Final report to: Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission Summarizing data for 2003, 2004 and 2005

The collaborative study of juvenile rockfish, cabezon, and kelp greenling habitat associations between Morro Bay, California and Newport, Oregon

February 2006

Submitted by: Susan Schlosser and Jennifer Bloeser

California Sea Grant and Pacific Marine Conservation Council Cooperative Research Project

Collaborative study of juvenile rockfish, cabezon, and kelp greenling habitat associations between Morro Bay, California and Newport, Oregon

Principal Investigators:

| Susan Schlosser |
|--------------------------|
| Marine Advisor |
| UC Sea Grant Program |
| 2 Commercial St., Ste. 4 |
| Eureka, CA 95501 |
| Phone: 707/443/8369 |
| Fax: 707/445/3901 |

Jennifer Bloeser Science Director Pacific Marine Conservation Council P.O. Box 327 Arcata, CA, 95518 Phone: 707/822/1179 Fax: 707/822/1390

Date: February 2006 **Collaborators:**

Jim Bassler, Fort Bragg, CA Kevin Bastien, Newport, OR Jennifer Bloeser, Pacific Marine Conservation Council, CA, OR & WA Leesa Cobb, Port Orford, OR Flaxen Conway, Oregon State University Roger Cullen, Morro Bay, CA Chris Dewees, Marine Fisheries Specialist, California Sea Grant Dave Fox, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife Ginny Goblirsch, Marine Advisor, Oregon Sea Grant Tom Hafer, Morro Bay, CA Paul Heikkila, Marine Advisor, Oregon Sea Grant, Mark Helvey, NMFS, CA Kenyon Hensel, Crescent City, CA Jim Hie, Bodega Bay, CA Bill Leet, Davis, CA Liam Massey, Eureka, CA Erin Nakada, Morro Bay, CA Giovanni Neviloso, Monterey, CA Andy Novak, Eureka, CA Paul Olin, Marine Advisor, California Sea Grant Christine Pattison, California Department of Fish and Game Carrie Pomeroy, Fisheries Advisor, California Sea Grant Joel Purkey, Port Orford, OR Nan Reck, NMFS, CA John Richards, Marine Advisor Emeritus, California Sea Grant Dave Rickel. Port Orford, OR Steve Rumrill, South Slough Estuarine Research Reserve, Oregon Susan Schlosser, Marine Advisor, California Sea Grant Rick Starr, Marine Advisor, California Sea Grant Jim Waldvogel, Marine Advisor, California Sea Grant Burley Young, Charleston, OR

Abstract

There were two primary goals for this project. One was to identify habitat associations of juvenile rockfish, cabezon, and greenling. Our second was to increase our understanding about what is required to develop and implement successful collaborative fisheries research. Juvenile benthic rockfish, *Sebastes* spp., cabezon, *Scorpaenichthys marmoratus*, and kelp greenling, *Hexagrammos decagrammus*, recruits were sampled monthly in untrawlable nearshore habitats between June 2003 and December 2005. Young fishes were trapped with 0.75 x 0.75 x 0.25 m traps of 0.5 inch mesh. Traps were deployed monthly for 24 hours without bait in nearshore (kelp, rock, sand, mud) and bay (eelgrass, mud, sand, pilings, kelp) habitats. This work was conducted with fishermen in Morro Bay, Monterey Bay, Bodega Bay, Fort Bragg, Eureka and Crescent City, California, and Charleston, Port Orford, and Newport, Oregon. Other cooperators include NOAA Fisheries, California Department of Fish and Game, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, South Slough Estuarine Research Reserve. Black rockfish were the most dominant species, accounting for 66% of all rockfish trapped. Copper rockfish were the second most abundant rockfish accounting for 15%.

Funding for this project has been provided by: 2003 – National Sea Grant Fisheries Extension Program 2004 and 2005 - Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission

Introduction

The goals of this project are:

- Identify habitat associations of juvenile rockfish, cabezon and kelp greenling
- ✤ Increase our understanding about collaborative fisheries research

A primary catalyst for this project was lack of information on the habitat usage of the early benthic juvenile life stage of nearshore rockfishes in untrawlable areas. The collaborative aspects of this project started with port meetings with fishermen. The identification of samples sites and habitats was determined through discussions with fishermen. Several trap designs were tested for their durability and effectiveness. The design ultimately chosen for the project was constructed by the fisherman collaborator from Morro Bay, Tom Hafer. Initial samples resulted in expansion of the project to include juvenile cabezon and kelp greenling. Diverse and numerous outreach methods were used to share project results such as port meetings, conference calls, local and national presentations, and newsletter articles.

