UCLA UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Establishing design principles for emissive organic SWIR chromophores from energy gap laws

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/38c976wh>

Journal

Chem, 7(12)

ISSN

1925-6981

Authors

Friedman, Hannah C Cosco, Emily D Atallah, Timothy L [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/38c976wh#author)

Publication Date

2021-12-01

DOI

10.1016/j.chempr.2021.09.001

Peer reviewed

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript Chem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 December 09.

Published in final edited form as:

Chem. 2021 December 09; 7(12): 3359–3376. doi:10.1016/j.chempr.2021.09.001.

Establishing design principles for emissive organic SWIR chromophores from energy gap laws

Hannah C. Friedman1, **Emily D. Cosco**1,2, **Timothy L. Atallah**1,3, **Shang Jia**1, **Ellen M. Sletten**1, **Justin R. Caram**¹

¹Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, 607 Charles E. Young Drive, Los Angeles, California 90095-1569, United States

²Department of Pathology, Stanford University School of Medicine, 300 Pasteur Drive, Stanford, California 94305 USA

³Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Denison University, 500 West Loop, Granville, Ohio 43023

Summary:

Rational design of bright near and shortwave infrared (NIR: 700–1000 SWIR: 1000– 2000 nm) emitters remains an open question with applications spanning imaging and photonics. Combining experiment and theory, we derive an energy gap quantum yield master equation (EQME), describing the fundamental limits in SWIR quantum yields (ϕ_F) for organic chromophores. Evaluating the photophysics of 21 polymethine NIR/SWIR chromophores to parameterize the EQME, we explain the precipitous decline of ϕ_F past 900 nm through decreasing radiative rates and increasing nonradiative losses via high frequency vibrations relating to the energy gap. Using

Experimental Procedures:

Resource Availability:

Lead Contact:

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Justin Caram (jcaram@chem.ucla.edu)

Materials Availability:

Data and Code Availability: All data reported in this paper will be shared by the lead contact upon request. This paper does not report original code.

Declaration of Interest: The authors declare patents related to the synthesis of the molecules studied in this paper. Listed as follows: **Sletten, E.M**.; Cosco, E.D. "Heterocyclyl polymethine IR chromophores." Filed **2018**. WO2018226720A1.

Sletten, E.M.; Cosco, E.D. "Heterocyclyl polymethine IR chromophores." Filed **2017**. WO2018201000A1. **Sletten, E.M.**; Caram, J.; Swager, T.M. "Near and shortwave infrared polymethine dyes." Filed **2017.** WO2018187295A1.

Inclusion and Diversity: One or more of the authors of this paper self-identifies as a member of the LGBTQ+ community. One or more of the authors of this paper received support from a program designed to increase minority representation in science.

Corresponding Author: jcaram@chem.ucla.edu.

Lead Contact

Author Contributions: Conceptualization: HCF, JRC. Investigation: HCF, EMS, TLA, SJ. Formal Analysis: HCF, EDC, TLA. Writing Original Draft- HCF, JRC. Writing-Review & Editing: HCF, EDC, TLA, SJ, EMS, JRC. Funding Acquisition: HCF, EDC, EMS, JRC. Supervision: TLA, EMS, JRC.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Polymethine dyes used in this study may be available from Ellen Sletten (sletten@chem.ucla.edu) with a completed materials transfer agreement. Availability is subject to the current stocks in the laboratory.

the EQME we develop an energy gap independent ϕ_F NIR/SWIR chromophore comparison metric. We show electron donating character on polymethine heterocycles results in relative increases in radiative efficiency obscured by a simultaneous redshift. Finally, the EQME yields rational chromophore design insights shown by how deuteration (backed by our experimental results) or molecular aggregation increases SWIR ϕ_F .

Graphical Abstract

eTOC:

Near and shortwave infrared emitters are vital to a wide range of applications from deep tissue imaging to photonic materials. However, the low quantum yield of organic NIR/SWIR emitters represents a defining struggle in the field. Combining experiment and theory, we model the impact of energy gap on quantum yield. Through analysis of this model and designing an energy gap independent comparison, we created a roadmap to more emissive near and shortwave infrared dyes.

Introduction:

Shortwave infrared (SWIR, or NIR-II/III, ~1000–2000 nm) radiation offers imaging capabilities with superlative contrast and feature resolution. Reflective and fluorescent imaging in the SWIR has been shown to enable penetrative imaging—through fog, foliage, skin and bone, $1-3$ enabling broad applications ranging from image-guided surgery to selfdriving cars.^{4–7} The SWIR spectral region has lower background due to few natural sources of radiation (e.g. blackbody radiation, tissue autofluorescence), compared to the visible (VIS, 350–700 nm) and near-infrared (NIR, 700–1000) regions. Expanding and improving

the library of bright SWIR chromophores that can sense biological, chemical or physical changes in complex and opaque environments represents a fundamental technological aim.

While nanoscale emitters like quantum dots and lanthanide nanoparticles can achieve high quantum yields (ϕ_F > 0.1) in the SWIR, organic chromophores have thus far displayed very low emission past 1000 nm (ϕ_F = 0.03).^{8–12} Nevertheless, organic emitters are biocompatible and provide a breadth of chemical functionalities that make them highly desirable for biological applications.13 There is a clear trade-off between smaller HOMO-LUMO gaps and ϕ_F . Even among NIR/SWIR emitters, the higher ϕ_F dyes tend to be those with maximum absorption wavelength (λ_{max}) on the blue edge of the SWIR spectral window. In this manuscript, we apply experiment and theory to answer the questions: What dictates fundamental limits on ϕ_F for narrow HOMO-LUMO gaps? Can we compare enhancement of ϕ_F due to a structural change between chromophores, independent of energy gap changes? What additional structural parameters provide a handle to overcome the current limits on ϕ_F ?

To address these questions, we must consider how the energy gap modulates the fluorescence quantum yield, or the ratio of the radiative rate (k_r) to the sum of radiative rate and nonradiative rate (k_{nr}) ,

$$
\phi_F = \frac{k_r}{k_r + k_{nr}}.\tag{1}
$$

Known energy gap laws modulate the radiative/non-radiative rate, which, when combined with Equation 1, allow us to derive an estimate of the maximum ϕ_F as a function of singlet energy gap (E_g) for any chromophore. This Energy gap law Quantum yield Master Equation (EQME) will allow us to frame changes in ϕ_F in terms of E_g *independent* parameters, such as the transition dipole moment (μ_2) , Stokes shift (E_{ST}) , and the strength of nonadiabatic coupling between excited and ground states (C).

To parametrize and assess EQME, we utilize absorption cross sections, fluorescent spectra, excited state decay rates and quantum yields for 21 related symmetric polymethine fluorophores with absorption maxima ranging from 800–1100 nm (Figure 1).^{14,15} This unique data set was acquired using sensitive superconducting nanowire single photon detectors (SNSPDs) which are capable of probing the often short excited state lifetimes of these chromophores in the SWIR, beyond the bandgap of silicon avalanche photodiodes (details in supporting information).^{15–17} Comparing our results to the quantum yield of an additional 33 reported NIR and SWIR polymethine dyes demonstrates the general applicability of EQME for determining maximum quantum yield for organic chromophores in the SWIR.

