# **UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works**

# **Title**

Maternal Germline-Specific Genes in the Asian Malaria Mosquito Anopheles stephensi: Characterization and Application for Disease Control

**Permalink** <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3b97d7tk>

**Journal** G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics, 5(2)

**ISSN** 2160-1836

# **Authors**

Biedler, James K Qi, Yumin Pledger, David [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3b97d7tk#author)

**Publication Date** 2015-02-01

# **DOI**

10.1534/g3.114.015578

Peer reviewed

# Maternal Germline-Specific Genes in the Asian Malaria Mosquito Anopheles stephensi: Characterization and Application for Disease Control

James K. Biedler,\*<sup>2</sup> Yumin Qi,\* David Pledger,<sup>†,1</sup> Vanessa M. Macias,<sup>†</sup> Anthony A. James,<sup>†</sup> and Zhijian Tu\*<sup>2</sup> \*Department of Biochemistry and Fralin Life Science Institute, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061 and †Departments of Microbiology & Molecular Genetics and Molecular Biology & Biochemistry, University of California, Irvine, California 92697

ABSTRACT Anopheles stephensi is a principal vector of urban malaria on the Indian subcontinent and an emerging model for molecular and genetic studies of mosquito biology. To enhance our understanding of female mosquito reproduction, and to develop new tools for basic research and for genetic strategies to control mosquitoborne infectious diseases, we identified 79 genes that displayed previtellogenic germline-specific expression based on RNA-Seq data generated from 11 life stage–specific and sex-specific samples. Analysis of this gene set provided insights into the biology and evolution of female reproduction. Promoters from two of these candidates, vitellogenin receptor and nanos, were used in independent transgenic cassettes for the expression of artificial microRNAs against suspected mosquito maternal-effect genes, discontinuous actin hexagon and myd88. We show these promoters have early germline-specific expression and demonstrate 73% and 42% knockdown of myd88 and discontinuous actin hexagon mRNA in ovaries 48 hr after blood meal, respectively. Additionally, we demonstrate maternal-specific delivery of mRNA and protein to progeny embryos. We discuss the application of this system of maternal delivery of mRNA/miRNA/protein in research on mosquito reproduction and embryonic development, and for the development of a gene drive system based on maternal-effect dominant embryonic arrest.

# **KEYWORDS**

vector control vector-borne disease transcriptome An. stephensi gene drive

Anopheles mosquitoes are the primary vectors of malaria and are annually responsible for more than 200 million cases of disease and more than 600,000 deaths worldwide (Who 2013). An. stephensi (An.

stephensi), the Asian malaria mosquito, is the primary vector of urban malaria in India (Sharma 1999) and the Middle East (Rafinejad et al. 2008). Application of current systems biology tools provides opportunities to enhance our understanding of mosquito biology, which will lead to novel methods to control mosquito-borne infectious diseases. Such new tools are urgently needed because current control measures are being threatened because drug and insecticide resistance has increased (Morel et al. 2002; Catteruccia 2007; Ranson et al. 2011; Cheeseman et al. 2012).

Many innovative genetic strategies have been devised to reduce mosquito populations such as a flightless phenotype for An. stephensi (Marinotti et al. 2013) and other mosquitoes (Fu et al. 2010; Labbe et al. 2012), and a Release of Insects carrying a Dominant Lethal gene (RIDL) system in Ae. aegypti that showed great promise in field experiments (Harris et al. 2011). Another potential strategy is based on using gene drive systems to replace a target mosquito population with disease refractory populations. Maternal-effect dominant embryonic arrest (Medea) is a promising gene drive system that has been engineered in Drosophila (Chen et al. 2007). Medea requires both germline-specific and zygotic promoters, and we have demonstrated

Copyright © 2015 Biedler et al.

doi: 10.1534/g3.114.015578

Manuscript received November 6, 2014; accepted for publication December 1, 2014; published Early Online December 5, 2014.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Unported License ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) [by/3.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/)), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Supporting information is available online at [http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/](http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.114.015578/-/DC1) [suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.114.015578/-/DC1](http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.114.015578/-/DC1)

RNA-Seq data for the An. stephensi transcriptome used in this study can be accessed at the NCBI Sequence Read Archive [\(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra)) using ID: SRP013839.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Present address: Shadow Editing, #50 Street 350, Phnom Penh, Cambodia 12304. <sup>2</sup>Corresponding authors: Department of Biochemistry, 315 Fralin Life Science Institute, West Campus Dr., Mail Code 0346, Blacksburg, VA 24061. E-mail:

[jbiedler@vt.edu;](mailto:jbiedler@vt.edu) and Department of Biochemistry, 303 Fralin Life Science Institute, West Campus Dr., Mail Code 0346, Blacksburg, VA 24061. E-mail: [jaketu@vt.edu](mailto:jaketu@vt.edu)

the use of RNA-Seq for the identification of early zygotic genes in Ae. aegypti (Biedler and Tu 2010; Biedler et al. 2012).

We are interested in maternal germline-specific genes in the An. stephensi for both fundamental and translational research purposes. Only female mosquitoes bite and transmit pathogens, and thus female reproduction has long been one of the focal points of molecular studies. Although much has been learned about blood-feeding– triggered events during ovarian development, no systematic analysis has been performed to discover the genes involved in early oogenesis in Anopheles mosquitoes. Germline-specific genes have been identified in the divergent mosquito species Ae. aegypti based on RNA-Seq (Akbari et al. 2013, 2014). Akbari et al. 2014 focused on four highly expressed genes and the control regions were experimentally investigated by transgenic experiments. Large-scale gene expression studies based on microarrays have been performed in An. gambiae (Dana et al. 2005; Marinotti et al. 2006; Baker et al. 2011; Magnusson et al. 2011), a much more closely related species to An. stephensi. Although some of these studies included previtellogenic ovary samples, none was focused on comprehensively isolating female germline-specific genes expressed during the previtellogenic period (prior to a blood meal).

