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#### Abstract

An asymmetric gold(I)-catalyzed [3+2] cycloaddition of propargyl acetals/ketals and aldehydes is reported, which proceeds via stepwise migration-fragmentation of acetals/ketals and cycloaddition of the in situ generated gold-carbenoid intermediate. Various functionalized 2, 5-dihydrofurans were obtained in good yields and high enantioselectivities. Furthermore, an example of the first gold(I) catalyzed [3+3] cycloaddition of secondary propargyl ketals and nitrones is presented.
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## 1 Introduction

There is an increasing demand for the development of methods and strategies that allow the transformation of readily available precursors into target-relevant products in a rapid, economical and efficient manner. Cycloaddition reactions are synthetic tools which fit these criteria, because they can produce a rapid increase in skeletal complexity with controlled regio- and stereoselectivities. ${ }^{1}$ In recent years, gold complexes have emerged as excellent catalysts for novel types of cycloaddition reactions, because of their unique ability to activate carbon-carbon $\pi$-systems. ${ }^{2}$ Gold(I) or gold(III) complexes tend to activate alkynes, alkenes or allenes in a higly selective manner. This activation mode allows for interesting reaction pathways that usually involve carbocationic intermediates. The properties of the metal can be modulated through modification of its ancillary ligand (phosphines, N heterocyclic carbenes...). ${ }^{3}$ The rapid rise in interest in gold catalysis has been accompanied

[^0]by efforts to develop enantioselective variants of gold-catalyzed reactions to further increase the synthetic utility of these processes. ${ }^{4}$

The gold-catalyzed 1,2-rearrangement of propargyl esters has provided the basis for the development of a wide range of transformations. These reactions are hypothesized to proceed through gold-stabilized cationic intermediates that show reactivity analogous to electrophilic transition metal vinyl carbenoids. ${ }^{5}$ As expected these cationic species can demonstrate either carbene like 1,1-reactivity (cyclopropanation) or participate in vinylogous 1,3-functionalization reactions that have typically been associated with 1,3dipoles. ${ }^{6}$ In the context of the latter, Iwasawa ${ }^{7}$ first invoked a gold-containing 1,3-dipole to prepare tricyclic indole derivatives in 2006. In 2008, we also proposed gold azomethine ylides as intermediates to understand the reaction of propargylic benzoates with $\alpha, \beta-$ unsaturated imines. ${ }^{5 \mathrm{~h}}$ We obtained azepine products, through a stepwise $[4+3]$ annulation process between the imines and the gold vinylcarbenoids, generated upon 1,2-migration of the ester group. Similarly, Zhang et al. ${ }^{8}$ reported that migration-fragmentation of propargyl acetals/ketals allowed for a [3+2]-cycloaddition with electron-rich aromatic aldehydes to form highly functionalized 2,5-dihydrofurans, useful building blocks in organic synthesis. However, an enantioselective version of this transformation has not been reported before. Finally, in 2009 we reported a gold(III)-catalyzed [3+3]-cycloaddition of tertiary propargyl esters and azomethine imines. ${ }^{9}$ This example of a formal cycloaddition between metal vinylcarbenoids and 1,3-dipoles was followed by a reported by Zhang et al. employing nitrones as to be effective 1,3-dipoles for gold(I)-catalyzed [3+3] cycloaddition with 2-(1-alkynyl)-2-alken-1-ones. ${ }^{10}$ With this information in hand, we envisioned that gold(I)catalyzed $[3+3]$ cycloaddition of propargyl ketals and nitrones could occur, and this transformation would give us new insights in the fast growing field of gold catalysis.

We report herein the first asymmetric gold(I)-catalyzed [3+2] cycloaddition of propargyl acetals and aldehydes, which proceeds via stepwise migration-fragmentation of the acetal and cycloaddition of the in situ generated gold-carbenoid intermediate. Furthermore, we present an example of the first gold(I)-catalyzed [3+3]-cycloaddition reaction of secondary propargyl ketals and nitrones and the corresponding enantioselective version.

