UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

T 1 ρ -based fibril-reinforced poroviscoelastic constitutive relation of human articular cartilage using inverse finite element technology

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/34i7598z

Journal Quantitative Imaging in Medicine and Surgery, 9(3)

ISSN 2223-4292

Authors

Wan, Chao Ge, Liang Souza, Richard B <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2019-03-01

DOI

10.21037/qims.2019.03.01

Peer reviewed



T_{1ρ}-based fibril-reinforced poroviscoelastic constitutive relation of human articular cartilage using inverse finite element technology

Chao Wan^{1,2}, Liang Ge³, Richard B. Souza¹, Simon Y. Tang⁴, Tamara Alliston⁵, Zhixiu Hao², Xiaojuan Li^{1,6}

¹Department of Radiology and Biomedical Imaging, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA; ²Department of Mechanical Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China; ³Department of Surgery, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA; ⁴Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Washington University, St. Louis, MO, USA; ⁵Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of California San Francisco, CA, USA; ⁶Program of Advanced Musculoskeletal Imaging, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Lerner Research Institute, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH, USA

Correspondence to: Xiaojuan Li; Chao Wan. Department of Biomedical Engineering/ND20, Cleveland Clinic, 9500 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44195, USA. Email: lix6@ccf.org; chaowan@bit.edu.cn.

Background: Mapping of $T_{1\rho}$ relaxation time is a quantitative magnetic resonance (MR) method and is frequently used for analyzing microstructural and compositional changes in cartilage tissues. However, there is still a lack of study investigating the link between $T_{1\rho}$ relaxation time and a feasible constitutive relation of cartilage which can be used to model complicated mechanical behaviors of cartilage accurately and properly. **Methods:** Three-dimensional finite element (FE) models of ten *in vitro* human tibial cartilage samples were reconstructed such that each element was assigned by material-level parameters, which were determined by a corresponding $T_{1\rho}$ value from MR maps. A $T_{1\rho}$ -based fibril-reinforced poroviscoelastic (FRPE) constitutive relation for human cartilage was developed through an inverse FE optimization technique between the experimental and simulated indentations.

Results: A two-parameter exponential relationship was obtained between the $T_{1\rho}$ and the volume fraction of the hydrated solid matrix in the $T_{1\rho}$ -based FRPE constitutive relation. Compared with the common FRPE constitutive relation (i.e., without $T_{1\rho}$), the $T_{1\rho}$ -based FRPE constitutive relation indicated similar indentation depth results but revealed some different local changes of the stress distribution in cartilages.

Conclusions: Our results suggested that the $T_{1\rho}$ -based FRPE constitutive relation may improve the detection of changes in the heterogeneous, anisotropic, and nonlinear mechanical properties of human cartilage tissues associated with joint pathologies such as osteoarthritis (OA). Incorporating $T_{1\rho}$ relaxation time will provide a more precise assessment of human cartilage based on the individual *in vivo* MR quantification.

Keywords: $T_{1\rho}$ relaxation time; fibril-reinforced poroviscoelastic (FRPE); articular cartilage; osteoarthritis (OA); quantitative magnetic resonance image

Submitted Oct 21, 2018. Accepted for publication Feb 27, 2019. doi: 10.21037/qims.2019.03.01 View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/qims.2019.03.01

Introduction

As one of the critical tissues for supporting and distributing loads in human joints, articular cartilage consists of a low density of chondrocytes and a large amount of extracellular matrix (ECM). The ECM is composed of water and solid matrix, which mainly contribute to the load-bearing capacity of cartilage under transient and equilibrium conditions, respectively (1,2). In the context of common joint diseases such as degenerative and posttraumatic osteoarthritis (OA), biochemical and molecular changes occur in the cartilage ECM: hydration, proteoglycan loss, and thinning and disruption of collagen are seen in the early stages of OA; and dehydration, disorganization of collagen network, and loss of cartilage become prominent in the late stages (3-5).

Recently, quantitative magnetic resonance (MR) techniques, such as delayed gadolinium-enhanced MRI of cartilage (dGEMRIC) and T_2 and T_{10} relaxometry, have been developed to assess the biochemical and compositional characterization of cartilage tissues (6-12). The T_2 and T_{10} quantifications offer distinct advantages over dGEMRIC techniques in that no contrast agent is required. The T₂ relaxation time reflects changes not only in water and collagen content but also in the organization of collagen fibrils and the formation of fibrocartilage (8,13). This multi-relation causes the T₂ values of abnormal cartilage to change inconsistently: they are elevated in some OA cartilage but not higher (even significantly lower) in others (14-18). The $T_{1\rho}$ relaxation time is sensitive to slowmotion interactions between the macromolecules of ECM and the motion-restricted water molecules, enabling the noninvasive quantification of changes to the ECM (8). It is known that the $T_{1\rho}$ value becomes significantly elevated in knees with anterior cruciate ligament reconstructions and increases with the degree of cartilage degeneration in OA joints, revealing degeneration of the cartilage's ECM (10,14,16). The quantitative relationship between the mechanical properties and MR quantifications of cartilage matrix has also been studied. The elastic moduli of animal and human cartilage were significantly correlated with the T_1 , T_2 , and T_{10} relaxation times (11,14,19). Tang *et al.* reported a significant and strong correlation between the T_{10} relaxation time and viscous properties of cartilage (20). In addition, some combinations of relaxation times, such as the T_{10} -to- T_2 ratio, have been proposed as potential tools for estimating the elastic modulus of cartilage (9,15).

