UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Pre- and perinatal complications in relation to Tourette syndrome and co-occurring obsessive-compulsive disorder and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/304682fz

Authors

Abdulkadir, Mohamed Tischfield, Jay A King, Robert A <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2016-11-01

DOI

10.1016/j.jpsychires.2016.07.017

Peer reviewed



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

JPsychiatr Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 November 01.

Published in final edited form as: *J Psychiatr Res.* 2016 November ; 82: 126–135. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2016.07.017.

Pre- and perinatal complications in relation to Tourette syndrome and co-occurring obsessive-compulsive disorder and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder

A full list of authors and affiliations appears at the end of the article.

Abstract

Pre- and perinatal complications have been implicated in the onset and clinical expression of Tourette syndrome albeit with considerable inconsistencies across studies. Also, little is known about their role in co-occurring obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) and attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in individuals with a tic disorder. Therefore, we aimed to investigate the role of pre- and perinatal complications in relation to the presence and symptom severity of chronic tic disorder and co-occurring OCD and ADHD using data of 1,113 participants from the Tourette International Collaborative Genetics study. This study included 586 participants with a chronic tic disorder and 527 unaffected family controls. We controlled for age and sex differences by creating propensity score matched subsamples for both case-control and withincase analyses. We found that premature birth (OR=1.72) and morning sickness requiring medical attention (OR=2.57) were associated with the presence of a chronic tic disorder. Also, the total number of pre- and perinatal complications was higher in those with a tic disorder (OR=1.07). Furthermore, neonatal complications were related to the presence (OR=1.46) and severity (b=2.27) of co-occurring OCD and also to ADHD severity (b=1.09). Delivery complications were only related to co-occurring OCD (OR=1.49). We conclude that early exposure to adverse situations during pregnancy is related to the presence of chronic tic disorders. Exposure at a later stage, at birth or during the first weeks of life, appears to be associated with co-occurring OCD and ADHD.

Contributors

[‡]Please address correspondence to: abdulkadir@dls.rutgers.edu (M. A.), Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Department of Genetics, 145 Bevier Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854-8082. Telephone number: 646-331-3444. ^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work.

[†]Deceased.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

M.A., G.A.H, P.J.H., and A.D. were involved in the organization, design, and execution of the research project, execution and critique of the statistical analysis; M.A. wrote the first draft of the manuscript, which was critically reviewed by J.A.T., G.A.H, P.J.H., and A.D. who were also involved in the conception of the research project. A.G.L. was involved in the recruitment of participants. The following authors were involved in the review, critique of the manuscript, and recruitment of participants: R.A.K., T.V.H., L.W.B., K.C., B.J.C., S.F.T.M.B., L.E., B.G., D.L.G., D.E.G., J.H., T.H., I.H., H.J.H., C.H., L.I., Y.K.K., Y.S.K., Y.J.K., S.D.K., S.K., A.L., B.L., M.G., A.M. (Maras), M.D.M., P.B., A.M. (Morer), A.M. (Münchau), T.L.M., T.J.C.O., K.J.P., J.J.G.R., V.R., O.F., E.Y.S., D.A.S., D.H.S., J.S., A.S., J.T., E.B., F.V., S.W., M.W., S.H.Z., M.W.S. All authors have approved the final article.

Keywords

Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder; Delivery; Obsessive-compulsive disorder; Pregnancy; Prenatal; Tourette Syndrome

Introduction

Chronic tic disorders are childhood-onset neuropsychiatric disorders characterized by the presence of multiple motor tics and/or one or more vocal tics persisting for at least one year (American Psychiatric Association, 2000). Tourette syndrome (TS) is the best studied chronic tic disorder. While family and twin studies have consistently indicated a genetic etiology for tic disorders, environmental factors are also involved (Mataix-Cols et al., 2015; Price et al., 1985). Pre- and perinatal complications are particularly important environmental factors associated with many neuropsychiatric disorders (Tomasovi et al., 2012) and have also been implicated in tic disorders (Chao et al., 2014; Hoekstra et al., 2013). Pioneering work by Pasamanick and Kawi reported that mothers of children with tics experienced pre- and perinatal complications 1.5 times more often compared to mothers of children without tics (Pasamanick and Kawi, 1956).

Although there has been a steady, albeit slow, increase in the number of studies investigating pre- and perinatal complications in association with TS (see Chao et al., 2014 for a recent review), findings across studies have been remarkably inconsistent. This makes it difficult to draw valid conclusions with regard to the role of pre- and perinatal factors (7). For example, maternal smoking during pregnancy was associated with TS in some studies (Cubo et al., 2014; Mathews et al., 2006), but not in others (e.g., Bos-Veneman et al., 2010; Mathews et al., 2014; Motlagh et al., 2010). Another example is younger maternal age, that was identified as a factor for TS in one study (Khalifa and von Knorring, 2005), whereas other studies reported no association (Burd et al., 1999; Motlagh et al., 2010). This emphasizes the need for additional studies.

Study design limitations and use of small sample sizes most likely contributed to these inconsistent findings. That is, most epidemiological studies of general population samples typically lacked clinician-confirmed diagnosis of a tic disorder and/or included relatively few affected individuals (e.g., Atladóttir et al., 2007; Mathews et al., 2014), whereas clinical samples may have been biased by over-representation of more severe cases (Leckman et al., 1990; Saccomani et al., 2005). Finally, possible confounding variables, such as socio-economic status (SES), parity, and parental age, have not always been taken into account (Bos-Veneman et al., 2010; Mathews et al., 2006; Pringsheim et al., 2009).

Another largely unresolved issue, due to the scarcity of studies, is the role of pre- and perinatal factors in relation to the expression of the disease, i.e., tic symptom severity and the presence and/or severity of co-occurring conditions (Chao et al., 2014). Preliminary evidence has indicated maternal smoking as a possible risk factor not only for the diagnosis of a tic disorder but also severity of tics (Bos-Veneman et al., 2010; Mathews et al., 2006). Two of the most frequent co-occurring conditions are attention–deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD; present in 40–60% of cases, Roessner et al., 2007) and obsessive-compulsive

disorder (OCD; present in 30–50% of cases, Wanderer et al., 2012). In co-occurring OCD, older paternal age (Mathews et al., 2006) and forceps delivery (Santangelo, 1994) have been implicated, whereas low birth weight, premature birth, and maternal smoking were associated with co-occurring ADHD (Leivonen et al., 2015a; Pringsheim et al., 2009), but these findings have not been replicated (Chao et al., 2014).

The aim of the present study was to investigate the role of a broad set of pre- and perinatal complications in relation to diagnosis and symptom severity of TS and other chronic tic disorders, and to the presence and severity of co-occurring OCD and ADHD within the Tourette International Collaborative Genetics (TIC Genetics) study (Dietrich et al., 2015). This study used a large, well-characterized sample of children and adults with a wide range of symptom levels and unaffected family controls. We first distinguished presence of any pregnancy, delivery, and neonatal complication, and subsequently investigated the roles of specific complications and an overall adversity score (cumulative score of all 38 complications).

Materials and Methods

Sample description

Prior to matching on age and sex, our study sample included 1,113 participants (586 cases with a chronic tic disorder; mean age = 23.6, SD = 17 years, range = 3-79 years, 66.7%males; and 527 unaffected family members as controls; mean age= 43.9, SD = 13.2 years; range = 2-83 years, 47.6% males) as part of the TIC Genetics study (Dietrich et al., 2015), recruited between September 2011 and June 2014 across 24 sites in the USA, Europe, and South Korea. We addressed these age and sex differences by applying propensity matching (see statistical analyses section below). This study was established as a comprehensive gene discovery effort for TS by focusing on rare genetic variants within parent-child trios and multiply-affected family pedigrees including both patients and unaffected family members, as described in more detail elsewhere (Dietrich et al., 2015). Investigating the role of environmental factors is a secondary aim of the study. Inclusion criterion of cases was presence of TS or another chronic tic disorder according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders Fourth edition, Text Revision (DSM-IV-TR, American Psychiatric Association, 2000); controls were family members of cases without the presence of any type of tic disorder, while other disorders or symptoms were allowed. Subjects were not excluded based on age, gender, race, ethnicity, or IQ. All adult participants and parents of children provided written informed consent along with written or oral assent of their participating child. The Institutional Review Board of each participating site approved the study.

