

# UC Irvine

## UC Irvine Previously Published Works

### Title

Using Administrative Mental Health Indicators in Heart Failure Outcomes Research: Comparison of Clinical Records and International Classification of Disease Coding

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3ck7396c>

### Journal

Journal of Cardiac Failure, 22(1)

### ISSN

1071-9164

### Authors

Bender, Miriam  
Smith, Tyler C

### Publication Date

2016

### DOI

10.1016/j.cardfail.2015.08.002

Peer reviewed

# Accepted Manuscript

Using administrative mental health indicators in heart failure outcomes research; a comparison of clinical records and ICD-9 coding

Miriam Bender, PhD RN, Assistant Professor, Tyler C. Smith, MS, PhD, Associate Professor and Interim Chair



PII: S1071-9164(15)00668-5

DOI: [10.1016/j.cardfail.2015.08.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cardfail.2015.08.002)

Reference: YJCAF 3586

To appear in: *Journal of Cardiac Failure*

Received Date: 27 April 2015

Revised Date: 24 July 2015

Accepted Date: 4 August 2015

Please cite this article as: Bender M, Smith TC, Using administrative mental health indicators in heart failure outcomes research; a comparison of clinical records and ICD-9 coding, *Journal of Cardiac Failure* (2015), doi: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2015.08.002.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

**Title Page**

Using administrative mental health indicators in heart failure outcomes research; a comparison of clinical records and ICD-9 coding.

\*Miriam Bender, Tyler Smith

**Author Affiliations:**

\*Miriam Bender, PhD RN (Corresponding author)

Present address:

Assistant Professor  
Program in Nursing Science  
University of California, Irvine  
252C Berk Hall  
Irvine, CA 92697-3959  
Phone: 949-824-6181  
Fax: 949-824-0470  
Email: [miriamb@uci.edu](mailto:miriamb@uci.edu)

Address at time of study:

Outcomes Research Institute  
Sharp Healthcare  
8695 Spectrum Center Blvd, San Diego, CA, 92123

Tyler C Smith, MS, PhD  
Associate Professor and Interim Chair  
Program Lead MS Health and Life Science Analytics  
Director Health Science Research Center  
Department of Community Health  
School of Health and Human Services  
National University  
San Diego, CA 92123  
[tsmith@nu.edu](mailto:tsmith@nu.edu)

**Author Contributions:** Both authors were involved in all aspects of the study procedures.

**Disclosures:** The authors have no disclosures to report

**TITLE:** Using administrative mental health indicators in heart failure outcomes research; a comparison of clinical records and ICD-9 coding.

## **ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Use of mental indication in health outcomes research is of growing interest to researchers. This study, as part of a larger research program, quantified agreement between administrative ICD-9 coding for, and 'gold standard' clinician documentation of, mental health issues (MHI) in hospitalized heart failure (HF) patients to determine the validity of mental health administrative data for use in HF outcomes research.

**Methods:** A 13% random sample (n=504) was selected from all unique patients (n=3769) hospitalized with a primary HF diagnosis at four San Diego County community hospitals between 2009-2012. MHI was defined as ICD-9 discharge diagnostic coding between 290-319. Records were audited for clinician documentation of MHI.

**Results:** 43% (n=216) had mental health clinician documentation; 33% (n=164) had ICD-9 coding for MHI. ICD-9 code bundle 290-319 had 0.70 sensitivity, 0.97 specificity, and a Kappa of 0.69 (CI: 0.61-0.79). More specific ICD-9 MHI code bundles had Kappas ranging from 0.44 to 0.82 and sensitivities between 42-82%.

**Conclusion:** Agreement between ICD-9 coding and clinician documentation for a broadly defined MHI is substantial, and can validly 'rule in' MHI for hospitalized heart failure patients. More specific MHI code bundles had fair to almost-perfect agreement, with a wide range of sensitivities for identifying patients with a MHI.

