UC Santa Barbara

UC Santa Barbara Previously Published Works

Title

High blocking temperatures for DyScS endohedral fullerene single-molecule magnets

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2xd2k51r

Journal

Chemical Science, 11(48)

ISSN

2041-6520 2041-6539

Authors

Cai, Wenting Bocarsly, Joshua D Gomez, Ashley <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2020

DOI

10.1039/D0SC05265E

Peer reviewed

Single molecule magnetic behavior of DyScS@ $C_s(6)$ -C₈₂ and DyScS@ $C_{3\nu}(8)$ -C₈₂: Comparison with the Dy₂S analogues

Wenting Cai,^a Joshua D. Bocarsly,^b Ashley Gomez,^a Rony J Letona Lee,^a Algandro Metta-Magaña,^a Ram Seshadri,^b Luis Echegoyen^{*,a}

- [a] W. Cai, A. Gomez, R. L. Lee, A. M. Magaña, Prof. L. Echegoyen Department of Chemistry University of Texas at El Paso 500 W University Avenue, El Paso, Texas 79968 (United States) E-mail: echegoyen@utep.edu
 [b] J. D. Bocarsly, Prof. R. Seshadri
- Materials Research Lab and Materials Department University of California Santa Barbara Santa Barbara, California 93106 (United States) E-mail: seshadri@mrl.ucsb.edu

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

Abstract: Dy-based single-molecule magnets (SMMs) are of great interest due to their ability to exhibit very large thermal barriers to relaxation and therefore high blocking temperatures.^[1] One interesting line of investigation is Dyencapsulating endohedral clusterfullerenes, in which a carbon cage protects magnetic Dy³⁺ ions agai decoherence by environmental noise and allows for stabilization of bonding and magnetic interactions that would be difficult to achieve in other mg ecular architectures. Recent studies of such materials has ocused on clusters with two Dy atoms, since ferr nagnetic exchange between Dy atoms is known to reduc the rate e, two magnetic relaxation via quantum tunneling. ew fide dysprosium-containing mixed-metallie clusterfullerenes, DyScS@C_s(6)-C₈₂ and DyScS@ been successfully synthesized, isolated have characterized by mass spectrometry, Vis-NIR, cyclic voltammetry, single crystal X-ray diffractometry, and magnetic measurements. Crystallor raphic analyses redox potential values show that onformation o he encapsulated cluster inside the fuller ges is r ably different than in the $Dy_2X@C_s(6)-C_{82}$ and D C82 (X = S, O). Remarkably, both isomers of DyScS@C w open egnetic relaxation, even at magnetic hysteresis and slop zero field. Their magnetic block operatures are around ng the high 7.3 K, which are an les reported for clusterfullerene SMMs. he SMM proper of DyScS@C₈₂ the dilanthavide analogues far outperform those $Dy_2S@C_{82}$, in contrast to the kend observed for carbide and nitride Dy clusterfullerenes.

Introduction

In magnetic molecules with bistable ground states and significant anisotropy, ong-lived magnetic memory may be observed down to the single-molecule level. Such "single-molecule magnets" (SMMs) show great promise for applications such as ultrahigh density magnetic memory, spintronics, and quantum computing. Inwards the goal, the major research objective in the find of SMMs is to design molecules with longer magnetic lifetimes at higher temperatures. One of the most promising strategies to achieve high-performing SMMs is by coupling magnetic lanthanide ions (usually spin throng axial ligand fields. This approach has resulted a magnetic systems with energy barriers to relaxation nearing $U_{\rm eff} = 2000$ K.^[2-4]

One particularly interesting class of SMMs are the lanthanide endohedral metallofullerenes (EMFs).^[1] In the compounds, fullerenes encapsulate atoms or small ers of atoms, often stabilizing unusual atomic clu angements that would not be possible in conventional olecules, and shielding those exotic states from environmental sources of decoherence. These unique conditions can lead to well-controlled, high-performing SMMs.^[5] Furthermore, EMFs present enticing opportunities for the assembly of precisely controlled nanoscale SMM devices via functionalization of the fullerene cage.^[6] The study of EMF SMMs began with the discovery of slow magnetic relaxation in DvSc₂N@C₈₀. which shows a magnetic blocking temperature of up to $T_{\rm B} = 7 \text{ K.}^{[7-8]}$ Subsequently, several other nitride, carbide, sulfide, and oxide clusterfullerenes (ECFs) have all relaxation.^[5] shown slow magnetic In these culsterfullerenes, the fullerenes stabilize short bonds between Dy and the nonmetallic element.^[9] resulting in strong axial fields generating thermal barriers to relaxation on the order of hundreds of Kelvins, and even up to 1735 K.^[8]

