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INTRODUCTION

Legislators at both the federal and state levels have recently attacked the Planned Parenthood organization. Some legislators have requested a massive audit of the organization, while others have demanded the total defunding of the organization. The debate has sparked a firestorm of controversy, with staunch advocates of the organization responding with strong words.

Senators Richard Blumenthal, Barbara Boxer, and Patty Murray, in a letter signed by eight other Senators, wrote:

> At a time in our country when women rely on Planned Parenthood more than ever for essential health care, this invasive and baseless investigation is all the more reprehensible, and is an abuse of your oversight responsibilities. We urge you to immediately cease this investigation. . . . [It] amounts to no more than a witch hunt, and is a waste of resources at a time when the American people have asked that Congress come together and focus on job creation and economic growth.

However, this is not the first time that the organization has been attacked.

The Planned Parenthood organization has always been subject to critique since its inception as the Birth Control League, led by Margaret Sanger. Furthermore, the legal system has played a role in limiting the organization’s ability to function. However, despite placing limitations on Planned Parenthood, the court system has also served as a shield for the organization.

Engrained in the Planned Parenthood debate are women’s rights concerns, and reproductive rights considerations. However,

---


6. See generally Miriam Reed, Margaret Sanger: Her Life in Her Words (2003) (providing a first-hand account of Sanger’s battle to bring contraceptives to every woman).


8. See generally Angela Franks, Margaret Sanger’s Eugenic Legacy: The Control of Female Fertility (2005).
these concerns have been downgraded by the organization’s detractors. Planned Parenthood detractors fail to see the need for the organization in terms of providing preventative care, and other forms of necessary healthcare.9 Instead, critics primarily portray Planned Parenthood as an abortion provider.10 Planned Parenthood does provide abortion services, but the organization is quick to note that such services only constitute three percent of the organization’s operations and are not federally funded.11 The other ninety-seven percent of the organization’s services are family planning, pap smears, immunizations, cancer screening, sexually transmitted disease testing, and other forms of preventative care.12 Consequently, the organization is essential for some women who lack other sources of healthcare. Planned Parenthood clinics operate in rural areas and serve women who otherwise would be unable to see a doctor.13 As such, the organization does more good than detractors give it credit. Furthermore, the attacks have hostile undertones that go beyond their budget cutting and abortion rhetoric. These attacks are part of a larger attack on reproductive freedom and carry an undercurrent of disgust.

Part I of this Article explores the history of the Planned Parenthood organization.14 Familiarity with this history is essential for understanding the radical nature of the organization’s creation and the criticisms that have long plagued Planned

9. House Panel, supra note 3. “Planned Parenthood is a trusted nonprofit health care provider that provides professional, reliable and quality health care, including birth control, lifesaving cancer screenings, annual exams and STD testing and treatment to 3 million women and men across the country,” responded Cecile Richards, President of the Planned Parenthood Federation of America. Id. She continued, “This politically motivated investigation is a continuation of the efforts of earlier this year to undermine Planned Parenthood, and more disturbingly, women’s access to the primary and preventive care they need.” Id.

10. See Lepore, supra note 4, at 47. (“After the Republican Whip, Jon Kyl, of Arizona, said on the floor of the Senate that abortion constitutes “well over ninety percent of what Planned Parenthood does,” Planned Parenthood reported that abortions make up less than three percent of its services, whereupon a Kyl staffer offered that what Kyl had said “was not intended to be a factual statement.”).


14. See infra Part I.
Parenthood. In addition, the Article provides a history of early contraceptive laws and their reception, and details founder Margaret Sanger's motivations for creating such an organization. Part II of the Article goes on to elucidate the controversial nature of the organization.\textsuperscript{15} This section explores the women's rights considerations inherent in the contraception and women's healthcare debate. Finally, Part III delves into the contemporary attack on the organization.\textsuperscript{16} The section investigates the recent legislative attacks on Planned Parenthood along with the ideology behind such assaults. The Article concludes with a detailed look at the benefits of the organization, but takes into account the criticisms of the group.

I. The History of the Planned Parenthood Organization

Margaret Sanger created a birth control organization in 1916 that would later grow into the worldwide organization commonly known as Planned Parenthood.\textsuperscript{17} The political climate in which Sanger started the organization was not friendly to the rights of women, nor particularly concerned with women's unique health needs.\textsuperscript{18} Moreover, birth control was heavily restricted because of the Comstock laws, which made contraception illegal.\textsuperscript{19} Put into a larger perspective, the contraceptive rights movement was cultivated amongst a hostile political backdrop. The suffrage movement, and efforts to reduce infant mortality, eradicate child labor, and upgrade conditions for working women were other important causes coming to the fore for American women at this time.\textsuperscript{20}

\begin{flushright}
15. See infra Part II.
16. See infra Part III.
19. Kay, supra note 18. Notably, "the Seneca Falls Convention of 1848 demanded the vote for women, thus energizing the nineteenth-century women's movement and setting off a seventy-two year struggle that culminated in the adoption of the Nineteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution in 1920. Id. at 2023-24 (citing U.S. Const., Amend. XIX). "The right of citizens of the United States to vote shall not be denied or abridged by the United States or by any State on account of sex. Congress shall have power to enforce this article by appropriate legislation." Id.
\end{flushright}
A. The Traditional Role of Women: Early Views on Family Planning

Contraception is not a new concept.21 Since ancient times, couples have attempted to utilize contraception in various forms.22 Throughout history, “women [have] tried to space the births of their children for physical, emotional, social and even economic reasons.”23 In the 1850s it was estimated that one out of every five to six pregnancies in the United States was purposely ended.24 However, “[t]he acceptability of birth control has always depended on a morality that separates sex from reproduction.”25 Yet, this separation was largely considered im-

21. Judith G. Waxman, Privacy and Reproductive Rights: Where We’ve Been and Where We’re Going, 68 Mont. L. Rev. 299, 299 (2007). “First of all, it’s important to say that men and women have attempted to control the size of their families by various methods of contraception since history has been recorded.” Id. For example, “[s]ome of these practices have remained so unchanged that . . . vaginal sponges sold in the 1990s were virtually identical to those used several thousand years before Christ.” Linda Gordon, The Moral Property of Women: A History of Birth Control Politics in America, 13 (2002).

22. See Planned Parenthood, A History of Birth Control Methods, (November 2006), http://www.plannedparenthood.org/files/PPFA/history_bc_methods.pdf (“Ever since the dawn of history, women and men have wanted to be able to decide when and whether to have a child. Contraceptives have been used in one form or another for thousands of years throughout human history and even prehistory. In fact, family planning has always been widely practiced, even in societies dominated by social, political, or religious codes that require people to ‘be fruitful and multiply’ — from the era of Pericles in ancient Athens to that of Pope John Paul II, today.”).

23. Waxman, supra note 21. Waxman continued, “Women and men have always used various barrier methods—I’ll let you use your imagination go wherever it will—various herbs or chemicals, different kinds of abortions, internal or external, including many techniques that we still use today.” Id. See also Planned Parenthood, A History of Birth Control Methods, supra note 22 (internal citations omitted) (“Centuries ago, Chinese women drank lead and mercury to control fertility, which often resulted in sterility or death. During the Middle Ages in Europe, magicians advised women to wear the testicles of a weasel on their thighs or hang its amputated foot from around their necks. Other amulets of the time were wreaths of herbs, desiccated cat livers or shards of bones from cats (but only the pure black ones), flax lint tied in a cloth and soaked in menstrual blood, or the anus of a hare. It was also believed that a woman could avoid pregnancy by walking three times around the spot where a pregnant wolf had urinated. In more recent New Brunswick, Canada, women drank a potion of dried beaver testicles brewed in a strong alcohol solution. And, as recently as the 1990s, teens in Australia have used candy bar wrappers as condoms.”).


moral in the nineteenth century, when the public birth control movement began.26 Further, some view the Bible as explicitly forbidding the use of contraceptive methods.27

From the inception of American society, the primary role of a woman was that of housewife and mother.28 Upon marriage, the husband assumed virtually all legal rights for the couple both in reality and under the Blackstone definition.29 Notably, the Blackstone view of marriage was vehemently rejected by nineteenth-century feminists.30 Further, women were expected to have as many children as their bodies would allow, even if this was not healthy.31 “Historically, childbearing was seen as a woman’s duty, and the death and suffering that arose from that ‘duty’ were seen as ‘destiny and divine will.’”32 This was not only an effort to promote the traditional family ideal, but it also could be used to protect the American way of life.33 For example, “in 1907, President Theodore Roosevelt succinctly expressed the

27. See Planned Parenthood, A History of Birth Control Methods, supra note 22 (“According to the Book of Genesis, the biblical character Onan incurred God’s wrath by using coitus interruptus instead of following local custom and impregnating his sister-in-law after the death of his brother. Instead he ‘spilled his seed on the ground.’ Ever since then, many devout Jews and Christians have considered it a sin to ejaculate without reproductive possibility, whether by withdrawal, masturbation, or other forms of sex play.”); see also Kathleen A. Tobin, The American Religious Debate Over Birth Control, 1906-1937 (2001) (providing a history of religious considerations in the contraceptives debate).
29. Id. (citing 1 William Blackstone, Commentaries 442). “By marriage, the husband and wife are one person in law: that is, the very being or legal existence of the woman is suspended during the marriage, or at least is incorporated and consolidated into that of the husband: under whose wing, protection, and cover, she performs everything: . . . and her condition during her marriage is called her coverture.” Id.; see also Michele Goodwin & Naomi Duke, Capacity and Autonomy: A Thought Experiment on Minors’ Access to Assisted Reproductive Technology, 34 Harv. J. L. & Gender 503, 515-16 (2011) (discussing the Blackstone view of marriage).
30. Kay, supra note 18, at 2021. “Less than a century after his treatise appeared, both the 1848 Seneca Falls Declaration of Sentiments and the 1855 Marriage Protest signed by Lucy Stone and Henry B. Blackwell on their wedding day included an indictment of the very provisions that Blackstone had seen as ‘for the most part intended for [the wife’s] protection and benefit.’” Id. at 2021-22.
33. Planned Parenthood, A History of Birth Control Methods, supra note 22.
feeling of the times when he said that a white Protestant woman who avoided pregnancy was 'a criminal against the race.'”

The American Medical Association initially assisted in fueling the distaste for birth control and other associated measures. Founded in 1847, the organization quickly began undermining practices utilized by informally educated practitioners. Often, the practitioners employing these disputed methods were women. Although the birth control issue has chiefly been one of politics and not technology, the American Medical Association initially rejected abortion because of potential health dangers, and "the possibility of a woman 'overlooking the duties imposed on her by the marriage contract.'” This paternalistic viewpoint has continued to dominate the contraception and abortion debate to this day.

The first birth control movement began in the mid-nineteenth century. Its slogan was “voluntary motherhood.”