## 2 Gold(I)-catalyzed [3+2] cycloaddition reactions between propargyl acetals/ketals and aldehydes

### 2.1. Optimization of the reaction conditions

We began our investigation using propargyl ester 1a and trans-cinnamaldehyde 2a as suitable test substrates for this [3+2] cycloaddition. We examined different cationic gold(I) catalysts bearing chiral bisphosphine ligands (Table 1, entries $1-5$ ). In all cases the gold complexes were activated by $\mathrm{AgNTf}_{2}$ and afforded desired product 3aa in good yields. The use of phosphine ligand $\mathbf{L 1}=(R)$-BINAP (Table 1, entry 1) induced moderated enantioselectivities in the formation of 2,5-dihydrofuran 3aa. More bulky L2=(R)-DTBMBINAP (Table 1, entry 2) afforded 3aa almost as racemic mixture. L3=(R)-DTBMSEGPHOS gave 3aa in higher yields but similar enantioselectivity as $\mathbf{L} 1=(R)$-BINAP. ( $90 \%$ yield, $77 \%$ ee; Table 1, entry 3 ). L4=( $R$ )-MeO-BIPHEP afforded 3aa in $91 \%$ yield but
almost as a racemic mixture. (3\% ee; Table 1, entry 4) However, more bulky ( $R$ )-DTBM-MeO-BIPHEP provided 2,5-dihydrofuran 3aa in good yields and very high levels of enantioinduction ( $80 \%$ yield, $95 \%$ ee; Table 1, entry 5).

### 2.2. Aldehyde scope

With optimal conditions in hand (Table 1, entry 5), we explored the scope of the gold(I)catalyzed enantioselective cycloaddition. The reaction is general for a wide range of aldehydes ( $\mathbf{2 a} \mathbf{- 2 l}$ ). (Table 2). More bulky enal 2b afforded 3ab in $78 \%$ yield and $89 \%$ ee. Electron rich aromatic aldehydes 2c, 2d and 2e afforded 3ac, 3ad and 3ae in $80 \%$, $79 \%$ and $82 \%$ yield, and $92 \%, 86 \%$ and $92 \%$ ee respectively. Aromatic aldehydes substituted in the para-position with acetyl $\mathbf{2 f}$ or benzyl $\mathbf{2 g}$ groups also behaved as expected giving products 3af and 3ag in $90 \%$ and $91 \%$ ee respectively. Tert-butyl substituent in the para-position $\mathbf{2 h}$ gave 3ah in $84 \%$ yield and $90 \%$ ee. Para-substitution of the aromatic ring with an electronwithdrawing group 2i didn't affect the success of this transformation, so that 3ai was isolated in $81 \%$ yield and $87 \%$ ee. 3-Furaldehyde $\mathbf{2 j}$, 1- naphthaldehyde $\mathbf{2 k}$ and 2naphthaldehyde $\mathbf{2 l}$ afforded the corresponding 2,5-dihydrofurans 3aj, 3ak and 3al in high yields and $95 \%, 91 \%$ and $94 \%$ ee respectively.

### 2.3. Secondary Propargyl ketals

Propargyl ketal 4 reacted with trans-cinamaldehyde 2a under different reaction conditions (Table 3). The desired product 5 was obtained in all cases with moderate yields and enantioselectivities. $(R)$ - $\operatorname{BINAP}\left(\mathrm{AuNTf}_{2}\right)_{2}$ catalyzed the cycloaddition reaction with $79 \%$ yield and $50 \%$ enantiomeric excess (Table 3, entry 1). In order to optimize the enantioinduction, other chiral dinuclear gold(I) catalysts were examined. (Table 3, entries 25). Reaction with $(R)$-DTBM-MeO-BIPHEP $\left(\mathrm{AuNTf}_{2}\right)_{2}$ in the same conditions previously optimized for substrate 1a afforded 5aa in $62 \%$ ee. (Table 3, entry 5) However, the yield of the reaction in this case dropped to $51 \%$. Increasing the bulk of the propargyl substituent from methyl to isopropyl (Table 3, entry 6), resulted in a further decrease in yield with a very small increase in enantiomeric excess. The use of $(R)$-BINAP as chiral ligand for the bulky isopropyl substrates didn't give better yields this time. (Table 3, entry 7) In all this cases, excellent diastereoselectivities were observed as only the cis- isomers were isolated.