## **Keywords**

Heart failure, ICD-9 coding, mental health issues, validation, outcomes research

## **BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVE**

Outcomes research is increasingly becoming an important source of information about modifiable risk factors that influence health outcomes for heart failure patients. Research has shown that mental health issues are common among heart failure patients, (1-6) and a host of prospective (3,7-10) and observational outcome (11-14) studies have associated mental health issues with increased morbidity, mortality and risk for readmission. Many heart failure outcomes studies measure mental health status using diagnoses and procedure (ICD-9) codes 290-319 (11,15,16). However, ICD-9 data are created for billing purposes and have a potential for selection bias, such as trend towards higher-paying diagnoses (17) and inaccuracies related to the procedure for creating the coding structure. (18).

To date there are no studies that have validated the accuracy of ICD-9 codes 290-319 as a measure of mental health status for use in heart failure health outcomes research. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the agreement between ICD-9 codes for mental health issues and clinician documentation for hospitalized heart failure patients. The findings have implications for model building and inferences made in studies utilizing these data.

## **METHODS**

This retrospective cohort study was conducted as part of a larger health outcomes study examining predictors of readmission for hospitalized heart failure patients. The larger study includes heart failure patients admitted to any of four general hospitals aligned with Sharp HealthCare, a not-for-profit integrated community health system based in San Diego, California that serves more than 27% of the county's 3 million-plus residents each year. The index heart failure admission for the larger study occurred between January

2009 and December 2012, and was defined as the first Sharp hospitalization with any CMS-determined heart failure ICD-9 code in the first discharge diagnosis position. Mental health issues were defined as ICD-9 codes 290-319 in any discharge diagnosis position from 1-30, as this definition is frequently used in outcomes research focused on heart failure patients. (11,15,16) Total sample size for the larger study was 3769 unique HF patients. Based on a common rule for sample size calculation (19) this study used an Excel Macro to randomly select 13% of patients with and without an ICD-9 coded mental health issue, stratified by hospital and study time frame, resulting in a final sample size of 504 patients.

### **Classification of ICD-9 mental health codes**

Patient mental health ICD-9 codes (290-319) were refined into seven different categories based on review of the literature and consensus among investigators: drug/alcohol use; depression; dementia; tobacco use; anxiety; major disorder; and other. All ICD-9 codes were then transformed for each patient by creating a separate column for each category in the dataset and placing a 0=no or 1=yes in each column's cell for each patient. This ensured patients were appropriately coded for more than one mental health category if applicable, for example depression and alcohol/drug use.

### **Audit of clinical records**

The principal investigator and three nursing students audited the patient records. The audit was conducted in a systematic way using methods aligned with the literature on effective and rigorous chart review. (20) Once all patient data were extracted, the reviewers and investigators (one with an extensive history of epidemiologic research focused on mental health issues) collectively examined the data and agreed on texts describing a mental health issue. All included texts were then transformed into the

appropriate mental health categories previously defined: patients could be listed as having more than one category if the documentation warranted.

### **Analysis**

Cohen's Kappa (21) was calculated to determine the agreement between ICD-9 coding and clinical documentation (the gold standard) for mental health issue beyond that expected by chance. We also calculated the sensitivity (proportion of patients with a clinician documented mental health issue that also had ICD-9 coding for a mental health issue), specificity (proportion of patients without a clinician documented mental health issue that also did not have ICD-9 coding), positive predictive values (proportion of patients with ICD-9 coding for mental health issues who also had clinician documentation), and negative predictive values (proportion of patients without ICD-9 coding for a mental health issue who actually had clinician documentation) for all mental health categories using the ICD-9 and audit data.

### **RESULTS**

Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics for the total Sharp index hospitalization population and this study's sample. There was no significant difference between the study sample and the population in any demographic characteristics. Two hundred sixteen (43%) audit patients had a documented general mental health issue recorded in the clinical record. One hundred sixty four (33%) audit patients had one or more ICD-9 code(s) for mental health issue extracted, which aligns with the total heart failure index admission population (n=1285, 34%). See Table 2 for details of the analyses comparing audit and ICD-9 data of mental health issues as a general classification and for each category.

