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Bone or cartilage, or both, are frequently injured related to either a single episode of trauma or repetitive overuse. The resulting structural damage is varied, governed by the complex macroscopic and microscopic composition of these tissues. Furthermore, the biomechanical properties of both cartilage and bone are not uniform, influenced by the precise age and activity level of the person and the specific anatomic location within the skeleton. Of the various histologic components that are found in cartilage and bone, the collagen fibers and bundles are most influential in transmitting the forces that are applied to them, explaining in large part the location and direction of the resulting internal stresses that develop within these tissues. Therefore, thorough knowledge of the anatomy, physiology, and biomechanics of normal bone and cartilage serves as a prerequisite to a full understanding of both the manner in which these tissues adapt to physiologic stresses and the patterns of tissue failure that develop under abnormal conditions. Such knowledge forms the basis for more accurate assessment of the diverse imaging features that are encountered following acute traumatic and stress-related injuries to the skeleton.
Failure of bone and cartilage may occur on a microscopic level alone or on both microscopic and macroscopic levels. Such failure relates to a mismatch between forces placed on the tissue and its ability to resist such forces, its strength. Skeletal strength is dependent on the material properties of its composite tissues. Therefore, to understand the manner in which bone and cartilage fail following an acute episode of trauma or repetitive stress, an initial short review of the embryology and macroscopic and microscopic anatomy is required. Following this, basic biomechanical principles are addressed that explain the patterns of failure occurring in the cartilage, subchondral bone plate, and cortical and cancellous bone that are encountered clinically.

**Skeletal Development and Growth**

Bone develops according to two distinct processes: intramembranous ossification and endochondral ossification (1,2). Intramembranous ossification involves bone formation arising directly in highly vascular sheets of condensed primitive mesenchyme. Endochondral bone formation, which is the more dominant process, relates to orderly and progressive ossification of a template composed of cartilage, forming primary and secondary ossification centers that eventually merge to form a single structure.

Intramembranous ossification is initiated by the proliferation of sheets of mesenchymal cells, followed by their transformation into osteoprogenitor cells and later osteoblasts that secrete a meshwork composed of collagen fibers and amorphous ground substance (1). This primitive osteoid, over time, is characterized by increasing regions and layers of calcified and ossified tissue that, in certain regions, maintain vascular spaces that become sites of hematopoietic tissue. Sites of intramembranous bone formation include the bones of the cranial vault, although a similar process occurs on the surface of most bones where the covering periosteum represents the mesenchymal tissue responsible for the development of a shell of compact cortical bone. The outer and inner surfaces of the cortex are covered by fibrous and collagenous layers of tissue, designated the periosteum and endosteum, respectively. Both layers contain all of the cells essential to bone turnover. The periosteal layer is thicker, less cellular, and firmly anchored to the bone by penetrating collagen fibers. The periosteum is more adherent to the cortex in the mature skeleton, compared with the immature skeleton, explaining the ease by which the periosteum is lifted by hemorrhage, infectious exudate, or neoplasm and by which it is stimulated to produce new bone in the early years of life.

Endochondral (intracartilaginous) ossification is prominent in the bones of the appendicular skeleton, the axial skeleton, and the base of the skull. Fundamental to this process is the destruction of cartilaginous tissue derived from the primitive mesenchyme and its subsequent replacement with bone (1,2). The initial sites of this process are designated centers of ossification (primary and secondary), their number and location varying from one bone to another. Although this discussion emphasizes the process of endochondral ossification as seen in a tubular bone, enlarging ossification nuclei are found in other bones as well, including the corpus, tarsus, and bones of the spine.

In tubular bones, the primary ossification center develops in the center of the bone and the secondary center(s), at the ends of the bone, in epiphyses or apophyses, or both. With regard to the primary center of ossification, a central ossific focus develops through a sequence of chondrocyte hypertrophy and death, calcification and vascular invasion, osteoblast transformation, and conversion to bone containing marrow spaces (1). Ossification then proceeds toward the ends of the bone. The migrating frontier of endochondral ossification advancing toward the end of the bone becomes better delineated, eventually forming the growth plate located between the diaphysis and epiphysis of a tubular bone (Fig 1). At the ends of the tubular bones, the same morphologic changes occur, leading to the creation of an enlarging ossification nucleus, the secondary center of ossification. Further maturation leads to the gradual conversion of the secondary ossification center into bone, leaving a subchondral bone plate on the epiphyseal side at the base of the articular cartilage and a physeal scar on the metaphyseal side of the plate. Cartilage remaining at the subchondral surface forms the mature hyaline cartilage that characterizes articular surfaces.
There are several types of bone cells that differ in origin and function yet communicate with or signal to each other to control and coordinate the processes of modeling and remodeling. The function of one of the cell types, the osteoclast, is unique as its job is to destroy the very tissue that contains it (3). Osteoclasts are derived from mononuclear precursor cells of the monocyte-macrophage lineage (4). Two cytokines, receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B ligand, or RANKL, and macrophage colony-stimulating factor, are essential for osteoclast formation, as well as osteoclast survival and organization (4,5). Osteoclasts are responsible for acidification of the resorption compartment, dissolution of the mineral component of the bone matrix, and digestion of the proteaceous matrix (4,6).