Diagnostic assessment and instruments

Each site followed the same standardized assessment procedures including completion of adult self-report or parent-on-child questionnaires to assess subjects' demographics, medical history, psychopathology, selected environmental factors, and family members' psychiatric history (Dietrich et al., 2015). These questionnaires were subsequently reviewed during a semi-structured clinical interview by clinicians who assigned a lifetime clinical diagnosis of

a tic disorder, OCD, and/or ADHD based on the DSM-IV-TR (American Psychiatric Association, 2000). To ensure consistent application of diagnostic criteria for both within and across sites, all clinicians were in continuous dialog with a Phenotype Assessment Sub-Committee that provided training and guidance to resolve and reach consensus in ambiguous cases (Dietrich et al., 2015).

Assessment of tic severity was based on a modified version of the Yale Global Tic Severity Scale (YGTSS, Leckman et al., 1989; Storch et al., 2005), excluding the sections relating to the number and complexity of tics (Dietrich et al., 2015). The tic severity dimensions (i.e., frequency, intensity, and interference) were each rated from 0 to 5 for "worst ever" motor and phonic tics, and then combined into a sum score of total "worst ever" tic severity (range 0–30).

The Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale (Y-BOCS, Goodman et al., 1989a, 1989b) or the children's version (Scahill et al., 1997), as appropriate, was used to assess severity of obsessions and compulsions across five severity dimensions (time spent, interference, distress, resistance, and level of control), each rated from 0 to 4. Obsessive-compulsive severity was based on the sum score of "worst ever" obsessions and compulsion scores (range 0–40).

The number of ADHD symptoms (range 0–18) was used as a rating of ADHD severity. Clinicians assessed the presence of ADHD symptoms by reviewing parent-based Swanson Nolan and Pelham-IV (SNAP-IV, Bussing et al., 2008; Swanson, 1992; Swanson et al., 2001) rating scale, capturing each nine DSM-IV items of inattention and hyperactivity-impulsivity symptoms; symptoms had to be present during childhood when the child was not taking medication for ADHD. In adults, it was based on a review of SNAP-IV self-report of their situation as a child when not taking medication for ADHD; often the recall of this information was aided with input from their parents. Finally, as a proxy for SES we used the mean of both parents' education level (1= less than 7 years of schooling to 7= graduate/ professional degree).

Pregnancy, delivery, and neonatal complications

We used the Modified Schedule for Risk and Protective Factors Early in Development (Walkup and Leckman, 1988) self-report or parent-on-child report questionnaire, capturing 38 possible adverse situations (see Table 3), which we sub-divided into three categories, i.e., pregnancy (14 items); delivery (8 items); and neonatal complications (16 items). For the adult participants, this information was aided with input from their parents.

Statistical analyses

We conducted (i) case-control analyses regarding differences in the frequencies of pre- and perinatal factors; and (ii) analyses within cases, looking at differences in clinical characteristics (i.e., tic severity and presence and severity of co-occurring OCD and ADHD) in cases exposed and unexposed to a pre- and perinatal complication. Given the wide age range of individuals included in this sample and to control for sex and age differences, we created subgroups of individuals propensity-matched on age and sex, for both the case-control analyses as well as the within-case analyses, using the SPSS plugin psmatching3

(Thoemmes, 2012). The matched case control dataset was obtained from the total pool of available participants (N=1,113) allowing us to create a well-matched comparison. We did not include controls under the age of 8 as younger control subjects might still develop a tic disorder. The propensity scores were calculated using logistic regression followed by nearest neighbor matching. The matching algorithm was set to a maximum 1:5 ratio (of cases versus controls and exposed versus unexposed cases, respectively) to increase power and reduce bias (Thoemmes, 2012). To avoid potentially false-positive results due to testing of a large number of exposure variables, we chose a two-stepped approach in which we only investigated individual complications when there was an indication of involvement of any pregnancy, delivery, or neonatal complications, respectively. That is, as first steps, we compared the age- and sex-matched cases and controls on the presence of at least one versus no pregnancy, delivery, and neonatal complication, respectively using Pearson's chi-square test or the Fisher's exact test when the number of exposed cases or controls was less than 5, and additionally investigated the number of complications for each category. As second step, we performed follow-up analyses into underlying individual complications when any of the investigations with regard to the three categories yielded a P < 0.10. Third, we investigated the overall adversity score (i.e., cumulative score of all 38 complications) using Mann-Whitney test and a logistic regression.

Within cases, we used a similar two-stepped approach. Here, for each type of exposure under investigation (i.e., presence of at least one pregnancy, delivery, or neonatal complication, respectively), exposed cases were matched on age and sex with unexposed cases using propensity scores, again to a maximum 1:5 ratio. The matched dataset for each type of exposure was obtained from the total pool of available cases (N=586), resulting in datasets with differing sample sizes, ranging from the largest dataset that included 557 cases to the smallest dataset that included 86 cases (see supplemental information). Then, severity scores between exposed and unexposed cases were compared with Student's t-tests and presence of a co-occurring diagnosis with a Pearson's chi-square test. Again, when the investigations into any one of the three categories (i.e., at least one pregnancy, delivery, or neonatal complication) yielded a P < 0.10, this was followed up with additional analyses into underlying individual complications, for which we also created exposed and unexposed groups propensity-matched on age and sex. For all analyses we present both unadjusted and results considering SES (Miller et al., 2013), parity (Leivonen et al., 2015b), and paternal age (Leivonen et al., 2015a) as potential confounders if they were associated with clinical ratings and presence of pre- and perinatal complications. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS, version 22 using a significance level of P < 0.05 (two sided); given the seven case-control comparisons the significance level corrected for multiple testing of the case-control comparisons would be 0.05/7 = 0.0075; the significance level corrected for multiple testing for the analyses within cases would be 0.05/15 = 0.0038.

Results

Age- and sex-propensity matched groups

The demographic and clinical characteristics of the age- and sex-propensity matched cases and controls can be found in Table 1 and the demographics of the matched groups of

exposed versus unexposed cases in Table 2. For presentation purposes, the frequencies of the individual pre- and perinatal complications in matched cases versus controls are shown in Table 3. Note that propensity matching resulted in a reduced sample size of cases in our case-control analyses because of a higher proportion of children in cases than controls, and therefore no suitable age-matches were found for a number of cases. Similarly for the within-case analyses, finding age- and sex-matched unexposed cases for each exposed case was not possible, which resulted in a reduction of the number of case-control comparisons. Parity and paternal age were not related to pre- and perinatal complications and the clinical variables (all P values >0.05) and therefore did not meet criteria for a confounder; thus, in the following, we present unadjusted results and results adjusted for only SES.

Comparisons between cases and controls

As shown in Table 4, we found that significantly more cases than controls had been exposed to at least one pregnancy complication and, additionally, that the number of pregnancy complications was significantly higher in cases than controls. Both premature birth and morning sickness requiring medical attention were significant individual factors in the follow-up analyses. These results remained significant after SES adjustment. In addition, the result found for at least one pregnancy complication (SES adjusted Wald=7.72, degrees of freedom=2, *P*=0.005) remained statistically significant after correction for SES and multiple testing. Of note, we did not find a difference between cases and controls with regard to maternal smoking (SES unadjusted χ^2 =0.01, degrees of freedom=1, *P*=1.0; SES adjusted Wald=0.02, degrees of freedom=2, *P*=0.89).

Cases and controls did not differ regarding the presence of at least one delivery or neonatal complication, and neither on the number of delivery and neonatal complications. However, the total number of complications was significantly higher in cases than controls both before and after SES adjustment.

As shown in table 1, the rate of OCD in the controls was clearly higher than the population prevalence of OCD. Therefore, we performed additional analyses within the controls and compared those with and without OCD for differences in pregnancy, delivery, and neonatal complications, but found no significant differences. Thus it is not likely that the higher than expected rate of OCD in controls would have obscured our results.

Role of pre- and perinatal factors within cases (Table 5)

Tic severity—Our analyses did not indicate a role of pregnancy and delivery complications on tic severity, including maternal smoking (SES unadjusted t=-1.01, degrees of freedom=130, *P*=0.29; SES adjusted t=-0.72, degrees of freedom=2, *P*=0.47). However, tic severity was significantly lower in cases that had experienced at least one neonatal complication, both before and after adjustment for SES. In our follow-up analyses we found that breathing problems during the first two weeks of life was a significant variable for tic severity after SES adjustment.