Mechanical stress plays a critical role in the development and progress of OA. It is therefore highly desirable to be able to noninvasively quantify *in vivo* the mechanical properties of cartilage. Combining the MR measurements of relaxation time and the quantitative relationship between relaxation time and tissue mechanical properties provides a clear pathway for noninvasively estimating material properties of *in vivo* tissues. However, cartilage tissues show very complicated mechanical behaviors, expressing heterogeneous, anisotropic and highly nonlinear characteristics. Hence, the mechanical behaviors of cartilage tissues cannot be fully captured by some general linear moduli (including viscous and elastic properties). To date, fibril-reinforced poroviscoelastic (FRPE) models have been proposed and have been proved to be the most applicable constitutive models for representing the mechanical behaviors of cartilage (1,21-27). In previous studies, because of physiological microstructures, the constitutive relation was composed of an interstitial fluid phase and two solid components, i.e., nonfibrillar matrix and collagen fibrils. Julkunen *et al.* reported a strong correlation between the T_{1p} relaxation time and the nonfibrillar matrix modulus as well as between the T_2 relaxation time and the initial fibril modulus based on MR-dGEMRIC images of human cartilage (21).

However, no studies have yet included $T_{1\rho}$ relaxation time in a FRPE constitutive relation to model the mechanical behavior of cartilage. The objective of this study was to update the FRPE constitutive relation of cartilage by including the $T_{1\rho}$ relaxation time. MR quantifications and mechanical tests of *in vitro* human tibiofemoral cartilages were used in an inverse finite element (FE) optimization to determine a quantitative relationship between the $T_{1\rho}$ relaxation time and material parameters of cartilage. Furthermore, the updated $T_{1\rho}$ -based constitutive relation has been investigated by leave-one-out cross validation, sensitivity analysis of parameters, and comparison with the common FRPE constitutive relation.

Methods

Specimen preparation and tests

Both the MR $T_{1\rho}$ maps and indentation data for the cartilage samples were obtained from our previous published research (20). The experimental protocols were approved by the Committee on Human Research at the University of California, San Francisco. In brief, four human tibial plateaus (medial or lateral unicompartment), obtained from patients who had undergone total knee arthroplasty due to severe OA, were stored at -80 °C immediately after surgery. Before MR imaging acquisition, these plateaus were thawed overnight at 4 °C, fixed on a radiographically opaque plastic grid for location reference, and immersed into a phosphate-buffered saline solution for approximately 0.5 h at room temperature. A GE 3T MR imaging system (General Electric, Milwaukee, WI, USA) with a quadrature transmit/8-channel phased-array receive knee coil (Invivo Corp., Orlando, FL, USA) was used for scanning the tibial plateaus under the following settings (28): 3D MAPSS sequence; repetition time/echo time (TR/TE) =9.3/3.7 ms;



Figure 1 A schematic workflow of the parameter identification using inverse FE technology. In the FE model, the bottom surface of the cartilage sample was fixed by all degrees of freedom (shown by a blank triangle) while the indentation load was applied to the indenter (blue) along its axial direction (white arrow). The indentation data was published previously (20).

field of view (FOV) =6-8 cm; matrix =256×128; slice thickness =2 mm; bandwidth (BW) =31.25 kHz; view per segment (VPS) =64; T_{rec} =1.5 s; time of spin-lock (TSL) =0, 10, 40, 80 ms; and frequency of spin-lock (FSL) =500 Hz. The map of T₁₀ relaxation times was reconstructed pixel by pixel using a Levenberg-Marquardt mono-exponential fitting algorithm described by Li and colleagues (16,28). After MR scanning, ten sites were selected for the indentation test from the human tibial plateaus (Figure S1). The International Cartilage Repair Society Outerbridge scores of the cartilage tissues at these ten sites were 2.8±0.4 (mean \pm SD), ranging from 2 to 3. Indentation tests were performed using the indentation system, BioDent 1000 TDI (Active Life Scientific, Inc., Santa Barbara, CA, USA), with the samples submerged in phosphate-buffered saline at 20 °C. A coaxial probe was used for the test: its outer part was hollow for identifying the articular surface while its core part was a flat tip 1.47 mm in diameter to indent tissues. A

sinusoidal reciprocation of 300 μ m was implemented for the indenter cyclically along its longitudinal direction (i.e., 1 Hz). After the mechanical response of the tissues reached a steady state (i.e., the change of peak force was <1%), the depth-force curves in three cycles were extracted and averaged to determine the indentation behavior of each specimen (*Figure 1A*). A schematic diagram of the whole workflow is shown in *Figure 1B*.

361

Constitutive relation of cartilage including $T_{1\rho}$ relaxation time

We updated a commonly used FRPE constitutive relation of cartilage (22,26) by linking $T_{1\rho}$ relaxation time to its stressstrain relationship. The constitutive framework of cartilage was composed of nonfibrillar matrix and collagen fibrils (including 4 primary fibrils and 7 secondary fibrils) (24), as shown in *Figure 2*. It is known that fluid permeability



Figure 2 A diagram of cartilage structure and its major components. (A) The structural features of cartilage; (B) three major components of cartilage are shown as black curves, yellow lines, and gray solid field, corresponding to the primary fibrils, secondary fibrils, and nonfibrillar matrix, respectively; (C) a set of springs and a damper were used to define the mechanical behaviors of the primary and secondary fibrils.

mainly affects the transient response of the cartilage, whereas the mechanical properties of the cartilage at the equilibrium stage are determined almost exclusively by the solid component (including the nonfibrillar matrix and collagen fibrils) (1,27). Because the loading curves used in this study were obtained from the steady state of the cyclical indentation (*Figure 1A*), the component of the hydrostatic pressure was ignored in this updated constitutive relation. However, an obvious phase lag between force and displacement peaks was still observed in these loading curves at the steady state (20). This was assumed to be attributable to the viscous properties of the solid component.