### 2.4. Mechanism

The mechanism proposed for the transformation of both kinds of propargyl acetals/ketals is in line with the one proposed by Zhang et al in 2008. (Scheme 1) Gold(I) promoted a 1,2-migration-fragmentation sequence of the ketal substrate into a migrated alcoxy group and a ketone which behaves as a good leaving group to generated intermediate A. Subsequent stepwise addition of aldehyde generates the desired product.

## 3 Gold(I)-catalyzed [3+3] cycloaddition reactions between secondary propargyl ketals and nitrones

After this, we briefly explored 1,3-dipoles as nucleophilic components for this reaction. To our delight, secondary propargyl ketal $\mathbf{4 c}$ substituted with electron rich $p-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{Ph}$
substituent reacted with nitrones $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$ under $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuOTf}$ catalysis, affording compounds 7ca and 7cb in $90 \%$ yield in both cases. (Table 4, entries 1 and 2) This is a formal gold(I) catalyzed $[3+3]$ cycloaddition reaction between both components. When nitrones $6 \mathbf{c}$ and $6 \mathbf{d}$ were used, both substrate $\mathbf{4 c}$ without the ketal moiety and the corresponding nitrone were recovered. (Table 4, entries 3 and 4). Therefore both substituents must be aromatic to obtain the desired product. In order to check if this transformation could be rendered assymetric, we used $\mathbf{L 3}=(R)$-DTBM-SEGPHOS and L5 $=(R)$-DTBM-MeOBIPHEP as chiral ligand for the gold(I) catalyst, successful in our previous experiments. Surprisingly, the cycloaddition didn't occur at all this time. (Table 4, entries 5 and 6) Therefore, we decided to explore mononuclear chiral gold(I)-phosphite and phosphoramidite complexes. Chiral phosphite and phosphoramidite ligands had been previously used to induce high levels of enantioselectivity in different gold(I)-catalyzed processes. ${ }^{11}$ In our case, using phosphite ligand L10 almost no product was obtained. (Table 4, entry 7). L11 afforded the desired product in $60 \%$ yield and $19 \%$ ee (Table 4 , entry 8 ). When we tried phosphoramidite ligand L12 with similar scaffold, 7ca was obtained in $55 \%$ yield and $50 \%$ ee. (Table 4, entry 9) Gold(I)-catalyst with phosphoramidite ligand $\mathbf{L 8}$ afforded compound 7ca in $70 \%$ yield and $72 \%$ ee. (Table 4, entry 10) Using nitrone $\mathbf{6 b}$, desired product $7 \mathbf{c b}$ was obtained in $60 \%$ yield and $55 \%$ ee. (Table 4 , entry 12 ) When more bulky ligand phosphoramidite ligand $\mathbf{L 9}$ was used, the desired product was obtain only in $20 \%$ yield and $45 \%$ ee (Table 4, entry 11 ).

We envisioned similar reactivity as above for this [3+3] cycloaddition transformation. Gold(I) promoted a 1,2-migration-fragmentation sequence of the ketal substrate $\mathbf{4 c}$ into a migrated alcoxy group and a ketone as proposed in previous page, (see Scheme 1) generating intermediate $\mathbf{A}$ (as in all its resonance forms). This intermediate reacted with the 1,3-dipole (nitrones $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$ ) in a formal [3+3] cycloaddition. (Scheme 2). Nucleophilic attack by the nitrone on the gold carbene was followed by intramolecular iminium ion addition to the catalyst activated vinyl ether. Similar cycloadducts had been obtained when reacting the equivalent diazocompounds with the same nitrones under copper catalysis. ${ }^{12}$

## 4 Conclusion

In conclusion, we have developed a gold(I)-catalyzed enantioselective [3+2] cycloaddition of propargyl acetals/ketals and aldehydes, which allowed a variety of highly enantioenriched functionalized 2,5-dihydrofurans with good efficiencies. This proceeds via migration and fragmentation of the ketal substrate and cycloaddition of the in situ generated gold carbenoid intermediate. Furthermore, the development of a new gold(I)-catalyzed [3+3]-cycloaddition of secondary propargyl acetals and nitrones and its enantioselective version provides us more insight understanding of the powerful role of gold(I) in catalysis and foreshadows new cycloaddition reactions.