OCD diagnosis—Cases that had been exposed to at least one delivery or one neonatal complication had a higher frequency of a comorbid OCD diagnosis than cases without any

of these complications. Follow-up analyses indicated four significant individual complications, i.e., prolonged labor, forceps delivery, suctioning of the airway, and a prolonged hospital stay. Overall, results remained statistically significant after SES adjustment.

OCD severity—OCD severity in cases exposed to at least one pregnancy or neonatal complication was significantly higher than in unexposed cases. While we found no significantly associated individual pregnancy complications, significant neonatal complications were delay in breathing and suctioning of the airway immediately after birth. Results remained significant or yielded a P < 0.10 after adjustment for SES.

ADHD diagnosis—Presence of at least one neonatal complication regarding the odds of having a co-occurring ADHD diagnosis yielded a P value < 0.10. Follow-up analyses showed a significant result only for jaundice requiring medical attention, both before and after adjustment for SES. There was no significant result for the presence of at least one pregnancy or delivery complication.

ADHD severity—Cases with at least one neonatal complication had significantly more severe ADHD symptoms than cases without any neonatal complication. Subsequent analyses showed that weak abnormal cry immediately after birth and jaundice requiring medical attention were significant individual complications. Most results were significant before and after adjustment for SES. No significant differences in ADHD severity were found between cases with and without at least one pregnancy or at least one delivery complication.

For presentation purposes, a full listing of the descriptives for all individual pre- and perinatal complications regarding the within-case analyses can be found in supplementary Table S1–3.

Discussion

This study investigated the association of pre- and perinatal complications with lifetime chronic tic disorders and co-occurring OCD and ADHD in clinically well-characterized children and adults matched on age and sex from a large international genetic study cohort (Dietrich et al., 2015). The total number of pre- and perinatal complications was higher in individuals with a tic disorder, mostly due to the higher number of pregnancy complications. Specifically there was a higher frequency of premature birth and morning sickness requiring medical attention. Two previous studies (Motlagh et al., 2010; Pasamanick and Kawi, 1956) also found a higher number of pregnancy complications in individuals with a tic disorder diagnosis, although negative studies have also been reported (Burd et al., 1999; Kondo and Nomura, 1982). In contrast, smoking during pregnancy, implicated by some studies (Cubo et al., 2014) but not others (Leivonen et al., 2015a; Mathews et al., 2014), was not associated with a diagnosis of a chronic tic disorder in our sample. Also, we found no evidence for an association of delivery and neonatal complications with tic disorders. Most of our non-significant findings are broadly consistent with the literature, including the negative findings of maternal smoking and low birth weight (Burd et al., 1999; Leivonen et al., 2015a;

Mathews et al., 2014; Motlagh et al., 2010), thus indicating that these perinatal adversities do not play a role in tic disorders.

Analyses within individuals with a chronic tic disorder showed that co-occurring OCD was associated with pregnancy, delivery, and neonatal complications, notably prolonged labor and forceps delivery and problems relating to breathing, such as delay in breathing immediately after birth and suctioning of the airway. In agreement with our findings, two other studies (Geller et al., 2008; Vasconcelos et al., 2007) found that prolonged labor was associated with OCD diagnosis; also, our finding regarding forceps delivery and OCD diagnosis is in line with one other study (Santangelo, 1994). However, our results regarding co-occurring OCD are in contrast to Mathews et al. (Mathews et al., 2006) who had identified maternal smoking as the most important exposure.

In contrast to our OCD findings, only neonatal complications appeared to be related to ADHD severity. Jaundice requiring medical attention and weak abnormal cry immediately after birth both were significantly associated with ADHD. In a previous study (Bos-Veneman et al., 2010), we did not find a role for neonatal complications affecting ADHD severity in children with tic disorder, most likely due to the small sample size. Our nonsignificant results regarding pregnancy and delivery complications are in agreement with previous studies (Bos-Veneman et al., 2010; Mathews et al., 2006; Motlagh et al., 2010), except for our negative finding regarding maternal smoking that has previously been implicated in both the presence (Leivonen et al., 2015a; Motlagh et al., 2010; Pringsheim et al., 2009) and severity (Bos-Veneman et al., 2010) of co-occurring ADHD in individuals with a tic disorder. Yet TS with co-occurring ADHD may be etiologically distinct from ADHD as such (Spencer et al., 1998).

However, more recent studies have suggested that previously implicated associations of ADHD with maternal smoking could be attributed to environmental or genetic confounding rather than smoking per se (Langley et al., 2012; Lindblad and Hjern, 2010; Skoglund et al., 2014; Thapar and Rutter, 2009). Our design using family controls may have reduced such confounding (Knopik, 2009; Obel et al., 2015) and suggests no role for maternal smoking with regard to co-occurring ADHD nor presence and severity of tics. While one study had found prenatal maternal smoking to be strongly correlated with increased tic severity, this may have been confounded by not taking SES into account (Mathews et al., 2006). Also in other neurodevelopmental disorders in which a role for maternal smoking had been implicated (Hultman et al., 2002; Kalkbrenner et al., 2012; Stathopoulou et al., 2013), studies conducted with larger sample sizes or with appropriate correction for confounding factors such as SES did not confirm an effect of smoking (Cannon et al., 2002; Knopik, 2009; Larsson et al., 2005; Lee et al., 2012). Still, there may be an effect of heavy maternal smoking (>10 cigarettes per day) on co-occurring ADHD (Motlagh et al., 2010).

Absent evidence for low birth weight with regard to co-occurring ADHD in our study contrasts with studies on ADHD as such (Breslau et al., 1996; Mick et al., 2002). However, as our sample had only a limited number of cases with low birth weight (2500 grams at birth), our study may have been underpowered to detect possible associations with low birth weight, especially as the more pronounced effects for birth weight in neurodevelopmental

disorders have often been found for the very low birth weight category (1500 grams at birth) (Abel et al., 2010), of which our sample most likely had very few.

A surprising result in our study was the lower tic severity in those with neonatal complications. The literature on neonatal complications in relation to tic severity is limited (see Chao et al., 2014) and the only other study (Bos-Veneman et al., 2010) that evaluated this factor found no association. One possible explanation for this inverse relationship may be that environmental factors are linked to different pathways than genetic factors, leading to a phenotype characterized by milder tics compared to tics that are mainly caused by genetic factors. To confirm this hypothesis replication is required and therefore the inverse association found in this study should be interpreted with caution.

Major strengths of our study included the large sample size of well-characterized individuals and the wide range of tic severities compared to studies with only clinically ascertained individuals (Leckman et al., 1990; Saccomani et al., 2005), spanning individuals typically found in clinical as well as general population-based samples. Unlike most studies (Khalifa and von Knorring, 2005; Mathews et al., 2014; Motlagh et al., 2010; Pringsheim et al., 2009; Saccomani et al., 2005), our study sample consisted predominantly of adults, and because we assessed lifetime history of tics and co-occurring diagnosis, our results have not been influenced by the waxing and waning course of tics and the possibility of late onset OCD remaining undiscovered as in child samples.

We acknowledge that the retrospective collection of worst-ever clinical symptoms and of pre- and perinatal complications is a limitation, although evidence supports accurate maternal long-term recall for the latter (Rice et al., 2007). It should also be noted that our study cohort was a convenience sample including individuals ascertained for a genetic study on TS. Furthermore, our controls were family members (i.e., a mixture of relatives and married-in individuals) that may not be representative of the general population (e.g., by having higher rates of psychopathology); however, this may have had the advantage of controls having a similar background as our cases. Moreover, although we cannot fully rule out potential bias through demographic differences in occurrence of pre- and perinatal complications between cases and controls, we believe that this may have been counteracted by the use of family controls and the large sample size. Finally, most of our findings do not meet the stringent significance level when correcting for multiple testing; however, we believe this would be too stringent since it inflates type II error ruling out potentially important findings especially of modest effect (Rothman, 1990). Despite that we want to highlight that the finding of the presence of at least one pregnancy complication in relation to a chronic tic disorder diagnosis is above the multiple testing threshold.

