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The nuclear spin of Sm$^{153}$ has been established by atomic-beam magnetic resonance as $I = 3/2$.

An adequate beam of Sm$^{153}$ can be produced from 50 mg of stable samarium metal irradiated for 8 hours in the Livermore pile at a flux of about $2\times10^{13}$ neutrons/cm$^2$-sec. After irradiation, the material is placed in a small oven machined from tantalum containing an inner crucible with a sharp lip (Fig. 1). With this oven arrangement it is found that creep is controlled up to the beam temperature of about 1300°C.

The apparatus used in this experiment employs the flop-in type of magnet arrangement first proposed by Zacharias.\(^1\) Radioactive detection of the samarium beam is employed. Platinum foils in the detector position are exposed to the samarium beam at a particular frequency setting of the rf oscillator used to power the hairpin. After a 5-minute exposure the foil is placed in a flow proportional β counter (background about 2 to 5 cpm), and the decay rate is observed. Typical resonance counting rates are about 15 cpm.

Optical spectroscopic measurements\(^2\) on samarium had established the ground-state configuration of this element to be $(4f)^6(6s)^2$ coupling to the ground-state term $^7F$. In this experiment, measurements were made on the
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states $J = 1$ and $J = 2$ that arise from this term. That these states are both present in the beam in sufficient quantities to enable measurements to be made is consistent with the optically measured fine structure. Three resonances were observed in a low-field search at 1.0 gauss. These were ascribed to the multiple-quantum transitions:

1. $I = 3/2, J = 1; F = 5/2$ \[ m_f = 5/2 \]
   - $m_f = 3/2 \rightarrow F = 5/2, m_f = -5/2$
   - $m_f = 1/2 \rightarrow F = 5/2, m_f = -5/2$
   - $m_f = -1/2 \rightarrow F = 5/2, m_f = -5/2$

2. $I = 3/2, J = 2; F = 7/2$ \[ m_f = 7/2 \]
   - $m_f = 5/2 \rightarrow F = 7/2, m_f = -7/2$
   - $m_f = 3/2 \rightarrow F = 7/2, m_f = -7/2$
   - $m_f = 1/2 \rightarrow F = 7/2, m_f = -7/2$
   - $m_f = -1/2 \rightarrow F = 7/2, m_f = -5/2$

and 3. $I = 3/2, J = 2; F = 5/2$ \[ m_f = 5/2 \]
   - $m_f = 3/2 \rightarrow F = 5/2, m_f = -3/2$
   - $m_f = 1/2 \rightarrow F = 5/2, m_f = -3/2$

All the transitions corresponding to a given $I$, $J$, and $F$ occur at the same frequency in the Zeeman region and contribute to the resonance intensity.

Each of these sets of transitions was observed at three fields, and resonance curves were traced out (Fig. 2). These resonances are characterized by three $g_F$ values tabulated along with the observations in Table I.
Table I

Tabulation of all observed $g_F$ values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\frac{\mu_0 H}{h}$ (Mc)</th>
<th>$J = 1, F = 5/2$</th>
<th>$J = 2, F = 7/2$</th>
<th>$J = 2, F = 5/2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.61 ± .05</td>
<td>0.91 ± .05</td>
<td>1.01 ± .05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.985</td>
<td>0.60 ± .03</td>
<td>0.86 ± .02</td>
<td>0.94 ± .02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.945</td>
<td>0.598 ± .010</td>
<td>0.855 ± .011</td>
<td>0.941 ± .013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean $g_F$</td>
<td>0.598 ± .010</td>
<td>0.856 ± .011</td>
<td>0.941 ± .010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted $g_F$</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>0.943</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

($I = 3/2; g_J = 1.5$)
In Zeeman region, the $g_F$ value is given by

$$g_F = g_J \frac{F(F+1) + J(J+1) - l(l+1)}{2F(F+1)},$$

where $g_J$ is the electronic $g$ value. A term of the order of the nuclear moment has been neglected.

The observed $g_F$ values are fitted to well within the experimental error on the assumption that $I = 3/2$, that the states $J = 1$ and $J = 2$ are both present in the beam, and that the $g_J$ value of both $J$ states is 1.5, the value obtained from pure L-S coupling among the six 4f electrons.

The observed spin of $3/2$ is consistent with the beta decay from the ground state of Sm$^{153}$. Interpretation of spin $3/2$ is difficult on the shell model. However, by use of the energy-level diagram of Nilsson, $I = 3/2$ can be explained by assuming large deformations and that the state of the 91st neutron is either $3/2 = [521]$ or $3/2 + [651]$, where the notation is that of Mottelson.
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Fig. 1. Cutaway view of oven used to produce samarium beams.

Fig. 2. Resonances observed in the $J = 1$ and $J = 2$ states of Sm$^{153}$. 
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