# **UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works**

# **Title**

Exposure to toxic metals triggers unique responses from the rat gut microbiota

**Permalink** <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3c14c06j>

**Journal** Scientific Reports, 8(1)

**ISSN** 2045-2322

# **Authors**

Richardson, Joshua B Dancy, Blair CR Horton, Cassandra L [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3c14c06j#author)

**Publication Date** 2018

## **DOI**

10.1038/s41598-018-24931-w

Peer reviewed

# SCIENTIFIC REPERTS

Received: 26 February 2018 Accepted: 22 March 2018 Published online: 26 April 2018

# **Exposure to toxic metals triggers OPENunique responses from the rat gut microbiota**

**Joshua B. Richardson 1,2,3**, Blair C. R. Dancy<sup>2</sup>, Cassandra L. Horton<sup>1,2</sup>, Young S. Lee<sup>1,2</sup>, **Michael S. Madejczyk 2, Zhenjiang Zech Xu4, Gail Ackermann4, Gregory Humphrey4, Gustavo Palacios 3, Rob Knight 4,5,6 & John A. Lewis2**

**Our understanding of the interaction between the gut microbiota and host health has recently improved dramatically. However, the efects of toxic metal exposure on the gut microbiota remain poorly characterized. As this microbiota creates a critical interface between the external environment and the host's cells, it may play an important role in host outcomes during exposure. We therefore used 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene sequencing to track changes in the gut microbiota composition of rats exposed to heavy metals. Rats were exposed daily for fve days to arsenic, cadmium, cobalt, chromium, nickel, or a vehicle control. Signifcant changes to microbiota composition were observed in response to high doses of chromium and cobalt, and signifcant dose-dependent changes were observed in response to arsenic, cadmium and nickel. Many of these perturbations were not uniform across metals. However, bacteria with higher numbers of iron-importing gene orthologs were overly represented after exposure to arsenic and nickel, suggesting some possibility of a shared response. These fndings support the utility of the microbiota as a pre-clinical tool for identifying exposures to specifc heavy metals. It is also clear that characterizing changes to the functional capabilities of microbiota is critical to understanding responses to metal exposure.**

The microbiota of the mammalian gut is recognized as an important factor in maintaining host health<sup>[1](#page-10-0)</sup>. For exam-ple, the gut microbiota is crucial for the development of the immune system and a healthy gastrointestinal tract<sup>[2](#page-10-1),[3](#page-10-2)</sup>. Disruption of an established gut microbiome is associated with diseases ranging from obesity<sup>[4](#page-10-3)</sup>, diabetes<sup>[5](#page-10-4)</sup>, and allergies<sup>6</sup> to intestinal bowel disease<sup>[7](#page-10-6)</sup>. It is therefore critical to understand the factors that can disrupt or alter the microbiota. Factors such as stress, diet and genetics are known to afect the microbiota, and, increasingly, studies indicate a role for environmental toxicants as well<sup>8</sup>. Toxicants in the environment can directly harm the components of the microbiota, but can also be modifed by the microbiota to be more or less toxic to the host and/or the microbiota itself<sup>9</sup>. The microbiota of the gut occupies a niche at the interface of the external environment and host epithelium which makes it a prime target for monitoring environmental exposures. If there are taxa especially sensitive to environmental pollutants, monitoring changes to the microbiota could yield biomarkers of exposure.

Several studies have begun to explore how diferent environmental toxicants, such as metals, interact with the microbiota specifically<sup>8–11</sup>. Metals can be highly reactive, and both prokaryotes and eukaryotes have evolved mechanisms to take advantage of and to protect themselves from exposure. For example, the reactivity of some metals, like iron, manganese, and cobalt, make them useful as cofactors in enzymes to help catalyze reactions. In addition, there are a number of cellular transport systems, binding proteins, and conjugation pathways to limit exposures and unwanted effects from both essential and non-essential metals. The doses necessary to overwhelm these homeostatic mechanisms vary by organism and environment, and levels that may be toxic to some may have no, or even a benefcial efect, on others. As the microbiota experience the exposure prior to the host, how those microbes react to or afect the exposure has the potential to infuence the host response.

<sup>1</sup>Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education, Fort Detrick, MD, 21702, USA. <sup>2</sup>United States Army Center for Environmental Health Research, Fort Detrick, MD, 21702, USA. 3Center for Genome Sciences, United States Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases, Fort Detrick, MD, 21702, USA. <sup>4</sup>Department of Pediatrics, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, 92093, USA. <sup>5</sup>Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, 92093, USA. 6Center for Microbiome Innovation, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, 92093, USA. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.B.R. (email: [joshua.b.richardson2.ctr@mail.mil](mailto:joshua.b.richardson2.ctr@mail.mil))



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Figure 1.** Experimental summary. Diagram of dosing and sample schedule. A cohort of 5 rats were exposed to one of the indicated metals at a particular dose for fve days, with samples taken before and afer the exposures, as shown.

Metal exposures are an environmental and occupational hazard. The metals selected for this work, chromium (Cr), cadmium (Cd), cobalt (Co) and nickel (Ni), are widely distributed and some of the most utilized metals in industry, while arsenic (As) is a persistent public health threat found at high concentrations in drinking water in many areas<sup>12</sup>. All five of these metals have been listed by IARC as Group I or II carcinogens<sup>[13,](#page-11-2)14</sup>. In addition, exposure can lead to adverse health efects in target organs such as the liver, kidney, and lungs, although they are thought to act via different mechanisms and biochemical pathways $14-16$  $14-16$ .

Exposures to metal compounds have been shown to alter the diversity and composition of the gut microbiota. For example, in one study, either cadmium or lead in drinking water alter mouse gut microbiota by increasing Lachnospiraceae abundance<sup>11</sup>, while a different study found that cadmium in water increased growth of Bacteroidetes relative to Firmicutes in mice[17](#page-11-5). Another mouse study found that cadmium exposure led to a relative increase in Verrucomicrobia[18](#page-11-6). Chickens fed nickel-supplemented feed had increased prevalence of *E. coli* and *Enterococcuss* species<sup>19</sup>. Studies examining alterations in the microbial composition after arsenic exposure have shown results that appear to confict. Guo *et al*. found that providing mice water containing arsenic increased the abundance of Firmicutes and decreased the abundance of Bacteroidetes<sup>20</sup>. However, Dheer *et al.* found that arsenic exposure caused an increase in Bacteroidetes and a decrease in Firmicutes<sup>21</sup>. In another mouse study, drinking arsenic-containing water caused a decrease in the prevalence of the class Clostridia (in the phylum Firmicutes)<sup>22</sup>. Most studies use the animal's food or water as the source of exposure, which can lead to variable dosing of individuals. In addition, these studies tend to look at changes afer long periods of exposure, potentially missing important early changes to the microbiota.