Cohen's Kappa for mental health issue as a general classification was 0.69 (CI: 0.62 - 0.75). This is interpreted as substantial agreement between clinician documentation and ICD-9 coding for a general mental health issue. (22) Positive predictive value was 0.95, negative predictive value was 0.80, and sensitivity was 0.70. Cohen's Kappa for specific categories ranged from 0.30 (CI: -0.15 - 0.75) for 'other', to 0.82 (0.73 - 0.91) for dementia. The Major Disorder specific mental health category showed the highest sensitivity (.82), while Depression (.43) and Other (.40) showed the lowest sensitivity (see Table 2).

## DISCUSSION

It is increasingly recognized that mental health issues are associated with (a) incidence of heart failure (2,12) and (b) poorer patient outcomes such as increased physical decline, hospitalization and mortality. (3,7-11,13,14,23) It is therefore important to account for mental health issues in research focusing on heart failure patients. Administrative data is frequently used in outcomes research to determine the influence of patient factors and/or care delivery processes on important care outcomes such as comorbidity, readmission and mortality. However, it must be understood that administrative data is collected for billing purposes and may be flawed in terms of clinical precision and reliability, which in turn can significantly affect internal and external validity of research using such data. (24)

This study determined the validity of administrative ICD-9 coding data as a measure of mental health status for use in health outcomes research for hospitalized heart failure patients. The sample was a diverse community based population hospitalized specifically for an exacerbation of heart failure. We found substantial agreement between ICD-9 coding for a broad and very inclusive measure of mental health status and clinician documentation



(Kappa .69, sensitivity .70, specificity .95). This broad mental health measure, defined as any ICD-9 code between 290-319, is frequently used in outcomes research focused on heart failure patients (see for example 11,15,16), and includes ICD-9 codes for depression, anxiety, major disorders (such as schizophrenia and personality disorders), drug and alcohol abuse, dementia, and tobacco use. Analyses of these more specific mental health categories showed fair (other), moderate (depression, anxiety, drug/alcohol abuse) and substantial (major disorder, smoking) levels of agreement with clinician documentation (see Table 2), while dementia had almost perfect agreement (Kappa .82, sensitivity .76, specificity .99). The variation in agreement for the specific categories could be due to the relative rareness of these specific mental health issues and the potential for the Kappa calculation to not be as efficient in these types of analyses. That is, as the prevalence decreases, the maximum Kappa values achievable decreases. (25)

## LIMITATIONS

The study sample was taken from the larger population of all patients hospitalized with heart failure patients in four hospitals based in an urban county representing a diverse ethnic and cultural demographic. It is recognized that the sample is relatively small and may not be generalizable to other heart failure populations across the country (for example VA heart failure patients). This study used audit of clinician documentation for mental health issue as the gold standard. While the audit methodology was rigorous and inclusive, no level of rigor can uncover what has not been documented. It is known that mental health issues are under-diagnosed and under-treated in cardiac populations, (14) therefore this study may underrepresent the true prevalence of mental health issues in this population.

## CONCLUSION

The findings of this study suggest that use of a broad, inclusive measure of mental health issue, defined as patient having any ICD-9 code between 290-319, has substantial agreement, average sensitivity and excellent specificity to 'rule in' mental health issue for hospitalized heart failure patients. Specific mental health categories ranged from moderate to almost perfect agreement and varied widely in their sensitivity, or ability to identify mental health issues. Therefore, as noted in other validation studies, (26-29) specific mental health categories should be carefully considered before use in outcomes studies.

