UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Kinetic Modeling of the Arabidopsis Cryptochrome Photocycle: FADHo Accumulation Correlates with Biological Activity

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3fk569ts

Authors

Procopio, Maria Link, Justin Engle, Dorothy <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2016

DOI

10.3389/fpls.2016.00888

Peer reviewed





Kinetic Modeling of the Arabidopsis Cryptochrome Photocycle: FADH^o Accumulation Correlates with Biological Activity

Maria Procopio^{1,2}, Justin Link³, Dorothy Engle⁴, Jacques Witczak², Thorsten Ritz¹ and Margaret Ahmad^{2,4*}

¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA, ² UMR 8256 (B2A), IBPS, University of Paris VI, Paris, France, ³ Department of Physics, Xavier University, Cincinnati, OH, USA, ⁴ Department of Biology, Xavier University, Cincinnati, OH, USA

Cryptochromes are flavoprotein photoreceptors with multiple signaling roles during plant de-etiolation and development. Arabidopsis cryptochromes (cry1 and cry2) absorb light through an oxidized flavin (FAD_{ox}) cofactor which undergoes reduction to both FADH° and FADH⁻ redox states. Since the FADH^o redox state has been linked to biological activity, it is important to estimate its concentration formed upon illumination in vivo. Here we model the photocycle of isolated cry1 and cry2 proteins with a three-state kinetic model. Our model fits the experimental data for flavin photoconversion in vitro for both cry1 and cry2, providing calculated quantum yields which are significantly lower in cry1 than for cry2. The model was applied to the cryptochrome photocycle in vivo using biological activity in plants as a readout for FADH° concentration. The fit to the in vivo data provided quantum yields for cry1 and cry2 flavin reduction similar to those obtained in vitro, with decreased cry1 quantum yield as compared to cry2. These results validate our assumption that FADH° concentration correlates with biological activity. This is the first reported attempt at kinetic modeling of the cryptochrome photocycle in relation to macroscopic signaling events in vivo, and thereby provides a theoretical framework to the components of the photocycle that are necessary for cryptochrome response to environmental signals.

Reviewed by:

Edited by:

Enamul Hug,

Jun Hidema, Tohoku University, Japan Jon Hughes, Justus Liebig University, Germany

The University of Texas at Austin, USA

*Correspondence: Margaret Ahmad margaret.ahmad@umpc.fr

OPEN ACCESS

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Cell Biology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 25 March 2016 **Accepted:** 06 June 2016 **Published:** 28 June 2016

Citation:

Procopio M, Link J, Engle D, Witczak J, Ritz T and Ahmad M (2016) Kinetic Modeling of the Arabidopsis Cryptochrome Photocycle: FADH⁰ Accumulation Correlates with Biological Activity. Front. Plant Sci. 7:888. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.00888 Keywords: cryptochrome, flavoprotein, kinetic modeling, signaling, photoreduction

INTRODUCTION

Plants adapt to their light environment by means of multiple photoreceptors which optimally absorb at different wavelengths of light throughout the visible spectrum. These include specific photoreceptors absorbing in the blue—UV/A such as cryptochromes (Chaves et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2014) and phototropins (Christie et al., 2015), red/far red light absorbing phytochromes (Burgie and Vierstra, 2014; Xu et al., 2015) and UV-B specific receptors (Jenkins, 2014). Light sensitivity is achieved through pigment molecules (chromophores) bound to a protein backbone (apoprotein). The pigments absorb photons at specific wavelengths of light to initiate a primary photochemical reaction. These reactions, in turn, trigger changes within the photoreceptor apoprotein leading to the initiation of biological signaling. Generally, such changes

involve conformational change in the protein which allows access to signaling partners and/or modifications such as phosphorylation or ubiquitination (Galvão and Fankhauser, 2015).

In Arabidopsis, two cryptochromes have been shown to mediate significant signaling functions (cry1 and cry2) (Chaves et al., 2011). These proteins are highly conserved within their first 500 amino acid residues, which comprise the N-terminal flavin binding domains. This domain absorbs light and undergoes the primary photochemical reactions involving intra-protein electron and proton transfer to the flavin. By contrast, crv1 and cry2 diverge greatly at their C-terminal domains, which undergo conformational change involved in signaling. Cry1 plays a key role in de-etiolation responses and photomorphogenesis as well as in many aspects of vegetative growth. Cry2 also plays a role in seedling photomorphogenesis, including hypocotyl growth inhibition and cotyledon expansion. However, Cry2 function during de-etiolation is apparent primarily at low blue light intensity and not at high light. This specificity of cry2 for conditions of dim blue light is thought to follow from the fact that cry2, once activated by blue light, undergoes rapid ubiquitination resulting in targeting to the proteosome and degradation (Yu et al., 2007, 2009). In addition to its role in deetiolation responses, cry2 has been implicated in the Arabidopsis photoperiodic initiation of flowering response wherein long days induce earlier flowering than short days (Valverde et al., 2004). Mechanistically, both cry1 and cry2 have been shown to interact with signaling partners (CIB1, SPA1) (Liu et al., 2008, 2011) in response to illumination, indicative of a light induced conformational change leading to substrate binding. In this respect, cryptochrome functions similarly to other classes of known plant photoreceptors (phytochrome, phototropin, and UVR8 type receptors) which also undergo conformational changes in response to illumination.

Photochemical reactions of cryptochromes are induced by light absorption through the flavin (FAD) chromophore, and have been well characterized (reviewed in Chaves et al., 2011). Briefly, cryptochrome-bound FAD occurs in the oxidized (FAD_{ox}) state in the dark. Upon illumination, the excited state flavin is reduced via multiple electron and proton transfer events to a mixture of neutral radical (FADH°) and fully reduced (FADH⁻) flavin redox states. Once formed, the reduced redox state intermediates are relatively stable (on the order of minutes) and undergo reoxidation to the dark (FADox) resting state at rates that are determined by the concentration of molecular oxygen (Müller and Ahmad, 2011). Therefore, the proportion of cryptochrome in any given redox state under constant illumination is determined by the steady state equilibrium reached between the forward (light driven photoreduction to FADH $^{\circ}$ and FADH $^{-}$) and reverse (reoxidation to FAD_{ox}) reactions. A description of this redox cycle is shown in Figure 1.

Many lines of evidence have identified the radical (FADH°) redox intermediate is the signaling state (biologically activate) form of cryptochrome. Briefly, the action spectrum for cryptochrome (Ahmad et al., 2002) and *in vivo* measurements of cryptochrome-bound flavin redox state in living cells indicate that the inactive (light-absorbing) state of the photoreceptor is



the oxidized (FADox) redox state (Banerjee et al., 2007; Bouly et al., 2007; Engelhard et al., 2014). Upon illumination, a large conformational change has been shown to occur in vitro and linked to formation of the FADH° redox state (Kondoh et al., 2011). The lifetime of this FADH $^{\circ}$ redox state correlates with that of the biologically active "lit" state for both cry1 and cry2 in vivo (Herbel et al., 2013). Significantly, both cry1 and cry2 biological activity is diminished under illumination treatments (green light) that deplete the concentration of the FADH° redox state (see Figure 1; Banerjee et al., 2007; Bouly et al., 2007; Herbel et al., 2013). Finally, in each instance where in vivo biological activity has been reported to occur in mutants of cry2 or cry1 in the literature (Li et al., 2011; Gao et al., 2015) the light dependent reduction of the flavin cofactor has also been shown to occur under in vivo conditions (Engelhard et al., 2014; El-Esawi et al., 2015). From these and many other studies (see Chaves et al., 2011), the available evidence is consistent with Arabidopsis cryptochromes being activated by flavin photoreduction and that the neutral radical (FADH°) redox state represents the "lit" state in vivo.

Assigning a given redox form of cryptochrome as the "lit" state raises the challenge of directly linking biological activation to the concentration of this redox form induced upon illumination. This task is further complicated by the fact that, unlike most plant photoreceptors which are converted by light into well-defined "on" and "off" chemical states, cryptochromes in fact exist in three redox states. That is, illumination induces formation of not one but two reduced states (FADH° and FADH⁻), each with a characteristic (and different) reoxidation rate that occurs continuously throughout the illumination period. Therefore, the concentration of the "activated" redox state results from the equilibrium defined by the sum rate constants (k_1 , k_2 , k_{1b} , k_{2b}) that must be considered as a function of the quality (wavelength) and intensity of the light signal.

In this study, we fit a three-state kinetic model of cryptochrome photocycle to absorption spectra of isolated proteins illuminated in vitro. We use the kinetic model to obtain the rate constants (Figure 1) and calculate the quantum yield of the light-driven reactions (FAD $_{ox}$ to FADH $^\circ$ and FADH $^\circ$ to FADH⁻). To apply the kinetic model to cryptochrome responses in vivo, it is not possible in living plants to directly measure the concentration of the different redox state intermediates. We therefore consider biological activity as a measure for FADH° concentration formed in vivo. Indeed, the experimental data for cryptochrome responses in vivo at different intensities and wavelengths of light provided an excellent fit for the kinetic model applied to spectra in vitro. This model thereby validates the assumption that FADH° is the signaling state, and provides further insight into many of the known characteristics of cryptochrome responses in vivo.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cryptochrome Protein Samples

Arabidopsis cryptochrome-1 (cry1) and cryptochrome-2 (cry2) proteins were expressed and purified using baculovirus expression constructs in insect cell cultures as previously described (Banerjee et al., 2007; Bouly et al., 2007). Photoreduction experiments were conducted by illuminating protein samples in PBS (Phosphate Buffered Saline: 5 mM NaPO₄ pH 7.5 or 8.2, 150 mM NaCl directly in quartz cuvettes, 1 cm path length) at 21°C. Spectra were taken using a Cary 300 UV/Vis spectrophotometer at 21°C. Concentration of cry1 and cry2 protein samples was between 50 and 150 μ M for *in vitro* experiments.