To gain a more comprehensive understanding of the efects of metal exposure on the gut microbiota, we exposed rats to three diferent oral doses of sodium arsenite, cadmium chloride, cobalt chloride, sodium dichromate, or nickel chloride for fve days (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0). We profled the gut microbiota composition using 16S rRNA gene sequencing before and afer exposure to identify the taxa most sensitive or resistant to the metal. We identifed taxa and inferred gene inventories that are enriched or depleted afer metal exposure, detailing how each metal altered the microbiota. Tis information is likely to prove useful from a health monitoring standpoint if some taxa can serve as indicators of low/sub-clinical exposures that are not immediately harmful but could become so over time. Further, this design allowed us to identify early responses to metal exposures, such as enrichment of certain taxa or genes, which could be benefcial to the host and/or the microbiota in adapting to the higher levels of metal and that could be exploited to craft a therapeutic strategy.

#### **Results**

Cohorts of fve rats were exposed to three diferent doses of sodium arsenite, cadmium chloride, sodium dichromate, cobalt chloride, or nickel chloride by oral gavage for fve consecutive days. Fecal pellets were collected before the initial exposure and 24hours afer the fnal exposure. DNA from the fecal pellets was isolated, and the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplifed using degenerate primers to the fanking conserved regions and sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq. We recovered 14,703,356 reads from one multiplexed run containing the sequencing libraries for all of the samples. After joining paired-end reads, 11,127,881 sequences remained. Demultiplexing, quality filtering, and removal of chimeric sequences lef 8,357,686 sequences. Samples with less than the minimum reads for inclusion (3,544, see methods) were excluded from the analysis. Tis lef 279 samples, with an average of 15,317 sequences/sample.

**Overview of Changes in Microbiome Composition.** Reads were clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) based on 97% similarity using the QIIME pipeline and assigned a taxonomic designation using the Greengenes v13.8 database. Across the entire data set, 99% of the OTUs could be assigned to a phylum, 86% could be assigned to a family, and 39% could be assigned to a named genus. The drop-off in assignments at the genus level is in part due to the large percentage of OTUs belonging to the S24-7 family, which does not have a clearly resolved phylogeny below the family level and consequently does not have associated genera in the Greengenes v13.8 database $^{23}$  $^{23}$  $^{23}$ .



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Figure 2.** Taxonomic summaries. Stacked bar plots showing the average relative abundance of each taxa at various taxonomic levels. Diferent colored bars represent diferent phyla (indicated by the key), and, for the Order and Genus level plots, diferent tones represent diferent order and genera within the specifed phylum. Only phyla with an abundance of >5% in at least one sample of the exposure are shown. Any other phyla are classifed as "Other Bacteria."

Figure [2](#page-3-0) summarizes the abundances of taxa at the phylum, order and genus levels. The pre-exposure samples (Day 0) and post-exposure control samples (water control) have generally similar proportions of the major phyla. The cohorts all have roughly equal amounts of Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes, which together comprise on average 92% of the total bacteria across all samples and are known common components of the mammalian gut microbiota<sup>24</sup>. A small amount of Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria is also present in most controls. Notably, the samples from the nickel cohort (including pre-exposure and control samples) are well distinguished from the other control samples by the presence of Verrucomicrobia and Tenericutes, which collectively make up around 10% of the bacteria in the nickel control samples (see discussion for further details).

Phylum level changes in the gut microbiota were induced to varying degrees by each of the metals tested, particularly at the highest dose level. Proteobacteria increased in prevalence in response to each metal except for cadmium. Verrucomicrobia increased in cadmium, cobalt and chromium exposed samples despite not being a major presence in the control samples for these metals. In contrast, the Verrucomicrobia in the nickel control samples appear to have been reduced after exposure. The nickel exposure also seems to have nearly eliminated the Bacteroidetes family S24-7, which is the most prevalent Bacteroidetes family in the other samples and is prevalent in rat gut microbiota generall[y23](#page-11-11). Interestingly, the decline of family S24-7 in nickel-exposed samples was accompanied by an increase in the presence of other non-S24-7 Bacteroidetes. Proteobacteria, specifcally bacteria belonging to the Enterobacteriaceae family, also greatly increased afer nickel exposure. Overall, the pattern of changes in microbiome composition afer metal exposure tended to be specifc to a particular metal, though the majority of the genera afected (with the exception of those identifed in the nickel exposures) had altered abundances in multiple metals (Fig. [3](#page-4-0)). While there were some qualitatively similar changes, such as an increase in Proteobacteria, the scale of the change varied between metals, and no consistent patterns at any taxonomic level were observed across all metals.

**Alpha Diversity.** Plots of the within sample (or "alpha") diversity across cohorts (Fig. [4](#page-4-1), top row) show the average number of OTUs observed in samples pre- and post-exposure. Samples taken from animals afer exposure to arsenic, chromium, and nickel trended towards lower numbers of observed OTUs relative to their respective post-exposure control. Similar results were observed using Faith's phylogenetic distance (PD) and Shannon's diversity, an alpha diversity metric that incorporates the evenness and abundance of diferent OTUs (Fig. [4](#page-4-1)). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) indicates dose is a signifcant factor determining observed OTUs and PD among day 5 arsenic, chromium and nickel samples ( $p$  < 0.05). Dose was also a significant contributor to Shannon's diversity in arsenic and chromium samples ( $p < 0.05$ ). Despite reduced alpha diversity metrics in the arsenic, chromium and nickel treatments, the large within treatment variability makes frm conclusions difcult.



