

# UC San Diego

## UC San Diego Previously Published Works

### Title

Urinary Biochemistry in the Diagnosis of Acute Kidney Injury

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2x77t6qr>

### Authors

Lima, Camila  
Macedo, Etienne

### Publication Date

2018-06-12

### DOI

10.1155/2018/4907024

Peer reviewed

## Review Article

# Urinary Biochemistry in the Diagnosis of Acute Kidney Injury

Camila Lima <sup>1</sup> and Etienne Macedo <sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Internal Medicine Department, Nephrology Division, University of Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, SP, Brazil

<sup>2</sup>Department of Medicine, Nephrology Division, University of California San Diego, San Diego, CA, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Camila Lima; [camilaxlima@gmail.com](mailto:camilaxlima@gmail.com)

Received 16 March 2018; Revised 3 May 2018; Accepted 20 May 2018; Published 12 June 2018

Academic Editor: Yi-Chia Huang

Copyright © 2018 Camila Lima and Etienne Macedo. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Acute kidney injury (AKI) is a common complication, impacting short- and long-term patient outcomes. Although the application of the classification systems for AKI has improved diagnosis, early clinical recognition of AKI is still challenging, as increments in serum creatinine may be late and low urine output is not always present. The role of urinary biochemistry has remained unclear, especially in critically ill patients. Differentiating between a transient and persistent acute kidney injury is of great need in clinical practice, and despite studies questioning their application in clinical practice, biochemistry indices continue to be used while we wait for a novel early injury biomarker. An ideal marker would provide more detailed information about the type, intensity, and location of the injury. In this review, we will discuss factors affecting the fractional excretion of sodium (FeNa) and fractional excretion of urea (FeU). We believe that the frequent assessment of urinary biochemistry and microscopy can be useful in evaluating the likelihood of AKI reversibility. The availability of early injury biomarkers could help guide clinical interventions.

## 1. Introduction

Despite considerable improvement in acute kidney injury (AKI) recognition achieved over the last decades, early diagnosis is still a challenge. The Dialysis Quality Initiative (ADQI) sought a uniform definition of AKI, and the most recent consensus published in 2012 by Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) is currently widely applied in clinical and research scenarios. However, AKI is still fundamentally dependent on changes in serum creatinine and urine output, and both have several limitations [1]. The importance of the timing of diagnosis has been poorly appreciated in AKI, as therapeutic interventions have been lacking and have failed to improve outcomes in many clinical studies. Still, the importance of determining potential reversibility has been emphasized in the early biomarker era. Urinary biochemistry has been utilized since the 1970s, mainly to help differentiate two conditions, namely, reversible (prerenal) or transient AKI (T-AKI), from an established AKI, acute tubular necrosis (ATN), or persistent AKI (P-AKI) [2].

Clinicians usually follow daily serum creatinine concentrations to assess the glomerular filtration rate (GFR), as

serum creatinine is freely filtered in the glomerulus, and a small proportion is normally secreted along the tubule [3]. However, serum creatinine levels are influenced by other factors not related to GFR: age, gender, muscle mass, muscle metabolism, medication, and hydration status [4]. Additionally, acute changes in GFR are not accompanied by concomitant increases in serum creatinine, as the balance between production and elimination takes days to occur. Thus, serum creatinine underestimates the degree of loss of renal function, especially in the first 48 hours after the insult [5, 6]. Another common limitation of creatinine is related to the cumulative fluid balance, common in severely ill patients. An increase in the volume of distribution of serum creatinine can cause an underestimation of the creatinine concentration, further delaying the diagnosis [7]. Also, in sepsis, the most frequent cause of AKI in hospitalized patients, production of serum creatinine is decreased [8]. Even in the absence of muscle mass loss, muscular production of serum creatinine has been shown to be reduced in sepsis, further diminishing its value as a marker of AKI [9].

Urinary output also has limitations. First, it is not possible to quantify urine volume in all patients. In children and

neonates, the assessment of urine output using diapers is challenging, as weighing diapers is not ideal and subject to errors [10]. In addition, the risk of nosocomial urinary tract infection has reduced the use of urinary catheters and is restricted to severely ill patients [11]. Most importantly, 33% of patients at AKI diagnosis and between 25% and 80% of all cases of AKI are nonoliguric [12–15]. The nonoliguric state may be present in all types of AKI, including those following surgery, trauma, hypotension, nephrotoxins, and rhabdomyolysis. Several factors may contribute to the development of nonoliguric AKI: volume expansion, high-dose potent diuretic agents, and renal vasodilators. Other contributory factors are aggressive fluid resuscitation and improved supportive management of critically ill patients [16]. Therefore, although the residual level of GFR is the primary determinant of urine volume in patients with AKI, there is a distinction between spontaneous and induced urine flow, and urine flow does not correlate with the degree of renal dysfunction.

