UC Merced UC Merced Previously Published Works

Title

Histone Hypervariants H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2 Play Independent and Context-Specific Roles in Neuronal Activity-Induced Transcription of Arc/Arg3.1 and Other Immediate Early Genes

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3gd382b7>

Journal eNeuro, 4(4)

ISSN

2373-2822

Authors

Dunn, Carissa J Sarkar, Pushpita Bailey, Emma R [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3gd382b7#author)

Publication Date 2017-07-01

DOI

10.1523/eneuro.0040-17.2017

Peer reviewed

Neuronal Excitability

Histone Hypervariants H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2 Play Independent and Context-Specific Roles in Neuronal Activity-Induced Transcription of *Arc/ Arg3.1* and Other Immediate Early Genes

Carissa J. Dunn,1,- **Pushpita Sarkar,1,**- **Emma R. Bailey,² [Shannon Farris,](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4473-1684)² Meilan Zhao,² [James M.](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9510-2848) [Ward,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9510-2848)³ [Serena M. Dudek,](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4094-8368)² and [Ramendra N. Saha](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5494-2584)¹**

DOI[:http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0040-17.2017](http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0040-17.2017)

¹Molecular and Cell Biology Unit, School of Natural Sciences, University of California at Merced, Merced, CA 95343, ²Laboratory of Neurobiology, NIEHS, NIH, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, and ³Integrative Bioinformatics Support Group, NIEHS, NIH, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Abstract

The histone variant H2A.Z is an essential and conserved regulator of eukaryotic gene transcription. However, the exact role of this histone in the transcriptional process remains perplexing. In vertebrates, H2A.Z has two hypervariants, H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2, that have almost identical sequences except for three amino acid residues. Due to such similarity, functional specificity of these hypervariants in neurobiological processes, if any, remain largely unknown. In this study with dissociated rat cortical neurons, we asked if H2A.Z hypervariants have distinct functions in regulating basal and activity-induced gene transcription. Hypervariant-specific RNAi and microarray analyses revealed that H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2 regulate basal expression of largely nonoverlapping gene sets, including genes that code for several synaptic proteins. In response to neuronal activity, rapid transcription of our model gene *Arc* is impaired by depletion of H2A.Z.2, but not H2A.Z.1. This impairment is partially rescued by codepletion of the H2A.Z chaperone, ANP32E. In contrast, under a different context (after 48 h of tetrodotoxin, TTX), rapid transcription of *Arc* is impaired by depletion of either hypervariant. Such context-dependent roles of H2A.Z hypervariants, as revealed by our multiplexed gene expression assays, are also evident with several other immediate early genes, where regulatory roles of these hypervariants vary from gene to gene under different conditions. Together, our data suggest that H2A.Z hypervariants have context-specific roles that complement each other to mediate activity-induced neuronal gene transcription.

Key words: Arc; epigenetics; H2A.Z; H2A.Z.1; H2A.Z.2; histone

Significance Statement

Epigenetic regulation of activity-induced gene transcription is pivotal in mediating neuronal responses that underlie several transcription-dependent downstream brain processes, yet it remains poorly understood. Thus, understanding roles of such epigenetic processes and their core components, such as variant histone H2A.Z, is necessary to comprehend brain development and function. In vertebrates, H2A.Z has two hypervariants, H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2, which are encoded by different genes and differ by only three amino acids. Despite such similar sequences, we provide evidence suggesting that they regulate nonoverlapping gene cohorts in neurons and play context-specific, nonredundant roles in activity-induced transcription of immediate early genes. Together, our findings represent a substantial departure from the current H2A.Z biology by shifting the focus to independent contributions of H2A.Z hypervariants.

Introduction

Activity-induced gene transcription, an integral component of neural plasticity, is conducted at the chromatin level by enabling the transcription machinery to access and "read-out" DNA [\(Greer and Greenberg, 2008\)](#page-29-0). Several activity-induced neuronal immediate early genes (IEGs) are accessed independent of signal by a transcriptionally engaged RNA polymerase II (Pol II) that pauses proximal to the promoter until an activity signal is received [\(Saha](#page-30-0) [et al., 2011;](#page-30-0) [Adelman and Lis, 2012\)](#page-29-1). Activity-induced "turn-on" signals release the paused Pol II, which then efficiently transcribes the gene by running through spools of nucleosomes (productive elongation). Nucleosomes, the fundamental chromatin unit, regulate Pol II elongation by acting as either barriers or facilitators [\(Owen-Hughes](#page-30-1) [and Gkikopoulos, 2012;](#page-30-1) [Teves et al., 2014\)](#page-30-2). Such activitydependent nucleosomal role selection primarily depends on post-translational modifications of the histone tails and nucleosomal remodeling, including turnover of different histone variants [\(Michod et al., 2012\)](#page-30-3).

