

UCLA

UCLA Public Law & Legal Theory Series

Title

Professional Responsibility: A Contemporary Approach (Table of Contents)

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3ch754sj>

Journal

UCLA School of Law Public Law & Legal Theory Series

Authors

Kim, Sung Hui

Pearce, Russell G.


(Newman) Knake Jefferson, Renee

et al.

Publication Date

2017-05-11

Fordham University School of Law



Professional Responsibility: A Contemporary Approach By

Russell G. Pearce

EDWARD AND MARILYN BELLET CHAIR IN LEGAL ETHICS, MORALITY AND RELIGION

AND

Renee Newman Knake
University of Houston

AND

Bruce A. Green
LOUIS STEIN PROFESSOR OF LAW AND DIRECTOR, LOUIS STEIN CENTER FOR LAW AND ETHICS

AND

Peter A. Joy
Washington University in St. Louis-School of Law

AND

Sung Hui Kim
UCLA School of Law

AND

Laurel S. Terry
Dickinson Law School

AND

Ellen Murphy
Wake Forest University School of Law

PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY: A CONTEMPORARY APPROACH (Third Edition 2017)

This paper can be downloaded without charge
from the Social Science Research Network electronic library:

<http://ssrn.com/abstract=2963878>

Table of Contents

PREFACE TO THE THIRD EDITION	v
A NOTE ON SOURCES	vii
FEATURES OF THIS CASEBOOK	ix
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	xi
TABLE OF CASES.....	xxvii
TABLE OF QUESTIONS	xxxv

CHAPTER 1: *Introducing Professionalism and Legal Ethics*1

A. How Do You Become a Lawyer?.....	3
B. The Legal Definition of Professional Responsibility.....	5
C. This Professional Responsibility Course Is About You.....	8
D. Professional Responsibility Is About the Legal Profession.....	8
AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION COMMISSION ON PROFESSIONALISM, “ . . . IN THE SPIRIT OF PUBLIC SERVICE” A BLUEPRINT FOR THE REKINDLING OF LAWYER PROFESSIONALISM	11
RUSSELL G. PEARCE, BRIAN DANITZ & ROMELIA S. LEACH, REVITALIZING THE LAWYER-POET: WHAT LAWYERS CAN LEARN FROM ROCK AND ROLL	12
ELI WALD AND RUSSELL G. PEARCE, BEING GOOD LAWYERS: A RELATIONAL APPROACH TO LAW PRACTICE	13
E. Can Professional Responsibility Be Taught?	16
RUSSELL G. PEARCE, TEACHING ETHICS SERIOUSLY: LEGAL ETHICS AS THE MOST IMPORTANT SUBJECT IN LAW SCHOOL	18
F. Conclusion	21

CHAPTER 2: *The Basic Elements of Law Practice*.....23

I. Introduction	26
II. Defining the Practice of Law	27
A. The Prohibition	28

B.	Limits on Non-lawyers Providing Low Cost Legal Assistance	29
	<i>Florida Bar v. Brumbaugh</i>	30
	<i>LegalZoom.com, Inc. v. N.C. State Bar</i>	41
C.	Lawyers Working with Non-Lawyers	46
D.	Unauthorized Practice by Lawyers	56
	<i>Birbrower, Montalbano, Condon & Frank, P.C. v. Superior Court</i>	57
III.	Creating the Lawyer-Client Relationship.....	72
A.	General Principles	72
	<i>Morris v. Margulis & Grant, P.C.</i>	73
	<i>Westinghouse Elec. Corp. v. Kerr-McGee Corp.</i>	77
B.	Exceptions to the General Rule: The Duty to Accept Particular Cases	84
	<i>Board of Professional Responsibility of the Supreme Court of Tennessee</i>	85
	<i>Nathanson v. MCAD</i>	87
C.	The Duty to Reject Certain Cases.....	90
D.	Other Issues Related to the Establishment of the Lawyer-Client Relationship.....	94
IV.	Ending the Lawyer-Client Relationship.....	95
	<i>Whiting v. Lacara</i>	99
V.	Competence	104
A.	Discipline	106
B.	Malpractice Liability	111
	<i>Ziegelheim v. Apollo</i>	115
	<i>Lerner v. Laufer</i>	122
C.	Ineffective Assistance of Counsel	129
	<i>Rompilla v. Beard</i>	130
D.	A Case Study: Debating Whether the Torture Memos Represent Competent Legal Work	138
	WHAT WENT WRONG: TORTURE AND THE OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL IN THE BUSH ADMINISTRATION: HEARING BEFORE THE S. COMM. ON THE JUDICIARY, 111TH CONG. (2009)	139
	LETTER FROM ATTORNEY GENERAL MICHAEL B. MUKASEY & DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL MARK FILIP TO MR. H. MARSHALL JARRET, COUNSEL, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY (OPR), UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	145
VI.	Principles That Govern the Relationship Between Lawyers and Clients	150
A.	Allocating Decision-Making Between Lawyer and Client.....	150
	<i>Jones v. Barnes</i>	151
	<i>Boyd v. Brett-Major</i>	158