In some scenarios, urine volume decline may not represent a decline in renal function but an expected response to decreasing renal perfusion. Dehydration in the setting of diarrhea or vomiting is a frequent clinical situation in which renal hypoperfusion can occur, even in the presence of normal blood pressure [17]. In hepatorenal syndrome type 1, considered a reversible disease, the reduction in splanchnic and total vascular resistance occurs as a consequence of increased nitric oxide and endothelium-derived relaxing factor. Any additional insult caused by gastrointestinal losses, bleeding, or therapy with a diuretic or a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug precipitates a further decline in GFR. In this context, it is expected that an increase in renal perfusion can rapidly reverse the decline in GFR or the prerenal state. However, not all hypoperfusion states will respond to fluid expansion. In acute heart failure, impaired cardiac output causes decreased glomerular perfusion pressure and increased venous pressure, reducing the glomerular filtration. Medications that further decrease the effective volume, such as diuretics, or ones that interfere with glomerular perfusion pressure, for example, angiotensin blockers or NSAIDs, often affect the renal autoregulatory response and can trigger prerenal states.

Since the 1940s, laboratory tests to distinguish T-AKI conditions from P-AKI have been used. These diagnostic parameters present various exceptions, and the distinction between prerenal and renal causes are frequently not accurate. The plasma (P) urea/creatinine ratio, urine (U) osmolality, U/P osmolality, U/P creatinine ratio, urinary  $\text{Na}^+$  level, and fractional excretions of  $\text{Na}^+$  (FeNa) are the most frequently used tests. Serum U/P creatinine ratio helps to identify whether the oliguria is a result of water reabsorption ( $\text{U/Pcr} > 20$ ) or loss of tubular function ( $\text{U/Pcr} < 20$ ). In reversible states, the reabsorption of sodium is increased, not only from the increase in proximal tubular reabsorption of water but also by the increase in aldosterone level secondary to hypovolemia. The concentrations of sodium (UNa) and chloride (UCl) in the urine have been known to be high during established phases of P-AKI. Although the accuracy of UNa alone in determining the cause of AKI is limited,

the renal failure index ( $\text{UNa} \div \text{U/P creatinine}$ ) or the fractional excretion of sodium ( $\text{FeNa}$  or  $\text{U/PNa} \div \text{U/P creatinine} \times 100$ ) was found to have a high degree of accuracy in differentiating between TAKI and PAKI. Despite these caveats, the tests are easy to perform, cheap, noninvasive, and available in daily clinical practice. Understanding the limitations of urinary biochemistry can reveal their potential benefits to assist in the diagnosis, differentiation, and management of AKI [18, 19].

## 2. Fractional Excretion of Sodium (FeNa)

Espinel [20] conducted a landmark study with the fractional excretion of sodium (FeNa), which was one of the first analyses of urinary biochemistry used to differentiate T-AKI from P-AKI. The interpretation of FeNa is based on the premise that intact tubules reabsorb sodium in the prerenal states while the injured tubules do not [20, 21]. In that study published in 1976, FeNa was evaluated in 17 patients in the oliguric phase of acute renal failure. In patients who recovered from AKI, FeNa was less than 1% (Table 1), and in those with P-AKI, FeNa was more than 3% ( $p < 0.01$ ) [20]. Other studies have confirmed FeNa as a possible tool to differentiate functional and structural AKI. Miller et al. [22] and Espinel and Gregory [23] showed that FeNa is more than 1% in oliguric and nonoliguric ATN and urinary tract obstruction, and low (<1%) in prerenal azotemia and in acute glomerulonephritis.

Despite the small number of patients, these studies revealed the potential to distinguish T-AKI from P-AKI. Prerenal AKI is accepted as a reversible form of renal dysfunction, caused by factors that compromise renal perfusion. The term has been used as part of a dynamic process that begins with a reversible condition, prerenal state, and can progress to an established disease, ATN. Although we consider P-AKI an established form of cell injury, most likely representing acute tubular necrosis, in most studies and in clinical practice the histopathological diagnosis is uncertain. The confirmation is most often retrospective, once renal function recovers over days to weeks.

