

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

Title

Antiproton Production and Accumulation for a 20 Tev pp Collider

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3dc1t0tz>

Authors

Lambertson, Glen R

Leemann, Christoph W

Publication Date

1982-10-01

c.2



Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Accelerator & Fusion Research Division


RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY
OCT 1982
LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

Presented at the DPF Summer Study on Elementary Particle Physics and Future Facilities, Aspen, CO, June 28-July 16, 1982; and published in the proceedings

ANTIPROTON PRODUCTION AND ACCUMULATION FOR A 20 TEV $\bar{p}p$ COLLIDER

Glen R. Lambertson and Christoph W. Leemann

October 1982



LBL-15089
c.2

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

ANTIPROTON PRODUCTION AND ACCUMULATION FOR A 20 TEV $\bar{p}p$ COLLIDER*

Glen R. Lambertson and Christoph W. Leemann

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

October 1982

*This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, High Energy Physics Division, U. S. Dept. of Energy, under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

ANTIPROTON PRODUCTION AND ACCUMULATION FOR A 20 TEV $\bar{p}p$ COLLIDER*

G. R. Lambertson and Ch. W. Leemann
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
Berkeley, California 94720

Summary

The feasibility of producing, collecting and cooling \bar{p} 's at a rate $> 3 \cdot 10^8 s^{-1}$ is demonstrated. This implies a filling time of ~ 12 hours to reach a luminosity of $\approx 10^{32} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ in the collider.

Accelerator and Collider Configuration

Table I summarizes the basic parameters of the accelerator complex studied by the "conventional p^+p collider" subgroup on which we base our considerations.

	$E_{max}[TeV]$	$B_{max}[T]$	$C[km]$
Booster 1	0.2	1.4	4
Booster 2	1.4	10	4
Main Ring	20	10	60

Table I: Basic Ring Parameters of 20 TeV Collider

With $\beta^* = 2m$, $\epsilon_x = \epsilon_z = 10 \mu m$ (normalized) and 250 bunches (estimated from the requirements imposed by electrostatic beam separation) the following performance figures are derived:

Luminosity: $\mathcal{L} = 1.25 \cdot 10^{31} \langle n \rangle [cm^{-2} s^{-1}]$ (1)

Beam-Beam tune shift: $\Delta Q_{bb} = 10^{-3} \langle n \rangle^{1/2}$ (2)

No. of \bar{p} 's (or p 's) per bunch: $N_B = 1.8 \cdot 10^{10} \langle n \rangle^{1/2}$ (3)

where $\langle n \rangle$ is the average number of events per bunch collision (at $\sigma = 100$ mbarn). Up to $\mathcal{L} = 3 \cdot 10^{32} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ luminosity is controlled by the tolerable $\langle n \rangle$ rather than by ΔQ_{bb} .

For $\epsilon_N < 10 \mu m$, which can be achieved by stochastic cooling, the required number of \bar{p} 's decreases correspondingly, allowing shorter filling times or a lower \bar{p} flux or the use of a lower field, larger diameter ring. It remains however to be shown that luminosity lifetime is not adversely affected if we plan to operate with very small emittance ($\epsilon_N \lesssim 1 \mu m$).

With $\frac{\Delta p}{p} = 6 \cdot 10^{-4}$ at 20 TeV and a bunch length of $\sim 1m$ we obtain $\epsilon_{||}/bunch = 30$ eVs, or $\epsilon_{||} = 7.5 \cdot 10^3$ eVs for all 250 bunches. An RF-system of ~ 10 MV peak voltage is compatible with these bunch parameters and will at a phase stable angle of a few degrees compensate for the synchrotron radiation energy loss (~ 200 keV/turn).

\bar{p} - Source Requirements

We make, without further proof, the crucial

assumption that the luminosity lifetime in the collider is at least 20 hours. Then a filling time of 10 to 12 hours (for $1.2 \cdot 10^{13}$ \bar{p} 's or $\mathcal{L} = 10^{32} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$) corresponding to a \bar{p} flux of $\sim 3 \cdot 10^8 s^{-1}$ seems perfectly adequate.

