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Effects of global change on insect pollinators: multiple drivers lead to novel communities
Nicole E Rafferty¹,²

Global change drivers, in particular climate change, exotic species introduction, and habitat alteration, affect insect pollinators in numerous ways. In response, insect pollinators show shifts in range and phenology, interactions with plants and other taxa are altered, and in some cases pollination services have diminished. Recent studies show some pollinators are tracking climate change by moving latitudinally and elevationally, while others are not. Shifts in insect pollinator phenology generally keep pace with advances in flowering, although there are exceptions. Recent data demonstrate competition between exotic and native bees, along with rapid positive effects of exotic plant removal on pollinator richness. Genetic analyses tie bee fitness to habitat quality. Across drivers, novel communities are a common outcome that deserves more study.
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Introduction
Global change is affecting insect pollinators in profound ways. Climate change, exotic species introduction, and habitat loss are affecting all major aspects of the biology of insects that pollinate plants in both natural and agricultural communities, altering their distribution, phenology, abundance, physiology, and morphology [1–5]. The consequences of these effects are complex, perturbing plant–pollinator interactions in subtle but important ways and in some cases resulting in local extinction [2]. Despite the complexity, understanding these consequences is critical: just as the vast majority of flowering plants depend on insects for pollination [6], we rely in large part on insects to pollinate our crops, a valuable ecosystem service [7].

Among the many insect taxa that serve as pollinators, bees, flies, butterflies, and moths have received the most study in the context of global change. Within these taxa, bees are key pollinators of both crop plants and wild plants [8], and studies on bees have dominated the literature on plant– pollinator interactions under global change. Because bees rely heavily on floral resources both for their own sustenance and to provision their offspring, their fitness is strongly determined not only by the direct effects of global change but also by the influence of global change drivers on flowering plants.

Here, I consider the effects of several global change drivers on insect pollinators, with an emphasis on what we know about the effects on native bees. First, I discuss how climate change is affecting insect pollinators, as this is a topic of active research that illustrates a suite of responses. Second, I review the effects of exotic species, both insect and plant taxa, on insect pollinators. Third, I consider another global change factor, habitat alteration and loss, and its effects on insect pollinators. Throughout, I consider both direct effects on pollinators and effects that are mediated via plants and other interspecific interactions. Given biotic pollination is by definition a multitrophic interaction, greater consideration of how global change alters species interactions is needed to improve conservation and management of pollination services.

Effects of climate change
The responses of insect pollinators to climate change have been relatively well-studied, although much remains to be resolved. For the most part, experimental studies of climate change factors on insect pollinators have focused on temperature [9–12], an important determinant of developmental rate [13]. Manipulations of other factors, such as carbon dioxide [14] or precipitation [15], have been applied to plants with subsequent measures of pollinator responses to altered floral traits. Complementing experimental approaches are long-term data, historical observations, and museum specimen records that can be correlated with ambient temperatures and other climate variables to describe insect responses [1,16].
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conditions over space? Evidence is mixed. On the one hand, Kerr *et al.* [4**] discovered bumble bees (*Bombus* spp.) across two continents have not tracked warming temperatures, as evidenced by a failure to expand their northern latitudinal range limits. On the other hand, several studies have shown that bumble bees have moved upward in elevation in montane ecosystems [4**,17,18], and some butterflies have shifted up in altitude [19]. Both a nymphalid butterfly (*Polygona c-album*) and a lycaenid butterfly (*Aricia agestis*) in Britain have greatly expanded their ranges northward in association with warming [20,21]. A key question that has not been considered for most taxa is how these spatial shifts affect interactions with floral resources and thereby influence both pollinator fitness and patterns of pollen flow and reproductive output of plants. Differential shifts among taxa will almost certainly translate into modified communities, especially as perennial plants are likely to lag behind their pollinators. In addition, it remains largely unknown whether traits or phylogenetic relationships can explain variable spatial responses among taxa (but see [4**,22]).

To understand constraints on the distributions of insect pollinator populations and predict how distributions will be affected by climate change directly and via effects on host plants and other species with which pollinators interact, species distribution models can be a useful tool [23,24].