The total stress tensor of the cartilage σ_{tot} was

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}} = R_{\text{nf}} \sigma_{\text{nf}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\text{tot f}} R_{\text{f}}^{i} \sigma_{\text{f}}^{i}$$
[1]

where σ_{nf} and σ_{f}^{i} are the Cauchy stress tensor of the nonfibrillar matrix and the *i*-th collagen fibril respectively, and R_{nf} and R_{f}^{i} are their volume fractions with respect to the total volume, respectively. We define $n_{s,0}$ as the initial volume fraction of the hydrated solid to the total volume (i.e., including the intrafibrillar water), which equals $R_{nf} + \sum_{i=1}^{tot f} R_{f}^{i}$. The parameters R_{nf} and R_{f}^{i} were (22,26,27,29) $R_{nf} = n_{s,0} \left[0.41 + 1.1Z_{n} - 1.4(Z_{n})^{2} \right]$ [2]

$$\mathbf{R}_{f}^{i} = \mathbf{n}_{s,0} \left[0.59 - 1.1Z_{n} + 1.4(Z_{n})^{2} \right] \begin{cases} \frac{C_{0}}{4C_{0} + 14} & \text{for primary fibrils} \\ \frac{1}{2C_{0} + 7} & \text{for secondary fibrils} \end{cases}$$
[3]

where Z_n is the normalized depth in the tissue (0 at the articular surface of the cartilage sample and 1 at the bottom). C_0 was assumed to be 3.009 (25).

The stress tensor of the nonfibrillar matrix, σ_{nf} , was defined as the same as those in the literature by the following modified neo-Hookean law (22,26,27):

where J is the determinant of the deformation gradient tensor F, I is the unit tensor, and G_m is the shear modulus of 0.903 MPa (22).

The stress tensor of the *i*-th collagen fibril, $\sigma_{\rm f}^{\rm i}$, is given by

$$\sigma_{\rm f}^{\rm i} = \frac{1}{J} \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\rm f}^{\rm i} \cdot \mathbf{F}^{\rm T} = \frac{1}{J} \frac{\mathbf{P}_{\rm f}}{\lambda} \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{\bar{e}}_{\rm f,0}^{\rm i} \otimes \mathbf{\bar{e}}_{\rm f,0}^{\rm i} \cdot \mathbf{F}^{\rm T}$$
[5]

where \mathbf{S}_{r}^{i} is the 2nd Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor; C is the right Cauchy–Green strain tensor, as $C = F^{T} \cdot F$; $\mathbf{\bar{e}}_{r,0}^{i}$ is the initial direction vector of the *i*-th collagen fibril; \otimes is the

dvadic product of two vectors; λ is the elongation of the fibril, as $\lambda^2 = \vec{e}_{f0}^i \cdot C \cdot \vec{e}_{f0}^i$; and P_f is the 1st Piola-Kirchhoff stress value of the collagen fibril (including primary and secondary). The initial directions $\vec{e}_{f,0}^{i}$ of the collagen fibrils were defined according to directions found in the literature (24). First, the orientations of the seven secondary fibrils were assumed to be random. Second, the four primary fibrils from bottom to top firstly oriented as an arcade that extended perpendicular to the subchondral bone surface (in the deep zone), deflected toward two orthogonal directions parallel to the subchondral bone surface (in the middle zone), and then were flush with the articular surface (in the superficial zone) (*Figure 2B*). The three directions in defining the fibril orientations are shown as the axes x, y, and z, corresponding to the radial, circumferential, and normal directions of the unicondylar tibial plateaus, respectively (Figure 2A). The angle of the primary fibrils with respect to the normal axis of the articular surface, θ , was determined by fitting the published data of Wilson et al. (24) as shown in the following:

for
$$Z_n \le 0.06$$

 $\theta = 1.67 - 0.101(1 - Z_n)^{-20.6}$;
for $0.06 < Z_n < 0.32$
 $\theta = 1.57 - 1.60 \sin(9.61 - 6.19Z_n) - 0.0413 \sin(26.25 - 34.7Z_n)$;
for $Z_n \ge 0.32$
 $\theta = 0$

The 1^{st} Piola-Kirchhoff stress value P_f of the collagen fibril was represented by a set of springs and a damper as the published papers (22,26):

$$P_{f} = P_{f1} + P_{f2}$$
 [7]

$$P_{f1} = \begin{cases} E_1 \left[exp(k_1 \varepsilon_f) - 1 \right] & \text{for } \varepsilon_f \ge 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } \varepsilon_f < 0 \end{cases}$$
[8]

$$P_{12} = \begin{cases} E_2 \left[\exp(k_2 \varepsilon_e) - 1 \right] = \eta(\dot{\varepsilon}_f - \dot{\varepsilon}_e) & \text{for } \varepsilon_e \ge 0\\ 0 & \text{for } \varepsilon_e < 0 \end{cases}$$
[9]

where $\varepsilon_f = \text{In} (\lambda)$ is the total logarithmic fibril strain and ε_e is the strain of the spring at the side of the damper (*Figure 2C*). The material constants k₁, k₂, E₁, E₂, and η were defined as 3.825, 72.328, 6.632 MPa, 15.555 MPa, and 1,197.1 MPa·s, respectively (22).