<span id="page-4-0"></span>Figure 3. Venn diagram showing genera that are significantly different in abundance before and after metal exposure. Significance tested by the Wald test ( $p \le 0.05$ ). See Fig. [6](#page-6-0) and Supplementary Table S1 for taxa names. 



<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Figure 4.** Alpha Diversity. Top row: Number of OTUs observed in each cohort pre- (D0) and post-exposure (D5) for the indicated metal. Middle row: Faith's Phylogenetic Diversity (PD) pre (D0) and post-exposure (D5). Bottom row: Shannon's diversity index for each cohort pre- (D0) and post-exposure (D5) for the indicated metal. In each plot, color indicates dose level.



<span id="page-5-0"></span>Figure 5. Principal Coordinate Analysis using the Bray-Curtis distance metric. The main figure shows first two coordinate axes from a Principal Coordinate Analysis based on the bray distance. Shape indicates day of sampling. Color indicates type of metal exposure, and shading indicates dose level. The small shapes indicate individual samples, and large shapes indicate centroids. Arrows connect the pre- and post-exposure centroids for each cohort.

**Beta Diversity.** As another means of identifying changes in the microbiota induced by metal exposure, we performed a principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) of all samples in our study using the Bray-Curtis distance metric on OTUs identifed by QIIME (Fig. [5\)](#page-5-0). Axis 1 captures 23% of the total variance, separating the entire nickel cohort from the remaining samples, and separating the post-exposure samples from pre-exposure samples for the other metals. Axis 2 separates the pre-exposure samples from each other (apart from nickel) and separates the post- from pre-exposure nickel samples. The nickel cohorts, including the pre-exposure samples are well separated from the rest of the samples along axis 1. Pre- and post-exposure samples from diferent metals tended to cluster apart, emphasizing the uniqueness of responses to diferent metal exposures. However, exposure caused a shift along axis 1 in the same direction for each metal except for nickel, suggesting some aspects of the response is shared. One potential candidate is the phylum Verrucomicrobia, which increases in abundance afer exposure to cadmium, cobalt and chromium. A qualitatively similar result was obtained using the UniFrac distance method, which incorporates phylogenetic information when determining distance between OTUs (see Supplementary Fig.  $S1)^{25}$  $S1)^{25}$  $S1)^{25}$ .

We tested for signifcant diferences in beta diversity between pre- and post-exposure samples within a cohort, and between post-exposure controls and treated samples using the PERMANOVA test on OTU tables. The PERMANOVA results ( $R^2$  (effect size) and  $p$  values) are shown in Table [1.](#page-7-0) Because the PERMANOVA test is sensitive to diferences in variance, we performed a multivariate test for equality of variances using the "betadisper" function from the vegan R package, in addition to the PERMANOVA. Only three comparisons (out of 35) showed a significant result ( $p < 0.05$ , Table [1,](#page-7-0) "Betadisper P"), indicating most significant PERMANOVA results are due to a change in mean and not variance. For each of the metals, the diferences in pre- and post-exposure water controls were not significant (Table [1,](#page-7-0) column 3), suggesting no effect due to experimental manipulation (gavage). Each post-exposure treatment group from the arsenic, cadmium and nickel experiments were signifcantly diferent from the post-exposure control group (Table [1,](#page-7-0) column 1). Only the chromium high dose group was signifcantly diferent from the chromium control, and no signifcant diferences were observed due to cobalt exposure. The size of exposure effects  $(R^2)$  varied between treatments, with the largest effect seen in the nickel high dose treatment group ( $R^2=0.55$ ), and the smallest significant effect seen in the chromium high dose treatment group ( $R^2$  = 0.22). In addition, we tested if metal treatments showed a significant, dose-dependent effect on microbiota composition, where each dose must induce a signifcant change from lower doses, and not just a change from the control (see methods for details). Arsenic, cadmium, and nickel all show dose dependent



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Figure 6.** Heat map showing the average log-fold change relative to sham controls. Red cells indicate increased abundance due to treatment. The phylum is indicated by bars to the left. Only taxa that were significantly changed in at least one test are shown. Only the family and genus are shown, see Supplementary Table S1 for complete taxonomy. \*Indicates significant association of exposure with change in abundance (Wald test) at  $p \le 0.05$ .

efects at each dose (Table [1,](#page-7-0) column 2). In summary, cobalt and chromium treatments showed little efect on microbiota composition, while arsenic, cadmium and nickel signifcantly altered microbiota composition in a dose-dependent manner.

**Diferential Abundance of Taxa.** We identifed taxa with diferent abundances in post-exposure treated samples relative to vehicle controls. Figure [6](#page-6-0) shows a heat map of all genera that had significantly different abundances (Wald test,  $p < 0.05$ ) in at least one comparison. In total, the abundances of 47 genera were affected by at least one metal exposure. Exposure to nickel showed the most pronounced efect with changes in abundance to 37 genera, of which 25 were uniquely afected by this metal. In contrast, only one genus was identifed as changing in the cobalt cohort. Figure [3](#page-4-0) shows the overlap of signifcantly diferent genera among the metals.

**Diferential Abundance of Genes and Gene Pathways.** We estimated the relative abundance of genes and biological pathways using the PICRUSt tool (phylogenetic investigation of communities by reconstruction of unobserved states<sup>26</sup>). This tool estimates the gene content of a bacterial assemblage based on the bacteria identified by 16S rDNA sequencing. Pathways, based on KEGG annotations, are then identifed using this inferred gene inventory. The nearest sequenced taxon index (NSTI), a measure of PICRUSt accuracy, was 0.168+/− 0.059 (mean+/− SD). Tis high value is somewhat worse relative to other mammalian microbiota studies, but this is likely due to the high abundance of reads from family S24-7, which, as mentioned, does not have a clearly resolved phylogeny<sup>26-[28](#page-11-15)</sup>. The nickel cohort, for example, has a much lower NSTI (0.079+/− 0.036), and very low abundance of S24-7. Removing the S24-7 reads in all day 5 samples causes the NSTI to drop to 0.104+/− 0.033. To identify genes and gene pathways that signifcantly diferentiate post-exposure control from post-exposure treated samples, we used Linear Discriminant Analysis of Effect Size (LEfSe)<sup>29</sup>. This program first identifies differentially abundant pathways and then performs a linear discriminant analysis to rank the pathways by their ability to discriminate two or more groups (in the case of our analysis, post-exposure samples and controls). Out of 6,909 KEGG orthologs, 926 were found to be significantly altered post-exposure, meeting the default criteria of LEfSe (a Kruskal-Wallis p-value < 0.05, and linear discriminant analysis score of  $\geq$ 2). Many of these were metal specific, as shown in Supplementary Fig. S2. Signifcantly diferent KEGG pathways are shown as cladograms in Supplementary Fig. S3.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