Osteoclast-induced bone resorption stimulates the release of growth factors that lead to the recruitment of osteoprogenitor precursor cells derived from pluripotent mesenchymal stem cells, which differentiate into osteoblasts. The osteoblasts synthetize new bone matrix by secreting type 1 collagen and other matrix proteins (4). Osteoblasts are found in abundance throughout growing or remodeling bone, whereas in quiescent adult bone, osteoblasts are concentrated on the endosteal cortical surface and in the endosteal tissue that lines the Haversian canals (1).

Osteocytes are long-living, terminally differentiated, nonproliferative cells of osteoblast lineage that constitute the major cell type of mature bone (7). They are trapped within the osteoid material in small lacunar spaces, interconnected by numerous dendritic cytoplasmic processes, and they do not directly secrete matrix or resorb bone (7,8). Osteocytes interact with osteoblasts by releasing molecules that inhibit osteoblast function and bone formation (9). Their secretion of other substances influences the recruitment and function of osteoclasts, signaling osteoclasts that replacement of damaged bone is required (10,11). Through these interactions with osteoblasts and osteoclasts, when bone is damaged osteocytes transmit signals that direct appropriate cellular reaction.

Skeletal modeling leads to changes in the overall shape and size of a bone as a response to the physiologic influences and mechanical forces that are placed upon it (4,12). It is an uncoupled process, in that bone formation and bone resorption are not tightly interdependent, often occurring at different and sometimes distant skeletal sites. Rather, bones widen or narrow, change axes, and develop curvatures through the independent action of osteoblasts and osteoclasts, typically during skeletal growth in childhood. The mechanical and molecular mechanisms accounting for this essential process are only partially understood. Relevant roles are played by systemic regulators, especially hormones, which are influenced by age and sexual maturity (12). The process of skeletal modeling is normally less frequent than that of skeletal remodeling in adults (13-15).

In skeletal remodeling, coupled bone resorption and bone formation occur at the same skeletal site and are chronologically and quantitatively balanced (12). This process begins before birth and continues until death, and it involves the continuous removal of regions of old bone with replacement by newly synthesized proteaceous matrix and subsequent mineralization of this matrix to form new bone (4). Julius Wolff, a German surgeon and anatomist who lived almost entirely in the 19th century, recognized that bone dynamically remodels in both quantity and architecture to make functional adaptations to changes in loading (16). Although a precise translation of the Wolff law is difficult to find, it is based on the concept that forces or mechanical signals encountered during loading are converted into biochemical stimuli at the cellular level that influence bone remodeling (17,18).

Remodeling occurs in response to increased loading and microscopic damage within cortical osteons or cancellous trabeculae (19). Osteocytes are integral to detection of damage and initiation of the remodeling cycle, but successful remodeling requires the coordinated and synchronized activities of multiple cellular participants that include osteoclasts, osteoblasts, macrophages, and various immune and hematopoietic cells (20). An aggregate of such cells combine to form a microscopic temporary wandering assembly referred to as a basic multicellular unit that targets a discrete area for repair (20,21). The basic multicellular unit is arranged with osteoclasts leading a tail of osteoblasts, forming a cylindrical tunnel known as a cutting cone within cortical bone or an excavation resembling a trench along the trabecular surface in cancellous bone (22). Stages of remodeling include quiescence, activation, resorption, reversal, bone formation, and termination (20) (Fig 2). Normal remodeling progresses slowly, with an entire cycle taking place over a span of several weeks or months.

The basic multicellular unit can be inhibited by factors such as advanced age, chronic illness, drugs, or metabolic...
or endocrine dysfunction (23). A recognized consequence of drug-induced basic multicellular unit suppression is the development of atypical fractures of the lateral femoral cortex resulting from prolonged treatment with bisphosphonate alendronate, a potent inhibitor of bone turnover (24) (Fig 3). Bisphosphonates inhibit bone resorption by osteoclast suppression and induction of osteoclast apoptosis, disrupting the bone remodeling cycle. Histologically, the bone surface in patients with atypical femoral fractures is devoid of cellular elements and shows impaired matrix formation, similar to the adynamic bone present in patients with chronic renal failure (23).

