UCSF

UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

PCSK9 Inhibitors for Statin Intolerance?

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/39z9k6dg

Journal

JAMA, 315(15)

ISSN

0098-7484

Authors

Waters, David D Hsue, Priscilla Y Bangalore, Sripal

Publication Date

2016-04-19

DOI

10.1001/jama.2016.3670

Peer reviewed

PCSK9 Inhibitors for Statin Intolerance?

David D. Waters, MD; Priscilla Y. Hsue, MD; Sripal Bangalore, MD, MHA

Statin intolerance is a common problem most clinicians encounter when treating patients taking these drugs. Balancing the symptoms of muscle aches in a patient in need of cholesterol-lowering medication with the clinical trial-proven benefits of statins for reducing cardiovascular events in a broad spectrum of patients can be a difficult clinical challenge.

Muscle-related adverse effects from statins are highly mutable. Considerable evidence suggests that nonpharmacologic mechanisms account for most muscle-related statin intolerance. The prevalence of statin-associated muscle symptoms ranges from 7% to 29% in registries and observational studies.¹ The incidence of muscle symptoms is similar among statin-treated and placebo-treated patients across 26 long-term trials involving 170 000 patients.² In a large retrospective cohort study, 6579 of 11124 patients who discontinued a statin due to adverse effects were rechallenged, with 92% success in restoring therapy, although not necessarily with the same statin or dose.3 In an international survey, the incidence of intolerable statin-related adverse effects ranged from 2% in Japan, Spain, Italy, and Sweden to 10% to 12% in Canada, the United Kingdom, and the United States. 4 These substantial differences are likely to be modulated by cultural factors and patient perception.

Related article

Nevertheless, statins are capable of causing severe muscle damage, very rarely

leading to rhabdomyolysis, with this adverse effect most common with simvastatin. In 2011, the US Food and Drug Administration recommended that the 80-mg dose of simvastatin should only be used in patients who had been taking this medication for a year without adverse effects. Although the underlying mechanism of statin-induced myopathy remains unclear, risk factors include older age, impaired renal or hepatic function, surgery, human immunodeficiency virus infection, genetic susceptibility, and high levels of physical activity. Statins may rarely cause an autoimmune myopathy that persists after the drug is discontinued, with muscle weakness, myocyte necrosis, autoantibodies against the HMG-CoA reductase enzyme, and a need for immunosuppressive therapy.

Guidelines provide common-sense recommendations for the management of statin intolerance. ^{1,6,8} In some patients the appearance of muscle aches turns the risk-benefit ratio unfavorable, so that stopping the statin and turning to diet and exercise is reasonable. Restarting a different statin at a lower dose after symptoms abate is a widely recommended strategy. Almost all patients will eventually find a tolerable statin and dose, even if it is just a low dose taken once or twice per week. In general, any statin is better than no statin when indicated, and

most low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) lowering is obtained with the first 5 to 10 mg of statin.⁹

Nonstatin therapies are available to lower LDL-C levels. Ezetimibe is not approved to prevent cardiovascular events, and data from the only outcomes trial with this drug indicate that the number needed to treat per year to prevent a cardiovascular event is 350.10 Bile acid sequestrants are poorly tolerated at high doses because of gastrointestinal adverse effects, but these agents lower LDL-C levels synergistically with statins and can play a useful role at low doses. The newest class of drugs, protein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) inhibitors, has been shown to markedly lower LDL-C levels. Two of these monoclonal antibodies, evolocumab and alirocumab, were approved by the Food and Drug Administration in 2015 for use in addition to maximally tolerated statin therapy in adults with familial hypercholesterolemia or atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease who require additional lowering of LDL-C levels.

In this issue of *JAMA*, Nissen and colleagues¹¹ report the results of the GAUSS-3 trial, which used a rigorous protocol to investigate the use of the PCSK9 inhibitor evolocumab among patients with statin intolerance related to muscle-related adverse effects. The results are illuminating, but many unanswered questions remain.