Methods

Project sampling began in June 2003 and continued through December 2005. Sample sites were located near the ports of Newport, Coos Bay, and Port Orford, Oregon and Crescent City, Humboldt Bay, Fort Bragg, Bodega Bay, Monterey Bay, and Morro Bay, California. Two sites, Coos Bay and Humboldt Bay, include samples taken entirely inside a bay system. Other sites include nearshore habitats and some samples taken in the adjacent bay (Table 1).

Twenty traps were deployed at each port. Habitat types listed below (Table 1) are sampled at depths of 10 to 60 feet. Four or five unbaited traps are set at each habitat type and retrieved 24 hours later. The traps are $24 \times 24 \times 10$ inches, constructed of 16 gauge plastic coated wire of 0.5 inch mesh size (Figure 1). Galvanized rings fasten the door to the trap and will dissolve within a week if a trap is lost. The traps were set without bait. Water quality measurements include salinity and temperature.



Figure 1. Trap used to sample juvenile nearshore fishes.

| <u>NEARSHORE SITES:</u> Morro Bay, Monterey, Bodega, Fort Bragg, Crescent City, Port Orford, Newport | <u>INSHORE/BAY SITES:</u> Morro Bay, Monterey, Bodega, Humboldt Bay, Crescent City, Coos Bay, Newport |
|--|---|
| Habitats Sampled | Habitats Sampled |
| Kelp | Kelp |
| Rock | Eelgrass |
| Sand | Pilings |
| Mud | Mud |
| | Sand |
| | Rock |

Table 1. Habitat types sampled during the study are:

All rockfishes, cabezon, and greenling were measured for total length (TL, \pm 1.0 mm) and weighed (\pm 0.1 gram). The measurements for each fish were recorded with its corresponding date of capture, location, depth, (latitude and longitude), and habitat type. Unknown juvenile rockfish were preserved in 50% isopropyl alcohol for identification in the laboratory.

Results and Discussion

Species Abundance

Cabezon, kelp greenling, and fourteen species of rockfish were trapped during three years of sampling (Table 2). A comprehensive fish species list can be found in Appendix A of this report.

Black rockfish were the most commonly trapped species (n=1198), following by copper (n=274), grass rockfish (n=115) and blue rockfish (n=62). The four most numerous species accounted for 91% of the total rockfish trapped. Black rockfish were trapped in all ports. Copper rockfish were trapped at all ports except Fort Bragg. Grass rockfish were trapped in all ports except Port Orford. Blue rockfish were trapped in Bodega and Monterey. The size range of rockfish trapped was 50 mm – 110 mm TL. (Tables 2 and 3, Figure 2).

The greatest number of cabezon were trapped at Coos Bay (n=120, Figure 3). Cabezon were trapped at all ports in all years. The size range of cabezon trapped was 40 mm - 120 mm TL. (Tables 2 and 3, Figure 3).

The greatest number of kelp greenling were trapped in Monterey (n=83, Figure 4). They were trapped in all ports in all years except for 2003 offshore sites in Crescent City and Newport. The size range of kelp greenling trapped was 90 mm – 220 mm TL. (Tables 2 and 3, Figure 4).

Total number of juvenile rockfish, cabezon and kelp greenling were similar in 2003 and 2005. Approximately twice as many fish were trapped in 2004 in each species group.

| Species group | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
|----------------|------|------|------|
| Rockfish | 379 | 978 | 457 |
| Cabezon | 148 | 273 | 157 |
| Kelp Greenling | 105 | 207 | 114 |

Table 2. Total number of juvenile rockfish, cabezon and kelp greenling by year.

