goetze, E. (2013). High evolutionary potential of marine zooplankton. *Ecology and Evolution*, 3(8), 2765–2781. https ://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.644
- Porter, C. K., & Benkman, C. W. (2017). Assessing the potential contributions of reduced immigrant viability and fecundity to reproductive isolation. *The American Naturalist*, 189(5), 580–591. https://doi. org/10.1086/691191
- Potkamp, G., & Fransen, C. M. (2019). Speciation with gene flow in marine systems. *Contributions to Zoology*, 88(2), 133–172. https://doi. org/10.1163/18759866-20191344
- Prada, C., DeBiasse, M. B., Neigel, J. E., Yednock, B., Stake, J. L., Forsman, Z. H., ... Hellberg, M. E. (2014). Genetic species delineation among branching Caribbean *Porites* corals. *Coral Reefs*, 33(4), 1019–1030. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-014-1179-5
- Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M., & Donnelly, P. (2000). Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics*, 155(2), 945–959.
- Przeworski, M., Coop, G., & Wall, J. D. (2005). The signature of positive selection on standing genetic variation. *Evolution*, 59(11), 2312–2323. https://doi.org/10.1554/05-273.1
- Puebla, O. (2009). Ecological speciation in marine v. freshwater fishes. Journal of Fish Biology, 75(5), 960-996. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2009.02358.x
- Puebla, O., Bermingham, E., & McMillan, W. O. (2014). Genomic atolls of differentiation in coral reef fishes (*Hypoplectrus* spp., Serranidae). *Molecular Ecology*, 23(21), 5291–5303. https://doi.org/10.1111/ mec.12926
- Reijnen, B. T., Hoeksema, B. W., & Gittenberger, E. (2010). Host specificity and phylogenetic relationships among Atlantic Ovulidae (Mollusca: Gastropoda). *Contributions to Zoology*, 79(2), 69–78. https ://doi.org/10.1163/18759866-07902002
- Richards, T. J., & Ortiz-Barrientos, D. (2016). Immigrant inviability produces a strong barrier to gene flow between parapatric ecotypes of *Senecio lautus. Evolution*, 70(6), 1239–1248. https://doi.org/10.1111/ evo.12936
- Richter, I., & Fidler, A. E. (2014). Marine invertebrate xenobiotic-activated nuclear receptors: Their application as sensor elements in high-throughput bioassays for marine bioactive compounds. *Marine Drugs*, 12(11), 5590–5618. https://doi.org/10.3390/md12115590
- Ritson-Williams, R., Shjegstad, S., & Paul, V. (2003). Host specificity of four corallivorous *Phestilla* nudibranchs (Gastropoda: Opisthobranchia). *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 255, 207–218. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps255207
- Ritson-Williams, R., Shjegstad, S. M., & Paul, V. J. (2007). Larval metamorphic competence in four species of *Phestilla* (Gastropoda: Opisthobranchia). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 351(1), 160–167. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2007.06.010
- Ritson-Williams, R., Shjegstad, S. M., & Paul, V. J. (2009). Larval metamorphosis of *Phestilla* spp. in response to waterborne cues from corals. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 375(1), 84–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2009.05.010
- Rocha, L. A., & Bowen, B. W. (2008). Speciation in coral-reef fishes. Journal of Fish Biology, 72(5), 1101–1121. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2007.01770.x
- Rocha, L. A., Robertson, D. R., Roman, J., & Bowen, B. W. (2005). Ecological speciation in tropical reef fishes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 272(1563), 573–579. https://doi. org/10.1098/2004.3005
- Schluter, D. (2009). Evidence for ecological speciation and its alternative. Science, 323(5915), 737–741. https://doi.org/10.1126/scien ce.1160006
- Seehausen, O., Terai, Y., Magalhaes, I. S., Carleton, K. L., Mrosso, H. D. J., Miyagi, R., ... Okada, N. (2008). Speciation through sensory drive in cichlid fish. *Nature*, 455(7213), 620–626. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature07285