**Bone Anatomy**

**Macrosopic Anatomy**

The composition of bone matrix can be divided into two types of organization: woven bone and lamellar bone. Woven bone, consisting of an irregular arrangement of collagen fibers that vary in diameter and are not stress-oriented in their direction, is only prominent in fetuses and in situations requiring rapid bone turnover such as during the healing phase of fractures and in disorders such as hyperparathyroidism and Paget disease. The majority of the skeleton in adults is composed of lamellar bone, which is more organized, stronger, and less flexible than woven bone, with collagen orientation that is stress-related. Lamellar architecture is found both in cortical and in cancellous bone, although the precise arrangement of the lamellae varies between the two (1).

Attaching to the surface of many bones are tendons and ligaments, as well as capsular tissue related to nearby articulations, at sites known as entheses (25). It has been suggested that the roughened bone surface markings at tendon insertions indicate that ossification has extended from the bone into the terminal collagen fibers of the tendon, influenced by the strength and direction of pull of the corresponding muscles (1). Ligaments attach directly to the cortical surface or periosteum through fibers that are oriented either perpendicularly or obliquely to the cortex. The anatomic connection between muscle and bone, which influences the tensile stresses placed on that bone, is related not to the direct cortical penetration of muscle fibers but through the connective tissue that encapsulates and pervades these muscles (1).

**Microscopic Anatomy**

The mineralized extracellular component of bone is designated the matrix, which is composed in part of innumerable collagen fibers that are embedded in a ground substance. The collagen fibers are synthesized by osteoblasts, are intimately associated with the mineral component, and provide structural

---

**Figure 2**

Sequential phases of the normal bone remodeling cycle.
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Articular cartilage has a zonal configuration consisting of four basic horizontal layers that vary with regard to cellular profile (size, shape, metabolic activity, density), proteoglycan concentration, and collagen organization. These are designated the superficial, transitional, deep, and calcified layers (Fig 5). The superficial layer is the thinnest and is composed of flattened and avascular. It is composed of a small number of cells (chondrocytes) that are located in an extracellular matrix consisting of water and macromolecules that include proteoglycan, collagen, and noncollagenous proteins. The chondrocytes vary in number, size, and shape depending on their location or zone (deep to superficial), and they are responsible for production, organization, and maintenance of the extracellular matrix (32). The complex interaction between the chondrocytes and extracellular matrix maintains structural integrity and confers biomechanical properties to this tissue, including tensile strength and elasticity that facilitate its ability to absorb and distribute load. Structure and function become a part of a feedback loop with chondrocyte biosynthesis guided, at least in part, by stress and strain on the cell (33,34).

Articular cartilage anatomy

Hyaline articular cartilage is a highly specialized connective tissue that covers the surfaces of those bones that constitute a diarthrodial joint. This tissue is hypocellular, aneural, alymphatic, and opaque radiographic quality. The mean degree of mineralization of bone is not identical in different skeletal regions, although it is similar in cancellous and cortical bone, between sexes, and at different ages (28). In disorders characterized by increased levels and rates of bone remodeling, there is less time for completion of mineralization, resulting in a lower mean degree of mineralization of bone (27). Conversely, a higher mean degree of mineralization of bone is indicative of a decreased rate of bone turnover. Excessive mineralization of bone does not strengthen the bone; although the bone becomes stiffer, it also is more brittle, reducing the energy required for fracture (29,30). Complicating the issue of the effects of mineralization on overall bone strength is the additional influence of crystal size. Bones with larger crystals, such as osteoporotic bones, are more prone to fail owing to their increased brittleness (27,31).

**Figure 3:** Anteroposterior radiograph of the proximal femur in a 78-year-old woman demonstrates a fracture of the lateral cortex of the proximal femur (arrow) related to long-term bisphosphonate use. Note the typical transverse orientation and periosteal beaking associated with these fractures.

**Figure 4:** (a) Coronal anatomic section and (b) corresponding specimen radiograph of the proximal humerus illustrate the fatty marrow filling the trabecular bone spaces located in the subchondral and medullary regions. Note the thin subchondral bone plate at the humeral head (arrowheads) and the dense cortical bone forming the humeral shaft (arrow).
chondrocytes, proteoglycan (low concentration), collagen (two layers densely packed, with a thin diameter), and water (in the highest concentration of any of the layers) (35). The transitional zone (intermediate or middle) is characterized by round chondrocytes, random collagen organization, and increased proteoglycan concentration. The deep, or radial, zone has the lowest cell volume with a columnar organization of chondrocytes. Here, collagen fibers are oriented perpendicular to the subchondral bone, proteoglycan concentration is high, and water concentration is low. The tidemark, a band of fibrils that serve as an anchor for collagen, separates the deep layer of cartilage from the calcified layer. The calcified zone is characterized by the presence of round chondrocytes and the absence of proteoglycans. It is the transition from and the point of adherence between the superficial cartilage and the subchondral bone (32) (Fig 6).