In mammals, three of the four canonical histones, H2A, H2B, and H3, have diversified into several variant histones with distinct amino acid sequences that can influence transcriptionally relevant nucleosomal properties and dynamics [\(Weber and Henikoff, 2014\)](#page-30-4). Recently, a few studies have unveiled important roles of histone variants H2A.Z and H3.3 in neuronal gene transcription underlying brain development and function. For example, H2A.Z, which is conserved from yeast to human and has been implicated in both transcriptional activation and repression [\(Soboleva et al., 2014\)](#page-30-5), plays a role in memory consolidation and cerebellar development in the brain [\(Zovkic](#page-30-6) [et al., 2014;](#page-30-6) [Yang et al., 2016\)](#page-30-7). Similarly, H3.3, which differs from canonical H3 histones by only four to five

Received February 3, 2017; accepted August 9, 2017; First published August 17, 2017.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Author contributions: C.J.D., P.S., S.M.D., and R.N.S. designed research; C.J.D., P.S., E.R.B., S.F., M.Z., and R.N.S. performed research; C.J.D., P.S., E.R.B., S.F., M.Z., J.M.W., and R.N.S. analyzed data; C.J.D., P.S., and R.N.S. wrote the paper.

This work was supported by the United States Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) National Institutes of Health (NIH) National Institute of Mental Health Grant R00MH096941 (to R.N.S.), the HHS NIH National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences Grant ES100221 (to S.M.D.), and by start-up funds from University of California Merced (R.N.S.). For the K99 phase of the R00 Award, this research was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health.

*C.J.D. and P.S. contributed equally to this work.

Acknowledgements: We thank Ms. A. Funk and Dr. B. Bennett for project assistance, the Saha lab members for critical reading of this manuscript, and Dr. Mike Cleary and Dr. Sergei Nechaev. We also thank the personnel in the Viral Vector and Molecular Genomics cores at National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, and the Stem Cell Instrumentation Foundry at University of California Merced for use of their facility.

Correspondence should be addressed to Ramendra N. Saha, Ph.D., Room 346 S&E Building 1, University of California, Merced, 5200 North Lake Road, Merced, CA 95343, E-mail: rsaha3@ucmerced.edu.

DOI[:http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0040-17.2017](http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0040-17.2017)

Copyright © 2017 Dunn et al.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Commons Attribution 4.0 International license,](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is properly attributed.

amino acids [\(Filipescu et al., 2013\)](#page-29-2), regulates embryonic and adult neuronal gene expression patterns, thereby playing an essential role in plasticity and cognition [\(Maze](#page-30-8) [et al., 2015\)](#page-30-8). This example of H3.3 illustrates how very small dissimilarities in sequences of two closely related histone proteins may produce large differences in neuronal gene transcription [\(Maze et al., 2014\)](#page-30-9).