---

34. Id. “It was commonplace for leaders of different ethnic or religious groups to implore their followers to ‘outbreed’ people who weren’t like them.” Id.
35. Chesler, supra note 23, at 64.
36. Id. See generally Janet Farrell Brodie, Contraception and Abortion in Nineteenth-Century America (1994) (detailing the medical profession’s view of contraception).
37. Chesler, supra note 23, at 64. Chesler noted that the organization began a three decade long attack on abortion, “which advanced a moral argument for the protection of fetal life at all stage of development from barbaric primitive interventions and also played upon the class, race, and gender tensions developing as a consequence of the steady erosion of fertility among native white American women.” Id.
38. Gordon, supra note 20, at 2. “Effective forms of birth control were used in nearly all ancient societies; in the modern world, restrictive sexual standards forced birth control underground. The re-emergence of birth control as a respectable practice in the twentieth century was a process of changing sexual standards, largely produced by the women’s rights movement and the rejection of Victorian prudery.” Id. See also Andrea Tone, Devices and Desires: A History of Contraceptives in America (2002) (providing a fascinating history of contraceptive methods, early devices, and individual stories of use).
40. Gordon, supra note 20, at 3.
41. Id. at 4. “The feminists who advocated voluntary motherhood fell into three general groups: suffragists (divided among two national organizations and many local groups), moral reformers (in causes such as temperance, social purity, church auxiliaries, and women’s professional and service clubs), and members of small free-love groups.” Id. at 56. “Involuntary motherhood, wrote Harriot Stanton Blatch, daughter of Elizabeth Cady Stanton and a prominent suffragist in her own right, is a prostitution of the maternal instinct.” Id. at 68.
Feminist suffragists Elizabeth Cady Stanton and Susan B. Anthony endorsed this movement.\textsuperscript{42} They suggested that "husbands as well as wives should just do without sex altogether in order to control the size of their families."\textsuperscript{43} However, their platform was not necessarily practical and allegedly led to an increased use of prostitution.\textsuperscript{44} Moreover, the movement was focused on a woman’s right to decline sex with her husband, rather than an endorsement of contraception.\textsuperscript{45} Margaret Sanger later pointed out that not all suffragists supported birth control in any form.\textsuperscript{46} Sanger suggested that feminist disinclination to advocate birth control was related "to their 'inherent prejudices about sex.'\textsuperscript{47} She continued, "sex as such was akin to sin, shame, and only the bearing of a child sanctioned its expression."\textsuperscript{48} She also added that sex was "subject to the age-old, masculine atmosphere compounded of protection and dominance."\textsuperscript{49}

During the mid-nineteenth century, women utilized birth control and abortion, but these practices were certainly not socially acceptable, at least for public discussion.\textsuperscript{50} In addition to birth control and abortion, infanticide was a fairly regular occur-

\textsuperscript{42} Planned Parenthood, A History of Birth Control Methods, supra note 21.
\textsuperscript{43} Id.
\textsuperscript{44} See id. (Abstinence for birth control among married women, however, led to even greater reliance on prostitution by married men, which in turn, led to epidemics of sexually transmitted infections by the turn of the century. In response to the proliferation of prostitution and sexually transmitted infections during 1885, the Women’s Temperance Movement, which was dedicated to uplift men to women’s sexual standards, i.e., abstinence, launched a White Ribbon Campaign, in which men who vowed to be pure sported white ribbons on their lapels.”).
\textsuperscript{45} Reva B. Siegel, Sex Equality Arguments for Reproductive Rights: Their Critical Basis and Evolving Constitutional Expression, 56 EMORY L.J. 815, 819 (2007). "These advocates did not endorse abortion or contraception, but they were outspoken about women’s right to make decisions about sex and motherhood, and they blamed the incidence of abortion on customs that denied women reproductive autonomy in marriage; without protecting women’s freedom to make decisions about sex and motherhood, advocates of voluntary motherhood argued, marriage was little better than a ‘legalized prostitution.’" Id.
\textsuperscript{46} MCCANN, supra note 6, at 39.
\textsuperscript{47} Id. (internal citations omitted). "To Sanger, ‘it seemed unbelievable they could be serious in occupying themselves with what I regarded as trivialities when mothers within a stone’s throw of their meetings were dying shocking deaths.” Id.
\textsuperscript{48} Id.
\textsuperscript{49} Id.
perience in the mid-nineteenth century. In fact, some scholars suspect that people used the popular drug laudanum not only as a mechanism to quiet crying children, but also to kill infants in a "painless" manner. Although people clearly utilized abortion, early statistics of such use are unavailable. However, in 1871, the New York Times published an article about abortion entitled "The Evil of the Age," which suggested that there were at least two hundred full-time abortionists in New York City alone. This indicates that women were clearly having children that they were unwilling to raise, and their use of infanticide and abortion could have potentially been reduced if preventative measures were available.

Unfortunately for Americans seeking to control their family size, the Comstock Law had a disastrous effect on the proliferation of information relating to birth control. Congress passed the Comstock Law in 1873, and made it a crime to mail "contraceptives, any information about contraceptives, or any information about how to find contraceptives." Essentially, the law banned any type of birth control or related information because of its "obscene" nature. The penalty for violators was one to

51. GORDON, supra note 20, at 24; see also PETER C. ENGELMAN, A HISTORY OF THE BIRTH CONTROL MOVEMENT IN AMERICA 2 (2011) ("While infanticide is usually viewed as the most extreme form of population control and is universally condemned in the modern era, perceptions about abortion have changed repeatedly over time.").


53. GORDON, supra note 20, at 25.

54. Id.


57. Dudziak, supra note 56.

58. GORDON, supra note 20, at 12.
ten years of hard labor, potentially in combination with a fine. The Comstock Law granted the United States Postal Service authority to decide what was "lewd, lascivious, indecent, or obscene." Moreover, the law explicitly defined any discussion of birth control, even in a philosophical context, as obscene. Anthony Comstock, the law's namesake, served as its vehement enforcer. Reportedly, within a year of its enactment, Comstock himself "traveled over 20,000 miles searching for violators, made fifty-five arrests, secured twenty convictions, and seized over 60,000 so-called obscene rubber articles." The law was reinforced by a 1899 federal court ruling that determined that Congress had the power under the interstate commerce clause to prohibit the shipment of contraceptives across state lines. Further, by 1885, twenty-four states had instituted their own versions of the Comstock Act, sometimes with even stricter regulations.

The Comstock Law was bolstered by the American Medical Association's harsh stance against abortion. Interestingly, middle class women, who were influenced by traditional ideas of sexual morality, largely favored the law. In essence, "[c]hastity and sexual restraint were components of the middle class constella-

59. Dudziak, supra note 56 (citing 17 Stat. 598 (1873)).
60. Margaret Sanger, Margaret Sanger: An Autobiography 78 (1938). Margaret Sanger wrote, "So powerful had [Comstock's] society become that anything to which he objected in its name was almost automatically barred; he had turned out to be sole censor for ninety million people. During some forty years Comstock had been damming the rising tide of new thought, thereby causing much harm..." Id.; see also Engelman, supra note 50, at 15 ("By associating contraceptives with illicit sex and pornography, the Comstock law tainted the very idea of birth control.").
62. César Cuauhtémoc García Hernández, Of Inferior Stock: The Two-Pronged Repression of Radical Immigrant Birth Control Advocates at the Turn-of-the-Twentieth Century, 20 St. Thomas L. Rev. 513, 518 (2008); see also Lawrence M. Friedman, A History of American Law 446 ("The law gets its nickname from Anthony Comstock, a private citizen who waged an unending battle against smut and vice.").
63. Id. Notably, before such obscenity statutes were passed, various tabloid newspapers, and mail-order catalogs advertised contraceptives. Chesler, supra note 23, at 37. However, the social acceptability of such devices at the time is debatable.
64. Hernández, supra note 62 (citing United States v. Popper, 98 F. 423, 424 (N.D. Cal. 1899)).
66. Keleher, supra note 38, at 835.
tion of values that included work, industry, good habits, piety, and noble ideals for men and women.\textsuperscript{68}

In this anti-birth control climate of the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, the lack of knowledge related to women's healthcare proved disastrous. A 1916 study by the New York branch of Metropolitan Health and Life Insurance Company revealed that one quarter of its claims were puerperium-related.\textsuperscript{69} Additionally, of the puerperal claims, a quarter were a consequence of admitted abortions, septicemia related to attempted abortions, or other unspecified conditions.\textsuperscript{70} Further, a 1917 survey of immigrants in New York's Lower East Side revealed that one third knew nothing about birth control methods other than abortion.\textsuperscript{71} This survey was augmented by a 1921 Stanford University study that calculated that one in every 1.7 to 2.3 pregnancies ended in abortion.\textsuperscript{72} Such studies encouraged Metropolitan Health and Life Insurance Company to back preventative care, and visiting nurses were sometimes used in order to reach city residents directly in their homes.\textsuperscript{73} However, visiting nurses, as well as other healthcare providers, were restricted in their ability to discuss contraception.\textsuperscript{74} This was not only because of the taboo nature of birth control, but also because of their lack of training on the subject.\textsuperscript{75}

B. Margaret Sanger and the Birth Control League

The "modern" birth control movement is largely believed to have started in 1915 with Margaret Sanger credited as the cham-

\textsuperscript{68} Id. “In addition, since birth control facilitated the abandonment of sex for procreation, they perceived birth control to allow sex to be used for lustful, passionate purposes.” Id.


\textsuperscript{70} Chesler, supra note 24, at 65.

\textsuperscript{71} Id. at 64.

\textsuperscript{72} Gordon, supra note 21, at 25.

\textsuperscript{73} Chesler, supra note 24, at 65. Margaret Sanger was a visiting nurse, which encouraged her to form the organization because she saw the need for women's healthcare, particularly in the context of contraceptives. Id.

\textsuperscript{74} Id.

\textsuperscript{75} Sanger, supra note 60, at 92.
pion of the movement. Sanger's family history motivated her to first consider, and then advocate, birth control usage. Her mother was pregnant eighteen times and bore eleven children, all before passing away in 1899 at the age of fifty. Margaret grew up poor. She recalled that “Christmases were on the poverty line. If any of us needed a new winter overcoat or pair of over-shoes, these constituted our presents.” She was the sixth of her mother's eleven surviving children.

Sanger also witnessed the pitfalls of a society that prohibits birth control while working as a nurse in New York’s Lower East Side. As a nurse, Sanger often assisted immigrant families. She noted that many residents, particularly women, had an intense fear of hospitals, but welcomed nurses for home visits.

---

76. Waxman, supra note 21, at 301. “The movement that first coalesced around the term 'birth control,' coined by Margaret Sanger in 1915, was composed of people fighting for their own immediate needs, and for that reason it had an intensely personal dimension for its participants.” Gordon, supra note 21, at 138.


78. Planned Parenthood, History and Successes, supra note 17. Margaret Sanger wrote, “My mother, Anne Purcell, always had a cough, and when she braced herself against the wall the conversation, which was forever echoing from room to room, had to stop until she recovered.” Sanger, supra note 60, at 11. Author Chesler criticized Sanger for not daring to “give credence to the notion that all those Higgins children had provided her poor mother with what little fulfillment she found in life. It was the deprivation of that life, and of her own childhood, that governed her mature thoughts and feelings.” Chesler, supra note 24, at 40.


80. Id. “Our dolls were babies—living, wriggling bodies to bathe and dress instead of lifeless faces that never cried or slept.” Id. Sanger also noted that all of her mother's children were ten pounds or more at birth. Id. at 29.

81. Planned Parenthood, History and Successes, supra note 17. “Sanger witnessed the sickness, misery, and death that resulted from unwanted pregnancy and illegal abortion.” Id. Even as a small child, Sanger saw the economic problems that large families could face. Sanger, supra note 60, at 29. One evening a woman came to her home in hysteria after her husband had drunkenly thrown their baby out in the snow. Id. Her parents calmed the woman down and her father made sure that the woman was safe to return to her “multitude of other children.” Id.

