UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Polynomial coefficients. Application to spin-spin splitting by N equivalent nuclei of spin I > 1/2

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2zx4r4mj

Journal Magnetic Resonance in Chemistry, 56(9)

ISSN 0749-1581

Author Perrin, Charles L

Publication Date 2018-09-01

DOI 10.1002/mrc.4745

Peer reviewed

Polynomial Coefficients. Application to Spin-Spin Splitting

by *N* Equivalent Nuclei of Spin I > 1/2

(Short Title: Spin-Spin Splitting by *N* Equivalent Nuclei of Spin I > 1/2)

Charles L. Perrin

Department of Chemistry, University of California—San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093-0358 cperrin@ucsd.edu

Abstract. The NMR intensity pattern of a nucleus split by *N* identical nuclei of spin 1/2 is given by the binomial coefficients. These are conveniently obtained from Pascal's Triangle, equivalent to the chemist's branching diagram. Much less well known is the pattern from splitting by *N* identical nuclei of spin I > 1/2. This was originally presented in terms of multinomial coefficients, but polynomial coefficients are more convenient. These describe the number of ways that *N* objects can be distributed to 2I+1 numbered boxes. They arise in the polynomial expansion and are conveniently obtained from generalizations of Pascal's Triangle. Examples and predictions are given.

Keywords. NMR, EPR, ²H, ¹⁴N, ³⁵Cl, ¹¹B, spin-spin splitting, multiplet pattern

Binomial Coefficients

It is well known that the NMR intensity pattern of a nucleus that is split by N identical nuclei of spin $I = \frac{1}{2}$ is an (N+1)-line multiplet with relative intensities given by the binomial

coefficients, C_r^{N} , in eq 1.^[1] The separation between successive lines is the coupling constant *J*. The binomial coefficients arise from the binomial expansion (eq 2).^[2] Eq. 3 then gives the probability of throwing *N* coins and obtaining *r* heads and *N*-*r* tails, or equivalently, the probability that *r* nuclei out of *N* are of spin $+1/_2$ and *N*-*r* nuclei are of spin $-1/_2$. The binomial coefficients are conveniently obtained from Pascal's Triangle (Fig. 1), where each coefficient is the sum of the two coefficients above it, according to eq 4. Chemists more customarily present this figure as a branching diagram,^[3,4] developed from splittings by successive nuclei, as shown in Fig. 2 for splitting by the three protons of an adjacent methyl group, with a separation between successive lines equal to the coupling constant *J*. Another example, from Fig. 1, is the intensity pattern of the central CH of isopropyl alcohol, (CH₃)₂CHOH, split by the six adjacent methyl protons into a seven-line multiplet with relative intensities 1:6:15:20:15:6:1. These correspond to the successive values 3, 2, 1, 0, -1, -2, -3 of the spin quantum number $M_{\rm I} = 1/_2N$ -*r*.

$$C_r^N =$$
(1)

$$(1+x)^{N} = \sum_{r=0}^{N} C_{r}^{N} x^{r}$$
(2)

$$P(r) = C_r^N (1/2)^N$$
(3)

$$C^{N+1}_{r} = C^{N}_{r-1} + C^{N}_{r}$$
(4)



Figure 1. Pascal's Triangle.



Figure 2. Splitting by three adjacent nuclei of spin 1/2.

Multinomial Coefficients

Much less well known is the NMR or EPR pattern from splitting by N identical nuclei of spin $I > \frac{1}{2}$. In particular, the EPR spectrum of tetracyanoethylene radical anion, (NC)₂C=C(CN)₂⁻, was puzzling, showing a nine-line multiplet with relative intensities

1:4:10:16:19:16:10:4:1.^[5] This is an example of splitting by four identical ¹⁴N nuclei, of spin I = 1, but these are clearly not the familiar binomial coefficients. Further unfamiliar sequences were presented for the relative intensities of both a 19-line EPR multiplet due to splitting by six equivalent chlorines of $I = \frac{3}{2}$ (but without distinguishing ³⁵Cl from ³⁷Cl, which have magnetic moments and coupling constants that are nearly, but not exactly, the same), ^[6] and a 25-line EPR multiplet due to splitting by eight equivalent ¹¹B atoms, also of $I = \frac{3}{2}$.^[7] In neither case was the method for obtaining the intensity pattern disclosed.