- Seehausen, O., & Wagner, C. E. (2014). Speciation in freshwater fishes. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 45(1), 621–651. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-120213-091818
- Simmonds, S. E., Chou, V., Cheng, S. H., Rachmawati, R., Calumpong, H. P., Mahardika, G. N., & Barber, P. H. (2018). Evidence of host-associated divergence from coral-eating snails (genus *Coralliophila*) in the Coral Triangle. *Coral Reefs*, 37(2), 355–371. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00338-018-1661-6
- Simon, J.-C., d'Alencon, E., Guy, E., Jacquin-Joly, E., Jaquiery, J., Nouhaud, P., ... Streiff, R. (2015). Genomics of adaptation to host-plants in herbivorous insects. *Briefings in Functional Genomics*, 14(6), 413–423. https://doi.org/10.1093/bfgp/elv015
- Smadja, C. M., Canbäck, B., Vitalis, R., Gautier, M., Ferrari, J., Zhou, J.-J., & Butlin, R. K. (2012). Large-scale candidate gene scan reveals the role of chemoreceptor genes in host plant specialization and speciation in the pea aphid. *Evolution*, 66(9), 2723–2738. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2012.01612.x
- Smith, J. M., & Haigh, J. (1974). The hitch-hiking effect of a favourable gene. Genetical Research, 23(1), 23–35. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0016672300014634
- Sorenson, M. D., Sefc, K. M., & Payne, R. B. (2003). Speciation by host switch in brood parasitic indigobirds. *Nature*, 424(6951), 928–931. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01863
- Soria-Carrasco, V., Gompert, Z., Comeault, A. A., Farkas, T. E., Parchman, T. L., Johnston, J. S., ... Nosil, P. (2014). Stick insect genomes reveal natural selection's role in parallel speciation. *Science*, 344(6185), 738–742. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1252136
- Sotka, E. E. (2005). Local adaptation in host use among marine invertebrates. *Ecology Letters*, 8, 448–459. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2004.00719.x
- Stella, J. S., Jones, G. P., & Pratchett, M. S. (2010). Variation in the structure of epifaunal invertebrate assemblages among coral hosts. *Coral Reefs*, 29(4), 957–973. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-010-0648-8
- Storz, J. F. (2005). Using genome scans of DNA polymorphism to infer adaptive population divergence. *Molecular Ecology*, 14(3), 671–688. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294x.2005.02437.x
- Titus, B. M., Blischak, P. D., & Daly, M. (2019). Genomic signatures of sympatric speciation with historical and contemporary gene flow in a tropical anthozoan (Hexacorallia: Actiniaria). *Molecular Ecology*, 28(15), 3572–3586. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15157
- Thorpe, R. S., Surget-Groba, Y., & Johansson, H. (2010). Genetic tests for ecological and allopatric speciation in anoles on an island archipelago. *PLoS Genetics*, *6*(4), e1000929. https://doi.org/10.1371/journ al.pgen.1000929
- Tornabene, L., Valdez, S., Erdmann, M., & Pezold, F. (2015). Support for a "Center of Origin" in the Coral Triangle: Cryptic diversity, recent speciation, and local endemism in a diverse lineage of reef fishes (Gobiidae: Eviota). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 82(Pt A), 200–210. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2014.09.012
- Tsang, L. M., Chan, B. K. K., Shih, F.-L., Chu, K. H., & Allen Chen, C. (2009). Host-associated speciation in the coral barnacle Wanella milleporae (Cirripedia: Pyrgomatidae) inhabiting the Millepora coral. Molecular Ecology, 18(7), 1463–1475. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-294x.2009.04090.x
- Veron, J. C. E. N., DeVantier, L. M., Turak, E., Green, A. L., Kininmonth, S., Stafford-Smith, M., & Peterson, N. (2011). The Coral Triangle. In Z. Dubinsky & N. Stambler (Eds.), *Coral reefs: An ecosystem in transition* (pp. 47–55). Dordrecht, Netherlands: Springer.
- Via, S. (2009). Natural selection in action during speciation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 106(Suppl. 1), 9939–9946. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0901397106
- Via, S. (2012). Divergence hitchhiking and the spread of genomic isolation during ecological speciation-with-gene-flow. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences*, 367(1587), 451–460. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2011.0260

WILEY

- Wang, S., Meyer, E., McKay, J. K., & Matz, M. V. (2012). 2b-RAD: A simple and flexible method for genome-wide genotyping. *Nature Methods*, 9(8), 808–810. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2023
- Waser, N. M., & Campbell, D. R. (2004). Ecological speciation in flowering plants. In U. Dieckmann, M. Doebeli, J. Metz & D. Tautz(Eds.), *Adaptive speciation (Cambridge Studies in Adaptive Dynamics* (pp. 264–277). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. https://doi. org/10.1017/CB09781139342179.015
- Weir, B. S., & Cockerham, C. C. (1984). Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population structure. *Evolution*, *38*(6), 1358–1370. https://doi.org/10.2307/2408641
- Westram, A. M., Rafajlović, M., Chaube, P., Faria, R., Larsson, T., Panova, M., ... Butlin, R. (2018). Clines on the seashore: The genomic architecture underlying rapid divergence in the face of gene flow. *Evolution Letters*, 2(4), 297–309. https://doi.org/10.1002/evl3.74
- Zann, L. P. (1987). A review of macrosymbiosis in the coral reef ecosystem. *International Journal for Parasitology*, 17(2), 399–405. https://doi. org/10.1016/0020-7519(87)90115-9

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.

How to cite this article: Simmonds SE, Fritts-Penniman AL, Cheng SH, Mahardika GN, Barber PH. Genomic signatures of host-associated divergence and adaptation in a coral-eating snail, *Coralliophila violacea* (Kiener, 1836). *Ecol Evol*. 2020;10:1817–1837. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.5977