In phase A of the trial, 491 patients with well-documented muscle-related adverse effects to 2 or more statins were randomized to receive either atorvastatin (20 mg daily) or placebo for 10 weeks, followed by a 2-week washout, followed by crossover to the alternate treatment for 10 weeks. Intolerable muscle-related symptoms developed in 209 patients (42.6%) while taking atorvastatin but not placebo, 130 (26.5%) while taking placebo but not atorvastatin, 48 (17.3%) while taking both treatments, and 85 (17.3%) while taking neither treatment. In phase B, 218 patients who had exhibited musclerelated adverse effects while taking atorvastatin but not while taking placebo, or who had experienced a 10-fold increase in creatine kinase level after statin administration, were randomized to receive ezetimibe (10 mg daily) (n = 73 patients) or evolocumab (420 mg monthly) (n = 145 patients). At 24 weeks, LDL-C levels were reduced by 16.7% (from 221.9 mg/dL at baseline to 181.5 mg/dL at 24 weeks) in the ezetimibe group and by 52.8% (from 218.8 mg/dL at baseline to 104.1 mg/dL at 24 weeks) in the evolocumab group (P < .001). This result is not surprising; indeed, similar results have been reported with evolocumab or alirocumab in statin-intolerant patients in 3 previous trials, although in this trial Nissen et al followed a precise protocol that identified patients who were truly statin intolerant.12-14

jama.com JAMA Published online April 3, 2016

OUTPUT: Mar 23 15:12 2016

Should statin-intolerant patients be treated with PCSK9 inhibitors such as evolocumab? There are several arguments against such an approach. First, PCSK9 inhibitors are not approved for this indication. Although preliminary results are encouraging¹⁵ and large, long-term outcome trials are well under way, PCSK9 inhibitors have not yet been shown to reduce cardiovascular events. Second, one-fifth of the statinintolerant patients in GAUSS-3 still reported muscle-related adverse effects while taking evolocumab.11 Third, a 1-year supply of either alirocumab or evolocumab currently costs approximately \$14 000.16 According to a recent analysis, using a "willingness-to-pay" threshold of \$50 000 per qualityadjusted life-year gained, a PCSK9 inhibitor would need to cost \$2600 per year to be worthwhile for a statin-intolerant patient with cardiovascular disease and an LDL-level of 70 mg/dL or greater.16

Such a categorical financial analysis implies that PCSK9 inhibitors should not be used in any statin-intolerant patients, a conclusion that would be inappropriate. However, a patient at very high risk for a cardiovascular event with intolerable muscle symptoms while taking even a low statin dose should be considered as a candidate for this treatment. Less than 1% of all "statin-intolerant" patients might belong in this group at present. For other patients with statin intolerance, the appropriateness of the use of these agents is less clear.

The management of care for statin-intolerant patients can be frustrating and time-consuming for patients and for phy-

sicians. Patients experience their current symptoms but often do not appreciate the cardiovascular events that statins are preventing. Physicians should persist at finding solutions that minimize their symptoms and maximize risk reduction.

The very long-term outcomes reported for early statin primary prevention trials^{17,18} are impressive, perhaps even inspiring. The Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial (AS-COT) randomized patients with hypertension and multiple risk factors to receive atorvastatin (10 mg daily) or to placebo and was stopped after a median follow-up of 3.3 years because of benefit.¹⁷ Approximately 8 years later, 11 years after randomization, total mortality, cardiovascular mortality, and noncardiovascular mortality were all significantly reduced in patients who had been in the statin group. In the West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study (WOSCOPS), pravastatin (40 mg daily) reduced cardiovascular events compared with placebo over 4.9 years of treatment; however, at 20-year follow-up, total and cardiovascular mortality, as well as hospitalizations, were significantly reduced for any coronary event by 18% (P = .002), for myocardial infarction by 24% (P = .01), and for heart failure by 35% (P = .002). 18

This legacy effect of statins is impressive. PCSK9 inhibitors are just starting out. Whether PCSK9 inhibitors will have the same impressive long-term outcomes will not be known for many years.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Author Affiliations: Division of Cardiology, San Francisco General Hospital, San Francisco, California (Waters, Hsue); Department of Medicine, University of California-San Francisco (Waters, Hsue); Division of Cardiology, New York University School of Medicine, New York, New York (Bangalore).

Corresponding Author: David D. Waters, MD, Division of Cardiology, San Francisco General Hospital, 1001 Potrero Ave, Room 5G1, San Francisco, CA 94114 (david.waters@ucsf.edu).

Published Online: April 3, 2016. doi:10.1001/jama.2016.3670.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: All authors have completed and submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Dr Waters reported receiving personal fees from Sanofi-Aventis, Pfizer, Resverlogix, The Medicines Company, CSL Ltd, and Varicel. Dr Hsue reported receiving honoraria from Amgen, Gilead, Merck, and BMS and that she is planning a trial sponsored by Pfizer on PCSK9 inhibition with bococizumab. Dr Bangalore reported receiving personal fees from Pfizer.

REFERENCES

E2

1. Stroes ES, Thompson PD, Corsini A, et al; European Atherosclerosis Society Consensus Panel. Statin-associated muscle symptoms: impact on statin therapy—European Atherosclerosis Society Consensus Panel Statement on Assessment, Aetiology and Management. *Eur Heart J.* 2015;36 (17):1012-1022.