**Table 1.** Treatment efects on beta diversity. Results from the PERMANOVA test on each cohort, comparing post-exposure control samples to post-exposure treated samples ("Exposed vs Sham Control"). Dosedependent efects are shown under "Dose Efects." PERMANOVA tests comparing pre and post-exposure samples are shown under "Pre- vs Post-Exposure." <sup>a</sup>Results of a multivariate test of equality of variances using the "Betadisper" function from the vegan R package. Statistical signifcance indicates that the variances are not equal. <sup>b</sup>The proportion of variance explained by exposure status. <sup>c</sup>The probability that both sample groups occupy the same location (have similar microbiome compositions) based on the Bray-Curtis distance metric using the PERMANOVA test. P values less than 0.05 are in bold.

#### **Discussion**

The knowledge of the importance of the microbiome to human health has increased in recent years, yet the role that the microbiome plays in toxic response has not fully been elucidated. It is well known that microbial metabolism of xenobiotics can affect the toxicity of different compounds<sup>9</sup>, but previous efforts in this area have not considered how the dynamic response and changes of the microbiota to the toxicant may alter the metabolic potential of the host microbiome. Further, these toxicant-induced changes to the microbiome may themselves induce host efects independently from the toxicant. In an efort to better understand the role of the microbiome in response to metal exposures, we have undertaken a study to examine the compositional changes of the fecal microbiota of metal-exposed mice using 16S-rRNA gene analysis. We then utilized bioinformatics techniques to infer metabolic changes in response to these exposures.

We have shown that exposure to nickel, arsenic, or cadmium by oral gavage signifcantly alters gut microbiota composition, while chromium and cobalt at the tested doses have only modest effects. The nickel, arsenic, and cadmium treatments showed a dose dependent efect on microbiota diversity. Tis suggests that the exposures occurred within a range of doses where the microbiota is sensitive and responding to the specifc metal and that the changes we observed were not due to a generalized stress response in the rats. Interestingly, despite the lack of strong efects on the microbiota composition due to cobalt or chromium exposures, these exposures resulted in decreased weight and in accumulation of metals in the liver and kidney (Madejczyk *et al*., manuscript in preparation). These metals therefore had a physiological effect on the animals, but only a modest effect on the microbiota.

It should also be noted that rats were housed 2-3 animals per cage, and each cage received the same treatment. This design introduces potential cage effects, which can confound microbiota analyses<sup>30</sup>. However, since two cages were used per treatment, similar biases would likely have to be present in both cages to produce the signifcant differences we see. This is unlikely given that cage effects are generally thought to be random<sup>31</sup>.

The gut microbiota varied even among the inbred and co-housed rats that served as controls in this study (See Figs [4](#page-4-1) and [5\)](#page-5-0). This is unsurprising given that inter-individual variation is commonly reported to be high in microbiota surveys $32-34$  $32-34$ . While the arsenic, cadmium, and cobalt control samples cluster together, the chromium controls form their own cluster adjacent to these three metals, and the nickel controls are well separated from all other controls (see Supplementary Fig. S4 showing just the control exposures). Despite this initial variation between cohorts, the variation within cohorts afer fve days of sham exposure was relatively constant (see Figs [4](#page-4-1) and [5](#page-5-0) and Supplementary Fig. S4), suggesting the microbiota was stable over the course of the experiment. The cohort of rats used for the nickel exposures were purchased from the same vendor as the other studies but originated from a diferent colony at that vendor's facility, which likely explains the diferences from the other cohorts. Fortunately,



### Iron Complex Transport System

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Figure 7.** Relative abundance (+/− SEM) of KEGG orthologs belonging to the iron complex transport system, as estimated by PICRUSt.

cohort-matched controls were included with each metal exposure, so the statistical comparison included animals originating from a single colony. Tus, colony source is unlikely to afect the signifcant diferences we observed. Our fndings highlight the breadth of variation in individual microbiota, which can complicate the search for signatures of exposure. For example, the phylum Verrucomicrobia increased in response to cadmium exposure (Fig. [2\)](#page-3-0), but was already present in the pre-exposure nickel cohort at a comparable amount. Tracking relative changes in taxa abundance may be required for any efort to identify signatures of metal exposure based purely on compositional analyses, as the initial composition of real world samples of interest are bound to be highly variable.

As in previous studies, we found that exposure to metal compounds signifcantly altered the gut microbiota composition (Fig. [5,](#page-5-0) Table [1\)](#page-7-0). However, the specifc changes and trends reported are not always similar. Guo *et al*. reported an increase in Firmicutes and Proteobacteria, and a decrease in Bacteroidetes afer arsenic exposure, which is consistent with changes we observed in the two lowest doses (Fig.  $2^{20}$  $2^{20}$  $2^{20}$ . Our highest dose also showed an increase in Proteobacteria, but not Firmicutes or Bacteroidetes. Guo *et al*. also report a decrease in TM7, how-ever, this phylum was prevalent in their control population but nearly absent in our own controls<sup>[20](#page-11-8)</sup>. Breton *et al.* found that cadmium increased Lachnospiraceae abundance in mice<sup>11</sup>, but we saw no difference in our own study. Liu observed that Bacteroidetes increased in abundance relative to Firmicutes after cadmium exposure<sup>17</sup>, which, again, we did not fnd. While it is encouraging that some of the trends we observed were also observed by others, it is also clear that there are many discrepancies.