The concept of T-AKI occurs when FeNa less than 1% is indicated, as increased reabsorption of sodium is the appropriate response of functioning nephrons to reduced renal perfusion; values greater than 1% are consistent with P-AKI due to inappropriate sodium excretion in the setting of tubular damage. Nevertheless, several subsequent studies were unable to reproduce these findings. Saha et al. [24] evaluated FeNa in 54 patients with acute intrinsic renal failure in whom renal biopsy was performed: 48 had tubulointerstitial nephritis and 6 had acute glomerulonephritis. By dividing patients into three groups based on FeNa <1%, >1%, and >3%, they found no association between histological findings and FeNa [24]. Bagshaw et al. evaluated the use of urinary biochemistry in septic AKI [25]. They found lower urinary sodium (UNa) in septic-AKI patients, as compared to non-septic-AKI patients, but the index was not useful to differentiate prerenal failure from P-AKI in these patients [18, 26]. The physiopathological mechanisms involved in septic AKI are different from those in ischemic-associated

TABLE 1: Urinary index equations and reference values.

Urinary indices	Formula	Traditional reference value
FeNa	$FeNa = [(Na_u/Na_s)/(Cr_u/Cr_P)] \times 100$	<1% transient AKI >1% persistent AKI
FeU	$FeU = [(U_u/US)/(Cr_u/Cr_P)] \times 100$	<35% transient AKI >35% persistent AKI

Na—sodium, cr—creatinine, u—urinary, S—serum, P—plasma, U—urea, and AKI—acute kidney injury. Modified from [20, 37].

AKI [27]. The inflammatory and hormone system activation results in arterial vasodilatation and induction of an increase in tubular sodium reabsorption and a decrease in urinary sodium concentration. Thus, FeNa in this setting could be an inadequate parameter to evaluate the hypoperfusion state.

The primary goal in differentiating functional from structural AKI has been the assessment of reversibility with fluid therapy. The concept of prerenal implies that hemodynamic improvement can increase renal blood flow and reverse the prerenal state. Thus, these indices have been used to differentiate T-AKI from P-AKI. This differentiation could avoid inappropriate fluid infusions in patients who have P-AKI [28], possibly decreasing the risk of pulmonary edema and mechanical ventilation, both risk factors for increased mortality [29, 30]. On the other hand, low FeNa does not always imply functional AKI that can be reversible with fluid expansion. Especially in septic patients, this difference is unclear, as studies have shown a low value of FeNa to be common in septic patients with P-AKI. Vanmassenhove et al. [28], in a prospective study, analyzed FeNa and other biomarkers in 107 sepsis patients at admission on an intensive care unit (ICU), 4 hours and 24 hours after admission. They showed lower levels of FeNa in no AKI versus T-AKI; however, FeNa < 1% was found in 77.3% of all cohorts, and 50% of patients had a value < 0.36%, considered as the reference value in their study. Another recent study carried out by Bagshaw et al. [25] found similar results with FeNa < 1% in 57% of the cohort. These results emphasize the need for a revision of the reference value in septic patients.

Two other points deserve emphasis concerning the use of urinary biochemistries as a diagnostic tool in AKI. In some patients with nonoliguric ATN, P-AKI, and some vascular/glomerular disorders (acute glomerulonephritis, vasculitis, and thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura) early in the course of urinary tract obstruction, urinary chemical indices can be indistinguishable from those seen with prerenal AKI and T-AKI. Conversely, several acute renal parenchymal disorders (e.g., interstitial nephritis, severe ischemic nephropathy, and exacerbations of chronic renal failure) are found to have a low value of FeNa, despite their severity and likelihood of reversibility [31–33].

In patients with normal kidney function, the level of FeNa depends on several factors, such as glomerular filtration and sodium intake. Thus, a single cutoff value may not be adequate in the interpretation of FeNa as a marker of tubular function. A recent study analyzed FeNa, GRF, and sodium intake in 761 children without AKI [34]. The authors compared the difference between measured and predicted

FeNa based on urinary sodium excretion and creatinine clearance and showed that the predicted FeNa was significantly lower than the measured FeNa in the children with tubular dysfunction. This study highlights the effect of salt intake and eGFR in FeNa values. Another common caveat in the interpretation of FeNa is the use of diuretics. Diuretic use is a common practice in AKI patients with volume overload. Diuretics decrease sodium reabsorption, increasing FeNa and so interfering with the performance of FeNa in differentiating between T-AKI and P-AKI [35]. In a prospective study [36] with 99 patients, 64 of whom received diuretics, FeNa values were not different within the 43 patients with T-AKI and 21 with P-AKI.