82. Planned Parenthood, History and Successes, supra note 17.

83. Sanger, supra note 60, at 86. “During these years in New York trained nurses were in great demand. Few people wanted to enter hospitals; they were afraid they might be 'practice' upon, and they consented to go only in desperate emergencies. Sentiment was especially vehement in the matter of having babies. A woman's own bedroom, no matter how inconveniently arranged, was the usual place for her lying-in. I was not sufficiently free from domestic duties to be a general nurse, but I could ordinarily manage obstetrical cases because I was notified far enough ahead to plan my schedule.” Id. See also Jean H. Baker, Margaret.
Additionally, many women requested advice for preventing additional births.\textsuperscript{84} After witnessing a destitute patient die from complications related to a self-induced abortion, Sanger stated that she "was resolved to seek out the root of evil, to do something to change the destiny of mothers whose miseries were vast as the sky."\textsuperscript{85}

In 1913, Sanger traveled to Europe, along with her family, in order to research birth control methods.\textsuperscript{86} While in France, she learned about special formulas passed down in families for contraception use.\textsuperscript{87} She also met with druggists, midwives, and doctors.\textsuperscript{88} Sanger noted that "[a]ll individual Frenchwomen considered this knowledge their individual right, and, if it failed, abortion, which was still common."\textsuperscript{89}

It was during this period of 1910–1920 when the term "birth control" was coined,\textsuperscript{90} marking a shift from the mid-nineteenth

\textsuperscript{84} SANGER, supra note 60, at 87. Sanger said, "I tried to explain the only two methods I had ever heard of among the middle classes, both of which were invariably brushed aside was unacceptable. They were of no certain avail to the wife because they placed the burden of responsibility solely upon the husband—a burden which he seldom assumed. What she was seeking was self-protection she could herself use, and there was none." Id. Sanger added, "Each time I returned to this district, which was becoming a recurrent nightmare, I used to hear that Mrs. Cohen 'had been carried to a hospital, but had never come back, or that Mrs. Kelly 'had sent the children to a neighbor and had put her head into the gas oven.' Day after day such tales were poured into my ears—a baby born dead, great relief—the death of an older child, sorrow but again relief of a sort—the story told a thousand times of death from abortion and children going into institutions. I shuddered with horror as I listened to the details and studied the reasons back of them—destitution linked with excessive childbearing." Id.

\textsuperscript{85} Id. at 92.

\textsuperscript{86} Id. at 104. Margaret had three children—Stuart, Grant, and Peggy. Id. Notably, one biographer wrote that this trip actually took place in 1914, and also pointed out other misconceptions in Margaret Sanger’s allegedly ghostwritten autobiography. CHESLER, supra note 24, at 16-17, 105. See also Waxman, supra note 21, at 301 (discussing her trip to Europe). Sanger wrote that her husband “suggested that I go to France and see for myself the conditions resulting from generations of family limitation in that country.” SANGER, supra note 60, at 96.

\textsuperscript{87} Id. at 104. “Some of the contraceptive formulas that had been handed down were almost as good as those of today. Although they had to make simple things, mothers prided themselves on their special recipes for suppositories as much as on those for pot au feu or wine.” Id.

\textsuperscript{88} GORDON, supra note 21, at 144.

\textsuperscript{89} SANGER, supra note 60, at 104.

\textsuperscript{90} GORDON, supra note 21, at 4.
century terminology of “voluntary motherhood.”

1. It also marked a change in the composition of the movement’s leaders. Many of the leaders were influenced by both feminism and socialism. Sanger believed that “the foundation of the Feminist or Woman’s Movement should be how to release [a woman from] her sexual bondage of childbearing and place it on the plane of a voluntary and conscious undertaking [so that she may] be approximately equal to man.” She continued, “[u]pon this foundation only can she strive for equal rights.”

In addition, Sanger noted the class injustice that resulted from a restriction on birth control information. Not only did lower income women lack preventative health care options, but they also could not afford abortions and were more likely to engage in riskier at-home procedures. Scholar Carole McCann writes:

Confronted with death from illegal abortions, Sanger suddenly recognized that it was unconscionable for women to be forced to choose between avoiding sex altogether or risking their lives simply because the government prohibited them from having simple, safe, and effective contraceptives. She took issue with the ‘doctors, nurses and social workers who were brought face to face with this overwhelming truth of women’s needs’ but who ignored it. In the wake of her experiences as a visiting nurse, it was incredible to Sanger that well-meaning

91. Id. at 3-4. See also Madeline Gray, Margaret Sanger: A Biography of a Champion of Birth Control (1979) (providing another portrait of Sanger and her influences).
92. Gordon, supra note 20, at 4. See also Baker, supra note 83, at 75-101 (describing Sanger’s ascent into a leadership position).
93. Gordon, supra note 20, at 4. “[R]eproductive rights advocates were often dissenters in other dimensions as well—trade unionists, socialists, feminists, for example. As a result, the modern birth control movement has at various times included campaigns for women’s rights, economic justice, freedom of the press, and the extension of democracy.” Id. at 7. Importantly, “[d]espite its great influence in the birth control movement, the Socialist party never formally endorsed birth control.” Id. at 140. Notably, “Sanger’s supporters were critical of her explicitness and her tactics. From both Socialists and suffragists she received advice to abandon birth control, or at least tone down her tactics. This criticism referred both to illegal direct-action tactics and to sexual politics. That criticism within the circle from which Sanger had most expected to get support spoke of the limits of rebellion and blasphemy to which even the radicals held.” McCann, supra note 7, at 38.
94. Id.
95. Id.
96. Gordon, supra note 21, at 155.
reformers should refuse to recognize the underlying cause of women's ill-health and of their families' collapse.98

As such, Margaret Sanger made it her personal mission to assist women desiring contraceptives.

In fact, Margaret Sanger was prosecuted for her column, "What Every Girl Should Know," which appeared in a Socialist monthly newspaper.99 In the column, Sanger discussed sexual and reproductive health, and provided information on how a woman's body develops.100 Discussing her prosecution, Margaret Sanger noted that "[t]he words gonorrhea and syphilis had occurred in that article and Anthony Comstock, head of the New York Society for the Suppression of Vice, did not like them."101 Comstock banned the column in 1913, prompting The Call, the newspaper in which it was published, to write in the column's regular space "What Every Girl Should Know—Nothing; by order of the U.S. Post Office."102

In 1914, Sanger began her own newspaper, entitled "The Woman Rebel."103 In her newspaper she openly discussed contraceptives.104 However, the post office refused to deliver multiple issues.105 Consequently, she was arrested in 1914 for mailing obscenity under the Comstock definition, and faced a forty-five year jail sentence.106 Rather than prepare her defense, Sanger wrote a book on birth control entitled "Family Limitation."107 The book eventually went on to sell ten million copies, proving that people had a genuine desire, and need, to learn about contraceptives.108 Sanger feared that she would be made an example

98. McCANN, supra note 7, at 9-10.
99. Dudziak, supra note 56, at 919; Waxman, supra note 21, at 301 (citing MARGARET SANGER, WHAT EVERY GIRL SHOULD KNOW (United Sales Co. 1920)).
100. Planned Parenthood, Margaret Sanger—20th Century Hero, supra note 97.
101. SANGER, supra note 60, at 77.
102. CHESLER, supra note 24, at 66. "In one of the finer ironies produced by the rapid changes in attitudes of those years, the same article was reprinted—without credit to the author—by the government and distributed among U.S. troops during World War I." GORDON, supra note 21, at 143 (citing PETER FRYER, THE BIRTH CONTROLLERS 202 (1965)).
103. Planned Parenthood, Margaret Sanger—20th Century Hero, supra note 96. See also ENGELMAN, supra note 50, at 23 (suggesting that Sanger utilized the "Woman Rebel" as a ploy to attract supporters by implying that the birth control movement was bigger than it really was).
104. Lepore, supra note 3, at 48.
105. Id.
106. Planned Parenthood, Margaret Sanger—20th Century Hero, supra note 96.
107. Id.
108. Id. "They may not have had $5.00 for a dangerous abortion, but they were able to scrape together 25 cents. With just a quarter, they could get a copy of family
of, so she fled the country for England. While Sanger was abroad, Anthony Comstock personally prosecuted Sanger's husband for distributing a copy of her book to an undercover agent. He served thirty days in jail for his crime. However, shortly thereafter Comstock died. This prompted Sanger to return to the United States, and the charges against her related to her newspaper were dropped.

Yet, Sanger came back from Europe with different advocacy priorities. In Holland, she had encountered contraceptive clinics, which had a profound effect upon her. Sanger noted, "No longer could I look upon birth control knowledge as primarily a free speech fight. I realized now that it involved much more than talk, more than books or pamphlets."

In 1916, Sanger opened the first contraceptive clinic in the United States. The clinic dispensed contraceptives to immigrant women in a tenement storefront in Brooklyn. The clinic only remained open for ten days before being shut down through the use of an undercover sting operation. However, the short-lived clinic was extremely popular, with 464 women on file after only nine days. Sanger, her sister Ethel Burne, and receptionist Fannie Mindell were able to assist 488 women with contraception and the information they needed to help them avoid unintended pregnancy.”


110. Planned Parenthood, Margaret Sanger—20th Century Hero, supra note 96. Bill Sanger was Margaret’s first husband. Chesler, supra note 23, at 48. He was a staunch Socialist and in general seemed supportive of Margaret’s endeavors. Id. at 89. Interestingly, at the time that Bill was arrested, their marriage was on the rocks. Id. at 109. Bill was angry at Margaret for writing him at his studio, which he though led to his entrapment by Comstock. Id. See also Gene Burns, The Moral Veto: Framing Contraception, Abortion, and Cultural Pluralism in the United States 43 (2005) (noting that Bill’s refusal to pay a fine rather than serve thirty days in jail helped rally support for Margaret’s cause).

111. Planned Parenthood, Margaret Sanger—20th Century Hero, supra note 96.

112. Id. See also Lepore, supra note 3, at 48 (noting that Sanger’s five year old daughter had just died, thus prosecutors feared that charging a “grieving mother” would be frowned upon in public perception).

113. McCann, supra note 6, at 59.

114. Id.

115. Id. (quoting Margaret Sanger, My Fight for Birth Control 143(1933)).

116. Id. at 24.


118. Planned Parenthood, Margaret Sanger—20th Century Hero, supra note 96.

phragms, also known as pessaries, in those ten days. In fact, Sanger was arrested for informing a woman about spring-loaded diaphragms. She spent a month in jail for her crime, along with Burne and Mindell. Importantly, their arrest, trial, and subsequent imprisonment drew significant attention to the contraception debate.

In 1918, the New York Court of Appeals upheld the prosecution of Sanger and her co-conspirators, reading into the statute a narrow exception which allowed doctors to prescribe contraceptives to married persons to prevent disease. The court’s interesting interpretation of the law primarily served to protect doctors. Furthermore, in 1915, only 3.6 percent of doctors were female. In 1920, the number rose to 5 percent, but dropped to 4.4 percent in 1930. Hence, the law served to protect doctors, who were nearly all men, while nurses like Margaret Sanger, who had the most contact with women in dire need of contraceptive information, could still be prosecuted. Further adding to this gendered application of contraception laws, doctors could “prescribe” condoms to protect men from venereal dis-

120. McCann, supra note 6, at 24. See also VICKI COX, MARGARET SANGER: REBEL FOR WOMEN’S RIGHTS 2 (2004) (detailing Sanger’s arrest and the public attention it garnered).

121. Planned Parenthood, A History of Birth Control Methods, supra note 21. “During a trip to Holland in 1915, she learned about the use of snugly fitting spring-loaded diaphragms that were developed in Germany during the 1880s.” Id.

