UC Riverside

UC Riverside Previously Published Works

Title

The psychobiology of emotional development: The case for examining sociocultural processes.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/35v1d5nm>

Journal

Developmental psychobiology, 61(3)

ISSN

0012-1630

Authors

Michalska, Kalina J
Davis, Elizabeth L

Publication Date

2019-04-01

DOI

10.1002/dev.21795

Peer reviewed

The psychobiology of emotional development: The case for examining sociocultural processes

Kalina J. Michalska | Elizabeth L. Davis

Department of Psychology, University of
California, Riverside, Riverside, California

Correspondence

Elizabeth L. Davis & Kalina J. Michalska,
Department of Psychology, University of
California, Riverside, Riverside, CA.
Email: elizabeth.davis@ucr.edu;
kalina.michalska@gmail.com

Abstract

Psychobiological techniques to assess emotional responding have revolutionized the field of emotional development in recent decades by equipping researchers with the tools to quantify children's emotional reactivity and regulation more directly than behavioral approaches allow. Knowledge gained from the incorporation of methods spanning levels of analysis has been substantial, yet many open questions remain. In this prospective review, we (a) describe the major conceptual and empirical advances that have resulted from this methodological innovation, and (b) lay out a case for what we view as the most pressing challenge for the next decades of research into the psychobiology of emotional development: focusing empirical efforts toward understanding the implications of the broader sociocultural contexts in which children develop that shape the psychobiology of emotion. Thus, this review integrates previous knowledge about the psychobiology of emotion with a forward-looking set of recommendations for incorporating sociocultural processes into future investigations.

KEYWORDS

ANS psychophysiology, culture, emotion reactivity, emotion regulation, neurophysiology

1 | INTRODUCTION

Psychobiological techniques to assess emotional responding have revolutionized the field of emotional development in recent decades by equipping researchers with novel tools to quantify children's emotion reactivity and regulation. Knowledge gained from integration of methods spanning levels of analysis has been substantial, yet many open questions remain. In this prospective review, we first describe the conceptual and empirical advances that have resulted from investigations that have capitalized on these methodological innovations and forwarded our understanding of how emotion reactivity and regulation processes are implemented in the brain and reflected in downstream peripheral psychophysiology. As children are continually impacted by their sociocultural environments, in the second section of the paper we discuss the need for developmental affective neuroscience to focus empirical efforts toward understanding the broader cultural contexts in

which children develop that shape the psychobiology of emotion. We review extant research from cultural neuroscience that has informed understanding of culture and biological interactions in shaping emotional responding, and we point out the gaps in current knowledge that could usefully be addressed with psychobiological methods. Throughout this review, we highlight how developmental psychobiological approaches provide complementary insight into behavioral approaches, by dissociating similarities and differences in emotional development across behavioral and biological levels of analysis. We end with two prospective recommendations for future research into the psychobiology of children's emotion reactivity and regulation: to integrate the cultural and developmental affective neuroscience perspectives, and to strive to create a representative and generalizable knowledge base. Specific directions for work in each of these areas are suggested, as a call to researchers to consider collecting, reporting, and potentially incorporating sociodemographic data in their experimental designs.

2 | EMOTION REACTIVITY AND REGULATION

Among the psychological processes that are the basis for smooth social interaction, emotion reactivity and emotion regulation play pivotal roles. Emotion reactivity refers to an individual's threshold, intensity, and duration of arousal (Rothbart & Derryberry, 1981). For instance, a child is thought of as being high on negative emotion reactivity if the child displays frequent, intense, and rapid distress responses to situations that are frustrating. A child's tendency to display negative reactivity may be observed in both social and nonsocial situations. In the context of a social interaction, such a characteristic response may be elicited and expressed in situations where the child is provoked, threatened, asked to share, or required to respond to any number of other social demands. Conversely, emotion regulation refers to the processes by which an emotional response is altered, maintained, or discontinued (e.g., Gross, 2015; Thompson, 2011). Research has shown that emotion reactivity and emotion regulation are overlapping but separable processes that differentially predict behavioral and psychological health outcomes (Cole, Martin, & Dennis, 2004; Davies, Sturge-Apple, Cicchetti, Manning, & Zale, 2009; Gross, 2015), and both carry important consequences for later functioning. Additionally, extant work demonstrates that the ability to manage emotion is needed to maintain healthy relationships (Blair & Raver, 2015) and foster social competence (Calkins, Gill, Johnson, & Smith, 1999). On the other hand, certain developmental disorders are marked by deficits in emotion reactivity and regulation, which influence the motivation and ability to respond to others' needs (Michalska et al., 2017; Michalska, Zeffiro, & Decety, 2016). Failure to acquire the skills required to manage emotional responses may lead to difficulties in social interaction (Calkins, 1994; Cicchetti, Ackerman, & Izard, 1995; Rubin, Coplan, Fox, & Calkins, 1995). For example, children who display aggressive behaviors toward their peers may do so because they have developed inappropriate strategies for regulating anger (Eisenberg, Fabes, Nyman, Bernzweig, & Pinuelas, 1994; Quiñones-Camacho & Davis, 2018). Understanding how these emotion reactivity and regulatory behaviors are implemented in the brain and reflected in downstream peripheral psychophysiology has been a major focus of the last few decades of research in developmental psychobiology.

3 | NEUROPHYSIOLOGICAL APPROACHES TO UNDERSTANDING EMOTION REACTIVITY AND REGULATION

The neurophysiological approaches that have been most usefully applied to the understanding of children's emotion reactivity and regulation in the recent history of this area of developmental science include event-related potentials (ERPs) and neuroimaging (functional magnetic resonance imaging/fMRI; functional near-infrared spectroscopy/fNIRS) techniques. The noninvasive nature of

these methodologies has revolutionized researchers' ability to study neural processes and correlates of emotional behaviors in structured laboratory paradigms.

3.1 | Event-related potential (ERP) approaches

Electroencephalogram (EEG) provides a noninvasive measure of electrical activity in the brain that is recorded via scalp electrodes. The EEG signal is the result of the summation of synchronous firing of postsynaptic neuronal potentials (Davidson, Jackson, & Larson, 2000). Various measures of emotional functioning can be derived from the signal acquired from electrodes at specific scalp locations. The temporal resolution of EEG is one of the most notable benefits of this technique—responses are characterized very precisely, on the scale of milliseconds. One downside of this method is a lack of spatial precision, as it does not provide clear evidence of signal localization. Most relevant to our discussion of emotion reactivity and regulation is the computation of ERPs from the EEG signal, which has emerged as a valuable tool for assessing children's emotional responding during structured laboratory challenge tasks.

The continuous electrical changes in the brain that are captured by the EEG signal can be time-locked to specific events, like stimulus presentation, and the associated positive and negative voltage changes are known as ERPs. The late positive potential (LPP) is the ERP component that has been most robustly linked to emotional arousal/reactivity and regulatory processes (see Brooker et al., 2018 and Hajcak, MacNamara, & Olvet, 2010 for reviews that also describe the other ERP components implicated in emotional processes). The LPP is thought to reflect facilitated attention to emotion (e.g., Cuthbert, Schupp, Bradley, Birbaumer, & Land, 2006; Quiñones-Camacho, Wu, & Davis, 2018), is greater when viewing affective as opposed to neutral stimuli, and is modulated by deliberate attempts to regulate emotion (Foti & Hajcak, 2008; Hajcak, Dunning, & Foti, 2009). Thus, the LPP has been construed as a marker of emotion reactivity mechanisms (arousal resulting from exposure to evocative stimuli), and attenuation of LPP (e.g., reductions in LPP magnitude on "regulate" compared to "just view" types of trials) is thought to mark effective regulation of that reactivity/arousal. Limited research has examined the LPP in children and adolescents, but it has been established in children as young as 5 years (Dennis & Hajcak, 2009) and can be modulated by effective use of emotion regulation strategies (like reappraisal—thinking differently about one's emotions to reduce their intensity). For example, Babkirk, Rios, and Dennis (2014) showed that modulation of the LPP predicts emotion regulation strategy use in school-aged children, indicating that this ERP may be a neural marker of adaptive emotional development. The emotion regulation strategies children used during disappointing and frustrating laboratory tasks were observed, and the children who showed more reappraisal-related modulation of the LPP at age 5 were using more adaptive strategies to manage their disappointed and frustrated feelings both concurrently and 2 years later. Thus, researchers have taken

advantage of the child-friendly noninvasiveness and temporal resolution of ERP techniques to begin to identify developmental change in early-emerging biomarkers of emotional arousal. In addition, investigations of the extent to which the LPP is modulated by active regulatory attempts give insight into children's broader emotional development. Though more work is needed to establish other emotion regulation processes (e.g., harmonious parent-child interactions, dynamic shifting between different emotion regulation strategies) that may also attenuate the LPP in childhood, ERPs represent a very promising psychobiological tool for clarifying the neural correlates of emotion reactivity and regulation.

3.2 | Neuroimaging approaches

Noninvasive neuroimaging techniques such as fMRI and fNIRS provide functional information that is complementary to ERPs and enables the study of the development of the brain's functional architecture. As with ERPs, neuroimaging approaches provide the unique opportunity to quantify neural underpinnings of online affective processes and assess emotional reactions directly, in real time, thus reducing biases from self-reports and retrospective recall (Michalska, Gardiner, & Hughes, 2018). The growing field of developmental affective neuroscience has capitalized on these techniques to begin to illuminate the neurobiological bases of children's complex emotional tendencies and abilities. Moreover, it has sought to elucidate early-emerging biomarkers of risk for affective disorders, including disruptive behavior disorder, conduct disorder and mood and anxiety disorders (Gold et al., 2016; Michalska et al., 2016; Michalska, Decety, Zeffiro, & Lahey, 2015; Wakschlag et al., 2017). Below we enumerate some of the strengths and limitations of neuroimaging techniques for the study of emotion reactivity and regulation.