## REFERENCES

1. Konstam V, Moser DK, De Jong MJ. Depression and Anxiety in Heart Failure. *Journal of cardiac failure*. 2005 Aug;11(6):455-63.
2. Joynt KE, Whellan DJ, O'Connor CM. Why is depression bad for the failing heart? a review of the mechanistic relationship between depression and heart failure. *Journal of cardiac failure*. 2004 Jun;10(3):258-71.
3. Johnson TJ, Basu S, Pisani BA, Avery EF, Mendez JC, Calvin JE, et al. Depression predicts repeated heart failure hospitalizations. *Journal of cardiac failure*. 2012 Mar;18(3):246-52.
4. Westlake C, Dracup K, Fonarow G, Hamilton M. Depression in patients with heart failure. *Journal of cardiac failure*. 2005 Feb;11(1):30-5.
5. Koenig HG. Depression Outcome in Inpatients With Congestive Heart Failure. *Arch Intern Med*. 2006 May 8;166(9):991.
6. Yohannes AM, Willgoss TG, Baldwin RC, Connolly MJ. Depression and anxiety in chronic heart failure and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: prevalence, relevance, clinical implications and management principles. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2010 Nov 18;25(12):1209-21.
7. Jiang W, Alexander J, Christopher E, Kuchibhatla M, Gaulden LH, Cuffe MS, et al. Relationship of Depression to Increased Risk of Mortality and Rehospitalization in Patients With Congestive Heart Failure. *Arch Intern Med*. 2001 Aug 13;161(15):1849.
8. Moraska AR, Chamberlain AM, Shah ND, Vickers KS, Rummans TA, Dunlay SM, et al. Depression, Healthcare Utilization, and Death in Heart Failure: A Community Study.

- Circulation: Heart Failure. 2013 May 21;6(3):387–94.
9. Muzzarelli S, Leibundgut G, Maeder MT, Rickli H, Handschin R, Gutmann M, et al. Predictors of early readmission or death in elderly patients with heart failure. *American heart journal*. 2010 Aug;160(2):308–14.
  10. Shen B-J, Eisenberg SA, Maeda U, Farrell KA, Schwarz ER, Penedo FJ, et al. Depression and Anxiety Predict Decline in Physical Health Functioning in Patients with Heart Failure. *ann behav med*. 2010 Dec 23;41(3):373–82.
  11. Rathore SS, Wang Y, Druss BG, Masoudi FA, Krumholz HM. Mental disorders, quality of care, and outcomes among older patients hospitalized with heart failure: an analysis of the national heart failure project. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2008 Dec;65(12):1402–8.
  12. Garfield LD, Scherrer JF, Hauptman PJ, Freedland KE, Chrusciel T, Balasubramanian S, et al. Association of Anxiety Disorders and Depression With Incident Heart Failure. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 2014;76(2):128–36.
  13. Ahmedani BK, Solberg LI, Copeland LA, Fang-Hollingsworth Y, Stewart C, Hu J, et al. Psychiatric Comorbidity and 30-Day Readmissions After Hospitalization for Heart Failure, AMI, and Pneumonia. *PS*. 2014 Dec 15;:appi.ps.2013005.
  14. Rutledge T, Reis VA, Linke SE, Greenberg BH, Mills PJ. Depression in heart failure a meta-analytic review of prevalence, intervention effects, and associations with clinical outcomes. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2006 Oct 17;48(8):1527–37.
  15. Freudenberger RS, Foltz CA, Lou Lukas, Petruccielli DF, Paxton H, Sabella V. THE IMPACT OF A MENTAL HEALTH RELATED DIAGNOSIS ON READMISSION RATES FOR CONGESTIVE HEART FAILURE. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. The American College of Cardiology Foundation; 2010 Mar 9;55(10):A141.E1322.
  16. Seal KH, Metzler TJ, Gima KS, Bertenthal D, Maguen S, Marmar CR. Trends and Risk Factors for Mental Health Diagnoses Among Iraq and Afghanistan Veterans Using Department of Veterans Affairs Health Care, 2002–2008. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2009 Sep;99(9):1651–8.
  17. Bates DW, Evans RS, Murff H, Stetson PD, Pizziferri L, Hripcsak G. Detecting Adverse Events Using Information Technology. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association : JAMIA*. 2003 Mar 1;10(2):115–28.
  18. Peabody JW, Luck J, Jain S, Bertenthal D, Glassman P. Assessing the accuracy of administrative data in health information systems. *Medical care*. 2004 Nov;42(11):1066–72.
  19. Sackett DL, Haynes RB, Tugwell P. *Clinical Epidemiology: A Basic Science for Clinical Medicine*. Little, Brown; 1985.

