# **Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory**

**Recent Work**

# **Title**

SEARCH FOR THE BETA-DECAY OF 180Lu TO 180Hfm

# **Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3d46r0h1>

# **Authors**

Kellogg, S.E. Norman, E.B.

**Publication Date** 1986-08-01

BL-21992



UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

RECEIVED LAWRENCE

SEP 1 6 1986

LIBRARY AND **DOCUMENTS SECTION** 

Submitted to Physical Review C

SEARCH FOR THE BETA-DECAY OF  $^{180}$ Lu TO  $^{180}$ Hf<sup>m</sup>

S.E. Kellogg and E.B. Norman

August 1986

**TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY** This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks



斤 

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098

# **DISCLAIMER**

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

SEARCH FOR THE BETA-DECAY OF  $^{180}$ Lu TO  $^{180}$ Hf<sup>m</sup>

S. E. Kellogg

Nuclear Physics Laboratory

University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195

and

E. B. Norman

Nuclear Physics Laboratory

University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195

and

Nuclear Science Division

Laurence Berkeley Laboratory

University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

The  $t_{1/2}$  = 5.7 minute <sup>180</sup>Lu isotope was produced in the <sup>180</sup>Hf(n,p) reaction and its subsequent  $\beta$  decay back to <sup>180</sup>Hf was studied with a Ge(Li) spectrometer. A radiochemical technique was used to measure the fractional population of the  $I^{\pi}$ , K=(8,8) isomer in <sup>180</sup>Hf to be f<sub>m</sub> = 0.005t0.018%. The limit on  $f_m$  is shown to be too small to account for the nucleosynthesis of  $180$ m in the r-process. The possible existence of a high-spin isomer in <sup>180</sup>Lu and its astrophysical consequences are discussed.

 $\mathbf{I}$ 

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Attempts have been made in recent years to explain the abundance of the naturally-occurring high-spin isomer <sup>180</sup>Ta<sup>m</sup> in terms of the slow (s) and/or rapid (r) neutron capture processes that account for the bulk of heavy element nucleosynthesis in stars. $1/2$  Beer and Ward have suggested that  $180 \text{ Pa}^{\text{m}}$  may be produced through a weak  $\beta$ -decay branch of  $180 \text{ H}^{\text{m}}$ , which is known to be populated in the s-process.<sup>1</sup> Fig. 1 summarizes the salient features of this theory. An r-process contribution to the abundance of  $180$  m<sup>m</sup> requires that (1) a fraction f<sub>m</sub> of the  $\beta$  decays of the I<sup>T</sup> = (3,5<sup>+</sup>) <sup>180</sup>Lu leads to the population of the  $I^{\pi} = 8^{-}$  isomer in <sup>180</sup>Hf, and (2) a fraction  $f^3$  of the resulting <sup>180</sup>Hf<sup>m</sup> population  $\beta$  decays to the I<sup>T</sup> = 9<sup>-</sup> long-lived isomer in <sup>180</sup>Ta. If atomic ionization effects are neglected, the solar abundance data compiled by Cameron<sup>4</sup> and the neutron capture cross-section work of Beer and Macklin<sup>s</sup> can be used to extract the rprocess component of the observed solar abundance of  $180 \text{ rad}$ .

$$
\left[\frac{N_r}{N_0} (\t^{100} \text{Ta}^m)\right] = 1.2 \pm 0.2 \times 10^4 \text{ f}_{m} \text{ f}_{\beta}. \qquad [1]
$$

Based on log-ft values for similar decays in neighboring nuclei,  $f^3_{3}$  is expected to fall between 0.1% and the recently established experimental upper limit of  $0.358$ .<sup>6,7</sup> Numerically, therefore, a 100% r-process origin for  $^{180}$  ra<sup>m</sup> requires 2% < f<sub>m</sub> < 8%.