Table 3. Total number of juvenile rockfish, cabezon and kelp greenling trapped at nine ports in California and Oregon between June 2003 and December 2005.

| | Newport | Coos | Port | Crescent | Humboldt | Fort | Bodega | Monterey | Morro |
|-------------|---------|------|--------|----------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
| | | Bay | Orford | City | Bay | Bragg | Bay | | Bay |
| Black | 181 | 134 | 223 | 258 | 231 | 27 | 138 | 5 | 1 |
| Black & | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 |
| Yellow | | | | | | | | | |
| Blue | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 48 | 0 |
| Bocaccio | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Brown | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 6 |
| Calico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Chilipepper | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Copper | 58 | 6 | 14 | 22 | 21 | 0 | 125 | 26 | 2 |
| Gopher | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 37 | 2 |
| Grass | 1 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 15 | 1 | 30 | 9 | 35 |
| Kelp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 16 | 3 |
| Olive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Yellowtail | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 |
| Vermillion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Cabezon | 27 | 120 | 112 | 53 | 80 | 57 | 68 | 33 | 28 |
| Kelp | 69 | 37 | 75 | 13 | 38 | 38 | 57 | 83 | 16 |
| Greenling | | | | | | | | | |

Low numbers of rockfish were captured at Fort Bragg and Morro Bay. Due to the presence of adults in the commercial fishery we feel more research needs to be done at these port areas to locate early benthic juvenile habitats. Water clarity was a common factor at these two sites. At both sites on numerous occasions, large schools of juvenile rockfish were visible in kelp and rock habitat but did not enter the traps. We observed this on consecutive months in 2004 and 2005.

Monterey was the site with the highest diversity of rockfish species with 10 species trapped. Morro Bay and Bodega Bay had the second highest rockfish species diversity with 8 and 7, respectively. We found 3 to 5 species at the remaining ports. We observed variation in the number of species per port between years and plan to analyze species diversity further. (Figure 2).



Rockfish trapped in nine California and Oregon ports Greenling Caught in Nin²²⁰⁰³ ²⁰⁰⁴ ²⁰⁰⁵ on Ports



The total number of rockfish trapped per year at all nine sites was 379 in 2003, 978 in 2004, and 457 in 2005. In 2003 and 2005 the number of rockfish trapped was similar in all ports except Newport and Humboldt Bay (Figure 1). The greatest number of rockfish were trapped in Bodega Bay (n = 331), followed by Crescent (n=304), Humboldt Bay (n=271), Port Orford (n=245), and Newport, (n = 240). In each of these four ports, one monthly sample in 2004 trapped 50 to 60 young of the year rockfish in one to three traps. The samples with large numbers of rockfish contained newly settled benthic juveniles of approximately 50 mm in total length.

Habitat Association

The six habitat types were described by the benthic substrate where the trap was placed. A subset of habitat types was sampled at each port. Changes were made to sampling sites in 2004 after reviewing the first six months of data. At three ports; Newport, Port Orford and Crescent City, sample sites were moved inshore. At the other six ports the original sites were sampled for three years. In 2004 the original sites were sampled with less effort (less traps) and additional sites were added. In 2005 sample sites were not changed from 2004.

| Port | Habitats | 5 | Note |
|---------------|----------|----------|--|
| Newport | piling | mud | Mud sites were deeper water in channels near |
| | | | eelgrass. |
| Coos Bay | eelgrass | sand | Sand was associated with drift algae. |
| Port Orford | kelp | sand | Sand was adjacent to rock reef. |
| Crescent City | kelp | eelgrass | |
| Humboldt | mud | piling | Mud site was associated with drift algae. |
| Bay | | | |
| Fort Bragg | kelp | rock | |
| Bodega Bay | sand | kelp | Sand was associated with structure, either rock or |
| | | | eelgrass. |
| Monterey | rock | piling | |
| Morro Bay | piling | sand | Sand adjacent to boulder reef. |
| All Ports | kelp | piling | |

Table 4. The two most highly utilized habitat types by rockfish per port.

| Port | Habitats | | Note |
|---------------|----------|----------|--|
| Newport | piling | mud | Mud sites were deeper water in channels near |
| | | | eelgrass. |
| Coos Bay | sand | mud | Sand was associated with drift algae. |
| Port Orford | kelp | sand | Sand associated with rock structure. |
| Crescent City | sand | piling | Sand associated with rock structure. |
| Humboldt Bay | piling | eelgrass | |
| Fort Bragg | kelp | rock | |
| Bodega Bay | sand | kelp | Sand associated with rock structure. |
| Monterey | kelp | piling | |
| Morro Bay | sand | kelp | Sand associated with drift algae. |
| All Ports | kelp | sand | |

| Table 5. The two most highly utilized habitats by cal | bezon. |
|---|--------|
|---|--------|

Table 6. The two most highly utilized habitats by kelp greenling.