### 3. Fractional Excretion of Urea (FeU)

Fractional excretion of urea nitrogen (FeU) (Table 1) may be a more useful tool than FeNa in the differential diagnosis of AKI. Urea reabsorption is primarily dependent on passive forces and is, therefore, less influenced by diuretic therapy [18, 37]. FeU relates inversely to the proximal reabsorption of water, and urea reabsorption leads to a decrease in FeU and an increase in the BUN/creatinine ratio. In a prospective study, Carvounis et al. found that FeU < 35% (Table 1) was associated with a 98% chance of prerenal failure [37]. FeU had a high sensitivity (85%), a high specificity (92%), and a high positive predictive value, being a useful tool to differentiate T-AKI from P-AKI. Similarly, Dewitte et al. concluded that a FeU of less than 40% was a sensitive and specific index for differentiating T-AKI from P-AKI [38].

Still, there are also some limitations in the use of FeU. In osmotic diuresis and with the use of mannitol or acetazolamide, the proximal tubular reabsorption of salt and water is impaired, so there can be an increase in FeU, even in states of hypoperfusion [39]. The same can occur when a patient is given a high protein diet or presents excessive catabolism. In septic patients, the release of cytokines can interfere with the urea transporters in the kidney and colon; in these patients, FeU is not a good indicator of reversibility [40]. In critically ill patients, the use of FeU has also been questioned. In a multicenter study carried by Wlodzimirow et al. [41], 150 critically ill patients evaluated the performance of FeU to differentiating transient T-AKI in 51 patients from P-AKI in 41 patients. The use of FeU had a reduced ability to discriminate T-AKI from P-AKI on the day of diagnosis of AKI (AUC 0.61) and on the first (AUC 0.61) and second (AUC 0.58) days prior AKI. Other similar results were found in multicenter studies in an ICU population, reported by Darmon et al. [42] and Pons et al. [43]. Both studies concluded that FeU

was not helpful in differentiating T-AKI from P-AKI on admission to ICU. Despite these discouraging findings, other studies have proposed the use of a combination of both FeNa and FeU tests, which may increase diagnostic sensitivity and specificity in the differential diagnosis of AKI, especially in the context of patient history, physical examination, and urinalysis [44].

#### 4. Urine Microscopy

Urine microscopy (UM) is a frequently forgotten tool to evaluate AKI. The distinction between T-AKI and P-AKI is classically made by the presence of granular casts and muddy-brown or mixed cellular casts [45–49]. However, the number of renal tubular epithelial cell casts can be also helpful to distinguish less severe ATN and P-AKI cases and predict the likelihood of T-AKI. Marcussen et al. [50], in 51 patients with AKI, showed that the numbers of cylinders were higher in patients with ATN. In addition, 12 patients who needed dialysis had granular, waxy, leukocytic, and broad-cast cylinders. Chawla et al. [51] revisited this issue and developed a new score with the objective of standardizing urinary sediment analysis. This score was tested in 30 patients, 18 with ATN. They were able to show an association of higher scores with lower rates of renal recovery. In 2009, Perazella et al. [52] proposed a urinary sediment scale (Table 2) to evaluate the differential diagnosis of AKI. They created three groups, according to the numbers of granular casts and renal tubular epithelial cells (RTEC) present. Zero granular cast and zero RTEC were more frequent in the pre-renal state, while ATN and P-AKI patients had higher numbers of granular casts and RTEC. More recently, the revision of Perazella and Coca [21] suggests that the urinary sediment scale used in association with early biomarkers can be helpful in the prognosis of AKI severity, the need for RRT, and prevention of mortality.

