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We have measured the magnetic moment of the $\Lambda$, using 8553 decays $\Lambda \rightarrow p \pi^{-}$from $\Lambda$ produced via the reaction $\pi^{-} p \rightarrow \Lambda K^{0}$ in the Alvarez 72 -inch hydrogen bubble chamber. The $\Lambda^{\prime}$ s are almost completely polarized, for all production angles (see Fig. 1). Of the 8553 N's, 6263 are produced by $1030 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{-}$. in an average field of 15.5 kG , and 2290 at $1170 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ in 17.9 kG . At 17.9 kG the $\Lambda$ spin precession is 2.7 degrees per $\Lambda$ mean life for $\left|\mu_{\Lambda}\right|=1$. (The unit is $e n / 2 m_{p} c$, one Bohr mucleon magneton. In these units the neutron has $\left.\mu_{n}=-1.91.\right)$ We find

$$
\mu_{\Lambda}=-1.39 \pm 0.72 .
$$

Our result can be compared with the two previous measurements, which are in rather poor agreement with each other. Cool et al. ${ }^{1}$ find $\mu_{\Lambda}=-1.5 \pm 0.5$. Kernan et al. ${ }^{2}$ find $\mu_{\Lambda}=0.0 \pm 0.6$. Our result is in good agreement with that of Cool et al. It is also consistent with the theoretical prediction of Coleman and Glashow, ${ }^{3} \mu_{\Lambda}=\mu_{n} / 2=-0.95$, based on $S U_{3}$ symmetry. ${ }^{4}$

The equation of motion of $P$, the $\Lambda$ polarization in the $\Lambda$ rest frame, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d P}{d t}=\frac{e}{m_{p} c} \mu_{\Lambda} \underset{\sim}{P} \times \underset{\sim}{H^{\prime}} \equiv M \underset{\sim}{P} \times{\underset{m}{ }}^{\prime} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H^{\prime}$ is the magnetic field in the $\Lambda$ frame, $t$ is the $\Lambda$ proper time, and $M_{1} \equiv e \mu_{\Lambda} / m_{p} c$ includes the sign (positive if the magnetic moment is along $\div \mathrm{P})$. We detect the precession of $\underset{\sim}{P}(t)$ by means of the precession of the
decay asymmetry $a_{\Lambda} P(t)=a_{\Lambda} P(\mu) \hat{n}(t)$, where $t=0$ at production and

$$
\hat{n}(0)=\left(\hat{\pi}_{\text {inc }} \times \hat{\Lambda}\right) /\left.\right|_{\pi_{i n c}} \times \hat{\Lambda} \mid
$$

$\hat{\pi}_{\text {inc }}$ and $\hat{\Lambda}$ are the directions of the incident $\pi$ and of the $\Lambda$ in the laboratory frame, and $\mu$ is the cosine of the $\Lambda$ production angle in the $c . m$. Because Eq. (1) is homogeneous in $P$, the signs of $a_{\Lambda}$ and of $P(\mu)$ are irrelevant.

In our experiment the precession angles are small. We therefore write the solution of Eq. (1), including only the terms linear in $t^{5}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\Lambda} P(t)=a_{\Lambda} P(\mu)\left[\hat{n}(0)+M\left(\hat{n}(0) \times H^{\prime}\right) t\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 1 shows $a_{\Lambda} P(\mu)$, which is obtained from the decay asymmetry by omission of the correlation with the magnetic-field direction. By our sign convention, $a_{\Lambda} P(\mu)$ is positive for all values of $\mu$. The decay pions prefer to go along $+\hat{n}(0)$ at $t=0$.

We now choose a particular coordinate system. The incident $\pi^{-}$are horizontal. The laboratory field $H$ is approximately uniform and points vertically upwards. We choose Cartesian axes $\hat{z}=\hat{\pi}_{i n c}, \hat{x}=$ vertical (upwards), and $\hat{y}=\hat{z} X \hat{x}$. Then $H=H \hat{x}$, with $H$ positive. ${ }^{6}$ In ordinary spherical coordinates, the $x, y$, and $z$ components of $\hat{\Lambda}$ are $\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi ;$ and $\cos \theta$. The component of $a_{\Lambda} P(t)$ along $\hat{\Lambda}$ is then .