Light Sources

LEDS were from Quadica Developments, Brantford, Ontario. Blue light: Royal-Blue (447.5 nm). Green Light: Lime-Green (567 nm). Spectra are as indicated by the manufacturer.

Western Blotting

Seeds from *phyAphyB* Arabidopsis phytochrome-deficient mutants from ecotype Landsberg erecta (Ahmad and Cashmore, 1997) were sown and germinated as described previously (Herbel et al., 2013). Germinating seedlings were maintained for four days at 22°C in darkness. Etiolated seedlings were then illuminated, harvested into liquid nitrogen, the proteins extracted and then applied to nitrocellulose membranes for Western blotting as described previously (Herbel et al., 2013). Quantitation of the cry2 signal from the Western blots was by ImageJ image analysis software from photographic images of the blots.

Kinetic Model

The reaction scheme depicted in **Figure 1** reports the cryptochrome photocycle studied here. The time evolution of the intermediate states concentrations is described by a set of

coupled first-order kinetic equations (Espensen, 1981):

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d[FAD_{ox}]}{dt} = -k_1 [FAD_{ox}] + k_{1b} [FADH^o] + k_{2b} [FADH^-] \\ \frac{d[FADH^o]}{dt} = k_1 [FAD_{ox}] - (k_2 + k_{1b}) [FADH^o] \\ \frac{d[FADH^-]}{dt} = k_2 [FADH^o] - k_{2b} [FADH^-] \end{cases}$$
(1)

where k_1 and k_2 are the two forward rate constants, and k_{1b} and k_{2b} are the dark reoxidation rate constants. In Equation (1) square brackets denote the concentrations of the transient states FAD_{ox}, FADH^o, and FADH⁻. The fit of the kinetic model to absorption spectra of the isolated proteins allows to find the rate constants. To this end we apply the Beer-Lambert law, which relates the concentration of the transient states to the absorbance *A* (Schmidt, 2005). According to the Beer-Lambert law the absorbance *A* at a given wavelength λ and at time *t* is linearly dependent on the concentration of the absorbing species:

$$A(\lambda, t) = d \sum_{i=1}^{N} \varepsilon_i(\lambda) c_i(t)$$
(2)

where N is the number of different light-absorbing species in the system with concentration c_i (M). ε_i (M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) is the molar extinction coefficient and d (cm) is the thickness of the absorbing medium. In all our experiments we use the same quartz cuvette of path length d = 1 cm.

We record absorption spectra from 400 to 570 nm, by illuminating cry samples with blue (450 ± 10 nm) and green light (560 ± 10 nm). The neutral radical (FADH°) flavin redox state can absorb green as well as blue light, while the FAD_{ox} absorbs blue (Banerjee et al., 2007; Bouly et al., 2007). The FADH⁻ radical absorbs at wavelengths out of the range considered here (Müller and Ahmad, 2011). Thus, from the Beer-Lambert law we have:

$$A (450,t) = \varepsilon_{ox} (450) [FAD_{ox}] (t) + \varepsilon_H (450) [FADH^o] (t)$$

$$A (560,t) = \varepsilon_H (560) [FADH^o] (t)$$
(3)

where $\varepsilon_{ox}(450)$ and $\varepsilon_H(560)$ are the molar extinction coefficients, respectively, of FAD_{ox} and FADH^o.

Since cry-bound FAD only occurs in the oxidized (FAD_{ox}) state in the dark, the initial concentration of cry in the sample before illumination, i.e., at time t = 0, can be found according to $A(450, 0) = \varepsilon_{ox}(450)[FADox](0)$, while the other intermediate states are unpopulated.

Based on the absorption spectra of FAD_{ox} and FADH^o (Liu et al., 2010; Björn, 2015) we estimate that the extinction coefficient of FADH^o at 450 nm and 560 nm is the same and equals ε_H (450) = ε_H (560) = ε_{ox} (450)/2.

By normalizing absorbance and concentration to the dark, and considering that $\varepsilon_H(450) = \varepsilon_{ox}(450)/2$, and $\varepsilon_H(560) = \varepsilon_{ox}(450)/2$, we obtain from Equation (3) a simplified expression between concentrations and absorbance, which we use in our calculations:

$$[FAD_{ox}] (t) = A (450,t) - A (560,t)$$
$$[FADH^{o}] (t) = 2A (560,t)$$
(4)

In Equation (4) square brackets and A label, respectively, normalized concentration and normalized absorbance. We

numerically solve Equation (1) with a Runge-Kutta method, and obtain the time evolution of the concentration of the transient states corresponding to data acquisition times, with the assumption that at a given time *t*, the sum of the concentrations of the three states is constant, i.e., $[FAD_{ox}](t) + [FADH^o](t) + [FADH^-](t) = 1$.

Forward Rates

In Equation (1), while reoxidation rates k_{1b} and k_{2b} are independent of light, the forward rates k_1 and k_2 are light dependent. At a given wavelength λ the rate constant is given by $k_{\lambda} = \sigma_{\lambda} I_{\lambda}$ where I_{λ} is the photon fluence rate (mol m⁻² s⁻¹) at wavelength λ and σ_{λ} (mol⁻¹ m²) denotes the photoconversion cross-section. σ_{λ} is related to the quantum yield ϕ_{λ} according to $\sigma_{\lambda} = 2.3 \varepsilon$ (λ) ϕ_{λ} (Kendrick and Kronenberg, 1994).

In our model we consider that the efficiency of photoconversion of FADH^o upon blue light illumination is negligible (see Section Results). Thus the forward rates k_1 and k_2 are related to the photon fluence rate of blue light I_1 and of green light I_2 by, respectively, $k_1 = \sigma_1 I_1$ and k_2 , $k_2 = \sigma_2 I_2$. I_2 , where $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_{ox}(450)$ and $\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_H(560)$.

Two-State Model: Dark Reoxidation k_{1b} , and Quantum Yield ϕ_1 under Blue Light

In order to determine the rate constants k_1 and k_{1b} , we first consider an abbreviated photocycle consisting of two states FAD_{ox} and FADH^o. This is possible because in atmospheric oxygen and in the presence of mild reductants, isolated *Arabidopsis* cry1 and cry2 proteins, under blue light illumination, accumulate in primarily two redox forms: FAD_{ox} and FADH^o (Banerjee et al., 2007; Bouly et al., 2007). The two-state kinetic model is described by the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d[FAD_{ox}]}{dt} = -k_1 [FAD_{ox}] + k_{1b} [FADH^o] \\ \frac{d[FADH^o]}{dt} = k_1 [FAD_{ox}] - k_{1b} [FADH^o] \end{cases}$$
(5)

We set the initial concentration of cry at time t = 0, i.e., before illumination to [FADox](0) = 1. Furthermore, at a given later time $t [FAD_{ox}](t) + [FADH^{o}](t) = 1$. Analytical solutions of Equation (5) are straightforward (see Equations S1, S2 in Supplementary Material).

Dark reoxidation rate (k_{1b})

Since flavin reoxidation from FADH° to FAD_{ox} can be readily monitored spectroscopically, we first obtain experimental values for the dark reoxidation kinetics from reduced (FADH°) to oxidized (FAD_{ox}) flavin states for the cry.

We define the reoxidation time as t_d , and $t_d = 0$ is the time in which cry is placed in darkness after being illuminated for a certain time t at fluence rate I_1 . We record the absorption spectrum after increasing times in darkness t_d , until complete reoxidation to FAD_{ox}. When only the dark reoxidation occurs ($k_1 = 0$) the analytical solutions of Equation (2) are:

$$[FAD_{ox}](t_d) = c_{ox} + c_o \left(1 - e^{-k_{1b}t_d}\right)$$
(6)

$$\left[FADH^{o}\right](t_{d}) = c_{o}e^{-(k_{1b}t_{d})}$$

$$\tag{7}$$

where c_{ox} and c_o are the initial concentrations of, respectively, FAD_{ox} and FADH^o at the dark time $t_d = 0$. We plot the concentrations of FAD_{ox} and FADH^o, obtained from the spectra by applying Equation (4), as a function of the dark recovery time t_d , and fit the data with, respectively, Equations (6) and (7) to find k_{1b} . For the fitting model we use the Least-Squares algorithm Levenberg-Marquardt provided by Matlab. We calculate the halflife $\tau_{1/2}$ that, for a first-order reaction, is giving by $\tau_{1/2} =$ $\ln(2) k_{1b}^{-1}$.

Forward photoreduction rate (k_1) . Two-state-based algorithm

For an illumination time much smaller than the reoxidation time $k_{1b} = 0$ in Equation (2). The analytical solutions for this case can be used to calculate the rate constant k_1 from the absorption spectra (see Equations S3, S4 in Supplementary Material). However, for longer illumination times, the reoxidation rate has to be taken into account. For this we implement a simple algorithm based on Equation (5). Such algorithm takes as input either the [FAD_{ox}] or [FADH^o] concentration, the k_{1b} previously found, and outputs the corresponding rate constant k_1 according to the two-state kinetic model. The concentration of FAD_{ox} and FADH^o are obtained from the spectra by using Equation (4). In our calculations we use as input both [FAD_{ox}] and [FADH^o] concentration and compare the results to confirm that the two-state model approximates well the blue-light experiments.

Quantum yield of FAD_{ox}—FADH^o conversion

We calculate the quantum yield ϕ_1 from the photoconversion cross section σ_1 according to $\sigma_1 = 2.3 \varepsilon_{ox}(450) \phi_1$ (Kendrick and Kronenberg, 1994). To obtain the photoconversion cross section we illuminate cry sample with increasing blue light fluence rates I_1 and record absorption spectra. For each photon fluence rate I_1 we calculate k_1 with the two-state algorithm described above. Plotting I_1 vs. k_1 , and fitting the data with a linear function, $k_1 = \sigma_1 I_1$, allows estimation of σ_1 . From σ_1 we calculate ϕ_1 by using the experimentally determined extinction coefficient of cry1 and cry2 (see Section Extinction Coefficient). We point out that only one single photon fluence rate value is enough to calculate the quantum yield. However, we prefer to use a dose-response profile to find a linear range of blue light fluence rates that both allows prediction, and confirms the linear correlation between k_1 and I_1 .