Here, we report the identification and characterization of 79 previtellogenic early ovary-specific genes by genome-wide analysis using RNA-Seq data collected from samples across a broad range of developmental stages and tissues in Anopheles stephensi. To our knowledge, this is the first comprehensive work performed to identify a set of female germline-specific genes in anopheline mosquitoes using RNA-Seq. Functional and evolutionary insights were gained from gene ontology and orthology analysis. We developed a system to simultaneously characterize the promoters of the maternal germline-specific genes and deliver artificial microRNAs (amiRNAs) or functional proteins in the developing oocyte and early embryo. We demonstrate successful knockdown of maternal transcripts by the miRNAs. Such a transgenic system will facilitate functional investigations of genes involved in mosquito oogenesis and early embryonic development. It is also a step forward toward the production of a Medea gene drive system in mosquitoes, which is dependent on the maternal delivery of amiRNAs. For the purpose of developing a Medea system in mosquitoes where maternal-effect genes are targeted, miRNA delivery is critical during the previtellogenic period to knockdown mRNA levels prior to their translation.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Mosquito rearing

An. stephensi (Indian) mosquitoes were reared in incubators at  $27^{\circ}$  with 80% relative humidity and on a 12-hr light/12-hr dark cycle. Larvae were fed Sera Micron Fry and Purina Game Fish Chow, and adults were fed 10% sucrose (w/v in H2O). Mosquitoes were blood-fed on female Hsd: ICR [CD-1(R)] mice (Harlan Laboratories, [http://www.harlan.com\)](http://www.harlan.com).

#### PolyA+ RNA-Seq

Eleven An. stephensi (Indian) mosquito samples collected or dissected included: 0- to 1-hr, 2- to 4-hr, 4- to 8-hr, and 8- to 12-hr embyros; larvae (pooled  $1<sup>st</sup>-4<sup>th</sup>$  instar, mixed sex); pupae (mixed sex); 1- to 5day-old males (sampled from each day); 1- to 5-day-old females (sampled from each day); 1- to 2-day-old previtellogenic ovaries; 24-hr post-blood meal (PBM) ovaries; and 24-hr PBM carcass (ovaries removed). All samples were homogenized in Trizol (Molecular Research Center) and total RNA was isolated according to the manufacturer's protocol. Total RNA was treated using the Turbo DNA-free kit (DNase

from Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) and RNA quality was determined using a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) at Virginia Bioinformatics Institute (Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA). RNA-Seq was performed by the DNA Facility at Idaho State University (Ames, IA). PolyA+ selection was performed on total RNA for mRNA isolation. Sequencing was performed on a Genome Analyzer II for 36 cycles. RNA-Seq samples used in this study are accessible from the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRP013839).

#### **Bioinformatics**

RNA-Seq reads were mapped using TopHat (Trapnell et al. 2009) to all 11,789 predicted transcripts of the An. stephensi Indian strain (Assembly AsteI2, Geneset AsteI2.1; October 16, 2013; [https://www.vectorbase.](https://www.vectorbase.org/organisms/anopheles-stephensi) [org/organisms/anopheles-stephensi\)](https://www.vectorbase.org/organisms/anopheles-stephensi). For this analysis, the nanos sequence ASTEI02887 was replaced with the coding region from AY738090.1 because it appeared the annotated transcript comprised two different genes. HTSeq (Anders et al. 2014) was used to generate mapped read counts for each transcript. Raw and RPKM-normalized (Mortazavi et al. 2008) mapped RNA-Seq read counts can be found in [Supporting Information,](http://www.g3journal.org/content/suppl/2014/12/05/g3.114.015578.DC1/015578SI.pdf) [File S1](http://www.g3journal.org/content/suppl/2014/12/05/g3.114.015578.DC1/FileS1.xlsx). EdgeR (Robinson et al. 2010) was used to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs) by performing four pairwise comparisons between the 1- and 2-day-old previtellogenic ovary sample to four other samples (larvae, pupae, male, 24-hr PBM carcass). For the biological coefficient of variation, 0.4 was used as the single replicate samples were compared. From these outputs, two groups of DEGs were identified using false discovery rates (FDRs) of 0.001 and 0.01. Retaining the genes meeting the cutoff in all comparisons, 80 and 208 genes were identified, respectively (see [File S2](http://www.g3journal.org/content/suppl/2014/12/05/g3.114.015578.DC1/FileS2.xlsx)). Blast2GO (Gotz et al. 2008) was used to annotate DEGs identified by EdgeR. All GO terms associated with each sequence can be found in [File S3](http://www.g3journal.org/content/suppl/2014/12/05/g3.114.015578.DC1/FileS3.xlsx).

#### Transgenic cassette design

The piggyBac transformation donor plasmid (Horn et al. 2000) was used as the backbone for design of our transgenic cassettes. Upstream sequences and 5' UTRs of the An. stephensi nanos (for the anti-myd88 cassette) and vitellogenin receptor (vgr) [for the anti-discontinuous actin hexagon (dah) cassette] genes were cloned using LA Taq DNA polymerase (Clonetech, Mountain View, CA) according to manufacturer's protocol. An  $\sim$ 3-kb DNA fragment containing the first exon and intron of Ae. aegypti bZip1 (AAEL009263, accession JQ266221) and the luciferase coding sequence/SV40 polyadenlyation-termination signal (Promega, Madison, WI) was synthesized by BioBasic (Markam, Ontario, CA) and inserted into the backbone donor plasmid. The three mature miRNA sequences (Li et al. 2009) that are in the Ae. aegypti  $bZip1$  intron were altered to target the 5' UTR of either  $myd88$  or dah in three unique locations. See [File S4](http://www.g3journal.org/content/suppl/2014/12/05/g3.114.015578.DC1/FileS4.pdf) for donor plasmid sequences used to generate transgenic lines.

#### **Transformation**

Transformation of the An. stephensi Indian strain by embryonic injection was performed at the University of California Irvine. Injection and piggyBac transposition-dependent transformation were performed using methods previously established (Catteruccia et al. 2000; Grossman et al. 2001; Terenius et al. 2007). Donor and helper (Handler and Harrell 1999) plasmids were injected into embryos at a concentration of 500 ng/ul and 300 ng/ul, respectively.

#### Expression profiling by luciferase assay

Luciferase assays were performed using the Luciferase Assay System (Promega, Madison, WI). Samples were homogenized in 100 ul 1X Passive Lysis Buffer, and then 20 ul of homogenate was assayed with 100 ul Luciferase assay reagent. Luminescence was recorded for 10 sec on a GloMax 20/20 luminometer (Promega, Maidson, WI).

### RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA was isolated using Trizol (Molecular Research Center) according to the manufacturer's protocol and treated using the Turbo DNA-free kit (DNase from Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY). Approximately 500 ng to 1 ug of total RNA was used for cDNA synthesis using the First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Invitrogen) primed with random hexamers, according to the manufacturer's protocol.

# $5'$  and  $3'$  RACE

5' and 3' RACE was performed using total RNA and the SMARTer RACE cDNA Amplification Kit (Clonetech, Mountain View, CA). 5' RACE was performed to determine the transcription start site (TSS) and 5' UTRs of myd88 and dah.