Parameter identification using the inverse FE method

It is known that $T_{1\rho}$ relaxation time is sensitive to changes in the ECM, although the specific relationship between $T_{1\rho}$ and ECM content is not fully understood. Based on both the $T_{1\rho}$ and the hydrated solid volume fraction data through the depth direction of healthy bovine cartilage from published studies (11,27), we suggested a two-parameter exponential relationship between the $n_{s,0}$ and the $T_{1\rho}$ relaxation time for human cartilage as

$$\mathbf{n}_{s,0} = \mathbf{C}_1 \cdot \exp\left(\mathbf{C}_2 \cdot \mathbf{T}_{1\rho}\right)$$
[10]

where C_1 and C_2 are two parameters that need to be determined.

The whole cartilage of each tibial plateau was reconstructed using the software MeVisLab v2.5.1 (MeVis Medical Solutions AG, Bremen, Germany) based on the MR images described above. Then, a 3D geometrical model at each site was extracted according to the opaque grids beneath, with horizontal dimensions of 4.4 mm x 4.4 mm (i.e., 3 times the probe diameter). All geometrical models were meshed by 8-node hexahedral element using the software TrueGrid (XYZ Scientific Applications, Inc., Pleasant Hill, CA, USA). The element size was 0.367 mm \times 0.367 mm in the horizontal dimensions of the cartilage while the element number in its depth direction was 10 (i.e., 1,440 elements for one cartilage sample). Each element was assigned with the T₁₀ value of the corresponding pixel in the MR quantification mappings as well as with the normalized depth Z_n calculated using a custom-coded MATLAB script (MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA, USA). Mesh convergence of the FE model was checked by comparing the simulated resultant force of the indenter from the current element density with those from a double-meshed density under the same displacement loading. The difference between these two simulated resultant force curves was <3%, indicating the convergence of the current element density.

All FE models of the indentation test were developed using the software LS-DYNA (Livermore Software Technology Corporation, Livermore, CA, USA). According to the previous indentation test, a 1.47-mm-diameter flat indenter was created at the center of the articular surface and defined as steel with elastic modulus of 207 GPa and Poisson's ratio of 0.3. The cartilage tissues were defined by the $T_{1\rho}$ -based constitutive relation using a user subroutine of material in LS-DYNA. The bottom surface of the cartilage model was fixed in all degrees of freedom; the indenter was only free in its longitudinal axis. Frictionless contacting behavior was defined between the cartilage tissues and the indenter. In each simulation, loading was applied to the indenter, whose value was defined by the indentation force curve from the averaged depth-force

 Table 1 Parameter identification results of all 10 cartilage samples

 from 4 human tibial plateaus

| Samples | C ₁ | C_2 |
|-----------|----------------|-----------------|
| S1_loc1 | 0.765 | -0.0036 |
| S1_loc2 | 0.700 | -0.0030 |
| S1_loc3 | 0.799 | -0.0022 |
| S1_loc4 | 0.857 | -0.0030 |
| S2_loc1 | 0.726 | -0.0032 |
| S2_loc2 | 0.575 | -0.0031 |
| S2_loc3 | 0.810 | -0.0035 |
| S3_loc1 | 0.736 | -0.0020 |
| S4_loc1 | 0.836 | -0.0024 |
| S4_loc2 | 0.900 | -0.0013 |
| Mean ± SD | 0.770±0.0807 | -0.0027±0.00053 |

Note: S1, S2, S3, and S4 represent the plateau number and loc 1, loc2, loc3, and loc4 represent sites on the plateau.

data of the corresponding sample. Because of the uncertain geometry of the indenter tip and the partial gap between the indenter and rough tissue surface during the initial loading portion, relative indentation depth was used to identify the parameters. It was calculated by subtracting the indentation depth value at 25% of the peak force from the indentation depth curve of the upper 75% portion. Polynomial metamodel optimization technology in the LS-OPT software (Livermore Software Technology Corporation, Livermore, CA, USA) was employed to determine the parameters C_1 and C_2 of each sample by comparing their experimental and simulated relative indentation depth curves.

Assessment of the T_{10} -based constitutive relation

Three assessments were performed for the $T_{1\rho}$ -based constitutive relation, including leave-one-out cross validation, sensitivity analysis of the parameters, and comparison with the common FRPE constitutive relation. All the settings of these FE simulations were the same as described above, except for the parameters below.

In the leave-one-out validation, the parameters C_1 and C_2 for each sample were determined by averaging the corresponding values from all the other nine samples. In the sensitivity analysis, three levels of the parameter $n_{s,0}$ were compared: the standard level of the parameter $n_{s,0}$ was defined by the averaged C_1 and C_2 (*Table 1*);

the higher and lower levels of $n_{s,0}$ were calculated by increasing and decreasing the standard value by 25%, respectively. A linear regression analysis was developed between the experimental and simulated relative depth results for the leave-one-out validation and the sensitivity analysis. In the comparison between the T10-based and common FRPE constitutive relations, the parameter n_{s.0} was determined by Eq. [10] and the averaged C_1 and C_2 (*Table 1*) for the T_{1p} -based constitutive relation, and by $0.2101 + 0.1281Z_n + 0.1296Z_n^2 + 0.2209Z_n^3$ for the common FRPE constitutive relation, respectively (21,27). The simulated maximal relative indentation depths were compared with the experimental data using linear regression analysis and Bland-Altman plots. Additionally, the stress distributions from these two constitutive relations were compared, including the minimal principal stress and the maximal shear stress.