To date <sup>180</sup>Lu is the most neutron rich member observed on the A=180 isobar. Relatively little is known of its structure. The  $t_{1/2}$  = 5.7

minute ground state of this prolately-deformed nucleus is most conmonly gi<mark>ven an I<sup>IT</sup>,K=(3<sup>-</sup>,3) assignment,<sup>8,9</sup> though Ward and D'Auria argue for an</mark>  $I^{\pi}$ , K=(5<sup>+</sup>,5) assignment.<sup>10</sup> The subsequent  $\beta$  transitions of <sup>180</sup>Lu to states between 1.2 and 2.2 MeV of excitation in  $180$ Hf have been the subject of a number of investigations.<sup>8,9,10,11,12</sup> These half dozen states in <sup>180</sup>Hf are believed to have  $K = 2$ , 3, or 4. All are seen to  $\gamma$  cascade  $\approx 100\$  of the time to the  $2^+$  and  $4^+$  components of the K = 0 ground state rotational band. To an intensity of perhaps a percent, no strength has been observed to the well-studied  $I^{\top}$ ,  $K=(8^-,8)$  isomer at 1142 keV in <sup>180</sup>Hf. In fact, no y transition has been observed which feeds  $180$ <sub>H</sub>R, though it is readily produced in  $(n,y)$  and  $(n,n')$  reactions.<sup>13,14</sup>

The present study uses a straightforward radiochemical separation technique following the production of  $180$ Lu and detects the subsequent Earlier we presented a limit of  $f_m < 0.06$  %.<sup>14</sup> Eschner et al. have subsequently reported a measurement of  $f_m = 0.46 \pm 0.15$  % using a multinucleon transfer technique.<sup>15</sup> We present here our final results along with a possible explanation for the discrepancy between our measurement and that of Eschner et al.

. ~

#### **EXPERIMENT**

Bulk samples of natural Hf metal with masses between 1 and 2 grams were first activated with thermal neutrons at the university of Washington reactor to introduce the long-lived ( $t_{1/2}$  = 42 day) isotope  $18^{11}$ Hf as a radiochemica1 tracer. After a suitab1e coo1down period of 1 to 4 weeks, the "spiked" Bf samp1es were wrapped *in* Cd foi1s and inserted behind the water-cooled Be target/beam-stop at the University of Washington cyclotron. The Hf samples were bombarded with fast neutrons generated by the <sup>9</sup> Be(d, n) reaction using 22 MeV deuterons. Following an irradiation period of 5 to 10 minutes, the samples were expeditiously transported to a radiochemistry laboratory. The desired <sup>180</sup>Lu, along with other rare earth activities, was extracted by disso1ving the Bf samp1e *in* HF acid and precipitating out  $LuP_3$  with the addition of Y as a carrier. The precipitation was accelerated by the use of a centrifuge and a radiochemica1 "hafnium reduction factor" of 104 was achieved *in* 1ess than a <sup>180</sup>Lu halflife (5 minutes). Geometrically similar samples containing about 2 ml of solution and precipitate were prepared. The precipitate was first counted with a 135  $\rm cm^3$  Ge(Li) detector in a reproducible geometry to obtain the abundance of <sup>180</sup>Lu. Several hours later, the solution was counted with the same detector to obtain the abundance of  $180$ <sup>H $_{\text{F}}$ </sup> (produced directly in the  $^{180}$ Bf(n,n') reaction) and of the  $^{181}$ Bf tracer. Finally, the precipitate was recounted *in* 1 hour time bins to determine the residua1 abundances of  $180^{\circ}$ H $\text{cm}$  and  $181^{\circ}$ Hf. The Ge(Li) detector was shielded for lowlevel counting throughout the experiment and its resolution was 1.5 keV

4

·~

(PWHM) at 500 keV. Efficiency measurements were performed with standard sources in the experimental geometry.

Many activations were performed using Hf metal (containing 3% Zr) and "spectrographic-grade" Hf ( 156ppm zr). Typical y spectra between 275 and 525 keV at each stage of counting are shown in Fig. 2 for a Hf metal run. Table I lists the activities that were identified in the precipitate throughout the course of the experiment. Most of the activity between 5 and 10 hours after the fast neutron bombardment proved to be from the Y and Sr products of  $Zr(n,p)$  and  $Zr(n,\alpha)$ . While the spectrographic-grade runs were free of contaminating lines, the Hf reduction factor could not be pushed above  $\approx$  1000.