122. Id. “Her month in jail only strengthened her resolve to teach women how to use diaphragms—she even taught diaphragm use to the women she was in jail [with].” Id.

123. McCann, supra note 6, at 24.

124. Dudziak, supra note 56, at 919. (citing People v. Sanger, 118 N.E. 637, 638 (N.Y. 1918)). “New York law prohibited the sale of contraceptives, but the law allowed doctors to prescribe articles for the cure and prevention of disease. The court broadly construed the word disease to include any illness, so that doctors could prescribe contraceptives to prevent diseases associated with pregnancy and childbirth.” Id. See also Alana Chazan, Good Vibrations: Liberating Sexuality from the Commercial Regulation of Sexual Devices, 18 TEx. J. WOMEN & L. 263, 274 (2009) (“Sanger believed that legal challenges to birth control legislation would provide relief faster than lobbying for legislative change, and in 1916, she opened a birth control clinic in Brooklyn, New York, seeking to test a law stating that no one could give information to prevent contraception to anyone for any reason. Sanger succeeded in creating the first legal victory for the birth control movement when the New York Court of Appeals broadened the interpretation of the statute to permit the medical community to distribute birth control.”)

125. See People v. Sanger, 118 N.E. 637 (N.Y. 1918).


127. Id.
eases when they had extramarital sexual intercourse, but men theoretically could not get condoms to prevent pregnancy with their wives.\textsuperscript{128}

That arrest was not the last for Sanger, nor was it her last controversial court case.\textsuperscript{129} In 1932, the U.S. Customs office confiscated a package of diaphragms sent from a Japanese physician to Sanger.\textsuperscript{130} Sanger again tested the law by requesting that a second package be mailed to an associate.\textsuperscript{131} In 1936, following Sanger’s arrest for mailing birth control products, the Second Circuit Court of Appeals ordered a relaxation of the Comstock laws at the federal level.\textsuperscript{132} In his opinion for the court, Judge Augustus Hand relied on data regarding the damages of unplanned pregnancy to find that birth control was no longer “obscene.”\textsuperscript{133} He ordered that the package could be delivered.\textsuperscript{134}

The Great Depression and World War II marked a shift in social acceptance of contraceptives. During the Great Depression, when there was a movement towards smaller families, a Gallop Poll revealed that three out of four people supported legalizing contraception.\textsuperscript{135} By 1937, the American Medical Association formally recognized birth control as a fundamental part of medical care.\textsuperscript{136} In addition, a 1938 \textit{Ladies Home Journal} survey reported that seventy-nine percent of women supported the use

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{128} Planned Parenthood, A History of Birth Control Methods, supra note 21. This was not the only example of moral hypocrisy at the time, for example, voluntary contraception use was restricted, whereas forced sterilization was seen as acceptable. See generally Buck v. Bell, 274 U.S. 200 (1927) (allowing for the forced sterilization of a “promiscuous” and “feeble-minded” woman).
  \item \textsuperscript{129} Planned Parenthood, History and Successes, supra note 16.
  \item \textsuperscript{130} Planned Parenthood, A History of Birth Control Methods, supra note 21.
  \item \textsuperscript{131} Id.
  \item \textsuperscript{132} Planned Parenthood, History and Successes, supra note 16.
  \item \textsuperscript{133} Id.
  \item \textsuperscript{134} Planned Parenthood, A History of Birth Control Methods, supra note 21. Despite this victory, Planned Parenthood was still attacked in other ways. For example, in 1934 a bill was passed that introduced a prohibition on lobbying for tax-exempt charities in response to the American Birth Control League’s recent efforts. William H. Byrnes IV, The Private Foundation’s Topsy Turvy Road in the American Political Process, 4 HOUS. BUS. & TAX L. J. 496, 541 (2004); see also Oliver A. Houck, On the Limits of Charity: Lobbying, Litigation, and Electoral Politics By Charitable Organizations Under the Internal Revenue Code, 69 BROOK. L. REV. 1, 12-15 (2003) (providing a similar history and also exploring Judge Hand’s views regarding the organization).
  \item \textsuperscript{135} Lepore, supra note 3, at 50.
  \item \textsuperscript{136} Planned Parenthood, History and Successes, supra note 16.
\end{itemize}
of birth control. By the start of the Second World War, thirty-six states had medically-supervised birth control clinics, supported at least partially by public funds. An additional ten states permitted private clinics to function because of statutory loopholes allowing contraceptives by prescription. Further, during World War II, the Army distributed condoms to soldiers. Toward the end of the war, in 1944, there were at least eight hundred birth control clinics in the United States.

In the meantime, in 1942, the American Birth Control League changed its name to Planned Parenthood, marking a change in the philosophical approach within the organization. Rather than characterizing birth control as a way to "liberate women," as it had in the 1910s and 1920s, the organization moved towards a focus on family "planning." Essentially, "the movement evolved away from the radicalism of its second stage into a liberal reform movement." Margaret Sanger staunchly opposed this change in name and ideology. Interestingly, the shift in ideological rhetoric occurred at a time when women had just proven themselves capable of maintaining the home front during World War II, and the American sexual revolution was


139. Eskridge, supra note 65, at 2121.

140. Waxman, supra note 21, at 302.


142. Dudziak, supra note 56, at 919.

143. Id. "Rather, they argued that birth control would bring scientific rationality to the traditional family. It would allow families to use scientific expertise to bring order to the otherwise uncontrollable process of childbirth." Id. However, a biographer noted that Sanger's intent "was nothing less than to construct an international network of clinics where women would receive a full range of preventive health care services. To this end, she had no choice but to mobilize men of influence in business, government, labor, the emerging professions and academic sciences, but her most active recruits always remained women, many of them veterans of the American and British suffrage movements, or daughters of former volunteers, who had learned to do political battle." CHESLER, supra note 24, at 14.

144. GORDON, supra note 21, at 4.

145. Lepore, supra note 4, at 50.
Nonetheless, this philosophical shift likely made the organization seem more socially acceptable and less gender-focused on its face.

C. The Women's Rights Movement of the 1960s

The late 1960s marked what some scholars termed a “revival of feminism.” This decade brought in a new wave of contraception ideology focused on “reproductive rights.” In 1960, the Federal Drug Administration approved the first birth control pill for contraceptive use. Appropriately, Margaret Sanger had a role in developing the contraceptive pill. She helped recruit the necessary funding that allowed for both preliminary research and the first clinical trials of what is now known as “the pill.” With the introduction of “the pill,” women were offered an easy, relatively safe, and effective means of personally controlling their reproductive systems for the first time. Yet, in

---

146. See Eskridge, supra note 65, at 2125 (“Women who had proved themselves fully equal to men during the war were often unwilling to re-assume their subordinate status after the war.”). It is also interesting that the organization made the transition at a time when the sexual revolution in America, according to some scholars, was just starting. See Harold P. Southerland, “Love for Sale” — Sex and the Second American Revolution, 15 DUK. J. GENDR. L. & POL’Y 49, 64 (2008).

147. GORDON, supra note 21, at 4.

148. Id.

149. Kay, supra note 18, at 2048. The 1960s were a time period of great political change. Id. Professor Kay wrote, “The first President of the United States to be born in the twentieth century, John Fitzgerald Kennedy, was elected that November. He kindled a mood of optimism and energy in the country, particularly among young people. In 1961 he established the Presidential Commission on Women, and named Eleanor Roosevelt its chair.” Id.

150. PLANNED PARENTHOOD, A HISTORY OF BIRTH CONTROL METHODS, supra note 22, at 1; see also ENGELMAN, supra note 51, at 4 (“Wild Mexican yams supplied the steroid hormone that was synthesized to create that ‘magic pill’ Sanger had long envisioned, the first effective oral contraceptive that revolutionized birth control and helped usher in the sexual revolution in the 1960s.”).

151. PLANNED PARENTHOOD, A HISTORY OF BIRTH CONTROL METHODS, supra note 22, at 9. Katharine Dexter McCormick, heir to the International Harvester fortune and one of the first female graduates of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, largely funded the research. Id. “In 1953 Sanger took McCormick to visit the Worcester Foundation for Experimental Biology in Massachusetts, where research scientists Gregory Pincus and Min Chueh Chang were conducting experiments Sanger considered promising — at her behest, they were trying to produce an oral contraceptive based on synthetic progesterone.” Id. McCormick donated $2 million to the research. Id.

152. Southerland, supra note 146, at 66-67. “With Enovid, women for the first time were offered a simple, safe, and highly effective means of controlling their reproductive function, freeing them to choose whether to have children and when, and thus to have sex without fear of pregnancy.” Id. See also Rachel V. Rose, Cutting
the early 1960s, twenty-eight states still barred married couples from utilizing contraception.\textsuperscript{153} Nonetheless, by 1965, one in four married women under the age of forty-five had utilized the pill and, by 1967, the number of users worldwide had risen to almost thirteen million.\textsuperscript{154}

Notably, although the pill became popular, and legal restrictions on contraceptive use had begun to loosen, abortion still remained illegal. For example, in 1960, Mary Steichen Calderone, the medical director for Planned Parenthood, "estimated the annual incidence of illegal abortion in the United States at 200,000 to 1.2 million and argued that a profession committed to fighting disease had an obligation to concern itself with 'this disease of society, illegal abortion.'"\textsuperscript{155} While a wealthy woman could obtain an abortion by having a psychiatrist "vouch for [her] likely suicide unless the unintended pregnancy was terminated[,]"\textsuperscript{156} poorer women were more likely to be forced to have a child, or obtain a potentially unsafe abortion.\textsuperscript{157} Unfortunately, decades after Margaret Sanger started the Birth Control League, impoverished women still faced a similar predicament. Despite the illegality of abortion, the pill offered a significant form of pregnancy prevention if users were able to obtain it.