Results

Table 1 indicates the parameters C_1 and C_2 for all ten cartilage samples. Their mean and standard deviation (SD) were 0.770 ± 0.0807 and -0.0027 ± 0.00053 , respectively.

In the leave-one-out validation of the $T_{1\rho}$ -based constitutive relation, a strong correlation was observed between the experimental and simulated maximal results of the relative indentation depth with its regression equation as

 $Y=0.928X + 0.0048 (R^2=0.740, P=0.0014)$ [11]

where X and Y correspond to the experimental and simulated results, respectively (*Figure 3A*). In the sensitivity analysis of the parameter $n_{s,0}$, the results of the linear regression analysis for the three parameter levels are shown in *Figure 3B*. Compared with the relative indentation depth results under the standard $n_{s,0}$ value (based on the averaged C_1 and C_2), the 25% increase of the parameter induced the slope of the regression equation from 0.939 to 0.655; the 25% decrease changed the slope from 0.939 to 1.496.

In the comparison of the $T_{1\rho}$ -based and the common FRPE constitutive relations, the simulated relative indentation depths were similar between the two constitutive relations. The linear regression equations between the experimental results and the simulations of the $T_{1\rho}$ -based and the common FRPE constitutive relations were Y=0.939X+0.0036 and Y=0.936X+0.0046, respectively (*Figure 4A,B*). The different ratio between the simulated and experimental results were both within the range of ±1.96 SD for the two constitutive relations, shown in the Quantitative Imaging in Medicine and Surgery, Vol 9, No 3 March 2019



Figure 3 Leave-one-out validation (A) and parameter sensitivity analysis (B) of the T_{1p} -based constitutive relation by comparing the experimental and simulated values of relative indentation depth. (A) In the leave-one-out validation, the parameters C_1 and C_2 for each specimen were determined by the averaged values of the other 9 specimens. The lines of the 95% confidence bounds for the linear regression are shown as red dashed lines. (B) The sensitivity analysis was performed for the initial hydrated solid volume fraction $n_{s,0}$ of the constitutive relation (defined by Eq. [2] and the averaged values of C_1 and C_2 in *Table 1*). Three levels of the parameter $n_{s,0}$ (i.e., normal value, 25% increase, and 25% decrease) were compared; the linear regression results are shown by circle and black line, rectangle and red line, and triangle and green line, respectively.

Bland-Altman plots (Figure 4C,D). However, the stress results of the cartilage samples showed differences between these two constitutive relations. Specifically, some samples manifested wider distributions of high maximal shear stress by the T₁₀-based constitutive relation (S1_loc1, S1_ loc2, S2 loc2, S3 loc1, S4 loc1, S4 loc2) whereas some samples did not reveal any obvious difference (S1_loc3, S1_loc4, S2_loc3). In the sample S2_loc1, the maximal shear stress by the $T_{1\rho}$ -based constitutive relation showed a narrower distribution compared with that by the common FRPE constitutive relation. In contrast, the distribution of minimal principal stress did not reveal any obvious difference except for the samples S1_loc1, S2_loc3, and S4_ loc2. Sample S2_loc3 showed a narrower distribution of high minimal principal stress by the T_{10} -based constitutive relation, whereas the distributions of the other two samples (S1_loc1 and S4_loc2) were wider from the common FRPE constitutive relation. Furthermore, the T_{1p} values were analyzed from all the elements with obvious stress change. Elements with higher $T_{1\rho}$ values (mean ± SD: 130±40 ms, n=24) led to higher stress in the $T_{1\rho}$ -based relation than that in the common relation; elements with lower T₁₀ values (mean \pm SD: 80 \pm 36 ms, n=35) resulted in lower stress results in the $T_{1\rho}$ -based relation. The $T_{1\rho}$ values of 130±40 ms were significantly higher than the lower $T_{1\rho}$ values of 80 ± 36 ms (two-tailed t test, P<0.0001). Figure 5 shows the comparison results of a typical cartilage sample (sample S4_ loc1) between these two FRPE constitutive relations.

Discussion

In this study, we updated a common FRPE constitutive relation of cartilage by including MR T₁₀ relaxation time through an inverse FE approach. A two-parameter exponential relation was obtained between the T_{10} quantification and the constitutive coefficient of the hydrated solid volume fraction $(n_{s,0})$. The results of the leave-oneout cross validation demonstrated that the T₁₀-based FRPE constitutive relation can be used to determine the mechanical behaviors of cartilage reliably (Figure 3A). In the sensitivity analysis of the parameter $n_{s,0}$, the slope values of the linear regression analyses indicated that a 25% increase of the n_{s0} reduced the relative indentation depth by 30%, whereas a 25% decrease of the n_{s0} raised the relative indentation depth by 59% (Figure 3B). Chang and Tang also indicated that the stiffness significantly decreased at the superficial layer of the cartilage after a loss of solid matrix (30). The sensitivity analysis results reveal the important role of the parameter $n_{s,0}$, coinciding with the suggestion that the mechanical behavior of cartilage under equilibrium conditions can be mainly attributed to the solid component (1,2).