# RT-PCR

Thirty cycles of PCR were performed on cDNA using a Mastercycler Gradient thermocycler (Eppendorf, Hauppauge, NY) and Takara Taq Polymerase (Clonetech, Mountain View, CA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Annealing temperature used was 3° below primer Tm with an extension time of 1 min 30 sec. Ribosomal protein S4 (rpS4) was used as a loading control. When possible, amplicons spanned an intron to be able to differentiate between amplification of cDNA and contaminating genomic DNA by size. PCR products were size-separated by electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel containing GelRed (Biotium, Hayward, CA) for visualization by exposure to UV light. See [File S5](http://www.g3journal.org/content/suppl/2014/12/05/g3.114.015578.DC1/FileS5.pdf) for primers used.

#### Real-time quantitative PCR

For real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR), parameters were followed according to the manufacturer's instructions for the TaqMan Gene Expression Assay (ABI) to perform triplicate reactions utilizing cDNA generated as previously stated. The proportions for a 20-uL reaction were followed using TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix, 20X Assay Mix and diluted cDNA. Assay mixes were generated for both amplification of cortex and the reference gene rpS4. The cycling parameters on the ABI 7300 were as follows:  $50^{\circ}$  for 2 min,  $95^{\circ}$  for 10 min, and 40 cycles of 95 $^{\circ}$  for 15 sec and 60 $^{\circ}$  for 1 min. The data were analyzed using the 7300 System Software under ddCt relative quantification settings with  $rpS4$  as the endogenous control. The ddCt values are relatively quantitative to the calibrator of each data set. The fold change for each sample measured against the calibrator yields the relative quantification value. Primers and probe sequences can be found in [File S5.](http://www.g3journal.org/content/suppl/2014/12/05/g3.114.015578.DC1/FileS5.pdf)

For the additional expression verification of five germline-specific genes identified by our bioinformatic screen, we performed RT-qPCR (see Supplementary Information) using the GoTaq PCR Mastermix (Promega, Madison, WI) and the ABI 7300 qPCR machine. Total RNA was isolated from the same 11 samples used for RNA-Seq described above using the Quick-RNA MiniPrep (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA). cDNA was synthesized as described above. cDNA was diluted 1:3 in H2O and 2 ul was used for each 20 ul reaction. Triplicate technical replicates were performed for both the gene of interest and the reference gene rpS4. A melt curve analysis revealed a single peak for all genes assayed. All amplicons were designed to be  $\sim$ 100 bp, except for  $r$ pS4, which was  $\sim$ 140 bp. See [File S5](http://www.g3journal.org/content/suppl/2014/12/05/g3.114.015578.DC1/FileS5.pdf) for primers used.

### Droplet digital PCR

Droplet digital PCR (ddPCR) was performed using the QX100 ddPCR System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). PCR was performed with PCR mastermix (Bio-Rad) and 1 ul cDNA in an S1000 Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad). Cycling parameters were  $95^{\circ}$  10 min,  $(94^{\circ}$  30 sec,  $58.7^{\circ}$ 1 min)  $\times$  40, 98 $^{\circ}$  10 min. Probes specific for *myd88*, *dah*, *proteasome* subunit beta type-2 (reference gene), and  $r$ pS4 (reference gene) were purchased from Biosearch Technologies (Petaluma, CA). See [File S5](http://www.g3journal.org/content/suppl/2014/12/05/g3.114.015578.DC1/FileS5.pdf) for primers and probes.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Identification of early ovary-specific genes using RNA-Seq

To discover early previtellogenic germline-specific genes in An. stephensi, we had polyA+ RNA-Seq performed on polyA+ RNA from 11 single samples covering different life stages, tissues, and sex-specific samples. These samples comprise 0- to 1-hr embryo, 2- to 4-hr embryo, 4- to 8-hr embryo, 8- to 12-hr embryo, larvae, pupae, 1- to 5-dayold males, 1- to 5-day-old females, 1- to 2-day-old (previtellogenic) ovaries, 24-hr PBM ovaries, and 24-hr PBM carcass (ovaries removed). RNA-Seq reads were mapped using TopHat (Trapnell et al. 2009) to all 11,789 predicted transcripts of the An. stephensi Indian strain (Assembly AsteI2, Geneset AsteI2.1; October 16, 2013; [https://www.vectorbase.](https://www.vectorbase.org/organisms/anopheles-stephensi) [org/organisms/anopheles-stephensi\)](https://www.vectorbase.org/organisms/anopheles-stephensi). HTSeq was used to generate mapped read counts for each transcript. EdgeR (Robinson et al. 2010) was used to identify DEGs by performing four pairwise comparisons between the 1- and 2-day-old ovary sample to four other samples (larvae, pupae, male, 24-hr PBM carcass with ovaries removed). Embryonic samples are not included in the comparisons because germline-derived transcripts may be deposited in the embryo and would preclude their identification as DEGs. The 1- to 5-day-old female adult sample was not included because it contained the ovary. The 24-hr PBM ovary sample was not included because we were interested in genes expressed in the early previtellogenic ovary but not exclusively during that time. From these outputs, two groups of DEGs were identified using FDR of 0.001 and 0.01. Retaining the genes meeting the cutoff in all comparisons, 80 and 208 genes were identified, respectively. Hereafter, we focus on the FDR 0.001 group of 80 genes. With regard to expression change after a blood meal, there is no apparent consensus. Comparing the 1- to 2-day-old ovary and 24-hr PBM samples, many genes are upregulated, downregulated, or stay approximately the same, based on RPKM values.

An additional method applied to isolate germline-specific genes was to use RPKM-normalized values to calculate the ratio of 1- to 2 day-old ovary expression to the mean expression of larvae, pupae, male, and 24-hr PBM carcass. A pseudocount of 0.1 was used to substitute for zero RPKM values to avoid division by zero. There were 35 genes with ratios  $>100$ , therefore having more than 100-fold expression relative to the mean expression of the other four samples. Thirty-four of these were in the FDR 0.001 group, and the other gene was found in the FDR 0.01 group. Overall, the 80 genes in the FDR 0.001 group have approximately 20- to 2200-fold expression relative to the mean of the other tissues compared. The fold expression amounts discussed here refer to RPKM values. Being identified as differentially expressed does not equate to having low expression in the undesired tissues, but inspection of the FDR 0.001 group shows the majority of genes having very low expression in larvae, pupae, male, and 24-hr PBM carcass samples, with 90% of genes having an RPKM value of less than 11 and 74% with less than 5 RPKM (see [File](http://www.g3journal.org/content/suppl/2014/12/05/g3.114.015578.DC1/FileS1.xlsx) [S1](http://www.g3journal.org/content/suppl/2014/12/05/g3.114.015578.DC1/FileS1.xlsx)). These results support that using the highly stringent FDR 0.001 cutoff is effective for isolating germline-specific genes. A profile of their mean expression values is shown in Figure 1 (see [Figure S1](http://www.g3journal.org/content/suppl/2014/12/05/g3.114.015578.DC1/FigureS1.pdf) for profiles of individual genes).