We envisage the use of Booster 1, which can be cycled fairly rapidly, as proton source, and the use of a debuncher and an accumulator ring as planned for the FNAL \bar{p} - source.¹⁾ At $p_p = 120$ GeV/c, $\bar{p}_p = 10$ GeV/c and for a target and collector (lithium lens) configuration similar to that of the FNAL-source we obtain for the number of \bar{p} 's produced:

$$N_p = 62 \cdot N_p \cdot \epsilon \frac{\Delta p}{p}, \text{ for } 2 \cdot 10^{-5} m \lesssim \epsilon \lesssim 4 \cdot 10^{-5} m \quad (4)$$

where N_p is the number of protons on target, ϵ the unnormalized \bar{p} emittance and $\frac{\Delta p}{p}$ the \bar{p} momentum spread Table II gives an example parameter set meeting our flux requirements:

Proton Momentum	P_p	120 GeV/c
\bar{p} production momentum	$P_{\bar{p}}$	10 GeV/c
Repetition period	τ_r	1s
No. Protons on target	N_p	$6 \cdot 10^{12}$ *
\bar{p} emittance	ϵ_x, ϵ_z	20 μm [unnormalized]
\bar{p} momentum spread	$\frac{\Delta p}{p}$	4%
No. of p 's per pulse	$\frac{N_p}{p}$	$3 \cdot 10^8$
p flux	ϕ	$3 \cdot 10^8 s^{-1}$

Table II: \bar{p} -Production Parameters

The debuncher is assumed to reduce $\frac{\Delta p}{p}$ to 0.25%¹⁾ corresponding to a longitudinal \bar{p} density $\psi_{in} = 12$ eV⁻¹. Assuming a circumference of ~ 800 m for both debuncher and accumulator we therefore have to provide a longitudinal phase space compression of only 350 to reach $\psi_{max} = 4.3 \cdot 10^3$ eV⁻¹, as derived from $N_{\bar{p}}$ and $\epsilon_{||}$ in the collider, while the transverse emittances must be reduced by a factor 20.

Description of \bar{p} Cooling and Accumulation

As indicated above, $3 \cdot 10^8$ \bar{p} 's are injected every second into the debuncher where the momentum spread is reduced to 0.25% by RF-bunch rotation and adiabatic debunching. While the \bar{p} 's reside in the debuncher their emittance is reduced to $3 \mu m$ ($\sim 30 \mu m$ normalized) by stochastic cooling. They are then transferred to the accumulator where they are stochastically stacked²⁾ and where the transverse emittance is reduced to $1 \mu m$ [unnormalized]. After ~ 200 s the core of the stack contains $\sim 6 \cdot 10^{10}$ particles of the required phase space density of $\sim 4.3 \cdot 10^3$ eV⁻¹. There exist many options for further processing of the antiprotons whose details with regard to RF-system requirements, beam stability, extraction and injection manipulations need to be worked out. One extreme possibility is to extract a single bunch ($\sim 5 \cdot 10^{10}$ particles) from the

*This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, High Energy Physics Division, U.S. Dept. of Energy, under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

*) might require target sweeping¹⁾

accumulator, accelerate it through boosters 1 and 2 and hold it at 1.4 TeV in the main ring, repeating the procedure 250 times. Another possibility is to accelerate in booster 1 to 200 GeV and create an RF-stacked, coasting beam in booster 2. Such a procedure, which again could be performed in many variations, will result in a coasting beam of $1.2 \cdot 10^{13}$ \bar{p} 's with $\frac{\Delta p}{p} = 4.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ (assuming a dilution factor of 1.5). A modest stochastic cooling system could reduce this to the desired $\frac{\Delta p}{p} = 3 \cdot 10^{-3}$. A coasting beam in booster 2 also allows one to cool the beam further transversely; a normalized emittance of 1 μm seems perfectly feasible. This is not required for the present collider concept, but would allow for variations in collider circumference or number of \bar{p} 's required.