1 RONALD E. MALLIN & JEFFREY M. SMITH, LEGAL MALPRACTICE § 8.9.....	160
MARCY STRAUSS, TOWARD A REVISED MODEL OF ATTORNEY-CLIENT RELATIONSHIP: THE ARGUMENT FOR AUTONOMY	162
<i>Gilmore v. Utah</i>	164
KRISTEN M. DAMA, COMMENT, REDEFINING A FINAL ACT: THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT AND STATES' OBLIGATION TO PREVENT DEATH ROW INMATES FROM VOLUNTEERING TO BE PUT TO DEATH	166
B. Other Principles That Set the Parameters of the Lawyer-Client Relationship	168
<i>People v. Chappell</i>	169
<i>Board of Professional Responsibility of the Supreme Court of Tennessee</i>	174

CHAPTER 3: *The Business, Technology, and Marketing of Legal Services*.....

I. Introduction	179
II. Finding Clients.....	181
A. An Overview of Marketing Legal Services	181
LARRY BODINE, WRITING YOUR PERSONAL MARKETING PLAN	182
STEPHANIE KIMBRO, VIRTUAL LAW PRACTICE: HOW TO DELIVER LEGAL SERVICES ONLINE	183
STACEY CLARK, NETWORKING: IF DONE RIGHT, IT REALLY WORKS	183
BONNIE MAYFIELD, RAINMAKING: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT AND RETENTION TECHNIQUES	184
HELEN W. GUNNARSSON, TWITTER AND LINKEDIN AND FACEBOOK, OH MY!	185
BURKEY BELSER ET AL, LAW FIRM WEBSITES THAT WORK: THESE FIRMS USE THE INTERNET TO GET THE JOB DONE	186
GEOFFREY C. HAZARD, JR., RUSSELL G. PEARCE & JEFFREY W. STEMPEL, WHY LAWYERS SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO ADVERTISE: A MARKET ANALYSIS OF LEGAL SERVICES	188
RENEE NEWMAN KNAKE, WHY LAW STUDENTS SHOULD BE THINKING ABOUT ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND INNOVATION IN LEGAL SERVICES	189
B. Solicitation	192
<i>Ohralik v. Ohio State Bar Association</i>	193
<i>In re Primus</i>	200
C. Advertising	209
<i>Matter of Zang</i>	212
<i>Alexander v. Cahill</i>	225

III. Fees and Billing	241
A. The Hourly Billing Controversy	244
WILLIAM G. ROSS, THE ETHICS OF HOURLY BILLING BY ATTORNEYS	245
DOUGLAS R. RICHMOND, IN DEFENSE OF THE BILLABLE HOUR	247
JONATHAN D. GLATER, BILLABLE HOURS GIVING GROUND AT LAW FIRMS	248
B. The Controversy Regarding Contingent Fees and Other Issues Related to Fees and Fiduciary Duties	249
JEFFREY D. SWETT, COMMENT, DETERMINING A REASONABLE PERCENTAGE IN ESTABLISHING A CONTINGENCY FEE: A NEW TOOL TO REMEDY AN OLD PROBLEM	253
DEBRA BAKER, WHO WANTS TO BE A MILLIONAIRE?	258
ANTHONY SEBOK, LITIGATION INVESTMENT AND LEGAL ETHICS: WHAT ARE THE REAL ISSUES?	259
THERESE MAYNARD, ETHICS FOR BUSINESS LAWYERS REPRESENTING START-UP COMPANIES	261
JOHN S. DZIENKOWSKI & ROBERT J. PERONI, THE DECLINE IN LAWYER INDEPENDENCE: LAWYER EQUITY INVESTMENTS IN CLIENTS	263
C. Court-Awarded Attorney's Fees	269
WILLIAM B. RUBENSTEIN, ON WHAT A "PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL" IS—AND WHY IT MATTERS	270
ASHLEY E. COMPTON, NOTE, SHIFTING THE BLAME: THE DILEMMA OF FEE-SHIFTING STATUTES AND FEE-WAIVER SETTLEMENTS	271
MICHAEL WATERSTONE, A NEW VISION OF PUBLIC ENFORCEMENT	272
<i>Perdue v. Kenny A. ex rel. Winn</i>	275
<i>Evans v. Jeff D.</i>	282