## DERIVATION OF f AND RESULTS

The final abundance of  $^{180}$ H $\rm{ft}^{\rm m}$  in the target has two sources. The first comes from prompt production reactions like inelastic scattering  $(180Hf(n,n'))$  and thermal neutron capture  $(179Hf(n,\gamma))$ . The smaller second source comes from the fraction  $f_m$  of the decay of  $180$  Lu.

$$
\left[N\left(\begin{array}{c}180 \text{Hf}^{\text{m}}\end{array}\right)\right] = \left[N\left(\begin{array}{c}180 \text{Hf}(n,n')\end{array}\right)\right] + f_{\text{m}}\left[N\left(\begin{array}{c}180 \text{Lu}\end{array}\right)\right] \qquad [2]
$$

As described in the previous section, the radiochemistry employed separates activities which are precipitated out of HP acid from those left in solution. The Hf reduction factor,  $\xi$ , is defined as the ratio of solution (sn) to precipitate (pt) abundance. A quantity  $\eta$  is similarly defined to describe the effectiveness with which Lu is recovered in the precipitate:

$$
\xi = \frac{\left[ N_{\rm gh} \left( \frac{18 \pi f}{10} \right) \right]}{\left[ N_{\rm pt} \left( \frac{18 \pi f}{10} \right) \right]}, \qquad \eta = \frac{\left[ N_{\rm pt} \left( \frac{180 \text{Lu}}{10} \right) \right]}{\left[ N_{\rm gh} \left( \frac{180 \text{Lu}}{10} \right) \right]}
$$
(3)

where continuity [N] =  $[N_{pt}]$  +  $[N_{sn}]$  is assumed. A general figure of merit for the success of the radiochemistry in this experiment is  $\eta \xi/(\eta+1)$ . Typically,  $\xi \approx 1000$  to 10000 and  $\eta/(\eta+1) \approx 50\$  to 90% were achievable. The quantities of <sup>180</sup>Hf isomer which end up in the precipitate and solution can then be written as

$$
\begin{bmatrix} N_{pt} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} {}^{180} H^{m} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\xi + 1} \begin{bmatrix} N \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} {}^{180} Hf(n,n') \end{bmatrix} + f_{m} \begin{bmatrix} N_{pt} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} {}^{180} Lu \end{bmatrix}
$$
  

$$
\begin{bmatrix} N_{sn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} {}^{180} Hf^{m} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\xi}{\xi + 1} \begin{bmatrix} N \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} {}^{180} Hf(n,n') \end{bmatrix} + f_{m} \frac{1}{\eta} \begin{bmatrix} N_{pt} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} {}^{180} Lu \end{bmatrix}
$$

Combining these two expressions to eliminate  $[N(\frac{180}{Hf(n,n')})]$  and then

substituting the ratio of tracer activities in [3] for  $\xi$ ,  $f_m$  is given by:

$$
f_{m} = (1+\delta) \left\{ \frac{\left[ N_{pt} \left( \frac{16}{16} H \right) \right]}{\left[ N_{pt} \left( \frac{160}{16} \right) \right]} \right\} \left\{ \frac{\left[ N_{pt} \left( \frac{160}{16} H \right) \right]}{\left[ N_{pt} \left( \frac{161}{16} H \right) \right]} - \frac{\left[ N_{sn} \left( \frac{160}{16} H \right) \right]}{\left[ N_{sn} \left( \frac{161}{16} H \right) \right]} \right\} \tag{5}
$$

where (1+8) =  $(1-1/\eta\xi)^{-1}$ . 8 is of the order 10<sup>-4</sup> and is subsequently neglected. The five bracketed abundances were measured by integrating photopeak areas in their most favorable time-windows ( $t_{sta}$ ,  $t_{sta}$  +  $t_{dur}$ ) and extrapolating back to an initial time  $t_o$ . The initial time was taken to be the last step in the radiochemical separation. Because  $f_{\text{m}}^{\text{}}$  is expressed in terms of ratios, only relative  $\gamma$  line intensities and Ge(Li) detector efficiencies were needed. Furthermore, these only affect the scaling of the final result. The 408- and 482-keV  $\gamma$  lines, in  $^{180}$ Lu and <sup>181</sup>Hf were used as the primary lutetium and tracer reference lines. The 332- and 443-keV  $\gamma$  lines in  $^{180}$ Hf<sup>m</sup> were used separately in the analysis. For the 443-keV line then

$$
f_{\mathbf{m}} = \left[\frac{i_{\gamma} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{g}}(408)}{i_{\gamma} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{g}}(443)}\right] \left[\frac{[\text{PN}_{\text{pt}}(482)]}{[\text{TN}_{\text{pt}}(408)]}\right] \left[\frac{[\text{PN}_{\text{pt}}(443)]}{[\text{TN}_{\text{pt}}(482)]} - \frac{[\text{FN}_{\text{sn}}(443)]}{[\text{TN}_{\text{sn}}(482)]}\right]
$$
 (6)

.<br>E

. 23

is the inverse of the fraction of total decays which occur in the time window ( $t_{sta'}$ ,  $t_{sta}$  +  $t_{dur}$ ), i is the absolute gamma line intensity,  $\epsilon_{p}$  is the measured photopeak efficiency of the Ge(Li) detector, and  $\epsilon_{\rm g}$  is the correction for y-cascade sunning out of the photopeak.