Given the high thermal barriers to relaxation observed in Dy-based EMFs, one may expect very high magnetic blocking temperatures and extremely long magnetic lifetimes at low temperatures. However, in most cases, the practical performance of a SMM is ultimately limited by through-barrier relaxation processes including quantum tunneling of magnetization (QTM), which causes the magnetic relaxation lifetimes to plateau at low temperatures. Finding ways to limit QTM

is therefore of the upmost importance in the search for higher-performing SMMs. One promising approach is to introduce ferromagnetic exchange between two magnetic ions, as was demonstrated in the di-lanthanide clusterfullerene Dy₂ScN@C₈₀. Compared to DySc₂N@C₈₀, this compound was shown to exhibit suppressed QTM and a higher blocking temperature $T_{\rm B} = 8$ K. For this reason, most of the recent work on EMF SMMs has been focusing on systems with two Dy atoms, such as $Dy_2S@C_s(6)-C_{82}$,^[10] $Dy_2S@C_{3v}(8)-C_{82}$,^[10] $Dy_2O@C_s(6)-C_{82}$,^[9] $Dy_2O@C_{3v}(8)-C_{82}$,^[9] $Dy_2O@C_{2v}(9)-C_{82}$,^[9] $Dy_2C_2@C_{s}(6)-C_{82}$, [10] Dy₂TiC@I_h(7)-C₈₀,^[11] Dy₂TiC₂@I_h(7)-C₈₀,^[11] Dy₂ScN@D_{5h}(6)-C₈₀.^[12] successful The most implementation of this strategy has been in pure dimetallofullerenes, such as $Dy_2@C_{80}(CH_2Ph)$, which hosts strong Dy-Dy ferromagnetic exchange along a radical Dy-Dy bond, leading to remarkable SMM behavior with $T_{\rm B} = 18$ K.^[13]

However, suppression of QTM is also possible in single lanthanide compounds, if the magnetic ion is in a highly symmetric environment. In fact, in non-EMF SMMs, this approach has proven to result in the highestperforming SMMs.^[3, 14-18] A computational study focusing on hypothetical monolanthanide oxide clusterfullerenes $DyXO@C_{82}$ (X = Sc, Lu) has suggested that the Dy ligand field in these compounds, composed of oxygen and carbon from the fullerene, should provide a suitably symmetric environment to yield large thermal barriers to relaxation while simultaneously suppressing quantu tunneling.^[19] Dy₂O@C₈₂^[9] However, while $Dy_2S@C_{82}^{[10]}$ have been experimentally studied, their mono-lanthanide analogues have not.

In this study, we report the synthesis, ation. of new structural characterization and SMM propertie metallic dysprosium-based sulfi mixed clusterfullerenes, $DyScS@C_s(6)-C_{82}$ and D_s cS@C C₈₂. Both crystallographic analyses and e ical studies show that the replacement of one exerts a noticeable influence on the conformation of encapsulated cluster inside the fullerene cages Remarkably, both isomers show open magnetic hysteresis loops at temperatures below 7 K, indica g SMM behavior. The magnetic blo a temperature or both isomers are around $T_{\rm B} = 7.3$ ar the h hest blocking temperature for a sulfur-ligate MМ 0. 20-221 and among the highest temperatures rep for a pristine EMF. Of particular this $T_{\rm B}$ far exceeds that of $Dy_2S@C_{82}$ ($T_B = 2 K$ to Analysis of magnetic relaxation times at zero-field a der a moderate magnetic field sugges the presence me degree of less, the lifetimes are found to QTM at zero-field; nonet be much longer than the of Dy₂S@C₈₂, even at zero field. This result points the promise of monodysprosium cl achieve excellent SMM behavior.



Preparation, purification and spectroscopic characterizations of DyScS@C₈₂

WILEY-VCH

Generally, the dimetallic sulfide clusterfullerenes were produced via two synthetic methods. Dunsch et al. introduced the sulfur source using solid guanidinium thiocyanate (CH_5N_3 ·HSCN), in addition to graphite powder.[23] Using this methe only one isomer of Sc₂S@C_{3v}(6)-C₈₂ was obtained as inor product along with major products of Sc₃N@C₈₀ C₃N@C₇₈. Our group introduced SO₂ as the sulf sourc o produce SCFs as major products has a fe ninor products, such (OCFs).^[24-26] In order to as oxide cluster metalloful produce mixed-metallic sulfide sterfullerenes, soots were produced containing DyScS@ usterfullere by a modified dire current arc ischarge method.^[27] As source material, y_2O_3 , Sc₂O₃ and graphite powder were mixed in a weight ratio of 2.61:3, and packed in arc synthesis was carried out under graphite rods. Th 210 torr He nd 20 SO₂ as the source of sulfur. The soot was the oxhle ked with CS₂ for 12 hours. As shown in Fig 1, DyScS@C₈₂ and DyScS@C₈₄ were obtained along wi a family of $Sc_2S@C_{2n}$ (2n = 82-90). e of Sc3. The ex C_{80} is attributed to the presence actor. Similar to the previously of a small leak reported results with NH₃, the formation of empty fullerenes was largely suppressed and a relatively high lectivity **f** the production of sulfide clusterfullerenes observed with SO₂. Multistage HPLC separation edures were employed to isolate and purify them pr (see Figures S1-S3, Supporting Information). The purity isolated DyScS@C $_{82}$ (I, II) were established by HPLC and MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (Figure Supporting Information). Both compounds

(Figure Supporting Information). Both compounds are reasonably pure although slight impurities of $Sc_2S@C_{82}$ are still observed due to their very similar retention times.



Figure 1. MALDI-TOF spectra of the extract showing the existence of DyScS@C_{2n} (2n = 82, 84) and a family of Sc₂S@C_{2n} (2n = 82-90).