In 1963, Betty Friedan published "The Feminine Mystique," "a book credited with helping to reawaken the twentieth-century women's movement."\textsuperscript{158} Professor Cynthia Lee Starnes noted that the book "sparked a revolution against Betty Crocker, the full-time homemaker, who according to 1950s rhetoric, represented women's sole opportunity for happiness."\textsuperscript{159} Accordingly,

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{153} Waxman, supra note 21, at 302.
\textsuperscript{154} PLANNED PARENTHOOD, MARGARET SANGER — 20TH CENTURY HERO, supra note 96, at 10.
\textsuperscript{156} Greenhouse & Siegel, supra note 154, at 2036.
\textsuperscript{157} Id.
\textsuperscript{158} Kay, supra note 18, at 2049.
\end{flushright}
the invention of the pill provided a way for women to garner control of their lives and professional ambitions.\textsuperscript{160}

In 1966, the National Organization for Women (NOW) was founded.\textsuperscript{161} The organization was established in order to advocate “for better opportunities for women outside the home and to challenge then-prevailing images of men and women.”\textsuperscript{162} The original members included older and established members such as Betty Friedan, as well as younger college students.\textsuperscript{163} Initially, professional equality was the organization’s primary focus.\textsuperscript{164} However, in 1967, Betty Friedan, the President of NOW suggested that the organization should support reproductive freedom rights, such as abortion.\textsuperscript{165} However, some members were not in favor of such advocacy because it could make the organization seem “too radical,” and reduce membership.\textsuperscript{166} Finally, at the 1967 NOW National Conference, the organization compromised by drafting a resolution that simply called for the repeal of criminal prohibitions on abortion.\textsuperscript{167} Betty Friedan noted “that there is no freedom, no equality, no full human dignity and personhood possible for women until we assert and demand the control over our own bodies, over our own reproductive process.”\textsuperscript{168}

NOW eventually partnered with Planned Parenthood and the two groups instituted a new campaign for reproductive rights.\textsuperscript{169} In 1970, NOW held a strike for equality that commemorated the fiftieth anniversary of the Nineteenth Amendment’s ratification.\textsuperscript{170} Strikers contended “that the Nineteenth Amendment’s promise of equal citizenship could not be realized unless

\textsuperscript{160} See generally Sisterhood Is Powerful: An Anthology of Writings From the Women’s Liberation Movement (Robin Morgan ed., 1970).
\textsuperscript{161} Kay, supra note 18, at 2049-50. In the same year, Margaret Sanger passed away. Planned Parenthood, Margaret Sanger — 20th Century Hero, supra note 96, at 10.
\textsuperscript{163} Id. at 317-18. See generally Maren Lockwood Carden, The New Feminist Movement 103-18 (1974) (providing information on the formation of the NOW organization).
\textsuperscript{164} Ziegler, supra note 162, at 318.
\textsuperscript{165} Id. See generally Maryann Barakso, Governing NOW: Grassroots Activism in the National Organization for Women (2004) (providing a history of the NOW organization).
\textsuperscript{166} Ziegler, supra note 162, at 318.
\textsuperscript{167} Id.
\textsuperscript{168} Greenhouse & Siegel, supra note 155, at 2043.
\textsuperscript{169} Ziegler, supra note 162, at 322.
\textsuperscript{170} Siegel, supra note 45, at 826. See generally Steven T. Calabresi & Julia T. Rickert, Originalism and Sex Discrimination, 90 Tex. L. Rev. 1 (2011) (discussing
women were given control of the conditions in which they conceived, bore, and raised children." Resultantly, the association between women's equality efforts and the Planned Parenthood movement has become inextricable.

II. The "Controversial" Nature of Planned Parenthood

Planned Parenthood has long been controversial because of its association with sex, contraception, and abortion. Professor Harold P. Southerland writes, "[t]he subject [of sex] is a delicate one. Unlike the French, for example, Americans aren't exactly comfortable talking about it. There is a prudish streak in Americans still, a leftover, perhaps, from our Puritan heritage, or from nineteenth-century Victorian morality . . . ." Consequently, the government has long attempted to regulate sex. Moreover, although some scholars suggest that sex regulation is waning, the recent attack on Planned Parenthood and contraception in general is indicative of a potential resurgence of regulation.

Catharine MacKinnon keenly notes that "sexuality is the linchpin of gender inequality." Yet, traditional sexual "norms" play into the contraceptive debate underlying the attack on Planned Parenthood. Those that do not conform to the traditional ideals can be deemed "deviant." Further, as the author

---

171. Siegel, supra note 45, at 826.
172. See generally Baker, supra note 83, at 4 (noting that Coretta Scott King drew analogies between the civil rights movement and Sanger's efforts to provide the option of contraceptives to all Americans).
176. See Rosenbury & Rothman, supra note 174, at 813 ("The vision of acceptable sexual activity furthered by the current construction of sex is primarily modeled
Martha Nussbaum argues, "human dignity is frequently violated on grounds of sex or sexuality."\(^\text{177}\) It is essential to recognize that autonomy, women's rights, and human rights are foundational elements of the debate.

A. The Contraception Debate: Women's Rights Considerations

The contraception debate naturally raises women's rights considerations because of the unequal effect that pregnancy has on women, in both a legal and physiological context.\(^\text{178}\) Professor Shari Motro writes, "[a] fundamental gender imbalance hovers in the background of nonprocreative heterosexual sex: Women get pregnant, men do not. Women's alternatives—celibacy, chemically-induced sterility, or other, less effective contraceptive methods with abortion as a last resort—do not correct the imbalance."\(^\text{179}\) However, a world without contraceptives and reproductive health care would only serve to propagate the imbalance.\(^\text{180}\) Further, the imbalance is exacerbated by traditional sexual double standards, under which it is deemed more socially acceptable for a man to engage in premarital sex than a woman.\(^\text{181}\)

on heterosexual, monogamous couples, thus channeling sex into a domesticated and gendered form. Individuals who do not adhere to this vision are stigmatized as being hypersexual, asexual, criminal, or otherwise deviant." (footnote omitted)).

177. MARTHA C. NUSSBAUM, SEX & SOCIAL JUSTICE 5 (1999); see also MARTHA C. NUSSBAUM, HIDING FROM HUMANITY: DISGUST, SHAME, AND THE LAW 14 (2004) ("Disgust, I shall argue, is very different from anger, in that its thought-content is typically unreasonable, embodying magical ideas of contamination, and impossible aspirations to purity, immorality, and nonanimality, that are just not in line with human life as we know it.").


179. Shari Motro, The Price of Pleasure, 104 Nw. U. L. REV. 917, 921 (2010); see also Hammell, supra note 32, at 141-46 (detailing the pregnancy process and the effect on women).

180. See Motro, supra note 179, at 921, 923-25 (detailing the toll pregnancy takes on a woman’s body, however, contending that choice does not alleviate the imbalance).

181. Siegel, supra note 45, at 817; see generally Rosenbury & Rothman, supra note 174, at 841 ("Societal and legal discourses about sexual intimacy therefore often affect men and women in different ways. Although public sex is discouraged for both men and women, men are often entitled to express their interest in and pursuit of sexual pleasure in ways women are not. The proliferation of strip clubs, and their glorification in popular culture, is just one illustration of this differing entitlement; the prevalence of erectile dysfunction drug advertisements is another. Ratings systems in Hollywood also have their own double standard — limiting male nudity to a much greater extent than female nudity, providing heterosexual men
Professor Reva V. Seigel finds that “the sex equality approach to reproductive rights views control over the timing of motherhood as crucial to the status and welfare of women, individually and as a class.” Reproductive freedom affects a woman’s sexual and health freedom, relationship choices, educational and professional pursuits, economic freedom, and her ability to provide for her family. Essentially, reproductive freedom affects almost every aspect of a woman’s life. As such, contraceptives are inextricably tied to the women’s rights movement because they allow women to pursue their ambitions. “In giving women control over their reproductive function, the pill made possible the second-wave feminist movement—the demand for an end to gender discrimination and for access to life activities on equal footing with men,” writes Professor Southerland. Furthermore, following the invention of the pill, women were able to enter the workforce on their own terms and with greater control of their lives. In addition, a recent study found that the rise of women seeking law, medical, and business administration degrees in the past few decades was a direct result of the legalization and availability of contraceptives.

182. Siegel, supra note 45, at 818.
183. Id. at 819.
186. See Martha J. Bailey, More Power to the Pill: The Impact of Contraceptive Freedom on Women’s Life Cycle Labor Supply, 121 Q.J. Econ. 289, 317 (2006); see also Naomi Cahn & Anne T. Goldstein, Roe and Its Global Impact, 6 U. Pa. J. Const. L. 695, 699 (2003) (“Indeed, the right to an abortion and the availability of contraceptives have been linked in the United States to women’s increased ability to make career and marriage choices and to improve their status in the household. The rights to an abortion and to use contraceptives have been identified with two different phenomena: first, and most obviously, they impact fertility because they allow women to have fewer children in a generally reliable manner; second, they have a bargaining effect, allowing women more autonomy within marriage, so they can invest in their careers.” (footnotes omitted)).
187. See Carbone, supra note 184, at 544-45 (“Between 1950 and 1970, the ratio of women to all students in professional schools stayed flat, with no more than 10% in medicine, 4% in law, 1% in dentistry, and 3% in business administration. By 1980, however, the numbers had jumped to 30% in medicine, 36% in law, 19% in dentistry, and 28% in business administration. In a careful empirical study that tracked the increase in the marriage ages of college graduates, Goldin and Katz found that the critical factor was contraception — states as diverse as Georgia and California that first lowered the age of majority showed the earliest movements toward postponed marriage. The availability of abortion reinforced the effect, but with a smaller overall impact.” (citing Claudia Goldin & Lawrence F. Katz, The Power of the Pill:}
Additionally, the use of contraceptives can have a positive effect on women's health, aside from their use as a contraceptive. For example, the FDA has recognized the potential benefit of oral contraceptives in decreasing the occurrences of ovarian cancer, endometrial cancer, pelvic inflammatory disease, ovarian cysts, acne, premenstrual dysmorphic disorder, and benign breast disease. Thus, contraceptive pills not only provide a way of regulating one's maternal future, but also of maintaining one's health and preserving fertility. However, in order for women to see positive results from the use of contraceptive pills, they must have access to them.

Women are unequally affected by sex due to nature, but the inequality is also exacerbated by law. Laws regarding contraception, abortion, and maternal conduct during pregnancy all affect women more than men because women bear the brunt of reproductive burdens. As such, by restricting access to contracept-


188. See generally Beth A. Burkstrand-Reid, The Invisible Woman: Availability and Culpability in Reproductive Health Jurisprudence, 81 U. COLO. L. REV. 97, 98 (2010) (“If health is the first of all liberties, then, for women, reproductive health is liberty's foundation. Specifically, the ability to control one's fertility is a health issue: medical and surgical technologies that promote, prevent, or terminate pregnancy pose risks to women's health, as do pregnancy and childbirth.” (footnotes omitted)); see also Hammell, supra note 32, at 177-78 (detailing the unequal effect of sexually transmitted diseases on women as a reason for the need for healthcare for women).

189. Rose, supra note 152, at 23; see also JON ZONDERMAN & LAUREL SHADER, BIRTH CONTROL PILLS (2006) (detailing the benefits and popularity of the birth control pill).

190. See Lance Gable, Reproductive Health as a Human Right, 60 CASE W. RES. L. REV. 957, 958-59 (2010) (“The concepts of reproduction and health have an intimate and deeply rooted connection. Reproductive decisions and the process of reproduction have direct impacts on health, particularly the health of women. Reproductive health broadly encompasses health conditions and social conditions that affect reproductive functioning, whether a woman seeks to reproduce or to avoid reproduction. Successful reproduction requires a basic level of health in the sense that healthy reproductive and developmental functions are necessary in order to bring a pregnancy to term. Factors determining if and when a woman will decide to reproduce raise fundamental issues of autonomy, privacy, and agency related to that woman's health choices, as well as that woman's ability to exercise those choices.” (footnotes omitted)).

