

UCLA

UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

HIV prevention for people who use substances: Evidence-based strategies

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/39f5v8x8>

Journal

Journal of Food and Drug Analysis, 21(4)

ISSN

1021-9498

Author

Shoptaw, Steven

Publication Date

2013-12-01

DOI

10.1016/j.jfda.2013.09.042

Peer reviewed



Published in final edited form as:

J Food Drug Anal. 2013 December ; 21(4): S91–S94. doi:10.1016/j.jfda.2013.09.042.

HIV prevention for people who use substances: evidence-based strategies

Steven Shoptaw

Center for Behavioral and Addiction Medicine, Department of Family Medicine, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, USA

Abstract

Evidence-based strategies to guide HIV prevention for people who use substances can be grouped into approaches that lower infectiousness among substance users living with HIV and those that prevent HIV acquisition among those who are uninfected. Dramatic successes in HIV prevention involving access to antiretroviral therapy (ART), opioid substitution therapies, and needle and syringe exchange programs have reduced both prevalence and incidence in the United States for people who use injection drugs, and modeling studies suggest that scale-up of these approaches will have a parallel impact worldwide. Medical HIV-prevention strategies that reduce infectiousness (“treatment as prevention” or early ART initiation) and that block HIV acquisition (pre-exposure prophylaxis, post-exposure prophylaxis) can constitute key elements of novel combination HIV-prevention approaches to the goals of reducing infectiousness and reducing acquisition of HIV among people who use substances. For individuals who use substances but do not inject, drug dependence treatments as HIV prevention have a meager evidence-base, with most consistent findings being reduction of sexual transmission behaviors that correspond with reductions in substance use, though not with prevention of HIV transmission. This approach may have value, however, when working with groups of substance users who face high rates of HIV prevalence and incidence. Some evidence exists to support HIV prevention interventions that target reduction of sexual risk behaviors in the setting of active stimulant use.

Keywords

Combination HIV prevention; Substance users; Drug treatment

1. Introduction

People who use substances can face multiple transmission risks for HIV when the substances are used in contexts of HIV prevalence. These include behaviors related to injection drug use (e.g., needle sharing, reusing needles) and to unprotected sexual behaviors engaged in when under the influence of substances. Remarkable successes in HIV prevention among people who use injection drugs are evident where there is simultaneous access to antiretroviral therapy, to opioid substitution therapy, and to needle and syringe

exchange programs, including impressive reductions in both incidence and prevalence of HIV [1]. By contrast, incidence continues to rise for men who have sex with men (MSM) [2], with data showing that substance use can facilitate sexual transmission risks, especially among MSM who incorporate stimulants [3] and alcohol [4] with their sexual behaviors. Among non-substance using MSM, biomedical HIV prevention strategies reduce HIV transmission when implemented with consistent condom use [5], though no data are available to suggest whether this protective benefit can be extended toward MSM who integrate substance use with their sexual behaviors. This paper outlines evidence-based strategies that can be used to guide a rational strategy for HIV prevention among substance users, particularly for those who engage in substance related risks in the setting of high HIV prevalence, and points toward future research and clinical efforts.

HIV prevention can be grouped into two approaches [6]: strategies that prevent transmissions by reducing infectiousness in those living with HIV and strategies that increase protection against acquisition of HIV-infection among those who are at high risk (see Table 1).

2. Lowering Infectiousness in Substance Users Living with HIV

Suppressing viremia using antiretroviral therapy (ART) in people living with HIV increases the health of HIV-infected individuals and reduces odds for transmission [7]. In a landmark trial, findings showed that compared to standard ART, those randomized to receive comprehensive ART early in HIV infection had fewer HIV-related morbidities. Moreover, the likelihood for HIV transmission to the uninfected partner from the partner receiving early ART was reduced by 96% [8]. The provision of ART early in HIV infection, which protects against HIV transmission in stable serodiscordant partners, has been named “treatment as prevention,” or TasP.