In conclusion, our study provides evidence for an association of pregnancy complications, but not delivery and neonatal complications with a tic disorder diagnosis; an association of pregnancy, delivery, and neonatal complications with co-occurring OCD; and of neonatal complications with co-occurring ADHD. Overall, our findings suggest that early exposures to adverse situations (during pregnancy) are more prominently associated with tic disorders, and exposures at a later stage (at birth or during the first weeks of life) largely with the presence and severity of co-occurring OCD and ADHD in individuals with tic disorders. In

line with findings from related neurodevelopmental disorders, we found insufficient evidence to implicate any single pre- or perinatal complication (Cannon et al., 2002; Gardener et al., 2011). Underlying biological mechanisms may be the involvement of epigenetic changes that may lead to altered gene expression and/or altered brain development that could result in onset of a tic disorder (Mathews et al., 2006).

Our study highlights the importance of carefully providing optimal pregnancy and perinatal care to families with a history of chronic tic disorders. However, without a clear causal link between the factors investigated in this study and a chronic tic disorder, more studies should be directed towards better understanding of potential mechanisms involved in these complications and the complex interplay between environmental factors and genetic risk factors. The implicated environmental factors in this study could serve as an excellent starting point for these studies.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Authors

Mohamed Abdulkadir, M.Sc.^{1,2,‡}, Jay A. Tischfield, Ph.D.¹, Robert A. King, M.D³, Thomas V. Fernandez, M.D.³, Lawrence W. Brown, M.D.⁴, Keun-Ah Cheon, M.D., Ph.D.⁵, Barbara J. Coffey, M.D, M.Sc.^{6,7}, Sebastian F. T. M. de Bruijn, M.D., Ph.D.⁸, Lonneke Elzerman, M.Sc.⁹, Blanca Garcia-Delgar, M.D.¹⁰, Donald L. Gilbert, M.D., M.Sc.¹¹, Dorothy E. Grice, M.D.⁶, Julie Hagstrøm, M.Sc.¹², Tammy Hedderly, FRCPCH MBBS, B.Sc.¹³, Isobel Heyman, M.D., Ph.D.¹⁴, Hyun Ju Hong, M.D., Ph.D.¹⁵, Chaim Huyser, M.D., Ph.D.¹⁶, Laura Ibanez-Gomez, M.A.^{6,7}, Young Key Kim, M.D.¹⁷, Young-Shin Kim, M.D, Ph.D.¹⁸, Yun-Joo Koh, Ph.D.¹⁹, Sodahm Kook, M.D.²⁰, Samuel Kuperman, M.D.²¹, Andreas Lamerz, M.D.²², Bennett Leventhal, M.D.¹⁸, Andrea G. Ludolph, M.D., Ph.D.^{23,†}, Marcos Madruga-Garrido, M.D.²⁴, Athanasios Maras, M.D.^{9,25}, Marieke D. Messchendorp, M.Sc.², Pablo Mir, M.D., Ph.D.²⁶, Astrid Morer, M.D., Ph.D.²⁷, Alexander Münchau, M.D.²⁸, Tara L. Murphy, M.Sc.¹⁴, Thaïra J. C. Openneer, M.Sc.², Kerstin J. Plessen, M.D., Ph.D.¹², Judith J. G. Rath, M.D.⁸, Veit Roessner, M.D., Ph.D.²⁹, Odette Fründt, M.D.³⁰, Eun-Young Shin, M.D.⁵, Deborah A. Sival, M.D., Ph.D.³¹, Dong-Ho Song, M.D.⁵, Jungeun Song, M.D., Ph.D.³², Anne-Marie Stolte, M.Sc.³³, Jennifer Tübing, M.D.²⁸, Els van den Ban, M.D., Ph.D.³⁴, Frank Visscher, M.D.³⁵, Sina Wanderer, M.Sc.²⁹, Martin Woods, M.Sc.¹³, Samuel H. Zinner, M.D.³⁶, Matthew W. State, M.D., Ph.D.¹⁸, Gary A. Heiman, Ph.D.^{1,*}, Pieter J. Hoekstra, M.D., Ph.D.^{2,*}, and Andrea Dietrich, Ph.D.^{2,*}

Affiliations

¹Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey, Department of Genetics and the Human Genetics Institute of New Jersey, Piscataway, NJ, USA ²University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Groningen, The Netherlands ³Yale Child Study Center and Department of Psychiatry, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

⁴Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA, USA ⁵Yonsei University College of Medicine, Severance Hospital, Seoul, South Korea ⁶Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA ⁷Nathan S. Kline Institute for Psychiatric Research, Orangeburg, NY, USA ⁸Haga Teaching Hospital, Department of Neurology, The Hague, The Netherlands ⁹Yulius Academy and Division Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Yulius Mental Health Organization, Barendrecht, The Netherlands ¹⁰Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Psychology, Institute of Neurosciences, Hospital Clinic Universitari, Barcelona, Spain ¹¹Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH, USA ¹²Child and Adolescent Mental Health Center, Mental Health Services, Capital Region of Denmark and Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Denmark ¹³Evelina London Children's Hospital GSTT, Kings Health Partners AHSC, London, UK ¹⁴Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children, and UCL Institute of Child Health, London, UK ¹⁵Hallym University Sacred Heart Hospital, Anyang, South Korea ¹⁶De Bascule, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; AMC Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Amsterdam, The Netherlands ¹⁷Yonsei Bom Clinic, South Korea ¹⁸Department of Psychiatry, University of California, San Francisco, USA ¹⁹Korea Institute for Children's Social Development, Seoul, South Korea ²⁰MyongJi Hospital, Koyang, South Korea ²¹University of Iowa Carver College of Medicine, Iowa City, IA USA ²²Triversum, Center for Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Alkmaar, The Netherlands ²³University of Ulm, Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Ulm, Germany ²⁴Sección de Neuropediatría, Instituto de Biomedicina de Sevilla, Hospital Universitario Virgen del Rocío/CSIC/Universidad de Sevilla, Seville, Spain ²⁵Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Erasmus Medical Center-Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam, The Netherlands ²⁶Unidad de Trastornos del Movimiento. Instituto de Biomedicina de Sevilla (IBiS). Hospital Universitario Virgen del Rocío/CSIC/Universidad de Sevilla, Seville, Spain ²⁷Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Psychology, Institute of Neurosciences, Hospital Clinic Universitari Barcelona, Spain; Institut d'Investigacions Biomediques August Pi i Sunyer (IDIPABS) and Centro de Investigacion en Red de Salud Mental (CIBERSAM), Spain ²⁸Institute of Neurogenetics, University of Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany ²⁹Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, TU Dresden, Germany ³⁰University Hospital Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany ³¹University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Department of Pediatrics, Groningen, The Netherlands ³²National Health Insurance Service Ilsan Hospital, Goyang-si, South Korea ³³Accare, Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Groningen, The Netherlands ³⁴Youth Division, Altrecht, Institute for Mental Health, Utrecht, The Netherlands ³⁵Admiraal De Ruyter Ziekenhuis, Department of Neurology, Goes, The Netherlands ³⁶University of Washington, Department of Pediatrics, Seattle, WA, USA

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank the families who have participated in and contributed to this study. We are grateful to NJCTS for facilitating the inception and organization of the TIC Genetics study. We also like to thank all of the individuals

involved in recruitment and assessment of the subjects reported in this study: Denmark: Heidi B. Biernat, Nikoline Frost (Copenhagen); Germany: Stephanie Enghardt, Yvonne Friedrich, Christiane Michel (Dresden); Jenny Schmalfeld, Julia Bohnenpoll (Lübeck); Hanife Kling, Ariane Saccarello, Claudia Lührs da Silva (Ulm); Spain: María T. Cáceres, Fátima Carrillo, Marta Correa, Pilar Gómez-Garre, Laura Vargas (Sevilla); The Netherlands: Noor Tromp (Alkmaar); Vivian op de Beek (Amsterdam); Jolanda Blom, Rudi Bruggemans, MariAnne Overdijk (Barendrecht); Nicole Driessen, Nadine Schalk (Nijmegen); UK: Anup Kharod (London GOSH); USA: Sarah Jacobson (Cincinnati); Angie Cookman (Iowa City); Zoey Shaw (Mount Sinai/NKI); Shannon Granillo, JD Sandhu (Seattle Children's); and to all who may not have been mentioned. We mourn the untimely death of Andrea Ludolph, MD, a greatly respected colleague.