Shifts in the phenologies of insect pollinators are another conspicuous signal of climate change. Multiple species of bees have significantly advanced their phenologies [1], as have many butterflies and moths [25,26]. Among lepidopterans, variable responses can be partially explained by traits such as diet breadth [26]. In contrast to spatial shifts, the consequences of climate change-induced temporal shifts for plant–pollinator interactions have received much attention. Community-level analyses indicate bees and the plants they pollinate are advancing at similar rates [1], whereas butterflies and their nectar sources show different sensitivities to temperature [27]. In general, experimental studies suggest phenological mismatches are unlikely to lead to complete decoupling of interactions among insect pollinators and plants [28,29]. In part this outcome is not surprising; plant–pollinator interactions tend to be generalized [30] and nested, with specialists interacting with generalists [31], and high rates of interaction turnover [32]. However, there are examples of specialized plant–bee interactions that are likely becoming disrupted as phenologies shift [33,34]. Even subtle phenological mismatches are likely to have consequences for interaction strengths, fitness, and the evolution of life histories [35]. Whereas the consequences of mismatches for plants have been commonly measured in terms of seed production [29,36], the consequences for pollinators have gone unquantified [37]. Also in contrast to the situation for insect pollinator phenology, where few studies have linked responses to traits or phylogenies, flowering phenology responses to climate change have been associated with traits such as flowering season, life history, and pollination mode [38,39] and exhibit phylogenetic signal across continents [40]. Together, these gaps in understanding point to a need for more studies at the community level; a community approach should simultaneously create opportunities for trait-based analyses and enable the consequences of phenological mismatches from the pollinator perspective to be quantified.

Other aspects of climate change that have been demonstrated to affect insect pollinators via flowering plants include elevated carbon dioxide and decreased precipitation. Plants grown under elevated carbon dioxide can have altered floral traits, such as nectar composition [14] and pollen protein concentration [41]. In turn, these altered traits can influence the fitness of insect pollinators; Hoover *et al.* [14] found that *Bombus terrestris* workers exhibited reduced longevity when fed synthetic nectar mimicking that of flowers produced under elevated carbon dioxide, and Ziska *et al.* [41] posit that reduced protein in goldenrod pollen could negatively affect bees. Experimental drought had variable effects on floral volatiles but consistently reduced flower size and floral display across four species, resulting in different communities of bees, flies, and butterflies visiting the flowers in the drought treatment [15]. In general, a tight link between the direct effects of climate change on floral resources and the consequent effects on insect pollinators has yet to be made. In part, this is because it is difficult to isolate the effects of complex floral responses on mobile insects, particularly in the field and at the population and community levels. As molecular genetic techniques and technologies that allow automated identification of individual bees, for example as they pass over radio frequency identification readers, are refined, larger-scale field-based studies of pollinator fitness and foraging responses should become more feasible.

Effects of exotic species

Human-aided transport and introduction of exotic species is a major driver of global change, reshaping fundamental ecological relationships [42]. Focusing on exotic insect pollinators, we know the most about the impacts of non-native bees on native bees [43]. Non-native bees include long-established domesticated honey bees (*Apis mellifera*), more recently-introduced commercial pollinators, such as *Bombus terrestris* [44], and accidental introductions of species such as *Hylaena communis* [45]. Alien pollinators can compete with native pollinators for resources, potentially reducing their fitness, altering patterns of pollen flow, and ultimately changing community structure to the disruption of ecosystem services [46,47]. Not surprisingly, the best-studied interactions between exotic and native bees involve honey bees. Building on prior experimental work that demonstrated competition for floral resources...
between honey bees and a native bumble bee (B. occidentalis; [48]), Thomson [49**] used a 15-year-long data set to show a negative relationship between feral A. mellifera densities and Bombus spp. densities. Similarly, after honey bees invaded a tropical reserve, solitary bees were observed to visit different plant species because of competition, but declines in the native bees were not detected [50]. Thus, the effects of exotic insect pollinators on native pollinators likely depend on factors that modify the strength of competition, such as niche overlap and flexibility, as well as interacting effects of other stressors, such as drought, that modulate floral resource availability.

Turning briefly to non-native plants, several studies have investigated how exotic plants influence plant–pollinator interactions [46,51–53]. Recently, a large experiment by Kaiser-Bunbury et al. [54**] showed exotic plant removal resulted in about 20% more pollinator species in restored sites, with more generalized plant–pollinator networks and higher fruit set of common species. These results suggest removal of non-native species can rapidly enhance pollinator richness but may, as the authors note, hinge on nearby populations of pollinators to colonize restored sites [54**]. More broadly, no real consensus on the effects of exotic plants on insect pollinators has emerged, with both positive and negative effects reported [46,51]. Moving forward, greater integration of the study of exotic species with the study of phenological and range shifts, which can similarly modify interaction strengths and create novel communities, would be productive.