Three-State Model: Forward Rate k_2 and Quantum Yield ϕ_2 under Green Light

Forward photoreaction rate k_2 . Three-state-based algorithm To find the rate constant k_2 we co-illuminate samples with blue and green light at fluence rates, respectively, I_1 and I_2 , and record the absorption spectrum. From the spectra we obtain the normalized concentration of $[FAD_{ox}]$ and $[FADH^o]$ by using Equation (4). To calculate k_2 we implement an algorithm by numerically solving Equation (1). This algorithm takes as input the concentration of either $[FAD_{ox}]$ or $[FADH^o]$, k_1 , k_{1b} and k_{2b} , and outputs k_2 , according to the three-state model. We use the k_1 and k_{1b} values obtained from the blue light experiments in the present study, and $k_{2b} = 0.011$ s⁻¹ is provided from previous studies (Müller and Ahmad, 2011). For short illumination times, one can neglect reoxidation rates, and the algorithm in this case resolves Equation (1) with $k_{1b} = k_{2b} = 0$.

Quantum yield of FADH^o—FADH⁻ conversion

We calculate the quantum yield ϕ_2 from the photo-conversion cross section σ_2 according to $\sigma_2 = 2.3 \varepsilon_2 \phi_2$. To this end we perform a series of experiments to obtain a dose-response profile. We illuminate cry samples with same blue light fluence rate I_1 and increasing green light fluence rates I_2 . For each photon fluence rate I_2 we calculate the corresponding k_2 by using the three-state algorithm. We plot I_2 vs. k_2 and fit the data with a linear function, $k_2 = \sigma_2 I_2$, to estimate the photo-conversion cross section σ_2 . We then calculate ϕ_2 by considering that $\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_{ox}(450)/2$.

Extinction Coefficient

We calculated the extinction coefficient at 450 nm of cry1 and cry2 using absorption of the purified cryptochrome protein at 450 nm together with protein concentration determined by Bradford assay. The extinction coefficient of cry1 resulted ε_{ox} (450) = 6415.5 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹, and that of cry2 ε_{ox} (450) = 5094 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹. see Supplementary Figure A. In our calculations we use as units for the extinction coefficient mol⁻¹ m², thus for cry1 ε_{ox} (450) = 641.55 mol⁻¹ m², and for cry2 ε_{ox} (450) = 509.4 mol⁻¹ m².

Kinetic Model Applied to In vivo Responses

To apply the kinetic model to *in vivo* responses we make the assumption that biological activity is directly proportional to the concentration of the FADH° flavin state (Banerjee et al., 2007; Bouly et al., 2007). In the case of cry1, we choose blue-light dependent inhibition of hypocotyl elongation as a "readout" for biological activity, and consider the concentration of FADH° as inversely proportional to the blue-light dependent inhibition of hypocotyl length (L). In the case of cry2 we use light-dependent degradation of cry2 as a readout for biological function, and we consider the concentration of FADH° as inversely proportional to the cry2 protein concentration (C). In both cases, phytochrome-deficient phyAphyB mutants were used for assay of cry-dependent function, in order to avoid the considerable effect of phytochrome, which also absorbs in the blue and green spectral regions and significantly enhances the sensitivity of cryptochrome-dependent signaling pathways (Ahmad and Cashmore, 1997). Therefore, in our studies, only the effects of light on the cry (blue light receptor) are detected as biological activity.

The length L or concentration C are measured as a function of the photon fluence rate, thereby obtaining a dose-dependent biological response profile. We convert this light dose-biological response curve into a light dose-FADH^o concentration curve by using the Equation (S5) given in Supplementary Material. In this way we can calculate quantum yields by applying the same method as for *in vitro* data.

Two-State Model. Quantum Yield of $\text{FAD}_{\text{ox}}-\text{FADH}^\circ$ Conversion

For each blue light fluence rate I_1 , we calculate the rate constant k_1 by the two-state algorithm, which takes as input the FADH^o

values and the dark reoxidation k_{1b} provided from previous studies (Herbel et al., 2013). By plotting I_1 vs. k_1 , and fitting the data with expression $k_1 = \sigma_1 I_1$, we estimate the photoconversion cross section σ_1 . We calculate the quantum yield ϕ_1 according to $\sigma_1 = 2.3 \varepsilon_1 \phi_1$ and by using the experimentally determined extinction coefficient $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_{\text{ox}}(450)$ found for cry *in vitro*.

Three-State Model

Seedlings were co-illuminated with a blue light fluence rate I_1 , and increasing fluence rates of green light I_2 . A dose-biological response profile was then converted in dose-FADH^o profile. For each photon fluence rate I_2 we calculate the rate constant k_2 by the three-state-based algorithm, which inputs FADH^o, k_1 previously obtained with the blue light experiments, and k_{1b} and k_{2b} provided from the literature (Müller and Ahmad, 2011; Herbel et al., 2013). We fit the data with a linear function, $k_2 = \sigma_2 I_2$, to estimate σ_2 and calculate the quantum yield ϕ_2 using the *in vitro* extinction coefficient ε_2 .

RESULTS

The goal of this study is to apply a simple kinetic model to the cryptochrome photocycle (**Figure 1**) that can accurately predict the effects of illumination on redox state interconversion *in vitro* and relate this model to observations on biological activation *in vivo*. We first apply the model *in vitro*, to samples of purified isolated cryptochrome (cry1 and cry2) which were photoreduced under defined illumination conditions. In this way, concentrations of redox state intermediates could be accurately determined and the reaction rates and quantum yields calculated by the model. We next apply the kinetic model to plant cryptochrome responses *in vivo* to correlate flavin redox state interconversion that could account for biological activity.

Two-State Model for Cryptochrome Photocycle *In vitro*

For analysis of the cryptochrome photocycle *in vitro*, samples of purified cry1 and cry2 proteins were photoreduced *in vitro* and allowed to reoxidize in monochromatic blue light (450 nm). The simpler two-state model (an abbreviated photocycle from FADox to FADH° and back) is valid under these conditions as there is almost no FADH⁻ accumulation (Banerjee et al., 2007; Bouly et al., 2007). We therefore first modeled only the rates k_1 and k_{1b} , and used them to obtain quantum yield and half-life of cry under conditions of monochromatic blue light.

Dark Reoxidation Rate (k_{1b})

A sample of Cry2 (pH = 7.5, with 10 mM Betamercaptoethanol as reducing agent) was illuminated with blue light for 20 s at a fluence rate of $I_1 = 400 \,\mu$ mol m⁻²s⁻¹, and then placed in darkness ($t_d = 0$). **Figure 2A** shows the absorption spectrum after increasing times in darkness t_d , until complete reoxidation to FAD_{ox}. From the spectra of **Figure 2A** we obtained the concentrations of FAD_{ox} and FADH^o as a function of the reoxidation time t_d by using Equation (4). **Figure 2B** (the red triangles) reports the concentration of FADH^o as a function of the dark recovery time t_d , and the fit (blue curve) of the data with the two-state dark reoxidation model reported in Equation (7). The



FIGURE 2 | **Rate constants and quantum yield for two-state reduction and reoxidation of AtCry2 in PBS pH7.5, 10 mM \betaME. (A) Isolated purified cry2 protein was illuminated for 20 seconds (s) at 200 \mumol m⁻² s⁻¹ blue light and placed in darkness (t_d = 0 s). Normalized absorption spectra are reported at increasing dark intervals. The FAD_{0x} concentration is obtained from the absorbance at 450 and 560 nm, and FADH^o concentration from absorbance at 560 nm according to Equation 4. (B) Normalized concentration of FADH^o as a function of the dark reoxidation time (t_d). The FADH^o concentration is obtained from the spectra of panel (A). The red triangles represent the experimental data, and the blue curve is the fit of the experimental data with the two-state dark reoxidation model for FADH^o (Equation 7). The calculated reoxidation rate is k_{1b} = 0.003 \text{ s}^{-1} (half-life of \tau_{1/2} = 231 \text{ s}). The goodness of the fit is large (R^2 = 0.996). (C) Isolated purified cry2 protein was illuminated for 20 s at the indicated blue light fluence rates I_1, (\mumol m⁻² s⁻¹). Normalized absorption spectra are presented. (D) Calculated forward rate constant k_1 vs. photon fluence rate I_1 (red triangles). For each I_1, the rate constant k_1 was calculated by using the two-state algorithm, which inputs the concentration of FADH^o, obtained from the spectra of panel (B) and outputs the rate constant k_1. The linear fit k_1 = \sigma_1 I_1 of the data are reported in blue. The photo-conversion cross section resulted \sigma_1 = 1.6 \times 10^{-4} \mumol⁻¹ m². \sigma_1 is related to the quantum yield was \phi_1 = 0.137. For details of calculations see Method Section: Two-State Model: Dark Reoxidation k_{1b}, and Quantum Yield \phi_1 under Blue Light.**

fit resulted in a reoxidation rate of $k_{1b} = 0.003 \text{ s}^{-1}$, or half-life of $\tau_{1/2} = 231 \text{ s}$. We have also fit the increase of FAD_{ox} with Equation (6) and obtained a similar reoxidation rate ($k_{1b} = 0.0036 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $\tau_{1/2} = 192 \text{ s}$ with a goodness of the fit $R^2 \approx 1$, confirming that the two-state model well approximates our experiments. The average of the two half-lives is reported in **Table 1**.