3.3 | fMRI

fMRI measures changes in the local concentrations of oxyhemoglobin and deoxyhemoglobin (i.e., the blood-oxygen-level-dependent/BOLD signal) associated with neuronal activity through sensitivity to local changes in magnetic susceptibility (Ogawa et al., 1992). The prime advantages of fMRI include high spatial resolution (on the order of millimeters) and deep brain structure coverage, both of which have generated novel insights into the development of neural networks involved in emotion reactivity and regulation (Gee et al., 2013; McRae et al., 2012; Pfeifer et al., 2011). Among the main limitations, most relevant to developmental affective neuroscience are the very low tolerance of motion and high acoustic noise. For children, many aspects of participating in a neuroimaging experiment can be challenging and potentially more stressful compared with adults, as their capacity to regulate emotions is still developing (Luna, Garver, Urban, Lazar, & Sweeney, 2004). Thus, anxiety caused by the fMRI experiment itself may potentially cloud developmental neuroimaging data on emotion reactivity and may do

so for some children more than others (Michalska, Gardiner et al., 2018; Pecukonis, Anderson, Sadikova, & Redcay, 2017; Shechner et al., 2013).

Another limitation is that fMRI is only an indirect measure of neuronal activity—the BOLD response is thought to be generated by excitatory synaptic activity (Logothetis, Pauls, Augath, Trinath, & Oeltermann, 2001) leading to localized changes in blood flow in response to glutamate release (Attwell & Iadecola, 2002). Importantly, the development of the neurovascular coupling mechanism or “hemodynamic response function” (HRF) is only partially understood (see Harris, Reynell, & Attwell, 2011 for a review). Thus, for task-based fMRI studies examining emotional processes early in development, one common concern is the potentially immature neurovascular coupling mechanism. The best ways to detect BOLD signal using task-based fMRI in young children remain an active area of research, but age-specific HRFs should at least be considered in developmental studies focused on infancy and early childhood (Arichi et al., 2012).

3.3.1 | Emotion reactivity

Neuroimaging investigations of emotion reactivity have focused on the neural underpinnings of children's responses to the emotions of others, either by using evocative stimuli that happen to be social in nature (e.g., facial expressions, scenarios depicting people) or in tasks designed to assess interpersonal dynamics. In most such paradigms, children are asked to observe images or short clips of people expressing various emotions, while lying inside a brain scanner and responding to explicit or implicit questions about their experience using a button box. This tradition established an important foundation of elemental emotional abilities, such as affective responsivity to (often negative or aversive) emotional faces. For instance, witnessing others in fear, pain, or distress elicits an automatic aversive emotional response and recruits brain structures engaged in experiencing nociceptive pain, including supplementary motor area (SMA), anterior insular cortex (AIC), and parts of the cingulate cortex (ACC) (Decety & Michalska, 2010; Michalska, Kinzler, & Decety, 2013). These responses in turn correlate with subjective experiences of emotion responsivity (Kanske, Böckler, Trautwein, & Singer, 2015, although see Michalska et al., 2013). As several key regions to understanding and experiencing emotions undergo considerable remodeling from childhood to adolescence, a few studies have captured continuous functional changes in this response across age. For example, one fMRI study examined age-related changes associated with reactivity to others in distress in participants from middle childhood to adulthood (Decety & Michalska, 2010). Results indicated that the younger the participants, the more strongly the SMA, posterior insula, and amygdala were recruited when they watched clips of people in distress. The degree of activation in posterior insula was inversely correlated with age, whereas a positive correlation with age was found in the AIC. The posterior insula receives interoceptive information from the body, via the ventromedial nucleus of the thalamus, such as

pain, temperature, hunger, and thirst. Considerable evidence suggests that this activity is then re-represented in the AIC, where it is integrated with higher cognitions (Craig, 2003, 2004). Activation in the AIC correlates directly with subjective feelings from the body and with emotional feelings, thus positioning it as a hub for subjective emotional experience (Craig, 2004), a point to which we return later. In other words, what develops is children's ability to compute a higher order/cognitive representation of bodily activity related to feelings of distress and aversive affect.

Recent work examining emotional changes during development complements these findings by specifically addressing whether age predicts changes in the way children respond to both negative affective and neutral stimuli (Silvers et al., 2017a, 2017b). Focusing on the amygdala, implicated in the detection and responding to motivationally salient stimuli such as threats (Monk et al., 2008), this work documents that youth exhibit heightened emotion reactivity and bilateral amygdala recruitment not only to aversive but also to neutral stimuli, relative to adults. Thus, it appears that the amygdala, together with the posterior portion of the insular cortex (Decety & Michalska, 2010; Decety, Michalska, & Kinzler, 2011), exerts a stronger influence on emotional processing during development than adulthood (Casey, Jones, & Hare, 2008), potentially leading children to view emotional and nonemotional stimuli through a valenced lens. Alternatively, age-related changes in amygdala and insula reflect heightened attention and orientation toward social cues (Silvers et al., 2017a, 2017b). Taken together, this work suggests that emotion reactivity attenuates with age and that aversive affective cues are interpreted in increasingly specialized and cognitive ways across development.

3.3.2 | Emotion regulation

Brain imaging research has also sought to provide insight into the neural underpinnings of emotion regulation in childhood and adolescence by examining the effects of using specific instructed strategies to change emotional experience. Most of this work has focused on cognitive reappraisal, a strategy that involves reframing or thinking differently about a stimulus to change one's feelings. Though children can use and describe cognitive strategies like reappraisal from as young as 5–6 years (e.g., Babkirk et al., 2014; Davis, Levine, Lench, & Quas, 2010; Dennis & Hajcak, 2009), the ability to use them well continues to develop throughout childhood and adolescence (Dougherty, Blankenship, Spechler, Padmala, & Pessoa, 2015; McRae et al., 2012; Silvers et al., 2012). fMRI studies of emotion regulation strategy use have provided evidence of age-related changes in lateral prefrontal cortex (LPFC) recruitment (McRae et al., 2012), amygdala modulation (Pitskel, Bolling, Kaiser, Crowley, & Pelphrey, 2011), and more recently, the integration of these two components in the *ventrolateral PFC-amygdala pathway hypothesis* set forth by Silvers and colleagues (Belden, Luby, Pagliaccio, & Barch, 2014; Silvers et al., 2017a, 2017b). Future work in this area can build on these important insights about the neural patterns supporting emotion

regulation to test additional aspects of regulatory skill beyond single strategies (e.g., examinations of flexible or dynamic strategy use across an unfolding event; Bonanno & Burton, 2013).

3.4 | fNIRS

Recent advances in applying functional imaging technology to infants, specifically the advent of functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS), have made it newly possible to study the very early developing brain at work. fNIRS is an optical imaging method that measures hemodynamic responses from cortical regions, enabling the localization of brain activation (Lloyd-Fox, Blasi, & Elwell, 2010). Other neuroimaging techniques that are well established in older youth and adults have been limited in their use with infants and very young children because of methodological concerns. For instance, fMRI data collection requires participants to lie motionless in a spatially confined, dark, and noisy tube for up to an hour, a task that is nearly impossible for very young children. While fMRI has been used with infants, this work is restricted to the study of sleeping or sedated infants. fNIRS is an excellent alternative approach for research with young children, because it can accommodate a large degree of motion, meaning that children are able to sit on their parent's lap and behave normally while stimuli are presented. Moreover, unlike fMRI, fNIRS systems are portable, which means that studies of children's emotional responding in more naturalistic evocative settings than can be approximated in the laboratory are possible. One limitation of fNIRS, however, is its limited spatial resolution and reach—it cannot collect responses from deeper (subcortical) brain structures and in this respect provides less information than can fMRI. However, fNIRS can measure localized patterns of hemodynamic responses in cortical regions, thus enabling comparisons with adult fMRI data in these regions (Grossmann, 2013).

In the last decade, there has been a surge of fNIRS studies with infants, including a number of studies that have looked at prefrontal cortex activation during a wide range of experimental tasks (see Grossmann, 2013 for a review). This work has shed new light on the developing functionality of the cortex at very young ages, suggesting functional specialization of the medial and lateral prefrontal cortex (PFC) much earlier in development than was previously thought. As noted above in our description of fMRI research on the neural patterns of activation that are implicated in emotion regulation, the LPFC region of the cortex appears to be responsible, at least in part, for emotion regulation. fNIRS studies have thus provided important knowledge about brain development in infancy and early childhood that other neurophysiological methods could not generate.

Recent fNIRS work has capitalized on the advantages of the technique to begin identifying the possible biological mechanisms that underlie emotion reactivity and regulation in childhood. Perlman, Luna, Hein, and Huppert (2014) used fNIRS to examine PFC correlates of frustration in 3- to 5-year-old children. Frustration was induced by a computer game paradigm in which the child won a prize that was later stolen from them by a dog. Specific patterns of cortical activation across the phases of the task were examined and

revealed important cortical localization of emotion reactivity and regulation. More activation was detected in MPFC when the prize was obtained, whereas more activation was detected in the LPFC when children were frustrated by losing the prize, suggesting a specific role of the LPFC in emotion regulation.