To obtain additional structural information for DyScS@C₈₂ (I, II), the Vis-NIR spectra were recorded (see Figure S5, Supporting Information). The Vis-NIR absorption spectrum of DyScS@C₈₂ (I) exhibits distinct absorptions at 868, 787, 717 and 635 nm, which are very similar to those reported for Sc₂S@C₅(6)-C₈₂^[24] and

WILEY-VCH

 $Dy_2S@C_s(6)-C_{82}$.^[10] $DySCS@C_{82}$ (II) exhibits only two absorptions at 883 and 662 nm, which resemble those reported for $Sc_2S@C_{3\nu}(8)-C_{82}$.^[24] and $Dy_2S@C_{3\nu}(8)-C_{82}$.^[10] Based on the UV-Vis spectral observations, it is reasonable to assign the two C_{82} cages to $DyScS@C_s(6)-C_{82}$ and $DyScS@C_{3\nu}(8)-C_{82}$, respectively.

Crystallographic characterization of DyScS@ $C_{s}(6)$ -C₈₂ and DyScS@ $C_{3v}(8)$ -C₈₂

The molecular structures of DyScS@ $C_s(6)$ -C₈₂ and DyScS@ $C_{3v}(8)$ -C₈₂ were additionally established using single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Co-crystals for both compounds were obtained by layering a benzene solution of Ni^{II}(OEP) (OEP = 2,3,7,8,12,13,17,18-octaethylporphyrin dianion) over a nearly saturated CS₂ solution of the purified endohedral. Figure 2 shows the structures of the fullerenes and their relative orientations with respect to the co-crystallized Ni^{II}(OEP) molecules. The shortest fullerene cage to Ni^{II}(OEP) contacts are 2.955 Å and 2.808 Å for DyScS@ $C_{s}(6)$ -C₈₂ and DyScS@ $C_{3v}(8)$ -C₈₂, respectively, which are typical distances for π - π stacking interactions between the fullerene and the porphyrin moiety.





Within the $C_s(6)$ - C_{82} and $C_{3\nu}(8)$ - C_{82} le Dy oth and Sc sites are disordered. It is difficult nguish Dy and Sc unambiguously r both cases be use the electron densities positions are crystallographically imilar. An ate approach to assign the metals is based on the ble different the DyScS cluster due to the Dy/Sc-S bond lengths A for Sc³⁺) and that of Dy ionic size of Sc (0.745-0 (0.912-1.083 Å for Dy³⁺). hus, the Sc-S bonds are expected to b bort in comparison with the Dy-S bonds, in with the reported Sc-S for the Sc₂S cluster and Dy-S bonds bonds (2.34-2.41 (2.43-2.51 Å) for e Dy₂S cluster. However, the the m al-sulfide bond lengths in the distrib DySc different, making it difficult to exclude the overlap bea en Sc and Dy positions in DyScS@ $C_s(6)$ -C₈₂ and DyScS@ $C_{3v}(8)$ -C₈₂. Accordingly, we treat all metallic sites as overlapped Dy/Sc positions,

similar to the procedure reported for $DyEr_2N@l_h-C_{80}$ and $DyEr@C_{3\nu}(8)-C_{82}$.^[28-29]

For DyScS@ $C_s(6)$ -C₈₂, there are a total of eight Dy/Sc and two sulfide sites in the asymmetric unit. The disordered positions of DyScS cluster in DyScS@ $C_s(6)$ -C₈₂ are shown in 🛯 S6 (Supporting Information). As shown in Figu the major orientation of the DyScS cluster, w ch is deled with Sc3/Dy3A (with fractional scupa y of 0.70), Dy1/Sc1A (with fractional occupant, 0.46) and S1S (with fractional occupancy of 0.6 ccording to their occupancies, is high d in orang he second major orientation, which shown in b , is modeled with Sc2/ Dy2A (with frac onal occupan of 0.24), Dy3/Sc3A (with fractional 38) and S2S (with ccupancy of fractional occupa of 0.31) (Fig e 3a). Note that both orientations the S cluste h DyScS@C₅(6)-C₈₂ are analogous to cluster in $Sc_2S@C_s(6)-C_{82}$. t of t [26]

C₈₂, there are fourteen sites for For DyScS@C3 tem (including the metal positions generated the Dy by the crystan mirror plane). Two sites are found for the sulfide atom, and both of them reside on the crystallographic mirror plane. The multiple positions r Dy/Sc at this indicate that the DyScS cluster tends to ve more freely in the $C_{3\nu}(8)$ - C_{82} cage compared to the ion in the $C_{s}(6)$ - C_{82} cage, because the cage carbon m s are averaged to give an apparent C_{3v} symmetry sign fullerene. Similar internal dynamic behavior for [26] Sc₂O,[30] M₂ and M₂C₂ (M = Sc, Y, Lu)^[31-34] were also ported inside the $C_{3\nu}(8)$ - C_{82} cage previously.

The disordered positions of the DyScS cluster in DyScS@ $C_{3\nu}(8)$ -C₈₂ are shown in Figure S7 (Supporting Information). The major orientation of the DyScS cluster, which is modeled with Sc2i/Dy2Ai (with fractional pancy of 0.18), Dy1i/Sc1Ai (with fractional oco upancy of 0.28) and S1S (with fractional occupancy 0.34), is highlighted in orange, as shown in Figure 3b. This configuration is analogous to the major site of the Sc_2S cluster in $Sc_2S@C_{3\nu}(8)-C_{82}$ as well as the major site of the Dy₂S cluster in Dy₂S@ $C_{3\nu}(8)$ -C₈₂.^[10, 26] It's worth noting that the Sc1i/Dy1Ai site (with fractional occupancy of 0.14) shows almost identical occupancy with respect to Sc2i/Dy2Ai (with fractional occupancy of 0.18). Thus, it's reasonable to assign the DyScS cluster involving Sc1/Dy1Ai (with fractional occupancy of 0.14), Dy2/Sc2Ai (with fractional occupancy of 0.14) and S1S (with fractional occupancy of 0.34) to be the second major orientation considering their occupancies and the bonding distances (Figure 3b). Both orientations share a common sulfide. This result is in agreement with the previous study that showed that two major crystallographic Dy_2X sites exist for $Dy_2X@C_{3v}(8)$ -C₈₂ (X = S, O).^[9-10] DFT calculations for $Dy_2O@C_{3v}(8)$ -C₈₂ also confirmed that the two most stable conformers are almost isoenergetic within 0.2 kJ mol $^{\rm -1}.^{\rm [9]}$

