Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

EFFECT OF Dy ADDITIONS ON MICROSTRUCTURE AND MAGNETIC PROPERTIES OF Fe-Nd-B MAGNETS

Permalink <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3bk9v425>

Authors

Ramesh, R. Thomas, G. Ma, B.M.

Publication Date

1987-05-01

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California. nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

EFFECT OF DY ADDITIONS ON MICROSTRUCTURE AND MAGNETIC PROPERTIES

OF Fe-Nd-B MAGNETS, R.Ramesh[#], G.Thomas[#] and B.M.Ma^{*} # Department of Materials Science and Mineral Engineering and Materials and Molecular Research Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, Ca 94720

* Crucible Research Center, Pittsburgh Pa 15230.

ABSTRACT:

This paper addresses the effect of Dy addition upon the magnetic properties, microstructure and microcomposition of Fe-Nd-B magnets. It is shown that increasing additions of Dy causes the remanence, B_r to decrease linearly. The intrinsic coercivity, iHc, increases sharply for small additions of Dy, but the increase is not proportional for higher Dy contents. The iHc increases almost linearly with the effective anisotropy field of the $RE_2Fe_{14}B$ phase until the Dy content is about 10% of the total rare earth content. Above this concentration, there is a strong deviation from linearity. Various types of possible concentration profiles of the substituted rare earth are suggested. It is also argued that preferential segregation of Dy to the interfaces could be beneficial in increasing the nucleation field. Morphologically there is no apparent effect of Dy on the microstructure. However, in the 5 atomic % Dy sample, Dy rich oxides were observed. It is shown through Energy Dispersive Xray Spectroscopy (EDXS) line profiling that Dy partitions preferentially into the $RE_2Fe_{14}B$ phase in all the cases. NO'segregation of Dy to the interphase interfaces has been detected.

INTRODUCTION:

j :.

. .
. . •

Current industrial and academic interest in Fe-Rare Earth-8 permanent magnets is well evidenced by the number of conference symposia and workshops being held on this class of magnets. Since the discovery of these magnets[1-3], considerable advances have been made in the understanding of their structure, microstructure and properties [4,5], although some questions still remain regarding the actual site for initiation of magnetization reversal. One of the efforts now is in improving the temperature dependent properties through alloying additions. These efforts are being made to offset the high temperature coefficient of iH_c and Br, due to the low Curie temperature, T_c of the $RE_2Fe_{14}B$ phase. Thus, Dy, Tb, AI additions have led to significant improvements in the intrinsic coercivity, while Co addition has helped in raising the Curie temperature of the $RE_2Fe_{14}B$ phase[6-12]. It has also been shown that addition of small amounts of Zr can still improve the anisotropy field[13]. However, heavy rare earths such as Dy or Tb decrease the magnetization due to the ferrimagnetic coupling between the rare earth atom and the Fe atoms. Thus, the effect of these additions in the case of single crystal samples, on the magnetic properties are well understood. Recently, Hirosawa et.al[14] have examined the relationship between $_iH_c$ and H_A of heavy rare earth (Dy and Tb) substituted Fe-RE-B magnets and also the influence of temperature and concentration of heavy rare earth on iH_C and H_A .

In the case of sintered magnets, however, the effects may be more complex due to the polycrystalline nature as well as due to microstructural influences. Thus, the addition of a quaternary element, such as Dy, can bring about two possible changes:(1) a change in the intrinsic properties (such as H_A , M_S) of the 2-14-1 phase with no change in the microstructure and composition;(2) a change in the microstructure (such as the appearance of a new phase) and/or microcomposition of the magnet. Both these potential changes will influence the iH_c , B_r and $(BH)_{\text{max}}$ of the magnet. Thus, a systematic study of the influence of these additions on the magnetic properties, microstructure and microcomposition is essential. The microstructure and magnetic properties are sensitive to changes in alloy composition and processing conditions.Thus it is also important to study the effect of each element independent of the influence of other such additions. Hence, controlled samples have to be made and their properties measured and microstructure

characterized.