Forward Photoreduction Rate (k_1) and Quantum Yield (ϕ_1) of FADox—FADH° Conversion

Flavin reduction by light (FAD_{ox} to FADH^{\circ}) rate constants, and quantum yields, were estimated from experimental results of photoreduction of isolated cryptochrome proteins by using the two-state kinetic model as described in the Method Section Two-State Model: Dark Reoxidation k_{1b} , and Quantum Yield ϕ_1

under Blue Light. In order to derive the forward rate constant k_1 as a function of photon fluence rate I_1 , **Figure 2C** shows the spectra obtained from cry2 photoreduced at different blue light fluence rates. For each fluence rate I_1 we calculated the rate constant k_1 by using the two-state-based algorithm. This algorithm takes as input the concentration of FADH^o (obtained from **Figure 2C** according to Equation 4), the dark reoxidation rate k_{1b} previously found (from **Figure 2B**), and output k_1 according to the two-state model. **Figure 2D** (red triangles) reports the rate constants k_1 as a function of the blue light fluence rates I_1 (µmol m⁻²s⁻¹). The blue curve in **Figure 2D** is the linear fit of the data ($k_1 = \sigma_1 I_1$) which allows to estimate the photoconversion cross section σ_1 . As can be seen (**Figure 2D**), the goodness of the fit is excellent ($R^2 \approx 1$), and resulted in σ_1

TABLE 1 \mid In vitro and in vivo parameters of the cry1 and cry2 photocycle depicted in Figure 1.

	τ _{1/2} (s)	σ ₁ (mol ⁻¹ m ²)	σ ₂ (mol ⁻¹ m ²)	ф1	¢2
IN VITRO					
Cry2 pH = 7.5	210	220.0	16.0	0.19	0.027
Cry2 pH = 8.2	187	47.6		0.04	
Cry2 DTT	124	213.0		0.18	
Cry1 DTT	48	56.6		0.038	
IN VIVO					
Cry1	300	20.8		0.014	
Cry2	960	480.0	30.0	0.41	0.05

This table summarizes the photoconversion cross sections σ_1 and σ_2 expressed, and quantum yields ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 of photoconversion of cry1 and cry2 obtained in the course of this study. For comparison purposes both in vitro (*Figures 2–7*) and in vivo (*Figures 8*, **9**) are shown. $\tau_{1/2}$ reports the half-life of the FADH^o redox form using the averaged values from fitting the reoxidation data with FADH^o (Equation 6) or FAD_{ox} (Equation 7). The in vivo half-lives are taken from the literature (Herbel et al., 2013). The half-life for FADH⁻ to FAD_{ox} reoxidation was considered as 63 s, as suggested from the literature (Müller and Ahmad, 2011).

= 1.6 × 10⁻⁴ μ mol⁻¹ m². We calculated the quantum yield ϕ_1 according to $\sigma_1 = 2.3 \ \epsilon_1 \ \phi_1$, which resulted $\phi_1 = 0.14$, using the experimentally derived extinction coefficient of $\epsilon_1 = 509.4 \ mol^{-1} \ m^2 \ (5094 \ M^{-1} \ cm^{-1})$.

By performing the same calculations with FAD_{ox} as input to the algorithm we obtain similar results, with $\sigma_1 = 2.8 \times 10^{-4} \,\mu \text{mol}^{-1} \text{ m}^2$ ($R^2 = 0.98$), and quantum yield of $\phi_1 = 0.24$. This similarity confirms that the two-state kinetic model accurately describes the kinetics of cryptochrome flavin reoxidation under blue light derived experimentally. The average of the two quantum yields is reported in **Table 1**.

Effect of pH on Kinetics (k_1 and k_{1b}) of the Cry2 Photocycle

To further test the validity of the two-state modeling approach under blue light, we evaluate the effect of pH change on the kinetics of the cryptochrome photocycle. At pH 8.2, the efficiency of forward electron transfer is reportedly decreased in *Arabidopsis* cryptochrome-1 (Müller et al., 2014). We accordingly modeled both forward (photoreduction) and back (reoxidation) kinetics of *Arabidopsis* cry2 at pH 8.2, using the same buffer composition and concentration of reducing agent as at pH 7.5 (see **Figure 2**).

Firstly, AtCry2 samples were photoreduced and returned to darkness (**Figure 3A**). Spectra were taken at intervals during the dark reoxidation time (t_d). Concentration of FADH^o, obtained from the spectra of **Figure 3A**, was then plotted as a function of the reoxidation time t_d (**Figure 3B**). The experimental data (red triangles) were fitted with the two-state dark reoxidation model (Equation 7) to find k_{1b} . The resulting reoxidation rate was $k_{1b} = 0.004 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (half-life of $\tau_{1/2} = 2.7 \text{ min}$), which is very similar to that found at pH 7.5 (**Figures 2A,B**). By fitting the concentration of FAD_{ox} as a function of the dark reoxidation time with Equation (6), we obtained similar results with $k_{1b} = 0.003 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ($\tau_{1/2} = 3.2 \text{ min}$, $R^2 \approx 1$). Therefore, the two states approximation accurately models the experimental results in this case as well. Changes of pH do not affect the reoxidation rate of cry2 protein under these experimental conditions.

We next considered the effect of pH on the forward reaction rate (k_1) . Photoreduction of purified cry2 sample was performed at multiple blue light fluence rates (I_1) to obtain decrease at 450 nm and increase at 560 nm indicative of flavin reduction (Figure 3C). From the spectra of Figure 3C we calculated the concentration of FADox and FADHo. For each photon fluence rate I_1 we calculated the rate constant k_1 by using the concentration of FADH^o, and k_{1h} from Figure 3B, as input to the two-state algorithm. Figure 3C reports k_1 as function of I_1 (red triangles), and the linear fit $k_1 = \sigma_1 I_1$ (blue curve). The fit gives a photoconversion cross section of $\sigma_1 = 4.42 \times$ $10^{-5}\,\mu\text{mol}^{-1}\,\text{m}^2$. The quantum yield was then calculated, as explained in the previous section, and resulted $\phi_1 = 0.038$. Using the concentration of FAD_{ox} to find k_1 , we obtained similar results $(\sigma_1 = 5.1 \times 10^{-5} \,\mu \text{mol}^{-1} \text{ m}^2, R^2 = 0.98, \, \phi_1 = 0.0435).$ The quantum yield at pH = 8.2 is therefore one order of magnitude lower than the quantum yield at pH = 7.5.

To summarize the effect of pH change on the cry2 photocycle, our work indicates that the dark reoxidation (k_{1b}) reaction is unaffected by pH change, at least by the change studied here, therefore decrease in quantum yield is due to decreased efficiency in forward light-dependent photoreduction by an order of magnitude. These results are in agreement with prior studies (Müller et al., 2014) validating the accuracy of our model in describing experimental results.

Comparison of Cry1 and Cry2 Photoconversion Efficiency

Cry1 has been described in the literature as playing a principal role at high blue light intensity, whereas cry2 effects, particularly on photomorphogenesis, are more evident at low blue light intensity (Lin et al., 1998). To provide a further test for the relevance of our kinetic model to experimental findings, we compared the calculated reoxidation rate and quantum yield of cry1 and cry2 flavin reduction *in vitro*, using the two-state model.

Protein samples of cry1 and cry2 were both photoreduced in PBS pH 7.5 with the addition of 5 mM DTT as reductant, since cry1 cannot otherwise be readily reduced under aerobic conditions (Müller and Ahmad, 2011). Dark reoxidation rates for cry1 (**Figures 4A,B**) and cry2 (**Figures 5A,B**) were evaluated from spectra taken during the time course of reoxidation by using the two-state model. The reoxidation time t_d as a function of the FAD_{ox} concentration is reported in **Figures 5B**, **6B** (red triangles). From the fit (blue curve) the half-life of FADH° to FAD_{ox} interconversion of cry1 resulted $\tau_{1/2} = 53$ s, while that of cry2 was $\tau_{1/2} = 2$ min. Similar results are obtained by fitting the decrease in concentration of FADH° with Equation (6) (for cry1 $k_{1b} = 0.016$ s⁻¹ with $R^2 \approx 1$, and for cry2 $k_{1b} = 0.0054$ s⁻¹, with $R^2 \approx 1$). Once again, the experimental data showed an excellent fit to the model.

Next, the light driven FAD_{ox} to $FADH^{\circ}$ photoconversion rates were determined experimentally and modeled for cry1 (**Figures 4C,D**) and cry2 (**Figures 5C,D**) by using the twostate kinetic model (see Method Section Two-State Model or Cryptochrome Photocycle *in vitro*). Quantum yield calculated for cry1 was $\phi_1 = 0.043$ whereas that for cry2 $\phi_1 = 0.213$.

Similar results were obtained by considering the change in concentration of FADH^o to calculate the quantum yield (for cry1



FIGURE 3 | **Rate constants and quantum yield for two-state reduction and reoxidation of AtCry2 in PBS pH 8.2, 10 mM \betaME. (A) Isolated purified cry2 protein was illuminated for 20 s at 4000 \mumol m⁻²s⁻¹ blue light and placed in darkness (t_d = 0 s). Normalized absorption spectra are reported at increasing dark intervals. (B) Normalized concentration of FADH^o as a function of the dark reoxidation time (t_d). The FADH^o concentration is obtained from the spectra of panel (A). The red triangles represent the experimental data, and the blue curve is the fit of the experimental data with the two-state dark reoxidation model for FADH^o (Equation 7). The resulting reoxidation rate was k_{1b} = 0.0043 \text{ s}^{-1} (half-life of \tau_{1/2} = 2.7 \text{ min}). (C) Isolated purified cry2 protein was illuminated for 10 s at the indicated blue light fluence rates l_1, (\mumol m⁻² s⁻¹). Normalized absorption spectra are presented. (D) Calculated forward rate constant k_1 vs. photon fluence rate l_1 (red triangles). For each fluence rate l_1 we calculated the rate constant k_1 by using the two-state model algorithm, with input of FADH^o (from panel C) and k_{1b} from panel (B). The blue curve reports the linear fit of the data (k_1 = \sigma_1 l_1), which gives a photoconversion cross section of \sigma_1 = 4.42 \times 10^{-5} \,\mumol⁻¹ m², and a quantum yield of \phi_1 = 0.038. For details of calculations see caption of Figure 2**.