WILEY-VCH



Figure 3. Perspective drawings show (a) the predominant sites of the DyScS cluster within the $C_s(6)-C_{82}$ cage; (b) the predominant sites of the DyScS cluster within the $C_{3\nu}(8)-C_{82}$ cage; (c) relative positions of two predominant sites of the DyScS cluster in DyScS@ $C_s(6)-C_{82}$; (d) relative positions of two predominant sites of the DyScS cluster in DyScS@ $C_s(6)-C_{82}$; (d) relative positions of two predominant sites of the DyScS cluster in DyScS@ $C_s(0)-C_{82}$. The DyScS unit is modeled with the major site shown in orange and the second major site shown in blue. The metal atoms labeled with 'i' are generated by the crystallographic operation.

Figures 3c and 3d show the predominant configurations of the DyScS cluster in DyScS@ $C_s(6)$ -Q and DyScS@ $C_{3\nu}(8)$ -C₈₂, respectively. Interestingly, Dy-S-Sc angle varies from 105.5°/107.8° DyScS@ $C_{s}(6)$ - C_{82} to 84.1°/85.7° in DyScS@ $C_{3v}(8)$ - C_{82} . In other words, the DyScS cluster is much less com in DyScS@ $C_s(6)$ -C₈₂ than in DyScS@ $C_{3\nu}(8)$ -C₈₂ lifferent cluster shapes within isomeric cages were also report for other Sc-based cluster fullerenes.[30, 35] r exam the Sc-S-Sc angle for Sc₂S@C_s(6)-C₈₂ is considerably larger than that of $Sc_2S@C_{3\nu}(8)$ -^[26] Likewise, the Sc-O-Sc angle for $Sc_2O@C_3$ (156.6°) is also larger than that reported $Sc_2O@C_{3\nu}(8)-C_{82}$ (131°) (see Table S1, Supporting Information).^[30, 35] Undoubtedly, the cage structure pl ۲S an important role on the endg dral cluster sh However, if a cluster contains netal ions the dimetallic cluster shape in different ison rs is much less flexible. For example, the cluster exhibits almost identical Dy bond lengths and cluster geometry in $Dy_2S@C_s(6)-C$) and in $Dy_2S@C_{3\nu}(8)$ y, the Dy-C₈₂ (94.4°).^[30] Simila ngle of the major ₈₂ (138.8°) is cluster in $Dy_2O@C_s(0)$ omparable to 39°) (see Table 51, Supporting that in $Dy_2O@C_{3\nu}(8)-C_{82}$ Information).^[9] Thus, cage tructures and metal ion sizes exert critical effects on he conformations of the elè clusters. More ochemical results also e metal in the metal confirmed that cluster exerts noticeable influence on their electrochemical be ior (Figure S8 and Table S2, Suppo formation

These determined covstal cluster geometries are promising for SMM properties. In each of the major and minor clusters, the Dy atoms are coordinated by sulfur on one side and 5- or 6-membered carbon rings from the fullerene cage on the other side, resulting in an axial ligand field. Of particular interest of are the short metalsulfur bond lengths of 2.15 Å to 2.50 Å, which is expected to result in a strong axial field and therefore large single-ion anisotropy, as has been seen in other Dy-based EMF SMMs.^[9] For comparison, we searched the Cambridge Structural Database for any molecules reporting Dy-S bonds (57 structu ith 194 Dy-S bonds) and plotted the bond dist ces a histogram (Figure 4). The median and leng is found to be 2.82 greater than 2.60 Å, Å, and nearly all lengths significantly larger than Dy-S b in DyScS@C82. The E₈₂,^[10] with Dy-S only exceptions are ers of Dyz lengths between 4 Å and 2 Å, and a coordination .298 Å.^[37] From this polymer with a y-S length of analysis, it is vident that t fullerene cage in DyScS@C82 stabl s Dy-S bong that are far shorter than typical analysis as recently performed sin for Dy₂O@C₈ hort Dy-O bond lengths vhere stabilized by the lerene cage were found to result in a / barrier (predicted to be on the very large anisot 400 cm order and good SMM performance.^[9] Furthermore, a ional study of the hypothetical EMFs DyScO@C72-82, which are oxide analogues of the presently studied EMFs, concluded that the predicted cal envirg ments of Dy coordinated by oxygen and bon, which are similar to the local environments we erve in DyScS@C₈₂, are of sufficiently high symmetry oh ench QTM up to the third excited states.^[19] to ore, both isomers of DyScS@C82 appear to possess uctures well-suited for establishing large riers to relaxation while also suppressing thermal QTM.



Figure 4. Histogram of Dy-S bond lengths reported in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD). The orange rectangle shows the range of Dy-S bond lengths observed in the two isomers of DyScS@C_{B2}.