A recent paper by Grabell et al. (2017) examined linkages between children's facial muscular movements and concurrent neural activation in an emotion regulation challenge context. Notably, this pairing of observable emotion behavior with concurrent neurophysiology is typically not available with neuroimaging techniques like fMRI, or if it is, the behavioral component of emotional responding (eye tracking, facial expressions) is constrained by requirements of the apparatus (move as little as possible). The 3- to 7-year-old children in this study completed a validated, frustrating computer task, while facial expressions and LPFC activation were recorded. Results showed that positive expressions accompanied by eye constriction during frustration were related to stronger LPFC activation. Mapping neural activation onto concurrent emotional expressions enables researchers to parse emotion regulatory neural processes from overt behavior, a long-standing methodological problem in this area. Thus, the integration of fNIRS with other methodological approaches to provide more comprehensive insight into the developmental processes at play represents a promising direction for future research into children's emotion reactivity and regulation.

4 | PSYCHOPHYSIOLOGICAL APPROACHES TO UNDERSTANDING EMOTION REACTIVITY AND REGULATION

The autonomic nervous system (ANS) has two coordinated branches that jointly support a wide range of functioning, including emotional responding. The parasympathetic nervous system (PNS) modulates the body's viscera and neuroendocrine systems to maintain homeostasis and self-regulation, and to promote recovery from a stressor or challenge. The sympathetic nervous system (SNS) mobilizes resources to meet environmental demands (Berntson, Quigley, & Lozano, 2007). Under conditions of immediate challenge, suppression of parasympathetic input to the heart may not be sufficient to enable adaptive responding, and thus, activation of the sympathetic system (equipping the body to respond to challenge by increasing heart rate and oxygen flow) is additionally necessary for response mobilization. In other words, both systems are activated by environmental stress or challenges, but serve distinct functions. Specifically, Porges' highly influential Polyvagal Theory (e.g., Porges, 2003, 2007, 2009) suggests that the PNS is responsible for social engagement processes, whereas the SNS is responsible for challenge-related responses (Dickerson & Kemeny, 2004).

The ANS is thought to play an important role in determining individual differences in the intensity and duration of emotional experiences, including how someone experiences or responds to an emotion (Porges, 2007). The physiological basis for effective emotion regulation may lie in the functioning of the parasympathetically

mediated vagus nerve, which is also implicated in the control of attention, emotion, and behavior. Originating in several areas in the brainstem, the vagus is a family of neural pathways which enable bidirectional communication between the brain and internal organs. Multiple measures of peripheral physiological reactivity are directly influenced by cortical and subcortical brain regions, including posterior and anterior insula, anterior cingulate, and vmPFC (Michalska, Feldman et al., 2018). Thus, a common noninvasive psychophysiological metric of emotion regulation is respiratory sinus arrhythmia (RSA), which refers to the periodic fluctuations in heart rate that are associated with breathing (Shader et al., 2018). A growing body of research suggests that individual differences in RSA underlie regulatory behaviors (e.g., Beauchaine, 2001; Calkins & Keane, 2004; Hastings et al., 2008; Porges, 2007).

Respiratory sinus arrhythmia can be usefully leveraged as an index of emotional and regulatory function either as a measure of basal parasympathetic function (i.e., resting RSA) or reactivity to challenge (El-Sheikh, 2005; Wang, Lü, & Qin, 2013). Greater parasympathetic dominance over the heart while the individual is at rest is reflected in higher RSA and is generally associated with slower heart rate and dampening of the sympathetic nervous system's effect on the heart (Bell & Calkins, 2012). Higher resting RSA levels are related to the capacity to adaptively respond to challenge, better self-regulation, and more adaptive outcomes broadly construed (Calkins & Keane, 2004; Liew et al., 2010), including more positive and less negative affect, less emotion dysregulation, and greater use of more effective emotion regulation strategies (Calkins, Propper, & Mills-Koonce, 2013).

Greater RSA reactivity (e.g., a change from resting to task levels) under conditions of challenge is largely viewed as an adaptive response pattern (see Graziano & Derefinko, 2013, and Holzman & Bridgett, 2017 for quantitative reviews). For example, less pronounced RSA reactivity has been associated with poor emotion regulation and extreme emotional responses (Buss, Davidson, Kalin, & Goldsmith, 2004; Buss, Davis, Ram, & Coccia, 2017; El-Sheikh, Harger, & Whitson, 2001; Gentzler, Santucci, Kovacs, & Fox, 2009), whereas more pronounced RSA reactivity during challenges relates to more positive and less negative affect, less emotion dysregulation, and more effective emotion regulation strategies (e.g., Bandon, Calkins, Keane, & O'Brien, 2008; Calkins & Keane, 2004; Davis, Quiñones-Camacho, & Buss, 2016).

Hastings, Kahle, and Han (2014) provide an excellent review and synthesis of this literature with regard to how ANS physiology relates to children's emotional functioning and development. Some of the most exciting new work in developmental ANS physiology has centered on leveraging this noninvasive technique to clarify children's active emotion *regulation* processes (e.g., Buss et al., 2017; Hastings et al., 2008; Morales, Beekman, Bandon, Stifter, & Buss, 2014). For example, Davis et al. (2016) showed that RSA reactivity in the form of increased parasympathetic influence was detected among 5–6 year olds while they actively implemented emotion regulation strategies to regulate evoked sadness and fear, relative to children in a control group. In the context of an active emotion

regulation challenge, thus, RSA reactivity characterized by augmentation was the more adaptive pattern (i.e., the pattern corresponding to active regulatory attempts). Buss et al. (2017) demonstrated that dysregulated fear, a form of temperamental fearfulness characterized by context-inappropriate fear (e.g., high fear in low-threat contexts; Buss, 2011; Buss et al., 2013), was associated with a distinct pattern of ANS physiology in fear contexts in toddlerhood and prospectively predicted later social wariness and withdrawal. This suggests that the neurobiological and psychophysiological underpinnings of dysregulated fear manifest in faulty emotion regulation processes that have been linked to the development of social anxiety symptoms—particularly when children encounter low-threat novelty and are actively trying to manage their outsized negative emotions.

Building on this and other ANS investigations that have revealed the connections between faulty emotion regulation and psychopathology, recent work has linked resting RSA and the repertoire of adaptive discrete emotion regulation strategies children know to distinct types of psychopathological symptoms in late childhood (Quiñones-Camacho & Davis, 2018). In this study, resting RSA was examined in relation to symptoms of externalizing, anxiety, and depression. Children's repertoires of adaptive discrete emotion regulation strategies (e.g., the number of different adaptive strategies they described to manage anger, fear, and sadness) were coded from their open-ended responses to an interview about their emotional experiences. Resting RSA interacted with child age to predict externalizing symptoms, such that lower resting RSA was associated with more externalizing problems for the younger children in the sample (7–8 year olds). The relation between resting RSA and anxiety, in contrast, was qualified by both child age and the repertoire of adaptive emotion regulation strategies children knew they could use to manage *fear*, specifically. Higher resting RSA was associated with fewer anxiety symptoms, but only for older children (10–11 year olds) with a larger repertoire of adaptive strategies they could draw upon to regulate fear. Of note, the studies described here represent an important new focus of empirical attention, as they share the goal of examining active emotion regulatory processes in real time, rather than mapping psychophysiological patterns to survey reports of general emotion regulation skill or children's strategy use tendencies.

Another promising direction for empirical investigations of emotional development using the ANS is to examine recovery processes in addition to initial resting baseline and reactivity measures. Kahle, Miller, Lopez, and Hastings (2016) examined children's sympathetic recovery from an anger provocation. The preschoolers in this study showed a general pattern of increased sympathetic activation in the form of pre-ejection period (PEP) reactivity to the provocation. The extended pattern of physiological change into the repair phase of the task, however, revealed considerable variability in children's recovery from the affective perturbation. PEP lengthening (indicative of decreasing sympathetic activation, an adaptive pattern of SNS function in the repair phase of the task) was positively associated with parent report of emotion regulatory abilities. This paper is one of the few investigations of ANS physiology that has directly examined sympathetic rather than parasympathetic processes and is notable

for its focus on characterizing the *recovery* phase of the experience. Recovery processes may be especially important to understand for a complete picture of children's emotion regulation capabilities (see also Obradović, 2016), and much remains to be done with this avenue of inquiry.

5 | THE PSYCHOBIOLOGY OF EMOTIONAL DEVELOPMENT IS EMBEDDED WITHIN SOCIOCULTURAL CONTEXT

Importantly, laboratory-based paradigms like those discussed above may not always fully capture the complex processes involved in children's emotional reactions to everyday experiences, because these experiences are embedded in sociocultural contexts that are frequently not considered in the design of such paradigms. For instance, as every parent of a young toddler will attest, emotional competence has to be socialized (Eisenberg, Cumberland, & Spinrad, 1998) and norms about optimal emotional reactions vary, depending on the cultural desirability of each emotion (Kitayama, Mesquita, & Karasawa, 2006); socialization of emotional competence involves teaching children to align their emotional reactions with those norms (Tsai, 2007). Thus, sociocultural processes inevitably interact with biological factors such as brain maturation to shape emotional responses across development. Cultural neuroscience research builds on the foundations of affective neuroscience discussed above to acknowledge this interrelation of culture and biology, creating tasks that emphasize neurobiological phenomena across cultural contexts (Chiao et al., 2008; Moriguchi et al., 2005). This work has the potential to speak not only to the neural processes involved in the development of emotional responding but also to how cultural meanings, values, and norms might shape these processes. Next, we review recent empirical evidence of cultural variation in the neurobiological mechanisms underlying emotion reactivity and regulation, arguing that integrating the knowledge of cultural contexts into experimental research can provide more critical insights into the development of emotional states.

Developmental science has long recognized the need to situate our understanding of emotional processes and development within the sociocultural contexts of children's lives (e.g., Raver, 2004; Rogoff et al., 1993), and recent work has underscored the importance of considering cultural influences on children's emotional development (Chen, Zhou, Main, & Lee, 2015; Cole & Jacobs, 2018; Liew, Kwok, Chang, Chang, & Yeh, 2014). Despite these insights, research designed to clarify the impact of sociocultural processes on the psychobiology of emotional development has been scant.