The distribution of the substituted rare earth in the microstructure will depend upon the processing conditions adopted. Figs.1a(i-iv) show schematically a few of the possible concentration profiles for the quaternary element. In this case, a microstructure similar to that observed in the case of sintered Fe-RE-B magnets is examined. The microstructure, shown in Fig.1b, consists of grains of the $RE_2Fe_{14}B$ phase, at the junctions of which exists a RE rich fcc phase which often extends through the two-grain boundaries[19]. Fig 1a(i) is a case where there is no partitioning of the additive to the grain boundary phase or the 2-14-1 phase. In (ii) the additive element partitions preferentially into the 2-14-1 phase while the converse is the case for (iii). In (iv) is shown a concentration profile wherein the additive segregates to the interface between the two phases. This type of segregation could also occur at grain boundaries. In all the cases, the arrows indicate the interface between the matrix and the grain boundary fcc phase. Among all these hypothetical concentration profiles, (iv) is most interesting, if it is practically achieved in the case of a substitutional element such as Dy. Dy₂Fe₁₄B has a very high anisotropy field (158 kOe) as compared to 71 kOe for $Nd_2Fe_{14}B[15-17]$. In such a case; it would be possible to obtain a very localized increase in the magnetocrystalline anisotropy (and hence a high local anisotropy constant), thus increasing the nucleation field required to nucleate a reverse domain wall at the grain boundary or two-phase interface. Such interfaces are generally accepted to be the sites of domain wall nucleation in this class of magnets. Note that this does not appreciably alter the bulk magnetization of the matrix $RE_2Fe_{14}B$ phase, as is the case when the heavy rare earth element is homogeneously distributed in the matrix. Thus, it is clear that by altering the microstructure and/or the composition close to the grain boundaries (or two phase interfaces), considerable changes in the magnetic properties could be potentially brought about.

With this in mind, a systematic investigation of the microstructure and magnetic properties of substituted Fe-Nd-B sintered magnets was initiated. The quaternary addition was systematically varied, keeping the total rare earth content constant. The influence of such changes upon the magnetic properties was correlated with the microstructure and microanalysis of the magnets. Here the results of the Dy addition are reported.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES:

. ,

(1) Alloys: The alloys were prepared by vacuum induction melting and processed into aligned magnets by traditional Powder Metaliurgy(P/M) technique^[18]. Magnets were sintered in the temperature range of 1000^oC and 1100^oC for three hours and then cooled to room temperature. An isothermal treatment in the temperature range of 500° C to 700° C for three hours was then applied to obtain the optimum intrinsic coercivity (iHc).

(2) Magnetic Measurements: All magnets were magnetized in a pulsed magnetic field of 35kOe prior to each measurement. Magnetic properties were measured using a Hysteresigraph at a maximum applied field of 25kOe.

(3) Transmission Electron Microscopy and Analytical Electron Microscopy: Electron transparent thin foils were made by Argon ion -milling discs which had been mechanically thinned down to a thickness of about 50 micrometers. In some cases, the sample was dimpled to reduce the milling time. Argon ion-milling was carried out at 6kV with a gun current of 0.3 mA, corresponding to a specimen current of 20-30microamperes. The milling was completed in about 12-15 hours.

Transmission Electron Microscopy(TEM) imaging was carried out using a Philips 400 TEM/STEM at 100kV. Analytical electron microscopy was carried out using a JEOL 200CX microscope at 200kV. This microscope is fitted with an ultra-thin window Energy Dispersive Xray(EDX) detector as well as a regular Be window EDX detector. The use of the ultra-thin window detector enables the detection and quantification of light elements such as oxygen. Spectral deconvolution and quantification was carried out on the KEVEX 8000 system software using theoretically generated thin foil k-factors. Care was taken to ensure statistical significance of the data by acquiring a minimum of 10^5 counts. Microanalysis line profiles were carried out at different regions in order to check the correctness of the profiles as well as the reliability of the data.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