191. See Yakaré-Oué Jansen, The Right to Freely Have Sex? Beyond Biology: Reproductive Rights and Sexual Self-Determination, 40 AKRON L. REV. 311, 314 (2007); see also Donald P. Judges, Taking Care Seriously: Relational Feminism, Sexual Difference, and Abortion, 73 N.C. L. REV. 1323, 1343-44 (1995) (As Judith Jarvis Thompson noted in 1971, “[I]n no state in this country is any man compelled by law to be even a Minimally Decent Samaritan to any person... By contrast, in most states... women are compelled by law to not merely be Minimally Decent
tives such as the pill, women are primarily affected because the pill is a mechanism that provides women with control over reproduction.192 Such restrictions have major implications in the life path of individual women.193

B. Changing Attitudes?: The Partial Social Acceptance of Planned Parenthood

In 1965, the Supreme Court decided Griswold v. Connecticut, which recognized a married couple’s right to make contraception decisions.194 At the time of the decision, Connecticut still made contraception usage in effect illegal.195 In an effort strikingly similar to Margaret Sanger’s first clinic in New York, Estelle Griswold, the Executive Director of the Planned Parenthood League of Connecticut, along with Dr. C. Lee Buxton, opened a Planned Parenthood clinic in the state to test the law.196 Within ten days, police arrested them for violating the state contraceptive ban.197 Griswold and Buxton’s actions not only changed the law, but brought national attention to their cause.198 The Supreme Court declared the statute unconstitutional,199 finding that a married couple’s ability to seek contra-

Samaritans, but Good Samaritans to unborn persons inside them.” (quoting Judith Jarvis Thomson, A Defense of Abortion, 1 Phil. & Pub. Aff. 47, 63 (1971)).
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194. 381 U.S. 479 (1965); see also Chazan, supra note 124, at 275-78 (detailing the “Sexual Freedom” cases).
195. Friedman, supra note 62, at 570.
197. Dudziak, supra note 56, at 936-37.
199. Griswold, 381 U.S. at 485-86; see also Waxman, supra note 21, at 303-04. "As far as the Connecticut court was concerned, however, the defendants’ constitutional rights had not been violated, there was nothing unreasonable about the ban on contraceptives, and if birth control advocates wished to change the law, the proper forum was the legislature." Dudziak, supra note 56, at 938.
ception fell under the umbrella of the fundamental right to privacy. Essentially, the decision was meant to keep the government out of a couple's bedroom.200

During the mid-1960s, President Lyndon B. Johnson advocated for federal legislation supporting contraceptives for the poor.201 This effort continued into the Nixon administration with the advent of Title X of the Public Health Services Act, which authorized grants to establish voluntary family planning projects.202 Title X was actually passed during Nixon's Republican administration in 1970.203 Title X allocated approximately $180 million in funds between 1971 and 1973.204 Notably, the sole method of birth control not included in the funding package was surgical abortion because it was not considered a preventative service.205

However, by the early 1970s, American attitudes regarding abortion were changing.206 In 1972, a Gallup poll revealed that "sixty-four percent of Americans . . . agreed 'with the statement that "the decision to have an abortion should be made solely by a woman and her physician'" — with a 'greater proportion of Republicans [sixty-eight percent] . . . than Democrats [fifty-nine percent] holding the belief that abortion should be a decision between a woman and her physician.'"207 Notably, abortion is generally considered a far more polarizing issue than that of birth control.208 As such, the polling could suggest a change in how Americans viewed contraception and reproductive rights.209

200. See Barbara Stark, The Women's Convention, Reproductive Rights, and the Reproduction of Gender, 18 DUKE J. GENDER L. & POL'Y 261, 264 (2011) (“As a practical matter, this reflected and perpetuated women's subordination within the marriage, since the husband was the decision maker in the traditional couple.”).
201. Rose, supra note 152, at 25.
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203. Flanagan & Sangree, supra note 11. Millicent Fenwick, a Republican Representative and ardent supporter of Planned Parenthood and Title X, stated “You can't close your mind to evidence.” Id.
204. Rose, supra note 152, at 25.
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206. See generally Tom Davis, SACRED WORK: PLANNED PARENTHOOD AND ITS CLERGY ALLIANCES (2005) (noting the support in the religious community for Sanger's work and for women's reproductive rights).
208. Or at least it was. See infra Part III.
209. See Greenhouse & Siegel, supra note 154, at 2079-86 (describing the transformation of the Republican party platform to anti-abortion). See generally Leslie Laurence & Beth Weinhouse, OUTRAGEOUS PRACTICES: HOW GENDER BIAS...
In 1973, the Supreme Court issued another controversial decision, *Roe v. Wade*, which provided women with the right to choose abortion. Pro-choice advocates made policy-based arguments regarding the problems associated with illegal abortion, along with rights-based contentions. Although the Court utilized a right to privacy framework, there was a lucid undercurrent of gender equality reasoning present in the decision. Essentially, "Roe established that the fundamental right underlying a woman's choice to terminate pregnancy is her due process right to choose her own reproductive options without interference from the state." In the later related case of *Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey*, the Supreme Court noted that "[t]he ability of women to participate equally in the economic and social life of the Nation has been facilitated by their ability to control their reproductive lives."

While *Roe v. Wade* marked a substantial victory for pro-choice advocates, it also served to electrify the anti-abortion, also known as pro-life or anti-choice, opposition. Critics of the decision amped up fundraising efforts, held rallies, utilized media...
outlets, and lobbied.\footnote{Keleher, supra note 39, at 838 (citing Philip F. Lawler, Operation Rescue: A Challenge to the Nation’s Conscience 17 (1992)).} The Catholic Church spent $4 million in one year following the decision advocating against the decision.\footnote{Keleher, supra note 39, at 838 (citing Catherine Whitney, Whose Life?: A Balanced, Comprehensive View of Abortion from Its Historical Content to the Current Debate 44 (1991)).} Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg reflected that the decision “invited no dialogue with legislators. Instead, it seemed entirely to remove the ball from the legislator’s court . . . . Around that extraordinary decision, a well-organized and vocal right to life rallied.”\footnote{Keleher, supra note 39, at 838 (citing Ruther Bader Ginsburg, Speaking in a Judicial Voice, 67 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 1185, 1205 (1992)).} In 1976, the anti-abortion camp achieved a significant victory with the Hyde Amendment, which outlawed the use of federal funds for abortion.\footnote{Harris v. McRae, 448 U.S. 297, 322 (1980); see also Judges, supra note 191, at 1434-41 (providing an overview of the abortion funding cases).} The Hyde Amendment continues to have an effect on Planned Parenthood to this day.\footnote{See generally Carole I. Chervin, Note, The Title X Family Planning Gag Rule: Can the Government Buy Up Constitutional Rights?”, 41 Stan. L. Rev. 401 (1989) (providing information on the Hyde Amendment, the gag rule, and other regulations existent in 1989, some which continue to affect organizations like Planned Parenthood to this day).}

III. The Modern Attack on Planned Parenthood

In 1983, Professor John Robertson wrote, “[w]omen in the United States began their long struggle for reproductive freedom with the birth control movement of the mid-nineteenth century. The United States Supreme Court’s contraception and abortion cases marked a victorious end to one phase of that struggle.”\footnote{Id.} He added, “[b]y making a woman’s decision not to conceive or bear a child a constitutional right, these cases removed most legal barriers to sex without reproduction and thus gave women control over a major part of their biological destiny.”\footnote{John A. Robertson, Procreative Liberty and the Control of Conception, Pregnancy, and Childbirth, 69 Va. L. Rev. 405, 405 (1983) (citing Bellotti v. Baird, 443 U.S. 622 (1979); Carey v. Population Servs. Int’l, 431 U.S. 678 (1977); Planned Parenthood v. Danforth, 428 U.S. 52 (1976); Roe v. Wade, 410 U.S. 113 (1973); Eisenstadt v. Baird, 405 U.S. 438 (1972); Griswold v. Connecticut, 381 U.S. 479 (1965)) (footnote omitted).} Unfortunately, that perceived victory by some is currently being threatened by multiple attacks on an organization that provides...
the means for women to control their "biological destiny." Further, such attacks may just be the beginning of an attempt to chip away at women’s reproductive rights and autonomy.

A. Legislative Attempts to Defund Planned Parenthood

In early 2011, a bill was introduced in the House of Representatives that would entirely defund Planned Parenthood and wholly cut Title X. Time Magazine wrote, “[f]or pro-life forces, the opportunity was irresistible: for the first time since abortion became legal, more Americans call themselves pro-life than pro-choice, including 29 governors (up from 21 before last fall’s midterms). Activists call this the best climate in years for passing pro-life laws.” The movement initially began as a cost-cutting effort, but the anti-choice motivations of supporters quickly became clear. The New York Times wrote, “[u]nable to overturn Roe v. Wade, anti-abortion campaigners have worked in recent years within Congress and state legislatures, many of which have become increasingly conservative, to make gaining access to the procedure as difficult as possible.” The Pence Amendment, which would have entirely defunded

223. See id.
225. Id.; see also Bernstein, supra note 55, at 1473 (“Abortion opponents, dismayed by the Court’s unwillingness to overrule Roe, have adopted an incrementalist strategy, whereby instead of ‘trying to make abortion illegal’ they are ‘trying to make it impossible.’”).
226. See Gibbs, supra note 11 (“Maybe abortion opponents should be applauded for standing on principle at great potential cost. But why do it under the guise of cost cutting? Independents who have trended toward the pro-life position may draw the line at efforts that put women’s lives at risk. Deficit hawks may be annoyed by measures that are likely to cost more money in the end. And voters who want to see government get something done may wonder about the wisdom of spending days and nights debating amendments that will die in the Senate or on the President’s desk.”); see also Cecile Richards, The Craziness in Congress, HUFFINGTON POST, Oct. 14, 2011, http://www.huffingtonpost.com/cecile-richards/the-craziness-in-congress_b_1010645.html. (“Republican leaders in Congress just don’t get it. At a time when Americans want government focused on jobs and helping families weather the toughest economy in recent memory, they have submitted a budget that guts the nation’s birth control funding and eliminates access to cancer screenings for millions of women. They have launched a baseless investigation against Planned Parenthood, a nonprofit provider of health care to three million women, men, and teens each year. And just last night, they pushed and passed a dangerous bill that undermines women’s access to care, including eliminating protections for women seeking care in emergency situations.”).
Planned Parenthood, passed in the House 240 to 185, but was shot down in the Senate in a vote of 56 to 44.228

The "family values" campaign has gained momentum in recent years with its attack not only on abortion, but also contraception in general.229 Until fairly recently, the pro-life and pro-choice camps agreed on the benefit of preventing unwanted pregnancies through the use of contraceptives.230 However, even contraceptives, which clearly prevent the need for abortion, seem to be the subject of recent controversy.231 William Smith, Vice President for public policy for the Sexuality Information and Education Council of the United States stated, "[t]he linking of abortion and contraception is indicative of a larger agenda, which is putting sex back into the box, as something that happens only within marriage."232 Edward R. Martin Jr., a lawyer for the public-interest law firm Americans United for Life, clarifying the pro-life position on contraception, stated:

We see contraception and abortion as part of a mind-set that's worrisome in terms of respecting life. If you're trying to build a culture of life, then you have to start from the very beginning of life, from conception, and you have to include how we think and act with regard to sexuality and contraception.233

Essentially, there has been a shift regarding issues of sex from "education and contraception" to that of "abstinence and chastity."234 This shift appears to be a return to Victorian ideals of morality, without a clear recognition of the realities of contemporary American society.235

228. Lepore, supra note 4, at 46.
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231. Russell Shorto, Contra-Contraception, N.Y. TIMES MAG. May 7, 2006 available at http://www.nytimes.com/2006/05/07/magazine/07contraception.html?page wanted=all. "'We see a direct connection between the practice of contraception and the practice of abortion,' said Judie Brown, president of the American Life League, an organization that has battled abortion for 27 years but that, like others, now has a larger mission. 'The mind-set that invites a couple to use contraception is an antichild mind-set,' she told [the New York Times]. 'So when a baby is conceived accidentally, the couple already have this negative attitude toward the child. Therefore seeking an abortion is a natural outcome. We oppose all forms of contraception.'"
232. Id.
233. Id.
234. Southerland, supra note 146, at 92.
235. See Shorto, supra note 231 ("Senator Olympia Snowe of Maine, an abortion rights Republican who has sponsored legislation that would require insurance companies to cover contraception, has seen a major change. 'Two decades or more ago, I don't think there was much of a divide on contraception and family planning,'"
In September 2011, the Republican-led House Energy and Commerce Committee commenced an investigation of Planned Parenthood. The committee has requested numerous documents including audits, abortion-funding records, and reports on the organization's policy regarding sexual abuse. Representative Cliff Stearns indicated that the committee was examining the organization's "institutional practices and policies." Admittedly, the organization receives over $363 million in taxpayer funding each year, thus some fiscal exploration and accountability seems reasonable. However, Planned Parenthood President Cecile Richards contends that the inquiry was "not about fiscal responsibility." Richards suggests that this is an effort to shift focus from the economy, noting "when those guys can't figure out what to do about jobs, and they can't, their first target is women."