CHAPTER 4: *Attorney-Client Privilege and the Lawyer's Duty of Confidentiality*

I. Introduction	291
II. The Relationship Between the Duty of Confidentiality and the Attorney-Client Privilege	292
III. Basics of the Attorney-Client Privilege	295
A. Rationale for the Attorney-Client Privilege	295
B. Criteria for Attorney-Client Privilege	296
LAWYER-CLIENT PRIVILEGE (DRAFT, MARCH 1, 2010)	298
1. Client Must Be Seeking Legal Advice	300
<i>In re County of Erie</i>	302
2. The Communications Requirement	307

3.	Communication Between Client and Lawyer.....	309
	<i>Upjohn Co. v. United States</i>	309
	<i>In re Grand Jury Subpoena: Under Seal</i>	317
	<i>In re New York Renu With Moistureloc Product</i> Liability Litigation.....	324
4.	Expectation of Confidentiality.....	330
	<i>United States v. Hatcher</i>	331
C.	Waiver of Attorney-Client Privilege.....	334
1.	Introduction.....	334
2.	Authority to Waive the Privilege.....	334
3.	“Selective” Waiver	335
4.	Mistaken Disclosures	337
5.	Duties of an Attorney Receiving Privileged Information.....	345
	<i>In re Nitla S.a. De C.v.</i>	346
D.	The Crime-Fraud Exception to the Attorney-Client Privilege.....	350
IV.	The Basics of the Duty of Confidentiality Under Rule 1.6	353
A.	Individuals to Whom a Duty of Confidentiality Is Owed	354
B.	Scope of Information Within the Duty of Confidentiality.....	356
1.	General Obligations with Respect to Rule 1.6 Information	356
2.	The Duty of Confidentiality Applies to Information Related to the Representation	358
	<i>People v. Belge</i>	359
C.	Exceptions to the Duty of Confidentiality	362
1.	To Prevent Death or Serious Bodily Harm	363
2.	To Protect Victims When the Client Has Misused the Lawyer’s Services	371
	STUART TAYLOR, JR., ETHICS AND THE LAW: A CASE HISTORY.....	371
3.	Lawyer Self-Protection	380
4.	Other Exceptions to Rule 1.6	383

CHAPTER 5: *Conflicts of Interest* 385

I.	Introduction	388
II.	Simultaneous Representations of Multiple Clients	389
A.	Is There a Current Attorney-Client Relationship?.....	389
	<i>Rohm and Haas Co. v. Dow Chemical Co.</i>	389
	<i>Murray v. Metropolitan Life Ins. Co.</i>	391
B.	Simultaneous Representation Standards.....	394
1.	“Directly Adverse” Under Rule 1.7(a)(1)	395
2.	“Materially Limited” Under Rule 1.7(a)(2).....	399