To our knowledge, this is the first study to include MR $T_{1\rho}$ relaxation time in a FRPE constitutive relation for human cartilage. Similarly, Julkunen *et al.* studied the correlation between MR T_1 and T_2 quantifications and the FRPE constitutive relation and suggested that a quantitative relationship exists between the T_1 relaxation time and the nonfibrillar matrix modulus as well as between the T_2



Figure 4 Comparison of the experimental relative indentation depth with the simulated results by the T_{1p} -based and common FRPE constitutive relations. In the T_{1p} -based constitutive relation, the initial hydrated solid volume fraction was determined based on the T_{1p} -based constitutive relation are shown in (A) and (C), respectively. In the common FRPE constitutive relation, the initial hydrated solid volume fraction was determined based on Z_n . The linear regression analysis and Bland-Altman plot between the experiment and the common FRPE constitutive relation are shown in (B) and (D), respectively. The lines of the 95% confidence bounds for the linear regression are shown by red dashed lines in (A) and (B).

relaxation time and the initial fibril modulus (21). However, the MR relaxation results averaged over the full thickness of cartilage were used in their work, and the inhomogeneity of MR relaxation times over cartilage depth was thus ignored. The stress and strain relationship of cartilage under physiological loadings is nonuniform in depth, which implies that the role of cartilage tissue in load bearing depends both on its depth and its mechanical behaviors (31). According to the mappings of the $T_{1\rho}$ relaxation times at different anatomical sites and depths, the physiological distribution of the mechanical behaviors can be determined by this $T_{1\rho}$ -based FRPE constitutive relation, especially for abnormal cartilage.

The parameters C_1 and C_2 in the constitutive relation

indicated that the $n_{s,0}$ reached 73% when the $T_{1\rho}$ relaxation time was approximately 20 ms. This result agrees with the maximal fraction value of hydrated solid volume in cartilage (27). Compared with the fraction of dry solid matrix, the fraction of hydrated solid matrix increases by two times (i.e., 30% at the surface and 70% at the bottom of cartilage). The increase from the dry to the hydrated solid fraction results from the effect of intrafibrillar water, which seems to enlarge the diameter of some thin fibrils. Atomic force microscopy revealed that some thin fibrils (20 nm diameter) occur in hydrated cartilage tissues but disappear when dehydrated (32). The parameter $n_{s,0}$ in the previous FRPE constitutive relations was all calculated based on some measurements of dry solid component, but

Quantitative Imaging in Medicine and Surgery, Vol 9, No 3 March 2019



Figure 5 A typical cartilage sample for comparison of the $T_{1\rho}$ -based and common FRPE constitutive relations (sample S4_loc1). The initial hydrated solid volume fractions in all elements of the FE model were different between our constitutive relation by $T_{1\rho}$ and the common FRPE constitutive relation by Z_n (A). The distributions of minimal principal stress from the $T_{1\rho}$ -based and common FRPE constitutive relations are shown in (B) and (C), respectively. The distributions of maximal shear stress from the $T_{1\rho}$ -based and common FRPE constitutive relations are shown in (D) and (E), respectively. One quarter of the cartilage sample was removed in (B,C,D,E) to show the distributions clearly.

the corresponding mechanical coefficients were determined using hydrated samples (21,23-27,29,33). The mechanical properties and volume fraction of the solid component should be determined based on the cartilage samples under the same condition, f.i., the hydrated condition.

Figure 3 shows that no obvious difference existed in the simulated results of indentation depth between the $T_{1\rho}$ -based and common FRPE constitutive relations. In contrast, different stress distributions were found in local regions of the cartilage samples, especially on their articular surface (a typical cartilage sample is shown in *Figure 5*). The change of the stress distribution between these two constitutive relations may be attributable to the specific $T_{1\rho}$ values of the elements

at the indenting site. Comparison of the $T_{1\rho}$ at the sites of obvious stress changes reveals that the stress values from the $T_{1\rho}$ -based relation become higher than those from the common relation when higher $T_{1\rho}$ values exist (130±40 ms) but become lower when lower $T_{1\rho}$ values exist (80±36 ms). Compared with the common FRPE relation, the $T_{1\rho}$ based relation reveals higher stress at the sites of higher $T_{1\rho}$ values, meaning the initial damaged region of cartilage in early OA is subjected to a more severe local mechanical environment. The results of the comparison between these two constitutive relations also implies that the $T_{1\rho}$ based constitutive relation can predict changes in the mechanical properties of cartilage in the early stages of OA development, in vivo and noninvasively.

There are some limitations in this study. (I) Limited availability of the current methodology forced us to assume the depth-dependent fraction values of the nonfibrillar matrix and collagen fibrils with respect to the solid volume by previous works (22,26,27,29). Using newly established optical coherence tomography technology (34), the orientation of collagen fibril can, in the future, be in vitro detected for the purpose of exploring a more accurate T₁₀based constitutive relation. (II) The component "hydrostatic pressure" was not included in the updated constitutive relation because the indentation test data at the transient stage was not used in this study. Both the swelling test and compression tests with varying strain rates need to be performed in the future if one is to include the hydrostatic component in the constitutive relation. (III) The resolution of the medical MR images was approximately 0.3 mm/pixel. Future developments in advanced medical MR scanning systems will provide a more precise distribution of the T_{10} in human cartilage. (IV) The cartilage samples used in this paper are unhealthy under different OA degrees. Some healthy cartilage samples should be included in modeling the T_{1o} -based constitutive relation in the future, which could enhance the research significance.