SMM Properties for DyScS@ $C_s(6)$ -C₈₂ and DyScS@ $C_{3\nu}(8)$ -C₈₂

Both isomers of DyScS@C₈₂ show slow magnetic behavior at low temperature. Figure 5 shows the magnetic hysteresis loops taken while slowly ramping the field (2.5 mT sec⁻¹) at 2 K. Both compounds show broad, open loops with a typical "waist-restricted" shape that is characteristic of many SMMs. In these compounds, the widest hysteresis is seen at moderate magnetic fields, where quantum tunneling relaxation is suppressed and magnetic relaxation is at its slowest.

WILEY-VCH

Near H = 0 T, the loops narrow considerably as quantum tunneling becomes active and increases the rate of relaxation. In many compounds with particularly prominent tunneling relaxation, the waist-restriction is so severe that the loop is pinched to a point at H = 0 T, for example in HoSc₂N@C₈₀.^[38] On the other hand, in many of the dilanthanide EMF SMMs, where ferromagnetic exchange suppresses quantum tunneling, the waist restriction is considerably reduced or even eliminated.^[4, 9, 39] Both isomers of DyScS@C₈₂ sit in between these two extremes, with a moderate degree of waist-restriction, suggesting that the quantum tunneling is partially suppressed.



Figure 5. Both isomers of DyScS@C₈₂ show magnetic hysteries and irreversibility at low temperatures, consistent with single nolecule magnet behavior. The main panel shows magnetic hysteries loops taken at 2 K with a slow field sweep rate of 2.5 mT set. The initial shows magnetization vs. temperature under zero-field cooled (7.2) and field-cooled (FC) conditions, in each case taken up warming at a rate of 5 K min⁻¹ under an applied field of 0.3 T.

While the two isomers show very similar hysteresis behavior, subtle differences may be seen. In particular, $DyScS@C_{3\nu}(8)-C_{82}$ shows slightly broader hysteresis t $DyScS@C_{s}(6)-C_{82}$ under an apply agnetic field Sut also shows slightly more waist-restr ield. ear zerg suggests that, under field, This а $DyScS@C_{3\nu}(8)-C_{82}$ can be expected to longer $S@C_s(6)-C_{82}$, While that magnetic lifetime than trend will be reversed at zero As with all SMMs, the shape and width the hyster op are strongly dependent on the gnetic field b rate, with substantially more hys esis seen when the field is swept faster (Supporting I rmation Figure S9).

with the anetic hysteresis at low Consistent temperature, show irreversibility in measurements taken magnetization under zero-field-c ed (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) erature sweep rate of 5 K min⁻¹ conditions at a ten agnetic blocking temperature (Figur) the ZFC curve, is found to be $(T_{\rm B})$, define virtually identical for the two isomers (7.33 K for $DyScS@C_{s}(6)-C_{82}$ and 7.34 K for $DyScS@C_{3v}(8)-C_{82}$). Interestingly, these values are among the highest blocking temperatures reported for lanthanide-nonmetal endohedral clusters (Table S3, Supporting Information). Most notably, DyScS@C₈₂ shows much higher blocking temperatures than its di-lanthanide analogues $Dy_2S@C_{82}$ ($T_B \sim 2 \text{ K to } 4 \text{ K}$).^[10] This result is in contrast to the dysprosium nitride clusters, where isotopes of $Dy_2ScN@C_{82}$ outperform the monolanthanides $DySc_2N@C_{82}$.

To further explore the blg Ing **a**vior, we collected hysteresis loop tion of temperature, as a f shown in Figure 6. As temp is increased from 2 K, the loops narrow and the satur moment decreases (Figure 6a and 6b), field-swee ate used for this experiment (10 s⁻¹), mag etic hysteresis is still observed until an and T = 7 K, a can be seen in a plot of the coercive fi d *vs.* temperati e (Figure 6c).



Fi ure 6. Temperature-dependence of the magnetic hysteresis for DyScS@ $C_s(6)$ -C₈₂ and (b) DyScS@ $C_{3v}(8)$ -C₈₂, collected with a field sweep rate of 10 mT s⁻¹. (c) The coercive field (H_c) for each isomer as a function of temperature.

Having established the presence of single-molecule magnetism in both isomers of DyScS@C82, we then turned to measurements of the magnetic relaxation dynamics. Characteristic magnetic relaxation times as a function of temperature are typically collected using frequency-dependent AC magnetic susceptibility measurements. Unfortunately, we were unable to obtain sufficient AC susceptibility signal given the small amounts of sample isolated, as is frequently the case with EMF SMMs. This means that the sub-second magnetic relaxation dynamics expected at relatively high temperatures are inaccessible. However, below ~ 8K, the magnetic relaxation is slow enough to be probed using DC saturation-relaxation experiments, as shown in Figure 7. In these experiments, a field of 5 T is applied to the sample at a fixed temperature, and then rapidly ramped down to either 0 T or 0.3 T. Once the target field is hit, the DC magnetization is monitored as a function of time. The resulting decay in magnetization is fit to a model to extract a magnetic lifetime for the given temperature and magnetic field. The decay curves we

WILEY-VCH

collected at temperatures between 1.8 K and 2 K are well-fit using a stretched exponential decay function, yielding the relaxation time *vs.* temperature data presented in the Arrhenius plots (log(τ) *vs.* 1/*T*) in Figure 7(c-d). Additional details of the fits are provided in the Supporting Information Figures S10-14 and Tables S4-S7.