The EQME equation also allows us to develop an energy gap independent comparison methodology for SWIR chromophores, enabling practical quantitative exploration how changes within a dye scaffold can lead to improved Φ_F independent of shifts in energy. Using this method, we show electron donating groups increase relative quantum yield while red-shifting the λ_{max} for the flavylium polymethine scaffold, a relationship previously

obscured due to redshifting energy gaps. Furthermore, EQME quantifies other avenues for increasing quantum yield, such as deuteration, increasing the transition dipole moment through J-aggregation, or increasing the radiative rate through plasmonic coupling. We experimentally demonstrate such improvement through partial deuteration of the Flav7 (chromophore **3**) scaffold. Our results define a metric and roadmap for overcoming limitations in SWIR quantum yields.

Results:

In Figure 2, we show an example of the collected data used to define measured values and errors for quantum yield (ϕ_F), λ_{max} , energy gap (E_g), total rate (k_{tot}), and Stokes shift (E_{ST}). All values are reported, which were taken in DCM, in Table 1 and their measurement and fitting is detailed in Section I of the supporting information. For all derived values, we will use SI units, however the tables will report values in more conventional wavenumber units (cm−1). For convenience, the values in Table 1 can be used in each equation by converting to joules *e.g.* multiplying by $\alpha_E = 10^2 hc \frac{J}{cm^{-1}}$ or 1.986 × 10⁻²³ $\frac{J}{cm^{-1}}$). E_g is defined as ℎc

 $\frac{hc}{\lambda_{max}} - \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}E_{ST}$ (Figure 2a). From the values in Table 1, we calculate the radiative and nonradiative rates $(k_r$ and k_{nr} respectively), oscillator strengths of absorption and emission (f_{12} and f_{21}), and the excited to ground transition dipole moment (μ_{21} , μ'_{21})—calculated from the emission lifetime and absorption cross section, respectively (Table 2). The procedure for calculating these parameters is described in Section II of the supporting information and a script which goes through these calculations is provided as Data S1.

The First Energy Gap Law – Radiative Rates:

The first law relates k_t to the density of photonic modes in vacuum and the transition dipole moment (details in Section II of supporting information). Briefly, time dependent perturbation theory results in a spontaneous emission rate:

$$
k_r = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |M_{21}|^2 g(E_g),
$$
\n(2)

where M_{21} is the transition integral and $g(E_g)$ is the density of photon states that bridge the transition energy between ground and excited states ($e.g.$ Fermi's golden rule). In general, as one increases the energy gap, the density of photonic modes increases with $g(E_g) \propto E_g^2$ while the matrix element that couples a dipole allowed transition between excited and ground state increases with $|M_{21}|^2 \propto E_g$, leading to a k_r which is proportional to E_g^3 . For dipole allowed transitions in a solvent, the precise relationship in SI units is:

$$
k_r = \frac{n\mu_{21}^2}{3\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar^4 c^3} E_g^3,
$$
\n(3)

where ϵ_0 is the vacuum permittivity, and *n* is the refractive index of the solvent (*n* = 1.42 for dichloromethane).

To assess the validity of the radiative rate energy gap law across different chromophores, we must normalize each rate by the molecule's squared transition dipole moment (μ_{21}^2) as assessed by a *separate measurement*, in this case the integrated absorption cross section:¹⁸

$$
|\mu'_{21}|^2 = 3 \frac{g_1 \epsilon_0 n c}{g_2 \pi E_g} \sigma_0.
$$
 (4)

where, g_2/g_1 is the ratio of oscillator strength of polymethines of absorption and emission, respectively, or $-f_{12}/f_{21}$. We note that in polymethine chromophores, the absorption oscillator strength depends on the length of the methine bridge. For cyanine dyes with 7 methine units, prior reports show values between 2–3 and with shorter methine bridges values between 1.7–1.9 are reported.^{19–21} We therefore use the average values $g_2/g_1 = 1.6$ \pm 0.2 for 7-methines (1–16) and 1.1 \pm 0.1 for 5-methines (17–21), which though lower show a similar trend.* In Figure 3, we plot the radiative rate divided by the transition dipole moment, and compare to the following universal gap law:

$$
\frac{k_r}{\mu_{21}^2} = K_\mu \frac{n}{3\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar^4 c^3} E_g^3.
$$
\n(5)

Here, K_{μ} = 1.11 × 10⁻⁵⁹C²m²D⁻² (a conversion factor which allows us to express μ_{21} in more convenient Debye units). We observe that the transition dipole moment normalized radiative rate follows an approximate E_g^3 power law. This is consistent with the change in the density of states as a function of gap.

The Second Energy Gap Law – Nonradiative Rates:

Nonradiative rates are governed by multiple excited state loss channels including internal conversion (decay through vibrational modes), intersystem crossing (decay through an intermediate triplet state), and nuclear reorganization (decay to a lower energy molecular configuration, for example through isomerization or proton transfer).^{22–24} The *second* energy gap law states that nonradiative relaxation rates for intersystem crossing and internal conversion (k_{nn}) exponentially decrease at higher E_g . Here, we focus on singlet states and thus nonradiative decay through internal conversion, allowing us to establish a lower bound on k_{nr} for infrared chromophores.

The experimental values for nonradiative rates are compared to the expression derived by Englman and Jortner, 24 which provides the nonradiative gap law in systems that have small Stokes shifts (E_{ST}) relative to their energy gap, E_g . We present a modified expression below (derived in the supporting information Section III) which relates this equation to values found in Table 1:

^{*}We note the two primary outliers are **1** and **2** (commercially known as IR-1061 and IR-26). Both dyes have thiochromenylium derived heterocycles. Our results suggest that the presence of sulfur on the heterocycle leads to large deviations in the oscillator strength ratio from changes in f_{21} .

$$
k_{nr} = \frac{C^2 \sqrt{2\pi}}{\hbar \sqrt{E_M E_g}} \exp\left[-\frac{E_g}{E_M} \left(\ln \left(\frac{2E_g}{\gamma_M E_{ST}} \right) - 1 \right) \right].
$$
 (6)

Here, C is the non-adiabatic coupling term between singlet ground and excited states and E_M is the energy of the deactivating vibrational mode. γ_M is a parameter representing the degree to which the deactivating mode contributes to the Stokes shift given by:

$$
\gamma_M = \frac{SE_M}{E_{ST}},\tag{7}
$$

where S is the Huang-Rhys parameter for the collection of near degenerate vibrational modes at or near E_M . Over the range of energies considered, the nonradiative rate will exponentially decrease with increasing energy gap, as observed in many systems, including gold nanoclusters, 25 metal to ligand charge transfer complexes, $26,27$ aromatic thiones, 28 and platinum containing conjugated polymers.²⁹