**Figure 5**  
Photomicrograph of normal articular cartilage from a child illustrates the zonal organization of cartilage and the junction of the cartilage with the subchondral bone plate. The approximate boundaries between the thin superficial layer and the transitional layer (curved arrows) as well as between the transitional and deeper radial layers are shown (arrowheads). Note the calcified cartilage (arrow) at the junction of the cartilage and the subchondral bone plate that is still incompletely ossified in this specimen. (Hematoxylin-eosin stain, medium magnification.)

**Figure 6**  
Sagittal ultrashort echo-time (repetition time msec/echo time msec, 500/0.008) magnetic resonance (MR) image of the knee unmasks the presence of round chondrocytes and the junction of the cartilage and the subchondral bone (32) (Fig 6).

**Stresses, Forces, and Modes of Structural Failure**

The biomechanical properties of any substance can be described by the relationship between its capacity to absorb load (stress) and its capacity to deform (strain) as force is applied to it. Load refers to the sum of all forces acting on the substance, whereas deformation refers to any change in shape that takes place in that substance as force is applied. This relationship is depicted graphically as a stress-strain (load-deformation) curve (Fig 7), which shows the response of a homogeneous material to external force by illustrating its stiffness (elastic modulus), as well as its yield and failure points (36–38). Materials that can tolerate high loads but are unable to deform, such as glass, are considered brittle, whereas substances that deform considerably before failure are considered ductile. Bone and cartilage behave in an intermediate fashion, able to tolerate moderate stress while also showing the ability to accommodate moderate strain before failure. Any single load-deformation curve is inadequate to describe the inhomogeneous tissue of the human skeleton as there is considerable variation in structure, composition, and geometry in different anatomic regions. Bone itself consists of two distinctly different tissue fractions, with cancellous trabecular bone and cortical bone behaving very differently with the application of force. The skeleton also exhibits anisotropy, responding to stresses differently depending on the direction of loading (37,38). The mechanical properties of bone also vary with age, as bone in the child is more pliable than is adult bone and the cartilaginous physeal plate of immature bone is particularly vulnerable to injury.

Structural loading may be uniaxial, in which the force is applied to a substance in only one direction, or it may be multiaxial, in which the force is geometrically more complex, with stress applied to the substance in two or more directions (38) (Fig 8). Compression, tension, and shear forces are forms of uniaxial loading. In simple terms, compressive stresses develop when applied forces act to reduce the length of a material in the axis of the applied load, and tensile stresses develop when applied forces act to lengthen a material along the axis of the applied load. Shear stresses act to separate one layer of a material from an adjacent one in a single plane. Buckling is a mode of failure characteristic in a long slender column when compressive forces are applied at the long ends, leading to lateral deflection of a structure. The magnitude of the force that leads to buckling is less than the maximal compressive force that the material can bear and is described mathematically by Euler law (39).

Multiaxial loading of a substance occurs when force is applied in two or more planes simultaneously. Typical examples of multiaxial loading include bending and torsion (rotation). Bending results in one side of the substance experiencing compression and the other
normal loading, overloading, and injury (37,38).

Chondral and Osteochondral Injury

The biomechanical behavior of articular cartilage is determined by the interaction of its predominant components: collagen, proteoglycan, and interstitial fluid. Collagen fibers networks contribute to joint mechanics directly by resisting tensile stresses and indirectly by augmenting pore fluid pressure (40). In cartilage matrix, the volume occupied by the proteoglycan aggregate is limited by the collagen network. With compression, the negatively charged components of the proteoglycans are pushed closer together, increasing their repulsive forces and adding to the compressive stiffness of cartilage. Damage to the collagen framework reduces compressive stiffness of the tissue as the proteoglycans are contained less efficiently. With chondral deformation, fluid flows through the tissue and across the articular surface, producing a thin film that lubricates that surface and contributes to effective joint motion (41,42). The recognition that fluid flow and deformation of tissue are interdependent underscores the concept that cartilage can be considered a biphasic mixture of both fluid and solid components of injury of bone and cartilage are illustrated. Compression, tension, and shear are uniaxial mechanisms, whereas bending, rotation, and off-axis shear are more complex, with force applied in two or more planes.
components, with material properties that include stiffness and permeability (41).

Chondral and osteochondral injuries are commonly encountered, particularly in the lower extremity due to either a single excessive high-impact force or repetitive subthreshold loads. Resulting structural alteration includes three types of cartilage injury: chondral damage without visible tissue disruption (cartilage at risk), disruption of articular cartilage alone, and disruption of articular cartilage and subchondral bone together (osteochondral injury). The precise role of trauma in the development of osteoarthritis, a finding seen in more than 60% of adult knee arthroscopies, is unclear (43–45).