3. “Reasonably Believes” and “Competent and Diligent Representation” Under Rule 1.7(b)(1)	400
<i>Sanford v. Commonwealth of Virginia</i>	402
4. “Not Prohibited by Law” Under Rule 1.7(b)(2)	408
5. “Assertion of a Claim by One Client Against Another” Under Rule 1.7(b)(3)	409
6. “Informed Consent, Confirmed in Writing” Under Rule 1.7(b)(4)	409
7. Waiving Future Conflicts Under Rule 1.7(b)	412
<i>Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton, LLP v. J-M Manufacturing Co.</i>	414
8. Protecting Against Conflicts Resulting from Multiple Representation	421
<i>Carnegie Companies, Inc. v. Summit Properties, Inc.</i>	421
C. Additional Applications of Rule 1.7	424
1. Positional Conflicts	424
<i>Williams v. State of Delaware</i>	425
2. Aggregate Settlements	427
III. Conflicts Between Client’s Interests and Personal or Financial Interests of the Lawyer	429
A. Business Transactions Between Lawyer and Client	429
B. Literary and Media Rights Issues.....	431
<i>Beets v. Collins</i>	432
C. Client-Lawyer Sexual Relationships	434
IV. Lawyer Advocate as Witness	435
V. Representation Adverse to a Former Client.....	437
A. The General Rules	437
<i>Westinghouse Elec. Corp. v. Gulf Oil Corp.</i>	441
B. Former and Current Government Lawyers	445
<i>Babineaux v. Foster</i>	449
VI. Lawyer as Third Party Neutrals.....	453
VII. Vicarious Disqualification Under Rule 1.10: Disqualification of an Entire Firm Because of a Tainted Lawyer.....	456
<i>LaSalle Nat’l Bank v. County of Lake</i>	460
<i>Kassis v. Teacher’s Ins. and Annuity Ass’n</i>	463
VIII. Conflicts of Interest in Criminal Cases.....	467
A. The Right to Conflict-Free Representation	469
1. The Duty of Court Inquiry	471
2. Active Conflict Impairing the Representation	473
B. Waiver of the Right to Conflict-Free Counsel.....	475

CHAPTER 6: <i>The Lawyer's Duties to the Legal System, the Profession, and Nonclients</i>	477
I. Duties to the Court and Other Tribunals	482
A. Meritorious Claims and Defenses	482
B. False Testimony and Evidence	484
C. Argument	497
D. Witnesses	500
E. Improper Communications with Judges and Jurors	503
F. Non-Adjudicative Proceeding	505
G. Stating or Implying Improper Influence	506
H. Trial Publicity	507
<i>United States v. Cutler</i>	509
<i>Attorney Grievance Commission of Maryland v. Gansler</i>	516
I. Criticism of Judges	524
<i>Standing Committee on Discipline v. Yagman</i>	526
J. Decorum and Obedience to Court Rulings	535
<i>Kunstler v. Galligan</i>	536
<i>State v. Jones</i>	541
II. Duties to Third Parties and to the Law	544
A. Unrepresented Persons	544
B. Truthfulness	546
C. Obedience to Law	547
<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Nancy R. Heinen</i>	558
SARBANES-OXLEY REGULATIONS	564
D. National Security and Obedience to Law	568
<i>United States v. Stewart</i>	569
III. Duties to the Legal Profession	579
A. Communications with a Represented Party	579
B. Inadvertent Disclosures	581
C. Restrictions on Practice	583
D. Reporting Lawyer and Judge Misconduct	583
 CHAPTER 7: <i>Special Ethical Rules: Prosecutors and Judges</i>	 589
I. Prosecutors	591
A. The Decision to Charge	596
<i>State ex rel. McKittrick v. Wallach</i>	597
<i>Pugach v. Klein</i>	599
1. Refusing to Prosecute a Certain Type of Crime	602

2. Suspected of Serious Crime, Charged with a Less Serious Crime.....	603
B. Factors Bearing on Prosecutor's Discretion to Charge	604
<i>Excerpt From Motion: People v. Strauss-Kahn</i>	604
<i>Stumpf v. Mitchell</i>	608
C. Respecting the Right to Counsel	615
<i>United States v. Hammad</i>	616
D. Plea Bargaining.....	622
<i>Bordenkircher v. Hayes</i>	624
1. Inverted Sentencing	628
2. Package Deals	628
E. Discovery	630
1. Constitutional Obligation.....	631
<i>United States v. Agurs</i>	632
<i>Connick v. Thompson</i>	639
2. Brady and Guilty Pleas: <i>United States v. Ruiz</i>	648
3. Rule-Based Requirements.....	650
<i>Matter of Larsen</i>	652
F. Trial Conduct	654
1. Voir Dire	654
<i>Clausell v. Montana</i>	654
2. Argument During Trial	655
<i>Berger v. United States</i>	655
G. Post-Conviction Evidence of Innocence	660
II. Ethical Standards for Judges	661
A. Introduction	661
B. Performing the Duties of the Judicial Office	665
C. Judicial Disqualification.....	668
<i>Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co., Inc.</i>	671
<i>Williams v. Pennsylvania</i>	679
<i>Cheney v. United States District Court for the</i> <i>District of Columbia</i>	685
D. Judges' Extrajudicial Activities	688
E. Seeking Judicial Election	692
<i>Williams v. Florida Bar</i>	692
F. Moving from Judging (or Arbitrating) to Private Practice	697