20. Gearing RE, Mian IA, Barber J, Ickowicz A. A methodology for conducting retrospective chart review research in child and adolescent psychiatry. *Journal of the Canadian Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. Canadian Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry; 2006;15(3):126.
21. Cohen J. A Coefficient of Agreement for Nominal Scales. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*. 1960 Apr 1;20(1):37–46.
22. Viera AJ, Garrett JM. Understanding interobserver agreement: the kappa statistic. *Fam Med*. 2005 May;37(5):360–3.
23. Giamouzis G, Kalogeropoulos A, Georgiopoulou V, Laskar S, Smith AL, Dunbar S, et al. Hospitalization epidemic in patients with heart failure: risk factors, risk prediction, knowledge gaps, and future directions. *Journal of cardiac failure*. 2011 Jan;17(1):54–75.
24. Tabak YP, Johannes RS, Silber JH. Using automated clinical data for risk adjustment: development and validation of six disease-specific mortality predictive models for pay-for-performance. *Medical care*. 2007 Aug;45(8):789–805.
25. Thompson WD, Walter SD. A reappraisal of the kappa coefficient. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*. Elsevier; 1988;41(10):949–58.
26. Townsend L, Walkup JT, Crystal S, Olsson M. A systematic review of validated methods for identifying depression using administrative data. Platt R, Carnahan R, editors. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf*. 2012 Jan 19;21:163–73.
27. Smith B, Chu LK, Smith TC, Amoroso PJ, Boyko EJ, Hooper TI, et al. Challenges of self-reported medical conditions and electronic medical records among members of a large military cohort. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2008;8(1):37.
28. Steele LS, Glazier RH, Lin E, Evans M. Using administrative data to measure ambulatory mental health service provision in primary care. *Medical care*. 2004 Oct;42(10):960–5.
29. Kim HM, Smith EG, Stano CM, Ganoczy D, Zivin K, Walters H, et al. Validation of key behaviourally based mental health diagnoses in administrative data: suicide attempt, alcohol abuse, illicit drug abuse and tobacco use. *BMC Health Serv Res*. BioMed Central Ltd; 2012 Jan 23;12(1):18.

**Table 1. Demographics of Total Hospitalized Heart Failure Population and Study Sample**

Demographic	Total population (N=3769)		Study sample (N=504)		
	Count/ Mean	Percent/ SD	Count/ Mean	Percent/ SD	
<b>F</b>	1789	47.47	238	47.22	
<b>Age</b>	73	15.11	73	15.34	
<b>LOS</b>	5.35	7.1	5.46	6.33	
<b>Race</b>					
	<b>Asian</b>	316	8.38	47	9.33
	<b>Black</b>	261	6.92	35	6.94
	<b>Other</b>	1013	26.88	122	24.21
	<b>Pacific islands</b>	39	1.03	9	1.79
	<b>Unknown</b>	129	3.42	15	2.98
	<b>White</b>	2011	53.36	276	54.76
<b>Ethnicity</b>					
	<b>Hispanic</b>	826	21.92	105	20.83
	<b>Non Hispanic</b>	2813	74.64	383	75.99
	<b>Unknown</b>	130	3.45	16	3.17
<b>Marital status</b>					
	<b>Divorced</b>	400	10.61	52	10.32
	<b>Married</b>	1525	40.46	191	37.9
	<b>Single</b>	620	16.45	84	16.67
	<b>Widowed</b>	1121	29.74	159	31.55
	<b>Unknown/Other</b>	103	2.73	18	3.57
<b>Primary Diagnosis</b>					
	<b>Heart Failure w/complications</b>	1291	34.25	158	31.35
	<b>Heart Failure w/major complications</b>	942	24.99	140	27.78
	<b>Heart Failure without complications</b>	657	17.43	85	16.87
	<b>Other</b>	879	23.32	121	24.01
<b>3M APR-DRG* Severity of illness</b>					
	<b>Mild</b>	271	7.19	49	9.72
	<b>Moderate</b>	1431	37.97	178	35.32
	<b>Major</b>	1679	44.55	225	44.64
	<b>Severe</b>	388	10.29	52	10.32
<b>3M APR-DRG* Risk for mortality</b>					
	<b>Mild</b>	414	10.98	52	10.31
	<b>Moderate</b>	1752	46.48	228	45.24
	<b>Major</b>	1207	32.02	172	34.13
	<b>Severe</b>	396	10.51	52	10.32
<b>Hospital</b>					