Metal exposure has been shown, by this paper and others, to alter gut microbiota compositions, but the specific taxa affected are not consistent. There are many potential sources of variability that could explain these discrepancies, including variation in the starting microbiota, exposure regimen (drinking water, oral gavage, etc.), time-frame of exposure, dose efects, feed composition, and technical diferences in the processing and analysis of samples. We sought to limit these sources of variability as much as possible. For example, the feed used has a similar macronutrient breakdown to feed used in other studies, and is unlikely to alter the microbiome (see methods). A distinctive feature of our work is the use of a range of doses, and measuring their efects relatively early in the exposure. In addition, we used oral gavage, instead of spiking the food or water, to control the dose each animal received. We are therefore more likely to identify the changes most sensitive to metal exposure. Another serious consideration is the strength of compositional analysis when looking at higher level taxa to elucidating signatures of exposure. The sensitivity or resistance of an individual microbe to a given toxicant is determined at the gene or functional level. Species and even strain level diferences may be due to genetic changes that alter sensitivity to a given toxicant. Terefore, we sought to identify functional changes within the microbiota using bioinformatics analysis to infer gene composition.

The changes in microbial composition that we observed after metal exposure are likely related to the functional capabilities of the microbes that efect sensitivity to the toxicant or response to changes in the host. While we cannot directly measure these capabilities, we can infer them based on genomic sequence. The genes and biological pathways that were diferentially abundant due to metal exposure potentially represent important mechanisms in microbial responses to the metal. One example that we observed was an increased presence of orthologs to the three genes that encode the bacterial, iron ABC-transporter system. Specifcally, genes encoding the permease, ATP-binding protein, and the siderophore-binding protein (KEGG orthology groups K02013, K02015, and K02016) were signifcantly overrepresented in the arsenic and nickel treated samples. Figure [7](#page-8-0) shows the relative proportion of each of these KEGG orthology groups across metal treatments.

Bacteria containing the iron complex transport system may have increased in relative abundance due to the system's capacity to interact with metals generally. Bacterial iron importing mechanisms ofen can import nickel as well<sup>[35](#page-11-21)</sup>. Siderophores have been found to also bind nickel, alleviating toxicity due to excess nickel exposure<sup>36</sup>. Further, iron has been observed to mitigate the efects of arsenic toxicity, so bacteria with orthologs to these iron-harvesting genes may have an advantage when growing in the presence of arsenic<sup>20</sup>.

Interestingly, even though the overall composition of the nickel and arsenic control samples were very diferent, the relative abundance of the genes was similar. The genes increased in frequency in response to higher doses, and this increase was not due to the relative increase of a particular taxa shared by the arsenic and nickel groups. Supplementary Fig. S5 shows the contribution of diferent taxa to the abundance of the siderophore-binding protein (KEGG ortholog K02016) in the high dose arsenic and nickel samples. Similar results were seen for the other two orthologs in this complex. The Proteobacteria phylum, and specifically the Enterobactericeae family, increased in response to both arsenic and nickel treatments. However, while Enterobacteriaceae was a major contributor to the prevalence of the iron complex transport system in nickel treated samples, it was only present in three of the arsenic treated samples (see Supplementary Fig. S5). Instead, the family S24-7 and Ruminococcaceae were the main contributors but were virtually absent from the nickel treated samples.

These finding shows the importance of characterizing the functional capacity of microbiota in addition to identifying changes in taxonomic composition. While diferent taxa changed in abundance due to arsenic and nickel exposure (Fig. [6](#page-6-0)), the functional analysis indicates a common set of orthologous genes increased in both sets of samples. Exposure to these metals likely created a niche where bacteria with increased repertoires of genes that mitigate metal toxicity thrived. The taxa providing these genes differed between treatments, presumably refecting the diferent taxa present in the cohorts pre-exposure. Tis may also explain diferent responses to metals observed by others. The specific taxa we observe changing in response to metals may differ from other reports, but could be flling similar functional niches. Better characterization of the functional capacity of the microbiotas, for example through whole genome sequencing and metabolomics, will be required to test this idea further.

In summary, we have shown that dose dependent alteration in the microbiota can be observed and measured afer exposure to the heavy metals arsenic, cadmium and nickel. Tis sets the ground work for the use of changes in bacterial composition as a potential biomarker of exposure. However, it must be noted, that compositional changes at higher taxonomic levels (e.g. phylum) are unlikely to be specifc, as indicated by the diferent responses observed for the same metal across different studies<sup>[11](#page-11-0),[17](#page-11-5),[20](#page-11-8)</sup>. By drilling down to the functional level of the gene and gene pathway, metal-specifc adaptions of the microbiota can be observed. In this work, we identifed a role for siderophore-importing orthologs in response to nickel and arsenic exposure, but the bacterial composition producing this response was diferent for each metal. As these adaptive responses are better characterized, the metabolic efects of the microbiota on toxicity and the direct efects of the microbiome on health can be better elucidated. Te microbiota shows promise as a biomarker of exposure, but future work will be required to identify the functional and metabolic components most useful as a biomarker.

#### **Methods**

**Metal Exposures/Fecal collection.** Research was conducted in compliance with the Animal Welfare Act, and other Federal statutes and regulations relating to animals and experiments involving animals and adheres to principles stated in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NRC 2011) in facilities that are fully accredited by the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care, International. Animal studies were conducted by Integrated Laboratory Service, Inc. (ILS; Research Triangle Park, NC). All animal procedures used during the study were approved by the ILS animal care and use committee and reviewed by a Department of Defense veterinarian (ILS IACUC protocol numbers AUP 2013–14, AUP 2013–16, AUP 2013–17, AUP 2013–18, and AUP 2013–19).

CD® IGS [CRL:CD (SD)] rats were purchased from Charles River Laboratories (Raleigh, NC). Tis strain of Sprague Dawley rats, a commonly used outbred rat model, was bred using the Charles River International Genetic Standardization (IGS) Program to ensure the same relative level of genetic heterogeneity is maintained across all breeding colonies. It has been Caesarean rederived twice: once in 1955 from the original Charles River Sprague Dawley and once in 1997 to establish the IGS isolator foundation colony. Rats were provided Purina Rodent Diet No. 5002 (Ralston Purina Co., St. Louis, MO), a standard rodent diet (13.1% calories from fat, 24.1% calories from protein, and 62.7% calories from carbohydrates), *ad libitum*. Rats had access to reverse-osmosis-treated tap water (City of Durham, NC) *ad libitum*. A total of twenty animals were used for each metal exposure. Five animals were assigned to one of three experimental groups, and fve were assigned to a control group. Rats were housed with two or three animals per cage, and all cages were assigned animals from the same experimental group. Rats were exposed by oral gavage (5 mL/kg) to sodium arsenite (NaAsO<sub>2</sub>-15, 22, or 31 mg/kg/day), cadmium chloride (CdCl<sub>2</sub> – 35, 54, or 85 mg/kg/day), sodium dichromate (Na<sub>2</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> – 44, 62, or 88 mg/kg/day), cobalt chloride  $(CoCl<sub>2</sub> - 27, 47, or 82 mg/kg/day)$ , or nickel chloride (NiCl<sub>2</sub> – 177, 232, or 300 mg/kg/day) or vehicle control (water only) daily for fve consecutive days. Fresh fecal samples were collected prior to the initial dosing and 24 hours after the final dosing, flash frozen, and stored at −80 °C until processing.