\*

where

Table II lists the photopeak areas for three independent runs, each analyzed for both the 332- and 443-keV  $\gamma$  lines. The limitation on the Hf metal runs (I and II) is purely statistical while the limitation on the spectrographic-grade run (III) is probably systematic since it is difficult to extract photopeak areas with an accuracy greater than 1%. Table III gives the six determinations of  $f_m$ . We combine only the 4 values of  $f_m$ from the Hf metal runs to obtain  $f_{m} = 0.005\pm0.018$ %. To this is added a scaling uncertainty of 10%, mostly due to the uncertainty in  $i_{\gamma}$ (408) to obtain a firm upper limit (67% C.L.) on  $f_{\text{m}}$  of 0.026%.

•

#### **DISCUSSION**

•

The measurement by Eschner et al. of  $f_m=0.46\pm0.158$  is clearly incompatible with the *null* result reported here. There are two *likely*  explanations for this discrepancy. ( 1 ) An error *in* experimental technique was made by one of the groups, or (2) a high-spin, short-lived isomer of 180Lu exists to which the experiment of Eschner et al. was more sensitive. It will be helpful to compare the technique of Eschner et al. with our own. It will also prove worthwhile to explore the second explanation for its nuclear and astrophysical consequences. In the following text, superscripts "g" and "m" are used to differentiate between quantities which refer to the ground state ( $t_{1/2}$ =5.7 minutes) and the proposed short-lived isomeric state in <sup>180</sup>Lu.

Both experiments are similar in their use of a Ge( Li) detector to measure the activities of  $180$   $\mu$ ,  $180$   $\text{Hf}$  and a "tracer" Hf isotope, but differ in the production reaction used and in the method employed to subtract the directly produced  $180$ <sub>Hf</sub>m. In the experiment of Eschner et al., a beam of 8.6 MeV/u  $136$  Xe was directed onto a W target/ion source. 180Lu (and other rare-earth reaction products) diffused out of their target on a time scale of 10 seconds and was then run through a mass spectrometer to a catcher foil for online and later offline counting. Discrimination against the promptly produced  $^{180}$ Hf<sup>m</sup> relied on (1) different Lu and Hf diffusion time constant, (2) different Lu and Hf ionization potentials, and (3) relative production cross-sections for  $^{180}$ Hf<sup>m</sup> and  $^{177}$ Hf<sup>m</sup> which played the role of the "tracer".<sup>17</sup> Eschner et al. reported a fast release time

constant for lutetium  $\alpha_{\text{Lu}} = 5\frac{+5}{2}$  x10<sup>-2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup> which corresponds to a half-life against release of between 7 and 23 seconds.  $\alpha_{\text{HF}}=7.4\pm1.0$   $\times10^{-4}$  sec<sup>-1</sup> was much slower.

Both groups have proffered the possible existence of a high-spin, short-lived isomer in <sup>180</sup>Lu to explain the discrepancy in the measurement of  $f_m$ .<sup>14,15</sup> With this assumption, the 5.7 minute ground state need contribute nothing to the population of  $180^{\circ}$ H $_{m}^{\text{m}}$  ( $f_{m}^{\text{g}}$ =0), consistent with our results, while the proposed isomer must have a high-spin to allow  $f^m_{m}$ >0.5%, consistent with the measurement of Eschner et al. The isomeric production fraction

$$
P_m = \frac{\sigma_m}{\sigma_g + \sigma_m} \tag{7}
$$

,.

is undoubtedly different in the two experiments, and is probably greater for the multinucleon transfer reaction. In fact, however, the  $(n, p)$ reaction readily populates high spin states. In the <sup>178</sup>Bf(n,p) reaction, for example, the isomeric production fraction for the  $9<sup>-</sup>$  isomeric states is  $P_m = 0.22$  as shown in Table 1.