To find truly germline-specific genes, there are other considerations to be made. One point is that genes that are expressed in the ovarian follicle cells will not contribute to embryonic transcripts and thus would not have expression in the 0- to 1-hr embryonic sample. We only see evidence of this for one gene ASTEI05569 that is a homolog of Drosophila Six4 and has a similar expression pattern according to Flybase (flybase.org). This reduces our list to 79 germline-specific genes. Another point is that some of these genes may have embryonic expression, contrary to a purely maternally derived transcript that is expected to degrade over time in the embryonic samples from 0–1 hr onward. Comparing 0- to 1-hr and 2- to 4-hr embryo samples strongly suggests two examples of this, with one being ASTEI01689 and ASTEI001010. There are a few other genes that appear to have zygotic expression when comparing 2- to 4-hr/4 to 8-hr and 4- to 8-hr/8- to 12-hr samples. Unless there is a detectable increase in embryonic expression, the presence of maternal transcripts may mask zygotic expression, and these will go unnoticed. Finally, these genes may have expression in tissues not sampled (e.g., testes). Therefore, before consideration of these additional points, we can call our list of 79 germline-specific genes a "first approximation." We do not further eliminate candidates based on points discussed to avoid arbitrary selection.

# Validation of RNA-Seq data and female germlinespecific genes

To help validate the expression of identified germline-specific genes as determined by RNA-Seq and bioinformatics, we performed RT-PCR and RT-qPCR on candidate genes. We show the RT-PCR profiles of three germline-specific genes ASTEI07089 chorion peroxidase, ASTEI02887 nanos, and ASTEI10008 cortex from our preliminary studies (Figure 2). These genes have 1- to 2-day-old ovary expression ranging from 2016, 738, and 41 RPKM. Although cortex is not in the FDR 0.001 group, it is present in the FDR 0.01 group. We have included its results here because it was identified in our preliminary

search for germline-specific genes prior to our final bioinformatic analysis. The RT-PCR profiles correspond well with the RPKM values. Bands are observed for chorion peroxidase in 5- to 6-day-old carcass and for nanos in the 72 hr PBM carcass sample, but these may be due to contamination with ovarian tissue during dissection. RT-qPCR (Livak and Schmittgen 2001) was performed for cortex on the same cDNA that was used for RT-PCR in Figure 2B. AAEL004386 is the Ae. aegypti ortholog to chorion peroxidase ASTEI07089, and we have cloned its regulatory region and demonstrated its germline-specific expression by reporter assay (not shown).

The identification of expected ovary-specific genes from our bioinformatic filter supports our methodology. To further validate our gene set and to validate novel previtellogenic ovary-expressed genes, we performed RT-qPCR on five genes that are either mosquitospecific or have no homology to known ovary-specific genes. Some of these genes have relatively low expression in the 1- to 2-day-old previtellogenic ovary and therefore serve as a good test for our filtering strategy. Previtellogenic ovary expression and maternal deposition in the 0- to 1-hr embryo sample was confirmed for all five genes tested [\(Figure S2](http://www.g3journal.org/content/suppl/2014/12/05/g3.114.015578.DC1/FigureS2.pdf)). These results further validate our gene set and reduce concerns for the presence of false positives.

#### Biology and evolution of female germline-specific genes

Blast2GO, a suite of tools for gene annotation (Gotz et al. 2008), was used to annotate identified DEGs. Gene ontology (GO) terms are enriched for oogenesis and related processes such as embryonic development, cell cycle regulation, and reproduction (Figure 3) that further validate our method of identifying germline-specific genes. Many of the identified germline-specific genes are orthologs or homologs to commonly known genes with established germline-specific expression such as nanos, vasa, vgr, oskar, and ovarian tumor. Expression of mosquito nanos in divergent mosquito species including An. stephensi has been reported (Calvo et al. 2005). Expression of vgr has been studied for Ae. aegypti where expression was detected in the ovary as early as 12 hr after eclosion (Sappington et al. 1996; Cho et al. 2006); vasa has been characterized in An. gambaie (Papathanos et al. 2009).



Figure 1 Mean RPKM expression profile of 79 germline-specific genes. Shown is the mean RPKM expression profile of 79 germline-specific genes meeting the FDR 0.001 cutoff from EdgeR differential gene expression analysis. Genes were filtered by performing four pairwise comparisons of expression between 1-2 day old ovaries and larvae, pupae, male, and 24-hr PBM carcass (ovaries removed). Mean RPKM-normalized values for all 79 genes are shown for simplicity (see [Figure S1](http://www.g3journal.org/content/suppl/2014/12/05/g3.114.015578.DC1/FigureS1.pdf) for profiles of individual genes. Samples are 0- to 1-hr embryo (0-1 E), 2- to 4-hr embryo (2-4 E), 4- to 8-hr embryo (4-8 E), 8- to 12-hr embryo (8-12 E), larvae (L), pupae (P), 1- to 5-day-old male (1-5 do M), 1- to 5-day-old female (1-5 do F), 1- to 2-day-old ovaries (1-2 do Ov), 24-hr PBM ovaries (24-hr PBM Ov), 24-hr PBM carcass, ovaries removed (24-hr PBM C). Samples used for the filtering strategy are shaded. The 1-2 do Ov sample is shaded green and larvae, pupae, male, and 24-hr PBM carcass samples are shaded red.



Figure 2 Validation of germline-specific genes chorion peroxidase, nanos, and cortex. (A) RPKM expression profile of chorion peroxidase, nanos, and cortex. Samples are labeled as in Figure 1 but are ordered differently. (B) Semi-quantitative RT-PCR for chorion peroxidase, nanos, and cortex using stage- and sex-specific samples. Samples: 0- to 1-day-old ovaries (0-1 do Ov); 0- to 2-day-old ovaries (0-2 do Ov); 5- to 6-day-old ovaries (5-6 do Ov); 24-hr PBM ovaries (24 PBM Ov); 1-day-old ovaries (1 do Ov); 48 hr PBM ovaries (48 PBM Ov); 0- to 1-hr embryo (0-1 hr E); second instar larvae (L II); fourth instar larvae (L IV); pupae (P); 5-day-old females (5 do F); 1- to 5-day-old males (1-5 do M); 5-day-old males (5 do M); 0- to 2-day-old carcass, ovaries removed (0-2 do C); 5- to 6-day old carcass, ovaries removed (5-6 do C); 24-hr PBM carcass, ovaries removed (24-hr PBM C); 48 hr PBM carcass, ovaries removed (48 hr PBM C); 72 hr nonblood-fed ovaries (72 hr Ov); and 72 hr PBM ovaries (72 hr PBM Ov). For nanos and cortex, a loading control is shown for rpS4. The cDNA source for chorion peroxidase was the same as that for cortex. (C) RT-qPCR for cortex. The cortex values are normalized to internal control rpS4 and then normalized to the female 0- to 4-hr postemergence abdomen sample (not shown); the calibrator was set to 1. Samples are labeled as in (B).