## 5 Experimental section

### 5.1. General

Unless otherwise noted, reagents were obtained from commercial sources and used without further purification. All reactions were carried out under air unless otherwise stated. Dry

THF, dichloromethane, diethyl ether, and triethylamine were obtained by passage through activated alumina columns under argon. All other dried solvents were obtained by storage over $3 \AA$ or $4 \AA$ molecular sieves overnight. TLC analysis of reaction mixtures was performed on Merck silica gel 60 F254 TLC plates and visualized by UV, or potassium permanganate solution. Flash chromatography was carried out with ICN Sili Tech 32-63 D $60 \AA$ silica gel. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded with Bruker AV-300, AVQ-400, AVB-400 and DRX-500 spectrometers and were referenced to residual 1 H and 13C signals of the deuterated solvent. Structures were confirmed using NOESY, COSY and HSQC experiments. Data are reported as follows: chemical shift ( $\delta$ ), multiplicity, integrated intensity, coupling constant $(\mathrm{J})$ in hertz $(\mathrm{Hz})$. Abbreviations to denote the multiplicity of a particular signal are $s$ (singlet), $d$ (doublet), $t$ (triplet), dd (double doublet), $q$ (quartet), $m$ (multiplet). Mass spectral data were obtained via the Micro-Mass/Analytical Facility operated by the College of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley. Enantioselectivity was determined by chiral HPLC using Daicel CHIRALPAK AD-H, WH and IC columns $(0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm})$. Substrates $\mathbf{1 a},{ }^{8} \mathbf{4},{ }^{8}$ and $\mathbf{6}^{13}$ and gold catalyst $(S, S, S)-\mathbf{L 8 A u C l},(S, S, S)$ $\mathbf{L 9 A u C l},(S),(-)-\mathbf{L 1 0 A u C l}$ and $(R, R, R)-\mathbf{L 1 2 A u C l}{ }^{14}$ were prepared following literature procedures. All characterization data was in complete agreement with the reported values.

### 5.2. General Procedure for Gold(I)-catalyzed [3+2] cycloadditions

To a small vial was added $\mathrm{AgNTf}_{2}$ ( $0.008 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05$ equiv.) and the appropriate dinuclear catalyst ( $0.004 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.025$ equiv.) in dichloromethane $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting mixture was sonicated for 3 mins. The resulting suspension was filtered through glass fiber into a solution of the corresponding propargyl ketal/acetal 1 or $\mathbf{4}(0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) and aldehyde 2 ( $0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) in dichloromethane ( 0.3 mL ) with $4 \AA$ molecular sieves. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1.5 h at room temperature. After this period, the crude mixture was filtered through a short pad of silica gel, eluted with EtOAc and concentrated under reduced pressure to give crude $\mathbf{3}$ or $\mathbf{5}$. Reaction crudes were purified via silica gel flash column chromatography with hexanes:ethyl acetate mixtures. All racemic material was synthesized utilizing rac-BINAP ( 0.025 equiv.) and $\mathrm{AgNTf}_{2}$ ( 0.05 equiv.), following the above mentioned procedure. The enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC analysis using AD-H, WH and IC columns.

### 5.3. General Procedure for Gold(I)-catalyzed [3+3] cycloadditions

To a small vial was added $\mathrm{AgOTf}(0.009 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05$ equiv.) and the appropriate catalyst ( $0.009 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05$ equiv.) in dichloromethane ( 0.5 mL ). The resulting mixture was sonicated for 3 mins . The resulting suspension was filtered through glass fiber into a solution of propargyl ketal $\mathbf{4 c}(0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) and the corresponding nitrone 6 ( 0.17 $\mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) in the same solvent $(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ with $4 \AA$ molecular sieves. The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. After this period, the crude mixture was filtered through a short pad of silica gel, eluted with EtOAc and concentrated under reduced pressure to give crude 7. Reaction crudes were purified via silica gel flash column chromatography with hexanes:ethyl acetate mixtures. All racemic material was synthesized using $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuCl}$ ( $0.009 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05$ equiv.) and AgOTf ( $0.009 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05$ equiv.), following the procedure mentioned above. The enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC analysis using AD-H columns.