To predict the energy gap dependence of nonradiative rates for polymethine chromophores studied here, we require semi-empirical estimates of E_M , γ_M and C. For all estimates, we will use the largest Stokes shift for 7-methine dyes in Table 1 (dye 2, E_{ST} = 298.7 cm⁻¹). E_M is the energy of the vibration which contributes most strongly to the tunneling from excited to ground state. While a more detailed derivation is provided in reference 24, conceptually the gap law arises from the overlap between ground and excited state potentials, which varies nonlinearly with the vibrational energy. If the energy gap and Stokes shift are fixed, the tunneling distance between potentials decreases with the vibrational curvature (energy) of the mode. In the limit of large E_g relative to E_{ST} , higher energy vibrations dominate contributions to the overlap integral between ground and excited states. As in reference 21, we will use E_M = 3000 cm⁻¹ for Equation 7, the approximate energy of the collection of C-H vibrational modes. In order to reinforce the assumption that the highest frequency vibrational mode would dominate the nonradiative rate, we note the linear change in $log(k_{nr})$ as a function of energy gap in Figure 4. Despite a large variance in quantum yield among these dyes, this linear trend strongly implies that energy gap law considerations dominate the nonradiative relaxation rates in the SWIR. We plot the nonradiative rate estimate from Equation 6 using the parameters described in the preceding section (E_{ST} = 298.7 cm⁻¹, C = 1623 cm^{-1}), which shows good agreement with the nonradiative rate data. We also perform a linear fit of the data from which we extract the slope, which corresponds to

$$
\frac{d \log(k_{nr})}{dE_g} = -\log(e) \left(\frac{1}{2E_g'} - \frac{\ln\left(\frac{2E_g'}{\gamma_M E_{ST}}\right)}{E_M} \right) \tag{8}
$$

For simplicity, we will use the midpoint $E'_g = 10,000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, which leads to a fit for the deactivating vibrational mode of $E_M^{fit} = 4086 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Taken together, both the agreement of the model and the slope from the linear fit agree with the apparent dominance of high-frequency

vibrational modes ($\approx 3000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, i.e. C-H stretches) in setting the non-radiative rate limit in SWIR-emitting polymethines.

Energy Gap Quantum Yield Master Equation:

We can combine Equations 1, 3 and 6 to derive EQME, which sets the maximum quantum yield of as function of energy gap, dielectric and molecular parameters:

$$
\phi_F(E_g) = \left(1 + K \frac{C^2}{n\mu_{21}^2 (E_M E_g^7)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left[-\frac{E_g}{E_M} \left(\ln \frac{2E_g}{\gamma_M E_{ST}} - 1\right)\right]\right)^{-1},\tag{9}
$$

where $K = \frac{3\epsilon_0 c^2}{(5 - \epsilon_0)^3}$ $\frac{25}{(25\pi^3)^{1/2}}$. In Figure 5, we plot the functional form of the predicted "highest"

quantum yield using $\gamma_M = 1$, $C_1 = 1623$ cm⁻¹, $\mu_{21} = 18$ D and $E_{ST} = 298.7$ cm⁻¹. We also include a more optimistic limit, using C_2 = 798 cm⁻¹ (which approximates a median value for the derivative coupling from literature on polyacenes and polyenes) $30,31$ and a smaller coupling to the high frequency stretches (γ_M = 0.5) (Figure S4 shows effects of changes C and γ_M independently). We plot both our measured quantum yields from this work and 33 additional dyes from literature reports (see Figure S5 for labeled points).4,13,38,14,15,32–37 Our results demonstrate that even under pessimistic assumptions, quantum yields of almost all observed polymethine dyes do not exceed our predicted maximum line, with an exception of the LZ series of dyes recently reported by Li et al ^{12,39} Given that our pessimistic estimation likely over-estimates the impact of nonadiabatic coupling, a few outliers can be expected. What is clear is that the model demonstrates that the precipitous falloff in quantum yields around 900 nm is an unavoidable consequence of energy gap laws applied to organic chromophores.

Comparing Chromophore Quantum Yield while Accounting for Energy Gap Changes:

It is challenging to predict how structural modifications of a chromophore will alter the quantum yield. We hypothesize that the dearth of predictive metrics (particularly in the SWIR) arises from the contribution of energy gap QY changes which disguise the underlying effects of molecular change. Using EQME, we can establish an energy-gap *independent* parameter (ξ or 'xi') to study the effect of structural changes on quantum yields within a chromophore family. As supporting information, we provide a brief video tutorial on how to calculate ξ (Video S1).

We first define a conventional improvement factor (γ or 'chi') as the fractional change in quantum yield, e.g. $\chi = \phi_b/\phi_a - 1$ ($\chi > 0$ indicates a direct improvement in ϕ_F). To create an energy gap independent metric, we first note that when $k_{nr} \gg k_r$ (e.g., when $\phi_F < 0.1$), log(ϕ_F) is approximately linear with respect to E_g changes. We therefore can extrapolate ϕ_F of a chromophore at one E_g to its equivalent value at another point in the SWIR. Comparing the extrapolated quantum yield of the standard fluorophore (**a**) to a second fluorophore (**b**) at the E_g

$$
\xi = \frac{\phi_b}{\phi_a} e^{-\kappa (E_b - E_a)} - 1 \tag{10}
$$

of **b** gives us an energy-gap independent improvement factor, ξ . Where κ is

$$
\kappa = \frac{\ln(2E_{g,a}/\gamma_M E_{ST,a})}{E_M} + \frac{7}{2E_{g,a}}\tag{11}
$$

 $\xi > 0$ indicates an improvement in the quantum yield factoring in the effect of changing the energy gap. The differences between γ and ξ are illustrated in Figure 6a; Equation 11 is derived in section V of the supporting information, with Figure S6 showing the validity of our constant κ , and section Va and Figure S7 shows a worked example using ζ .

Having established a comparative metric for SWIR fluorophore quantum yield that is independent of energy gap, we compare across heptamethine fluorophores with systematic changes at the 7-position of the flavylium ring (dyes **3, 4**, **7**–**11, 13**–**15**). Using Equation 10, we computed ξ values using the unsubstituted IR-27 (**4**) as the comparative fluorophore (i.e. fluorophore **a**). These values are in Table 3 (see Figure S8 for all values plotted). The ξ parameter reveals large energy gap independent changes in quantum yield hidden in the direct improvement factor.

Using ξ we sought to correlate the energy gap independent improvement factor with the Hammett σ_m parameter.⁴⁰ Prior work demonstrated that the absorption and emission maximum correlated well to $\sigma_m (R^2 = 0.96)$; however, the quantum yield showed no direct correlation.¹⁴ In Figure 6b, we show no correlation between σ_m and χ (blue line, $R^2 = 0.015$). However, when ξ is plotted against σ_m values, a linear correlation emerges (Figure 6b, red line, $R^2 = 0.757$). Our results suggest that electron donation enhances the quantum yield. In Figure 6c, we show that transition dipole moment, μ_{21} , also increases with decreasing $\sigma_m (R^2 = 0.452)$. We therefore hypothesize that electron donating groups appended to the heterocycle functionally increase the delocalization length of the excitation, leading to redshifting chromophores and larger transition dipole moments. The redshift induced by adding electron donating groups would lead to lower quantum yields, but the effect is partially compensated by increasing transition dipole moment, and thus increased quantum yields.