In conclusion, we updated the common FRPE constitutive relation of human cartilage by including MR T₁₀ relaxation time. A two-parameter exponential relationship was determined between $T_{1\rho}$ relaxation time and constitutive coefficients by inverse FE simulations of the indentation test in human cartilage samples. Although a similar indentation depth result was obtained between the T10-based and common FRPE constitutive relations, the T₁₀-based FRPE constitutive relation can reveal different local changes of the stress distribution in cartilages compared with the common FRPE constitutive relation (i.e., without T_{10}). By integrating a quantitative MR measure of cartilage, the T_{10} -based constitutive relation will provide a precise in vivo assessment of the mechanical behaviors for cartilage tissues in the setting of joint dysfunction (such as OA and acute injuries) for individual patient joints. As a consequence, the real mechanical environment in the abnormal cartilages during daily and sport activities can be accurately in vivo estimated through the T₁₀-based constitutive relation. This can contribute to understanding damage initiation and development in cartilage, evaluating outcome of clinical treatments, and optimizing design of rehabilitation protocols.

Acknowledgements

Funding: This study was supported by grants from the U.S. National Institutes of Health (NIH/NIAMS P50AR060752 and NIH/NIAMS R21AR056773 to X Li; and R01HL119857 to L Ge) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (51575299 to Z Hao). The authors also gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the project of the Beijing Joint Care Foundation.

Footnote

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The experimental protocols were approved by the Committee on Human Research at the University of California, San Francisco.

References

- Gupta S, Lin J, Ashby P, Pruitt L. A fiber reinforced poroelastic model of nanoindentation of porcine costal cartilage: A combined experimental and finite element approach. J Mech Behav Biomed Mater 2009;2:326-37; discussion 337-8.
- Soltz MA, Ateshian GA. Experimental verification and theoretical prediction of cartilage interstitial fluid pressurization at an impermeable contact interface in confined compression. J Biomech 1998;31:927-34.
- Goldring MB, Goldring SR. Osteoarthritis. J Cell Physiol 2007;213:626-34.
- Lohmander LS, Ionescu M, Jugessur H, Poole AR. Changes in joint cartilage aggrecan after knee injury and in osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1999;42:534-44.
- Price JS, Till SH, Bickerstaff DR, Bayliss MT, Hollander AP. Degradation of cartilage type II collagen precedes the onset of osteoarthritis following anterior cruciate ligament rupture. Arthritis Rheum 1999;42:2390-8.
- Bashir A, Gray ML, Boutin RD, Burstein D. Glycosaminoglycan in articular cartilage: in vivo assessment with delayed Gd (DTPA)(2-)-enhanced MR imaging. Radiology 1997;205:551-8.
- Li X, Kuo D, Theologis A, Carballido-Gamio J, Stehling C, Link TM, Ma CB, Majumdar S. Cartilage in anterior cruciate ligament-reconstructed knees: MR imaging T1ρ and T2—initial experience with 1-year follow-up.

Quantitative Imaging in Medicine and Surgery, Vol 9, No 3 March 2019

Radiology 2011;258:505-14.

- Li X, Majumdar S. Quantitative MRI of articular cartilage and its clinical applications. J Magn Reson Imaging 2013;38:991-1008.
- Nieminen MT, Töyräs J, Laasanen MS, Silvennoinen J, Helminen HJ, Jurvelin JS. Prediction of biomechanical properties of articular cartilage with quantitative magnetic resonance imaging. J Biomech 2004;37:321-8.
- Su F, Hilton JF, Nardo L, Wu S, Liang F, Link TM, Ma CB, Li X. Cartilage morphology and T1p and T2 quantification in ACL-reconstructed knees: a 2-year follow-up. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2013;21:1058-67.
- Wheaton AJ, Dodge GR, Elliott DM, Nicoll SB, Reddy R. Quantification of cartilage biomechanical and biochemical properties via T1ρ magnetic resonance imaging. Magn Reson Med 2005;54:1087-93.
- Xia Y. Relaxation anisotropy in cartilage by NMR microscopy (µMRI) at 14-µm resolution. Magn Reson Med 1998;39:941-9.
- Choi JA, Gold GE. MR imaging of articular cartilage physiology. Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am 2011;19:249-82.
- Hatcher CC, Collins AT, Kim SY, Michel LC, Mostertz WC 3rd, Ziemian SN, Spritzer CE, Guilak F, DeFrate LE, McNulty AL. Relationship between T1rho magnetic resonance imaging, synovial fluid biomarkers, and the biochemical and biomechanical properties of cartilage. J Biomech 2017;55:18-26.
- Keenan KE, Besier TF, Pauly JM, Smith RL, Delp SL, Beaupre GS, Gold GE. T1rho Dispersion in articular cartilage: relationship to material properties and macromolecular content. Cartilage 2015;6:113-22.
- Li X, Ma CB, Link TM, Castillo DD, Blumenkrantz G, Lozano J, Carballido-Gamio J, Ries M, Majumdar S. In vivo T1ρ and T2 mapping of articular cartilage in osteoarthritis of the knee using 3T MRI. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2007;15:789-97.
- Pan J, Pialat JB, Joseph T, Kuo D, Joseph GB, Nevitt MC, Link TM. Knee cartilage T2 characteristics and evolution in relation to morphologic abnormalities detected at 3-T MR imaging: a longitudinal study of the normal control cohort from the Osteoarthritis Initiative. Radiology 2011;261:507-15.
- Potter HG, Jain SK, Ma Y, Black BR, Fung S, Lyman S. Cartilage injury after acute, isolated anterior cruciate ligament tear: immediate and longitudinal effect with clinical/MRI follow-up. Am J Sports Med 2012;40:276-85.