 $\sigma_1 = 4.8 \times 10^{-5} \,\mu\text{mol}^{-1} \text{ m}^2$ and $\phi_1 = 0.033$ with $R^2 = 0.96$, and for cry2 $\sigma_1 = 1.76 \times 10^{-4} \,\mu\text{mol}^{-1} \text{ m}^2$ and $\phi_1 = 0.15$ with $R^2 = 0.97$). This means that, under comparable illumination and buffer conditions, cry2 has about 10-fold more efficient response to light than does cry1.

In sum, the two-state kinetic model accurately describes the experimental data for both cry1 and cry2 photoreduction. The obtained quantum yield for cry1, which is 10-fold lower as compared to cry2, is furthermore in good agreement with the biological role of cry2 at lower blue light intensities.

Three-State Model of Cryptochrome Photoreduction

Under conditions of monochromatic blue light illumination, it is evident from our above results that a two-state model adequately describes the cryptochrome photocycle. This follows from the fact that only two redox states (FADox and FADH°) accumulate to reasonable proportions in blue light, which is absorbed preferentially by FAD_{ox} state and because the $FADH^{\circ}$ state converts relatively inefficiently to the $FADH^{-}$ redox state (see **Figure 1** and Bouly et al., 2007; Burney et al., 2012). Therefore, the contribution of the $FADH^{-}$ state to the equilibrium reached by cryptochrome can be neglected and the two-state model can be applied. However, under natural conditions blue light is only one component of the ambient light environment and there is a higher proportion of UV, turquoise, green, and yellow light (all absorbed by the radical $FADH^{\circ}$) than of purely blue light in the spectrum. Therefore, the cryptochrome photocycle is more complex than the simpler "on"—"off" two state conversion model for most photoreceptors, and the three-state kinetic model must be considered.

FADH° to FADH⁻ Photoconversion

To complete the modeling of the cryptochrome photocycle, we therefore consider the FADH^{\circ}—FADH⁻ redox state transition (k_2) and its reverse (reoxidation) reaction (k_{2b}). To do so, we



= 53 s). (C) Isolated purified cry1 protein was illuminated for 30 s at the indicated blue light fluence rates I_1 , (μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹). Normalized absorption spectra are presented. (D) Calculated forward rate constant k_1 vs. photon fluence rate I_1 (red triangles). For each I_1 of panel (C), the rate constant k_1 was calculated by using the two-state model algorithm with concentration of FAD_{ox} and k_{1b} (from panel **B**) as input. From the linear fit of the data, $k_1 = \sigma_1 I_1$ (shown in blue) the photoconversion cross section resulted in $\sigma_1 = 6.4 \times 10^{-5} \,\mu$ mol⁻¹ m², which gives a quantum yield of $\phi_1 = 0.043$. For details of calculations see caption of Figure 2.

take advantage of the spectral properties of the neutral radical (FADH°) flavin redox state, which can absorb green (500—600 nm) as well as blue light. No other redox form of FAD can absorb green light. As can be seen (**Figure 1**), illumination with green light induces the reduction of FADH° to FADH⁻(k_2 but not k_1). Therefore, the rate constant k_2 can be experimentally determined by assessing the effect of co-illumination of blue plus green light in comparison to blue light alone. Any change in cry2 absorbance induced as a result of co-illumination with green light must necessarily be due to depletion of the neutral radical form (FADH°) of cry2.

To determine the k_2 rate constant for FADH° to FADH⁻ forward light driven photoconversion from the spectral data we have illuminated purified cry2 photoreceptor at a photon fluence rate of blue light $I_1 = 100 \,\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \,\text{s}^{-1}$ for 20 s (**Figure 6A**). This illumination induces formation of the neutral radical redox state. The samples were then co-illuminated with increasing fluence rates of green light (I_2) varying from 0 to 1000 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ (**Figure 6A**). Decrease in absorbance at 450 nm and 550 nm with increasing concentrations of green light results from FADH° to FADH⁻ redox state transition.

From the spectra of **Figure 6A** we calculated the concentration of FADH^o and FAD_{ox} by using Equation (4). While the concentration of FADH^o decreases with increasing green light fluence rates, FAD_{ox} remains constant (1% variation with respect to the photoreduction with only blue light), meaning that the reoxidation rates can be neglected. By neglecting reoxidation ($k_{1b} = k_{2b} = 0$) we calculated, for each fluence rate I_2 , the rate constant k_2 from the three-state algorithm. By taking as input the FADH^o values and the rate



2 min). (C) Isolated purified cry2 protein was illuminated for 30 s at the indicated blue light fluence rates l_1 , (μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹). Normalized absorption spectra are presented. (D) Calculated forward rate constant k_1 vs. photon fluence rate l_1 (red triangles). For each fluence rate l_1 of panel (C), the rate constant k_1 was calculated by using the two-state algorithm, with FAD_{ox} concentration and k_{1b} from panel (B) as input. From the linear fit of the data $k_1 = \sigma_1 l_1$ (shown in blue) the photoconversion cross section resulted $\sigma_1 = 2.5 \times 10^{-4} \,\mu$ mol⁻¹ m², which gives a quantum yield of $\phi_1 = 0.213$. For details of calculations see caption of Figure 2.

constant k_1 previously found (Figure 2D), this algorithm outputs k_2 according to Equation (1). Figure 6B reports (red triangles) I_2 as function of k_2 , and the linear fit $k_2 = \sigma_2 I_2$ (blue curve). The fit provided a photoconversion cross section of $\sigma_2 = 1.6 \times 10^{-5} \,\mu \text{mol}^{-1} \text{ m}^2$. As can be seen, also in this case the model (blue line) provides an excellent fit with the data $(R^2 = 0.96)$. The quantum yield for this reaction was calculated as $\phi_2 = 0.027$, which is almost an order of magnitude lower than that of the FAD_{ox} to $FADH^\circ$ interconversion φ_1 = 0.14 (Figure 2D). To confirm that reoxidation can be neglected, we also calculated k_2 with the three-state algorithm by considering the reoxidation rates. For k_{1b} we used the value previously found in the present manuscript (Figure 2B), and for $k_{2d} = 0.011 \text{ s}^{-1}$, a value determined from prior publications (Müller and Ahmad, 2011). We obtained the same results, i.e., $\phi_2 = 0.027$ with σ_2 = $1.58 \times 10^{-5} \ \mu mol^{-1} \ m^2$, confirming that the depletion of FADH^o is indeed due to green light. In sum, under conditions of steady state illumination, the receptor will occupy primarily FADH^o and FAD_{ox} redox forms, with only a minor contribution from the fully reduced (FADH⁻) redox state (see Supplementary Figure B).

Kinetic Modeling of the Cryptochrome Photocycle *In vivo*

Ultimately, our goal is to apply the kinetic model, which we used for isolated protein spectra, to predict the equilibrium redox states of cryptochromes adopted in response to illumination *in vivo*. However, it is not possible to obtain direct measurements of the flavin redox state in living plants. Therefore, to apply the kinetic model to cryptochrome photocycle *in vivo*, we make the following assumptions. Firstly, we consider that the simpler two-state model (with only FAD_{ox} and FADH^o flavin states)



FIGURE 6 | Forward rate constant k_2 and quantum yield ϕ_2 under green light for AtCry2 in PBS pH7.5, 10 mM β ME. (A) Isolated purified cry2 protein was co-illuminate for 20 s at 100 μ mol m⁻²s⁻¹ blue light l_1 and an increasing fluence rate of green light l_2 as indicated. Normalized absorption spectra are reported. (B) Calculated forward rate constant k_2 vs. green light of fluence rate l_2 (red triangles). For each photon fluence rate of panel (A) l_2 , the rate constant k_2 was calculated by using the three-state algorithm, with the concentration of [FADH^O] and k_1 (from Figure 2D) as input. We neglected the reoxidation rates $k_{1b} = k_{2b} = 0$ (see text). The linear fit $k_2 = \sigma_2 l_2$ of the data are reported in blue. σ_2 is the photo-conversion cross section, which resulted $\sigma_2 = 1.6 \times 10^{-5} \mu \text{mol}^{-1} \text{ m}^2$. From σ_2 we calculated a quantum yield of $\phi_2 = 0.027$, according to $\sigma_2 = 2.3 \epsilon_2 \phi_2$. For details of calculations see Method Section Three-State Model: Forward Rate k_2 and Quantum Yield ϕ_2 under Green Light.

is adequate to describe the cryptochrome photocycle under continuous blue (450 nm) light (**Figure 2**). This assumption is based on the fact that quantum yield for k_2 is 10-fold lower than for k_1 (**Figure 6**) and therefore the concentration of FADH[°] should always be in large excess to that of FADH[–] (see **Figure 1** for interconversion of redox states). Secondly, we make the assumption that biological activity is directly proportional to the concentration of the FADH[°] flavin state, as indicated by many studies in the literature (reviewed in Chaves et al., 2011). To convert the "readout" for biological activity to FADH[°] concentration we used Equation (S5) given in the Supplementary Material. Using these two assumptions, we applied the kinetic model depicted in **Figure 1** to *in vivo* responses for both cry1 and cry2.

Modeling the Cry1 Photocycle under Blue Light In vivo

In the case of cry1, we chose blue-light dependent inhibition of hypocotyl elongation as a "readout" for biological activity (Ahmad et al., 2002). In this phenotype, seedlings are allowed to grow for several days at different fluence rates of blue light I_1 . The length of the hypocotyl (L) is inversely proportional to the blue light fluence rate, and in this case the cryptochrome receptor mediates shortening of the hypocotyl. We chose this property since it is proportional to the photon fluence rate, and we wished to measure a response as closely tied to primary light absorption characteristics at the photoreceptor as possible. To this end we use mutants deficient in phytochrome (*phyAphyB* double mutants) since phytochrome also absorbs blue light and has profound effects on hypocotyl growth, likely by acting downstream of the cryptochrome by an independent mechanism (Ahmad and Cashmore, 1997). For the experimental procedure, *Arabidopsis* seedlings were grown at different fluence rates of blue light I_1 . Hypocotyl length (L) was plotted as a function of blue light fluence rate (**Figure 7A**).