Effects of habitat alteration and loss
Habitat alteration and loss is widely recognized as a contributor to declines of insect pollinators [55]. Changes in land use are associated with changes in pollinator community composition and richness; in particular, conversion to arable land is associated with declines in bee and wasp species richness over 80 years in Britain [56]. Agricultural intensification carries its own suite of effects on insect pollinators; including the direct effects of pesticides such as neonicotinoids, which can have multiple debilitating effects on bees [57–59], weakening pollination services [60]. Using genetic analyses, a recent study by Carvell et al. [61] showed that lineage survival of three bumble bee species increased as a function of nearby high-quality foraging habitat, quantified as semi-natural vegetation, spring floral resources for queens, and overall flower cover in spring and summer. Bumble bee nesting density also can be negatively related to the percent of paved surface and positively related to the amount of natural oak woodland-chaparral habitat [62].

Some traits serve as predictors of the severity of effects of habitat alteration and loss on insect pollinators. Generally, specialized pollinators are more sensitive to land use impacts [63,64]. Within bees, a global analysis indicated stronger negative effects of overall agricultural intensification and isolation from natural habitat for species that nest above ground, whereas species that nest below-ground were adversely affected by land tilling [65]. The abundance of social bees was also more negatively affected by isolation than was the case for solitary bees [65]. Some pollinators may be able to adjust their foraging distances in response to landscape-scale variables, as seen with bumble bees capable of foraging farther to find patches of greater floral diversity in landscapes that are relatively homogeneous [62]. Altogether, multiple studies indicate that ecological intensification practices, such as increasing floral resource availability and diversity across landscapes, have positive effects on insect pollinator persistence in the face of habitat alteration [66]. Nevertheless, with changing land use, pollinator behavior and species composition are likely to change, modifying interactions and pollination services.

Conclusions
As we become increasingly aware that species interactions shape species distributions in time and space and modulate the direct effects of global change, considering insect pollinators in a community context should be a priority. For example, Forrest and Chisholm [67*] demonstrated that warmer temperatures led simultaneously to higher rates of activity and nest provisioning by a solitary bee (Osmia iridis) and to increased rates of brood parasitism by a wasp (Sapyga sp.). Thus, positive effects of warming are likely to be negated by altered interaction frequencies with a natural enemy [67*], a result that would not be predicted in isolation of community context. Community-level analyses also detect broader trends before pairwise interactions are disrupted or individual species decline. For example, a study of phenological overlap in Greenland over 18 years points to disrupted plant–pollinator interactions as the flowering season shrinks, potentially leaving pollinators without floral resources late in the season [68].

Much progress has been made in understanding the effects of individual global change drivers on insect pollinators. Moving forward, further progress in understanding and mitigating anthropogenic disturbances could be made by searching for common outcomes across drivers. All three of the global change drivers highlighted here are likely to result in novel interactions and communities. Climate change, for example, alters overlap among species via spatial and temporal shifts, among other mechanisms. Introduced exotic species interact with native species in novel ways. And habitat alteration and loss can result in novel species composition and cause species to modify behavior, altering interactions. By tying these common outcomes to resulting eco-evolutionary dynamics, we can begin to anticipate how global change
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will reshape insect pollinator communities and pollina-
tion services.
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55. After removing exotic plants from four sites, the authors compared plant-pollinator interactions and network metrics with control sites, finding increased pollinator species richness, visitation rates, and fruit set in the restored sites. This study is important for understanding the effects of alien species on community function and pollination services.


63. Combining a variety of methods, most notably molecular genetic analysis, the authors connect the availability of floral resources in the spring and summer to the survival of bumble bee colony lineages from one year to the next. The results of this paper make a convincing case that measures to improve foraging habitat for native bees can positively affect their fitness and could help stem pollinator declines driven by land conversion for intensive agriculture.


The authors examine the relationships among temperature, nest provisioning, and reproductive output for a solitary bee over three years. Rates of nest cell production increased with temperature and with floral resource density, but because brood parasitism rate also increased with temperature, reproductive output was not elevated under warmer temperatures. This work illustrates the importance of top-down forces and community context for predicting the effects of climate change on insect pollinators.