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{\Lambda} P(t) \cdot \hat{\Lambda} & =-M H a_{\Lambda} P(\mu) Y \cos \theta t \cos \phi  \tag{3}\\
& =-M H a(\mu) t \cos \phi
\end{align*}
$$

where $H$ is the lab field, $\gamma_{\Lambda}$ comes from the Lorentz transformation, and $a(\mu)=a_{\Lambda} P(\mu) \gamma_{\Lambda} \cos \theta$ is a known function of $\mu$. The component of $a_{\Lambda} P(t)$. along $\hat{n}(0) \times \hat{\Lambda}$ is small and we do not use it. ${ }^{7}$. The normalized distribution of $N$ decay pions in the $\Lambda$ rest frame is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d N=N \sigma(\mu) d \mu(d \phi / 2 \pi) f(t) d t(d \xi / 1.9)[1-M H a(\mu) t \cos \phi \xi], \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi=\hat{\pi}_{\text {decay }} \cdot \hat{\Lambda} ; \sigma(\mu)$ d $\mu$ is the measured $\Lambda$-production angular distribution normalized to unity; the production azimuth $\phi$ ranges from 0 to $2 \pi$ and its distribution is observed to be flat, i.e.; $d \phi / 2 \pi ; f(t)$ is the observed time distribution-it would be $\exp \left(-t / \tau_{\Lambda}\right) d t / \tau_{\Lambda}$ for an infinite chamber with no cutoff for short times. The values of $\xi$ range from -0.9 to +1.0. The cutoff at $\xi=-0.9$ eliminates decays where the decay pion has less than $2-\mathrm{cm}$ range in hydrogen. After integrating over $\phi$, we then find the $\xi$ distribution to be $d \xi / 1.9$, as expected if parity is conserved in the production process. ${ }^{8}$ We obtain $M$ by calculating the average over the events of $a(\mu) t \cos \phi \xi$. The first term in Eq. (4) contributes zero. ${ }^{9}$ We therefore have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=-\langle a t \cos \phi \xi\rangle / H\left\langle a^{2}\right\rangle\left\langle t^{2}\right\rangle\left\langle\cos ^{2} \phi\right\rangle\left\langle\xi^{2}\right\rangle \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We find $M=-1.33 \pm 0.69 \times 10^{4}$ gauss $^{-1} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$, which gives $\mu_{\Lambda}=-1.39 \pm 0.72{ }^{10,11}$
The sign of $\mu_{\Lambda}$ can be expressed in a manner independent of all sign conventions (and without counting mirrors) by the three observations: (a) the $\pi^{-}$beam in the chamber bends away from the rake markers that extend along the inside of the chamber; (b) for $\Lambda$ 's that dip towards the top glass the decay pions prefer to go away from the rake; and (c) for upwards $\Lambda$, the decay pions acquire with time a direction component along the $+\hat{\Lambda}$ direction.

Two crucial tests of the reality of the effect can be made. The first is that the precession angle mustincrease linearly with $t$. We calculate the average over the data of $\langle H a \cos \phi \xi\rangle$, which is proportional to the precession angle. According to Eq. (4) the result should be $-\mathrm{MH}^{2}\left\langle a^{2}\right\rangle\left\langle\cos ^{2} \phi\right\rangle\left\langle\xi^{2}\right\rangle t$, a linear function of $t$ with slope proportional to $-M$. Figure 2 shows our result. The second test is that the precession-induced polarization must have the proper correlation with the magnetic-field direction. We calculate $\langle a t \xi\rangle$.

The result according to Eq. (4) should be $\langle a\rangle\langle t\rangle\langle\xi\rangle-M\left\langle a^{2}\right\rangle\left\langle t^{2}\right\rangle \mathrm{H} \cos \phi$. We subtract the (known) first term and plot against $\phi$, folding $\phi=0$ to -180 deg with 0 to +180 deg. The result is shown in Fig. 3. Within the limited statistical accuracy, the data satisfy the tests.

We wish to thank Professor Luis W. Alvarez for his encouragment and support. We also thank Lester John Lloyd for his many contributions to this experiment.
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## FIGURE CAPTIONS

1. Decay asymmetry of $\Lambda$. The curve was calculated from the least squares fits to $\sigma(\mu)$ and $\sigma(\mu) a_{\Lambda} P(\mu)$. The value $a_{\Lambda} P(\mu)=0.62$ corresponds to $|P|=1$, according to J. W. Cronin and O. E. Overseth [Phys. Rev. 129. 1795 (19.63)].
2. Time dependence of precession-induced decay asymmetry. The number of events in the six histogram intervals are $5441,2049,696,230,97$, and 40. The straight line through the origin is the expected result for $\mu_{\Lambda}=-1.39$, and corresponds to a precession of $3.8^{\circ}$ per $\Lambda$ mean life for $H=17.9 \mathrm{kG}$. The $X^{2}$ confidence level is $60 \%$.
3. Correlation of precession-induced decay asymmetry with magnetic-field direction. We have folded $\phi=0$ to -180 with 0 to +180 . The curve corresponds to $\mu_{\Lambda}=-1.39$. The $\chi^{2}$ confidence level is $25 \%$.
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