In sepsis-associated AKI, UM has been shown to be more useful than urinary biochemistry, as it is less affected by hydration status and medications. Bagshaw et al. [53], evaluating the UM of 83 critical patients, showed that it was effective in discriminating septic AKI from nonseptic, with worse sediment found in septic AKI, and it was associated with worsening AKI, the need for RRT, and mortality. In a prospective study, Schinstock et al. [54] analyzed the use of NGAL and UM to detect AKI in patients admitted from the emergency department. Urinary NGAL levels had an only fair sensitivity (65%) and specificity (65%) to differentiate no AKI versus stages 1, 2, or 3 (area under the curve 0.70). In that study, the urinalysis with microscopy was very specific (91%) but not very sensitive (22%), with an area under the curve of 0.57.

These findings reveal the possible use of urinary biochemistry and UM in the differential diagnoses of AKI. The majority of studies of spot chemistries have been performed at a single point of time, relatively late in the course of AKI. The lack of serial data is an essential factor to consider in the interpretation of these results, as AKI is a dynamic process. Following the progression of daily urinary biochemistry and UM can improve the value of these parameters in AKI

TABLE 2: Differential diagnosis of AKI based on the numbers of casts in the urinary sediment.

Kinds of casts	Numbers	Reference values
Granular casts	0	Transient AKI
	1 to 10 by LPF	Persistent AKI
Epithelial cell casts	0	Transient AKI
	1 or more by HPF	Persistent AKI

AKI (acute kidney injury), LPF (low-power field), and HPF (high-power field). Modified from [51–53].

differential diagnosis. During the early phases of AKI, the renal tubular function is intact. Later, cell injury may result in the loss of tubular cell polarity. The resulting urine chemistries, therefore, are dependent on the phase of the course in which they were obtained.

#### 5. Biomarkers

Over the last decades, several early markers of kidney injury have been proposed: urinary cystatin C [55], urinary Kidney Injury Molecule-1 (KIM-1) [56], urinary interleukin 18 (IL-18) [57], and urinary/plasma neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) [58]. Although evaluated in different settings, few biomarkers have been introduced to clinical practice. Several studies have demonstrated the value of NGAL for early AKI diagnosis [59] and prediction of severity and need for dialysis [60]. Recently, biomarkers of cell cycle arrest, insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 7 (IGFBP7), and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases-2 (TIMP-2) [61] have added mechanistic insight into AKI physiopathology and increased hope for the prospect of early diagnosis and interventions for AKI. The availability of rapid assays for some of these markers [62, 63] and several ongoing trials will soon provide more guidance on early AKI management. However, the increased cost and lack of evidence of improvement in patients' hard outcomes continue to be the main limitations for their use.

#### 6. Conclusion

We believe that, by monitoring the parameters of urinary biochemistry and UM, we can predict the likelihood of early AKI recovery. The combined assessment of the urinary biochemistries of FeNa and FeU and UM can facilitate the differential diagnosis of AKI. Large studies with an early diagnosis of AKI should include urinary biochemistry/microscopy and correlate with early biomarkers of injury to help in the recognition of reversibility.

#### Disclosure

Camila Lima's current address is 455 Av., Arnaldo Dr., 01246-903 Cerqueira Cesar, SP, Brazil. Etienne Macedo's current address is 9500 Gilman Dr., La Jolla MC 0892, CA, USA.



## Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

## References

- [1] P. M. Palevsky, G. C. Curhan, and A. M. Sheridan, "Definition of acute kidney injury (acute renal failure)," 2017, <http://www.uptodate.com/contents/definition-of-acute-kidney-injury-acute-renal-failure>.
- [2] J. A. Armstrong, "Urinalysis in western culture: a brief history," *Kidney International*, vol. 71, no. 5, pp. 384–387, 2007.
- [3] C. Ronco, R. Bellomo, and J. A. Kellum, "Acute renal failure: pathophysiological principles," in *Book Critical Care Nephrology*, vol. 2009p. 215.
- [4] R. S. Brown Jr., M. Lombardero, and J. R. Lake, "Outcome of patients with renal insufficiency undergoing liver or liver-kidney transplantation," *Transplantation*, vol. 62, no. 12, pp. 1788–1793, 1996.
- [5] K. Yong, G. Dogra, N. Boudville, M. Pinder, and W. Lim, "Acute kidney injury: controversies revisited," *International Journal of Nephrology*, vol. 2011, Article ID 762634, 17 pages, 2011.
- [6] T. L. Nickolas, M. J. O'Rourke, J. Yang et al., "Sensitivity and specificity of a single emergency department measurement of urinary neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin for diagnosing acute kidney injury," *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 148, no. 11, pp. 810–819, 2008.
- [7] E. Macedo, J. Bouchard, S. H. Soroko et al., "Fluid accumulation recognition and staging of acute kidney injury in critically-ill patients," *Critical Care*, vol. 14, no. 3, article R82, 2010.
- [8] M. Godin, P. Murray, and R. L. Mehta, "Clinical approach to the patient with AKI and sepsis," *Seminars in Nephrology*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 12–22, 2015.
- [9] K. Doi, P. S. T. Yuen, C. Eisner et al., "Reduced production of creatinine limits its use as marker of kidney injury in sepsis," *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 1217–1221, 2009.
- [10] S. Oddie, R. Adappa, and J. Wyllie, "Measurement of urine output by weighing nappies," *Archives of Disease in Childhood. Fetal and Neonatal Edition*, vol. 89, no. 2, pp. 180F–1181, 2004.
- [11] M. Fernández-Ruiz, B. Calvo, R. Vara, R. N. Villar, and J. M. Aguado, "Inappropriate use of urinary catheters in patients admitted to medical wards in a university hospital," *Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica*, vol. 31, no. 8, pp. 523–525, 2013.
- [12] S. Martini and J. P. Guignard, "Polyuria, pollakiuria, and nocturia in children: diagnostic and therapeutic approach," *Revue Médicale de la Suisse Romande*, vol. 121, no. 3, pp. 197–204, 2001.
- [13] E. Macedo, R. Malhotra, R. Clauere-Del Granado, P. Fedullo, and R. L. Mehta, "Defining urine output criterion for acute kidney injury in critically ill patients," *Nephrology, Dialysis, Transplantation*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 509–515, 2011.
- [14] D. N. Cruz, S. M. Bagshaw, C. Ronco, and Z. Ricci, "Acute kidney injury: classification and staging," *Contributions to Nephrology*, vol. 164, pp. 24–32, 2010.
- [15] E. Macedo, R. Malhotra, J. Bouchard, S. K. Wynn, and R. L. Mehta, "Oliguria is an early predictor of higher mortality in critically-ill patients," *Kidney International*, vol. 80, no. 7, pp. 760–767, 2011.
- [16] J. R. Prowle, Y. L. Liu, E. Licari et al., "Oliguria as predictive biomarker of acute kidney injury in critically ill patients," *Critical Care*, vol. 15, no. 4, article R172, 2011.
- [17] J. G. Abuelo, "Normotensive ischemic acute renal failure," *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 357, no. 8, pp. 797–805, 2007.
- [18] S. M. Bagshaw, C. Langenberg, and R. Bellomo, "Urinary biochemistry and microscopy in septic acute renal failure: a systematic review," *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*, vol. 48, no. 5, pp. 695–705, 2006.
- [19] J. Prowle, S. M. Bagshaw, and R. Bellomo, "Renal blood flow, fractional excretion of sodium and acute kidney injury: time for a new paradigm?," *Current Opinion in Critical Care*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 585–592, 2012.
- [20] C. H. Espinel, "The FeNa test use in the differential diagnosis of acute renal failure," *Journal of the American Medical Association*, vol. 236, no. 6, pp. 579–581, 1976.
- [21] M. A. Perazella and S. G. Coca, "Traditional urinary biomarkers in the assessment of hospital-acquired AKI," *Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 167–174, 2012.
- [22] T. R. Miller, R. J. Anderson, S. L. Linas et al., "Urinary diagnostic indices in acute renal failure: a prospective study," *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 89, no. 1, pp. 47–50, 1978.
- [23] C. H. Espinel and A. W. Gregory, "Differential diagnosis of acute renal failure," *Clinical Nephrology*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 73–77, 1980.
- [24] H. Saha, J. Mustonen, H. Helin, and A. Pasternack, "Limited value of the fractional excretion of sodium test in the diagnosis of acute renal failure," *Nephrology, Dialysis, Transplantation*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 79–82, 1987.
- [25] S. M. Bagshaw, M. Bennett, P. Devarajan, and R. Bellomo, "Urine biochemistry in septic and non-septic acute kidney injury: a prospective observational study," *Journal of Critical Care*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 371–378, 2013.
- [26] S. M. Bagshaw, C. Langenberg, L. Wan, C. N. May, and R. Bellomo, "A systematic review of urinary findings in experimental septic acute renal failure," *Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 35, no. 6, pp. 1592–1598, 2007.
- [27] H. Gomez, C. Ince, D. de Backer et al., "A unified theory of sepsis-induced acute kidney injury: inflammation, microcirculatory dysfunction, bioenergetics, and the tubular cell adaptation to injury," *Shock*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 3–11, 2014.
- [28] J. Vanmassenhove, G. Glorieux, E. Hoste, A. Dhondt, R. Vanholder, and W. van Biesen, "Urinary output and fractional excretion of sodium and urea as indicators of transient versus intrinsic acute kidney injury during early sepsis," *Critical Care*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. R234–R210, 2013.
- [29] R. W. Schrier, "Diagnostic value of urinary sodium, chloride, urea, and flow," *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*, vol. 22, no. 9, pp. 1610–1613, 2011.
- [30] S. Perinel, F. Vincent, A. Lautrette et al., "Transient and persistent acute kidney injury and the risk of hospital mortality in critically ill patients: results of a multicenter cohort study," *Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 43, no. 8, pp. e269–e275, 2015.