Social experiences calibrate or “tune” the child's developing emotion reactivity and regulatory systems. For example, close relationships are implicated in interpersonal outcomes across the lifespan, from mother–infant emotional and biological synchrony (Feldman, 2007) to physical health concordance in long-married couples (Meyler, Stimpson, & Peek, 2007). Parents have notable influence on children's regulatory physiology, as documented in both

animal and human models (e.g., Feldman, 2012, 2015 ; Parent et al., 2005). Parents' physiological states generally underlie their positive engagement with children, which supports children's physiological regulation (Moore et al., 2009). Through repeated interactions, parents and other social partners may socialize an adaptive style of physiological responsiveness in children. But, the social experiences that children have as they develop are likely to vary across cultural contexts, and variation in cultural expectations for caregiving affect when, how, and how often children are physically soothed, the way parents talk about and react to children's emotions, and the emotion regulation strategies that parents use, model, or endorse (e.g., Cole & Jacobs, 2018; Grabel et al., 2014).

Ensuring that scientific understanding of development generalizes to children residing in different sociocultural strata is the crucial next step for work in this area. To this end, we join other scholars of affective science in calling for greater attention to cultural processes in models of psychobiology, emotion, and development (e.g., Kim & Sasaki, 2014; Matsumoto & Hwang, 2012). Khan, Schmidt, and Chen (2017) recently articulated a developmental framework of the cultural neuroscience of emotion, adapted from Matsumoto and Hwang (2012) that provides a useful starting point to guide future research. In this review, they describe genetic and (where available) psychophysiological indices of cross-cultural emotion processes including shyness, aggression, and interpersonal emotion. These authors' focus on the three selected behavioral phenotypes of emotion processes and biological factors like temperament, genetics, and resting physiology provide insight into the trait-like or dispositional aspects of emotional reactions that are informed by culture and biology. Our review is a timely complement to this perspective, as our focus on different psychobiological techniques and different aspects of emotional development (i.e., reactivity and regulation) instead emphasizes the state-like aspects of emotional responding and their measurement. Both reviews point out the relative paucity of research into cultural or cross-cultural processes and call for specific integration of developmental considerations (e.g., age or developmental phase) to investigations of and reasoning about interactions between culture and biology. Thus, a clear direction for the next decades of research and theorizing about the psychobiology of children's emotional development is to carefully consider cultural processes that constrain, temper, or promote different patterns of reactivity and regulation.

6 | NEUROPHYSIOLOGY AND CULTURE

While affective neuroscience has significantly advanced our understanding of the neural systems involved in the development of emotion reactivity and regulation, there has been limited neuroscience research that has situated emotional experiences within a cultural context—particularly during childhood. This gap in knowledge is unfortunate, as cultural neuroscience can provide critical insight into the neural underpinnings (and downstream physiological correlates) of emotion reactivity and regulation. Specifically, culturally situated

beliefs, values, and practices may influence how children experience, interpret, express, and control their emotions, shaping neurobiology, and behavior. Given that the neural systems underlying emotion can vary between cultures (Chiao et al., 2008; Hot, Saito, Mandai, Kobayashi, & Sequeira, 2006; Moriguchi et al., 2005) and that cultural values and norms can shape the regulation of emotions in behavioral and psychophysiological domains (Butler, 2011, 2015 ; Davis, Greenberger, Charles, & Chen, 2012; Grabel et al., 2014), the complex interplay between biological and cultural influences may not be fully represented in our current understanding of the neural bases of emotion reactivity and regulation.

As highly social beings, our lives are inherently intertwined with other people's, and culturally embedded situations that provide opportunity for social interaction shape the ways in which we experience and express our emotions toward others. Ethnographic accounts illustrate that there is substantial cultural variation in children's emotional environments across different populations (Lancy, 2015). From birth, caregivers interact with children in diverse ways across cultures: There is variability in both the degree and frequency to which emotions (Matsumoto, 1990) and arousal (Tsai, 2007) are displayed, and in the types of cues, caregivers use to respond to bids for attention (Kärtner et al., 2008; Kärtner, Keller, & Yovsi, 2010; Little, Carver, & Legare, 2016). Different interactional styles may reflect distinct child socialization goals (Steinberg, 2001; Tobin, Hsueh, & Karasawa, 2009). For instance, children respond differently to group consensus based on socialization practices that emphasize attention to social conformity versus individuality (Corriveau & Harris, 2010). Thus, implicit and explicit cultural messages strongly shape the way we learn to experience, express, and regulate emotion.

Cultural variation in neurobiological mechanisms may exist even in the absence of cultural variation at the behavioral level. In other words, people living in different cultural environments may develop distinct neural mechanisms that underlie the same observable behavior or recruit the same neural mechanism to varying extents during a task (Chiao et al., 2008). Recent neuroimaging work focusing on the role of culture in the experience of emotion makes the compelling argument that people learn the strength of their feelings based on the cultural messages they learn about expressing emotion (Immordino-Yang & Yang, 2017; Immordino-Yang, Yang, & Damasio, 2014, 2016). These messages about the display of emotion in turn putatively shape the actual embodied experience and expression of that feeling. In a series of experiments conducted with Chinese young adults in Beijing and American participants in Los Angeles, Immordino-Yang, Yang, and Damasio (2014), Immordino-Yang, Yang, and Damasio (2016) presented social stories meant to induce a range of emotional states of admiration or compassion inside an fMRI scanner, while concurrently collecting subjective reports of feeling strength and physiological recordings. While no group differences emerged in the strength of subjectively reported feelings or the magnitude of brain activation, the correspondence between them exhibited culture-specific patterns in dissociable portions of the anterior insular cortex (AIC), involved in proprioceptive awareness and embodied cognition (see Section 1). Specifically, in Chinese

participants, the strength of their subjective feelings tracked response magnitude in the ventral portion of the AIC, whereas among American participants, subjectively reported feeling strength tracked fluctuations in the dorsal portion. Because of their dissociable afferent and efferent connections, ventral and dorsal portions of AIC support separable functions related to emotional processing: Ventral AIC subserves autonomic regulatory processes, whereas dorsal AIC subserves somatosensory processes. In other words, cultures that value greater emotional restraint, like China, differentially activate regions associated with regulating autonomic activity (ventral AIC) relative to cultures that value greater emotional expressiveness, like the United States (dorsal AIC). Of note, variation in activity in these subregions was not correlated with fluctuations in physiological activity, indicating that culture specifically influences the subjective construction of emotions.

Taken together, these data suggest that cultural differences in behavioral expressiveness norms may lead to variations in the subjective experience of emotions themselves, mediated by lower level emotion processing regions like the AIC. Though the expression of emotions is typically assumed to be a consequence of subjective emotional experiences, the relation between emotional experience and expression is most likely bidirectional. Given that emotional expressions can serve as feedback for emotional experience, cultural display rules may moderate response in brain regions associated with emotional experience. As a result, when encountering stimuli that induce admiration, compassion, or fear, members of cultures with adherence to strict display rules may exhibit differentiated reactivity in regions that process such emotional stimuli. These research findings are provocative in that they suggest that how our emotions are embodied critically pivots on cultural norms. They also raise the important question of how the construction of emotions unfolds during development, highlighting the need for future research to consider whether and to what extent cultural processes interact with age and developmental stage to shape children's psychobiological functioning.

7 | NEXT STEPS

We make two prospective recommendations for research into the psychobiology of children's emotion reactivity and regulation: integrate the cultural and developmental affective neuroscience perspectives, and strive to create a representative and generalizable knowledge base.

First, to consider the dynamic interaction of brain and culture across the lifespan, developmental researchers will need to consider trajectories of change and how development is implicated in the neurobiological embedding of culture (Hyde, Tompson, Creswell, & Falk, 2015). For instance, at what ages do different types of cultural experiences play a role in emotional learning and brain development and in what ways might the influence of cultural norms, practices and values be more or less plastic over time? Evidence from behavioral work has demonstrated that understanding of concepts like culture, race, and ethnic identity varies across development

(Baron & Banaji, 2006; Rogers et al., 2012). Language acquisition is one prominent example of the role of development in the effects of culture and the brain (Kuhl, 2010). Another example, later in development, is the increased emotional sensitivity to social context in early adolescence. During this period, a new social phase emerges which is characterized by strong desire for integration with peer groups (Nelson, Jarcho, & Guyer, 2016; Nelson, Leibenluft, McClure, & Pine, 2005). Emotions, cognitions, and behaviors all shift from relatively nonspecific (e.g., not targeted toward individuals) play, toward peers and peer group acceptance. This tends to happen during early adolescence soon after puberty has begun (Blakemore, 2012; Blakemore & Mills, 2014; Crone & Dahl, 2012; Larson, Richards, Moneta, Holmbeck, & Duckett, 1996). Adolescents become highly sensitive to social cues (Nelson, 2017), and as a result, adolescent reward systems may be sensitized in the presence of peers (Chein, Albert, O'Brien, Uckert, & Steinberg, 2011), with peers, rather than parents, engendering distinct subcultural influences. Thus, in adolescence, peers may be a particularly salient cultural influence, especially as this is a period of greater brain maturation in the reward system (Hyde et al., 2015). Incorporating a developmental perspective to cultural and affective neuroscience would result in exciting new avenues of research inquiry and thinking. We call for carefully designed, prospective longitudinal research studies that follow individuals as they develop to better characterize whether and when cultural norms become embodied in children's affective psychobiological functioning.