**Sequencing.** DNA extraction, PCR amplifcation and amplicon preparation were performed as described in Caporaso *et al*. [37.](#page-11-23) In summary, total DNA was extracted, and the V4 region of the 16S rRNA genes was amplified using the universal bacterial/archael primers 515f and 806r. Three replicate PCRs were performed for each sample and then pooled. All barcoded amplicons were then pooled in equal concentrations for sequencing on the Illumina Miseq platform (Miseq Sofware v2.5).

**16S rRNA amplicon Data Processing.** The quality of 16S rRNA amplicon sequences was first checked using FASTQC[38](#page-11-24). Sequences were quality fltered and clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) follow-ing the standard QIIME analysis framework<sup>[39](#page-11-25)</sup>. First, paired-end reads were joined together with the join\_paired\_ ends.py script from QIIME, using the fastq-join tool and the default settings<sup>[40](#page-11-26)</sup>. Chimeric read identification and removal was done using usearch6.[141.](#page-11-27) Reads were clustered into OTUs using the pick\_open\_reference.py script from QIIME, which implements both reference based (using the Greengenes v13.8 97% reference OTU database<sup>42</sup>), as well as *de novo* OTU clustering. The default options were used. OTUs were clustered at 97% sequence similarity. The Uclust algorithm was used for both OTU clustering and taxonomic assignment to the Greengenes database<sup>41</sup>. OTUs with less than 2 sequences were eliminated. PyNAST was used to align representative OTU sequences for tree construction, and any OTU sequences with a sequence identity match of less than 75% were eliminated<sup>[39,](#page-11-25)[43](#page-11-29)</sup>. Samples were excluded if they had fewer than 3,544 reads (which is equal to the experiment-wide mean minus 2 times the experiment-wide standard deviation) or were blanks or technical controls. The mean reads per sample was 15,317.

**Visualization of Taxonomic Distribution.** Stacked bar plots showing taxonomic summaries were based on percent abundance and averaged across all samples in that group (Fig. [2](#page-3-0)). Archaea, though present at very low levels, were excluded from summaries. Only phyla with an abundance of at least 5% in one of the samples within the cohort are indicated by their own bar. Phyla not meeting these criteria are collapsed into the "Other" category. Reads that could not be assigned to any taxa were placed in the "Unassigned" category. At levels below phylum, (Fig. [2,](#page-3-0) middle and bottom rows) taxa that made up more than 5% of the total reads within a sample are indicated by their own bar shaded with a tone matching the color of its phylum. All bar plots were generated in R, version 3.3.0[44](#page-11-30).

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analysis of beta and alpha diversity were performed in R (version 3.3.0), using the "phyloseq" package<sup>45</sup>. Alpha diversity measures were calculated using the "estimate\_richness" function for OTU richness and Shannon's Diversity, and Faith's phylogenetic distance was calculated using the "pd" function of the "picante" R package<sup>[46](#page-11-32)</sup>. One-way ANOVA was used to calculate if dose significantly altered alpha diversity. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used to test for signifcant changes to alpha diversity, and the Holm method was used to correct for multiple comparisons. Principal Coordinate Analyses were performed using the "ordinate" function. The PERMANOVA and homogeneity of variance tests were run with the "adonis" and "betadisper" functions, respectively, from the "vegan" package<sup>47</sup>. Pairwise PERMANOVA tests were conducted between post-exposure treated samples and either pre-exposure samples, or post-exposure control samples to test signifcance of treatment efect. Signifcant dose efects were calculated using the adonis function, specifying the treatment levels (control, low, mid, and high) as Helmert contrasts. The PERMANOVA test is a non-parametric, multivariate analysis of variance method that can be applied to a distance matrix. Tis test assumes equality of variance between the groups being tested, so a multivariate homogeneity of variance test, using the "betadisper" function in the vegan R package was performed for each PERMANOVA test, using the same data and comparisons. For each PERMANOVA test, the PERMANOVA *p*-value and the effect size (proportion of variance explained by the grouping variable), and the betadisper variance test *p*-value are reported in Table [1](#page-7-0). Diferential abundance analysis was conducted using the DESeq. 2 package in R, in conjunction with the phyloseq package<sup>48,49</sup>. The Wald test was used to test for a signifcant association between taxa abundance and exposure status within each cohort.

**Biological Inferences.** Estimations of gene and biological pathway effects were performed using PICRUSt<sup>26</sup>. Only OTUs matching the Greengenes database (not those identifed by de novo clustering) were used as input for PICRUSt, following the guidance of the authors. The nearest sequenced taxon index (NSTI) was calculated for each day 5 (post-treatment) sample, as a measure of how well microbes in the sample are represented by the sequenced genomes used to make gene content inferences. OTU abundances were normalized based on 16S copy number, and samples were rarefed to the depth of the sample with the fewest reads (2987) using single\_rarefaction.py in QIIME. Gene abundances were calculated using predict\_metagenomes.py, the main PICRUSt script. KEGG pathway abundances were calculated based on gene abundances using the categorize\_by\_function.py script, a PICRUSt utility script. Genes and gene pathways contributing to the diference between groups were identified using the Linear Discriminant Analysis of Effect Size (LEfSe) program and default settings<sup>[29](#page-11-16)</sup>.

Availability of data and materials. The dataset generated and analyzed during this study is submitted to the European Nucleotide Archive, and will be made available on publication.