A brief explanation for such patterns was presented in terms of multinomial coefficients. ^[8] Multinomial coefficients, describing the number of ways that *N* objects can be distributed to 2*I*+1 boxes, with n_0 objects in box #0, n_1 objects in box #1, n_2 objects in box #2, etc., subject to the constraint of eq 5, are given in eq 6.^[9] These arise in the multinomial expansion (eq 7), although the usual treatment replaces 2*I* by the parameter *n*-1, ¹⁰ whereas we allow for the possibility that *I* is a half-integer. Then, if *N* coins are tossed into (2*I*+1) equally likely boxes, the probability of the distribution { n_1 }, with n_0 coins in box #0, n_1 coins in box #1, n_2 coins in box #2, etc., is given by eq 8. Eq 8 reduces to eq 3 for $I = \frac{1}{2}$. These multinomial coefficients are well-known to mathematicians, but their applicability to NMR is little known to chemists.

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2I} n_i = N \tag{5}$$

$$M^{N}_{\{n_{i}\}} =$$
 (6)

$$(\sum_{i=0}^{2I} x_i)^N = (x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{2I})^N = M^N_{\{n_i\}} \prod_{i=0}^{2I} x_i^{n_i}$$
(7)

$$P(\{n_{i}\}) = M^{N}_{\{n_{i}\}}$$
(8)

It is necessary to use sums of multinomial coefficients to describe the relative intensities P_r^N of the 2*NI*+1 peaks in an NMR or EPR multiplet due to splitting by *N* nuclei of spin *I*. Those intensities are given in eq 9, where the summation is only over those distributions $\{n_i\}$

where $\sum in_i = r$ and where the spin quantum number M_i , equal to r - NI, ranges from -NI to +NI.

$$P_r^N = \sum M_{\{n_i\}}^N \tag{9}$$

Polynomial Coefficients

This formulation, in terms of sums of multinomial coefficients, is awkward. Consequently, that early presentation,^[8] which also focused on moments of the distribution, is

not useful. It is more convenient to recognize the P_r^N as polynomial coefficients. These describe the number of ways that *N* objects can be distributed to 2*I*+1 boxes, numbered from -I to +I, such that the sum of the box numbers is *r*. They are called polynomial coefficients because they also arise in the expansion of a polynomial (eq 10). For example, the expansion of $(1+x+x^2)^4$ is $1 + 4x + 10x^2 + 16x^3 + 19x^4 + 16x^5 + 10x^6 + 4x^7 + x^8$, which reproduces the relative intensities above in the EPR spectrum of tetracyanoethylene radical anion. These polynomial coefficients are likewise well-known to mathematicians, but their applicability to NMR and EPR

is little known to chemists.

$$(\sum_{r=0}^{2I} x^{r})^{N} = (1 + x + x^{2} + \dots + x^{2I})^{N} = \sum_{r=0}^{2NI} P_{r}^{N} x^{r}$$
(10)

The polynomial coefficients are conveniently obtained from generalizations of Pascal's Triangle,^[11] where each coefficient is the sum of the 2*I*+1 coefficients above it. Thus, for *I* = 1 each coefficient is the sum of the three coefficients above it, according to eq 11 and as illustrated in Fig. 3. An example is the intensity pattern of the ¹³C NMR of methanol-*d*₃, split by the 3 adjacent deuteriums, of *I* = 1, which is a seven-line multiplet with relative intensities 1:3:6:7:6:3:1, as can also be seen from the chemists' branching diagram, shown in Fig. 4. Another example is the seven-line ¹H NMR multiplet of TpIrD₃H (Tp = hydridotrispyrazol-1-ylborato), split by three deuteriums.^[12] Again, the separation between successive lines is the coupling constant *J*. For *I* = $^{3}/_{2}$ each coefficient is the sum of the four coefficients above it in a generalized Pascal's triangle, according to eq 12 and as illustrated in Fig. 5. An example is the ¹H NMR spectrum of fluxional B₃H₈⁻, which shows a 10-line pattern from splitting by three equivalent ¹¹B of *I* = $^{3}/_{2}$,^[13] with relative intensities 1:3:6:10:12:12:10:6:3:1</sup> (although these ratios were not provided).

$$P^{N+1}_{r} = P^{N}_{r-2} + P^{N}_{r-1} + P^{N}_{r}$$
(11)

$$P^{N+1}_{r} = P^{N}_{r-3} + P^{N}_{r-2} + P^{N}_{r-1} + P^{N}_{r}$$
(12)

								(N=0)	1									
							(N=1)	1	1	1								
						(N=2)	1	2	3	2	1							
					(N=3)	1	3	6	7	6	3	1						
				(N=4)	1	4	10	16	19	16	10	4	1					
			(N=5)	1	5	15	30	45	51	45	30	15	5	1				
		(N=6)	1	6	21	50	90	126	141	126	90	50	21	6	1			
		1	7	28	77	161	266	357	393	357	266	161	77	28	7	1		
	1	8	36	112	266	504	784	1016	1107	1016	784	504	266	112	36	8	1	
1	9	45	156	414	882	1554	2304	2907	3139	2907	2304	1554	882	414	156	45	9	1
					Figu	ire 3. P	ascal's '	Triangl	e Gen	eralize	ed to I	= 1.						