(1) Magnetic properties: The addition of increasing amounts of Dy causes

the remanence, B_r , to decrease progressively, as is shown in Fig.2. The trend exhibited can be explained as due to the ferrimagnetic coupling between the Fe spins and the Oy spins. As the Oy content of the alloy is increased, the Dy content of the $RE_2Fe_{14}B$ phase also increases (see section on Microstructure and Microanalysis) and hence the remanence drops. The intrinsic coercivity, iHc, on the other hand, shows a sharp rise for small additions of Dy. However, above about 10%Dy (i.e., corresponding to Nd $qDy_1Fe_{14}B$)the rate of increase in iHc drops, Fig.3. Since both the iHc as well as the anisotropy field increase with increasing additions of Dy, a correlation between iHc and the anisotropy field can be expected. In an earlier paper, Hirosawa et. al have shown that the iHc increases linearly with anisotropy field for values of the anisotropy field in the range 70-80 kOe. In this investigation, a larger range of Oy content has been examined. In Fig.4 the iHc is plotted against the effective anisotropy field. The effective anisotropy field is calculated as follows: Effective Anisotropy Field (H_A eff.) = $X_{Nd}H_A^{Nd} + X_{Dv}H_A^{Dy}$, where X_{Nd} is the relative atomic fraction of Nd and X_{Dv} is the relative atomic fraction of Dy; H_A Nd and H_A ^{Dy} are the anisotropy fields of $Nd_2Fe_{14}B$ and $Dy_2Fe_{14}B$ respectively. The assumption here is that the $RE_2Fe_1\&B$ phase is made up of a homogeneous mixture of Nd₂Fe₁₄B and Dy₂Fe₁₄B with the fractions X_{Nd} and X_{Dv} respectively. In the initial portion of the curve (i.e., for low Dy contents) the dependence is linear, as was observed by Hirosawa et. al. However, at higher concentrations, the slope of the curve drops. This indicates that for higher Oy concentrations, some changes in the microstructure may be occurring, which may be limiting the achievement of a higher value of iHc.

MICROSTRUCTURE ANO MICROANALYSIS:

In an earlier paper, the microstructure of Nd-Fe-8 magnets has been discussed in detail[19]. It was shown that the grain boundary phase is fcc and is stabilized by significant quantities of oxygen. The overall microstructure (morphology) was the same for all the magnets examined in this investigation and is described in [19]. Hence, microanalytical characterization was carried out with two main objectives: (1) to examine the partitioning of Oy between the grain boundary phase and the matrix, or segregation of Oy to the grain boundaries or two phase interfaces ; (2) to examine whether Oy rich second phase particles appear

for the highest Dy concentration sample, which shows a marked deviation from linearity. Note that since these measurements are made at room temperature only, a linear relationship between iHc and the anisotropy field can be expected. Hence,xray microanalysis was carried out on the two samples marked A and B in Fig.3, to see whether there was any difference in the microanalytical details at high Dy concentrations.

The distribution of Dy in the microstructure was examined by line profiling, which is shown schematically in Fig.5. In this case, line profiling has been carried out across the triple grain junction. Fig.6(a) shows a typical profile in sample A, which is the high Dy sample. The plot indicates that Dy partitions preferentially to the matrix phase,as shown more clearly in Fig.6(b). As can be seen from Fig.6(b), within the experimental limits of EDXS analysis there is no significant partitioning of Dy to the grain boundary phase, although one might expect Dy, as with the other rare earth elements, to be associated with the oxygen stabilized grain boundary phase[19]. On the contrary, the trends indicate that Dy is preferentially segregated away from the grain boundary phase and the interfaces. This means that the increase in iHc observed is mainly due to the increase in the Dy content of the matrix phase. However, in sample A the Dy concentration of the matrix (3.7-4.0 at.%) was consistently and repeatably. found to be less than the nominal Dy content of the alloy, i.e., 5 at.%. This indicated that some of the Dy could exist as inclusions, oxides, etc. Examination of the microstructure of sample A did reveal the presence of such inclusions. One such example is shown in Fig.7(a), while Fig.7(b) shows the corresponding EDX spectrum from this particle. Quantification yielded a composition of 59 at.% Nd and 41 at. % Dy. Since this spectrum was obtained with a Be window detector, the possible presence of oxygen is not revealed. However, it is quite possible that it is an oxide phase. The presence of such Dy-rich inclusions justifies the drop in iHc observed for sample A(see Figs. 3 and 4), since it not only reduces the Dy content of the matrix' phase (thereby reducing the effective anisotropy field), but also provides potential sites for the nucleation of reverse domains. Fig. 8(a) shows the composition profile for alloy B, which is the lower Dy content sample. In this case Dy partitions completely into the matrix and the grain boundary phase does not contain any detectable amount of Dy, as seen from Fig.8(b). Thus if Dy is added at the induction melting stage, as in this case, it partitions almost completely into the matrix (corresponding to case (ii) in Fig.1 (a)). Thus a Dy concentration profile, such as that shown in Fig.1 (a)(iv), may not be possible to achieve by the processing technique adopted in the preparation