Stochastic Cooling Systems

The most critical of all the cooling systems used in the outlined \bar{p} - source are the transverse system in the debuncher and the stochastic stacking system in the accumulator. Furthermore, high demands on bandwidth are made by any system contemplated to cool $\geq 10^{13}$ \bar{p} 's in booster 2, if this should be desired. We examine briefly some of the characteristics of these systems.

For cooling systems with sufficiently linear electrodes transverse cooling is well described by:

$$\epsilon(t) = e^{-st} (\epsilon(0) - \epsilon(\infty)) + \epsilon(\infty) \quad (5)$$

where $\epsilon(\infty)$ is the asymptotic value determined by the thermal noise characteristics of the system. Strictly speaking the cooling rate s , and therefore ϵ , are functions of the revolution frequency of the particles. A conservative estimate is obtained by calculating $s = s(\omega_c)$ for a distribution $f(\omega)$ symmetric about ω_c . The cooling rate s , including the effects of signal suppression, is then given by³⁾:

$$s \frac{\xi}{n} = R(G_0 \zeta) \quad (6)$$

where $n = (f_{\max} - f_{\min})/f_0$, G_0 is the overall system gain (average over working band) and $R(x)$ is a simple integral³⁾. ξ is defined as:

$$\xi = \frac{\pi N f(\omega)}{n} \quad (7a)$$

$$\zeta = \frac{0.75 N}{f_0 n \frac{\Delta p}{p} n} \quad \text{for a parabolic distribution of full width } \frac{\Delta p}{p} \quad (7b)$$

For $G_0 \xi = 0.4$ we obtain $s \frac{\xi}{n} = 1^3)$, and with $n = 4 \cdot 10^{-3}$, $f_{\max} = 8$ GHz, $f_{\min} = 4$ GHz the following values follow: $\xi = 5.6 \cdot 10^3$, $n = 1.07 \cdot 10^4$, $G_0 = 3.75 \cdot 10^{-5} [\text{s}^{-1}]$, and $s = 1.9 \text{ s}^{-1}$ which implies a sevenfold reduction of the emittance provided $\epsilon(\infty)$ is low enough. G_0 is completely determined by the coupling characteristics and number of Pick-up (PU) and kicker (K) electrodes and the net electronic gain.³⁾ Assuming loop couplers made up by 70 Ω striplines in push-pull configuration for both PU's and K's we arrive, based on standard expressions³⁾, at the approximate system parameters summarized in Table III.

Two such systems, one for each transverse phase plane, are required. These are substantial systems but they greatly facilitate the accumulator design and their design is, at least conceptually, straight forward. A certain R and D effort is certainly required to design optimal electrodes and the lattice

design of the debuncher must take into account that the PU and K arrays (each > 10 m long) must be broken up into sub-arrays of only a few m length, located in fairly low β sections in order to keep their apertures small enough for the envisaged frequency range.

Frequency Range	4 to 8 GHz
No. of PU's = No. of K's	512 loop pairs
Amplifier gain	$6.5 \cdot 10^6$ [-136 dB]
Total Power	~ 1.8 kW [cryogenic PU's 4 dB NF preamp]
Cooling rate, s	$= 1.9 \text{ s}^{-1}$
$\epsilon(\infty)$	$\sim 3.5 \cdot 10^{-7}$ m [cryogenic PU's 4 dB NF]
$\epsilon(t = 1\text{s})$	$\sim 3.3 \cdot 10^{-6}$ m

Table III Approximate Parameters for Debuncher Transverse Cooling System

The transverse cooling systems required to cool from 3 μm to 1 μm (i.e. ~ 10 μm normalized) will be located in the accumulator and are expected to be substantially more modest in terms of power requirements and length of PU and K arrays.

An analogous analysis shows that a system with 256 PU's and K's, ~ 100 dB amplifier gain and a frequency range from 8 to 16 GHz installed in booster 2 ($\gamma_t = 25$) will be able to cool $\sim 10^{13}$ \bar{p} 's at 200 GeV from $\epsilon_N = 10$ μm to $\epsilon_N = 1$ μm in ~ 3000 s.