An inspection of orthologs using OrthoDB (Waterhouse et al. 2013) in other mosquito species and Drosophila melanogaster shows a high percentage of An. stephensi genes have orthologs in divergent mosquitoes (Figure 4) and Drosophila (58%, not shown). Seventyseven (96%) of the An. stephensi germline-specific genes have orthologs in other mosquito species and 62 (78%) have common orthologs in all three mosquito species surveyed, Ae. aegypti, C. quinquefasciatus, and An. gambiae, (Holt et al. 2002; Nene et al. 2007; Arensburger et al. 2010). Therefore, we find female germline-specific genes to be functionally rather conserved, which is consistent with findings in An. gambiae (Baker et al. 2011). However, Baker et al. (2011) found that sequence divergence was higher among genes with tissue-specific expression, including ovary-specific genes.

Two An. stephensi germline-specific genes are part of orthologous groups in Culicidae that have An. stephensi paralogs. These genes ASTEI06903 and ASTEI05893 have one and five paralogs, respectively. ASTEI06896, the paralog to ASTEI06903, is also germline-specific but is found in the FDR 0.01 group, not in the FDR 0.001 group. The majority of these two transcripts  $(>\!97\%)$  align without gaps, sharing 99.9% identity with only one nucleotide difference. While on the same scaffold, they are separated by  $\sim 60,000$  nt. In the case of ASTEI05893, the paralogs are all much more divergent and none of them display germline-specific expression. Three are expressed predominantly at 4–8 hr and the other two have no detectable expression. These observations suggest a scenario of duplication and specialization. Three genes (ASTEI09199, ASTEI08450, ASTEI08912) have predicted orthologs only in other anopheline species, suggesting that they are new and/or are fast-evolving genes.

Two genes ASTEI01055 and ASTEI08604 do not have any predicted orthologs by OrthoDB. ASTEI01055 was not annotated by Blast2GO and does not have predicted domains by Interpro. This gene has an RPKM of 213 in 1- to 2-day-old ovaries. Blastx (Altschul et al. 1997) using Vectorbase with transcript ASTEI01055-RA has hits in many anopheline species and their significance is consistent with their phylogenetic relationship. The most significant hit is in An. maculatus (e-value  $2e-27$ ), a closely related sister species to An. stephensi in the series Neocellia. Blastx hits in all other anopheline species have much lower significance, even those hits for species in the next closest related series Myzoyia. There is one hit, AGAP000835-PA (e-value 7e $-06$ ), in the African malaria mosquito An. gambiae. Interestingly, this gene has multiple records on Vectorbase supporting its germline specificity. ASTEI08604 contains a domain of the BTB (for BR-C, ttk and bab) superfamily that is involved in dimer formation. Hits obtained by Blastx using ASTEI08604 compared with An. maculatus and other closely related species have identities of  $\leq$ 50%. These results suggest ASTEI01055 and ASTEI08604 are fast-evolving genes.

Akbari et al. (2014) looked for germline-specific genes in Ae. aegypti, focusing on four genes, AAEL000923, AAEL010097 (exu),



Figure 3 Gene Ontology terms associated with germline-specific genes. Pie chart was generated by Blast2GO using 79 germline-specific genes as input and filtered for terms having 8 (10% of data set) or more associated sequences. See [File S3](http://www.g3journal.org/content/suppl/2014/12/05/g3.114.015578.DC1/FileS3.xlsx) for all GO terms associated with the 79 genes.

AAEL007097 4-nitro, and AAEL007584 (trunk), that were found to be highly expressed in the germline based on a previous work (Akbari et al. 2013). Our list of An. stephensi germline-specific genes contains orthologs to three of the four genes: AAEL010097 exu, ASTEI01321; AAEL007584 trunk, ASTEI00444; and AAEL000923, ASTEI08407. ASTEI01321 shows some expression in the 1- to 5-day-old male samples that may come from expression in the male germline because the Ae. aegypti ortholog AAEL010097 was shown to have largely female germline expression but also some male germline expression (Akbari et al. 2014). The expression of ASTEI06339, the ortholog to AAEL007097 4-nitro that is not in the FDR 0.001 or 0.01 lists, does have largely ovary-specific expression but also has some expression in larvae, pupae, and male samples. Magnusson et al. (2011) reported two previously unidentified ovary-specific genes AGAP003087 and AGAP010219 in An. gambiae. ASTEI00279 is an ortholog to AGAP003087 and is in our FDR 0.001 list of germline-specific genes. However, ASTEI07862, the ortholog to AGAP010219, is not in our FDR 0.001 or FDR 0.01 list. It does exhibit somewhat ovary-specific expression but also has significant larval expression (RPKM = 9.2), explaining why it is not in our lists, because it was this sample comparison that failed to meet our significance thresholds. ASTEI07862 also shows peak zygotic activity in the 4- to 8-hr embryo sample.

### Development of a system for maternal expression of transgenes and amiRNAs

We designed gene cassettes that allow the simultaneous testing of maternal-specific promoters by luciferase reporter assay and expression/delivery of protein and miRNAs to the oocyte and embryo. As a stepping-stone toward engineering a Medea gene drive system in the mosquito An. stephensi, these cassettes were used to generate transgenic lines for the purpose of knocking down the mRNA of suspected maternal-effect genes myd88 and dah using amiRNAs that replaced the sequence of three natural miRNAs of the Ae. aegypti bZip1 intron (Li et al. 2009) (Figure 5). The remaining intron sequence and the first exon that is upstream of the miRNA-containing intron were retained in our constructs to avoid potential interference with processing. This Ae. aegypti gene is an ortholog to the An. stephensi bZip1 ASTEI01689 (accession JQ266222) identified in our 79 germline-specific genes. We had previously identified the gene in Ae. aegypti and determined that the intron was spliced when An. stephensi embryos were injected with a reporter gene cassette containing this intron (not shown). The maternaleffect gene myd88 was previously used as a target for the Drosophila Medea (Chen et al. 2007). The An. stephensi myd88 ASTEI05979 (same gene as ASTE008769 for An. stephensi SDA-500 strain) is identified as a 1:1 ortholog to the Drosophila myd88 based on Vectorbase. It has the highest RPKM values in 24-hr PBM ovary and in early embryos. Therefore, we reasoned myd88 was a good choice for a mosquito Medea



Figure 4 Orthologous groups of An. stephensi 79 germline-specific genes in Culicidae. Venn diagram shows orthologous groups identified for 79 An. stephensi germline-specific genes in three mosquito species of three genera Aedes (Ae.), Culex (C.), and Anopheles (An.). Twenty-one species in three genera of Culicidae were examined for orthologs, one species each for Aedes and Culex, and 19 species for Anopheles. For simplicity, three species other than An. stephensi are shown to sample both the same genera (Anopheles) and divergent genera. Three genes show as unique in An. stephensi because they are identified as being part of orthologous groups only in anopheline species other than An. gambiae. Venn Diagram was produced at <http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/>.