**Disclaimer.** Opinions, interpretations, conclusions, and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the U.S. Army. Citations of commercial organizations or trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of the Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-10-0"></span>1. Clemente, J. C., Ursell, L. K., Parfrey, L. W. & Knight, R. Te impact of the gut microbiota on human health: an integrative view. *Cell* **148**, 1258–1270, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.01.035](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.01.035) (2012).
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>2. Cox, L. M. *et al*. Altering the intestinal microbiota during a critical developmental window has lasting metabolic consequences. *Cell* **158**, 705–721, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.05.052](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.05.052) (2014).
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>3. Hooper, L. V., Littman, D. R. & Macpherson, A. J. Interactions between the microbiota and the immune system. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **336**, 1268–1273, [https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1223490](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1223490) (2012).
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>4. Ley, R. E. *et al*. Obesity alters gut microbial ecology. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **102**, 11070–11075, [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0504978102](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0504978102) (2005).
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>5. Hartstra, A. V., Bouter, K. E., Backhed, F. & Nieuwdorp, M. Insights into the role of the microbiome in obesity and type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* **38**, 159–165, [https://doi.org/10.2337/dc14-0769](http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc14-0769) (2015).
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>6. Fujimura, K. E. & Lynch, S. V. Microbiota in allergy and asthma and the emerging relationship with the gut microbiome. *Cell Host Microbe* **17**, 592–602, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2015.04.007](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2015.04.007) (2015).
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>7. Forbes, J. D., Van Domselaar, G. & Bernstein, C. N. Microbiome Survey of the Inflamed and Noninflamed Gut at Different Compartments Within the Gastrointestinal Tract of Infammatory Bowel Disease Patients. *Infamm Bowel Dis* **22**, 817–825, [https://](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MIB.0000000000000684) [doi.org/10.1097/MIB.0000000000000684](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MIB.0000000000000684) (2016).
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>8. Claus, S. P., Guillou, H. & Ellero-Simatos, S. The gut microbiota: a major player in the toxicity of environmental pollutants? *npj Bioflms and Microbiomes* **2**, 16003, [https://doi.org/10.1038/npjbioflms.2016.3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/npjbiofilms.2016.3) (2016).
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>9. Snedeker, S. M. & Hay, A. G. Do interactions between gut ecology and environmental chemicals contribute to obesity and diabetes? *Environ Health Perspect* **120**, 332–339, [https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1104204](http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1104204) (2012).
- 10. Jin, Y., Wu, S., Zeng, Z. & Fu, Z. Efects of environmental pollutants on gut microbiota. *Environmental pollution (Barking, Essex: 1987)* **222**, 1–9, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.11.045](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.11.045) (2017).
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>11. Breton, J. *et al*. Ecotoxicology inside the gut: impact of heavy metals on the mouse microbiome. *BMC pharmacology & toxicology* **14**, 62, [https://doi.org/10.1186/2050-6511-14-62](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/2050-6511-14-62) (2013).
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>12. Bisanz, J. E. *et al*. Randomized open-label pilot study of the infuence of probiotics and the gut microbiome on toxic metal levels in Tanzanian pregnant women and school children. *mBio* **5**, e01580–01514, [https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01580-14](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01580-14) (2014).
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>13. Straif, K. *et al*. A review of human carcinogens–Part C: metals, arsenic, dusts, and fbres. *Te Lancet. Oncology* **10**, 453–454 (2009).
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>14. IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. Cobalt in hard metals and cobalt sulfate, gallium arsenide, indium phosphide and vanadium pentoxide. *IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans* **86**, 1–294  $(2006)$
- 15. Kozlowski, H., Kolkowska, P., Watly, J., Krzywoszynska, K. & Potocki, S. General aspects of metal toxicity. *Current medicinal chemistry* **21**, 3721–3740 (2014).
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>16. Tchounwou, P. B., Yedjou, C. G., Patlolla, A. K. & Sutton, D. J. Heavy metal toxicity and the environment. *Exs* **101**, 133–164, [https://](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7643-8340-4_6) [doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7643-8340-4\\_6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7643-8340-4_6) (2012).
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>17. Liu, Y., Li, Y., Liu, K. & Shen, J. Exposing to cadmium stress cause profound toxic efect on microbiota of the mice intestinal tract. *PloS one* **9**, e85323, [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0085323](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0085323) (2014).
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>18. Li, Y., Liu, K., Shen, J. & Liu, Y. Wheat bran intake can attenuate chronic cadmium toxicity in mice gut microbiota. *Food Funct* **7**, 3524–3530, [https://doi.org/10.1039/c6fo00233a](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c6fo00233a) (2016).
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>19. Wu, B. *et al*. Toxicological efects of dietary nickel chloride on intestinal microbiota. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* **109**, 70–76, [https://doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2014.08.002) [org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2014.08.002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2014.08.002) (2014).
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>20. Guo, X. *et al*. Metagenomic profiles and antibiotic resistance genes in gut microbiota of mice exposed to arsenic and iron. *Chemosphere* **112**, 1–8, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.03.068](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.03.068) (2014).
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>21. Dheer, R. *et al*. Arsenic induces structural and compositional colonic microbiome change and promotes host nitrogen and amino acid metabolism. *Toxicology and applied pharmacology* **289**, 397–408, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2015.10.020](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2015.10.020) (2015).
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>22. Lu, K. *et al*. Arsenic exposure perturbs the gut microbiome and its metabolic profle in mice: an integrated metagenomics and metabolomics analysis. *Environ Health Perspect* **122**, 284–291, [https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1307429](http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1307429) (2014).
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>23. Ormerod, K. L. *et al*. Genomic characterization of the uncultured Bacteroidales family S24-7 inhabiting the guts of homeothermic animals. *Microbiome* **4**, 36, [https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-016-0181-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s40168-016-0181-2) (2016).
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>24. Nguyen, T. L., Vieira-Silva, S., Liston, A. & Raes, J. How informative is the mouse for human gut microbiota research? *Dis Model Mech* **8**, 1–16, [https://doi.org/10.1242/dmm.017400](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dmm.017400) (2015).
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>25. Lozupone, C. & Knight, R. UniFrac: a new phylogenetic method for comparing microbial communities. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **71**, 8228–8235, [https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.12.8228-8235.2005](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.12.8228-8235.2005) (2005).