Overcoming energy gap laws:

The EQME suggests pathways to directly improve the quantum yield of organic chromophores through changes in radiative and nonradiative rates (Figure 7a). To alter the radiative rate of the chromophore, one can either A) alter the transition dipole moment, μ_{12} , or B) control the local photon density of states ($g(E_g)$). For (A), a potential approach is molecular J-aggregation in which coupled chromophores collectively interact with an electric field, resulting in superradiant emission.⁴¹ Furthermore, J-aggregation has the advantage of both modulating the radiative rate and redshifting the absorption and emission. Indeed, several groups have had success in using this strategy to access highly redshifted organic chromophores though superradiance has not been shown.^{42,43} Sun et al.

demonstrated that FD-1080 J-aggregates when encapsulated in a phospholipid nanoparticle and has a λ_{max} of 1370 nm and a quantum yield of 5.45 × 10⁻⁴ compared to the monomer values of 1046 nm and $\phi_F = 3.1 \times 10^{-3}$ in ethanol.^{34,43} The aggregate thus has a χ value of -0.8 , but a ξ value of 7.3, demonstrating that energy gap laws can obscure improvements in molecular photophysics. For (B), the most common path discussed is through incorporation of photonic cavities or coupling to plasmonic nanoparticles.44–46 Historically, microdroplets have been shown to modulate the radiative rate; for example, Rhodamine-6G showed an improvement of 2 in smaller droplet compared to larger droplets, including a change in fluorescence rate.44,45 Srinivasan and Ramamurthy showed that Rhodamine-6G in cermet nanocavities had greater than 50-fold fluorescence enhancement.47 Though these pathways are promising, the impact of plasmonic/photonic modifications may also simultaneously increase nonradiative rates.48–50

For nonradiative rates, the highest vibrational frequency plays a large role in setting k_{nr} , typically the alkenyl C-H stretch at 3000 cm−1. Complete substitution of H for D would change the highest vibrational energy to 2200 cm^{-1} . Assuming no change in the Huang-Rhys parameter ($S = 0.1$) we predict a maximum ~60 fold enhancement in quantum yield, using the pessimistic assumptions (Figure 7a, ~40 under optimistic assumptions (Figure S9)). Prior work on iridium complexes, 51 benzene , 52 oxazine , $53 \text{ and small molecule}$ for blue LEDs⁵⁴ also demonstrated increased quantum yields with deuteration suggesting deactivation through these modes is a common feature in chromophores.

To test the effect of deuteration on polymethine chromophores, we synthesized two partially deuterated Flav7 (**3**), derivatives, **3'** and **3"** (structures in Figure 7b, synthetic details in supporting information section VI). We hypothesized that partial deuteration will only have a modest effect on the nonradiative rate by decreasing the collective Huang-Rhys parameter of the highest energy mode. Measuring absorption, quantum yield, and time resolved photoluminescence lifetime we observe that dyes **3'** and **3"** have nearly identical absorption and emission spectra (Figure S10) but may display a slight quantum yield enhancement. While the changes of quantum yield and radiative rate changes are within the error of the measurement, the change in k_{tot} and k_{nr} are significant ($p < 0.05$ for both compared to dye **3**, Figure 7c, and details in section S5). The trend suggests that further deuteration may significantly enhance ϕ_F .

Discussion:

Every chromophore system is subject to the same energy gap laws described above, however, our data suggests that polymethine dyes have some of the best intrinsic properties for SWIR absorption and emission, including high transition dipole moments and small Stokes shifts.⁵⁵ To show this in comparison to other chromophores, we plot the energy where $k_r = k_{nr}$ (or $\phi_F = 0.5$) for fixed C, but variable μ_{12} and E_{ST} in Figure 8. For simplicity, we assume the Stokes shift largely arises due to coupling to the 3000 cm−1 C-H stretches (details in SI section VII). We then overlay the transition dipole moments and Stokes shifts of other common dye classes.56–59 Within this model our results suggest that common chromophore scaffolds (e.g. BODIPY and xanthene derivatives such as fluorescein) may be challenging to shift into the SWIR while retaining high quantum yields, though squaraines

provide a potential avenue for further exploration. Donor acceptor dyes with D-A-D structures or A-D-A fused ring structures have SWIR ϕ_F emission at 0.03 and are intriguing given their large Stokes shift (1000 – 3000 cm⁻¹), which would seem to be deleterious to the quantum yield.^{8,60,61} However, the E_g of these SWIR emitting chromophores are bluer than other scaffolds. For example, COTIC-4F in toluene absorbs at 832 nm and emits at 915 nm with $\phi_F = 0.055$, and an E_{ϕ} of 11474 cm⁻¹. Its E_{ϕ} is thus comparable to Dye 17, (11468) cm−1) which has a similar quantum yield of 0.061, however, Dye **17** absorbs at 863 nm and emits at 883 nm.⁶¹ Therefore, one might trade stokes shifts in order to have larger energy gaps, and thus higher quantum yields.

Even with these favorable properties, the energy gap laws imply emission quantum yields of NIR/SWIR organic fluorophores will remain around 3% or less unless fundamental changes to the radiative and non-radiative pathways are realized. Stated succinctly, a chromophore is only as good as its worst non-radiative decay pathway. For visible chromophores, chemists have developed powerful tools to systematically improve quantum yields (e.g. rigidification), but these decay channels are no longer the limiting pathways for NIR/ SWIR chromophores, with the vibrational relaxation as the limiting pathway. For example, conformationally restricted cyanine dyes (the CyB class) has shown dramatic increases to ϕ_F in the visible (e.g 0.09 to 0.85 for Cy3 and Cy3B^{62–64}, 0.15 to 0.69 for Cy5 and Cy5B)⁶⁵ but very small changes in the NIR (0.24 to 0.29 for Cy7 and Cy7B)²⁰ Though all have a decrease in Stokes shift with rigidification, they also notice less impact of viscosity of solvent in Cy7 versus Cy5 indicative less impact of torsional rotation.^{20,65} The decreased stokes shift does suggest that the contribution of the highest frequency mode to the stokes shift is not 1, and adds credence to the optimistic limit in EQME. Nevertheless, high frequency deactivation does appear to be the limiting factor. In the NIR/SWIR, decreased energy gaps lead to short tunneling barriers and concomitant high k_{nr} . Eliminating these pathways requires fundamentally altering the high-frequency vibronic manifold (through deuteration or fluorination), or short circuiting the radiative pathways for the chromophore. On the other hand, deuteration may not significantly improve quantum yields in the visible, as other nonradiative pathways govern excited state dissipation. Energy gap law analysis may help determine the theoretical maximum quantum yield, and help researchers decide the appropriate path toward systematic quantum yield improvement.