Figure 7. Characterization of magnetic relaxation times of $DyScS@C_{s}(6)-C_{82}$ (left panels) and $DyScS@C_{3v}(8)-C_{82}$ (right_panels) via DC magnetometry. (a) and (b) show representative, agnetic relaxation experiments, where the magnetization M is m itored as a function of time t after the application and subsequen amp do of a 5 T magnetic field to a target field of either 0 0.3 T colored lines indicate fits to stretched exponential f ctions, ch are used to extract the relaxation times. (c) ar the relaxation times extracted using these curves ures ranging from 8 K to 1.8 K, and for applied fields of 0 T and 0

Based on the waist-restricted shapes of he magnetic hysteresis loops, the app ication of a mode te magnetic field is expected ppress qua lım tunneling relaxation and therefore in nger magnetic lifetimes. Indeed, this behavior ed for both isomers of DyScS@C82, with low-te erature for the zero-field data, lifetimes on the order of m and hours for the 0.3 T data. tingly, this effect is more pronounced for DyScS than for DyScS@C_{3v}(8)-C₈₂, as edicted by the mer's greater seen in the hysteresis loops. degree of waist-restriction C₈₂ shows faster relaxation At zero field, DyScS@C_{3v}(by ab than DyScS@ t an order of magnitude; under a 0.3 T fi rend is reversed.

Typically, the vally activated elaxation in SMMs are fit with the Orbach equation, according to:



Where $U_{\rm eff}$ is the effective energy barrier to thermal relaxation, τ_0^{-1} is the attempt frequency, and $k_{\rm B}T$ is the Boltzmann constant times the temperature. However,

this linear relation, in general, only fits at high temperatures where other relaxation mechanisms are not active. Without high-temperature relaxation data from AC susceptibility measurements, it is difficult to draw definite conclusions about the mechanisms for the observed magnetic relaxations. e compounds, the thermal Orbach barrier to relaxa ased on the magnetic anisotropy would be ex cteo be on the order of several hundred K base n results from other Dy-based EMFs.^[4, 10, 40] Howe ese barriers cannot be fit with the present data. QTM ation is in principle temperature-indepe , and then e at 0T it would be expected to pla au as the t perature is decreased. In our OT date however, a efinite temperaturedependence of t relaxation tim is observed down to the lowest tempe ure measure Given that the fielddependence elaxatio times and the waistt restricted hy indicates the presence of esis QTM, it is not d what the origin for this behavior is; avior was observed for DySc₂N@C₈₀ however, similar b tatively a lained as a phonon bottleneck to and was energy dissipat mpts to fit our low-temperature data to Orbach processes yielded barriers between 3 K to 14 K and τ_0 between 2 s and 11 s (Figure S15 and ble S8, S porting Information).

Once again, it is of interest to compare the SMM performance of DyScS@C_{82} to those of Dy_2S@C_{82}, which were reported to display a non-waist-restricted bystalesis loop consistent with suppressed quantum

magnetization due to Dy-Dy ferromagnetic Interestingly, even though DyScS@C₈₂ does exchang not display such a complete suppression of tunneling, its magnetic relaxation times far exceed those of Dy₂S@C₈₂, even at zero field. At 1.8 K, the Cs and C3v isomers of Dy₂ OC₈₂ show zero-field magnetic lifetimes around 10 s 100 s, respectively.^[10] For DyScS@C₈₂, these an times are 1390(40) s and 150(1) s, respectively. lia pplication of a 0.3 T magnetic field further increases hese values up to $1.202(1) imes 10^4$ s and $\sim 5 imes 10^4$ s, respectively. For the same isomers of Dy₂O@C₈₂, the lifetimes are long at 1.8 K in zero field (10³ s to 10⁴ s), but are suppressed by the application of moderate magnetic fields.^[9]

The difference in behavior between DyScS@C₈₂ and $Dy_2S@C_{82}$ may be rationalized on the basis of the Dy-Dy exchange interaction in Dy2S@C82. Even though the ferromagnetic Dy-Dy interaction seems to suppress quantum tunneling, the overall observed relaxation times still plateau as temperature is decreased. This behavior can be explained by the fact that the exchange interaction is weak in di-lanthanide clusters, leading to low-lying excited exchange states. As a result, lowenergy Orbach processes, with barriers 15.2 K ($C_{s}(6)$ - C_{82}) and 6.5 K ($C_{3v}(8)$ - C_{82}), dominate the relaxation at low temperatures in $Dy_2S@C_{82}$.^[10] $DyScS@C_{82}$ has no such ferromagnetic exchange. Therefore, QTM is not as completely suppressed, but the exchange relaxation pathway is not available. Therefore, changing from mono-lanthanide to di-lanthanide clusters represents a tradeoff. In some Dy-based EMFs, the tradeoff of exchange relaxation for QTM suppression results in better performance for the dilanthanide.[40] In the Dy

sulfide clusters, however, the monolanthanide evidently far outperforms the dilanthanide.

Conclusion

In this work, two new dysprosium-containing mixed dimetallic sulfide clusterfullerenes, namely, DyScS@C₈₂ (I, II), have been successfully synthesized and characterized by mass spectrometry, Vis-NIR, cyclic voltammetry, single crystal X-ray diffractometry, and magnetic measurements. Crystallographic analyses revealed that DyScS@C₈₂ (I, II) possess $C_s(6)$ -C₈₂ and $C_{3\nu}(8)$ -C₈₂ cages, respectively. Notably, the metal ion size of the cluster exhibits a critical effect on the conformation of the cluster in the fullerene cages. Results from redox potentials also show that replacement of the metal in the metal cluster exerts a noticeable influence on their electrochemical behavior.