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>26. Langille, M. G. *et al*. Predictive functional profling of microbial communities using 16S rRNA marker gene sequences. *Nature biotechnology* **31**, 814–821, [https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2676](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2676) (2013).
- 27. Amato, K. R. *et al*. Variable responses of human and non-human primate gut microbiomes to a Western diet. *Microbiome* **3**, 53, [https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-015-0120-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s40168-015-0120-7) (2015).
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>28. Rooks, M. G. *et al*. Gut microbiome composition and function in experimental colitis during active disease and treatment-induced remission. *ISME J* **8**, 1403–1417, [https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2014.3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2014.3) (2014).
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>29. Segata, N. *et al*. Metagenomic biomarker discovery and explanation. *Genome biology* **12**, R60, [https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2011-12-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/gb-2011-12-6-r60) [6-r60](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/gb-2011-12-6-r60) (2011).
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>30. Hildebrand, F. *et al*. Infammation-associated enterotypes, host genotype, cage and inter-individual efects drive gut microbiota variation in common laboratory mice. *Genome biology* **14**, R4, [https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2013-14-1-r4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/gb-2013-14-1-r4) (2013).
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>31. McCaferty, J. *et al*. Stochastic changes over time and not founder efects drive cage efects in microbial community assembly in a mouse model. *ISME J* **7**, 2116–2125, [https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2013.106](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2013.106) (2013).
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>32. Eckburg, P. B. *et al*. Diversity of the human intestinal microbial flora. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **308**, 1635–1638, [https://doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1110591) [org/10.1126/science.1110591](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1110591) (2005).
- 33. Costello, E. K. *et al*. Bacterial Community Variation in Human Body Habitats Across Space and Time. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **326**, 1694–1697, [https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1177486](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1177486) (2009).
- <span id="page-11-20"></span>34. Human Microbiome Project Consortium. Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. *Nature* **486**, 207–214, [https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11234](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature11234) (2012).
- <span id="page-11-21"></span>35. de Reuse, H., Vinella, D. & Cavazza, C. Common themes and unique proteins for the uptake and trafcking of nickel, a metal essential for the virulence of Helicobacter pylori. *Frontiers in cellular and infection microbiology* **3**, 94, [https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2013.00094](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2013.00094)  $(2013)$
- <span id="page-11-22"></span>36. Dimkpa, C., Svatos, A., Merten, D., Buchel, G. & Kothe, E. Hydroxamate siderophores produced by Streptomyces acidiscabies E13 bind nickel and promote growth in cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L.) under nickel stress. *Can J Microbiol* **54**, 163–172, [https://doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/w07-130) [org/10.1139/w07-130](http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/w07-130) (2008).
- <span id="page-11-23"></span>37. Caporaso, J. G. *et al*. Ultra-high-throughput microbial community analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq platforms. *ISME J* **6**, 1621–1624, [https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.8) (2012).
- <span id="page-11-24"></span>38. Babraham Bioinformatics. FastQC: A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. Available from [http://www.](http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/) [bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/.](http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/)
- <span id="page-11-25"></span>39. Caporaso, J. G. *et al*. QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nature methods* **7**, 335–336, [https://](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.f.303) [doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.f.303](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.f.303) (2010).
- <span id="page-11-26"></span>40. Aronesty, E. ea-utils: "Command-line tools for processing biological sequencing data"; (2011).
- <span id="page-11-27"></span>41. Edgar, R. C. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 2460–2461, [https://doi.org/10.1093/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq461) [bioinformatics/btq461](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq461) (2010).
- <span id="page-11-28"></span>42. McDonald, D. *et al*. An improved Greengenes taxonomy with explicit ranks for ecological and evolutionary analyses of bacteria and archaea. *ISME J* **6**, 610–618, [https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2011.139](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2011.139) (2012).
- <span id="page-11-29"></span>43. Caporaso, J. G. *et al*. PyNAST: a fexible tool for aligning sequences to a template alignment. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 266–267, [https://doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp636) [org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp636](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp636) (2010).
- <span id="page-11-30"></span>44. R: A language and environment for statistical computing (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2016).
- <span id="page-11-31"></span>45. McMurdie, P. J. & Holmes, S. phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PloS one* **8**, e61217, [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061217](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061217) (2013).
- <span id="page-11-32"></span>46. Kembel, S. W. *et al*. Picante: R tools for integrating phylogenies and ecology. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 1463–1464, [https://doi.org/10.1093/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq166) [bioinformatics/btq166](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq166) (2010).
- <span id="page-11-33"></span>47. Jari Oksanen, F. G. B. *et al*. *vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.4-0*., [https://CRAN.R-project.org/package](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan)=vegan  $(2016)$
- <span id="page-11-34"></span>48. Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq. 2. *Genome biology* **15**, 550, [https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8) (2014).
- <span id="page-11-35"></span>49. McMurdie, P. J. & Holmes, S. Waste Not, Want Not: Why Rarefying Microbiome Data Is Inadmissible. *PLoS computational biology* **10**, e1003531, [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003531](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003531) (2014).

#### **Acknowledgements**

We wish to thank David Fetterer for helpful discussions about statistical analysis. We also wish to thank Jason T. Ladner and the rest of the Center for Genome Sciences at the US Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases for useful discussions about this study. The research described herein was sponsored by the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command, Military Operational Medicine Research Program.

#### **Author Contributions**

B.C.R.D., M.S.M. and J.A.L designed the study. C.L.H. and Y.S.L. collected and prepared samples. G.A. and G.H. sequenced samples. J.B.R. and Z.Z.X. analyzed the data and performed statistical analyses, with input from R.K., J.A.L. and B.C.R.D., J.B.R. and B.C.R.D. wrote the manuscript with input from J.A.L. and G.P. All authors participated in discussions of the results, and all authors read and approved of the manuscript.

#### **Additional Information**

**Supplementary information** accompanies this paper at [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-24931-w.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-24931-w)

**Competing Interests:** The authors declare no competing interests.

**Publisher's note:** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

**Tehn Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International  $\left( \mathrm{cc} \right)$ License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

 $© The Author(s) 2018$