The proposed isomer of  $180$  Lu should have a halflife in the range from l to lOO seconds, long enough to be recovered by the diffusion method of Eschner et al. but short enough so that it has transmuted into  $180 \text{Lu}^q$  and 180Hf<sup>m</sup> during the 5 minute radiochemical separation in our experiment. This is possible if  $t_{\text{m}}^{\text{m}}$  competes not against  $\beta$  transitions that lead to the ground state of  $180$  Hf, but rather with  $\gamma$  transitions that feed the 5.7

lO

minute  $180 \text{Lu}^9$ . It is reasonable then to express  $\text{t}^{\text{m}}_{\text{m}}$  as a ratio of partial  $\beta$ and y transition rates.

$$
\epsilon_m^m = \frac{\lambda_\beta^m}{\lambda_\gamma^m + \lambda_\beta^m}
$$
 [8]

 $, . . .$ 

If the proposed <sup>180</sup>Lu isomer decays most of the time by low energy, heavily converted EM transitions, it may have escaped direct detection and gone unnoticed in previous experiments. Indeed the shape of the on-line buildup curve for <sup>180</sup>Lu displayed in Fig. 1 of Ref. 15 is identical for the 10 second release halflife described by Eschner et al. as it would be for the infeeding of <sup>180</sup>Lu<sup>g</sup> from a 10 second halflife isomer.

Fig. 3 pictorially summarizes the results from the two experiments. It displays the limits on the product of  $P_m$  and  $f_m^m$  as a function of the halflife of the proposed isomer of <sup>180</sup>Lu. Our experiment excludes halflives greater than about 100 seconds, while that of Eschner et al. implies that the halflife must be greater than about 1 second. The shaded region is allowed by both experiments. The dashed line in Fig. 3 represents a solution for  $f_m^m$  assuming that the production fraction  $P_m^m=0.5$ and the partial  $\beta$  halflife  $t^{m, \beta}_{1/2}$  = 42 minutes. Such a halflife corresponds to a log-ft of 6.4 for a direct  $\beta$  transition to  $^{180}$ Hf<sup>m</sup>, which is a reasonable estimate for the strength of an allowed hindered transition.<sup>18</sup> The dashed line passes through the shaded region for isomeric halflives between 20 and 40 seconds. Finally, the data points at 5.7 minutes represent the measurements of Eschner et al. and ourselves for  $f_m^g$  with the assumption that the proposed isomer of  $^{180}$ Lu does not exist (or P<sub>m</sub>=0).

ll

There are theoretical reasons to believe that a low-lying, high-spin isomer could exist in <sup>180</sup>Lu. By coupling the known odd proton and neutron quasiparticles states near their respective Fermi surface it is possible to construct both low-spin ( $I=1-5$ ) and high-spin ( $I=7-10$ ) states. The region of isotopes around <sup>180</sup>Lu is filled with systematic examples of such lowand high-spin K-isomers. In terms of the unified model, the  $t_{1/2} = 5.7$ minute ground state of  $180$ Lu is most probably the  $I^{\pi}$ , K=(3<sup>-</sup>,3) coupling of the odd proton  $7/2^+$ [404] $_{\pi}$  and the odd neutron 1/2  $\bar{}$ [510] $_{\nu}$  quasiparticles.<sup>8,9</sup> Ward and D'Auria prefer an  $r^{\pi}, r=(5^+,5)$  assignment, coupling 9/2 [514]  $_{\pi}$  with  $1/2$  [510]  $^{10}$  These states are seen within 20 keV of each other in  $^{182}$ Ta. The  $7/2^+(404)$  and  $9/2^+(624)$  states can couple with their particle spins aligned to form the low-spin  $1^+$  state observed as the ground states of 176Lu, 176Lu, and <sup>180</sup>T?. With their particle spins antialigned, these same orbitals couple to form an  $s^+$  state seen at 174 keV of excitation in <sup>180</sup>Ta.<sup>17</sup> Pinally, the 9/2<sup>-</sup>[514]<sub> $\pi$ </sub> and 9/2<sup>+</sup>[624]<sub> $_{\nu}$ </sub> orbitals can couple to form the high-spin 9<sup>-</sup> isomers seen at 73 keV of excitation in <sup>180</sup>Ta and at about 300 keV in  $178$ Lu. Hoff has recently calculated that the  $8^+$  and  $9^-$  states should lie within 200 keV of the  $3<sup>-</sup>$  ground state of  $180$  Lu.  $19$  Hoff also has placed the  $I^{\pi}$  = 5<sup>+</sup> state approximately 50 keV below these prospective <sup>180</sup>Lu isomer candidates.