In the setting of acute trauma, injury is related to one of three types of force: compression, shear, or tension (46,47). Compression forces are applied perpendicular to the joint surface, inducing nonuniform deformation of articular cartilage. The spatial profile and the magnitude of the deformation depend on a number of variables, including the magnitude and rate of loading, joint geometry, and the mechanical properties of the tissue (48). Spatially, the greatest deformation occurs at the contact point superficially and diminishes toward the deep layer (49). The rate of loading also influences the degree of cartilage deformation (50,51). With high rates of loading, the relatively stiff cartilage dissipates energy within its superficial region, producing superficial fissures (52,53) (Fig 9). Lower rates of loading result in energy transferred to the deeper layers of the tissue, producing focal regions of chondral loss. Compressive strain as low as 30% can result in cell death if the strain rate is sufficiently high (54,55). As the magnitude of the force increases, chondrocyte viability decreases and cartilage lesions of progressive severity develop (> 50%) (56–59). Of note, even in the absence of visible structural damage, cell death and matrix injury may result from compression, producing a “cartilage-at-risk” scenario that ultimately leads to tissue compromise (60–64).

Shear forces are applied parallel to the joint surface. The speed and energy of shear force will influence the pattern and extent of cartilage failure, leading to chondral delamination injuries that vary with regard to the zone of cartilage that is involved and the presence or absence of violation of the cartilage surface (65). Excessive shear force can produce surface-layer tears of cartilage along obliquely oriented fibers in the transitional zone or, when transmitted to the osteochondral junction, can debond the calcified layer of cartilage from the underlying bone plate (53) (Fig 10). Tensile forces characteristically lead to avulsive injuries, where ligaments or tendons exert traction at their osseous attachments rather than cartilage damage. Osteochondral injuries involve both cartilage and subchondral bone and may be acute, subacute, or chronic, with those abnormalities of longer duration being designated osteochondritis dissecans (66).

In the clinical assessment of chondral and osteochondral injuries, the size and depth of the lesion, its location, and any associated involvement of the subchondral bone must be considered. A common clinical classification system used for the description of articular cartilage abnormality is that of Outerbridge (67), in which articular cartilage damage varies among four grades based on the depth of the chondral abnormality (68) (Fig 11). The accuracy and reproducibility of this system have been reported to range from 22% to 100%,
lower grade lesions being diagnosed with less accuracy than higher grade lesions (69). More recently, the Modified International Cartilage Repair Society Chondral Injury Classification System has gained acceptance (70). This latter system focuses on lesion depth (graded from 0 to 4) and the area of damage (graded from normal to severely abnormal). The International Cartilage Repair Society has also developed a classification system for osteochondral injuries based on the size, depth, and stability of the abnormality (71).

The assessment and grading of chondral and osteochondral injuries by using MR imaging are straightforward when true morphologic alterations are present. In the setting of higher grade acute injury, the signal alteration in the articular cartilage is readily visible and frequently associated with altered signal intensity in the adjacent subchondral bone marrow and displaced cartilage (Fig 12). However, low-grade chondral injury typically involves very little morphologic change. Traditional grading systems have classically used altered T2 signal within the cartilage to infer the presence of infrastructural damage (72–74). The development of quantitative MR techniques provides direct evaluation of tissue biochemistry in the setting of injury. Several techniques are available to assess the integrity of cartilage glycosaminoglycan, including sodium MR imaging, delayed gadolinium-enhanced MR imaging of cartilage, and T1ρ imaging (75–78). To assess collagen orientation, quantitative T2 mapping is most often utilized (79) (Fig 13).

Subchondral Bone

Anatomic and Biomechanical Considerations

Just beneath the calcified zone of articular cartilage exists a layer of compact bone designated the subchondral bone plate that serves to separate the articular cartilage and subchondral trabeculae. The thickness of the subchondral bone plate varies both within and between bones (80). Its shape generally follows that of the articular surface of the specific bone of which it is a part, and it represents an important component of the support system that responds to and resists the forces placed on the articular surface, forces that vary as the joint moves (81,82). Those stresses within the subchondral bone plate are transmitted, in part, to the trabeculae that lie beneath it. Indeed, some investigators have reported that the subchondral bone plate and adjacent trabeculae absorb most of the mechanical forces transmitted across the joint (81).