To apply the two-state kinetic model, we converted hypocotyl length (L) to *in vivo* FADH^o concentration, which we double plot as a function of the photon fluence rate in **Figure 7A**. **Figure 7A** thus shows our assumption, i.e., that FADH^o correlates with the "readout" of biological activity.

For each photon fluence rate I_1 in **Figure 7A**, we calculated the rate constant k_1 , by using the two-state algorithm with input of the FADH^o concentration (**Figure 7A**) and k_{1b} . The dark reoxidation reactions *in vivo* k_{1b} was taken from previously obtained experimental values (Herbel et al., 2013). The output value was the k_1 , as obtained for cry1 *in vitro* (**Figure 4**). **Figure 7B** reports k_1 as a function of I_1 . The blue curve in **Figure 7B** reports the liner fit $k_1 = \sigma_1 I_1$, and resulted in a photoconversion cross section of $\sigma_1 = 2.08 \times 10^{-5} \,\mu \text{mol}^{-1} \text{ m}^2$. We calculated the quantum yield using the extinction coefficient obtained for cry1 *in vitro*. The quantum yield resulted $\phi_1 = 0.014$, which is in excellent agreement with values obtained from the *in vitro* studies (**Figure 4**).

Modeling the Cry2 Photocycle under Blue Light In vivo

To model the cry2 photocycle *in vivo*, we have used lightdependent degradation of cry2 as a "readout" for biological function. In the dark, cry2 protein accumulates to high levels in seedlings. However, upon transfer to light, the protein is rapidly degraded within 30 min of the start of illumination (Banerjee et al., 2007; Bouly et al., 2007). This effect relies on conformational change subsequent to light absorption followed



FIGURE 7 [Modeling *in vivo* Cry1 biological activity in blue light. (A) Double plot of (left, blue vertical axis) of normalized hypocotyl length L, and (right, red vertical axis) [FADH⁰], as a function of the photon fluence rate I_1 . The FADH⁰ values were obtained from L by assuming that the hypocotyl length is inversely proportional to FADH⁰ concentration, as explained in Method Section Three-State Model of Cryptochrome Photoreduction. The error bar represents the standard error of the hypocotyl growth measurements for each I_1 . (B) Rate constant k_1 as a function of the photon fluence rate I_1 (red triangles). The rate constant k_1 was calculated by the two-state model algorithm, with input of the [FADH⁰] concentration, $k_{1b} = 0.0023 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and with output the k_1 . The blue curve reports the fit of the data, from which a photoconversion cross section of $\sigma_1 = 2.08 \times 10^{-5} \,\mu \text{mol}^{-1} \text{ m}^2$ resulted. We calculate from σ_1 (Method Section Two-State Model for Cryptochrome Photocycle *In vitro*), a quantum yield of $\phi_1 = 0.014$. The k_{1b} value was taken from the literature (Herbel et al., 2013).

by ubiquitination of the receptor, and is therefore directly linked to cry2 primary activation by light and formation of the signaling state. Experiments were performed in phytochrome-deficient mutants (*phyAphyB* double mutants), to avoid potential effects of phytochrome on cry2 degradation. We therefore consider cry2 protein concentration after illumination as a measure for accumulation of the cry2 signaling state and of FADH° accumulation.

In our experiments, we first irradiated dark-grown seedlings at different blue light fluence rates for 30 min. Cry2 protein expression levels were evaluated on Western blots (see Supplementary Figure C) and the signal quantitated by imaging software ImageJ. In this way the protein concentration values C were plotted as a function of the photon fluence rate (**Figure 8A**).

Also in this case, we converted the "readout" of biological activity, i.e., the cry2 protein concentration C, to FADH° concentration, which we double plot in **Figure 8A**. We used the FADH° concentration to calculate the photoconversion cross section σ_1 by plotting I_1 vs. the calculated k_1 (**Figure 8B**). The value k_{1d} for the dark reoxidation reaction of cry2 *in vivo* was already previously obtained experimentally (Herbel et al., 2013). The quantum yield for photoconversion of cry2 was $\phi_1 = 0.41$, again in agreement with the values obtained from *in vitro* studies (**Figure 2**).

Three-State Model for the Cry2 Photocycle *In vivo*

Finally, we provide a more comprehensive model of the cry2 photocycle *in vivo* by taking into consideration the third redox state, FADH⁻, which is induced by green light and which had been successfully modeled *in vitro* (**Figure 6**). We again use the cry2 protein degradation assay as a measure for biological activity (see above), but illuminating with green light (560 nm) in order to

induce FADH^o—FADH⁻ photoconversion. In this way, the effect of the three redox states on cryptochrome photoconversion could be modeled and compared to the biological activity.

For these experiments, all seedlings were illuminated at a sub-saturating fluence rate of blue light $(10 \,\mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})$ for the duration of the light treatments (30 min). Seedlings were in addition co-illuminated with increasing fluence rates of green light I2. The levels of cry2 protein were analyzed from Western blot images (see Supplementary Figure C for gel image). The cry2 protein concentration was converted in cry2 FADH° concentration and normalized (Figure 9A; increasing concentration of cry2 shows decreased biological activity). For each green light fluence rate I_2 , we then calculated the forward rate k_2 , by using the three-state model algorithm. We input the normalized FADH^o concentration as a function of the photon fluence rate (from **Figure 9A**), k_1 , k_{1b} , and k_{2b} . The k_1 value was as determined in this study (**Figure 8**), while the k_{1b} , k_{2b} values were provided from previous studies (i.e., $k_{1b} = 7.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Herbel et al., 2013) and $k_{2b} = 0.011s^{-1}$ (Müller and Ahmad, 2011). The output k_2 was obtained according to Equation (1). **Figure 9B** reports I_2 as a function of k_2 (red triangles), and the liner fit of the data, $k_2 = \sigma_2 I_2$ (blue curve). From the linear fit, a photoconversion cross section of $\sigma_2 = 3 \times 10^{-5} \,\mu mol^{-1} m^2$ was obtained. A quantum yield of $\phi_2 = 0.05$ could be calculated by using the extinction coefficient determined for cry2 in vitro (E2 $= 254.7 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ m}^2$, i.e., 2547 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹). Once again, this value in vivo is in close agreement with the quantum yield obtained for cry2 (ϕ_2) *in vitro* (Figure 6B and Table 1).

DISCUSSION

This study represents a first attempt to model the cryptochrome photocycle and determine kinetic parameters of relevance to biological function *in vivo*. The method adopted here seeks only



FIGURE 8 [Modeling *in vivo* Cry2 biological activity in blue light. (A) Double plot of (left, blue vertical axis) normalized protein concentration C, and (right, red vertical axis) [FADH⁰], as a function of photon fluence rate I_1 . The FADH⁰ values were obtained from C by assuming that FADH⁰ concentration is inversely proportional to C, as explained in Method Section Three-State Model of Cryptochrome Photoreduction. The error bar represents the standard error of the measurements for each I_1 . (B) Rate constant k_1 as a function of the photon fluence rate I_1 (red triangles). The rate constant k_1 was calculated by the two-state model algorithm, which inputs the [FADH⁰] concentration from panel (A), $k_{1b} = 7.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$, and outputs k_1 . The blue curve reports the linear fit of the data ($k_1 = \sigma_1 I_1$), from which a photoconversion cross section of $\sigma_1 = 4.8 \times 10^{-4} \mu \text{mol}^{-1} \text{ m}^2$ resulted. From σ_1 we calculated (as explained in Method Section Two-State Model for Cryptochrome Photocycle *In vitro*), a quantum yield of $\phi_1 = 0.41$, in close agreement with the calculated quantum yield of cry2 *in vitro* (Figure 2D and Table 1). The k_{1b} value was taken from the literature (Herbel et al., 2013).



FIGURE 9 [Three-state Modeling of *in vivo* Cry2 biological activity. (A) Double plot of (left, green vertical axis) normalized protein concentration C, and (right, red vertical axis) [FADH^o], as a function of the green light fluence rate I_2 . Seedlings were co-illuminated at a fluence rate of blue light $I_1 = 10 \,\mu$ mol m⁻²s⁻¹ and increasing fluence rates of green light (I_2) as shown in the horizontal axis, for 30 min. The FADH^o values were obtained from C by assuming that FADH^o concentration is inversely proportional to protein concentration, as explained in the Method Section Three-State Model of Cryptochrome Photoreduction. The error bar represents the standard error of the measurements. (**B**) Rate constant k_2 as a function of I_2 (red triangles). The rate constant k_2 was calculated by the three-state model algorithm, which input the [FADH^o] concentration from panel (**A**), $k_{2b} = 0.011 \,\text{s}^{-1}$ (Herbel et al., 2013), $k_{2b} = 7.2 \times 10^{-4} \,\text{s}^{-1}$ (Müller and Ahmad, 2011), and k_1 from **Figure 8B**. The output is the k_2 . The blue curve reports the linear fit of the data ($k_2 = \sigma_2 I_2$), from which a photoconversion cross section of $\sigma_2 = 3 \times 10^{-5} \,\mu$ mol⁻¹ m²resulted. From σ_2 we calculated (as explained in Methods Section Two-State Model for Cryptochrome Photocycle *In vitro*), a quantum yield of $\phi_2 = 0.05$, in close agreement with the calculated quantum yield of cry2 *in vitro* (**Figure 4D**).

to model interconversion of the redox states of cryptochromes (FADox, FADH°, and FADH⁻). It is a much simplified method as compared to global analysis, which has been traditionally used primarily to identify absorbing species from spectra and find reoxidation rates (Müller and Ahmad, 2011). The two-state model studied here is a simple integrable model and the method used here is less computationally expensive than global analysis, and has a similar level of accuracy. We relate absorbance and

concentration of two absorbing species (FAD_{ox} and FADH^{\circ}) by using the Beer-Lambert law, which allows a more accurate fit of the two-state kinetic model to spectra than have been obtained in prior studies (Burney et al., 2009). Most importantly, our method can be readily adapted to estimate quantum yields *in vivo*, which is not the case for global analysis.