Figure 5 Constructs used in this study to generate transgenic lines. (A) Selected features of the transgenic cassette. 3XP3 hsp70, Pax-6/Drosophila hsp70 promoter; DsRed, DsRed fluorescent protein; SV40, SV40 termination/polydadenylation signal;  $P_M$ , maternal promoter; Luc, luciferase reporter cassette. mRNA. Shown between the maternal promoter and luciferase cassette is the Ae. aegypti bZip1 Intron containing three modified miRNAs targeting the 5' UTR of either myd88 or dah. Dark blue rectangle upstream of intron represents the first exon of Ae. aegypti bZip1. Block arrows indicate piggyBac arms necessary for transposition. Drawing is not to scale. (B) Native fold of the Ae. aegypti bZip1 intron that contains three miRNA hairpins that were modified to target three unique targets in the 5' UTR of either myd88 or dah. Fold was generated using RNAfold (Gruber et al., 2008). Color key shows base pairing probabilities given by RNAfold. Arrows indicate strand and orientation of the native mature miRNA sequences.

target. We used the upstream sequence of An. stephensi nanos to drive expression of this cassette. Another cassette was designed to target dah using the vgr upstream sequence as the promoter. dah (ASTEI03515) is an ortholog to the Drosophila dah, a maternaleffect gene that is essential for cortical furrow formation during early embryonic development (Zhang et al. 1996; Zhang et al. 2000).

### Nanos and vgr transgenic promoters exhibit germline-specific activity

Expression profiling was performed on stage-, tissue-, and sex-specific samples from transgenic lines to determine the activity and specificity of the vgr and nanos promoters (Figure 6). Based on the luciferase assay, both vgr and nanos exhibit early ovary-specific expression and show expression in ovaries from 0- to 1-day-old adults. Activity detected in 0- to 1-hr embryos demonstrates maternal-specific loading of oocytes with luciferase protein and/or mRNA that was translated in the early embryo. This activity is unlikely a result of transcription in the early embryo because transcription is not expected to occur until later. In support of this, 0- to 1-hr embryos resulting from crossing transgenic males and nontransgenic females had only background luciferase activity (not shown). Also, we noticed a transgenic insert copy number–dependent luciferase activity whereby embryos from homozygous females had approximately twice the activity compared with embryos from heterozygous mothers (not shown). The luciferase activities are not normalized by sample mass; therefore, if normalized by mass, activities detected in ovary and embryo samples would be even many-fold higher than observed compared with other tissues. Based on these results, it is expected that the cloned transgenic vgr and nanos promoters could be sufficient for the ovary-specific expression of miRNAs targeting maternal-effect mRNAs in the ovary prior to their translation in the embryo.

In the nanos/anti-myd88 line P1.5, we were able to detect transcripts in 48 hr PBM ovaries by 5' and 3' RACE and verified the expected TSS, splicing of the Ae. aegypti bZip1 intron, and termination/polyadenylation of the transcript at the SV40 signal. All four sequenced 5' RACE clones showed the TSS the same as has been previously reported (Calvo et al. 2005). In a previously generated transgenic line not discussed here, where the nanos promoter was also

driving expression of DsRed, we detected transcripts in 0- to 1-hr embryo by 5' RACE, three of four clones showed the same TSS as found above, and one had a TSS that started 6 bp upstream.

### Knockdown of dah and myd88 mRNAs in transgenic lines

To determine whether the miRNAs were able to effectively reduce target mRNA levels, RNA was isolated from 48 hr PBM ovaries from heterozygous individuals, and cDNA was generated for ddPCR (Hindson et al. 2011; Pinheiro et al. 2012). In both transgenic lines, mean levels of target mRNAs myd88 and dah were significantly reduced by 73% and 43%, respectively (Figure 7). We also performed semi-quantitative RT-PCR on RNA isolated from several nanos/antimyd88 lines and could see evidence of reduced myd88 levels compared with nontransgenic individuals (Figure 7C).

If Medea was functional in our transgenic lines, then a cross between heterozygous transgenic females and nontransgenic males would yield embryos that fail to develop and die at the embryonic stage. We performed hatch rate experiments by crossing heterozygous females vs. nontransgenic males but failed to detect any significant reduction in hatch rates after several trials. In these experiments we also performed reciprocal crosses using heterozygous males vs. nontransgenic females to control for reduction in fitness due to the insertion of the transgenic cassette. We also performed hatch rate experiments using homozygous females and did not observe any significant differences in hatch rate. A possible explanation for lack of hatch rate reduction is insufficient knockdown of target mRNA levels, which allows sufficient protein translation in the embryo for normal development. We did not notice any abnormalities in the development of progeny from these crosses. Another explanation could be low efficacy of the mature miRNAs or inefficient processing of the miRNAs hairpins resulting in low concentrations of mature miRNAs. We are now investigating these questions by small RNA sequencing of ovarian and embryonic samples.

# **CONCLUSIONS**

We have identified a set of female germline-specific genes in An. stephensi that can aid the design of genetic strategies where delivery



transgenic line 2.5 performed by luciferase assay. Samples: larvae; pupae; 3-day-old male head, thorax, or abdomen (3 do M H, 3 do M T, 3 do M A); 3-day-old female head, thorax, or abdomen (3 do F H, 3 do F T, 3 do F A); 0- to 1-day-old ovaries (0-1 do O), 3-day-old ovaries (3 do O); 24-hr PBM ovaries (24 hr PBM O); and 1-hr-old embryos (1 hr E). (B) Expression profile of the vgr promoter from transgenic line 2\_2 performed by luciferase assay. Samples: larvae; pupae; 3- to 5-day-old male head, thorax, or abdomen (3-5 do M H, 3-5 do M T, 3-5 do M A); 3- to 5-day-old female head, thorax, or abdomen (3-5 do F H, 3-5 do F T, 3-5 do F A); 12-hr ovaries (12 hr O), 3- to 5-day-old ovaries (3-5 do O); 24-hr PBM ovaries (24 hr PBM O); and 1-hr old embryos (1 hr E). Y-axis shows relative light units (RLUs). Values given are the mean of three replicates with error bars showing SE. For each replicate, three tissues were dissected from three individuals (e.g., 3 larvae, 3 heads, 3 ovary pairs). For embryo samples, three replicates of 20 embryos were used.