Second, we see a need for researchers to make thoughtful choices about the inherent characteristics of the increasingly diverse populations they choose to study (e.g., Are participants bicultural, newly acculturated, born and raised in a minority culture?). Overlooking the heterogeneity of individuals' backgrounds and experiences as we consider psychobiological processes may obscure meaningful differences not only in risk profiles, but also in sources of yet-untapped or unrecognized strength. We encourage researchers to more thoroughly describe sample characteristics and report information about the emotional and psychobiological variables in their studies for different ethnic/cultural groups, to facilitate larger scale and aggregate (i.e., meta-analytic) approaches and accelerate understanding of these differences.

One shortcoming of the current cultural neuroscience work is that research to date has been limited largely to comparisons between East Asians and European Americans. While there is increasing recognition that non-Western interdependent culture is far from homogenous (Kitayama, Duffy, Kawamura, & Larsen, 2003; Kitayama, Ishii, Imada, Takemura, & Ramaswamy, 2006), this diversity has yet to be fully understood empirically in investigations of children's developing psychobiology and socioemotional functioning. It is necessary to begin to broaden knowledge beyond WEIRD (Western, Educated, Industrialized, Rich, and Democratic) samples of Western/American participants (Heinrich, Heine, & Norenzayan, 2010), and it is also important to be thoughtful about how best to isolate the cultural effects and processes of potential interest (e.g., Gatzke-Kopp, 2016).

As a first step toward establishing a complete, representative, and generalizable understanding of the developmental psychobiology of emotion reactivity and regulation, we suggest focusing research efforts on Latinx populations in the United States. The reasons for this recommendation are rooted in the changing demographics of the United States, the logistics of cultural assimilation pressures that Latinx families face (Kitayama & Salvador, 2017), and what we view as the transformative opportunities to identify emotional resilience processes that may be unique to this population (and that would be illustrated most effectively by combining behavioral and psychobiological techniques).

First, the Latinx population is the most rapidly growing today in the United States, but these children lag behind non-Latinx counterparts in education, mental health, and physical health without clear explanations as to why this is the case. This gap in our understanding of child development will only widen as the Latinx population continues to comprise a greater proportion of the US population. Second, emotion reactivity and regulation processes among Latinx children would be influenced by socialization of unique cultural norms including *respeto* (respect for elders), *familism* (importance of family), *simpatia* (agreeableness), and *personalismo* (valuing personal relationships; Campos & Kim, 2017; Carlo & de Guzman, 2009). These norms have particular implications for emotional functioning, as they emphasize expressive displays of personal charm, affability, and generosity as imperative within this group (Sanchez-Burks, Nisbett, & Ybarra, 2000). This indicates a putative culturally specific pathway to emotional resilience and adaptive functioning that has received very little attention and is not yet fully understood. Emerging research supports this endeavor, illustrating that among Mexican American adolescents, strong family values serve as a potential buffer of neurobiological risk for stress-related pathology (Fuligni & Telzer, 2013; Telzer, Fuligni, Lieberman, & Galván, 2013; Telzer, Masten, Berkman, Lieberman, & Fuligni, 2011). More broadly, understanding cultural influences on emotion neurobiology requires probing their connections with individuals' subjective experiences (Rogers et al., 2012).

Kitayama and Uskul (2011) have noted that through socialization, neural networks are plastically formed and modified through various rewards and reinforcements over time and become patterned after cultural beliefs, values, and practices. The emphasis on emotion expressivity that Latinx cultural norms encourage may be due in part to historically high levels of ethnic diversity in many Latin cultures (Rychlowska et al., 2015), which may have novel consequences for children's developmental trajectories as members of a particular cultural orientation (e.g., Rogoff et al., 1993; Rogoff, 2016). Measures of psychobiological functioning are particularly well suited to clarify whether, when, and how Latinx cultural norms mechanistically shape general healthy functioning (e.g., Ruiz, Sbarra, & Steffen, 2018) and emotion reactivity and regulation processes specifically. Our understanding of Latinx children's emotional development is still scant and preliminary, and is a pressing concern for future research endeavors within

developmental psychobiology. Thus, we call for programmatic research on potential sources of psychological resilience, including family, peer, and cultural contexts.

8 | CONCLUSIONS

Our goal in this review was to illuminate both the unique opportunities and inherent limitations of taking a developmental psychobiological approach to the study of emotion reactivity and regulation. We believe that psychophysiology and neuroimaging provide a valuable complementary level of analysis to behavioral approaches to understanding emotional development. These techniques provide the unique opportunity to assess situational experience directly, in real time, and to potentially identify mechanistic overlap between psychological processes typically considered distinct. We see the opportunity for many exciting advances in knowledge that are just on the horizon. An interdisciplinary, developmental perspective on the psychobiology of children's emotion reactivity and regulation will forward scientific understanding by enabling the creation of innovative research protocols that align psychobiological measures with techniques for documenting subjective experiences, social relationships, and cultural contexts.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No conflicts declared.

ORCID

Kalina J. Michalska <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4830-5036>

Elizabeth L. Davis <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2599-4390>

REFERENCES

- Arichi, T., Fagiolo, G., Varela, M., Melendez-Calderon, A., Allievi, A., Merchant, N., ... Edwards, A. D. (2012). Development of BOLD signal hemodynamic responses in the human brain. *Neuroimage*, 63, 663–673. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.06.054>
- Attwell, D., & Iadecola, C. (2002). The neural basis of functional brain imaging signals. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 25(12), 621–625. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236\(02\)02264-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236(02)02264-6)
- Babkirk, S., Rios, V., & Dennis, T. A. (2014). The late positive potential predicts emotion regulation strategy use in school-aged children concurrently and two years later. *Developmental Science*, 18(5), 832–841. <https://doi.org/10.1111/desc.12258>
- Baron, A. S., & Banaji, M. R. (2006). The development of implicit attitudes: Evidence of race evaluations from ages 6 and 10 and adulthood. *Psychological Science*, 17, 53–58. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2005.01664.x>
- Beauchaine, T. (2001). Vagal tone, development, and Gray's motivational theory: Toward an integrated model of autonomic nervous system functioning in psychopathology. *Development and Psychopathology*, 13, 183–214. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579401002012>
- Belden, A. C., Luby, J. L., Pagliaccio, D., & Barch, D. M. (2014). Neural activation associated with the cognitive emotion regulation of sadness in