We find that SWIR chromophores are deactivated via omnipresent vibronic coupling which directly connects ground and excited states through tunneling, mediated by C-H stretches. However, identifying precisely which C-H stretches should be modified remains an open question. Recent work by Hirata *et al.* on deuteration of $N_{N}N'$ -diphenyl- $N_{N}N'$ -(3-methyl phenyl)-1,1′-biphenyl-4,4′-diamine suggests that the location of the deuteration will have differential effects on the vibronic manifold, suggesting that some stretches are privileged in dissipative dynamics.⁵⁴ Further supporting this view, systems with high quantum yields in the SWIR (Pb and Hg chalcogenide nanocrystals, lanthanide f-orbital centers), have transitions which couple mostly to low-frequency phonon modes, i.e. $E_M a_E$ = 300 cm⁻¹,⁹ consistent with higher QYs and considerably weaker direct nonradiative decay pathways. Mode-specific chemical transformations should be further explored as a pathway to improve quantum yields.

Many studies have made note of the deleterious effect of water as a solvent for quantum yields.^{66,67} EQME only considers solvent refractive index and its effect on radiative rates (water has a lower refractive index of 1.33 vs 1.41 for DCM, resulting in a small decrease in radiative rates and quantum yields). However, this is insufficient to explain both the magnitude of quenching in water compared to other solvents, and the effect of deuterated water which increases quantum yields. Recent work has suggested that FRET into overtone vibrational bands of O-H stretches can impact quantum yields.^{66,68} This is supported by prior work on SWIR emissive quantum dots⁶⁷ and preliminary data in section SVIII. This latter solvent effect is an additional deactivation pathway so for most cases the trend from EQME that we see in organics will transfer into water and other biologically relevant solvents. Still, further research exploring the effect of solvent environment in the SWIR will be fruitful.

Conclusion

To make systematic improvements to SWIR chromophores, we first explore the validity of energy gap laws for radiative and nonradiative rates and apply it to analyze a large data set of NIR/SWIR polymethine dyes. We derive an energy gap quantum yield master equation which demonstrates that the precipitous drop in quantum yields in the SWIR is consistent with the exponentially increasing nonradiative decay rates and decreasing radiative rates, with the former mediated by the presence of high frequency vibrational modes. Energy gap laws must be considered when comparing NIR/SWIR chromophores as improvements to quantum yield are directly correlated to the energy gap. By creating energy gap neutral comparators, we elucidate the impact of simple structural derivatives on quantum yield. We thus assess the natural limits of quantum yield in chromophores and provide a path forward in the inverse design problem. The presence of organic alkenyl C-H stretches likely limits the maximum possible quantum yield for SWIR emitters, but our preliminary results suggest that deuteration and judicious chromophore design may provide a path forward. We believe that a general and unified framework will enable the design of novel SWIR chromophore systems beyond the polymethine chromophore class and enable rational optimization of fluorescence in these systems.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments:

This work was supported by NSF CHE grant no. CHE-1905242, CHE-1945572, NIBIB grant no. 1R01EB027172, and instrumentation grants NSF CHE-1048804 and NIH 1S10OD016387. HCF thanks the SG Fellowship and UCLA Graduate Council Diversity Fellowship. EDC thanks NSF GFRP DGE-1144087 and the Foote Family. JRC thanks the Research Corporation Cottrell Fellowship. The authors would like to thank Arundhati Deshmukh, Anthony Sica and Ash Hua for assistance in data collection and figures.