- [31] K. S. Kamel, J. H. Ethier, R. M. A. Richardson, R. A. Bear, and M. L. Halperin, "Urine electrolytes and osmolality: when and how to use them," *American Journal of Nephrology*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 89–102, 1990.
- [32] R. W. Steiner, "Interpreting the fractional excretion of sodium," *The American Journal of Medicine*, vol. 77, no. 4, pp. 699–702, 1984.
- [33] J. R. Diamond and D. Yoburn, "Nonoliguric acute renal failure associated with a low fractional excretion of sodium," *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 96, no. 5, pp. 597–600, 1982.
- [34] M. F. Schreuder, A. Bökenkamp, and J. A. E. van Wijk, "Interpretation of the fractional excretion of sodium in the absence of acute kidney injury: a cross-sectional study," *Nephron*, vol. 136, no. 3, pp. 221–225, 2017.
- [35] C. J. Diskin, T. J. Stokes, L. M. Dansby, L. Radcliff, and T. B. Carter, "The comparative benefits of the fractional excretion of urea and sodium in various azotemic oliguric states," *Nephron Clinical Practice*, vol. 114, no. 2, pp. c145–c150, 2010.
- [36] M. N. Pépin, J. Bouchard, L. Legault, and J. Ethier, "Diagnostic performance of fractional excretion of urea and fractional excretion of sodium in the evaluations of patients with acute kidney injury with or without diuretic treatment," *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 566–573, 2007.
- [37] C. P. Carvounis, S. Nisar, and S. Guro-Razuman, "Significance of the fractional excretion of urea in the differential diagnosis of acute renal failure," *Kidney International*, vol. 62, no. 6, pp. 2223–2229, 2002.
- [38] A. Dewitte, M. Biais, L. Petit et al., "Fractional excretion of urea as a diagnostic index in acute kidney injury in intensive care patients," *Journal of Critical Care*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 505–510, 2012.
- [39] M. H. Goldstein, P. R. Lenz, and M. F. Levitt, "Effect of urine flow rate on urea reabsorption in man: urea as a "tubular marker"," *Journal of Applied Physiology*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 594–599, 1969.
- [40] C. Schmidt, K. Hoehlerl, and M. Bucher, "Cytokine-mediated regulation of urea transporters during experimental endotoxemia," *American Journal of Physiology. Renal Physiology*, vol. 292, no. 5, pp. F1479–F1489, 2007.
- [41] K. A. Wlodzimirow, A. Abu-Hanna, A. A. N. M. Royackers et al., "Transient versus persistent acute kidney injury and the diagnostic performance of fractional excretion of urea in critically ill patients," *Nephron. Clinical Practice*, vol. 126, no. 1, pp. 8–13, 2014.
- [42] M. Darmon, F. Vincent, J. Dellamonica et al., "Diagnostic performance of fractional excretion of urea in the evaluation of critically ill patients with acute kidney injury: a multicenter cohort study," *Critical Care*, vol. 15, no. 4, article R178, 2011.
- [43] B. Pons, A. Lautrette, J. Oziel et al., "Diagnostic accuracy of early urinary index changes in differentiating transient from persistent acute kidney injury in critically ill patients: multicenter cohort study," *Critical Care*, vol. 17, no. 2, article R56, 2013.
- [44] U. Schönermarck, K. Kehl, and W. Samtleben, "Diagnostic performance of fractional excretion of urea and sodium in acute kidney injury," *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*, vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 870–871, 2008.
- [45] S. Klahr and S. B. Miller, "Acute oliguria," *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 338, no. 10, pp. 671–675, 1998.
- [46] M. L. Esson and R. W. Schrier, "Diagnosis and treatment of acute tubular necrosis," *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 137, no. 9, pp. 744–752, 2002.
- [47] N. Singri, S. N. Ahya, and M. L. Levin, "Acute renal failure," *JAMA*, vol. 289, no. 6, pp. 747–751, 2003.