- healthy children. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, 9, 136–147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2014.02.003>
- Bell, M. A., & Calkins, S. D. (2012). Attentional control and emotion regulation in early development. In M. I. Posner (Ed.), *Cognitive neuroscience of attention* (2nd ed., pp. 322–330). New York, NY: Guilford.
- Berntson, G. G., Quigley, K. S., & Lozano, D. (2007). Cardiovascular psychophysiology. In J. T. Cacioppo, L. G. Tassinary, & G. G. Berntson (Eds.), *Handbook of psychophysiology* (3rd ed., pp. 182–210). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Blair, C., & Raver, C. C. (2015). School readiness and self-regulation: A developmental psychobiological approach. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 66, 711–731. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010814-015221>
- Blakemore, S. J. (2012). Imaging brain development: The adolescent brain. *Neuroimage*, 61(2), 397–406. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.11.080>
- Blakemore, S. J., & Mills, K. L. (2014). Is adolescence a sensitive period for sociocultural processing? *Annual Review of Psychology*, 65, 187–207. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010213-115202>
- Blandon, A. Y., Calkins, S. D., Keane, S. P., & O'Brien, M. (2008). Individual differences in trajectories of emotion regulation processes: The effects of maternal depressive symptomatology and children's physiological regulation. *Developmental Psychology*, 44(4), 1110. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.44.4.1110>
- Bonanno, G. A., & Burton, C. L. (2013). Regulatory flexibility. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 8(6), 591–612. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691613504116>
- Brooker, R. J., Bates, J. E., Buss, K. A., Canen, M. J., Dennis-Tiway, T. A., Gatzke-Kopp, L. M., ... Schmidt, L. A. (2018). Conducting event related potential (ERP) research with young children: A review of components, special considerations, and recommendations for research on cognition and emotion. *Under Review*.
- Buss, K. A. (2011). Which fearful toddlers should we worry about? Context, fear regulation, and anxiety risk. *Developmental Psychology*, 47(3), 804–819. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0023227>
- Buss, K. A., Davidson, R. J., Kalin, N. H., & Goldsmith, H. H. (2004). Context-specific freezing and associated physiological reactivity as a dysregulated fear response. *Developmental Psychology*, 40(4), 583–594. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.40.4.583>
- Buss, K. A., Davis, E. L., Kiel, E. J., Brooker, R. J., Beekman, C., & Early, M. C. (2013). Dysregulated fear predicts social wariness and social anxiety symptoms during kindergarten. *Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology*, 42(5), 603–616. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15374416.2013.769170>
- Buss, K. A., Davis, E. L., Ram, N., & Coccia, M. (2017). Dysregulated fear, social inhibition, and respiratory sinus arrhythmia: A replication and extension. *Child Development*, 88, 129–215. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12774>
- Butler, E. A. (2011). Temporal interpersonal emotion systems: The "TIES" that form relationships. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 15, 367–393. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1088868311411164>
- Butler, E. A. (2015). Interpersonal affect dynamics: It takes two (and time) to tango. *Emotion Review*, 7(4), 336–341. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1754073915590622>
- Calkins, S. D. (1994). Origins and outcomes of individual differences in emotion regulation. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 59(2–3), 53–72. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1166138>
- Calkins, S. D., Gill, K. L., Johnson, M. C., & Smith, C. L. (1999). Emotional reactivity and emotional regulation strategies as predictors of social behavior with peers during toddlerhood. *Social Development*, 8, 310–334. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9507.00098>
- Calkins, S. D., & Keane, S. P. (2004). Cardiac vagal regulation across the preschool period. Stability, continuity, and implications for childhood adjustment. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 45, 101–112.
- Calkins, S. D., Propper, C., & Mills-Koonce, W. R. (2013). A biopsychosocial perspective on parenting and developmental psychopathology. *Development and Psychopathology*, 25(4pt2), 1399–1414. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579413000680>
- Campos, B., & Kim, H. J. (2017). Incorporating the cultural diversity of family and close relationships into the study of health. *American Psychologist*, 72, 543–554. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000122>
- Carlo, G., & deGuzman, M. R. T. (2009). Theories and research on prosocial competencies among U.S. Latinos/as. In F. A. Villarruel, G. Carlo, J. M. Grau, M. Azmitia, N. J. Cabrera, & T. J. Chahin (Eds.), *Handbook of U.S. Latino psychology: Developmental and community-based perspectives* (pp. 191–211). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications Inc.
- Casey, B. J., Jones, R. M., & Hare, T. A. (2008). The adolescent brain. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1124(1), 111–126. <https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1440.010>
- Chein, J., Albert, D., O'Brien, L., Uckert, K., & Steinberg, L. (2011). Peers increase adolescent risk taking by enhancing activity in the brain's reward circuitry. *Developmental Science*, 14, F1–F10. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7687.2010.01035.x>
- Chen, S. H., Zhou, Q., Main, A., & Lee, E. H. (2015). Chinese American immigrant parents' emotional expression in the family: Relations with parents' cultural orientations and children's emotion-related regulation. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 21(4), 619–629. <https://doi.org/10.1037/cdp0000013>
- Chiao, J. Y., Iidaka, T., Gordon, H. L., Nogawa, J., Bar, M., Aminoff, E., ... Ambady, N. (2008). Cultural specificity in amygdala response to fear faces. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 20(12), 2167–2174. <https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn.2008.20151>
- Cicchetti, D., Ackerman, B. P., & Izard, C. E. (1995). Emotions and emotion regulation in developmental psychopathology. *Development and Psychopathology*, 7, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579400006301>
- Cole, P. M., & Jacobs, A. E. (2018). From children's expressive control to emotion regulation: Looking back, looking ahead. *European Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 6, 658–677. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17405629.2018.1438888>
- Cole, P. M., Martin, S. E., & Dennis, T. A. (2004). Emotion regulation as a scientific construct: Methodological challenges and directions for child development research. *Child Development*, 75, 317–333. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2004.00673.x>
- Corriveau, K. H., & Harris, P. L. (2010). Preschoolers (sometimes) defer to the majority in making simple perceptual judgments. *Developmental Psychology*, 46, 437. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0017553>
- Craig, A. D. (2003). Interoception: The sense of the physiological condition of the body. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 13, 500–505. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4388\(03\)00090-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4388(03)00090-4)
- Craig, A. D. (2004). Human feelings: Why are some more aware than others? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 8, 239–241. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2004.04.004>
- Crone, E. A., & Dahl, R. E. (2012). Understanding adolescence as a period of social-affective engagement and goal flexibility. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 13(9), 636–650. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3313>
- Cuthbert, B. N., Schupp, H. T., Bradley, M. M., Birbaumer, N., & Land, P. J. (2006). Brain potentials in affective picture processing: Covariation with autonomic arousal and affective report. *Biological Psychology*, 52, 95–111. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0301-0511\(99\)00044-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0301-0511(99)00044-7)
- Davidson, R. J., Jackson, D. C., & Larson, C. L. (2000). Human electroencephalography. In J. T. Cacioppo, L. G. Tassinary, & G. G. Berntson (Eds.), *Handbook of psychophysiology* (2nd ed., pp. 27–52). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Davies, P. T., Sturge-Apple, M. L., Cicchetti, D., Manning, L. G., & Zale, E. (2009). Children's patterns of emotional reactivity to conflict as explanatory mechanisms in links between interpartner aggression and child physiological functioning. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 50, 1384–1391. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2009.02154.x>
- Davis, E. L., Greenberger, E., Charles, S. T., & Chen, C. S. (2012). Emotion experience and regulation in China and the United States: How do

- culture and gender shape emotion responding? *International Journal of Psychology*, 47, 230-239. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207594.2011.626043>
- Davis, E. L., Levine, L. J., Lench, H. C., & Quas, J. A. (2010). Metacognitive emotion regulation: Children's awareness that changing thoughts and goals can alleviate negative emotions. *Emotion*, 10(4), 498-510. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018428>
- Davis, E. L., Quiñones-Camacho, L. E., & Buss, K. A. (2016). The effects of distraction and reappraisal on children's parasympathetic regulation of sadness and fear. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 142(C), 344-358. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2015.09.020>
- Decety, J., & Michalska, K. J. (2010). Neurodevelopmental changes in the circuits underlying empathy and sympathy from childhood to adulthood. *Developmental Science*, 13, 886-899. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7687.2009.00940.x>
- Decety, J., Michalska, K. J., & Kinzler, K. D. (2011). The contribution of emotion and cognition to moral sensitivity: A neurodevelopmental study. *Cerebral Cortex*, 22(1), 209-220. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhr111>
- Dennis, T. A., & Hajcak, G. (2009). The late positive potential: A neurophysiological marker for emotion regulation in children. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 50(11), 1373-1383. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2009.02168.x>
- Dickerson, S. S., & Kemeny, M. E. (2004). Acute stressors and cortisol responses: A theoretical integration and synthesis of laboratory research. *Psychological Bulletin*, 130, 355-391. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.130.3.355>
- Dougherty, L. R., Blankenship, S. L., Spechler, P. A., Padmala, S., & Pessoa, L. (2015). An fMRI pilot study of cognitive reappraisal in children: Divergent effects on brain and behavior. *Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment*, 37, 634-644. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10862-015-9492-z>
- Eisenberg, N., Cumberland, A., & Spinrad, T. L. (1998). Parental socialization of emotion. *Psychological Inquiry*, 9(4), 241-273. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327965pli0904_1
- Eisenberg, N., Fabes, R. A., Nyman, M., Bernzweig, J., & Pinuelas, A. (1994). The relations of emotionality and regulation to children's anger-related reactions. *Child Development*, 65, 109-128. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1131369>
- El-Sheikh, M. (2005). Stability of respiratory sinus arrhythmia in children and young adolescents: A longitudinal examination. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 46(1), 66-74. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.20036>
- El-Sheikh, M., Harger, J., & Whitson, S. M. (2001). Exposure to interparental conflict and children's adjustment and physical health: The moderating role of vagal tone. *Child Development*, 72, 1617-1636. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8624.00369>
- Feldman, R. (2007). Parent-infant synchrony and the construction of shared timing; physiological precursors, developmental outcomes, and risk conditions. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 48, 329-354. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2006.01701.x>
- Feldman, R. (2012). Parent-infant synchrony: A biobehavioral model of mutual influences in the formation of affiliative bonds. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 77, 42-51. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5834.2011.00660.x>
- Feldman, R. (2015). The adaptive human parental brain: Implications for children's social development. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 38(6), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2015.04.004>
- Foti, D., & Hajcak, G. (2008). Deconstructing reappraisal: Descriptions preceding arousing pictures modulate the subsequent neural response. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 20(6), 977-988. <https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn.2008.20066>
- Fuligni, A. J., & Telzer, E. H. (2013). Another way family can get in the head and under the skin: The neurobiology of helping the family. *Child Development Perspectives*, 7(3), 138-142. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdep.12029>
- Gatzke-Kopp, L. M. (2016). Diversity and representation: Key issues for psychophysiological science. *Psychophysiology*, 53, 3-13.
- Gee, D. G., Humphreys, K. L., Flannery, J., Goff, B., Telzer, E. H., Shapiro, M., ... Tottenham, N. (2013). A developmental shift from positive to negative connectivity in human amygdala-prefrontal circuitry. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 33(10), 4584-4593. <https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.3446-12.2013>
- Gentzler, A. L., Santucci, A. K., Kovacs, M., & Fox, N. A. (2009). Respiratory sinus arrhythmia reactivity predicts emotion regulation and depressive symptoms in at-risk and control children. *Biological Psychology*, 82(2), 156-163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2009.07.002>
- Gold, A. L., Shechner, T., Farber, M. J., Spiro, C. N., Leibenluft, E., Pine, D. S., & Britton, J. C. (2016). Amygdala-cortical connectivity: Associations with anxiety, development, and threat. *Depression and Anxiety*, 33, 917-926. <https://doi.org/10.1002/da.22470>
- Grabell, A. S., Huppert, T. J., Fishburn, F. A., Li, Y., Jones, H. M., Wilett, A. E., ... Perlman, S. B. (2017). Using facial muscular movements to understand young children's emotion regulation and concurrent neural activation. *Developmental Science*, 2, e12628-e12710. <https://doi.org/10.1111/desc.12628>
- Grabell, A. S., Olson, S. L., Miller, A. L., Kessler, D. A., Felt, B., Kaciroti, N., ... Tardif, T. (2014). The impact of culture on physiological processes of emotion regulation: A comparison of US and Chinese preschoolers. *Developmental Science*, 18(3), 420-435. <https://doi.org/10.1111/desc.12227>
- Graziano, P., & Derefinko, K. (2013). Cardiac vagal control and children's adaptive functioning: A meta-analysis. *Biological Psychology*, 94(1), 22-37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2013.04.011>
- Gross, J. J. (2015). Emotion regulation: Current status and future prospects. *Psychological Inquiry*, 26, 1-26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1047840X.2014.940781>
- Grossmann, T. (2013). Mapping prefrontal cortex functions in human infancy. *Infancy*, 18, 303-324. <https://doi.org/10.1111/inf.12016>
- Hajcak, G., Dunning, J. P., & Foti, D. (2009). Motivated and controlled attention to emotion: Time-course of the late positive potential. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, 120(3), 505-510. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2008.11.028>
- Hajcak, G., MacNamara, A., & Olvet, D. M. (2010). Event-related potentials, emotion, and emotion regulation: An integrative review. *Developmental Neuropsychology*, 35(2), 129-155. <https://doi.org/10.1080/87565640903526504>
- Harris, J. J., Reynell, C., & Attwell, D. (2011). The physiology of developmental changes in BOLD functional imaging signals. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, 1(3), 199-216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2011.04.001>
- Hastings, P. D., Kahle, S. S., & Han, G.-H.-P. (2014). Developmental affective psychophysiology: Using physiology to inform our understanding of emotional development. In K. Hansen Lagattuta (Ed.), *Children and emotion. New insights into developmental affective sciences* (pp. 13-28). Basel, Switzerland: Karger.
- Hastings, P. D., Nuselovici, J. N., Utendale, W. T., Coutya, J., McShane, K. E., & Sullivan, C. (2008). Applying the polyvagal theory to children's emotion regulation: Social context, socialization, and adjustment. *Biological Psychology*, 79(3), 299-306. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2008.07.005>
- Heinrich, J., Heine, J., & Norenzayan, A. (2010). The weirdest people in the world? *Behavioral and Brain Science*, 33, 61-135.
- Holzman, J. B., & Bridgett, D. J. (2017). Heart rate variability indices as bio-markers of top-down self-regulatory mechanisms: A meta-analytic review. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 74(Part A), 233-255. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.12.032>
- Hot, P., Saito, Y., Mandai, O., Kobayashi, T., & Sequeira, H. (2006). An ERP investigation of emotional processing in European and Japanese individuals. *Brain Research*, 1122(1), 171-178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2006.09.020>