of RNA, protein, or miRNAs to the oocyte or progeny embryo is desired. This gene set will be of use to the vector biology community for further biological and evolutionary studies. We have demonstrated

the germline specificity for control regions from two candidates and have shown their ability to drive expression of transgenes and knockdown maternal transcripts by amiRNAs. Further development may



Figure 7 Knockdown of myd88 and dah mRNA in 48 hr PBM ovaries determined by droplet digital PCR. (A) myd88 mRNA is reduced in line nanos\_2.5 (n = 6) by 73% compared with nontransgenic mosquitoes (NT, n = 6). Values shown are the mean ratios of myd88 to reference cDNA concentrations (proteasome subunit beta type-2). P-value is given at top. (B) dah mRNA is reduced in line vgr\_2\_2 (n = 8) by 43% compared with nontransgenic mosquitoes (NT, n = 4). Values shown are the mean ratios of dah to reference cDNA concentrations (Ribosomal protein S4). P-value is given at top. (C) Semi-quantitative RT-PCR using cDNA from adult individuals, from nontransgenic (NT) lines, and several nanos/anti-myd88 transgenic lines. Samples: 1-5, nontransgenic individuals; 6-7, line P1.4; 8-9, line P1.5; 10-11, line 2.1; 12-14, line 2.5; 15-17, line 2.6.

provide a tool for the study of gene function by targeting germlinespecific genes in the ovary or zygotic genes in progeny embryos, similar to a system in Drosophila utilizing Gal4/UAS with maternal promoters and short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) (Ni et al. 2011; Staller et al. 2013). With regard to a Medea gene drive system, targeting of zygotic lethal genes by maternally loaded miRNAs may offer an alternative strategy. Further analysis is needed to establish whether these genes have any expression in other tissues to reduce the possibilities of fitness costs. However, some nonfemale germline expression may be tolerated depending on the application and fitness cost.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Xiaofang Jiang for mapping RNA-Seq reads to the transcriptome, and for identifying differentially expressed genes using EdgeR. We thank Randy Saunders for his assistance with mosquito rearing. This work was funded by the National Institutes of Health (AI077680). Zhijian Tu conceived the design of the study and wrote part of the manuscript. James K. Biedler designed transgenic constructs, performed ddPCR, RT-PCR, and bioinformatic analyses, and wrote the majority of the manuscript. David Pledger performed embryonic injections to generate transgenic lines. Anthony James coordinated the transgenic effort. Yumin Qi performed RT-qPCR and RT-PCR for expression profiling. Zhijian Tu, David Pledger, and Anthony A. James critically reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Akbari, O. S., I. Antoshechkin, H. Amrhein, B. Williams, R. Diloreto et al., 2013 The developmental transcriptome of the mosquito Aedes aegypti, an invasive species and major arbovirus vector. G3 (Bethesda) 3: 1493–1509.
- Akbari, O. S., P. A. Papathanos, J. E. Sandler, K. Kennedy, and B. A. Hay, 2014 Identification of germline transcriptional regulatory elements in Aedes aegypti. Sci Rep 4: 3954.
- Altschul, S. F., T. L. Madden, A. A. Schaffer, J. Zhang, Z. Zhang et al., 1997 Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res. 25: 3389–3402.
- Anders, S., P. T. Pyl, and W. Huber, 2014 HTSeq-a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics Sep 25. pii: btu638. [Epub ahead of print].
- Arensburger, P., K. Megy, R. M. Waterhouse, J. Abrudan, P. Amedeo et al., 2010 Sequencing of Culex quinquefasciatus establishes a platform for mosquito comparative genomics. Science 330: 86–88.
- Baker, D. A., T. Nolan, B. Fischer, A. Pinder, A. Crisanti et al., 2011 A comprehensive gene expression atlas of sex- and tissue-specificity in the malaria vector, Anopheles gambiae. BMC Genomics 12: 296.
- Biedler, J. K., W. Hu, H. Tae, and Z. Tu, 2012 Identification of early zygotic genes in the yellow fever mosquito Aedes aegypti and discovery of a motif involved in early zygotic genome activation. PLoS ONE 7: e33933.
- Biedler, J. K., and Z. Tu, 2010 Evolutionary analysis of the kinesin light chain genes in the yellow fever mosquito Aedes aegypti: gene duplication as a source for novel early zygotic genes. BMC Evol. Biol. 10: 206.
- Calvo, E., M. Walter, Z. N. Adelman, A. Jimenez, S. Onal et al., 2005 Nanos (nos) genes of the vector mosquitoes, Anopheles gambiae, Anopheles stephensi and Aedes aegypti. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 35: 789–798.
- Catteruccia, F., 2007 Malaria vector control in the third millennium: progress and perspectives of molecular approaches. Pest Manag. Sci. 63: 634–640.

Catteruccia, F., T. Nolan, T. G. Loukeris, C. Blass, C. Savakis et al., 2000 Stable germline transformation of the malaria mosquito Anopheles stephensi. Nature 405: 959–962.