- Nissi MJ, Rieppo J, Töyräs J, Laasanen MS, Kiviranta I, Nieminen MT, Jurvelin JS. Estimation of mechanical properties of articular cartilage with MRI - dGEMRIC, T2 and T1 imaging in different species with variable stages of maturation. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2007;15:1141-8.
- Tang SY, Souza RB, Ries M, Hansma PK, Alliston T, Li X. Local tissue properties of human osteoarthritic cartilage correlate with magnetic resonance T1rho relaxation times. J Orthop Res 2011;29: 1312-9.
- Julkunen P, Korhonen RK, Nissi MJ, Jurvelin JS. Mechanical characterization of articular cartilage by combining magnetic resonance imaging and finite-element analysis—a potential functional imaging technique. Phys Med Biol 2008;53:2425-38.
- 22. Julkunen P, Wilson W, Jurvelin JS, Rieppo J, Qu CJ, Lammi MJ, Korhonen RK. Stress-relaxation of human patellar articular cartilage in unconfined compression: Prediction of mechanical response by tissue composition and structure. J Biomech 2008;41:1978-86.
- Li LP, Buschmann MD, Shirazi-Adl A. A fibril reinforced nonhomogeneous poroelastic model for articular cartilage: inhomogeneous response in unconfined compression. J Biomech 2000;33:1533-41.
- Wilson W, van Donkelaar CC, van Rietbergen B, Ito K, Huiskes R. Stresses in the local collagen network of articular cartilage: a poroviscoelastic fibril-reinforced finite element study. J Biomech 2004;37:357-66.
- Wilson W, van Donkelaar CC, van Rietbergen B, Huiskes R. A fibril-reinforced poroviscoelastic swelling model for articular cartilage. J Biomech 2005;38:1195-204.
- 26. Wilson W, Huyghe JM, van Donkelaar CC. A composition-based cartilage model for the assessment of compositional changes during cartilage damage and adaptation. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2006;14:554-60.
- Wilson W, Huyghe JM, van Donkelaar CC. Depthdependent compressive equilibrium properties of articular cartilage explained by its composition. Biomech Model Mechanobiol 2007;6:43-53.
- Li X, Han ET, Busse RF, Majumdar S. In Vivo T1ρ Mapping in cartilage using 3D magnetization-prepared angle-modulated partitioned k-space spoiled gradient echo snapshots (3D MAPSS). Magn Reson Med 2008;59:298-307.
- Rieppo J, Hyttinen MM, Lappalainen R, Jurvelin JS, Helminen HJ. Spatial determination of water, collagen and proteoglycan contents by Fourier transform infrared imaging and digital densitometry. 50th Annual Meetings of

Wan et al. T10-based constitutive relation of cartilage

the Orthopaedic Research Society 2004; Poster No.: 1021.

- Chang A, Tang SY. Determination of the depth- and timedependent mechanical behavior of mouse articular cartilage using cyclic reference point indentation. Cartilage 2018. [Epub ahead of print]. doi: 10.1177/1947603518786554.
- Peña E, Calvo B, Martínez MA, Doblaré M. Computer simulation of damage on distal femoral articular cartilage after meniscectomies. Comput Biol Med 2008;38:69-81.
- 32. Zhu P, Fang M. Nano-morphology of cartilage in hydrated

Cite this article as: Wan C, Ge L, Souza RB, Tang SY, Alliston T, Hao Z, Li X. T_{1p} -based fibril-reinforced poroviscoelastic constitutive relation of human articular cartilage using inverse finite element technology. Quant Imaging Med Surg 2019;9(3):359-370. doi: 10.21037/qims.2019.03.01

and dehydrated conditions revealed by atomic force microscopy. J Phys Chem Biophys 2012;2:106.

- Shapiro EM, Borthakur A, Kaufman JH. Water distribution patterns inside bovine articular cartilage as visualized by 1H magnetic resonance imaging. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2001;9:533-8.
- Lu Z, Kasaragod D, Matcher SJ. Conical scan polarizationsensitive optical coherence tomography. Biomedical Optics Express 2014;5:752-62.

370

Supplementary



Figure S1 A schematic diagram of the indentation test sites in the 4 human tibial plateaus. The drawings in (A), (B), (C) and (D) correspond to the tibial plateaus S1, S2, S3, and S4, respectively (listed in *Table 1*). On account of the plateaus being obtained from severe OA patients, cartilage disappeared in some regions of the plateaus where bone was exposed (marked by pink color). Accordingly, the indentation sites were selected based on the specific conditions of each tibial plateau (shown as red dots). The International Cartilage Repair Society Outerbridge scores for the cartilage at these sites were 2.8±0.4 (mean ± SD). A, anterior; P, posterior; M, medial; L, lateral.