	<b>1</b>	1079	28.63	91	18.06
	<b>2</b>	1913	50.76	329	65.28
	<b>3</b>	78	2.07	8	1.59
	<b>4</b>	699	18.55	76	15.08
<b>Payor</b>					
	<b>Commercial</b>	3	0.08	1	0.2
	<b>Contract</b>	412	10.94	53	10.52
	<b>County medical services</b>	126	3.34	17	3.37
	<b>Medi-Cal</b>	500	13.27	61	12.1
	<b>Medicare</b>	2602	69.07	362	71.83
	<b>Self pay</b>	124	3.29	10	1.98
<b>Comorbidities</b>					
	<b>Mental health issue</b>	1285	34.09	164	32.54
	<b>MI</b>	118	3.13	18	3.57
	<b>PVD</b>	521	13.82	54	10.71
	<b>CVD</b>	232	6.16	33	6.55
	<b>Dementia</b>	45	1.19	9	1.79
	<b>COPD</b>	1545	40.99	198	39.29
	<b>Connective tissue disease</b>	87	2.31	15	2.98
	<b>Peptic ulcer disease</b>	28	0.74	5	0.99
	<b>Mild liver disease</b>	167	0.04	19	0.04
	<b>Diabetes</b>	1632	0.43	222	0.44
	<b>Paraplegia</b>	17	0.45	1	0.2
	<b>Renal disease</b>	1477	39.19	210	41.67
	<b>Cancer</b>	203	0.05	26	0.05

\* All Patient Refined Diagnostic Related Group

Primary diagnosis defined via ICD-9 coding.

Mental health issue defined as ICD-9 codes 290-319. Other comorbidities defined as per Charlson Index

Table 2. Agreement between audit and ICD-9 data for mental health issues

	Audit Count (%)		ICD-9 Count (%)		Kappa	Kappa CI	Sensitivity	Specificity	Positive Predictive Value	Negative Predictive Value
<b>Mental health issue overall</b>	216	(43)	164	(33)	0.69	0.62 - 0.75	0.70	0.97	0.95	0.80
<b>Depression/anxiety/major disorder</b>	95	(19)	80	(16)	0.58	0.48 - 0.69	0.60	0.95	0.70	0.92
<b>Depression</b>	58	(12)	41	(8)	0.45	0.31 - 0.60	0.43	0.96	0.61	0.93
<b>Anxiety</b>	26	(5)	21	(4)	0.44	0.23 - 0.66	0.42	0.98	0.52	0.97
<b>Major disorder</b>	11	(2)	18	(4)	0.61	0.38 - 0.84	0.82	0.98	0.50	1.00
<b>Smoking</b>	50	(10)	51	(10)	0.66	0.54 - 0.78	0.70	0.96	0.69	0.97
<b>Drug/alcohol abuse</b>	43	(9)	29	(6)	0.51	0.34 - 0.67	0.47	0.98	0.66	0.95
<b>Dementia</b>	54	(11)	44	(9)	0.82	0.73 - 0.91	0.76	0.99	0.93	0.97
<b>Other</b>	5	(1)	8	(2)	0.30	-0.15 - 0.75	0.40	0.99	0.25	0.99

### Highlights

- Outcomes research uses ICD-9 codes to capture mental health issues
- This study compared ICD-9 codes to “gold standard” clinician documentation
- There was substantial agreement with clinical documentation
- ICD-9 codes can validly identify mental health issues in heart failure patients