- Hyde, L. W., Tompson, S., Creswell, J. D., & Falk, E. B. (2015). Cultural neuroscience: New directions as the field matures. *Culture and Brain*, 3, 75–92. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40167-014-0024-6>
- Immordino-Yang, M. H., & Yang, X. F. (2017). Cultural differences in the neural correlates of social-emotional feelings: An interdisciplinary, developmental perspective. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 17, 34–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2017.06.008>
- Immordino-Yang, M. H., Yang, X. F., & Damasio, H. (2014). Correlations between social-emotional feelings and anterior insula activity are independent from visceral states but influenced by culture. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 8, 728. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2014.00728>
- Immordino-Yang, M. H., Yang, X. F., & Damasio, H. (2016). Cultural modes of expressing emotions influence how emotions are experienced. *Emotion*, 16, 1033.
- Kahle, S., Miller, J. G., Lopez, M., & Hastings, P. D. (2016). Sympathetic recovery from anger is associated with emotion regulation. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 142(C), 359–371. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2015.10.004>
- Kanske, P., Böckler, A., Trautwein, F. M., & Singer, T. (2015). Dissecting the social brain: Introducing the EmpaToM to reveal distinct neural networks and brain-behavior relations for empathy and Theory of Mind. *Neuroimage*, 122, 6–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.07.082>
- Kärtner, J., Keller, H., Lamm, B., Abels, M., Yovsi, R. D., Chaudhary, N., & Su, Y. (2008). Similarities and differences in contingency experiences of 3-month-olds across sociocultural contexts. *Infant Behavior and Development*, 31, 488–500. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infbeh.2008.01.001>
- Kärtner, J., Keller, H., & Yovsi, R. D. (2010). Mother-infant interaction during the first 3 months: The emergence of culture-specific contingency patterns. *Child Development*, 81, 540–554.
- Khan, A., Schmidt, L. A., & Chen, X. (2017). Cultural neuroscience of emotion: Toward a developmental framework. *Psychology & Neuroscience*, 10(1), 11–40. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pne0000078>
- Kim, H. S., & Sasaki, J. Y. (2014). Cultural neuroscience: Biology of the mind in cultural contexts. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 65, 487–514. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010213-115040>
- Kitayama, S., Duffy, S., Kawamura, T., & Larsen, J. T. (2003). Perceiving an object and its context in different cultures: A cultural look at new look. *Psychological Science*, 14(3), 201–206. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9280.02432>
- Kitayama, S., Ishii, K., Imada, T., Takemura, K., & Ramaswamy, J. (2006). Voluntary settlement and the spirit of independence: Evidence from Japan's "northern frontier." *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 91(3), 369–384. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.91.3.369>
- Kitayama, S., Mesquita, B., & Karasawa, M. (2006). Cultural affordances and emotional experience: Socially engaging and disengaging emotions in Japan and the United States. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 91(5), 890. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.91.5.890>
- Kitayama, S., & Salvador, C. E. (2017). Culture embezzled: Going beyond the nature-nurture dichotomy. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 12, 841–854. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691617707317>
- Kitayama, S., & Uskul, A. K. (2011). Culture, mind, and the brain: Current evidence and future directions. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 62, 419–449. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-120709-145357>
- Kuhl, P. K. (2010). Brain mechanisms in early language acquisition. *Neuron*, 67, 713–727. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2010.08.038>
- Lancy, D. F. (2015). *The anthropology of childhood: Cherubs, chattel, & changelings* (2nd ed.). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Larson, R. W., Richards, M. H., Moneta, G., Holmbeck, G., & Duckett, E. (1996). Changes in adolescents' daily interactions with their families from ages 10 to 18: Disengagement and transformation. *Developmental Psychology*, 32(4), 744. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.32.4.744>
- Liew, J., Eisenberg, N., Spinrad, T. L., Eggum, N. D., Haugen, R. G., Kupfer, A., ... Baham, M. E. (2010). Physiological regulation and fearfulness as predictors of young children's empathy-related reactions. *Social Development*, 20(1), 111–134. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9507.2010.00575.x>
- Liew, J., Kwok, O., Chang, Y.-P., Chang, B. W., & Yeh, Y.-C. (2014). Parental autonomy support predicts academic achievement through emotion-related self-regulation and adaptive skills in Chinese American adolescents. *Asian American Journal of Psychology*, 5(3), 214–222. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0034787>
- Little, E. E., Carver, L. J., & Legare, C. H. (2016). Cultural variation in triadic infant-caregiver object exploration. *Child Development*, 87, 1130–1145. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12513>
- Lloyd-Fox, S., Blasi, A., & Elwell, C. E. (2010). Illuminating the developing brain: The past, present and future of functional near infrared spectroscopy. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 34, 269–284. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2009.07.008>
- Logothetis, N. K., Pauls, J., Augath, M., Trinath, T., & Oeltermann, A. (2001). Neurophysiological investigation of the basis of the fMRI signal. *Nature*, 412(6843), 150. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35084005>
- Luna, B., Garver, K. E., Urban, T. A., Lazar, N. A., & Sweeney, J. A. (2004). Maturation of cognitive processes from late childhood to adulthood. *Child Development*, 75, 1357–1372. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2004.00745.x>
- Matsumoto, D. (1990). Cultural similarities and differences in display rules. *Motivation and Emotion*, 14, 195–214. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00995569>
- Matsumoto, D., & Hwang, H. S. (2012). Culture and emotion: The integration of biological and cultural contributions. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 43, 91–118. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022111420147>
- McRae, K., Gross, J. J., Weber, J., Robertson, E. R., Sokol-Hessner, P., Ray, R. D., ... Ochsner, K. N. (2012). The development of emotion regulation: An fMRI study of cognitive reappraisal in children, adolescents and young adults. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 7, 11–22. <https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsr093>
- Meyler, D., Stimpson, J. P., & Peek, M. K. (2007). Health concordance within couples: A systematic review. *Social Science & Medicine*, 64, 2297–2310. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2007.02.007>
- Michalska, K. J., Decety, J., Zeffiro, T. A., & Lahey, B. B. (2015). Association of regional gray matter volumes in the brain with disruptive behavior disorders in male and female children. *NeuroImage: Clinical*, 7, 252–257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2014.12.012>
- Michalska, K. J., Feldman, J. S., Ivie, E. J., Shechner, T., Sequeira, S., Averbeck, B., ... Pine, D. S. (2018). Early-childhood social reticence predicts SCR-BOLD coupling during fear extinction recall in preadolescent youth. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*. [Epub ahead of print]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2018.12.003>
- Michalska, K. J., Gardiner, G., & Hughes, B. L. (2018). Situating behaviors within the functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) environment. In J. F. Rauthman, R. Sherman, & D. C. Funder (Eds.), *Oxford handbook of psychological situations*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. In Press.
- Michalska, K. J., Kinzler, K. D., & Decety, J. (2013). Age-related sex differences in explicit measures of empathy do not predict brain responses across childhood and adolescence. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, 3, 22–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2012.08.001>
- Michalska, K. J., Machlin, L., Moroney, E., Lowet, D. S., Hettema, J. M., Roberson-Nay, R., ... Pine, D. S. (2017). Anxiety symptoms and children's eye gaze during fear learning. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 58, 1276–1286. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12749>
- Michalska, K. J., Zeffiro, T. A., & Decety, J. (2016). Brain response to viewing others being harmed in children with conduct disorder symptoms. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 57, 510–519. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12474>