- 2. Baigent C, Blackwell L, Emberson J, et al; Cholesterol Treatment Trialists' (CTT) Collaboration. Efficacy and safety of more intensive lowering of LDL cholesterol: a meta-analysis of data from 170,000 participants in 26 randomised trials. *Lancet*. 2010;376(9753):1670-1681.
- 3. Zhang H, Plutzky J, Skentzos S, et al. Discontinuation of statins in routine care settings: a cohort study. *Ann Intern Med*. 2013;158(7):526-534.
- **4.** Hovingh GK, Gandra SR, McKendrick J, et al. Identification and management of patients with statin-associated symptoms in clinical practice: a clinician survey. *Atherosclerosis*. 2016;245:111-117.
- **5**. US Food and Drug Administration (FDA). FDA: Limit Use of 80 mg Simvastatin. FDA website. http://www.fda.gov/ForConsumers/ConsumerUpdates/ucm257884.htm. Accessed March 13, 2016.
- **6.** Mancini GB, Tashakkor AY, Baker S, et al. Diagnosis, prevention, and management of statin adverse effects and intolerance: Canadian Working Group Consensus update. *Can J Cardiol*. 2013;29 (12):1553-1568.
- **7**. Mammen AL. Statin-associated autoimmune myopathy. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;374(7):664-669.
- 8. Rosenson RS, Baker SK, Jacobson TA, Kopecky SL, Parker BA; The National Lipid Association's Muscle Safety Expert Panel. An assessment by the Statin Muscle Safety Task Force: 2014 update. *J Clin Lipidol*. 2014;8(3)(suppl):S58-S71.
- Jones P, Kafonek S, Laurora I, Hunninghake D. Comparative dose efficacy study of atorvastatin versus simvastatin, pravastatin, lovastatin, and fluvastatin in patients with hypercholesterolemia (the CURVES study). Am J Cardiol. 1998;81(5):582-587.

- **10.** Cannon CP, Blazing MA, Giugliano RP, et al; IMPROVE-IT Investigators. Ezetimibe added to statin therapy after acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;372(25):2387-2397.
- 11. Nissen SE, Stroes E, Dent-Acosta RE, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of evolocumab vs ezetimibe in patients with muscle-related statin intolerance: the GAUSS-3 randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. doi:10.1001/jama.2016.3608.
- 12. Sullivan D, Olsson AG, Scott R, et al. Effect of a monoclonal antibody to PCSK9 on low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels in statin-intolerant patients: the GAUSS randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2012; 308(23):2497-2506.
- **13.** Stroes E, Colquhoun D, Sullivan D, et al; GAUSS-2 Investigators. Anti-PCSK9 antibody effectively lowers cholesterol in patients with statin intolerance: the GAUSS-2 randomized, placebo-controlled phase 3 clinical trial of evolocumab. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;63(23):2541-2548.
- **14.** Moriarty PM, Thompson PD, Cannon CP, et al; ODYSSEY ALTERNATIVE Investigators. Efficacy and safety of alirocumab vs ezetimibe in statin-intolerant patients, with a statin rechallenge arm: the ODYSSEY ALTERNATIVE randomized trial. *J Clin Lipidol*. 2015;9(6):758-769.
- **15.** Waters DD, Hsue PY. PCSK9 inhibition to lower LDL-cholesterol and reduce cardiovascular risk: great expectations. *Circ Res.* 2015;116(10):1643-1645.
- **16.** Institute for Clinical and Economic Review (ICER). PCSK9 Inhibitors for Treatment of High Cholesterol: Effectiveness, Value, and Value-Based Price Benchmarks Draft Report. ICER website. http://cepac.icer-review.org/wp-content/uploads/2015

OUTPUT: Mar 23 15:12 2016

SESS: 24

JAMA Published online April 3, 2016 jama.com

PAGE: left 2

/04/PCSK9_Draft_Report_0908151.pdf. September 8, 2015. Accessed March 22, 2016.

17. Sever PS, Chang CL, Gupta AK, Whitehouse A, Poulter NR; ASCOT Investigators. The Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial: 11-year

mortality follow-up of the lipid-lowering arm in the U.K. *Eur Heart J.* 2011;32(20):2525-2532.

18. Ford I, Murray H, McCowan C, Packard CJ. Long-term safety and efficacy of lowering

low-density lipoprotein cholesterol with statin therapy: 20-year follow-up of West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study. *Circulation*. 2016;133 (11):1073-1080.

jama.com JAMA Published online April 3, 2016 E3