A stochastic stacking system similar to that of the CERN-AA-ring⁴⁾ or the FNAL \bar{p} -source will be used to achieve the required longitudinal phase space compression. In order to handle the flux of $3 \cdot 10^{10} \text{ s}^{-1}$ a frequency band of 4-8 GHz is necessary but periodic filters will not be needed because the modest ψ_{\max}/ψ_{\min} ratio considerably reduces the thermal noise problem. The system will therefore consist simply of Σ -PU's placed in a region of high dispersion, an amplifier chain and kickers in straight sections with dispersion $a_p = 0$. The system will again, as in the AA-ring or the FNAL \bar{p} -source, consist of several subsystems of which we will briefly discuss only the most complex and massive, the "stack tail" system.

Approximate system parameters can be derived analytically for a steady state configuration with $\phi(x) = \phi_0 = \text{constant}$.^{2,3)} This requires for an octave bandwidth

$$\text{Re} \{ G(x) \} = \frac{\alpha \phi_0}{\psi(x)} \frac{f_0}{f_{\max}}, \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq 2 \quad (8)$$

where $x = E - E_0$, and $G(x)$ is the gain³⁾, i.e. the single particle rate of change of energy, assumed independent of harmonic number.

From the equation³⁾

$$\frac{1}{\psi} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{E_D} = \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha^2} \frac{[\text{Re} \{ G \}]^2}{G^2} \frac{1}{\ln(2)} \frac{|\eta| f_{\max}^2}{\beta^2 E \phi_0 f_0} \quad (9)$$

then follows $E_D = 20$ MeV with $\alpha = 1.4$, $|\eta| = 4 \cdot 10^{-3}$ and some allowance for the fact that G will

not be purely real. With these values for n and E_p we can accommodate 6.25 e-foldings of the density $\psi(x)$ without Schottky band overlap at the top harmonic and the actually required 5.8 e-foldings will be achieved in a stack of < 120 MeV or $\frac{\Delta p}{p} = 1.2\%$ width. Some important system parameters as derived from (9) and (8) are summarized in Table IV.

$f_{\max} \cdot f_{\min}$	8 GHz, 4 GHz
$n_{pu} = n_k$	1024
G_A	$- 1.41 \cdot 10^6$ [- 122 dB]
P_{total}	$- 1.5$ kW
σ_p/g	$- 160$ [i.e. $\sigma_p = 3m$ for 15 mm PU gap]
Schottky/Noise (ratio of diffusion terms)	$= 220 e^{-\frac{x}{E_D}}$

Table IV: Accumulator Stack Tail System Parameters

The signal to noise ratio is larger than 2 for the whole stack with exception of the last (highest density) 20 MeV. A low noise core cooling system^{1,2,4)} with appropriately adjusted gain should make the thermal noise problem totally innocuous and provide some additional peaking of the distribution function ψ .

Conclusion

Stochastic cooling is capable of providing a flux of $\sim 3 \cdot 10^8$ \bar{p} /second, adequate to fill a 20 TeV collider in ~ 12 hours for operation at $\alpha = 1032 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. This represents an order of magnitude improvement over the FNAL-source design goal. It is made possible mainly due to higher bandwidth (4 GHz vs. 1 GHz) and a lower $\psi_{\max}/\psi_{\text{min}}$ ratio (350 vs. $\sim 10^4$). Systems operating in the 4-8 GHz band are necessary, a technology which goes beyond the 2-4 GHz systems presently under development, but appears within reach with a moderate R and D effort. Stochastic cooling in the 8-16 GHz range holds the promise of small transverse emittance ($\leq 1 \mu\text{m}$ normalized), allowing even shorter filling times or the use of lower field, larger circumference colliders, should such devices prove more cost-effective.

References

1. The Fermilab Antiproton Source Design Report, FNAL, Feb. 1982.
2. S. van der Meer, Stochastic Stacking in the Antiproton Accumulator, CERN/PS/AA/78-2, (1978).
3. J. Bisognano and Ch. Leemann, Stochastic Cooling, in "Physics of High Energy Accelerators", (FNAL Summer School, 1981), AIP Conf. Proc. No. 87, New York, 1982.
4. S. van der Meer, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Science, Vol. NS-28, No. 3, p.1994, (1981).

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720