Figure 4. Splitting by three adjacent nuclei of spin 1.

1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 4 3 2 1 1 3 6 10 12 12 10 6 3 1 1 4 10 20 31 40 44 40 31 20 10 4 1 1 5 15 35 65 101 135 155 155 135 101 65 35 15 5 1 1 6 21 56 120 216 336 456 546 580 546 456 336 216 120 56 21 6 1 1 7 28 84 203 413 728 1128 1554 1918 2128 2128 1918 1554 1128 728 413 203 84 28 7 1 1 8 36 120 322 728 1428 2472 3823 5328 6728 7728 8092 7728 6728 5328 3823 2472 1428 728 322 120 36 8 1

Figure 5. Pascal's Triangle Generalized to $I = \frac{3}{2}$.

A more elaborate pattern is the 19-line multiplet from splitting by nine identical nuclei of

I = 1, with relative intensities 1:9:45:156:414:882:1554:2304:2907:3139:2907:2304:1554:882: 414:156: 45:9:1, as in the last line displayed in Figure 3. This can be predicted for the ¹H NMR spectrum of isobutane- d_9 HC(CD₃)₃, which was characterized only as an incompletely deuterated material.^[14] Still moe elaborate is the ³¹P{¹H}NMR spectrum of (CD₃CH₂)₄P⁺, which is as yet unknown but which can be predicted to show a 25-line multiplet with relative intensities 1:12:78:352:1221:3432:8074:16236:28314:43252:58278:69576:73789:69576, etc. Other predictions for splitting by many nuclei of spin I = 1 or ³/₂ can be drawn from Figures 3 and 5, but they are more likely to appear in EPR spectra.

Summary

Beyond the familiar binomial coefficients, which specify the relative intensities for splitting by *N* identical nuclei of spin 1/2, there are little-known polynomial coefficients applicable to *N* nuclei of spin I > 1/2. These describe the number of ways that *N* objects can be distributed to 2I+1 boxes, numbered from -I to +I, such that the sum of the box numbers is *r*. Besides, they are the coefficients in the expansion of the polynomial $(1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^{2I})^N$, and they are readily obtained by generalizations of Pascal's triangle.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by a grant from the UCSanDiego Academic Senate.

TOC Graphic



References

- [] J. B. Lambert, H. F. Shurvell, D. A. Lightner, R. G. Cooks, *Organic Structural Spectroscopy*,
 Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, **1998**.
- ² [] M. Abramowitz, I. A. Stegun, Eds., "Binomial Coefficients" in *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, 9th printing, Dover, New York, §24.1.1, **1972**, p. 10, pp. 822-823.
- ³ [] R. A. Hoffman, S. Forsen, B. Gestblom. *Analysis of NMR Spectra: A Guide for Chemists*, Springer, Berlin, **1971**, p. 50.
- ⁴ [] N. E. Jacobsen, NMR Data Interpretation Explained: Understanding 1D and 2D NMR
 Spectra of Organic Compounds and Natural Products, John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken NJ, 2016,
 p. 31.
- ⁵ [] W. D. Phillips, J. C. Rowell, S. I. Weissman, J. Chem. Phys. 1960, 33, 626.
- ⁶ [] H. Sutcliffe, H. W. Wardale, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 5487.
- ⁷ [] F. Klanberg, D. R. Eaton, L. J. Guggenberger, E. L. Muetterties, *Inorg. Chem.* **1967**, *6*, 1271.
- ⁸ [] C. L. Perrin, J. E. Sanderson, *Mol. Phys.* **1968**, *14*, 395.
- ⁹ [] S. Tauber, Amer. Math. Monthly **1963**, 70, 1058.
- ¹⁰ [] C. L. Perrin, *Mathematics for Chemists*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, **1970**, p. 130.
- ¹¹ [] D. F. Koster, W. Jones, J. Chem. Educ. **1982**, 59, 289.
- ¹² [] C. E. Webster, D. A. Singleton, M. Szymanski, M. B. Hall, C. Zhao, G. Jia, Z. Lin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2001, *123*, 9822.
- ¹³ [] E. L. Muetterties, W. D. Phillips, Adv. Inorg Chem. & Radiochem. 1964, 4, 231.
- ¹⁴ [] A. Goeppert, A. Sassi, J. Sommer, P. M. Esteves, C. J. A. Mota, A. Karlsson, P. Ahlberg, J.
 Am. Chem. Soc. **1999**, *121*, 10628.