Funding

This study was supported by grants from the National Institute of Mental Health (R01MH092290 to LWB; R01MH092291 to SK; R01MH092292 to BJC; R01MH092293 to GAH and JAT; R01MH092513 to SHZ; R01MH092516 to DEG; R01MH092520 DLG; R01MH092289 to MWS; K08MH099424 to TVF) and NJCTS (NJ Center for Tourette Syndrome and Associated Disorders; to GAH and JAT). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. This work was additionally supported by grants from Spain (to PM): the Instituto de Salud Carlos III (PI10/01674, PI13/01461), the Consejería de Economía, Innovación, Ciencia y Empresa de la Junta de Andalucía (CVI-02526, CTS-7685), the Consejería de Salud y Bienestar Social de la Junta de Andalucía (PI-0741/2010, PI-0437-2012, PI-0471-2013), the Sociedad Andaluza de Neurología, the Fundación Alicia Koplowitz, the Fundación Mutua Madrileña and the Jaques and Gloria Gossweiler Foundation; grants from Germany (to AM): Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG: MU 1692/3-1, MU 1692/4-1 and project C5 of the SFB 936). This research was also supported in part by an Informatics Starter Grant from the PhRMA Foundation (to YB). None of the study sponsors were involved in the study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of the data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

References

- Abel KM, Wicks S, Susser ES, Dalman C, Pedersen MG, Mortensen PB, Webb RT. Birth Weight, Schizophrenia, and Adult Mental Disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2010; 67:923–930. [PubMed: 20819986]
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition, Text Revision (DSM-IV-TR). 4th. American Psychiatric Association; 2000.
- Atladóttir HO, Parner ET, Schendel D, Dalsgaard S, Thomsen PH, Thorsen P. Time trends in reported diagnoses of childhood neuropsychiatric disorders: a Danish cohort study. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2007; 161:193–8. DOI: 10.1001/archpedi.161.2.193 [PubMed: 17283306]
- Bos-Veneman NGP, Kuin A, Minderaa RB, Hoekstra PJ. Role of perinatal adversities on tic severity and symptoms of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents with a tic disorder. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2010; 31:100–6. DOI: 10.1097/DBP.0b013e3181cc7cbc [PubMed: 20110829]
- Breslau N, Brown GG, DelDotto JE, Kumar S, Ezhuthachan S, Andreski P, Hufnagle KG. Psychiatric sequelae of low birth weight at 6 years of age. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1996; 24:385–400. [PubMed: 8836807]
- Burd L, Severud R, Klug MG, Kerbeshian J. Prenatal and perinatal risk factors for Tourette disorder. J Perinat Med. 1999; 27:295–302. DOI: 10.1515/JPM.1999.042 [PubMed: 10560082]
- Bussing R, Fernandez M, Harwood M, Wei Hou, Garvan CW, Eyberg SM, Swanson JM. Parent and teacher SNAP-IV ratings of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms: psychometric properties and normative ratings from a school district sample. Assessment. 2008; 15:317–328. DOI: 10.1177/1073191107313888 [PubMed: 18310593]
- Cannon, Ph D M, Jones PB, Murray, Sc D, Psych, FRC RM. Obstetric Complications and Schizophrenia: Historical and Meta-Analytic Review. Am J Psychiatry. 2002; 159:1080–1092. [PubMed: 12091183]
- Chao TK, Hu J, Pringsheim T. Prenatal risk factors for Tourette Syndrome: a systematic review. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2014; 14:53.doi: 10.1186/1471-2393-14-53 [PubMed: 24479407]
- Cubo E, Hortigu M, Jorge-roldan S, Ciciliani SE, Lopez P, Velasco L, Sastre E, Ausin V, Delgado V, Saez S, Burgos HU, Burgos HU, Burgos HU. Brief Reports Prenatal and Perinatal Morbidity in Children with Tic Disorders: A Mainstream School-based Population Study in Central Spain. Tremor Other Hyperkinetic Mov. 2014; 4:1–6. DOI: 10.7916/D8FN14W9

- Dietrich A, Fernandez TV, King Ra, State MW, Tischfield Ja, Hoekstra PJ, Heiman Ga, TIC Genetics Collaborative Group. The Tourette International Collaborative Genetics (TIC Genetics) study, finding the genes causing Tourette syndrome: objectives and methods. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2015; doi: 10.1007/s00787-014-0543-x
- Gardener H, Spiegelman D, Buka SL, Hannah Gardener DS, B SL, Gardener H, Spiegelman D, Buka SL. Perinatal and Neonatal Risk Factors for Autism: A Comprehensive Meta-Analysis. Pediatrics. 2011; :128. peds.2010-1036d-peds.2010-1036d. doi: 10.1542/peds.2010-1036d
- Geller, Da; Wieland, N.; Carey, K.; Vivas, F.; Petty, CR.; Johnson, J.; Reichert, E.; Pauls, D.; Biederman, J. Perinatal factors affecting expression of obsessive compulsive disorder in children and adolescents. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2008; 18:373–379. DOI: 10.1089/cap. 2007.0112 [PubMed: 18759647]
- Goodman WK, Price LH, Rasmussen SA, Mazure C, Delgado P, Heninger GR, Charney DS. The Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale. II. Validity. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1989a; 46:1012–1016. DOI: 10.1001/archpsyc.1989.01810110054008 [PubMed: 2510699]
- Goodman WK, Price LH, Rasmussen SA, Mazure C, Fleischmann RL, Hill CL, Heninger GR, Charney DS. The Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale. I. Development, use, and reliability. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1989b; 46:1006–1011. DOI: 10.1001/archpsyc.1989.01810110048007 [PubMed: 2684084]
- Hoekstra PJ, Dietrich A, Edwards MJ, Elamin I, Martino D. Environmental factors in Tourette syndrome. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2013; 37:1040–9. DOI: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2012.10.010 [PubMed: 23092654]
- Hultman CM, Sparén P, Cnattingius S. Perinatal Risk Factors for Infantile Autism. Epidemiology. 2002; 13:417–423. DOI: 10.1097/01.EDE.0000016968.14007.E6 [PubMed: 12094096]
- Kalkbrenner AE, Braun JM, Durkin MS, Maenner MJ, Cunniff C, Lee LC, Pettygrove S, Nicholas JS, Daniels JL. Maternal smoking during pregnancy and the prevalence of autism spectrum disorders, using data from the autism and developmental disabilities monitoring network. Environ Health Perspect. 2012; 120:1042–1048. DOI: 10.1289/ehp.1104556 [PubMed: 22534110]
- Khalifa N, von Knorring AL. Tourette syndrome and other tic disorders in a total population of children: clinical assessment and background. Acta Paediatr. 2005; 94:1608–14. DOI: 10.1080/08035250510043879 [PubMed: 16352498]
- Knopik VS. Maternal smoking during pregnancy and child outcomes: real or spurious effect? Developmental neuropsychology. 2009; doi: 10.1080/87565640802564366
- Kondo K, Nomura Y. Tourette syndrome in Japan: etiologic considerations based on associated factors and familial clustering. adv Neurol. 1982:271–6. [PubMed: 6959498]
- Langley K, Heron J, Smith GD, Thapar A. Maternal and paternal smoking during pregnancy and risk of ADHD symptoms in offspring: Testing for intrauterine effects. Am J Epidemiol. 2012; 176:261–268. DOI: 10.1093/aje/kwr510 [PubMed: 22791738]
- Larsson HJ, Eaton WW, Madsen KM, Vestergaard M, Olesen AV, Agerbo E, Schendel D, Thorsen P, Mortensen PB. Risk factors for autism: Perinatal factors, parental psychiatric history, and socioeconomic status. Am J Epidemiol. 2005; 161:916–925. DOI: 10.1093/aje/kwi123 [PubMed: 15870155]
- Leckman JF, Dolnansky ES, Hardin MT, Clubb M, Walkup JT, Stevenson J, Pauls DL. Perinatal factors in the expression of Tourette's syndrome: an exploratory study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1990; 29:220–226. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00004583-199003000-00010. [PubMed: 1969861]
- Leckman JF, Riddle MA, Hardin MT, Ort SI, Swartz KL, Stevenson J, Cohen DJ. The Yale Global Tic Severity Scale: initial testing of a clinician-rated scale of tic severity. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1989; 28:566–573. DOI: 10.1097/00004583-198907000-00015 [PubMed: 2768151]
- Lee BK, Gardner RM, Dal H, Svensson A, Galanti MR, Rai D, Dalman C, Magnusson C. Brief report: Maternal smoking during pregnancy and autism spectrum disorders. J Autism Dev Disord. 2012; 42:2000–2005. DOI: 10.1007/s10803-011-1425-4 [PubMed: 22173844]
- Leivonen S, Chudal R, Joelsson P, Ekblad M, Suominen A, Brown AS, Gissler M, Voutilainen A, Sourander A. Prenatal Maternal Smoking and Tourette Syndrome: A Nationwide Register Study. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2015a; doi: 10.1007/s10578-015-0545-z