References

1. Hong G, Antaris AL, and Dai H (2017). Near-infrared fluorophores for biomedical imaging. Nat. Biomed. Eng 1, 0010.

- 2. Lautenschläger G, Gessner R, Gockel W, Haas C, Schweickert G, Bursch S, Welsch M, and Sontag H (2013). Sentinel-2: next generation satellites for optical land observation from space. In Sensors, Systems, and Next-Generation Satellites XVII, Meynart R, Neeck SP, and Shimoda H, eds., p. 88890L.
- 3. Carr JA, Valdez TA, Bruns OT, and Bawendi MG (2016). Using the shortwave infrared to image middle ear pathologies. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A 113, 9989–9994. [PubMed: 27551085]
- 4. Cosco ED, Caram JR, Bruns OT, Franke D, Day RA, Farr EP, Bawendi MG, and Sletten EM (2017). Flavylium Polymethine Fluorophores for Near- and Shortwave Infrared Imaging. Angew. Chemie Int. Ed 56, 13126–13129.
- 5. Bruns OT, Bischof TS, Harris DK, Franke D, Shi Y, Riedemann L, Bartelt A, Jaworski FB, Carr JA, Rowlands CJ, et al. (2017). Next-generation in vivo optical imaging with short-wave infrared quantum dots. Nat. Biomed. Eng 1, 0056. [PubMed: 29119058]
- 6. Carr JA, Franke D, Caram JR, Perkinson CF, Saif M, Askoxylakis V, Datta M, Fukumura D, Jain RK, Bawendi MG, et al. (2018). Shortwave infrared fluorescence imaging with the clinically approved near-infrared dye indocyanine green. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci 115, 4465–4470. [PubMed: 29626132]
- 7. Kutila M, Pyykonen P, Holzhuter H, Colomb M, and Duthon P (2018). Automotive LiDAR performance verification in fog and rain. In IEEE Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems, Proceedings, ITSC (Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc.), pp. 1695–1701.
- 8. Wan H, Du H, Wang F, and Dai H (2019). Molecular Imaging in the Second Near‐Infrared Window. Adv. Funct. Mater 29, 1900566. [PubMed: 31885529]
- 9. Semonin OE, Johnson JC, Luther JM, Midgett AG, Nozik AJ, and Beard MC (2010). Absolute photoluminescence quantum yields of IR-26 Dye, PbS, and PbSe quantum dots. J. Phys. Chem. Lett 1, 2445–2450.
- 10. Hatami S, Würth C, Kaiser M, Leubner S, Gabriel S, Bahrig L, Lesnyak V, Pauli J, Gaponik N, Eychmüller A, et al. (2015). Absolute photoluminescence quantum yields of IR26 and IR-emissive Cd1-xHgxTe and PbS quantum dots-method- and material-inherent challenges. Nanoscale 7, 133– 143. [PubMed: 25407424]
- 11. Hu JY, Ning Y, Meng YS, Zhang J, Wu ZY, Gao S, and Zhang JL (2017). Highly near-IR emissive ytterbium(iii) complexes with unprecedented quantum yields. Chem. Sci 8, 2702–2709. [PubMed: 28694956]
- 12. Li B, Zhao M, Feng L, Dou C, Ding S, Zhou G, Lu L, Zhang H, Chen F, Li X, et al. (2020). Organic NIR-II molecule with long blood half-life for in vivo dynamic vascular imaging. Nat. Commun 11, 3102. [PubMed: 32555157]
- 13. Ding B, Xiao Y, Zhou H, Zhang X, Qu C, Xu F, Deng Z, Cheng Z, and Hong X Polymethine Thiopyrylium Fluorophores with Absorption beyond 1000 nm for Biological Imaging in the Second Near-Infrared Subwindow. J. Med. Chem 62, 2049–2059.
- 14. Cosco ED, Spearman AL, Ramakrishnan S, Lingg JGP, Saccomano M, Pengshung M, Arús BA, Wong KCY, Glasl S, Ntziachristos V, et al. (2020). Shortwave infrared polymethine fluorophores matched to excitation lasers enable non-invasive, multicolour in vivo imaging in real time. Nat. Chem 12, 1123–1130. [PubMed: 33077925]
- 15. Cosco ED, Arús BA, Spearman AL, Atallah TL, Lim I, Leland OS, Caram JR, Bischof TS, Bruns OT, and Sletten EM (2021). Bright Chromenylium Polymethine Dyes Enable Fast, Four-Color in Vivo Imaging with Shortwave Infrared Detection. J. Am. Chem. Soc 143, 6836–6846. [PubMed: 33939921]
- 16. Mattioli F, Zhou Z, Gaggero A, Tanner MG, San L, Alvarez E, Jiang W, Subashchandran S, Okamoto R, Zhang L, et al. (2012). Superconducting nanowire single-photon detectors: physics and applications. Supercond. Sci. Technol. Supercond. Sci. Technol 25, 63001–16.
- 17. Atallah TL, Sica AV, Shin AJ, Friedman HC, Kahrobai YK, and Caram JR (2019). Decay-Associated Fourier Spectroscopy: Visible to Shortwave Infrared Time-Resolved Photoluminescence Spectra. J. Phys. Chem. A 123, 6792–6798. [PubMed: 31288509]
- 18. Hilborn RC (1982). Einstein coefficients, cross sections, f values, dipole moments, and all that. Am. J. Phys 50, 982–986.
- 19. Štacková L, Muchová E, Russo M, Slavíček P, Štacko P, and Klán P (2020). Deciphering the Structure–Property Relations in Substituted Heptamethine Cyanines. J. Org. Chem 85, 9776–9790. [PubMed: 32697591]
- 20. Matikonda SS, Hammersley G, Kumari N, Grabenhorst L, Glembockyte V, Tinnefeld P, Ivanic J, Levitus M, and Schnermann MJ (2020). Impact of Cyanine Conformational Restraint in the Near-Infrared Range. J. Org. Chem 85, 5907–5915. [PubMed: 32275153]
- 21. Karaca S, and Elmacı N (2011). A computational study on the excited state properties of a cationic cyanine dye: TTBC. Comput. Theor. Chem 964, 160–168.
- 22. Bixon M, and Jortner J (1968). Intramolecular Radiationless Transitions. J. Chem. Phys 48, 715– 726.
- 23. Shi J, Izquierdo MA, Oh S, Park SY, Milián-Medina B, Roca-Sanjuán D, and Gierschner J (2019). Inverted energy gap law for the nonradiative decay in fluorescent floppy molecules: larger fluorescence quantum yields for smaller energy gaps. Org. Chem. Front 6, 1948–1954.
- 24. Englman R, and Jortner J (1970). The energy gap law for radiationless transitions in large molecules. Mol. Phys 18, 145–164.
- 25. Kwak K, Thanthirige VD, Pyo K, Lee D, and Ramakrishna G (2017). Energy Gap Law for Exciton Dynamics in Gold Cluster Molecules. J. Phys. Chem. Lett 8, 4898–4905. [PubMed: 28933858]
- 26. Caspar JV, and Meyer TJ (1983). Application of the energy gap law to nonradiative, excited-state decay. J. Phys. Chem 87, 952–957.
- 27. Kober EM, Caspar JV, Lumpkin RS, and Meyer TJ (1986). Application of the energy gap law to excited-state decay of osmium(II)-polypyridine complexes: Calculation of relative nonradiative decay rates from emission spectral profiles. J. Phys. Chem 90, 3722–3734.
- 28. Maciejewski A, Safarzadeh-Amiri A, Verrall RE, and Steer RP (1984). Radiationless decay of the second excited singlet states of aromatic thiones: Experimental verification of the energy gap law. Chem. Phys 87, 295–303.
- 29. Wilson JS, Chawdhury N, Al-Mandhary MRA, Younus M, Khan MS, Raithby PR, Köhler A, and Friend RH (2001). The energy gap law for triplet states in pt-containing conjugated polymers and monomers. J. Am. Chem. Soc 123, 9412–9417. [PubMed: 11562224]
- 30. Hochstrasser RM, and Marzzacco C (1968). Perturbations between electronic states in aromatic and heteroaromatic molecules. J. Chem. Phys 49, 971–984.
- 31. Zerbetto F, Zgierski MZ, Orlandi G, and Marconi G (1987). Vibronic coupling in polyenes and their derivatives. Interpretation of the absorption and emission spectra of a derivative of dodecahexaene. J. Chem. Phys 87, 2505–2512.
- 32. Rurack K, and Spieles M (2011). Fluorescence Quantum Yields of a Series of Red and Near-Infrared Dyes Emitting at 600−1000 nm. Anal. Chem 83, 1232–1242. [PubMed: 21250654]
- 33. Ayala-Orozco C, Liu JG, Knight MW, Wang Y, Day JK, Nordlander P, and Halas NJ (2014). Fluorescence enhancement of molecules inside a gold nanomatryoshka. Nano Lett 14, 2926–2933. [PubMed: 24738706]
- 34. Li B, Lu L, Zhao M, Lei Z, and Zhang F (2018). An Efficient 1064 nm NIR-II Excitation Fluorescent Molecular Dye for Deep-Tissue High-Resolution Dynamic Bioimaging. Angew. Chemie Int. Ed 57, 7483–7487.
- 35. Wang S, Fan Y, Li D, Sun C, Lei Z, Lu L, Wang T, and Zhang F (2019). Anti-quenching NIR-II molecular fluorophores for in vivo high-contrast imaging and pH sensing. Nat. Commun 10, 1058. [PubMed: 30837470]
- 36. Shi Y, Yuan W, Liu Q, Kong M, Li Z, Feng W, Hu K, and Li F (2019). Development of Polyene-Bridged Hybrid Rhodamine Fluorophores for High-Resolution NIR-II Imaging. ACS Mater. Lett, 418–424.
- 37. Lei Z, Sun C, Pei P, Wang S, Li D, Zhang X, and Zhang F (2019). Stable, Wavelength-Tunable Fluorescent Dyes in the NIR-II Region for In Vivo High-Contrast Bioimaging and Multiplexed Biosensing. Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed 58, 8166–8171.
- 38. Russin TJ, Altino lu E, Adair JH, and Eklund PC (2010). Measuring the fluorescent quantum efficiency of indocyanine green encapsulated in nanocomposite particulates. J. Phys. Condens. Matter 22, 334217. [PubMed: 21386507]