| Port | Habitats | | Note |
|---------------|----------|--------|---|
| Newport | piling | mud | Equal numbers were found in mud and sand |
| | | and | sites. Over 90% were found at piling sites. |
| | | sand | |
| Coos Bay | mud | kelp | |
| Port Orford | kelp | sand | Sand associated with rock structure. Over 90% |
| | | | found at kelp sites. |
| Crescent City | piling | kelp | |
| Humboldt Bay | mud | piling | Mud was associated with drift algae. |
| Fort Bragg | kelp | rock | |
| Bodega Bay | kelp | sand | |
| Monterey | piling | kelp | Equal numbers were found in kelp and rock |
| | | and | sites. |
| | | rock | |
| Morro Bay | rock | | Only trapped in 2003. |
| All Ports | kelp | piling | |



Habitat utilization by rockfish, cabezon, and kelp greenling for 2003-2005

Figure 6. Overall habitat utilization by all species groups 2003 through 2005.

Outreach

Outreach methods included teleconferences, port meetings, newsletter articles, professional conferences, public presentations, and a survey of the project participants.

One of the most important outreach aspects of the project was teleconferences with fishermen collaborators. These were held quarterly to discuss project progress, solicit input on sampling, and for updates on administrative matters. Local knowledge contributed to the sampling design for each port. We are deeply grateful to all the fishermen and other collaborators who contributed information and contacts to make this project possible.

Newsletter articles published by California Sea Grant and the Pacific Marine Conservation Council reached thousands of people throughout the west coast, many of whom contacted us and will receive this report.

An introductory poster about this project was presented at the California and World Ocean '02 Conference in Santa Barbara, California, October 2002. This presentation facilitated communication with California Department of Fish and Game biologists and managers. We attended several meetings held by CDFG to identify collaborative research topics and to develop implementation methods.

A paper on our first year of results was presented at the Western Groundfish Conference in Victoria, Canada, February 2004. Interest in the project was high with additional collaboration now occurring with Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife biologists. WDFW biologists will be sampling with our traps at habitats identified in this project using their personnel and boats. Sampling will include Puget Sound and outer coast sites. At the American Fisheries Society meeting in 2006, we participated in a poster session for the Collaborative Partnerships Symposium.

Preliminary project results were presented to numerous organizations and students including:

Port Orford Ocean Resources Team Mariculture Monitoring Committee Humboldt Bay Interagency Committee Humboldt State University Fishery classes High school marine biology classes University of Washington School of Fisheries Humboldt Bay Symposia in 2004 and 2005

An evaluation of the human dimensions aspect of this project was completed by F. Conway, Oregon State University, and C. Pomeroy, California Sea Grant, in 2005. The survey was conducted to determine project participants perceptions about the project, their role in it, and its strengths and weaknesses as a joint fishermen/scientist research project

Appendix A. List of fish species trapped at all ports.

Anchovy Cabezon Clingfish Cusk eel Flounder, starry Fringehead, onespot Goby, bay Goby, blackeye Greenling, kelp Greenling, painted Greenling, rock Gunnel, kelp Gunnel, penpoint Gunnel, saddleback Halibut, California Irish lord, brown Irish lord, red Kelpfish, giant Kelpfish, spotted Lingcod Midshipman, plain Pipefish, bay Poacher, kelp Poacher, prickle breasted Rockhead Rockfish, Black Rockfish, Black and Yellow Rockfish, Blue Rockfish, Bocaccio Rockfish, Brown Rockfish, Calico Rockfish, Chilipepper Rockfish, Copper Rockfish, Gopher Rockfish, Grass Rockfish, Kelp Rockfish, Olive Rockfish, Yellowtail Rockfish, Vermillion Ronquil, northern Sanddab, speckled Sand lance, Pacific Sardine

Sculpin, buffalo Sculpin, bull Sculpin, fluffy Sculpin, grunt Sculpin, padded Sculpin, rosylip Sculpin, sailfin Sculpin, scalyhead Sculpin, smoothhead Sculpin, snubnose Sculpin, staghorn Smelt, longfin Smoothhound, brown Snailfish, showy Snailfish, tidepool Sole, Englishg Stickleback Surfperch, barred Surfperch, black Surfperch, kelp Surfperch, pile Surfperch, rainbow Surfperch, redtail Surfperch, rubberlip Surfperch, shiner Surfperch, silver Surfperch, striped Surfperch, walleye Surfperch, walleye Surfperch, white Tomcod. Pacific Tubesnout Wolf-eel