### 5.4. Characterization of the reaction products

Methyl (2S)-4-ethoxy-5,5-dimethyl-2-[(E)-2-phenylethenyl]-2,5-dihydrofuran-3carboxylate (3aa)—Colorless oil. $80 \%$ Yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ ): 8 7.45-7.40 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.26(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.45(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.56-4.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42-4.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H ), $1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta$ 168.1, 163.6, 137.0, 131.0, 130.7, 128.5, 128.5, 127.5, 126.5, 126.5, 100.8, 85.0, 82.4, 70.4, 51.1, 27.0, 26.1, 15.1. HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (M)+: 302.1518. Found: 302.1525 . HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H column, 99:01 hexanes/isopropanol, $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ), $\mathrm{tr}=13.7 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 19.5 min (minor); ee $=95 \%$.

Methyl (2S)-(2,2-diphenylethenyl)-4-ethoxy-5,5-dimethyl-2,5-dihydrofuran-3carboxylate (3ab)—Colorless oil. $78 \%$ Yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ ): 8 7.48-7.16 $(\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 5.96(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.33(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.56-4.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39-4.31$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(125.73$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $\delta 168.4,163.6,144.6,142.5,139.3,130.2,130.2,129.8,128.1,128.1$, 128.0, 128.0, 127.7, 127.7, 127.5, 127.3, 101.1, 84.8, 79.0, 70.3, 51.0, 27.3, 26.0, 15.1 . HRMS (EI) cal cd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M})+: 378.1831$. Found: 378.1837. HPLC (Chiralpak ADH column, 98:02 hexanes/isopropanol, $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ), $\mathrm{tr}=8.0 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 8.6 min (minor); ee $=89 \%$.

Methyl (2S)-4-ethoxy-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5,5-dimethyl-2,5-dihydrofuran-3carboxylate (3ac)—Colorless oil. $80 \%$ Yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ ): 87.28 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33-4.23(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $125.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $\delta 167.9,163.9,159.4,134.3,128.7,128.7,113.4,113.4,101.9$, 84.65, 83.4, 70.1, 55.2, 50.9, 26.4, 25.7, 15.1. HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (M)+: 306.1467. Found: 306.1462. HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H column, 98:02 hexanes/isopropanol, 1 $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ), $\mathrm{tr}=9.8 \mathrm{~min}(\mathrm{major}), 12.0 \mathrm{~min}($ minor $) ;$ ee $=92 \%$.

Methyl (2S)-2-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-4-ethoxy-5,5-dimethyl-2,5-dihydrofuran-3-carboxylate (3ad)-Colorless oil. $79 \%$ Yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 300 MHz , $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $\delta 6.95-6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67-4.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}$, 6 H ), $3.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(100.61$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $\delta 167.6,163.8,148.8,148.8,134.8,119.8,110.8,110.8,101.7,84.6,83.7$, 70.0, 55.7, 55.6, 50.8, 26.4, 25.6, 15.0. HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ (M)+: 336.1573 . Found: 336.1579. HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H column, 98:02 hexanes/isopropanol, $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ), $\operatorname{tr}=22.2 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 32.4 min (minor); $\mathrm{ee}=86 \%$.

> Methyl (2S)-2-(2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-4-ethoxy-5,5-dimethyl-2,5dihydrofuran-3-carboxylate (3ae)-Colorless oil. 82\% Yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.91-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68-4.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.43-4.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta 168.8,163.7,152.9,147.7,135.9$, $123.9,119.4,111.8,101.0,84.9,76.7,70.4,61.0,55.7,50.9,26.4,25.9,15.1 . \mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{EI})$
calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{M})+: 336.1573$. Found: 336.1574. HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H column, 98:02 hexanes/isopropanol, $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ), $\mathrm{tr}=9.4 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 12.9 min (minor); ee $=92 \%$.