- 39. Zhang F, Li B, and Zhao M (2019). Fluorescent dye excited/emitted by second infrared window and preparation method thereof
- 40. Hansch C, Leo A, and Taft RW (1991). A Survey of Hammett Substituent Constants and Resonance and Field Parameters
- 41. Kasha M, Rawls HR, and El-Bayoumi MA (1965). The Exciton Model In Molecular Spectroscopy. Pure Appl. Chem
- 42. Chen W, Cheng CA, Cosco ED, Ramakrishnan S, Lingg JGP, Bruns OT, Zink JI, and Sletten EM (2019). Shortwave Infrared Imaging with J-Aggregates Stabilized in Hollow Mesoporous Silica Nanoparticles. J. Am. Chem. Soc 141, 12475–12480. [PubMed: 31353894]
- 43. Sun C, Li B, Zhao M, Wang S, Lei Z, Lu L, Zhang H, Feng L, Dou C, Yin D, et al. (2019). J-Aggregates of Cyanine Dye for NIR-II in Vivo Dynamic Vascular Imaging beyond 1500 nm. J. Am. Chem. Soc 141, 19221–19225. [PubMed: 31746598]
- 44. Symes R, Sayer RM, and Reid JP (2004). Cavity enhanced droplet spectroscopy: Principles, perspectives and prospects. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys 6, 474–487.
- 45. Barnes MD, Whitten WB, and Ramsey JM (1994). Enhanced fluorescence yields through cavity quantum-electrodynamic effects in microdroplets. J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 11, 1297.
- 46. Lu X, Ye G, Punj D, Chiechi RC, and Orrit M (2020). Quantum Yield Limits for the Detection of Single-Molecule Fluorescence Enhancement by a Gold Nanorod. ACS Photonics 7, 2498–2505.
- 47. Srinivasan V, and Ramamurthy SS (2016). Purcell Factor: A Tunable Metric for Plasmon-Coupled Fluorescence Emission Enhancements in Cermet Nanocavities. J. Phys. Chem C 120, 2908–2913.
- 48. Avramenko G, A., and Rury S, A. (2020). Quantum Control of Ultrafast Internal Conversion Using Nanoconfined Virtual Photons. J. Phys. Chem. Lett 11, 1013–1021. [PubMed: 31951414]
- 49. Ulusoy S, I., Gomez A, J., and Vendrell O (2019). Modifying the Nonradiative Decay Dynamics through Conical Intersections via Collective Coupling to a Cavity Mode. J. Phys. Chem. A 123, 8832–8844. [PubMed: 31536346]
- 50. Humeniuk A, Mitri R, and Bona i -Koutecký V (2020). Size Dependence of Non-Radiative Decay Rates in J-Aggregates. J. Phys. Chem. A 124, 10143–10151. [PubMed: 33245238]
- 51. Abe T, Miyazawa A, Konno H, and Kawanishi Y (2010). Deuteration isotope effect on nonradiative transition of fac-tris (2-phenylpyridinato) iridium (III) complexes. Chem. Phys. Lett 491, 199–202.
- 52. Guttman C, and Rice SA (1974). Fluorescence lifetimes of individual vibronic levels of partially deuterated benzenes: A further test of the theory of radiationless processes. J. Chem. Phys 61, 651–660.
- 53. Kusinski M, Nagesh J, Gladkikh M, Izmaylov AF, and Jockusch RA (2019). Deuterium isotope effect in fluorescence of gaseous oxazine dyes. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys 21, 5759–5770. [PubMed: 30801583]
- 54. Hirata S, Totani K, Watanabe T, Kaji H, and Vacha M (2014). Relationship between room temperature phosphorescence and deuteration position in a purely aromatic compound. Chem. Phys. Lett 591, 119–125.
- 55. Bai L, Sun P, Liu Y, Zhang H, Hu W, Zhang W, Liu Z, Fan Q, Li L, and Huang W (2019). Novel aza-BODIPY based small molecular NIR-II fluorophores for: In vivo imaging. Chem. Commun 55, 10920–10923.
- 56. Chung P-H, Tregidgo C, and Suhling K (2016). Determining a fluorophore's transition dipole moment from fluorescence lifetime measurements in solvents of varying refractive index. Methods Appl. Fluoresc 4, 045001. [PubMed: 28192304]
- 57. Marciniak H, Auerhammer N, Ricker S, Schmiedel A, Holzapfel M, and Lambert C (2019). Reduction of the Fluorescence Transition Dipole Moment by Excitation Localization in a Vibronically Coupled Squaraine Dimer. J. Phys. Chem. C 123, 3426–3432.
- 58. Fron E, Coutiño-Gonzalez E, Pandey L, Sliwa M, Van Der Auweraer M, De Schryver FC, Thomas J, Dong Z, Leen V, Smet M, et al. (2009). Synthesis and photophysical characterization of chalcogen substituted BODIPY dyes. New J. Chem 33, 1490–1496.
- 59. Zhang XF, Zhang J, and Liu L (2014). Fluorescence properties of twenty fluorescein derivatives: Lifetime, quantum yield, absorption and emission spectra. J. Fluoresc 24, 819–826. [PubMed: 24510430]

- 60. Yang Q, Hu Z, Zhu S, Ma R, Ma H, Ma Z, Wan H, Zhu T, Jiang Z, Liu W, et al. (2018). Donor Engineering for NIR-II Molecular Fluorophores with Enhanced Fluorescent Performance. J. Am. Chem. Soc 140, 1715–1724. [PubMed: 29337545]
- 61. Zhu X, Liu C, Hu Z, Liu H, Wang J, Wang Y, Wang X, Ma R, Zhang X, Sun H, et al. (2020). High brightness NIR-II nanofluorophores based on fused-ring acceptor molecules. Nano Res 13, 2570–2575.
- 62. Cooper M, Ebner A, Briggs M, Burrows M, Gardner N, Richardson R, and West R (2004). Cy3B TM : Improving the Performance of Cyanine Dyes
- 63. Sanborn ME, Connolly BK, Gurunathan K, and Levitus M (2007). Fluorescence properties and photophysics of the sulfoindocyanine Cy3 linked covalently to DNA. J. Phys. Chem. B 111, 11064–11074. [PubMed: 17718469]
- 64. Waggoner AS, and Mujumdar RB (1998). Rigidized trimethine cyanine dyes
- 65. Michie MS, Götz R, Franke C, Bowler M, Kumari N, Magidson V, Levitus M, Loncarek J, Sauer M, and Schnermann MJ (2017). Cyanine Conformational Restraint in the Far-Red Range. J. Am. Chem. Soc 139, 12406–12409. [PubMed: 28862842]
- 66. Maillard J, Klehs K, Rumble C, Vauthey E, Heilemann M, and Fürstenberg A (2021). Universal quenching of common fluorescent probes by water and alcohols. Chem. Sci 12, 1352–1362.
- 67. Wen Q, Kershaw V, S., Kalytchuk S, Zhovtiuk O, Reckmeier C, Vasilevskiy I, M., and Rogach L, A. (2016). Impact of D2O/H2O Solvent Exchange on the Emission of HgTe and CdTe Quantum Dots: Polaron and Energy Transfer Effects. ACS Nano 10, 4301–4311. [PubMed: 26958866]
- 68. Aharoni A, Oron D, Banin U, Rabani E, and Jortner J (2008). Long-range electronic-to-vibrational energy transfer from nanocrystals to their surrounding matrix environment. Phys. Rev. Lett 100.

Highlights:

- **•** Combined energy gap law predicts declining quantum yields in redshifted chromophores
- **•** Ability to compare quantum yields while considering redshifts among related dyes
- **•** Molecular design principles toward increasing quantum yields in shortwave infrared.