The House Energy and Finance Committee noted concern regarding Planned Parenthood's abortion practices. Further, allegations of over-billing on the part of Planned Parenthood have been made. Representative Cliff Stearns stated, "[a]lthough Planned Parenthood is barred from using federal funds to perform abortions, these funds are fungible and allow the group to use funds from other sources ostensibly for abortions." Contrary to Representative Stearns' statement, how-

236. House Panel, supra note 3.
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240. Yoest & Franzanello, supra note 239.
241. Lepore, supra note 4, at 46.
243. Yoest & Franzanello, supra note 239. Yoest and Franzanello contend that "a California audit of Planned Parenthood of San Diego and Riverside Counties in 2004 revealed that one Planned Parenthood affiliate . . . overbilled the Medicaid program by over 5 million dollars." Id.
244. House Panel, supra note 3. Representative Stearns continued, "Since the Planned Parenthood Foundation of America receives about $1 million a day in taxpayer funds, I sent a letter to the group's president requesting documents and information as we look at the organization's use of federal dollars and its compliance with various laws." Id. Charmaine Yoest, President and CEO of Americans United for Life, stated, "The American taxpayer does not want to be in the business of
ever, Planned Parenthood cannot use federal funds to subsidize abortions.\textsuperscript{245} Regardless, opponents contend that funding an organization that provides abortions in any way, is effectively underwriting the procedure.\textsuperscript{246} “This is not about Planned Parenthood’s right to be in the abortion business,” argued Indiana Republican Mike Pence, who sponsored the bill that would defund the organization in early 2011.\textsuperscript{247} He added, “[s]adly, abortion on demand is legal in America. This is about who pays for it.”\textsuperscript{248}

Representative Stearns also cited to what he characterized as Planned Parenthood’s “extensive record of violating state sexual assault and child abuse reporting laws, and of encouraging young girls to lie about their ages to circumvent state reporting laws.”\textsuperscript{249} This remark was in reference to the undercover sting operations utilized by the anti-abortion organization, Live Action.\textsuperscript{250} As part of the sting, a man posed as a pimp seeking to obtain health services for his underage prostitutes while utilizing a hidden video camera.\textsuperscript{251} Lila Rose, the leader of Live Action, announced, “Planned Parenthood aids and abets the sexual abuse and prostitution of minors.”\textsuperscript{252} However, one employee provided advice on how to navigate reporting restrictions, but has since been fired.\textsuperscript{253} Furthermore, all of the targeted clinics
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\item \textsuperscript{246} Gibbs, supra note 12.
\item \textsuperscript{247} Id.
\item \textsuperscript{248} Id.
\item \textsuperscript{250} House Panel, supra note 3.
\item \textsuperscript{252} Collins, supra note 251.
\item \textsuperscript{253} Id.; see also Erik Eckholm, Planned Parenthood Financing is Caught in Budget Feud, N.Y. TIMES, Feb. 17, 2011, http://www.nytimes.com/2011/02/18/us/politics/18plannedparenthood.html (“Planned Parenthood’s role as a major abortion pro-
reported the incidences, a detail that is often ignored in recent propaganda. Lastly, all of the videotapes were heavily edited and, therefore, not reliable. The Live Action videotapes were seemingly meant to drum up disgust for the organization, rather than provide real facts and genuine criticism.

Representative Stearn’s request did not go unnoticed by other House members. Several Democrats insinuated that the probe was part of a “Republican vendetta” against Planned Parenthood. Moreover, Representatives Waxman and DeGette called the request by the House Committee “extraordinarily broad and burdensome,” adding that they were “aware of no predicate that would justify this sweeping and invasive request.” They continued, “[w]e are committed to strong congressional oversight,” however, “we are opposed to investigations that appear to be designed to harass and shut down an organization simply because Republicans disagree with the work that it does.”

The request for additional documentation from Planned Parenthood is not the only Congressional effort meant to deter the organization. A new bill forbids federal spending for the government’s primary family planning program, Title X of the Public Health Services Act. The Act was instituted four decades ago has long provoked fierce opposition, but this month its opponents broadened their attacks, seeking to discredit the organization by linking it to the sexual exploitation of minors. A group called Live Action, which has repeatedly taken aim at Planned Parenthood and receives support from conservative foundations, released undercover videotapes in which clinic employees are seen answering questions from a man posing as a sex trafficker. Planned Parenthood says the tapes are misleading, that an errant staff member was fired and that its affiliates reported the encounters to law enforcement.

254. Collins, supra note 251. See also Steven Wagner, Planned Parenthood: A Culture of Sexploitation, The Washington Times, Mar. 2, 2011, http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2011/mar/2/planned-parenthood-a-culture-of-sexploitation/ (“Is the pimp sent away by indignant health care providers—or better, are the police called to investigate these evident cases of felony sexual abuse of a minor? Tragically, no: In each instance, clinic staff members readily describe how they can help facilitate the continued exploitation of his victims.”).
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Decades ago and offers services to over five million people. Under the proposal, no funding "may be made available for any purpose" for Planned Parenthood until it attests that it will no longer provide abortion services. The majority of Planned Parenthood's centers are supported by Title X grants meant to assist low-income women. Thus, eradication of funding could seriously hinder the organization's mission. Importantly, abortion remains a legalized service in the United States. However, such legislators have taken aim at all organizations that provide the service. Essentially, the legislators seek to take away the option in practice, since eradicating abortion in law has been met with limited success.

In addition to attacks at the federal level, some states have also made attempts to defund Planned Parenthood. Recently, Kansas tried to institute elevated eligibility restrictions for low income women seeking reproductive services. The bill would have blocked federal Medicaid funding to Planned Parenthood. Two federal judges issued injunctions which prevented the new laws being instituted. However, this is only temporary until there is an outcome regarding litigation. Yet, similar legislation was passed in Ohio, and is currently being debated in six additional states. Moreover, federal judges provisionally blocked laws relating to abortion restrictions in South Dakota and Texas, which could have an effect on Planned Parenthood.
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262. Id. "The clinics provide a wide range of health services and perform more than 300,000 abortions a year." Id.
263. Flanagan & Sangree, supra note 11.
264. See generally Kimberly Moss, Note, "Do No Harm—Unless She Wants an Abortion or Birth Control:" The Conscience Movement’s Impact on Women’s Health, 19 Tex. J. Women & L. 173 (2010) (detailing the need for legal and available women’s healthcare services).
265. See Mears, supra note 2.
266. Id. Planned Parenthood indicated that if the legislation passed, it would need to close some clinics and elevate fees. Id.
267. Id.
269. Id. "We're pleased that today's decision allows us to honor that commitment [to providing healthcare to those who could not otherwise afford it], at least while the lawsuit proceeds," said Peter Brownlie, President and CEP of Planned Parenthood of Kansas and Mid-Missouri. Mears, supra note 2.
270. Mears, supra note 2.
271. Sulzberger, supra note 269. "'We are testing the waters,' said State Representative Marva Beck, a freshman legislator in Texas who was part of the Republican wave that gave the party its first supermajority. 'We passed legislation saying,
In a footnote to the Texas decision, Judge Sam Sparks made clear the political tensions at play; he stated, "[i]t is ironic that many of the same people who zealously defend the state’s righteous duty to become intimately involved in a woman’s decision to get an abortion are also positively scandalized at the government’s gross overreaching in the area of health care." Federal judges issued injunctions relating to the eradication of funding for Planned Parenthood in Indiana and North Carolina as well.

Additionally, New Jersey slashed all $7.45 million of state support for “family planning health centers.” Governor Christie has since vetoed multiple bi-partisan attempts to reinstate funding to health centers like Planned Parenthood with “found” money excised from other parts of the budget. At a rally against Planned Parenthood, New Jersey State Senator Michael Doherty said, “[n]obody has any problem trying to have no affiliation with nefarious organizations such as the Nazis or apartheid regimes, but somehow, we’re asked to use our tax dollars to support these type[s] of organizations.”

Recently, Texas instituted a “tiered priority system” that places Planned Parenthood last in line to receive federal Title X funding, which could drastically limit services. Additionally, the state instituted a rule that “formally bans Planned Parenthood clinics and other ‘affiliates of abortion providers’” from obtaining funds from the joint state-federal Women’s Health Program (WHP), a program which is meant to assist low-income women with preventative health services. In response, the Obama administration indicated that the federal government
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would stop providing funds if Planned Parenthood is excluded.\textsuperscript{279} “Medicaid law is clear—patients, not state government officials, are able to choose the health-care providers that are best for them and their families,” said Cindy Mann, an official at the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services.\textsuperscript{280} Such attacks are not the first, and will certainly not be the last.

Planned Parenthood has also garnered criticism for Margaret Sanger’s association with the eugenics movement.\textsuperscript{281} Former presidential candidate Herman Cain suggested that abortion clinics target African-American neighborhoods as part of a black “genocide” effort.\textsuperscript{282} Planned Parenthood responded by calling his statements false and citing studies that indicate that less than nine percent of abortion clinics are located in predominately African-American neighborhoods.\textsuperscript{283} Veronica Byrd, director of African-American media for the Planned Parenthood Action
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\item \textsuperscript{281} See Lori Robertson, Fact Check: Cain’s False Attack on Planned Parenthood, USA TODAY, (Nov. 1, 2011, 7:55 PM), http://www.usatoday.com/news/politics/story/2011-11-01/herman-cain-fact-check-planned-parenthood/51033294/1 [hereinafter Fact Check] (“While she is heralded for her work in making birth control available, and legal, she was also tied to the eugenics movement, which believed the human species could be improved by controlling who reproduced and who didn’t. One essay from Sanger shows she believed birth control advocates and eugenists were working toward a similar goal — ‘to assist the race toward the elimination of the unfit.’ But she disagreed with some of the eugenists’ methods. She goes on to talk about the financial benefits of birth control, saying that it ‘will make a better race,’ because a family can better care for a smaller number of children.”); see also Ana Romero-Bosch, Lessons in Legal History—Eugenics & Genetics, 11 MICH. ST. U. J. MED. & L. 89, 103-05 (2007) (discussing Margaret Sanger’s association with the eugenics movement).
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\end{enumerate}
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Fund stated, "[i]t is simply unacceptable for those who oppose legal abortion to use inflammatory and divisive language based on race to push an ideological agenda."\textsuperscript{284}

Former Pennsylvania Senator and current presidential candidate Rick Santorum also made calls to defund the organization, which suggests that the attack is only beginning.\textsuperscript{285} Furthermore, virtually all of the Republican presidential nominees have signed the Pro-Life Leadership pledge, which has a primary goal of defunding Planned Parenthood.\textsuperscript{286} Interestingly, the Republican Party had more pro-choice members than the Democrats until the 1980s, with the shift in party ideology occurring only in past few decades.\textsuperscript{287}

\textsuperscript{284} Black "Genocide," supra note 282. See also Robertson, Fact Check, supra note 281 ("Veronica Byrd, director of African American media at Planned Parenthood, issued a statement saying: ‘Planned Parenthood has a long history of condemning racism and opposes discrimination in all forms. Margaret Sanger worked for social and racial justice at a time when segregation was the law of the land. She was invited by African American leaders to help provide health care to women in the African American community and her work was praised by Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. For all her positive work, Margaret Sanger made statements some 80 years ago that were wrong then and are wrong now. Those statements have no bearing on the high quality health care Planned Parenthood provides today.’"); see also Vanessa Cullins, Why Birth Control With No Co-Pay Will Help African-American Women, HUFFINGTON POST, Aug. 4, 2011, available at http://www.huffingtonpost.com/vanessa-cullins/why-free-birth-control-wi1_b_916702.html (noting Planned Parenthood helps African American women. A recent survey of African American women ages eighteen to thirty-four, indicated that fifty-one percent had difficulty purchasing and utilizing birth control because of the cost. As such, African American women are three times more likely than white women to have an unintended pregnancy, and African American women have elevated rates of abortion, in comparison to white women).