Normal trabecular architecture varies from one anatomic site to another, consisting in part of groups of linear or arc-like trabeculae that, in most sites, are thickened and oriented along the axes of the major compressive and tensile stresses within that bone (83). Many trabeculae in frequently compressed regions of the joint are oriented at nearly right angles to the subchondral bone plate, extending downward into the parent bone for variable distances. Elsewhere, the trabeculae are obliquely oriented. Crossing trabeculae intersect these vertical and oblique trabeculae, mainly in an orthogonal pattern (84–87) (Fig 14). Chambers are created in the subchondral cancellous bone consisting of central areas containing regions of marrow and peripheral walls composed of these trabeculae. The size and shape of these chambers vary from one bone to another and in different regions of a single bone.

The most extensively studied trabeculae in the human body are those located in the femoral head and neck, where five major trabecular groups are identified: principle compressive, principle tensile, secondary compressive, secondary tensile, and greater trochanteric groups (88–90) (Fig 15). The Singh index, historically used to judge the presence and degree of osteoporosis, was based on the morphology of these trabecular groups (81). Unfortunately, the proximal femur is a particularly challenging region due to the number and complexity of the trabecular groups and their complex relationships with the cortex and calcar. At least some of the trabecular intersections in the femoral head and neck are not orthogonal, and the trabecular orientations do not necessarily correspond...
Figure 14: Graphic representation of trabecular organization illustrates the thicker longitudinal trabeculae bridged by transverse trabeculae at varying angles. (Modified, with permission, from Marion Karl, Lure Animations, Reno, Nev.)

Figure 15: High-resolution 0.9-mm-thick coronal MR image of a proximal femur specimen obtained with a three-dimensional spin-echo sequence demonstrates the trabecular pattern of the proximal femur. (Courtesy of Thomas Link, MD, UCSF, San Francisco, Calif.)

Figure 16: Sagittal intermediate-weighted fat-suppressed (2400/26) MR image of the knee in woman with an acute anterior cruciate ligament tear shows marrow edema in the distal femur and proximal tibia (arrows). There is an osteochondral impaction fracture at the femur with a deepened lateral notch sign (arrowhead). The tibial edema is related to a contusion, without overlying chondral or osseous disruption.

Elevated marrow signal could result from injury even in the absence of a visible fracture, a phenomenon designated a bone contusion, or bone bruise (94). The distribution of bone contusions varies according to the mechanism of injury, some distributions being characteristic of injury to a specific ligament, such as the anterior cruciate (95) (Fig 16). Compressive forces placed on the articular surface are transmitted through the cartilage to the subchondral trabeculae that fail and develop microfractures as they buckle under the load. Conceptually, accompanying this process or acting independently, hemorrhage and edema within the trabecular chambers could “pressurize” the marrow, thereby increasing the tensile stress on the walls of that chamber. This response is analogous to a compressed automobile tire in which a load applied to its top and bottom pressurizes the air within, which itself places greater tensile stress on its side walls. Similar mechanisms have been invoked to explain the appearance of enlarging subchondral bone cysts, especially in osteoarthritis (96).

Few reports exist regarding the histologic alterations that characterize a bone contusion, although Rangger and colleagues (97) found microfractures of cancellous bone and marrow edema and hemorrhage in a histologic study.
of bone bruises of the knee in a small group of patients, the specimens provided by means of either arthroscopy or postmortem examination. A recent study has emphasized another form of bone contusion that has a distinctive appearance on MR images related to liquefied and necrotic fat within or adjacent to an osseous injury, sometimes surrounded by what appears to be an inflammatory reaction (98) (Fig 17). The fat “globules” may migrate from one chamber to the next as the trabecular walls are breached by the injury. It is not known whether such foci of liquefied fat contribute to systemic fat embolization recognized following major skeletal injury (99).

**Figure 17**: (a) Coronal T1-weighted (600/20) and (b) intermediate-weighted fat-suppressed (3100/35) MR images of the knee in an elderly woman with an insufficiency fracture (arrowheads) of the medial tibial plateau related to osteoporosis demonstrate globular collections (arrow) of marrow fat adjacent to the fracture.

**Figure 18**: Longitudinally oriented osteons are the structural unit of lamellar cortical bone. A sagittal specimen radiograph of the knee illustrates the difference in appearance between the dense peripheral cortical bone and the porous trabecular bone in the medullary space and subchondral region. The biomechanical differences between these two bone fractions are shown in the accompanying chart.

**Cortical Bone**

**Biomechanics of Cortical Bone**

Cortical bone differs considerably from cancellous bone in its anatomic distribution, as well as in its composition and architecture. The difference in density between cortical bone and trabecular bone is the major factor accounting for the different biomechanical properties of these two tissues (100). Cortical bone is compact and dense, with a porosity of 5%–10% as compared with the loose trabecular architecture of cancellous bone in which 50%–90% porosity is typical (101) (Fig 18). Bone loss related to aging increases cortical porosity, decreasing its strength and increasing the risk of fracture, primarily by diminishing the...
The cement line has been regarded as the osteon and the interstitial lamellae, adjacent osteons. At the junction of interstitial lamellae located between along the long axis of the shaft, with 400 µm in diameter (1,4). Microscopically, in length and varying from 100 to 400 the adult skeleton, averaging 400 µm approximately 21 million osteons in area containing neurovascular channels bone matrix that surround a central unit, having a characteristic arrange (36). Osteons are its basic structural (38).