"

of the magnets examined in this study. However, it is possible that such a . concentration profile can be achieved by the addition of: (i) pure Dy metal before the sintering stage such that Dy diffuses along grain boundaries and interfaces and into the matrix during sintering; (ii) a compound of Dy such as Dy₂O₃, which could react with the Nd₂Fe₁₄B phase, displacing Nd with the resulting enrichment of Dy in the matrix phase.

The first method has the drawback that Dy has a higher melting point (1412^oC) than the sintering temperatures generally employed. The second method has been adopted by Ghandehari[20] to produce magnets with high iHc (comparable to that of Dy-substituted magnets). However this method also has the drawback that the presence of the oxide causes a decrease in the remanence and possibly provides easy nucleation sites for the nucleation of domain walls.

CONCLUSIONS:

The effect of Dy addition upon the magnetic properties, microstructure and microcomposition of Fe-Nd-8 magnets has been investigated. It has been shown that there is a linear decrease in the remanence of the magnet with increasing Dy addition. The intrinsic coercivity, on the other hand, increases sharply for small additions of Dy. Additions of Dy above 10% of the total rare earth content does not produce a concomitant increase in the iHc. The intrinsic coercivity increases linearly with the effective anisotropy field for Dy contents upto about 10% of the total rare earth content. Above this concentration, there is a sharp deviation from linearity. This is attributed to the incomplete ingestion of Dy into the $RE₂Fe₁$ ₄B phase leading to the formation of other Dy-rich phases. Various types of possible concentration profiles of the substituted rare earth element have been suggested. In all the cases studied experimentally, it appears from the EDXS analyses (within the limits of experimental error) that Dy partitions preferentially into the matrix phase. No segregation of Dy to the interphase interfaces has been detected by EDXS. It is suggested that in order to preferentially segregate Dy to the interphase interfaces, non-conventional processing techniques such as addition of Dy₂O₃ or low melting Dy -rich alloys be examined. In this way, it may be possible to increase the anisotropy constant locally, near the grain boundaries and two phase interfaces.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

The authors wish to acknowledge the technical assistance of Mr. C. J.Echer, National Center for Electron Microscopy, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley in carrying out the microanalyses. This work was supported by the Director, Office of Basic Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Sciences Division of the US Department of Energy under contract No. DE-AC03-76S F00098.

REFERENCES

1. N.C. Koon and B.N. Das, J. Appl. Phys. 55, 2063(1984).

2. J.F. Herbst, J.J. Croat, F.E. Pinkerton and W.B. Yelon, Phys. Rev. B 29, 4176 (1984).

3. M.Sagawa, S.Fujimura, H.Yamamoto, Y.Matsuura and K.Hiraga, IEEE Trans. on Magnetics, 20, 1584 (1984).

4. J.D.Livingston, in Proc. of the Eighth International Workshop on Rare Earth Permanent Magnets and their Applications, edited by K.J.Strnat (UniverSity of Dayton Press, 1985),pp423-443.

5. J.D.Livingston in Proc. of ASM Symposium on Soft and Hard Magnetic Materials, edited by J.A.Salsgiver et.al(Published by ASM, 1986), pp71-79. 6. H. Fujii, W. E. Wallace and E. B. Boltich, J. Mag. and Magn. Matls., 61, 251 (1986).

7. Y.C.Yang, W.J.James, X.D.Li, H.Y.Chen and L.G.Xu, IEEE Trans. on Mag., 22, 7579(1986).

8. B.M.Ma and K.S.V.L.Narasimhan, IEEE Trans. on Mag., 22, 916(1986).

9. B.M.Ma and K.S.V.L.Narasimhan, J.MMM, 54-57, 5599(1986).

10. T.Mizoguchi, I. Sakai, H. Niu and K. Inomata, IEEE Trans. on Mag., 22, 919(1986).

11. W.Rodewald, in Proc. of the Eighth International Workshop on Rare Earth Permanent Magnets and their Applications, edited by K.J.Strnat (University of Dayton Press, 1985), pp737-746.