\textsuperscript{285} See William Petroski, Santorum: Boot Liberal Judges, Defund Planned Parenthood, (Nov. 4, 2011), DES MOINES REGISTER, available at http://caucuses.desmoinesregister.com/2011/11/04/santorum-boot-liberal-federal-judges-defund-planned-parenthood/ ("Santorum’s plan includes: Calling on Congress to abolish the 9th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals, based in San Francisco, which covers the largest geographic area of any appeals court and is considered the most liberal. He called the 9th Circuit the “poster child for rogue courts” and proposed replacing it with two or three smaller circuits with new judges who would have to undergo Senate confirmation. Vetoing any bill or budget that funds abortion or that funds any organization that performs abortions, including Planned Parenthood. Calling on Congress to reinstitute “Don’t ask, Don’t tell,” the recently repealed law that prohibited gay military members from serving openly. Advocating for a Personhood Amendment to the Constitution with the goal of banning abortions.").

\textsuperscript{286} Lepore, supra note 4, at 47. "Abortion wasn't a partisan issue until the Republicans made it one." \textit{Id.}

\textsuperscript{287} \textit{Id.} at 53.
B. The Need for Planned Parenthood

More than five million people worldwide are provided with health care education through Planned Parenthood. Planned Parenthood conducts its operations through almost eight hundred health centers, and has a presence in all fifty states. Planned Parenthood clinics provide pap smears, contraceptives, breast exams, and annual exams, which help prevent cervical cancer. Put into a national context, Planned Parenthood assists 800,000 women with life-saving breast exams, more than four million Americans with testing and treatment for sexually transmitted diseases, and 2.5 million people with contraception. There is no single other organization that comes close to having such a widespread impact on women’s health at the patient level. As such, the organization is valuable and funding should continue, at least at its current level.

Margaret Sanger’s concerns regarding access to contraception among the poor and people of color are still evident today. As one author complained, “[t]he use of the term ‘pro-choice’ overstates the degree of choice that many women possess when making reproductive health decisions, because the term ignores the limitations to choice caused by financial constraints for women living in poverty.” Fortunately, Planned Parenthood helps alleviate the financial barrier to contraception by providing health care at little or no cost to patients. Three quarters of the Planned Parenthood’s health care center clients have incomes at or 150 percent of the federal poverty line. For example, in New Jersey, the average Planned Parenthood patient is a
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low-income employed woman between the age of twenty and twenty-four, is ineligible for Medicaid, and lacks health insurance.295 Furthermore, “[seventy-three] percent of Planned Parenthood clinics are located in rural or underserved locations.”296

Upper and middle class women also require contraception services; however, they have better access to such services than their lower income counterparts. For example, the “typical” woman desires two children.297 “This means that she will spend ‘about five years pregnant, postpartum or trying to become pregnant, and three decades—more than three-quarters of her reproductive life—trying to avoid pregnancy.”298 Although Planned Parenthood primarily serves women in lower income brackets, even high income earning women have something to lose if the organization is shut down, as efforts against contraception and abortion in general could strengthen. Consequently, attacks on a woman’s reproductive rights and health care have an effect on sexual equality.299

Furthermore, eighty-three percent of Planned Parenthood’s patients receive services meant to prevent unintended pregnancy.300 “About half of all pregnancies in the United States each year—more than three million—are unintended. By age 45, more than half of all American women will have experienced an unintended pregnancy, and about one-third will have had an abortion.”301 Abortion rates are even higher amongst those in lower income brackets, often due to the cost of birth control. “Forty-two percent of women obtaining abortions in 2008 reported family incomes that qualified them as poor, and an addi-
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tional [twenty-seven percent] were low income." Moreover, three quarters of American women who have an abortion do so because they cannot afford the costs of raising a child. Unintended pregnancy is costly. It is estimated that unintended pregnancy cost nearly $5 billion in 2002 alone. In the same year, contraceptive use was estimated to save $19.3 billion. Statistical estimates reveal that Planned Parenthood averts 612,000 unintended pregnancies each year, and prevents 291,000 abortions each year. Consequently, every dollar spent on family-planning services saves $4 in the future for Medicaid expenses.

Regardless of one’s political or philosophical views, contraception plainly prevents abortion. In a New York Times piece, Nicholas D. Kristof stated:

That’s one of the paradoxes in the abortion debate: The White House frequently backs precisely the policies that cause America to have one of the highest abortion rates in the West. Compared with other countries, the U.S. lags in sex education and in availability of contraception—financing for contraception under the Title X program has declined 59 percent in constant dollars since 1980—so we have higher unintended pregnancy rates and abortion rates.

Without access to affordable birth control, women would be more likely to seek abortions, as they have since before Margaret Sanger’s time. Further, illegal abortions are naturally more
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likely to be dangerous and life-threatening to a woman’s health.\footnote{309}

A recent report by the Institute of Medicine, an independent nonprofit organization, indicates that birth control, reproductive health services, and related education should be accessible “so that women can better avoid unwanted pregnancies and space their pregnancies to promote optimal birth outcomes.”\footnote{310} The report advised that birth control, sterilization, and sex education should be covered by health insurance plans without any additional co-pay from the patient.\footnote{311} A 2001 survey found that half of all American pregnancies were unintended.\footnote{312} Further, “women who have unintended pregnancies are more likely to have little or no prenatal care, and engage in risky behaviors such as smoking, drinking or experience domestic violence.”\footnote{313} Moreover, birth control is useful for spacing out births.\footnote{314} As such, the American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, the American Academy of Family Physicians, along with the American Public Health Association, have each recommended the use of contraceptive services as part of women’s preventative care.\footnote{315} This view also appears to be supported by most Americans. According to Planned Parenthood, seventy-one percent of American voters, including seventy-two percent of Republican women, support health care plans that encompass birth control without a co-pay.\footnote{316}

The restriction of contraceptive information can result in negative consequences as evidenced by the after effects of the Comstock Law.\footnote{317} Planned Parenthood has long contended that
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the global gag rule does not work.\textsuperscript{318} The gag rule "prohibited foreign family planning agencies from receiving U.S. funding if they provide, counsel, refer, or lobby for abortion services, even with their own funding."\textsuperscript{319} This rule was first instituted during the Reagan administration, but President Obama recently rescinded the rule.\textsuperscript{320} Further, the organization claims that restricting health care actually backfires.\textsuperscript{321} A new study published by the World Health Organization showed that abortion rates in Africa increased while the global gag rule was in effect.\textsuperscript{322} Latanya Mapp Frett, Vice President for the Global, Planned Parenthood Federation of America, said, “Restricting access to providers of comprehensive reproductive health services does not reduce the need for abortion. ‘It drives poor women to risk death and injury by seeking unsafe abortion care from unskilled providers.’”\textsuperscript{323}

Many anti-abortion advocates suggest that, rather than utilizing Planned Parenthood clinics, women can still utilize crisis pregnancy centers.\textsuperscript{324} Although this may provide a potential alternative for pregnant women, such centers generally do not provide contraceptive services or other preventative health care services. Moreover, some women also report dissatisfaction with pseudo-Planned Parenthood alternative “counseling” clinics.\textsuperscript{325}

extended global gag orders argue that socially conservative policies in foreign aid and programming undermine the development of a robust civil society, impede the democratic process, and operate to limit the participation of women and other marginalized groups in society.\textsuperscript{318}
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Such centers "portray themselves as nonpartisan health and counseling clinics, but in fact they oppose abortion, and sometimes even family planning, and push a political agenda on vulnerable women."\textsuperscript{326} Further, a Congressional inquiry revealed that eighty-seven percent of "crisis pregnancy centers" provide deceptive or disingenuous medical information.\textsuperscript{327} Despite the study, the government has given more than $9.3 million in grants to these centers.\textsuperscript{328}

CONCLUSION

Perhaps some things never change. The Planned Parenthood organization remains controversial, and the recent attacks on Planned Parenthood bear a striking resemblance to previous attacks on the organization, dating back to its founding in the early twentieth century. Dr. Joseph B. Stanford, who was appointed by President Bush in 2002 to the Federal Drug Administration’s Reproductive Health Drugs Advisory Committee, wrote:

Sexual union in marriage ought to be a complete giving of each spouse to the other, and when fertility (or potential fertility) is deliberately excluded from that giving I am convinced that something valuable is lost. A husband will sometimes begin to see his wife as an object of sexual pleasure who should always be available for gratification.\textsuperscript{329}

Dr. Stanford wrote that essay in 1999, but the words sound remarkably similar to the 1919 rhetoric used to support the Comstock law.\textsuperscript{330} Interestingly, what has changed is the political composition of attackers. The Republican Party developed Title X, a provision that is now being vigorously attacked by the party’s next generation.\textsuperscript{331}

Perhaps it is not surprising now that the anti-Planned Parenthood faction has gained so much speed given the ostensible return to traditional moral ideology amongst some Ameri-
cans. However, a return to Victorian values would not provide for the contemporary health care needs of women. As Margaret Sanger declared in 1914, the “first step towards getting life, liberty or the pursuit of happiness for any woman is her decision whether or not she shall become a mother.” If the organization ceased to exist, women would lose a valuable mechanism for seizing control of their bodies and health. New York Times columnist Gail Collins keenly noted, “[t]he people trying to put Planned Parenthood out of business do not seem concerned about what would happen to the 1.85 million low-income women who get family-planning help and medical care at the clinics each year. It just doesn’t come up. There’s not even a vague contingency plan.” Indeed, there is no contingency plan to meet the unique health needs of women if Planned Parenthood is essentially run out of town.

The rhetoric of those opposed to Planned Parenthood and contraceptives in general seems to be one of disgust towards reproductive rights and the women’s rights movement. Further, while Planned Parenthood opponents focus on abortion, they fail to see the positive effects that the organization has had on the lives of women. Ideally, funding will remain intact in order to allow the organization to continue functioning at its current level. Obviously, the government cannot fund every organization. However, funding Planned Parenthood makes financial sense since it saves money in the long run for taxpayers. Furthermore,
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for many women, Planned Parenthood is their only affordable source of healthcare. Given that the organization provides healthcare services in a relatively cost-effective manner, it should be valued. The preventative healthcare provided by Planned Parenthood saves money and lives. As Martha Nussbaum wisely noted, "[d]isgust has two opponents today, each increasingly powerful in social, political, and even legal life: respect and sympathy." 335 A greater respect is needed for the health needs of women, along with sympathy for those that are limited in contraceptive options and healthcare by their financial status.