No one knows whether TasP would confer parallel health and prevention benefits for substance users living with HIV and who are in serodiscordant partnerships. Studies now are being planned to test whether implementing ART early in HIV infection in the context of opioid substitution therapy, consistent condom use, and access to needle and syringe exchange will enhance health for people who use injection drugs and prevent transmission to serodiscordant partners. While there are no data to address whether TasP will work in the setting of active injection drug use, it is known that ART taken consistently produces viral suppression in parallel fashion for people living with HIV who inject drugs as to those who do not use drugs [9]. Among HIV-positive MSM, the immune enhancing effects of adherence to ART are significantly greater than the modest cumulative negative effects on immune function due to reported use of either cocaine or methamphetamine [10]. Despite this, people who use drugs face consistent barriers to acquiring access to ART. Findings from a Canadian cohort show that people who use drugs (both injection and non-injection) have between a 53% and 38% lower likelihood (respectively) of ever having access to ART compared to people living with HIV who do not use drugs [11].

Among people who inject drugs, opioid substitution therapy is an efficacious HIV prevention strategy. Compared to people not in opioid substitution therapy, people who

inject drugs and who are in opioid substitution therapy are more likely to initiate ART and, once started, are more likely to adhere to ART [12, 13]. Even among homeless individuals, methadone maintenance doubles the odds for viral suppression compared to homeless individuals not on methadone [14]. Opioid substitution therapies (methadone and buprenorphine) act to prevent HIV transmission along multiple mechanisms, including reduction of illicit opioid use (and number of injections), sharing of injection equipment (and potential transmission events), and multiple sex partners and exchanges of sex for drugs or money (and number of drug-associated sexual transmission episodes) [15].

Whether or not people who inject drugs are engaged in opioid substitution therapy, needle and syringe exchange programs provide protection against HIV transmission by facilitating access to sterile injection equipment, to supplies that promote health and prevent disease, and to linkages to primary and HIV medical care [16]. Indeed, simultaneous scale-up of access to ART, opioid substitution therapy, and needle and syringe exchange programs is estimated to reduce HIV incidence among people who inject drugs by more than 60% [17, 18]. Additional HIV prevention approaches are recommended for people who inject drugs by the World Health Organization, UNAIDS, and the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime and include HIV counseling and testing, prevention and treatment of sexually transmitted infections, condom programs for people who use substances and their sexual partners, targeted information, education and communication programs, prevention vaccination and treatment for viral hepatitis, and voluntary medical male circumcision [19]. Interestingly, one randomized controlled trial shows efficacy for sustained reduction of HIV sexual transmission behaviors among non-treatment seeking stimulant users [20].

3. Preventing Acquisition of HIV for Substance Users

Acquisition of HIV can be prevented using pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), which entails providing ART prophylactically to HIV-negative individuals who experience regular exposures to HIV. Evidence for the approach is a randomized placebo controlled trial involving 2,499 MSM or transgender women who were assigned to receive a daily combination tablet of tenofovir and emtricitabine or placebo. Those assigned to active medication showed 43% reduction in HIV infection (incidence) compared to placebo, with 73% reduction among participants in the active condition who were highly adherent to their medication [5]. Initial evidence suggests that people who inject drugs may experience protection using PrEP, with one placebo-controlled randomized trial of daily oral tenofovir in the context of methadone maintenance and needle and syringe exchange programs showing efficacy over placebo in preventing HIV acquisition, particularly at long-term follow-up evaluations [21]. While preliminary, this evidence suggests a PrEP strategy may be appropriate for high-risk substance users, though the specifics defining the sample of substance users (injection, non-injecting, MSM, heterosexual, opioids, stimulants) and the contextual components in which the prophylactic medication is delivered (addiction treatment, harm reduction, sexually transmitted infection clinics) have yet to be articulated.