Methyl (2S)-2-[4-(acetyloxy)phenyl]-4-ethoxy-5,5-dimethyl-2,5-dihydrofuran-3carboxylate (3af)—Colorless oil. $83 \%$ Yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ ): 87.39 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67-4.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39-4.29(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $125.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $\delta 169.5,168.5,163.6,150.4,140.0,128.6,128.6,121.3,121.3$, 101.6, 85.0, 83.3, 70.3, 50.9, 26.4, 25.7, 20.9, 15.1. HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ (M)+: 334.1416. Found: 334.1410. HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H column, 98:02 hexanes/isopropanol, 1 $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ), $\mathrm{tr}=11.7 \mathrm{~min}($ major $), 13.8 \mathrm{~min}(\mathrm{minor})$; $\mathrm{ee}=90 \%$.
(2S)-2-[4-(benzyloxy)phenyl]-4-ethoxy-5,5-dimethyl-2,5-dihydrofuran-3carboxylate (3ag)—White solid. $81 \%$ Yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ ): 8 7.49-7.33 (m, 5H), 7.29 (d, 2H, J = 8.6 Hz ), 6.96 (d, 2H, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 5.78 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09$ (s, 2H), $4.65-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta 167.9,163.6,158.5,137.2,134.8,128.8$, $128.8,128.5,128.5,127.9,127.6,127.6,114.3,114.3,101.8,84.7,83.4,70.1,69.9,50.9$, 26.4, 25.7, 15.1. HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (M)+: 382.1780. Found: 382.1774. HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H column, 98:02 hexanes $/$ isopropanol, $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ), $\mathrm{tr}=13.6 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 16.8 min (minor); ee $=91 \%$.

Methyl (2S)-2-(4-tert-butylphenyl)-4-ethoxy-5,5-dimethyl-2,5-dihydrofuran-3carboxylate (3ah)—Colorless oil. 84\% Yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ ): 87.38 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.28(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.26(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.32(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(125.73 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $\delta 167.9,163.9,150.9,139.3,127.2,125.1,101.7,84.8,83.7,70.2,50.9,34.4,31.1$, 31.1, 31.1, 26.4, 25.8, 15.1. HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (M)+: 332.1988. Found: 332.1991. HPLC (Chiralpak IC column, $95: 05$ hexanes $/$ isopropanol, $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ), $\mathrm{tr}=7.4 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 8.0 min (minor); ee $=90 \%$.

Methyl (2S)-4-ethoxy-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-5,5-dimethyl-2,5-dihydrofuran-3carboxylate (3ai)—Colorless oil. $81 \%$ Yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): 8 7.38-7.33 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta$ 168.2, 164.6, 163.6, 161.4, 138.4, 129.3, 129.3, 114.9, 114.7, 101.6, 85.0, 83.2, 70.2, 50.9, 26.4, 25.6, 15.0. HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~F}$ (M)+: 294.1267. Found: 294.1266. HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H column, 99:01 hexanes/isopropanol, $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ), $\mathrm{tr}=9.4 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 10.1 min (minor); ee = 87\%

Methyl (2S)-4-ethoxy-2-(furan-3-yl)-5,5-dimethyl-2,5-dihydrofuran-3carboxylate (3aj)—Colorless oil. $80 \%$ Yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ ): 87.43 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61-4.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta$ 167.9, 163.6, 142.9, 140.3, 127.8, 109.2, 100.9, 84.8, 75.9, 70.2, 51.0, 26.5, 25.8, 15.1 . HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{M})+:$ 266.1154. Found: 266.1157. HPLC (Chiralpak AD-

H column, 99:01 hexanes/isopropanol, $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ), $\mathrm{tr}=10.6 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 11.9 min (minor); ee $=95 \%$.

Methyl (2S)-4-ethoxy-5,5-dimethyl-2-(naphthalen-1-yl)-2,5-dihydrofuran-3carboxylate (3ak)—Colorless oil. $82 \%$ Yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ ): $\delta 8.35$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.87-7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.77-4.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55-4.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta 169.0,163.9,138.1,133.9,132.0$, $128.5,128.3,125.9,125.6,125.3,124.2,123.9,100.5,85.2,79.4,70.6,51.0,26.3,25.9$, 15.2. HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M})+: 326.1518$. Found: 326.1519. HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H column, 99:01 hexanes/isopropanol, $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ), $\mathrm{tr}=10.7 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 12.2 min (minor); ee $=91 \%$.

Methyl (2S)-4-ethoxy-5,5-dimethyl-2-(naphthalen-2-yl)-2,5-dihydrofuran-3carboxylate (3al)—Colorless oil. $82 \%$ Yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta 7.94-7.79$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71-4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta$ $168.2,163.9,139.6,133.3,133.2,128.0,127.9,127.6,126.8,125.9,125.9,125.3,101.6$, 85.1, 84.1, 70.3, 50.9, 26.4, 25.8, 15.1. HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M})+: 326.1518$. Found: 326.1523. HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H column, 99:01 hexanes/isopropanol, $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ), $\operatorname{tr}=15.4 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 24.8 min (minor); ee $=94 \%$.