If the  $9$  state is the lower of the two high-spin states in  $180$  Lu, and is thus the isomer, the  $M4$  transition to the  $5^+$  state will be much slower than the allowed  $\beta$  transition to the 8<sup>-</sup> isomer in  $^{180}$ Hf. Using the observed log-ft of 6.4 for the analogous decay of  $182$ H $f^{m}$ , a partial halflife of 40 minutes *is* calculated. Such an isomer should have been

produced easily and observed previously. suppose, on the other hand, that the  $8^+$  state plays the role of the  $180$  Lu isomer. The single particle estimate for the M3 transition to the  $5<sup>+</sup>$  level yields a partial halflife of about 10 seconds. The  $\beta$ -branching ratio for the high-spin isomer is then on the order of 1%, consistent with the measurement of Eschner et al. and with our null result. other M3 transitions in the rare-earths occur a factor of 10 to 100 times slower than the single-particle rate, $z^{\circ}$  but this factor can be recovered by increasing the energy difference between the 5<sup>+</sup> and  $8^+$  states. We note that the prospective  $8^+$  isomer cannot lie any lower than about 150 keV in energy since it is the spin antialigned member of a Gallagher-Moszkowski doublet with the 1<sup>+</sup> state.<sup>21</sup> If the  $t_{1/2}$  = 5.7 minute activity of  $180$ Lu is the  $x^{\pi} = 3$  state, then the 1<sup>+</sup> state must lie above it.

 $\cdot \cdot \cdot$  :

 $\gamma$  ,  $\lambda$ 

بويعانه

ب<br>∦یخیµماد فا

Beyond the circumstantial evidence discussed here, there is no direct  $\ldots$ evidence supporting the existence of a high-spin isomer in <sup>180</sup>Lu. Takahashi et al. reported a 2.5±0.5 minute  $\beta$  activity with a 3.3±0.1 MeV endpoint.<sup>12</sup> This was interpreted as the  $\beta$ -decay from a low-spin isomer  $(1^{\dagger}$ ?) directly to the ground-state of  $^{180}$ Hf, but it has not been confirmed by subsequent *13* and y experiments. Zychor et al. specifically searched for evidence of high-spin Lu isomers in a y-ray singles experiment following the bombardment of  $^{nat}$ W with  $^{136}$ Xe and reported null results for halflives greater than 2 minutes.<sup>17</sup>

### AS'rROPHYSICAL IMPLICA'l'IONS

In the absence of a high-spin isomer of  $^{180}$ Lu, our measured limit on f<sub>m</sub> clearly excludes an r-process origin for <sup>180</sup>Ta<sup>m</sup>. The existence of an isomer would change this conclusion. Little is known about the site of the r-process which accounts for the nucleosynthesis of half of the elements heavier than iron and all of the actinides.<sup>22</sup> To achieve the high density of neutrons necessary to create the *very* neutron-rich progenitor matter probably involves a thermal transient to peak temperatures of  $1-3\times10^{9}$  K. The post-r-process is characterized by a rapidly falling temperature during which time nuclei  $\beta$ -decay back to the valley of  $\beta$  stability. <sup>180</sup>Lu is probably reached within the first minute when the temperature remains high. Thermal equilibration of the high-spin isomeric and low-spin ground states will be mediated by higher lying states of intermediate spin via processes which include photoabsorption, Coulomb excitation, and positron annihilation. As the temperature continues to fall, this conununication link eventually will be broken, freezing out the isomeric population. 180 Lu<sup>m</sup> would still be hindered from making direct isomeric transitions to the ground state because  $K-$  and L-shell ionization would block the internal conversion channel. (For example,  $\alpha_t = 10^5$  for a 50-keV M3 transition.) Thus the  $\beta$ -decay branch of  $^{180}$ Lu<sup>m</sup> would be significantly enhanced. ( $f_{\rm m}^{\rm m} \approx$ 100%). Finally, the continuing drop in temperature increases the survivability of the high-spin isomers of  $180$ Hf and  $180$ Ta against the same processes responsible for the thermalization of  $180$ Lu.<sup>23</sup>

The  $\beta$ -decay yields of the isomeric and ground states of  $^{180}$ Hf, and consequently the r-process production of  $180^{\circ}$  m, are sensitive to the timeintegrated thermodynamics of the post-r-process. Therefore it may be possible to combine future detailed nuclear structure data for <sup>180</sup>Lu with the observed solar abundance of  $180$ <sup>m</sup> to place constraints on the timetemperature history of the post-r-process. Ultimately, such constraints may aid in the determination of the r-process site itself.