- Cheeseman, I. H., B. A. Miller, S. Nair, S. Nkhoma, A. Tan et al., 2012 A major genome region underlying artemisinin resistance in malaria. Science 336: 79–82.
- Chen, C. H., H. Huang, C. M. Ward, J. T. Su, L. V. Schaeffer et al., 2007 A synthetic maternal-effect selfish genetic element drives population replacement in Drosophila. Science 316: 597–600.
- Cho, K. H., H. M. Cheon, V. Kokoza, and A. S. Raikhel, 2006 Regulatory region of the vitellogenin receptor gene sufficient for high-level, germ line cell-specific ovarian expression in transgenic Aedes aegypti mosquitoes. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 36: 273–281.
- Dana, A. N., Y. S. Hong, M. K. Kern, M. E. Hillenmeyer, B. W. Harker et al., 2005 Gene expression patterns associated with blood-feeding in the malaria mosquito Anopheles gambiae. BMC Genomics 6: 5.
- Fu, G., R. S. Lees, D. Nimmo, D. Aw, L. Jin et al., 2010 Female-specific flightless phenotype for mosquito control. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107: 4550–4554.
- Gotz, S., J. M. Garcia-Gomez, J. Terol, T. D. Williams, S. H. Nagaraj et al., 2008 High-throughput functional annotation and data mining with the Blast2GO suite. Nucleic Acids Res. 36: 3420–3435.
- Grossman, G. L., C. S. Rafferty, J. R. Clayton, T. K. Stevens, O. Mukabayire et al., 2001 Germline transformation of the malaria vector, Anopheles gambiae, with the piggyBac transposable element. Insect Mol. Biol. 10: 597–604.
- Gruber, A. R., R. Lorenz, S. H. Bernhart, R. Neubock, and I. L. Hofacker, 2008 The Vienna RNA websuite. Nucleic Acids Res, 36: W70–4.
- Handler, A. M., and R. A. Harrell, 2nd, 1999 Germline transformation of Drosophila melanogaster with the piggyBac transposon vector. Insect Mol. Biol. 8: 449–457.
- Harris, A. F., D. Nimmo, A. R. McKemey, N. Kelly, S. Scaife et al., 2011 Field performance of engineered male mosquitoes. Nat. Biotechnol. 29: 1034–1037.
- Hindson, B. J., K. D. Ness, D. A. Masquelier, P. Belgrader, N. J. Heredia et al., 2011 High-throughput droplet digital PCR system for absolute quantitation of DNA copy number. Anal. Chem. 83: 8604–8610.
- Holt, R. A., G. M. Subramanian, A. Halpern, G. G. Sutton, R. Charlab et al., 2002 The genome sequence of the malaria mosquito Anopheles gambiae. Science 298: 129–149.
- Horn, C., B. Jaunich, and E. A. Wimmer, 2000 Highly sensitive, fluorescent transformation marker for Drosophila transgenesis. Dev. Genes Evol. 210: 623–629.
- Labbe, G. M., S. Scaife, S. A. Morgan, Z. H. Curtis and L. Alphey, 2012 Female-specific flightless (fsRIDL) phenotype for control of Aedes albopictus. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 6: e1724.
- Li, S., E. A. Mead, S. Liang, and Z. Tu, 2009 Direct sequencing and expression analysis of a large number of miRNAs in Aedes aegypti and a multi-species survey of novel mosquito miRNAs. BMC Genomics 10: 581.
- Livak, K. J., and T. D. Schmittgen, 2001 Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)). Method. Methods 25: 402–408.
- Magnusson, K., A. M. Mendes, N. Windbichler, P. A. Papathanos, T. Nolan et al., 2011 Transcription regulation of sex-biased genes during ontogeny in the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae. PLoS ONE 6: e21572.
- Marinotti, O., E. Calvo, Q. K. Nguyen, S. Dissanayake, J. M. Ribeiro et al., 2006 Genome-wide analysis of gene expression in adult Anopheles gambiae. Insect Mol. Biol. 15: 1–12.
- Marinotti, O., N. Jasinskiene, A. Fazekas, S. Scaife, G. Fu et al., 2013 Development of a population suppression strain of the human malaria vector mosquito, Anopheles stephensi. Malar. J. 12: 142.
- Morel, C. M., Y. T. Toure, B. Dobrokhotov, and A. M. Oduola, 2002 The mosquito genome–a breakthrough for public health. Science 298: 79.
- Mortazavi, A., B. A. Williams, K. McCue, L. Schaeffer, and B. Wold, 2008 Mapping and quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. Nat. Methods 5: 621–628.
- Nene, V., J. R. Wortman, D. Lawson, B. Haas, C. Kodira et al., 2007 Genome sequence of Aedes aegypti, a major arbovirus vector. Science 316: 1718–1723.
- Ni, J. Q., R. Zhou, B. Czech, L. P. Liu, L. Holderbaum et al., 2011 A genome-scale shRNA resource for transgenic RNAi in Drosophila. Nat. Methods 8: 405–407.
- Papathanos, P. A., N. Windbichler, M. Menichelli, A. Burt, and A. Crisanti, 2009 The vasa regulatory region mediates germline expression and maternal transmission of proteins in the malaria mosquito Anopheles

gambiae: a versatile tool for genetic control strategies. BMC Mol. Biol. 10: 65.

- Pinheiro, L. B., V. A. Coleman, C. M. Hindson, J. Herrmann, B. J. Hindson et al., 2012 Evaluation of a droplet digital polymerase chain reaction format for DNA copy number quantification. Anal. Chem. 84: 1003–1011.
- Rafinejad, J., H. Vatandoost, F. Nikpoor, M. R. Abai, M. Shaeghi et al., 2008 Effect of washing on the bioefficacy of insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) and long-lasting insecticidal nets (LLINs) against main malaria vector Anopheles stephensi by three bioassay methods. J. Vector Borne Dis. 45: 143–150.
- Ranson, H., R. N'Guessan, J. Lines, N. Moiroux, Z. Nkuni et al., 2011 Pyrethroid resistance in African anopheline mosquitoes: what are the implications for malaria control? Trends Parasitol. 27: 91–98.
- Robinson, M. D., D. J. McCarthy, and G. K. Smyth, 2010 edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics 26: 139–140.
- Sappington, T. W., V. A. Kokoza, W. L. Cho, and A. S. Raikhel, 1996 Molecular characterization of the mosquito vitellogenin receptor reveals unexpected high homology to the Drosophila yolk protein receptor. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93: 8934–8939.
- Sharma, V. P., 1999 Current scenario of malaria in India. Parassitologia 41: 349–353.
- Staller, M. V., D. Yan, S. Randklev, M. D. Bragdon, Z. B. Wunderlich et al., 2013 Depleting gene activities in early Drosophila embryos with the "maternal-Gal4-shRNA" system. Genetics 193: 51–61.
- Terenius, O., J. Juhn, and A. A. James, 2007 Injection of An. stephensi embryos to generate malaria-resistant mosquitoes. J. Vis. Exp. 5: 216.
- Trapnell, C., L. Pachter, and S. L. Salzberg, 2009 TopHat: discovering splice junctions with RNA-Seq. Bioinformatics 25: 1105–1111.
- Waterhouse, R. M., F. Tegenfeldt, J. Li, E. M. Zdobnov, and E. V. Kriventseva, 2013 OrthoDB: a hierarchical catalog of animal, fungal and bacterial orthologs. Nucleic Acids Res. 41: D358–D365.
- WHO, 2013 World Malaria Report 2013, pp, WHO Press, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Zhang, C. X., M. P. Lee, A. D. Chen, S. D. Brown, and T. Hsieh, 1996 Isolation and characterization of a Drosophila gene essential for early embryonic development and formation of cortical cleavage furrows. J. Cell Biol. 134: 923–934.
- Zhang, C. X., W. F. Rothwell, W. Sullivan, and T. S. Hsieh,
	- 2000 Discontinuous actin hexagon, a protein essential for cortical furrow formation in Drosophila, is membrane associated and hyperphosphorylated. Mol. Biol. Cell 11: 1011–1022.

Communicating editor: K. S. McKim