Ethyl (2S,5R)-4-methoxy-5-methyl-2-[(E)-2-phenylethenyl]-2,5-dihydrofuran-3carboxylate (5aa)—Colorless oil. $51 \%$ Yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): ~ 87.41-7.38$ (m, 2H), 7.35-7.30 (m, 2H), 7.27-7.23 (m, 1H), 6.66 (d, 1H, $J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.22$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.51-5.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85-4.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.46(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.24(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 166.2$, 163.1, 136.8, 131.9, 130.3, 128.5, 128.5, 127.6, 126.6, 126.6, 102.8, 84.6, 78.7, 60.5, 60.5, 21.1, 14.2. HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (M)+: 288.1362. Found: 288.1360. HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H column, 98:02 hexanes/isopropanol, $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ), $\mathrm{tr}=10.4 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), 11.0 min (major); ee $=62 \%$

Ethyl (2S,5R)-4-methoxy-2-[(E)-2-phenylethenyl]-5-(propan-2-yl)-2,5-dihydrofuran-3-carboxylate (5ba)-Colorless oil. $40 \%$ Yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 500 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.16(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.48(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.57(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.21-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.05(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.94$ (t, 3H, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 164.6,163.3,136.85,132.7,129.4$, 128.6, 128.6, 127.8, 126.8, 126.8, 103.7, 87.4, 84.8, 61.1, 60.3, 30.8, 19.1, 16.3, 14.3. HRMS (EI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M})+: 316.1675$. Found: 316.1672. HPLC (Chiralpak WH column, 99:01 hexanes $/$ isopropanol, $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ), $\mathrm{tr}=10.4 \mathrm{~min}$ ( minor ), 13.5 min (major); ee $=66 \%$

Ethyl (3R,6S)-5-methoxy-6-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2,3-diphenyl-3,6-dihydro-2H-1,2-oxazine-4-carboxylate (7ca)—White solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): 87.46$ (d,
$2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.39-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.89(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14-4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.12(\mathrm{t}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(125.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ ): $\delta 164.9,160.4,147.6,137.8,130.6$, $130.6,129.5,129.5,128.5,128.5,128.2,128.1,128.1,127.7,127.7,127.6,122.14,116.9$, $114.0,114.0,110.2,79.7,64.4,61.0,60.6,55.3,13.8$. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})+: 446.1962$. Found: 446.1963. HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H column, 95:05 hexanes/ isopropanol, $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ), $\operatorname{tr}=12.9 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 15.1 min (minor); ee $=72 \%$

Ethyl (3R,6S)-5-methoxy-3,6-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-phenyl-3,6-dihydro-2H-1,2-oxazine-4-carboxylate (7cb)—White solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.22(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.06-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $125.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $\delta 165.0,160.3,159.1,147.8,131.2,130.6,130.6,130.6,130.6$, $129.6,128.5,128.5,122.0,116.9,114.0,114.0,114.0,114.0,112.9,112.9,110.3,79.8$, 64.0, 61.0, 60.6, 55.3, 55.0, 13.9. HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{6}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})+: 476.2068$. Found: 476.2068. HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H column, 95:05 hexanes/isopropanol, $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ), $\operatorname{tr}=21.0 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), 24.5 min (minor); $\mathrm{ee}=55 \%$
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L1, $\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{Ph}$
L2, $\mathrm{Ar}=3,5$-('Bu)-4-(MeO) $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}$


L3, $\mathrm{Ar}=3,5-(\mathrm{Bu})-4-(\mathrm{MeO}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}$
L6, Ar= Cyclohexyl


L11, R=OPh
L12, $R=\underset{\sim}{\text { Ph }}{ }_{N}$

Figure 1.
Chiral ligands used for the cycloaddition reactions.


Scheme 1.
Mechanistic hypothesis for the formation of functionalized 2,5-hydrofurans


Scheme 2.
Mechanistic hypothesis for the cycloaddition of secondary propargyl ketals and nitrones.