Quantum yields have been traditionally obtained at a given photon fluence rate by using different evaluation techniques that

were at the same time correlated with receptor photoconversion. Pr/Pfr photoconversion has been followed in phytochromes and related to function in this way, for example (Hermann et al., 1985; Kelly and Lagarias, 1985; Mancinelli, 1988). Quantum yield of *Arabidopsis* cry1 has been calculated in this way for the efficiency of primary electron transfer reactions (Giovani et al., 2003; Müller et al., 2014), which were not, however, related to biological function.

Here, by contrast, we exploit the linear correlation between photon fluence rate and forward rate constant to find the photoconversion cross sections, which we then use to calculate the quantum yields. This method allows us to experimentally find a linear range of intensities where prediction of the two or three states intermediate concentrations can be made.

We have estimated the quantum yield of both cry1 and cry2 *in vitro* from spectra of flavin photoreduction at a range of light intensities. Increasing the buffer pH from 7.5 to 8.2 resulted in a 10-fold decrease in quantum yield of flavin reduction in cry2 derived from the kinetic model, consistent with prior studies showing reduced amplitude of primary electron transfer from flavin under such conditions (Müller et al., 2014). The rate of reoxidation of the FADH^o redox state for both cry1 and cry2 was similar under all tested conditions (**Figures 2–5**), consistent with the dependence of this parameter on the concentration of molecular oxygen (Müller and Ahmad, 2011). In sum, our simple kinetic model accurately describes the redox state transitions of cryptochromes *in vitro* in a manner consistent with effects of known modulating factors.

To model the kinetics of the cryptochrome photocycle in vivo, we have made the assumption that biological activity can be used as a measure of FADH° concentration. This follows from numerous studies on the cryptochrome photocycle that have correlated FADH° formation and flavin reduction with biological signaling and activity in vivo (Chaves et al., 2011). These include recent studies showing decrease in blue light-dependent biological activity in cry2 (protein degradation assay) in mutants that impair light-dependent radical formation in vitro (Li et al., 2011-see also Engelhard et al., 2014). Furthermore, a doseresponse curve of cry1 biological activity (hypocotyl growth inhibition) showed decrease in light sensitivity of several orders of magnitude in cry1 photoreduction mutants as compared to the appropriate (expressing similar concentrations of wild type cry1) control seedlings (see supplement in Gao et al., 2015). For the rate of reoxidation from FADH° to FAD_{ox} in our model, we used values derived from in vivo studies of the cry1 and cry2 flavin state lifetimes (Herbel et al., 2013). Fitting the kinetic model to biological data, we were able to calculate quantum yields for the biological response in vivo which were somewhat similar to the corresponding values calculated from our spectral analysis *in vitro* (see **Table 1**—compare for example ϕ_1 values measured for cry1 and cry2 of 0.038 and 0.19 *in vitro* at pH7.5 to ϕ_1 values obtained *in vivo* of 0.014 and 0.4, respectively).

This similarity (within 2.5-fold) was not a required result for our model to have validity, as many external variables may affect photoreceptor responsivity *in vivo*. For example, the rate constants of the redox state interconversion events (k_1, k_2, k_{1b}) , and k_{2b} could vary greatly *in vivo* through tuning by the cellular

environment (Engelhard et al., 2014). Such variability due to cellular environment was also pointed out for the case of the phytochrome (Mancinelli, 1988) where direct measurements of the active state (Pfr) concentration is also not possible in vivo (but see Rausenberger et al., 2010). On a more general note, predicted values of the state of photoreceptors calculated from in vitro photochemical parameters and the spectral photon flux distribution may vary from the actual ones found in vivo as a result of light scattering in whole tissues and shielding by other plant pigments (Mancinelli, 1988). A further problem is the phenomenon of signal amplification through secondary pathways. Indeed, it is possible to derive quantum efficiencies for photoreceptor light sensing in vivo that are >1, if the biological readout selected for analysis is subject to significant signal amplification. We address these issues by evaluating phenotypes in etiolated seedlings with a minimum of cell layers and accessory pigments, and also selecting a genetic background (phyAphyB double mutant) providing a minimum of signal amplification.

Despite these potential limitations, the fact that quantum yield for biological activity matches so closely with the calculated values for cryptochrome flavin reduction *in vitro* supports our assumption that flavin redox state determines biological activity. This is particularly striking in the case of k_2 for cry2 (response to bichromatic green/blue illumination), for which no other explanation can be reasonably given. Furthermore, qualitative effects *in vivo* such as the relative efficiencies *in vivo* (cry1 as compared to cry2—see below; response to blue as compared to biochromatic blue plus green light) could be clearly determined by this approach.

The quantum yield of cry2 photoconversion (see results summarized in Table 1) is within the range of other photoreceptors such as phytochrome A, which has a photoconversion quantum yield of the order of 0.14 (Gensch et al., 1996) or of the LOV2 domain in phototropins of 0.2 (Kasahara et al., 2002). Cry1 is within the range of quantum yield calculated for LOV1 of 0.026 (Kasahara et al., 2002), which responds at higher blue light intensities. In terms of quantum yields of sensory receptors, it should be considered that cry1 in particular regulates growth processes that occur in full sunlight during de-etiolation and vegetative growth of plants. Therefore, it need not have high quantum yield such as phototropins and phytochrome A, which are specialized for responses at extremely low light intensities (Smith, 1995). To the contrary, too high a photon sensitivity would eliminate the ability to respond to higher intensities of light, as the receptor would reach saturation too quickly. Along these lines the quantum yield of cry2 is around 10-fold higher than cry1, consistent with published effects of cry2 at lower light intensities than for cry1 (Lin et al., 1998).

One of the unique characteristic of the cryptochrome photocycle is the fact that it exists in three states rather than solely as a two states system. The *in vitro* obtained quantum yield for cry2 photoconversion were 0.188 (FADox to FADH°; **Figure 2**) and 0.027 (FADH° to FADH⁻; **Figure 6**). This means that under continuous illumination in monochromatic blue light, essentially only the radical (FADH°) redox form should accumulate in response to illumination. This is in distinction to photolyases which undergo full reduction under continuous illumination (Burney et al., 2012). However, under natural conditions of full sunlight, there is a significant contribution from other wavelengths of light, including green light. In particular, in the case of shading under plant canopies, the ratio of green to blue light can be quite high as green light passes through shading leaves whereas blue light is absorbed. Under these conditions, the biological activity of cryptochrome could be considerably modulated by the second (FADH $^{\circ}$ to FADH $^{-}$) redox state transition, as has indeed been suggested in prior studies (Bouly et al., 2007).

Variations of the three-state photocycle appear to be of general relevance to cryptochrome activation. For instance, in the case of algal cryptochromes (Beel et al., 2012) it appears that the FADH° redox state is the (dark) resting state and the FADH⁻ redox state is the signaling state. Therefore, because the FADH° redox state also absorbs green and red light, algal cryptochromes are activated by UV, blue, green, and red light rather than just blue light such as for plant cryptochromes. Conversely, the drosophila cry appears to adopt just a two-state (FADox to FAD°-) photocycle, with FAD°- as the signaling state (Berndt et al., 2007). Intriguingly, the avian cry1a, which is thought to be implicated in sensing of the geomagnetic field (Ritz et al., 2000), also appears to adopt a three-state photocycle wherein the FADH⁻ but not the FADH^o redox state is the signaling state (Nießner et al., 2013). The kinetic model described in this work should therefore be applicable to all of these cryptochrome photocycles.

In sum, the plant cryptochrome photocycle provides the basis for a rapid and versatile response to the light environment. The receptor can respond within a matter of minutes to changes in the light intensity, even at very high light, by a simple shift in the equilibrium concentration of the FADH^o redox state. Because cry1 and cry2 have overlapping functions but the quantum yield of cry2 is 10-fold higher, the combined action of cry1

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, M., and Cashmore, A. R. (1997). The blue light receptor cryptochrome 1 shows functional dependence on phytochrome A or phytochrome B in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.* 11, 421–427. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.1997.11030421.x
- Ahmad, M., Grancher, N., Heil, M., Black, R. C., Giovani, B., Galland, P., et al. (2002). Action spectrum for cryptochromedependent hypocotyl growth inhibition in *Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol.* 129, 774–785. doi: 10.1104/pp.010969
- Banerjee, R., Schleicher, E., Meier, S., Muñoz Viana, R., Pokorny, R., Ahmad, M., et al. (2007). The signaling state of *Arabidopsis* cryptochrome 2 contains flavin semiquinone. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 14916–14922. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M700616200
- Beel, B., Prager, K., Spexard, M., Sasso, S., Weiss, D., Müller, N., et al. (2012). A flavin binding cryptochrome photoreceptor responds to both blue and red light in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Plant Cell* 24, 2992–3008. doi: 10.1105/tpc.112.098947
- Berndt, A., Kottke, T., Breitkreuz, H., Dvorsky, R., Hennig, S., Alexander, M., et al. (2007). A novel photoreaction mechanism for the circadian blue light photoreceptor *Drosophila* cryptochrome. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282, 13011–13021. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M608872200
- Björn, L. O. (2015). *Photobiology : The Science of Light and Life, 3rd Edn*. New York, NY: Springer.

and cry2 is capable of sensing and responding to light intensity in an almost linear fashion over two orders of magnitude. In this way, the cryptochromes appear to divide the task of responding to the light environment along the lines of what is seen for phytochromes, where the most abundant but photolabile phytochrome (phyA) is specialized for response to dim light whereas stable but less abundant phytochromes (phy B,C,D,E) respond to light at higher intensities (Smith, 1995). Finally, the cryptochromes also have the unique feature of a threestate photocycle, which provides for differential responsivity depending on the wavelength composition. Our model should therefore be useful in unraveling the details of the cryptochrome photocycle in any biological system.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MA: Designed and performed experiments, wrote paper. JL: Performed experiments. MP: Performed modeling, wrote paper. TR: Assisted modeling. JW: Performed experiments.