Cortical bone microstructure is similar to that of cartilage and other fiber-reinforced composite materials, whereby the brittle mineralized elements are reinforced and strengthened by the ductile collagenous components (36). Osteons are its basic structural unit, having a characteristic arrangement known as a Haversian system, with concentric cylinders of mineralized bone matrix that surround a central area containing neurovascular channels designated Haversian canals. There are approximately 21 million osteons in the adult skeleton, averaging 400 µm in length and varying from 100 to 400 µm in diameter (1,4). Microscopically, osteons are arranged in parallel bundles along the long axis of the shaft, with interstitial lamellae located between adjacent osteons. At the junction of the osteon and the interstitial lamellae, cement lines are deposited (Fig 19). The cement line has been regarded as an area with decreased mineralization, potentially important in the initiation and deflection of cortical cracks (103,104).

Cortical bone is located predominantly in the shafts of the long bones, with lesser amounts located as a thin external shell surrounding the cancellous bone of the flat and irregular bones. The diaphysis of the long tubular bones is arranged as a peripheral ring of dense cortical bone that encircles a porous central medullary space. This tubular shape, analogous to a hollow cylinder, is optimal for withstanding the many external and internal forces imposed on the shaft as a hollow cylinder, when considered by weight, is far stronger than a solid material (105). The strength of the shaft increases as its outer diameter and/or its wall thickness increase, with differences in these properties alone accounting for more than 55% of the variance in cortical bone strength (26).

Crack Initiation and Deflection
Cortical bone fractures can be caused by either the application of a single high-amplitude force (traumatic fracture), a subthreshold force applied to bone weakened from a pathologic process such as a neoplasm (pathologic fracture), or accumulative microdamage related to repetitive injury (stress fracture) (106). Cortical bone patterns of failure relate to its hierarchical organization, which can be understood at the microscopic scale, in which collagen delamination, microdamage, and microcracking develop, and at the macroscopic scale, in which clinically important fractures are encountered (107,108) (Fig 20). Crack initiation in cortical bone typically takes place on or near its surface. The morphology of the microcrack varies according to the mechanism of loading, with compressive cracks being longer and angulated 30°–40° relative to the cortex and tensile cracks being smaller and oriented perpendicular to the tensile force. While tensile cracks can cause more diffuse damage, subsequent propagation to a frank fracture is more common with compressive injury (19).

Bone-toughening mechanisms such as crack deflection and crack bridging by collagen attempt to contain damage within the cortex and prevent the propagation of a microscopic crack to a macroscopic fracture (106,107,109,110). At low levels of force, transverse microcrack propagation within the cortex is inhibited by the concentric lamellar arrangement of mineralized collagen within the osteon, as well as the cement lines located on the surfaces of the tightly packed osteons (111,112). These longitudinally oriented interfaces inhibit transverse crack propagation, resulting in longitudinal deflection and tortuous crack pathways as the crack is deflected over and over again at these boundary interfaces (109) (Fig 21). At high levels of force, the toughening mechanisms are overwhelmed and microcracks propagate transversely across the entire cortex resulting in a frank fracture.

While longitudinally oriented cracks in the cortex are common at the microscopic level (109), macroscopic longitudinal cortical fractures are uncommon in clinical practice, particularly following a single episode of trauma. This unusual fracture pattern is more typically the result of repetitive stress, observed most commonly in the tibia, followed by the femur, fibula, humerus, and patella (113–115).
initially results in transverse crack formation in the tensile side of bone, although most traumatic fractures attributed to bending are actually the result of a combination of bending and axial loading, resulting in tensile failure of the convex side and shearing failure on the compressive side, creating an oblique fracture line (36,38). Simultaneous application of force by a combination of these mechanisms occurs frequently, resulting in complex fractures that show features reflecting more than one simple fracture pattern (Fig 22).

Direct force applied to bone is a less common cause of a traumatic fracture than indirect force. Direct force of low magnitude, such as being struck by a low-velocity object such as a fist, results in a transverse fracture at the site of force application, as seen with the nightstick fracture of the ulna. Direct force of a higher magnitude, such as being hit by a moving vehicle, results in a more complex fracture pattern typically associated with comminution (37,117).