CHAPTER 8: <i>What Is the Proper Role of a Lawyer?</i>	703
I. Introduction	704
II. The Dominant Conception of the Neutral Partisan in Historical and Philosophical Context	705
DAVID LUBAN, LAWYERS AND JUSTICE: AN ETHICAL STUDY	708
MONROE H. FREEDMAN & ABBE SMITH, UNDERSTANDING LAWYERS' ETHICS	709
RUSSELL G. PEARCE, THE JEWISH LAWYER'S QUESTION	710
BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY OF THE SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE	712
RUSSELL G. PEARCE, THE LEGAL PROFESSION AS A BLUE STATE: REFLECTIONS ON PUBLIC PHILOSOPHY, JURISPRUDENCE, AND LEGAL ETHICS	713
III. Competing Visions of Professional Morality	723
A. Moral Responsibility	723
DAVID LUBAN, LAWYERS AND JUSTICE: AN ETHICAL STUDY	725
WILLIAM H. SIMON, ETHICAL DISCRETION IN LAWYERING	725
EDUARDO CAPULONG, CLIENT ACTIVISM IN PROGRESSIVE LAWYERING THEORY	731
B. Feminist	734
CARRIE MENKEL-MEADOW, PORTIA IN A DIFFERENT VOICE: SPECULATIONS ON A WOMEN'S LAWYERING PROCESS	735
C. Religious Lawyering	741
ROBERT K. VISCHER, HERETICS IN THE TEMPLE OF THE LAW: THE PROMISE AND PERIL OF THE RELIGIOUS LAWYERING MOVEMENT	743
JOSEPH ALLEGRETTI, CHRIST AND THE CODE: THE DILEMMA OF THE CHRISTIAN ATTORNEY	744
AZIZAH AL-HIBRI, ON BEING A MUSLIM CORPORATE LAWYER	751
RUSSELL G. PEARCE, THE JEWISH LAWYER'S QUESTION	757
ROBERT K. VISCHER, HERETICS IN THE TEMPLE OF THE LAW: THE PROMISE AND PERIL OF THE RELIGIOUS LAWYERING MOVEMENT	764
D. Racial Justice	771
DAVID B. WILKINS, IDENTITIES AND ROLES: RACE, RECOGNITION, AND PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY	773
GERALD P. LOPEZ, LIVING AND LAWYERING REBELLIOUSLY	784
BILL ONG HING, THE GREAT OPPORTUNITY IN LAW	785
E. LGBTQ Rights	789
LENORE CARPENTER, GETTING QUEER PRIORITIES STRAIGHT: HOW DIRECT LEGAL SERVICES CAN DEMOCRATIZE ISSUE PRIORITIZATION IN THE LGBTQ RIGHTS MOVEMENT	790

F. Civics Teacher	797
BRUCE A. GREEN & RUSSELL G. PEARCE, “PUBLIC SERVICE MUST BEGIN AT HOME”: THE LAWYER AS CIVICS TEACHER IN EVERYDAY PRACTICE	799
IV. Summary.....	806

CHAPTER 9: *Why Do Lawyers Have Special*

Privileges and Responsibilities?.....807

I. The Professional Privilege	809
A. Review of Professionalism & Unauthorized Practice	809
B. Do the Standards for Bar Admission and Discipline Guarantee That Lawyers Meet the Standards Necessary to Justify Unauthorized Practice of Law Prohibitions?.....	810
1. Can Consumers Rely on Lawyers to be Competent?.....	810
TRANSCRIPT—MORNING SESSION, SYMPOSIUM: THE OPPORTUNITY FOR LEGAL EDUCATION	812
POSTING OF MONROE H. FREEDMAN, ON TEACHING AND TESTING IN LAW SCHOOL, TO LEGAL ETHICS FORUM: THE PURPOSE OF LAW SCHOOL CLASSES?	818
SOCIETY OF AMERICAN LAW TEACHERS STATEMENT ON THE BAR EXAM.....	820
SUZANNE DARROW-KLEINHAUS, RESPONSE TO THE SOCIETY OF AMERICAN LAW TEACHERS STATEMENT ON THE BAR EXAM	825
VIJAY SEKHON, OVER-EDUCATION OF AMERICAN LAWYERS: AN ECONOMIC AND ETHICAL ANALYSIS OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR PRACTICING LAW IN THE UNITED STATES	832
2. Can Consumers Rely on Lawyers to Be Ethical?	836
NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF BAR EXAMINERS, DESCRIPTION OF THE MPRE	840
DEBORAH L. RHODE, ETHICS BY THE PERVASIVE METHOD.....	840
CAIT MURPHY, YALE’S MARKOVITS REDEFINES TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY LEGAL ETHICS	841
DEBORAH L. RHODE, MORAL CHARACTER AS A PROFESSIONAL CREDENTIAL	842
JAMES C. GALLAGHER, DRUGS, ALCOHOL, MENTAL HEALTH, AND THE VERMONT LAWYER	845
DECISION OF INQUIRY OF THE COMMITTEE ON CHARACTER AND FITNESS OF THE SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS * * * IN THE MATTER OF: THE APPLICATION FOR ADMISSION TO THE BAR OF MATTHEW F. HALE	846