Both isomers of DyScS@C₈₂ are found to show very similar single molecule magnetic behavior with open hysteresis loops at low-temperature. The magnetic blocking temperatures are both around 7.3 K, among the highest reported values for clusterfullerene SMMs. This promising behavior is attributed to the strong axial field generated by short Dy-S. Notably, the SMM blocking temperatures and magnetic lifetimes far exceed those for the dimetallic sulfide EMF, Dy₂S@C₈₂. This result underlines the promise of ECFs with single, atoms and short metal-nonmetal contacts. Theref the (so far unreported) compound DyScO@C₈₂ may be expected to perform very well as a SMM. Furtherm ore, a recent report has shown that the identity of the diamagnetic metal in a Dy cluster can hav a large impact on the SMM properties, so the fu series compounds DyMX@C₈₂ (M = Y, Sc, Lu; X (O, S) fruitful research direction.

Acknowledgements

We thank the NSF, grant CHE-18/1317 and the Robert A. Welch Foundation for an endower thair to LE (r ant AH-0033) for generous support of the total of the work the National Science Foundation for the of the work The work at UC Santa Barbara though the MRSEC program, DMR 1720256 (Parth and for supporting the collaboration with UT El Part through the PREM program, DMR 1827745.

Keywords: keyword 1 • Nyword 2 • keyword 3 • keyword 4 • keyword 5

- [1] A. Popov, S. Yug, L. Chan, Cham. Rev. **2013**, *113*, 5989-6113
- [2] S. G. Minasian, E. Batista, C. H. Booth, D. L. Clark, J. M. Kenn, S. A. Kozimor, M. W. Lukens, R. L. Martin, D. K. Shuh, S. C. E. Shuber, J. Tullance, K. X.-d. Wen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 18052-10001
- Y.-S. Ding, N. F. Chilton, R. E. P. Winpenny, Y.-Z. Zheng, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 16071-16074; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 16305-16308.

- [4] D. S. Krylov, F. Liu, S. M. Avdoshenko, L. Spree, B. Weise, A. Waske, A. U. B. Wolter, B. Büchner, A. A. Popov, *Chem. Commun.* **2017**, *53*, 7901-7904.
- [5] L. Spree, A. A. Popov, *Dalton Trans.* **2019**, *48*, 2861-2871.
- [6] C. H. Chen, D. S. Krylov, S. M. Avdoshenko, F. Liu, L. Spree, R. Westerström, C. Bulbucan, M. Chaiarek, J. Dreiser, A. U. B. Wolter, B. Büchner, A. A. Popov, *Natural et al.* 2018, *10*, 11287-11292.
- [7] R. Westerström, J. Dreiser, C. Piananteze, Montuntwiler, S. Weyeneth, H. Brune, S. Disponi, F. Bolting, A. Popov, S. Yang, L. Dunsch, T. Greber, J. A. Chan. Soc. **2012**, *134*, 9840-9843.
- [8] D. S. Krylov, F. Liu, A. Martindenburg, J. Dree, V. Bon, S. Kaskel, A. U. B. Wolter, and Buchner, S. M. Avdovnenko, A. A. Popov, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, 11656-11672.
- [9] W. Yang, G. Alkos, F. Liu, S. Sudarkova, Y. Wang, J. Zhuang, H. Juang, X. Li, X. Zuang, B. Büchner, S. M. Avdoshenko, A. A. Popov, N. Juen, Adv. Sci. **2019**, 6, 1970119
- [10] C.-H. Chehart, S. K. Constant, M. Avdoshenko, F. Liu, L. Spree, R. Yau, A. Alvertis, L. Hozoi, K. Nenkov, A. Kostanyan, T. Greber, A. D. Wolter, A. A. Popov, *Chem. Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 6451-6465.
- K. Jack and S. Sch, eier, A. Kostanyan, N. A. Samoylova, Q. Deng, M. Rosenkard, S. Schiemenz, R. Westerström, T. Greber, B. Büchner, A. A. Popov, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, 54, 13411-13415; *Angew. Chem.* **2015**, *127*, 13609-13613.
- 54, 13411-13415; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 13609-13613.
 [2] C. Schluffer, L. Spree, A. Kostanyan, R. Westerström, A. Brande, purg, A. U. B. Wolter, S. Yang, T. Greber, A. A. Popov, Chem. Commun. 2018, 54, 9730-9733.
- [13] F. Liu, D. S. Krylov, L. Spree, S. M. Avdoshenko, N. A. amoylova, M. Rosenkranz, A. Kostanyan, T. Greber, A. U. B. Uniter, B. Büchner, A. A. Popov, *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*,
- [14] J. D. Nnehart, J. R. Long, Chem. Sci. 2011, 2, 2078-2085.
- [15] S. K. Gupta, T. Rajeshkumar, G. Rajaraman, R. Murugavel, *Chem. Sci.* **2016**, 7, 5181-5191.
- [16] N. F. Chilton, Inorg. Chem. 2015, 54, 2097-2099.
- Y.-C. Chen, J.-L. Liu, L. Ungur, J. Liu, Q.-W. Li, L.-F. Wang, Z.-P.
 Ni, L. F. Chibotaru, X.-M. Chen, M.-L. Tong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2016, 138, 2829-2837.
 - C. A. P. Goodwin, F. Ortu, D. Reta, N. F. Chilton, D. P. Mills, *Nature* **2017**, 548, 439-442.
- [19] M. K. Singh, G. Rajaraman, Chem. Commun. 2016, 52, 14047-14050.
- [20] F. Tuna, C. A. Smith, M. Bodensteiner, L. Ungur, L. F. Chibotaru, E. J. L. McInnes, R. E. P. Winpenny, D. Collison, R. A. Layfield, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2012**, *51*, 6976-6980; Angew. Chem. **2012**, *124*, 7082-7086.
- [21] S.-S. Liu, K. Lang, Y.-Q. Zhang, Q. Yang, B.-W. Wang, S. Gao, Dalton Trans. 2016, 45, 8149-8153.
- [22] W. Cao, C. Gao, Y.-Q. Zhang, D. Qi, T. Liu, K. Wang, C. Duan, S. Gao, J. Jiang, *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 5947-5954.
- [23] L. Dunsch, S. Yang, L. Zhang, A. Svitova, S. Oswald, A. A. Popov, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 5413-5421.
- [24] N. Chen, M. N. Chaur, C. Moore, J. R. Pinzon, R. Valencia, A. Rodriguez-Fortea, J. M. Poblet, L. Echegoyen, *Chem. Commun.* 2010 46, 4818-4820.
- [25] N. Chen, C. M. Beavers, M. Mulet-Gas, A. Rodriguez-Fortea, E. J. Munoz, Y. Y. Li, M. M. Olmstead, A. L. Balch, J. M. Poblet, L. Echegoyen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, 134, 7851-7860.
- [26] B. Q. Mercado, N. Chen, A. Rodriguez-Fortea, M. A. Mackey, S. Stevenson, L. Echegoyen, J. M. Poblet, M. H. Olmstead, A. L. Balch, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 6752-6760.
- [27] W. Krätschmer, L. D. Lamb, K. Fostiropoulos, D. R. Huffman, *Nature* **1990**, *347*, 354-358.
- [28] C. Schlesier, F. Liu, V. Dubrovin, L. Spree, B. Büchner, S. M. Avdoshenko, A. A. Popov, *Nanoscale* **2019**, *11*, 13139-13153.
- [29] M. Nie, L. Yang, C. Zhao, H. Meng, L. Feng, P. Jin, C. Wang, T. Wang, *Nanoscale* **2019**, *11*, 18612-18618.