- Monk, C. S., Telzer, E. H., Mogg, K., Bradley, B. P., Mai, X., Louro, H. M., ... Pine, D. S. (2008). Amygdala and ventrolateral prefrontal cortex activation to masked angry faces in children and adolescents with generalized anxiety disorder. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 65(5), 568–576. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.65.5.568>
- Moore, G. A., Hill-Soderlund, A. L., Propper, C. B., Calkins, S. D., Mills-Koonce, W. R., & Cox, M. J. (2009). Mother–infant vagal regulation in the face-to-face still-face paradigm is moderated by maternal sensitivity. *Child Development*, 80, 209–223. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2008.01255.x>
- Morales, S., Beekman, C., Blandon, A. Y., Stifter, C. A., & Buss, K. A. (2014). Longitudinal associations between temperament and socio-emotional outcomes in young children: The moderating role of RSA and gender. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 57(1), 105–119. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.21267>
- Moriguchi, Y., Ohnishi, T., Kawachi, T., Mori, T., Hirakata, M., Yamada, M., ... Komaki, G. (2005). Specific brain activation in Japanese and Caucasian people to fearful faces. *Neuroreport*, 16(2), 133–136. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00001756-200502080-00012>
- Nelson, E. E. (2017). Learning through the ages: How the brain adapts to the social world across development. *Cognitive Development*, 42, 84–94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogdev.2017.02.013>
- Nelson, E. E., Jarcho, J. M., & Guyer, A. E. (2016). Social re-orientation and brain development: An expanded and updated view. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, 17, 118–127. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2015.12.008>
- Nelson, E. E., Leibenluft, E., McClure, E. B., & Pine, D. S. (2005). The social re-orientation of adolescence: A neuroscience perspective on the process and its relation to psychopathology. *Psychological Medicine*, 35(2), 163–174. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291704003915>
- Obradović, J. (2016). Physiological responsivity and executive functioning: Implications for adaptation and resilience in early childhood. *Child Development Perspectives*, 10(1), 65–70. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdep.12164>
- Ogawa, S., Tank, D. W., Menon, R., Ellermann, J. M., Kim, S. G., Merkle, H., & Ugurbil, K. (1992). Intrinsic signal changes accompanying sensory stimulation: Functional brain mapping with magnetic resonance imaging. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 89(13), 5951–5955. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.89.13.5951>
- Parent, C., Zhang, T. Y., Caldji, C., Bagot, R., Champagne, F. A., Pruessner, J., & Meaney, M. J. (2005). Maternal care and individual differences in defensive responses. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 14, 229–233. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0963-7214.2005.00370.x>
- Pecukonis, M., Anderson, L. C., Sadikova, E., & Redcay, E. (2017). Exclusion bias in ASD fMRI studies: The effect of participant anxiety on scan motion artifact. Poster presented at the International Meeting for Autism Research. San Francisco, CA.
- Perlman, S. B., Luna, B., Hein, T. C., & Huppert, T. J. (2014). fNIRS evidence of prefrontal regulation of frustration in infancy. *Neuroimage*, 85, 326–334.
- Pfeifer, J. H., Masten, C. L., Moore, W. E. III, Oswald, T. M., Mazziotta, J. C., Iacoboni, M., & Dapretto, M. (2011). Entering adolescence: Resistance to peer influence, risky behavior, and neural changes in emotion reactivity. *Neuron*, 69(5), 1029–1036. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2011.02.019>
- Pitskel, N. B., Bolling, D. Z., Kaiser, M. D., Crowley, M. J., & Pelphrey, K. A. (2011). How grossed out are you? The neural bases of emotion regulation from childhood to adolescence. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, 1(3), 324–337. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2011.03.004>
- Porges, S. W. (2003). The polyvagal theory: Phylogenetic contributions to social behavior. *Physiology & Behavior*, 79, 503–513. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9384\(03\)00156-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9384(03)00156-2)
- Porges, S. W. (2007). The polyvagal perspective. *Biological Psychology*, 74, 116–143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2006.06.009>
- Porges, S. W. (2009). The polyvagal theory: New insights into adaptive reactions of the autonomic nervous system. *Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine*, 76, S86–S90. <https://doi.org/10.3949/ccjm.76.s2.17>
- Quiñones-Camacho, L. E., & Davis, E. L. (2018). Discrete emotion regulation strategy repertoires and parasympathetic physiology characterize psychopathology symptoms in childhood. *Developmental Psychology*, 54, 718–730. <https://doi.org/10.1037/dev0000464>
- Quiñones-Camacho, L. E., Wu, R., & Davis, E. L. (2018). Motivated attention to fear-related stimuli: Evidence for the enhanced processing of fear in the late positive potential. *Motivation & Emotion*, 42, 299–308. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11031-018-9670-x>
- Raver, C. C. (2004). Placing emotional self-regulation in sociocultural and socioeconomic contexts. *Child Development*, 75, 346–353. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2004.00676.x>
- Rogers, L. O., Zosuls, K. M., Halim, M. L., Ruble, D., Hughes, D., & Fuligni, A. (2012). Meaning making in middle childhood: An exploration of the meaning of ethnic identity. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 18(2), 99. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0027691>
- Rogoff, B. (2016). Culture and participation: A paradigm shift. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 8, 182–189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2015.12.002>
- Rogoff, B., Mistry, J., Göncü, A., Mosier, C., Chavajay, P., & Heath, S. B. (1993). Guided participation in cultural activity by toddlers and caregivers. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 58, 1–179. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1166109>
- Rothbart, M. K., & Derryberry, D. (1981). Development of individual differences in temperament. In M. E. Lamb & A. Brown (Eds.), *Advances in developmental psychology* (Vol. 1, pp. 37–86). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Rubin, K. H., Coplan, R. J., Fox, N. A., & Calkins, S. D. (1995). Emotionality, emotion regulation, and preschoolers' social adaptation. *Development and Psychopathology*, 7, 49–62. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579400006337>
- Ruiz, J. M., Sbarra, D., & Steffen, P. R. (2018). Hispanic ethnicity, stress psychophysiology and paradoxical health outcomes: A review with conceptual considerations and a call for research. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 131, 24–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2018.04.001>
- Rychlowska, M., Miyamoto, Y., Matsumoto, D., Hess, U., Gilboa-Schechtman, E., Kamble, S., ... Niedenthal, P. M. (2015). Heterogeneity of long-history migration explains cultural differences in reports of emotional expressivity and the functions of smiles. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 112(19), E2429–E2436. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1413661112>
- Sanchez-Burks, J., Nisbett, R. E., & Ybarra, O. (2000). Cultural styles, relationship schemas, and prejudice against out-groups. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 79, 174–189. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.79.2.174>
- Shader, T. M., Gatzke-Kopp, L. M., Crowell, S. E., Jamila Reid, M., Thayer, J. F., Vasey, M. W., ... Beauchaine, T. P. (2018). Quantifying respiratory sinus arrhythmia: Effects of misspecifying breathing frequencies across development. *Development and Psychopathology*, 30(1), 351–366. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579417000669>
- Shechner, T., Wakschlag, N., Britton, J. C., Jarcho, J., Ernst, M., & Pine, D. S. (2013). Empirical examination of the potential adverse psychological effects associated with pediatric fMRI scanning. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology*, 23, 357–362. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cap.2012.0076>
- Silvers, J. A., Insel, C., Powers, A., Franz, P., Heilon, C., Martin, R. E., ... Ochsner, K. N. (2017a). vPFC–vmPFC–Amygdala interactions underlie age-related differences in cognitive regulation of emotion. *Cerebral Cortex*, 27, 3502–3514. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhw073>

- Silvers, J. A., Insel, C., Powers, A., Franz, P., Helion, C., Martin, R., ... Ochsner, K. N. (2017b). The transition from childhood to adolescence is marked by a general decrease in amygdala reactivity and an affect-specific ventral-to-dorsal shift in medial prefrontal recruitment. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, 25, 128–137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2016.06.005>
- Silvers, J. A., McRae, K., Gabrieli, J. D., Gross, J. J., Remy, K. A., & Ochsner, K. N. (2012). Age-related differences in emotional reactivity, regulation, and rejection sensitivity in adolescence. *Emotion*, 12(6), 1235–1247.
- Steinberg, L. (2001). We know some things: Parent-adolescent relationships in retrospect and prospect. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 11, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1532-7795.00001>
- Telzer, E. H., Fuligni, A. J., Lieberman, M. D., & Galván, A. (2013). Meaningful family relationships: Neurocognitive buffers of adolescent risk taking. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 25(3), 374–387. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.01.025>
- Telzer, E. H., Masten, C. L., Berkman, E. T., Lieberman, M. D., & Fuligni, A. J. (2011). Neural regions associated with self control and mentalizing are recruited during prosocial behaviors towards the family. *Neuroimage*, 58(1), 242–249. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.06.013>
- Thompson, R. A. (2011). Emotion and emotion regulation: Two sides of the developing coin. *Emotion Review*, 3, 53–61. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1754073910380969>
- Tobin, J., Hsueh, Y., & Karasawa, M. (2009). *Preschool in three cultures revisited: China, Japan, and the United States*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Tsai, J. L. (2007). Ideal affect: Cultural causes and behavioral consequences. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 2, 242–259. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6916.2007.00043.x>
- Wakschlag, L. S., Perlman, S. B., Blair, R. J., Leibenluft, E., Briggs-Gowan, M. J., & Pine, D. S. (2017). The neurodevelopmental basis of early childhood disruptive behavior: Irritable and callous phenotypes as exemplars. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 175, 114–130. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2017.17010045>
- Wang, Z., Lü, W., & Qin, R. (2013). Respiratory sinus arrhythmia is associated with trait positive affect and positive emotional expressivity. *Biological Psychology*, 93, 190–196. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2012.12.006>

How to cite this article: Michalska KJ, Davis EL. The psychobiology of emotional development: The case for examining sociocultural processes. *Developmental Psychobiology*. 2019;61:416–429. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.21795>