-~

÷,

*.:* • • .~/11

#### **CONCLUSION**

We have measured the fractional population of  $^{180}\text{Hf}^\text{m}$  following the  $\beta$ decay of  $^{180}$ Lu to be  $f_{m}$  = 0.005±0.018% in disagreement with the positive value reported by Eschner et al. we believe that our measurement, which employs a simpler technique and yields a null result with a much smaller statistical uncertainty, is the correct value for the 5.7 minute activity of <sup>180</sup>Lu. Astrophysically, f<sub>m</sub> is too small to account for the observed abundance of <sup>180</sup>Ta<sup>m</sup> by straightforward r-process production. We have shown that the experimental discrepancy can be reconciled if the multinucleon transfer reaction of Eschner et al. populated a high-spin, short-lived isomer in <sup>180</sup>Lu. Further, the existence of such an isomer may substantially enhance the resultant nucleosynthesis of  $180$ Ta<sup>m</sup>. With more complete nuclear structure information, it may be possible to establish constraints on the post-r-process astrophysical environment. Clearly more work is called for in the understanding of the doubly-odd A=180 nuclei and in the pinpointing of the r-process site before the origin and fate of  $180$ Ta<sup>m</sup> is known.

16

,.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

we wish to thank Pat Miller and Deloss Fry of the university of Washington NUclear Engineering Department for our many thermal neutron irradiations. we also wish to thank the Cyclotron staff at the Nuclear Physics Lab, especially Peter Wiest, Georgia Rohrbaugh, and Joel Schoen for delivering intense deuteron beams above the machine ratings. We are indebted to Eric Adelberger and Wick Haxton for reading the manuscript and helpful comments. This work was funded in part by the Department of Energy, Division of NUclear Physics at seattle under contract number DE-AC06-B1ER4004B and at LBL under contract number DE-AC03-76SF0009B.  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$ 

### **REFERENCES**

 $\frac{1}{1}$ H. Beer and R. A. Ward, Nature, 291, 308 (1981).

 $2^2$ K. Yokoi and K. Takahashi, Nature 305, 198 (1983).

 $^3$ Table of Isotopes, 7th ed., edited by C. M. Lederer and V. S. Shirley (Wiley, New York, 1978).

<sup>4</sup>A. G. W. Cameron in "Essays in Nuclear Astrophysics," edited by c. A. Barnes, D. D. Clayton and D. N. Schramm (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982), p. 23.

 $^{5}$ H. Beer and R. L. Macklin, Phys. Rev. C  $26$ , 1404 (1982).

<sup>6</sup>C. J. Gallagher, M. Jørgenson, and O. Skilbreid, Nucl. Phys. 33, 285 ( 1962).

*7 s.* E. Kellogg and E. B. Norman, (to be published).

 $^8$ D. L. Swindle, T. E. Ward, and P. K. Kuroda, Phys. Rev. C, 3, 259 (1971).

S. C. Gujrathi and J. M. D'Auria, Nucl. Phys. A161, 410 (1971).

 $10^{\circ}$ T. E. Ward and J. M. D'Auria, Phys. Rev. C 10, 1227 (1974).

 $^{11}$ A. H. W. Aten Jr., A. G. Funke-Klopper, Physica 26, 79 (1960).

<sup>12</sup>K. Takahashi, T. Kuroyanagi, H. Yuta, K. Kotajima, K. Nagatani, R. Morinaga, Jour. Phys. soc. Japan 16, 1664 (1961).

13<sub>D.</sub> L. Bushnell, D. J. Buss and R. K. Smither, Phys. Rev. C 10, 2483 (1974).

 $^{14}$ S. E. Kellogg and E. B. Norman, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 28, 989 (1983); and in Proceedings of the Second WOrkshop on Nuclear Astrophysics, Ringberg castle, Tegernsee, west Germany, Max Planck Institut fur Astrophysik Report No. 90, 1983, p. 92.

 $15$ W. Eschner, W.-D. Schmidt-Ott, K. L. Gippert, E. Runte, H. Beer, G. walter, R. Kirchner, 0. Klepper, E. Roeckl, and D. Schardt, Z. Phys. A, ~· 281 ( 1984).

 $16$ N. B. Gove and A. H. Wapstra, Nucl. Data Tables 11, 127 (1972).

<sup>17</sup>I. Zychor, K. Rykaczewski, H. Ahrens, H. Folger, W. Kurcewicz, K. Summerer, N. Kaffrel, and N. Trautmann, Radiochim. Acta 33, 1 (1983).

 $^{18}$ S. E. Kellogg and E. B. Norman, Phys. Rev. C  $31$ , 1505 (1985).