	RICHARD L. SLOANE, NOTE, BARBARIAN AT THE GATES: REVISITING THE CASE OF MATTHEW F. HALE TO REAFFIRM THAT CHARACTER AND FITNESS EVALUATIONS APPROPRIATE PRECLUDE RACISTS FROM THE PRACTICE OF LAW	853
3.	Can Consumers Trust That Practicing Lawyers Are Well-Regulated for Competence and Ethics?	854
	TIMOTHY K. MCPIKE & MARK I. HARRISON, THE TRUE STORY ON LAWYER DISCIPLINE	855
	<i>Neal v. Clinton</i>	857
	TANINA ROSTAIN, ETHICS LOST: LIMITATIONS OF CURRENT APPROACHES TO LAWYER REGULATION	859
	RICHARD W. PAINTER, RULES LAWYERS PLAY BY	860
C.	Who Should Be Permitted to Provide Legal Services?.....	860
1.	Nonlawyer Practice	861
	JONATHAN B. WILSON, FINAL THOUGHTS ON LAWYER LICENSING.....	863
	JOHN S. DZIENKOWSKI & ROBERT J. PERONI, MULTIDISCIPLINARY PRACTICE AND THE AMERICAN LEGAL PROFESSION: A MARKET APPROACH TO REGULATING THE DELIVERY OF LEGAL SERVICES IN THE TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY.....	866
	DZIENKOWSKI & PERONI, MULTIDISCIPLINARY PRACTICE AND THE AMERICAN LEGAL PROFESSION: A MARKET APPROACH TO REGULATING THE DELIVERY OF LEGAL SERVICES IN THE TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY	871
	ANDREW M. PERLMAN, TOWARDS THE LAW OF LEGAL SERVICES	872
	RENEE NEWMAN KNAKE, THE COMMERCIALIZATION OF LEGAL ETHICS	874
	LAUREL TERRY, STEVE MARK & TAHLIA GORDON, ADOPTING REGULATORY OBJECTIVES FOR THE LEGAL PROFESSION	876
II.	Special Responsibilities.....	876
A.	Pro Bono	877
	DEBORAH L. RHODE, CULTURES OF COMMITMENT: PRO BONO FOR LAWYERS AND LAW STUDENTS	878
	HEATHER MACDONALD, WHAT GOOD IS PRO BONO?	886
B.	Should the Rules Mandate Equal Opportunity?	890
	NICOLE LANCIA, NOTE, NEW RULE, NEW YORK: A BIFOCAL APPROACH TO DISCIPLINE AND DISCRIMINATION	891

AKSHAT TEWARY, LEGAL ETHICS AS A MEANS TO ADDRESS THE PROBLEM OF ELITE LAW FIRM NON-DIVERSITY	898
C. Understanding Implicit Bias	905
RENWEI CHUNG, IMPLICIT BIAS: THE SILENT KILLER OF DIVERSITY IN THE LEGAL PROFESSION	905
JEAN R. STERNLIGHT AND JENNIFER K. ROBBENOLT, BEHAVIORAL LEGAL ETHICS.....	907
D. Legal Ethics for Big Data Analytics.....	911
RENEE KNAKE, HOW BIG DATA ANALYTICS IS CHANGING LEGAL ETHICS	911
E. What Does It Mean to Be a Lawyer?.....	913
INDEX	915