- Leivonen S, Voutilainen A, Chudal R, Suominen A, Gissler M, Sourander A. Obstetric and Neonatal Adversities, Parity, and Tourette Syndrome: A Nationwide Registry. J Pediatr. 2015b; doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2015.10.063
- Lindblad F, Hjern A. ADHD after fetal exposure to maternal smoking. Nicotine Tob Res. 2010; 12:408–415. DOI: 10.1093/ntr/ntq017 [PubMed: 20176681]
- Mataix-Cols D, Isomura K, Pérez-Vigil A, Chang Z, Rück C, Larsson KJ, Leckman JF, Serlachius E, Larsson H, Lichtenstein P. Familial Risks of Tourette Syndrome and Chronic Tic Disorders. JAMA Psychiatry. 2015; :1–7. DOI: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2015.0627
- Mathews, Ca; Scharf, JM.; Miller, LL.; Macdonald-Wallis, C.; Lawlor, Da; Ben-Shlomo, Y. Association between pre- and perinatal exposures and Tourette syndrome or chronic tic disorder in the ALSPAC cohort. Br J Psychiatry. 2014; 204:40–5. DOI: 10.1192/bjp.bp.112.125468 [PubMed: 24262815]
- Mathews CA, Bimson B, Lowe TL, Herrera LD, Budman CL, Erenberg G, Naarden A, Bruun RD, Freimer NB, Reus VI. Association Between Maternal Smoking and Increased Symptom Severity in Tourette 's Syndrome. Am J Psychiatry. 2006:1066–1073. [PubMed: 16741208]
- Mick E, Biederman J, Prince J, Fischer MJ, Faraone SV. Impact of low birth weight on attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2002; 23:16–22. DOI: 10.1097/00004703-200202000-00004 [PubMed: 11889347]
- Miller LL, Scharf JM, Mathews Ca, Ben-Shlomo Y. Tourette syndrome and chronic tic disorder are associated with lower socio-economic status: findings from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children cohort. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2013; :157–163. DOI: 10.1111/dmcn.12318 [PubMed: 24138188]
- Motlagh MG, Katsovich L, Thompson N, Lin H, Kim YS, Scahill L, Lombroso PJ, King Ra, Peterson BS, Leckman JF. Severe psychosocial stress and heavy cigarette smoking during pregnancy: an examination of the pre- and perinatal risk factors associated with ADHD and Tourette syndrome. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2010; 19:755–64. DOI: 10.1007/s00787-010-0115-7 [PubMed: 20532931]
- Obel C, Zhu JL, Olsen J, Breining S, Li J, Grønborg TK, Gissler M, Rutter M. The risk of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children exposed to maternal smoking during pregnancy a reexamination using a sibling design. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2015; :n/a–n/a. DOI: 10.1111/ jcpp.12478
- Pasamanick B, Kawi A. A study of the association of prenatal and paranatal factors with the development of tics in children. J Pediatr. 1956; 48:596–601. DOI: 10.1016/ S0022-3476(56)80095-4 [PubMed: 13307362]
- Price RA, Kidd KK, Cohen DJ, Pauls DL, Leckman JF. A twin study of Tourette syndrome. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1985; 42:815–20. [PubMed: 3860194]
- Pringsheim T, Sandor P, Lang A, Shah P, O'Connor P. Prenatal and perinatal morbidity in children with Tourette syndrome and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2009; 30:115–121. DOI: 10.1097/DBP.0b013e31819e6a33 [PubMed: 19322105]
- Rice F, Lewis A, Harold G, van den Bree M, Boivin J, Hay DF, Owen MJ, Thapar A. Agreement between maternal report and antenatal records for a range of pre and peri-natal factors: The influence of maternal and child characteristics. Early Hum Dev. 2007; 83:497–504. DOI: 10.1016/ j.earlhumdev.2006.09.015 [PubMed: 17071023]
- Roessner V, Becker A, Banaschewski T, Freeman RD, Rothenberger A. Developmental psychopathology of children and adolescents with Tourette syndrome–impact of ADHD. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2007; 16(Suppl 1):24–35. DOI: 10.1007/s00787-007-1004-6 [PubMed: 17665280]
- Rothman KJ. No adjustments are needed for multiple comparisons. Epidemiology. 1990; 1:43–46. DOI: 10.1097/00001648-199001000-00010 [PubMed: 2081237]
- Saccomani L, Fabiana V, Manuela B, Giambattista R. Tourette syndrome and chronic tics in a sample of children and adolescents. Brain Dev. 2005; 27:349–52. DOI: 10.1016/j.braindev.2004.09.007 [PubMed: 16023550]

- Santangelo S. Tourette's syndrome: what are the influences of gender and comorbid obsessivecompulsive disorder? J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1994; 33:795–804. [PubMed: 8083136]
- Scahill L, Riddle MA, McSwiggin-Hardin M, Ort SI, King RA, Goodman WK, Cicchetti D, Leckman JF. Children's Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale: reliability and validity. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1997; 36:844–852. DOI: 10.1097/00004583-199706000-00023 [PubMed: 9183141]
- Skoglund C, Chen Q, D'Onofrio BM, Lichtenstein P, Larsson H. Familial confounding of the association between maternal smoking during pregnancy and ADHD in offspring. J Child Psychol Psychiatry Allied Discip. 2014; 55:61–68. DOI: 10.1111/jcpp.12124
- Spencer T, Biederman J, Harding M, Donnell DO, Wilens T, Faraone S, Coffey B, Geller D. Disentangling the overlap between Tourette's disorder and ADHD. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 1998; 39:1037–1044. DOI: 10.1111/1469-7610.00406 [PubMed: 9804036]
- Stathopoulou A, Beratis IN, Beratis S. Prenatal tobacco smoke exposure, risk of schizophrenia, and severity of positive/negative symptoms. Schizophr Res. 2013; 148:105–110. DOI: 10.1016/ j.schres.2013.04.031 [PubMed: 23768812]
- Storch EA, Murphy TK, Geffken GR, Sajid M, Allen P, Roberti JW, Goodman WK. Reliability and validity of the Yale Global Tic Severity Scale. Psychol Assess. 2005; 17:486–491. DOI: 10.1037/1040-3590.17.4.486 [PubMed: 16393016]
- Swanson, J. School-based assessments and interventions for ADD students. Publishing; Irvine: 1992.
- Swanson JM, Kraemer HC, Hinshaw SP, Arnold LE, Conners CK, Abikoff HB, Clevenger W, Davies M, Elliott GR, Greenhill LL, Hechtman L, Hoza B, Jensen PS, March JS, Newcorn JH, Owens EB, Pelham WE, Schiller E, Severe JB, Simpson S, Vitiello B, Wells K, Wigal T, Wu M. Clinical relevance of the primary findings of the MTA: success rates based on severity of ADHD and ODD symptoms at the end of treatment. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2001; 40:168–79. DOI: 10.1097/00004583-200102000-00011 [PubMed: 11211365]
- Thapar A, Rutter M. Do prenatal risk factors cause psychiatric disorder? Be wary of causal claims. Br J Psychiatry. 2009; 195:100–101. DOI: 10.1192/bjp.bp.109.062828 [PubMed: 19648537]
- Thoemmes, F. Propensity score matching. SPSS; 2012.
- Tomasovi S, Predojevi M, Stanojevi M, Bošnjak Nad K. Neurologic parameters in the perinatal period in children with neurodevelopmental disorders. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2012; :1–5. DOI: 10.3109/14767058.2012.667179
- van Mil NH, Steegers-Theunissen RP, Motazedi E, Jansen PW, Jaddoe VW, Steegers EA, Verhulst FC, Tiemeier H. Low and high birth weight and the risk of child attention problems. J Pediatr. 2015; 166:862–863. DOI: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2014.12.075 [PubMed: 25681197]
- Vasconcelos MS, Sampaio AS, Hounie AG, Akkerman F, Curi M, Lopes AC, Miguel EC. Prenatal, perinatal, and postnatal risk factors in obsessive-compulsive disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2007; 61:301–7. DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.07.014 [PubMed: 17123475]
- Walkup, J.; Leckman, J. Modified schedule for risk and protective factors early in development Child Study Center. Yale University; New Haven: 1988.
- Wanderer S, Roessner V, Freeman R, Bock N, Rothenberger A, Becker A. Relationship of Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder to Age-Related Comorbidity in Children and Adolescents With Tourette Syndrome. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2012; doi: 10.1097/DBP.0b013e31823f6933