12. L.Wei, J.Long, W.D.Wen, S.T.Diao and Z.Jinghan, J. Less Common Metals, 126, 95(1986).

13. M.Jurczyk and W.E.Wallace, J.MMM, 59 L182-L184(1986).

14. S.Hirosawa, K.Tokuhara, Y.Matsuura, H.Yamamoto, S.Fujimura and M.Sagawa, J.MMM., 61, 363(1986).

15. E.B.Boltich, E.Oswald, M.Q.Huang, S.Hirosawa and W.E.Waliace, J. Appl. Phys., 57, 4106(1985).

16. M.Sagawa, S.Fujimura, H.Yamamoto, Y.Matsuura, S.Hirosawa and K.Hiraga in Proc. of Eighth International Workshop on Rare Earth Permanent Magnets and their Applications edited by K.J.Strnat, (University of Dayton Press, 1985), pp587-612.

17. C. Abache and H. Oesterreicher, J. Appl. Phys., 57, 4112(1985).

18. M.Sagawa, S.Fujimura, N.Togawa, H.Yamamoto, Y.Matsuura, J. Appl. Phys., 55, 2083(1984).

19. R. Ramesh, J.K.Chen and G. Thomas, J. Appl. Phys. (in Press).

20. M.H.Ghandehari, Appl. Phys. Lett. 48, 548(1986).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1(a) (i-iv): Schematic illustrations of various types of concentration profiles for the substituted rare earth element. (See text for description of each plot.)

Figure 1(b) : Transmission electron micrograph of the typical microstructure of the sintered magnet consisting of the matrix $RE_2Fe_{14}B$ phase at the junctions of which a rare earth rich oxygen stabilized phase exists and frequently extends into the two-grain boundaries.

Figure 2: Effect of Dy content on the Remanence, B_r of Fe-Nd-B sintered magnet. The total rare earth content in all the cases is 35.1 wt.%.

Figure 3: Effect of Dy content on the Intrinsic Coercivity, iHc, of Fe-Nd-B sintered magnet. The total rare earth content in all the cases is 35.1 wt.%. Figure 4: Dependence of Intrinsic Coercivity upon the effective Anisotropy Constant, $_{\text{eff}}H_A$. The total rare earth content is constant at 35.1 wt.%.

Figure 5: Schematic illustration of the line profiling method across the interface of the $RE_2Fe_{14}B$ phase- grain boundary phase. The diameter of the spot corresponds approximately to the probe size.

Figure 6(a) : A typical elemental concentration profile in the case of Sample A (high Dy content); (b) An enlarged view of the Dy concentration profile. (The precision in quantification (2 sigma values) is within the width of the symbols).

Figure 7(a): Bright field transmission electron micrograph of an inclusion in sample A ; (b) EDX spectrum from the inclusion in (a) , indicating that the inclusion is Dy-rich.

Figure 8(a) : A typical elemental concentration profile for the case of Sample B (low Dy content) ; (b) An enlarged view of the Dy concentration profile. (The precision in quantification (2 sigma values) is within the width of the symbols).

Fig.1 a

 Θ

 \mathbf{r}

 \mathbf{t}

 $\overline{0}$

XBB 865-3633

 $11\,$

Fig.1 b

 $y = 12.034 - 0.1099x$ R = 0.99

Fraction Dy, %

Fig.2

Intrinsic Coercivity vs Dy %

Fig.3

 $y = -103.7969 + 2.5969x - 0.0133x^{2}$ R = 0.99

Effective Anisotropy Field, HA, kOe

Fig.4

XBL 868-2980

Fig.5

Fig. 6 a

position,micron

Flg.6 b

At.%Dy

Fig 7a

XBB 874-2616

Fig 7b

Fig. 8 a

Fig. 8b

/

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

 \bar{z}

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

and the state of the state

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA **BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720**

 $\label{eq:1.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$