UC Merced

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society

Title
The Wason selection task in the long-run: Evaluating the truthfulness of universal and probabilistic statements through evidence search

Permalink
https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3cd3p5fn

Journal
Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 46(0)

Authors
Armaos, Konstantinos

Hoffrage, Ulrich

Publication Date
2024

Copyright Information

Peer reviewed

The Wason selection task in the long-run: Evaluating the truthfulness of universal and probabilistic statements through evidence search

Konstantinos Armaos
University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

Ulrich Hoffrage
university of lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

Abstract

To investigate, in an ecological way, how people evaluate the truthfulness of universal and probabilistic statements we introduce a modified version of the Wason Selection Task. Participants see four decks of cards (instead of four cards), and are asked to turn as many cards as they deem necessary to judge if a given statement is true or false, both for the observed sample (deductive task) and for an imaginary reference population (inductive task). Participants encounter universal ("All P are Q") or probabilistic statements ("more/less than x% of P are Q"; between-subjects) with abstract, realistic neutral, and realistic polarizing statements (within-subjects). Half of the participants receive an endowment for each turn, correct (incorrect) deductive judgments are rewarded (penalized), and turning a card incurs a cost (other half: fixed participation fee). We report results from two online experiments, thereby also contrasting prescriptive models of evidence search with actual behaviors.