Bigger Picture:

Bright near and shortwave infrared (NIR: 700–1000 SWIR: 1000–2000 nm) molecular and nanoscale emitters are vital toward applications ranging from deep tissue imaging to new photonic materials. However, all reported organic chromophores with energy gaps in the SWIR have very low quantum yields. Is there a fundamental limit for the quantum yield of organic chromophores in the SWIR? We formulate an energy gap master equation using experiment and theory to explain the precipitous fall in quantum yields as one redshifts into the infrared. We create an energy gap independent improvement factor, so we can uncover what synthetic modifications impact parameters important to the quantum yield. We additionally show that rational design changes based off EQME improved quantum yields. These insights will enable optimal chromophore designs for SWIR fluorescence.

\int_a^a counterion = CI

Figure 1: Chromophores studied in this manuscript.

 (a/b) Laser dyes IR-1061 (a) and IR-26 (b). (c/d) Flavylium and chromenylium heptamethine (c) and pentamethine (d) fluorophores.

Figure 2: Representative plots of photophysical measurements used to determine energy law constants.

a) Absorption and emission spectra for dye **3** (Flav7) in dichloromethane is plotted. λ_max is defined as the maximum absorption point, the E_{ST} is defined as the difference between absorption and emission maxima and σ_0 is the integrated absorption cross section. E_g is the midpoint between maximum absorption and emission values. b) Fluorescent lifetime measurement for 3, instrument response function (IRF), and the data fit curve.

Figure 3: Polymethines follow the radiative rate energy gap law.

Radiative rates from Table 2 divided by transition dipole moment from Equation 4 for heptamethines (blue) and pentamethines (red). Line represents Equation 5, the radiative rate gap law normalized to transition dipole moment, allowing us to compare the dyes independent of the specific molecular parameters. Data are represented as value±SEM.

Figure 4: k_{nr} are governed by high frequency vibrational modes E_M > 3000 cm^{-1} . Nonradiative rates from Table 2 plotted against linear fit of $log(k_{nr})$, and Equation 6, evaluated using the parameters described in the text. . Data are represented as value±SEM.

Figure 5: EQME provides consistent upper bounds for SWIR quantum yields. Comparison of quantum yields of 54 NIR and SWIR polymethine chromophores to the prediction of EQME (Equation 9). See Figure S5 and Table S2 for the labels points for chromophores **1–21** and chromophores from the literature.

Figure 6: Energy gap free QY comparator, ξ **uncovers a linear free energy relationship.** a) Example of the difference between $ξ$ and $χ$ b) $ξ$ shows correlation with Hammett parameter while χ shows negligible correlation. X through points denotes dye 9 which is excluded from all fits as done in ref. 14. c) There is a negative correlation between Hammett parameter σ_m and transition dipole moment. Data are represented as value±SEM.

Figure 7: Deuteration and increased transition dipole moment enhances PLQY in SWIR. a) Ratiometric enhancement in quantum yield as a function of energy gap for different strategies for circumventing the energy gap laws including complete deuteration of the alkenyl CH stretches (blue), 50 percent deuteration (purple), increasing the transition dipole moment by 5 and 2 (red and yellow). b) Structures of dye **3**' and **3"**. c) Deuteration on the polymethine scaffold increases but not significantly quantum yield, decreases total rate, has negligible effect on radiative rate, and decreases nonradiative rate. Data are represented as value±SEM based on confidence interval from lifetime fit (See SVId for more details). The asterisk indicates that the difference between dyes is significant $p < 0.05$.

Friedman et al. Page 25

Figure 8: 50% Quantum Yield Energy Map.

Solving for EQME=0.5 using two varying μ_{21} and E_{ST} , demonstrates that high transition dipole moments and low Stokes shifts are necessary for scaffolds to have SWIR fluorescence. The predicted range for different dye scaffolds are in boxes as follows: polymethine (PM., orange), squaraine (Sq., teal), BODIPY (BDP., blue), and fluorescein (xanthene) (Fluor., purple).

Table 1:

Dye ϕ_F (\times 10⁻²)</sub> $\frac{E_g}{\alpha_E}$ (cm⁻¹) λ_{max} (nm) k_{tot} (× 10⁸) k_{tot} (× 10⁸ s⁻¹) σ_0 (× 10⁻³⁹ m²**J**) $\frac{\epsilon_{\text{S1}}}{\alpha_E}$ (cm⁻¹) 1 0.32 ± 0.01 9276 1063 147 ± 2 3.25 ± 0.03 258.2 2 0.05 ± .03 9107 1080 490 ± 20 2.35 ± 0.04 298.7 3 0.61 ± 0.02 9603 1027 147 ± 2 2.68 ± 0.01 267.7 4 0.35 ± 0.01 10011 987 192 ± 4 2.37 \pm 0.04 240.5 5 1.61 ± 0.02 10148 975 66.2 ± 0.4 2.63 ± 0.05 216.3 6 1.70 ± 0.04 10128 977 68.6 ± 0.5 2.58 ± 0.03 215.4 7 0.62 ± 0.02 9560 1033 144 ± 2 2.07 ± 0.07 237.7 8 0.51 ± 0.02 9585 1029 160 ± 3 2.86 ± 0.05 266.37 9 0.58 ± 0.02 9414 1047 147 ± 2 2.76 \pm 0.01 274.7 10 0.48 ± 0.02 9548 1034 151 ± 2 2.91 ± 0.01 246.1 11 0.54 ± 0.01 9571 1030 151 ± 2 2.48 ± 0.09 274.8 $12 \begin{array}{|l} 0.45 \pm 0.01 \end{array}$ 9668 1021 161 ± 3 1.46 ± 0.08 252.3 13 0.42 ± 0.02 9902 998 169 ± 3 1.16 ± 0.02 235.3 14 0.52 ± 0.01 10042 984 155 ± 2 2.03 ± 0.03 242.0 15 0.46 ± 0.01 9308 1061 180 ± 3 2.59 ± 0.02 233.9 16 | 1.58 ± 0.02 | 9814 | 1007 | 84.7 ± 0.7 | 2.51 ± 0.05 | 240.1 $17 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 6.1 \pm 0.1 & 11468 & 862 & 32.2 \pm 0.1 & 3.20 \pm 0.04 & 276.9 \ \hline \end{array}$ 18 28 ± 2 12086 819 9.79 \pm 0.01 3.46 \pm 0.05 248.3 19 $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 28.3 \pm 0.5 & 12077 & 819 & 9.49 \pm 0.01 & 2.83 \pm 0.05 & 262.6 \hline \end{array}$ 20 5.3 ± 0.02 10980 897 33.8 \pm 0.1 2.66 \pm 0.03 337.5 21 | 18.3 ± 0.4 | 11602 | 852 | 13 ± 0.1 | 3.67 ± 0.03 | 269.2 $3'$ 0.63 ± 0.03 9626 1027 141 ± 2 4.35 ± 0.07 240.5 $3''$ 0.66 \pm 0.05 9626 1027 139 \pm 2 4.40 \pm 0.12 240.5

Experimentally Derived Values for the Energy Gap Las

Table 2:

Calculated Values for Dyes Studied

a For 1–16 (17–21), *g***2**/*g***1** = **1**. **6**± **0**. **2**(**1**. **1**± **0**. **1**)

Table 3:

Enhancement of Dyes Compared to Dye 4 (IR-27)

 α included in figure 6