Though no randomized clinical trials of HIV medication or behavioral prevention interventions have demonstrated significant reductions in HIV incidence among HIV-negative individuals (independent of substance use), post exposure prophylaxis (PEP;

starting ART among HIV-negative individuals within 72 hours after potential exposure to HIV) is recommended in occupational and non-occupational contexts [22]. PEP strategies have been evaluated favorably when used among MSM [23]. When PEP is integrated with contingency management among MSM who have methamphetamine dependence and engage in concomitant high-risk sexual behaviors, positive response to contingency management increased completion rates for the PEP regimen [24]. Showing a preliminary signal for stimulant drug dependence treatment as HIV prevention, one randomized controlled trial showed that methamphetamine-dependent MSM assigned to receive mirtazapine (30 mg/day) significantly reduced methamphetamine use and high-risk sexual behaviors [25]. More careful evaluations are needed to determine whether drug dependence treatments can function in part or in whole as HIV prevention strategies in groups of substance users at high risk for HIV acquisition due to drug-related sexual transmission behaviors.

4. Summary and Conclusions

Taken together, a database exists that can guide composition of novel combination HIV prevention approaches for people who use drugs and encounter HIV transmission risks. Strong evidence suggests that people who use substances can benefit from TasP; weaker evidence indicates PrEP may provide prophylaxis against HIV transmission when delivered in specific contexts for people who inject drugs. Strong signals demonstrate that opioid substitution therapy enhances immune functioning among people who use drugs receiving ART and is efficacious on its own as an HIV prevention strategy among HIV-negative people who inject drugs. Future directions in research will articulate ways in which ART and efficacious medications for substance dependence can constitute novel combination HIV prevention strategies that will ultimately reduce infectiousness among HIV-positive substance users and prevent HIV acquisition among those who are HIV-negative.

References

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. HIV surveillance -- United States, 1981–2008. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2011; 60:690–3.
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. HIV surveillance report, 2011. 2013
3. Plankey MW, Ostrow DG, Stall R, et al. The relationship between methamphetamine and popper use and risk of HIV seroconversion in the multicenter AIDS cohort study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2007; 45:85–92. [PubMed: 17325605]
4. Woolf SE, Maisto SA. Alcohol use and risk of HIV infection among men who have sex with men. *AIDS Behav.* 2009; 13:757–82. [PubMed: 18236149]
5. Grant RM, Lama JR, Anderson PL, et al. Preexposure chemoprophylaxis for HIV prevention in men who have sex with men. *N Eng J Med.* 2010; 363:2587–99.
6. Kurth AE, Celum C, Baeten JM, et al. Combination HIV prevention: significance, challenges, and opportunities. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep.* 2011; 8:1–11. [PubMed: 21170687]
7. Kitahata MM, Gange SJ, Abraham AG, et al. Effect of early versus deferred antiretroviral therapy for HIV on survival. *N Eng J Med.* 2009; 360:1815–26.
8. Cohen MS, Chen YQ, McCauley M, et al. Prevention of HIV-1 infection with early antiretroviral therapy. *N Eng J Med.* 2011; 365:493–505.
9. Nolan S, Milloy MJ, Zhang R, et al. Adherence and plasma HIV RNA response to antiretroviral therapy among HIV-seropositive injection drug users in a Canadian setting. *AIDS Care.* 2011; 23:980–7. [PubMed: 21480010]