## Table 1

Optimization of the gold-catalyzed enantioselective [3+2] cycloaddition reaction. ${ }^{a}$


[^1]Table 2
Asymmetric [3+2] Cycloaddition reaction: aldehyde scope. ${ }^{a, b, c, d}$

 molecular sieves (MS), $0.8 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}$.
$d_{\text {Reaction between substrate 1a and 3-Phenylpropanal afforded the desired product } \mathbf{3 a} \text { with } 30 \% \text { conversion. This lack of reactivity of aliphatic }}$ aldehydes was also observed as well by Zhang et.al. ${ }^{8}$
$b_{\text {Isolated yields. }}$
${ }^{c}$ Enantiomeric excess values were determined by chiral HPLC analysis. Racemic trace obtained using rac-BINAP(AuCl)2 as gold catalyst.
Author Manuscrip

[^2]Table 4
Gold(I)- catalyzed [3+3] cycloaddition of secondary propargyl ketals and nitrones. ${ }^{a}$

|  |  | $\xrightarrow[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 4 \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{MS}]{[\mathrm{Au(l)}] \text { cat }}$ |  <br> 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Nitrone | Gold(I) catalyst | Product (yield \%, ee \%) ${ }^{\text {b,c }}$ |
| 1 | 6a ( $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{Ph}$ ) | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuCl}$ | 7ca (90, na) |
| 2 | $\mathbf{6 b}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}=p-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{Ph}\right)$ | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuCl}$ | 7cb (90, na) |
| 3 | 6c ( $\mathrm{R}_{2}=p$ - $\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{Me}$ ) | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuCl}$ | 7cc (No reaction) |
| 4 | 6d ( $\mathrm{R}_{2}=p$-MeO-Ph, $\left.\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{Bn}\right)$ | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAuCl}$ | 7cd (No reaction) |
| $5^{d}$ | 6a ( $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{Ph}$ ) | $(R)-\mathbf{L 3}(\mathrm{AuCl})_{2}$ | 7ca (No reaction) |
| $6^{d}$ | $6 \mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{Ph}\right)$ | (R)-L5 $(\mathrm{AuCl})_{2}$ | 7ca (No reaction) |
| 7 | 6a ( $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{Ph}$ ) | (S), (-)-L10 ${ }^{\text {auCl }}$ | 7ca (3, 70) |
| 8 | 6a ( $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{Ph}$ ) | (R)- $\mathbf{L} 11 \mathrm{AuCl}$ | 7ca (60, 19) |
| 9 | 6a ( $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{Ph}$ ) | $(R, R, R)$-L12AuCl | $7 \mathbf{c a}(55,50)$ |
| 10 | 6a ( $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{Ph}$ ) | $(S, S, S)$-L8AuCl | 7ca (70, 72) |
| 11 | 6a ( $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{Ph}$ ) | $(S, S, S)-\mathbf{L 9 A u C l}$ | 7ca (20, 45) |
| 12 | $\mathbf{6 b}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{Ph}\right)$ | $(S, S, S)$-L8AuCl | 7cb (60, 55) |

[^3]
[^0]:    Supplementary Material
    Experimental procedures, compound characterization data. This material is available free of charge via the internet at http://
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[^1]:    $a_{\text {Reaction conditions: }} .5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ gold catalyst, $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{AgNTf}_{2}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ (1 equiv.) of 1a, 0.23 mmol ( 1.5 equiv.) of trans-cinnamaldehyde 2a, 10 mg of $4 \AA$ molecular sieves (MS), $0.8 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}$.
    $b_{\text {Isolated yields. }}$
    ${ }^{c}$ Enantiomeric excess values were determined by chiral HPLC analysis. Racemic trace obtained using rac-BINAP(AuCl) 2 as gold catalyst.

[^2]:    
    $b_{\text {Isolated yields for the major cis isomer. }}$
    ${ }^{c}$ Enantiomeric excess values were determined by chiral HPLC analysis. Racemic trace obtained using rac-BINAP(AuCl) 2 as gold catalyst.

[^3]:     molecular sieves (MS), $1 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$.
    $b_{\text {Isolated yields. }}$
    ${ }^{c}$ Enantiomeric excess values were determined by chiral HPLC analysis. Racemic trace obtained using Ph 3 PAuCl as gold(I)-catalyst.
    $d_{2.5 \mathrm{mmol} \operatorname{gold}(\mathrm{I})}$ catalyst.