Stress Injury of Bone

Stress injury of bone encompasses a spectrum of abnormalities that occur when bone is exposed to repetitive loading, with each load event itself below
the failure threshold of bone (118). Unlike a traumatic fracture, in which bone fails due to a single episode of loading, stress injury occurs due to a cumulative imbalance between the development of microdamage and the capacity of the bone to repair itself. Stress injury results in numerous forms of disruption of the bone remodeling cycle that are imprecisely defined and not clearly separable, leading to confusion in the terminology and the grading of these injuries (118,119). A stress fracture is the end point of a continuous spectrum of morphologic alterations that range from asymptomatic remodeling through various stages of stress-related alterations in bone architecture, including microdamage, microrack formation and propagation, focal bone resorption, and macrofracture (120).

Stress fractures are traditionally divided into insufficiency and fatigue subtypes. Insufficiency fractures result from repetitive stresses within the normal range of activities applied to bone that is abnormal, whereas fatigue fractures are the result of repetitive excess stress applied to normal bone. The fatigue form is classically seen in young persons, especially those involved in athletic endeavors. Insufficiency injuries are typically associated with metabolic bone diseases, such as osteoporosis, and usually occur in persons older than those with fatigue injuries (120). There are however many persons whose stress injury does not fit neatly into the fatigue or insufficiency category but rather has components of both. With the current emphasis on maintaining good health and “staying in shape,” middle-aged and elderly persons are more likely to participate in vigorous exercise and recreational sports, explaining the increasing frequency of fatigue failure of bone in the older population. Conversely, the presence of nutritional or metabolic disorders in the young can result in inadequate bone strength and the development of insufficiency fractures. A classic example of the latter situation is the “female athlete triad,” a syndrome of three overlapping conditions that include energy deficiency with or without disordered eating, menstrual disturbance, and bone mineral loss. The presence of one or more components of this triad increases the risk for stress fracture in the young female athlete (111,120).

**Insufficiency Fracture**

There exists a whole range of abnormalities that can weaken the bone itself, related to diminished mineralization, decreased bone strength and toughness, loss of elastic resistance, or deficient bone architecture, or combinations of these, or alternatively, related to a breakdown in the normal mechanisms of bone repair (26,108,112). In clinical practice, osteoporosis, osteomalacia, and prior bone irradiation are the most common disorders that result in deficiency in the capacity of the bone to sustain routine loading (112). While osteoporosis is generally considered to be the leading cause for insufficiency injury of bone, measurements of bone mineral density alone explain only 70%-75% of the variance in bone strength (121).

Metabolic bone disorders preferentially affect cancellous bone, which has a 10-fold higher proportion of surface area to mass than cortical bone, exposing large amounts of this surface to metabolic stimuli and regional blood flow. The bone loss seen in the cancellous trabeculae is nonuniform, with preferential loss of the secondary trabeculae rather than the primary longitudinally oriented weight-bearing trabeculae, leading to disordered bone architecture that fails easily with even physiologic loading (121). The ability of the unsupported primary longitudinal trabeculae to absorb compressive loading is severely diminished due to the loss of supporting secondary trabeculae, resulting in buckling failure as predicted by Euler law. Buckling failure of contiguous primary trabeculae accounts for the typical orientation of insufficiency fractures, which propagate perpendicular to the axis of the primary trabeculae.

Insufficiency fractures typically affect those regions of bone where there is a high cancellous fraction, such as the vertebral bodies, the flat bones of the pelvis (particularly the regions of the sacral ala), the subchondral regions of the long tubular bones, and the small irregular bones of the foot (such as the calcaneus). Collapse of the subchondral bone leads to an imaging appearance that simulates that of osteonecrosis. As an example of this, overloading of the subchondral bone about the knee, typically accompanied by adjacent meniscal and chondral abnormalities, results in collapse at the
suggesting that an imbalance between bone strength and muscle strength contributes to the development of such injuries (123). Fatigue injury in cortical bone initially takes the form of microcracks at the bone surface. These can be identified histologically and with techniques such as high-resolution microcomputed tomography (121), but they are not visible with routine imaging methods until they are extensive enough to incite a periosteal response. As the injury progresses, longitudinal deflection of microcracks results in intracortical resorption cavities of variable size and orientation, producing radiolucent regions and, ultimately, reactive marrow edema as the damage approaches the medullary space (119). Progression of stress injury results in extension of these intracortical microfractures to the endosteal cortex and, ultimately, to the development of a transversely oriented frank fracture line that enters the medullary space.

Summary

In conclusion, knowledge of the macroscopic and microscopic anatomy, as well as the basic biomechanics, of bone and cartilage provides the foundation for a better understanding of the manner in which this tissue responds to altered mechanical forces and sheds light on the imaging appearances associated with both acute and repetitive injury.
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