10. Shoptaw S, Stall R, Bordon J, et al. Cumulative exposure to stimulants and immune function outcomes among HIV-positive and HIV-negative men in the Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2012; 23:576–80. [PubMed: 22930295]
11. McGowan CC, Weinstein DD, Samenow CP, et al. Drug use and receipt of highly active antiretroviral therapy among HIV-infected persons in two U.S. clinic cohorts. *PLOS One*. 2011; 6:e18462. [PubMed: 21541016]
12. Uhlmann S, Milloy MJ, Kerr T, et al. Methadone maintenance therapy promotes initiation of antiretroviral therapy among injection drug users. *Addiction*. 2010; 105:907–13. [PubMed: 20331553]
13. Wood E, Hogg RS, Kerr T, et al. Impact of accessing methadone on the time to initiating HIV treatment among antiretroviral-naive HIV-infected injection drug users. *AIDS*. 2005; 19:837–9. [PubMed: 15867502]
14. Palepu A, Milloy MJ, Kerr T, et al. Homelessness and adherence to antiretroviral therapy among a cohort of HIV-infected injection drug users. *J Urban Health*. 2011; 88:545–55. [PubMed: 21409604]
15. Gowing L, Farrell MF, Bornemann R, et al. Oral substitution treatment of injecting opioid users for prevention of HIV infection. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2011; (8):CD004145. [PubMed: 21833948]
16. Neaigus A, Zhao M, Gyarmathy VA, et al. Greater drug injecting risk for HIV, HBV, and HCV infection in a city where syringe exchange and pharmacy syringe distribution are illegal. *J Urban Health*. 2008; 85:309–22. [PubMed: 18340537]
17. Strathdee SA, Hallett TB, Bobrova N, et al. HIV and risk environment for injecting drug users: the past, present, and future. *Lancet*. 2010; 376:268–84. [PubMed: 20650523]
18. Degenhardt L, Mathers B, Vickerman P, et al. Prevention of HIV infection for people who inject drugs: why individual, structural, and combination approaches are needed. *Lancet*. 2010; 376:285–301. [PubMed: 20650522]
19. World Health Organization, United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, UN AIDS. Technical guide for countries to set targets for universal access to HIV prevention, treatment, and care for injecting drug users. Geneva, Switzerland: 2012. Available from: http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/idu/targets_universal_access/en/index.html [Access August 15, 2013]
20. Mausbach BT, Semple SJ, Strathdee SA, et al. Efficacy of a behavioral intervention for increasing safer sex behaviors in HIV-positive MSM methamphetamine users: results from the EDGE study. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2010; 87:249–57. [PubMed: 17182196]
21. Choopanya K, Martin M, Suntharasamai P, et al. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for HIV infection in injecting drug users in Bangkok, Thailand (the Bangkok Tenofovir Study): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. 2013; 381:2083–90. [PubMed: 23769234]
22. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Antiretroviral postexposure prophylaxis after sexual, injection-drug use, or other nonoccupational exposure to HIV in the United States: recommendations from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2005; 54(RR-2)
23. Schechter M, do Lago RF, Mendelsohn AB, et al. Behavioral impact, acceptability, and HIV incidence among homosexual men with access to postexposure chemoprophylaxis for HIV. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2004; 35:519–25. [PubMed: 15021317]
24. Landovitz RJ, Fletcher JB, Inzhakova G, et al. A novel combination HIV prevention strategy: post-exposure prophylaxis with contingency management for substance abuse treatment among methamphetamine-using men who have sex with men. *AIDS Patient Care STDs*. 2012; 26:320–8. [PubMed: 22680280]
25. Colfax GN, Santos G-M, Das M, et al. Mirtazapine to reduce methamphetamine use: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2011; 68:1168–75. [PubMed: 22065532]
26. Metzger DS, Woody GE, O'Brien CP. Drug treatment as HIV prevention: a research update. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2010; 55(Suppl 1):S36–S.

Table 1

Evidence-Based HIV-Prevention Strategies for People Who Use Substances

Substance Users Living With HIV	Substance Users Uninfected with HIV
Antiretroviral therapy as HIV prevention (8)	Pre-exposure prophylaxis (5)
Opioid substitution therapy (15)	Post-exposure prophylaxis (23)
Needle and syringe exchange programs (16)	Drug dependence treatments (25, 26)
Behavioral prevention programs (20)	Behavioral prevention programs (24)