Variable	Car	Cases (N=236)	36)	Cont	Controls (N=518)	=518)			
	Mean	SD	Range	Mean	SD	Range	df	-	d
Age in years	39.2	16.7	3–79	41.2	14.7	9–83	406	-1.56	0.12
SES ⁴	4.46	1.49	1 - 7	4.39	1.49	1 - 7	703	0.62	0.55
Worst ever total YGTSS rating b	12.4	7.88	1 - 30	0	0				
Worst ever total Y-BOCS rating $^{\mathcal{C}}$	11.1	10.5	0–36	4.05	7.5	0-40	599	9.36	<0.001
Total ADHD SNAP-IV symptom count ^d	3.87	4.98	0-18	1.43	3.16	0-18	752	8.11	<0.001
	z	%		z	%	OR	95% CI OR	χ^{2}	Я
Participants <18 years old	35	14.8		53	10.2	1.53	0.98–2.41	3.33	0.07
Male	110	46.6		257	49.6	1.13	0.83 - 1.53	0.59	0.48
Race white	222	94.1		498	96.2	0.64	0.32 - 1.28	1.61	0.14
Tourette's disorder f	145	61.4		0	0				
Chronic motor or vocal tic disorder f	91	38.6		0	0				
${ m OCD}~{ m diagnosis}^f$	88	37.3		68	13.2	4.02	2.78–5.80	59.3	<0.001
ADHD diagnosis f	44	18.6		20	4.0	6.04	3.46-10.5	48.8	<0.001

J Psychiatr Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 November 01.

Yale–Brown le (Bussing et al., 2008; Swanson, 1992; Swanson et al., 2001).

 a^{a} Based on parents' education level (1= less than 7 years of schooling to 7= graduate/professional degree)

 $b_{\rm Sum}$ score of worst ever motor and phonic tics (range 0–30)

 $c_{\rm Sum}$ score of worst ever obsessions and compulsions (range 0–40)

 $d_{\rm N}$ where of items scored as "2=quite a bit" or "3=very much" on the SNAP-IV (range 0–18)

e Comparisons were done using Pearson's chi-square test with one degree of freedom

 $f_{\rm L}$ fife-time diagnosis based on the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM)-IV-TR (American Psychiatric Association, 2000)

Author Manuscript

Table 1

Table 2

Demographics of individuals with a chronic tic disorder exposed and unexposed to pre- and perinatal complications^a

Variable	Expo	Exposed individuals	iduals	Unexp	osed ind	Unexposed individuals				
	z	%		z	%		OR	95% CI OR	ک ړ	qd
Matched sample size										
At least one pregnancy complication	150	100%		150	100%					
At least one delivery complication	170	100%		204	100%					
At least one neonatal complication	203	100%		288	100%					
Participants <18 years old										
At least one pregnancy complication	95	63.3		66	66.0		0.89	0.55 - 1.43	0.23	0.72
At least one delivery complication	95	55.9		113	55.4		1.02	0.68 - 1.54	0.01	1
At least one neonatal complication	148	72.9		210	72.9		0.99	0.67 - 1.49	$<\!0.01$	-
Male										
At least one pregnancy complication	103	68.7		102	68.0		0.97	0.59 - 1.58	0.02	1
At least one delivery complication	106	62.4		133	65.2		1.13	0.74-1.73	0.32	0.59
At least one neonatal complication	143	70.4		204	70.8		1.02	0.69 - 1.51	0.01	1
Race white										
At least one pregnancy complication	146	97.3		141	94.0		2.33	0.70-7.74	2.01	0.26
At least one delivery complication	161	94.7		193	94.6		1.02	0.41 - 2.52	<0.01	1
At least one neonatal complication	189	93.1		270	93.8		06.0	0.44 - 1.85	0.08	0.85
	Mean	SD	Range	Mean	SD	Range		df	t	Ρ
Mean age in years										
At least one pregnancy complication	20.3	13.6	668	20.3	13.7	6-66		298	-0.04	0.97
At least one delivery complication	24.2	16.8	3-74	24.3	16.9	6-76		372	0.05	0.96
At least one neonatal complication	17.6	12.5	3-65	17.8	12.5	4–66		489	0.14	0.89
${ m SES}^{\mathcal C}$										
At least one pregnancy complication	5.15	1.36	1 - 7	5.18	1.32	1 - 7		289	0.24	0.81
At least one delivery complication	4.95	1.38	1 - 7	4.83	1.43	1 - 7		359	-0.81	0.42
At least one neonatal complication	5.31	1.28	1 - 7	5.12	1.33	1 - 7		476	-1.52	0.13

 a Groups were propensity matched on age and sex

Author Manuscript

 $b_{\mbox{Comparisons}}$ were done using Pearson's chi-square test with one degree of freedom

 $c_{\rm r}$ based on parents' education level (1= less than 7 years of schooling to 7= graduate/professional degree)

Table 3

Frequencies of pre- and perinatal complications in cases affected with a tic disorder compared to age- and sex-propensity matched controls

Variable	Cases	Cases (N=236)	Controls	Controls (N=518)
Pregnancy complications	Z	%	Z	%
a) Assisted reproduction	17	7.20	33	6.37
b) Advanced maternal age (>40 years at conception)	6	3.81	17	3.28
c) Multiple pregnancy (twin pregnancy or more)	5	2.12	19	3.67
d) Maternal smoking	53	22.5	115	22.2
e) Maternal use of alcohol	29	12.3	58	11.2
f) Maternal use of medication	20	8.47	26	5.02
g) Low birth weight (birth weight <2500 grams)	13	5.51	31	5.98
h) Premature birth (gestational age < 37 weeks at birth)	43	18.2	61	11.8
i) Complications of a pre-existing medical problem	11	4.66	13	2.51
j) Maternal illness or infections	٢	2.97	12	2.32
k) Morning sickness requiring medical attention	22	9.32	20	3.86
1) Gestational diabetes/elevated blood sugar	5	2.12	10	1.93
m) Preeclampsia	10	4.24	15	2.90
n) Vaginal bleeding	5	2.12	16	3.09
Delivery complications				
a) Prolonged labor	15	6.36	45	8.69
b) Use of labor medication	22	9.32	39	7.53
c) Unusual presentation (e.g., breech)	14	5.93	33	6.37
d) Unplanned caesarean section	13	5.51	23	4.44
e) Cord around the baby's neck	13	5.51	14	2.70
f) Forceps delivery	18	7.63	31	5.98
g) Suction/vacuum delivery	9	2.54	10	1.93
h) Other delivery complications	10	4.24	20	3.86
Neonatal complications				
a) Blue in color immediately after birth	15	6.36	17	3.28
b) Low level activity immediately after birth	×	3.39	8	1.54
c) Floppiness of the muscles immediately after birth	4	1.69	1	0.19

Author	
Manuscrip	
Ť	

Author Manuscript

Variable	Cases (Cases (N=236)	Control	Controls (N=518)
Pregnancy complications	z	%	Z	%
d) Decreased responsiveness immediately after birth	5	2.12	L	1.35
e) Delay in breathing immediately after birth	7	2.97	11	2.12
f) Weak/abnormal cry immediately after birth	9	2.54	11	2.12
g) Oxygen therapy	6	3.81	16	3.09
h) Suctioning of airway immediately after birth	16	6.78	20	3.86
i) Medication given immediately after birth	4	1.69	4	0.77
j) Use of an incubator	×	3.39	26	5.02
k) Stay on intensive care unit	7	2.97	16	3.09
I) Jaundice requiring medical attention	18	7.63	33	6.37
m) Neonatal infection	2	0.85	2	0.39
n) Breathing problems during the first two weeks of life	11	4.66	7	1.35
o) Fever during the first two weeks of life	-	0.42	5	0.97
p) Prolonged hospital stay	21	8.90	42	8.11