- [30] Q. Tang, L. Abella, Y. Hao, X. Li, Y. Wan, A. Rodríguez-Fortea, J. M. Poblet, L. Feng, N. Chen, *Inorg. Chem.* **2016**, *55*, 1926-1933.
- [31] N. A. Samoylova, S. M. Avdoshenko, D. S. Krylov, H. R. Thompson, A. C. Kirkhorn, M. Rosenkranz, S. Schiemenz, F. Ziegs, A. U. B. Wolter, S. Yang, S. Stevenson, A. A. Popov, *Nanoscale* **2017**, *9*, 7977-7990.
- [32] H. Kurihara, X. Lu, Y. Iiduka, N. Mizorogi, Z. Slanina, T. Tsuchiya, S. Nagase, T. Akasaka, *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 1290-1292.
- [33] T. Inoue, T. Tomiyama, T. Sugai, T. Okazaki, T. Suematsu, N.
 Fujii, H. Utsumi, K. Nojima, H. Shinohara, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2004, *108*, 7573-7579.
- Y. Iiduka, T. Wakahara, K. Nakajima, T. Tsuchiya, T. Nakahodo,
 Y. Maeda, T. Akasaka, N. Mizorogi, S. Nagase, *Chem. Commun.* 2006, 2057-2059.
- [35] B. Q. Mercado, M. A. Stuart, M. A. Mackey, J. E. Pickens, B. S. Confait, S. Stevenson, M. L. Easterling, R. Valencia, A. Rodriguez-Fortea, J. M. Poblet, M. M. Olmstead, A. L. Balch, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 12098-12105.
- [36] F. H. Allen, Acta Crystallogr. B 2002, 58, 380-388.
- [37] Y.-K. He, Z.-B. Han, Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2007, 10, 1523-1526.
- [38] J. Dreiser, R. Westerström, Y. Zhang, A. A. Popov, L. Dunsch, K. Krämer, S.-X. Liu, S. Decurtins, T. Greber, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2014**, 20, 13536-13540.
- [39] G. Velkos, W. Yang, Y.-R. Yao, S. M. Sudarkova, X. Liu, B. Büchner, S. M. Avdoshenko, N. Chen, A. A. Popov, *Chem. Sci.* 2020, 11, 4766-4772.
- [40] R. Westerström, J. Dreiser, C. Piamonteze, M. Muntwiler, S. Weyeneth, K. Krämer, S.-X. Liu, S. Decurtins, A. Popov, S. Yang, L. Dunsch, T. Greber, *Phys. Rev. B* **2014**, *89*, 060406.

Entry for the Table of Contents

Insert graphic for Table of Contents here.



The crystallographic analyses of DyScS@ $C_s(6)$ -C₈₂ and DyScS@ $C_{3v}(8)$ -C₈₂ reveal that the replacement of one Dy by Sc exerts a noticeable influence on the conformation of the encapsulated cluster inside the fullerene cages relative to their Dy₂S analogues. Remarkably, both of them exhibit open magnetic hysteresis and slow magnetic relaxation, even at zero field. Their magnetic blocking temperatures are around 7.3 K, which are the highest record for a sulfurligated Dy SMM, and among the highest values reported for a pristine EMF.