FUNDING

Funding was from AFOSR (FA9550-14-0-0409).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are indebted to Alain d'Harlingue and Pierre-Etienne Bouchet for help with plant growth and technical assistance and to Austin Glascoe for help with recombinant protein production.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpls.2016. 00888

- Bouly, J.-P., Schleicher, E., Dionisio-Sese, M., Vandenbussche, F., Van Der Straeten, D., Bakrim, N., et al. (2007). Cryptochrome bluelight photoreceptors are activated through interconversion of flavinredox states. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 9383–9391. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M609842200
- Burgie, E. S., and Vierstra, R. D. (2014). Phytochromes: an atomic perspective on photoactivation and signaling. *Plant Cell* 26, 4568–4583. doi: 10.1105/tpc.114.131623
- Burney, S., Hoang, N., Caruso, M., Dudkin, E. A., Ahmad, M., and Bouly, J. P. (2009). Conformational change induced by ATP binding correlates with enhanced biological function of *Arabidopsis* cryptochrome. *FEBS Lett.* 583, 1427–1433. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2009.03.040
- Burney, S., Wenzel, R., Kottke, T., Roussel, T., Hoang, N., Bouly, J. P., et al. (2012). Single amino acid substitution reveals latent photolyase activity in Arabidopsis cry1. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 51, 9356–9360. doi: 10.1002/anie.201203476
- Chaves, I., Pokorny, R., Byrdin, M., Hoang, N., Ritz, T., Brettel, K., et al. (2011). The cryptochromes: blue light photoreceptors in plants and animals. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 62, 335–364. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-042110-103759
- Christie, J. M., Blackwood, L., Petersen, J., and Sullivan, S. (2015). Plant flavoprotein photoreceptors. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 56, 401–413. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcu196
- El-Esawi, M., Glascoe, A., Engle, D., Ritz, T., Link, J., and Ahmad, M. (2015). Cellular metabolites modulate *in vivo* signaling of Arabidopsis

cryptochrome-1. *Plant Signal Behav.* 10:e1063758. doi: 10.1080/15592324.2015. 1063758

- Engelhard, C., Wang, X., Robles, D., Moldt, J., Essen, L. O., Batschauer, A., et al. (2014). Cellular metabolites enhance light sensitivity through alternate electron transfer pathways in *Arabidopsis* cryptochrome. *Plant Cell* 26, 4519–4531. doi: 10.1105/tpc.114.129809
- Espensen, J. H. (1981). Chemical Kinetics and Reaction Mechanisms. New York, NY: McGraww-Hills.
- Galvão, V. C., and Fankhauser, C. (2015). Sensing the light environment in plants: photoreceptors and early signaling steps. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 34, 46–53. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2015.01.013
- Gao, J., Wang, X., Zhang, M., Bian, M., Deng, W., Zuo, Z., et al. (2015). Trp triad-dependent rapid photoreduction is not required for the function of *Arabidopsis* CRY1. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 112, 9135–9140. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1504404112
- Gensch, T., Churio, S. M., Braslavsky, S. E., and Schaffner, K. (1996). Primary quantum yield and volume change of phytochrome-a phototransformation determined by laser-induced optoacoustic spectroscopy. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 63, 719–725. doi: 10.1111/j.1751-1097.1996.tb09621.x
- Giovani, B., Byrdin, M., Ahmad, M., and Brettel, K. (2003). Light-induced electron transfer in a cryptochrome blue-light photoreceptor. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 10, 489–490. doi: 10.1038/nsb933
- Herbel, V., Orth, C., Wenzel, R., Ahmad, M., Bittl, R., and Batschaue, A. (2013). Lifetimes of Arabidopsis cryptochrome signaling states *in vivo*. *Plant J*. 74, 583–592. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12144
- Hermann, G., Appenroth, K. J., Müller, E., and Augsten, H. (1985). Reexamination of the partial quantum yields for the phytochrome photo conversion by adequate photokinetic methods. *Biochem. Physiol. Pflanzen* 180, 533–542. doi: 10.1016/S0015-3796(85)80101-3
- Jenkins, G. I. (2014). Structure and function of the UV-B photoreceptor UVR8. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 29, 52–57. doi: 10.1016/j.sbi.2014.09.004
- Kasahara, M., Swartz, T. E., Olney, M. A., Onodera, A., Mochizuki, N., Fukuzawa, H., et al. (2002). Photochemical properties of the flavin mononucleotide-binding domains of the phototropins from *Arabidopsis*, Rice, and *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Plant Physiol*. 129, 762–773. doi: 10.1104/pp.002410
- Kelly, J. M., and Lagarias, J. C. (1985). Photochemistry of 124-kilodalton avena phytochrome under constant illumination *in vitro*. *Biochemistry* 24, 6003–6010. doi: 10.1021/bi00342a047
- Kendrick, R. E., and Kronenberg, G. H. M. (1994). *Photomorphogenesis in Plants*. Dordrecht: Springer Science & Business Media.
- Kondoh, M., Shiraishi, C., Müller, P., Ahmad, M., Hitomi, K., Getzoff, E. D., et al. (2011). Light-induced conformational changes in full-length *Arabidopsis thaliana* cryptochrome. *J. Mol. Biol.* 413, 128–137. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2011.08.031
- Li, X., Wang, Q., Yu, X., Liu, H., Yang, H., Zhao, C., et al. (2011). Arabidopsis cryptochrome 2 (CRY2) functions by the photoactivation mechanism distinct from the tryptophan (trp) triad-dependent photoreduction. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 108, 20844–20849. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1114579108
- Lin, C., Yang, H., Guo, H., Mockler, T., Chen, J., and Cashmore, A. R. (1998). Enhancement of blue-light sensitivity of Arabidopsis seedlings by a blue light receptor cryptochrome 2. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 95, 2686–2890. doi: 10.1073/pnas.95.5.2686
- Liu, B., Liu, H., Zhong, D., and Lin, C. (2010). Searching for a photocycle of the cryptochrome photoreceptors. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 13, 578–586. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2010.09.005
- Liu, B., Zuo, Z., Liu, H., Liu, X., and Lin, C. (2011). Arabidopsis cryptochrome 1 interacts with SPA1 to suppress COP1 activity in response to blue light. *Genes Dev.* 25, 1029–1034. doi: 10.1101/gad.2025011

- Liu, H., Yu, X., Li, K., Klejnot, J., Yang, H., Lisiero, D., et al. (2008). Photoexcited CRY2 interacts with CIB1 to regulate transcription and floral initiation in Arabidopsis. *Science* 322, 1535–1539. doi: 10.1126/science. 1163927
- Mancinelli, A. L. (1988). Some thoughts about the use of predicted values of the state of phytochrome in plant photomorphogenesis research. *Plant Cell Environ.* 11, 429–439. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.1988. tb01780.x
- Müller, P., and Ahmad, M. (2011). Light-activated cryptochrome reacts with molecular oxygen to form a flavin-superoxide radical pair consistent with magnetoreception. J. Biol. Chem. 286, 21033–21040. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111.228940
- Müller, P., Bouly, J. P., Balland, K., Hitomi, V., Getzoff, E., Ritz, T., et al. (2014). ATP binding turns plant cryptochrome into an efficient natural photoswitch. *Sci. Rep.* 4:5175. doi: 10.1038/srep05175
- Nießner, C., Denzau, S., Stapput, K., Ahmad, M., Peichl, L., Wiltschko, W., et al. (2013). Activated Cryptochrome 1a concurs with magnetic orientation in birds. *J. R. Soc. Interface* 10:20130638. doi: 10.1098/rsif.2013.0638
- Rausenberger, J., Hussong, A., Kircher, S., Kirchenbauer, D., Timmer, J., Nagy, F., et al. (2010). An integrative model for phytochrome B mediated photomorphogenesis: from protein dynamics to physiology. *PLoS ONE* 5:e10721. doi: 10.1371/annotation/4563eaf4-e45b-4d9e-ab06-5f1794bf11e3
- Ritz, T., Adem, S., and Schulten, K. (2000). A model for photoreceptor-based magnetoreception in birds. *Biophys. J.* 78, 707–718. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3495(00)76629-X
- Schmidt, W. (2005). Optical Spectroscopy in Chemistry and Life Sciences: An Introduction. New York, NY: Wiley-VCH.
- Smith, H. (1995). Physiological and ecological function within the phytochrome family. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 46, 289–315. doi: 10.1146/annurev.pp.46.060195.001445
- Valverde, F., Mouradov, A., Soppe, W., Ravenscroft, D., Samach, A., and Coupland, G. (2004). Photoreceptor regulation of CONSTANS protein in photoperiodic flowering. *Science* 303, 1003–1006. doi: 10.1126/science.1091761
- Wang, X., Wang, Q., Nguyen, P., and Lin, C. (2014). Cryptochrome-mediated light responses in plants. *Enzymes* 35, 167–189. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-801922-1.00007-5
- Xu, X., Paik, I., Zhu, L., and Huq, E. (2015). Illuminating progress in phytochromemediated light signaling pathways. *Trends Plant Sci.* 20, 641–650. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2015.06.010
- Yu, X., Klejnot, J., Zhao, X., Shalitin, D., Maymon, M., Yang, H., et al. (2007). Arabidopsis cryptochrome 2 completes its posttranslational life cycle in the nucleus. *Plant Cell* 19, 3146–3156. doi: 10.1105/tpc.107. 053017
- Yu, X., Sayegh, R., Maymon, M., Warpeha, K., Klejnot, J., Yang, H., et al. (2009). Formation of nuclear bodies of Arabidopsis CRY2 in response to blue light is associated with its blue light-dependent degradation. *Plant Cell* 21, 118–130. doi: 10.1105/tpc.108.061663

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Procopio, Link, Engle, Witczak, Ritz and Ahmad. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.