Table 4

Comparison of pre- and perinatal complications in cases affected with a tic disorder compared to age- and sex-propensity matched controls

Variable	Cases (Cases (N=236)	Controls	Controls (N=518)		Unadjusted for SES	or SES			Adjusted for SES	SES	
	Exposed N	Exposed % Exposed N	Exposed N	Exposed %	OR	95% CI OR	X ³	$\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{d}}$	OR	95% CI OR	Wald	qd
At least one pregnancy complication	130	53.5	239	45.5	1.72	1.17-2.50	7.91	<0.01	1.76	1.18–2.62	7.72	<0.01
Premature birth (gestational age < 37 weeks at birth)	43	18.2	61	11.8	1.69	1.10 - 2.59	5.91	<0.05	1.72	1.11–2.65	5.90	<0.05
Morning sickness requiring medical attention	22	9.32	20	3.86	2.69	1.43 - 5.06	66.6	$<\!0.01$	2.57	1.32-4.75	7.95	<0.01
At least one delivery complication	103	42.4	209	39.6	1.17	0.84 - 1.61	0.85	0.36	1.17	0.83 - 1.65	0.85	0.36
At least one neonatal complication	51	21.0	96	18.2	1.17	0.78 - 1.75	0.59	0.47	1.29	0.87 - 1.96	1.53	0.22
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD			и	Я	OR	95% CI OR	Wald	qd
Total number of pregnancy complications	1.15	1.27	0.94	1.28			-2.21	<0.05	1.13	0.99–1.28	3.78	0.05
Total number of delivery complications	0.55	0.85	0.49	0.85			-1.60	0.11	1.08	0.89 - 1.32	0.60	0.44
Total number of neonatal complications	0.67	1.70	0.52	1.35			-1.66	0.10	1.09	0.98 - 1.22	2.68	0.10
Total number of complications	2.36	2.66	1.95	2.57			-3.01	$<\!0.01$	1.07	1.01 - 1.14	5.45	<0.05

 $^{a}\!$ Comparisons were done using Pearson's chi-square tests with one degree of freedom

J Psychiatr Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 November 01.

 b Comparisons were done with a logistic regression with one degree of freedom

 $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}$ Comparisons were done with the Mann-Whitney test

Table 5

Comparison of clinical characteristics of individuals with a chronic tic disorder exposed and unexposed to pre- and perinatal complications^a

Abdulkadir et al.

Variable	Exposed	sed	Unexposed	osed		SES unadjusted	justed			SES adjusted	ted	
	z	%	z	%	OR	95% CI	x ²	qd	OR	95% CI	Wald	Pc
At least one pregnancy complication	150	100	150	100								
Comorbid OCD	59	39.3	56	37.3	1.05	0.66 - 1.68	0.05	0.91	1.03	0.64 - 1.67	0.02	0.89
Comorbid ADHD	39	26	50	33.3	0.70	0.43 - 1.16	1.93	0.21	0.74	0.45 - 1.23	1.34	0.25
At least one delivery complication	170	100	204	100								
Comorbid OCD	76	44.7	70	34.3	1.58	1.04 - 2.41	4.61	<0.05	1.49	0.97 - 2.29	3.34	0.07
Prolonged labor ^g	34	51.5	121	31.3	1.75	1.02-2.98	4.27	<0.05	1.69	0.98–2.93	3.62	0.06
Forceps Delivery ^g	23	54.8	183	37.9	1.96	1.04–3.71	4.47	<0.05	2.06	1.08 - 3.91	4.85	<0.05
Comorbid ADHD	09	35.3	61	29.9	1.28	0.83 - 1.97	1.23	0.32	1.12	0.77 - 1.88	0.68	0.41
At least one neonatal complication	203	100	288	100								
Comorbid OCD	96	47.3	106	36.8	1.55	1.07-2.23	5.48	<0.05	1.46	1.01 - 2.12	3.96	<0.05
Suctioning of the airway immediately after births	41	53.9	123	38.0	1.91	1.16-3.17	6.50	<0.05	1.99	1.19 - 3.34	6.91	<0.05
$Prolonged$ hospital stay \mathcal{E}	43	55.8	135	39.0	1.97	1.20-3.26	7.32	<0.05	1.93	1.16 - 3.20	6.37	<0.05
Comorbid ADHD	83	40.9	95	32.9	1.45	0.99–2.11	3.82	0.06	1.40	0.95 - 2.03	3.06	0.08
Jaundice requiring medical attention $^{\mathcal{B}}$	43	48.9	124	34.7	1.80	1.13–3.01	6.10	<0.05	1.86	1.15 - 3.01	6.34	<0.05
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean difference	df	÷	Ρ	Beta	95% Beta	÷	Ж
At least one pregnancy complication												
Tic severity ^d	15.6	7.80	17.1	8.33	-1.50	264	-1.44	0.15	-1.68	-3.64-0.28	-1.69	0.09
OC severity ^e	13.3	11.5	10.5	10.8	2.80	247	1.96	0.05	2.70	-0.13 - 5.54	1.89	0.06
ADHD sevenity f	4.85	5.53	5.93	5.93	-1.08	296	-1.63	0.10	-1.02	-2.34-0.31	-1.51	0.13
At least one delivery complication												
Tic severity ^d	15.8	7.94	15.9	7.80	-0.10	321	-0.08	0.94	-0.08	-1.80 - 1.65	-0.09	0.93
OC severity ^e	12.5	11.5	10.9	10.7	1.60	304	1.27	0.20	1.32	-1.21 - 3.85	1.02	0.31
ADHD sevenity f	5.72	6.08	5.20	5.37	0.52	340	0.87	0.39	0.35	-0.83 - 1.53	0.58	0.56
At least one neonatal complication												

~
$\mathbf{\nabla}$
<
<u> </u>
–
-
<u>۲</u>
0
-
-
\geq
a
a
lan
lanu
lanu:
lanu
lanu:
lanusc
lanus
lanuscr
lanuscr

Abdulkadir et al.

Variable	Exposed	sed	Unexposed	osed		SES unadjusted	justed			SES adjusted	ted	
	z	%	z	%	OR	95% CI	x ²	qd	OR	95% CI	Wald	Pc
Tic severity ^d	16.3	7.31	17.6	7.78	-1.30	434	-1.65	0.10	-1.49	-2.970.02	-1.99	<0.05
Breathing problems during the first two weeks of life ^g	14.9	6.87	17.5	7.26	-2.60	153	-1.58	0.12	-3.55	-6.940.16	-2.07	<0.05
OC seveniy ^e	13.7	11.5	11.1	11.1	2.60	408	2.34	<0.05	2.27	0.02-4.52	1.98	<0.05
Delay in breathing immediately after birthg	16.0	11.5	11.1	11.6	4.90	162	2.06	<0.05	4.47	-0.34-9.29	1.84	0.07
Suctioning of airway immediately after births	15.8	11.3	12.0	11.3	3.80	325	2.38	<0.05	3.52	0.39–6.65	2.21	<0.05
ADHD sevenity f	6.67	5.76	5.49	5.93	1.18	489	2.19	<0.05	1.09	0.01-2.16	1.99	<0.05
Weak abnormal cry immediately after birth ^g	8.51	5.27	5.89	5.92	2.62	184	2.29	<0.05	2.39	0.02-4.76	1.99	<0.05
Jaundice requiring medical attention ^g	7.39	5.56	5.77	6.04	1.62	141	2.41	<0.05	1.82	0.40 - 3.24	2.52	<0.05

J Psychiatr Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 November 01.

 $\boldsymbol{b}_{\text{Comparisons}}$ were done using Pearson's chi-square test with one degree of freedom

 \boldsymbol{c} Comparisons were done with a regression analysis with two degrees of freedom

f Symptom count of items scored as "2=quite a bit" or "3=very much" on the Swanson, Nolan and Pelham-IV rating scale (Bussing et al., 2008; Swanson, 1992; Swanson et al., 2001) (range 0–18)

 $\mathcal{E}_{\rm Follow-up}$ analyses on individual risk factor, only significant results shown

e Sum score of worst ever obsessions and compulsions based on the Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale (Goodman et al., 1989a, 1989b; Scahill et al., 1997) (range 0-40)

 $d_{\rm Sum}$ score of worst ever motor and phonic tics based on the Yale Global Tic Severity Scale (Leckman et al., 1989; Storch et al., 2005) (range 0–30)