- [48] E. Needham, "Management of acute renal failure," *American Family Physician*, vol. 72, no. 9, pp. 1739–1746, 2005.
- [49] N. Lameire, W. Vanbiesen, and R. Vanholder, "Acute renal failure," *The Lancet*, vol. 365, no. 9457, pp. 417–430, 2005.
- [50] N. Marcussen, J. Schumann, P. Campbell, and C. Kjellstrand, "Cytodiagnostic urinalysis is very useful in the differential diagnosis of acute renal failure and can predict the severity," *Renal Failure*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 721–729, 2009.
- [51] L. S. Chawla, A. Domm, A. Berger, S. Shih, and S. S. Patel, "Urinary sediment cast scoring index for acute kidney injury: a pilot study," *Nephron. Clinical Practice*, vol. 110, no. 3, pp. c145–c150, 2008.
- [52] M. A. Perazella, S. G. Coca, M. Kanbay, U. C. Brewster, and C. R. Parikh, "Diagnostic value of urine microscopy for differential diagnosis of acute kidney injury in hospitalized patients," *Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 1615–1619, 2008.
- [53] S. M. Bagshaw, M. Haase, A. Haase-Fielitz, M. Bennett, P. Devarajan, and R. Bellomo, "A prospective evaluation of urine microscopy in septic and non-septic acute kidney injury," *Nephrology, Dialysis, Transplantation*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 582–588, 2012.
- [54] C. A. Schinstock, M. H. Semret, S. J. Wagner et al., "Urinalysis is more specific and urinary neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin is more sensitive for early detection of acute kidney injury," *Nephrology, Dialysis, Transplantation*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 1175–1185, 2013.
- [55] A. G. Schneider and R. Bellomo, "Urinalysis and pre-renal acute kidney injury: time to move on," *Critical Care*, vol. 17, no. 3, p. 141, 2013.
- [56] W. K. Han, V. Bailly, R. Abichandani, R. Thadhani, and J. V. Bonventre, "Kidney Injury Molecule-1 (KIM-1): a novel biomarker for human renal proximal tubule injury," *Kidney International*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 237–244, 2002.
- [57] C. R. Parikh, J. Mishra, H. Thiessen-Philbrook et al., "Urinary IL-18 is an early predictive biomarker of acute kidney injury after cardiac surgery," *Kidney International*, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 199–203, 2006.
- [58] S. di Somma, L. Magrini, B. de Berardinis et al., "Additive value of blood neutrophil gelatinase associated lipocalin to clinical judgement in acute kidney injury diagnosis and mortality prediction in patients hospitalized from the emergency department," *Critical Care*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. R29–R13, 2013.
- [59] M. Bennett, C. L. Dent, Q. Ma et al., "Urine NGAL predicts severity of acute kidney injury after cardiac surgery: a prospective study," *Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 665–673, 2008.
- [60] I. E. Hall, S. G. Yarlagadda, S. G. Coca et al., "IL-18 and urinary NGAL predict dialysis and graft recovery after kidney transplantation," *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 189–197, 2010.
- [61] H. M. Jia, L. F. Huang, Y. Zheng, and W. X. Li, "Diagnostic value of urinary tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-2 and insulin-like growth factor binding protein 7 for acute kidney injury: a meta-analysis," *Critical Care*, vol. 21, no. 1, p. 77, 2017.

- [62] A. S. Bargnoux, L. Piéroni, and J. P. Cristol, “Analytical study of a new turbidimetric assay for urinary neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) determination,” *Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine*, vol. 51, no. 12, pp. e293–e296, 2013.
- [63] J. H. Westhoff, B. Tönshoff, S. Waldherr et al., “Urinary tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-2 (TIMP-2)-insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 7 (IGFBP7) predicts adverse outcome in pediatric acute kidney injury,” *Plos One*, vol. 10, no. 11, article e0143628, 2015.