 $^{19}$ R. W. Hoff, 1984 (pc).

 $20$ K. E. G. Lobner amd S. G. Malmskog, Nucl. Phys.  $80$ , 505 (1966).

 $21$ C. J. Gallagher and S. A. Moszkowski, Phys. Rev.  $\underline{111}$ , 1282 (1958).

<sup>22</sup>D. N. Schramm in "Essays in Nuclear Astrophysics," edited by c. A. Barnes, D. D. Clayton and D. N. Schramm (Cambridge university Press, Cambridge, 1982), p. 325.

23E. B. Norman, S. E. Kellogg, T. Bertram, S. Gil, and P. Wong, Astrophys. J. 281, 360 (1984).

,.

TABLE I. Activities observed in the precipitate after fast neutron bombardment of natural Hf metal in Run I. A Zr content of 3% is assumed. The ratio of  $^{180}$ Hf<sup>m</sup>/<sup>180</sup>Lu was determined in a separate activation to be ~30. Excitation energies for the isomers have been subtracted from the Q-values of Ref. 16.



TABLE II. Efficiencies and run by run photopeak areas used in three independent activations to determine  $f_m$ . The 332- and 443-keV  $^{180}$ Hf<sup>m</sup> y lines are treated separately. Only  $\approx$  2 out of 50 ml of solution (sn) was counted to match the geometry used in the precipitate (pt) runs.



TABLE III. The fractional population,  $f_m$ , of  $^{180}$ Hf<sup>m</sup> following the  $\beta$ -decay of <sup>180</sup>Lu. The final experimental results are derived from formula [6] and the data in Table II for the 332 and 443 keV lines in each of three runs. While the values from Run III (which used spectrographic-grade Hf) are not included in the statistically weighted average, they are nevertheless consistent with the null result obtained from Runs I and II.



Ã

**PIGURE 1.** A partial energy level scheme for  $^{180}$ Hf (Ref. 3) and the neutroncapture production paths to  $180$   $\text{rad}$  (Ref. 1). In the Beer and Ward model,  $180$  Ta<sup>m</sup> can be produced in both the s-process and r-process by the population of <sup>180</sup>Hf<sup>m</sup> followed by a weak  $\beta$ -decay branch,  $f_{\beta}$ . This experiment establishes a limit on  $f_m$ , the r-process fractional production of  $^{180}$ Hf<sup>m</sup> following the  $\beta$ -decay of  $^{180}$ Lu (dashed beta-gamma path). Shown are gamma transitions in the decay of <sup>180</sup>Lu and <sup>180</sup>Hf<sup>m</sup> with intensities greater than 2%. The three gamma-rays specifically used in the determination of  $f_m$  in this experiment are labeled. The levels in  $^{180}$ Lu are discussed in the text. All energies are in keV and the italicized numbers are log-ft values.

PIGURE 2. Ge(Li) gamma-ray spectra following the radiochemical separation of lutetium (in precipitate form) from hafnium (in solution). The <sup>180</sup>Lu, 180Hf<sup>m</sup> and <sup>181</sup>Hf gamma-rays used in this experiment are labeled as well as strong lines from other Lu, Yb, Y and Sr activitie.. The chronologically ordered spectra (a) through (d) emphasize activities with vastly different halflives. Irradiation and run times are listed in Table 2. The counting geometries were identical for the solution (b) and the precipitate (a), (c) and (d).

**PIGURE 3.** Interpretation of  $f_m$  in terms of a proposed high-spin isomer of  $180$ Lu. The ordinate is the product of the  $180$ Lu isomer's production factor,  $P_m$ , and its  $\beta$ -decay fraction that populates  $18^{\circ}$ H $f_m^m$ ,  $f_m^m$ . The result of this experiment (shown as the lower data point at 5.7 minutes) also establishes an upper limit of about 100 seconds on the halflife of such an isomer. we have solved the equations of (Ref. 15) including a source term for a <sup>180</sup>Lu isomer to generate curves for the upper and lower limits implied by the positive value reported by Eschner et al. (upper data point). The shaded region is in agreement with both experimental measurements. The dashed line is described in the text.





counts  $/ 0.43$ keV



This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Ý.

 $\bar{z}$ 

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720

 $\sim 10^{11}$  km s  $^{-1}$ 

 $\bullet$ 

 $\sim$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$ 

 $A_2 \equiv -\frac{1}{2}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 

 $\sim$  $\sim$