Similar small differences also exist within the H2A.Z histone class. In vertebrates, H2A.Z has two very closely related paralogs that have been referred to as "hypervariants": H2A.Z.1 (formerly H2A.Z) and H2A.Z.2 (formerly H2A.V; [Talbert et al., 2012\)](#page-30-10). Two nonallelic genes, *H2afz* and *H2afv*, which are driven by independent promoters on different chromosomes, encode these H2A.Z hypervariants [\(Dryhurst et al., 2009;](#page-29-3) [Matsuda et al., 2010\)](#page-30-11). H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2 differ by only three amino acids, which are located far apart on the polypeptide [\(Fig. 1](#page-3-0)*A*) and are structurally similar to their respective counterpart in that there are no usable difference in their antigenicity (e.g., hydrophobic vs hydrophilic, ring structure vs linear, or large vs small in residue size). Thus, there are currently no antibodies available to distinguish between H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2. Due to this limitation, our understanding of H2A.Z is most likely a portmanteau of both hypervariant functions. Such a composite picture of their function would not be a concern if these hypervariants were functionally redundant and homogeneous [\(Soboleva et al.,](#page-30-5) [2014\)](#page-30-5). However, a few structural and functional features suggest the contrary. Recently resolved crystal structures of H2A.Z-containing nucleosomes revealed subtle differences in the H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2 L1 loop [\(Horikoshi](#page-29-4) [et al., 2013\)](#page-29-4), but it remains unknown whether such differences manifest any functional consequences. In H2A.Z.1 $^{-/-}$ mice, which are embryonic lethal [\(Faast et al., 2001\)](#page-29-5), H2A.Z.2 is apparently unable to compensate for the loss of its twin isoform, thereby suggesting a unique role for H2A.Z.1 during early embryonic development. Similar functional inequality of H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2 has also been recently reported in melanoma cells [\(Vardabasso](#page-30-12) [et al., 2015\)](#page-30-12). Taken together, there remains an untested possibility that these two H2A.Z hypervariants may not be functionally identical, but instead have discrete nonoverlapping roles (functional specificity) in gene transcription. We tested this hypothesis in neurons and report here that H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2 have independent and contextspecific roles in basal and neuronal activity-induced gene transcription.

Materials and Methods

Plasmids and subcloning

Several web-based software programs were used to design eight (four each) hypervariant-specific shRNA for RNAi. The shRNA were inserted into pLKO.1-puro (designed by RNAi consortium or TRC; obtained from Addgene) following the protocol on the Addgene website. Self-inactivating HIV lentivirus particles were produced by transfecting 293T cells with the shRNA vector, envelope (pMD2.G; Addgene), and packaging plasmids (psPAX2; Addgene). For construction of exogenous tagged constructs, DNA sequences encoding HA-H2A.Z.1, HA.IS1,

Figure 1. Validation of H2A.Z hypervariant-specific RNAi. *A*, H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2 amino acid sequences are depicted where three different amino acid residues are indicated in red. The target sequences of sh*H2afz* and sh*H2afv* are noted in blue. *B*, left, Representative images of smFISH of *H2afv* and *H2afz* in E18 rat cortex. Right, Quantification of *H2afz* and *H2afv* molecules; particle count per 212 μ m². $N = 3$, $\ast p < 0.05$ (one-tailed unpaired *t* test). Scale bar, 10 μ m. *C*, Cortical neurons in culture were infected with lentiviruses delivering either sh*H2afz* or sh*H2afv* and total RNA was collected after indicated number of days. Compared to control neurons, changes in *H2afz* and *H2afv* mRNA levels were determined by qPCR, normalized to *Gapdh* mRNA levels (internal control) and are depicted here as fold change. NS, not significant. $:p < 0.05$. $N = 3-6$. D , Timeline of infection and treatment for all assays performed in dissociated cortical neurons. Cells were infected with lentiviruses between 5 and 7 d after plating (gray line), and assays were performed between 4 and 7 d after infection (between DIV10 and DIV14; blue line). *E*, Total acid-extracted histone from neurons

continued

infected with lentiviruses delivering either sh*H2afz* or sh*H2afv* or both for 6 –7 d, resolved by electrophoresis, and blotted for indicated histone. *N* = 3. Note the cumulative effect of depleting both hypervariants when probed with total H2A.Z antibody. **F**, IP of nucleosomal H2A.Z from nuclear extract of neurons infected with lentiviruses delivering either sh*H2afz* or sh*H2afv* or both for 6 d resolved by electrophoresis. $N = 3$. *G*, *H*, Neurons were coinfected with indicated constructs for $4-5$ d and nuclear extracts were resolved by electrophoresis. IS, insensitive; shRNA target regions were swapped in these constructs. $N = 3$.

HA-ISB, MYC-H2A.Z.2, MYC-IS2, and MYC-ISB were generated each with 5' EcoRI and 3' NotI restriction sites, using Life Technologies GeneArt Gene Synthesis "strings." These EcoRI-NotI DNA fragments were cloned into the System Biosciences lentiviral plasmid CD811A-1, using the corresponding restriction sites on the vector. Subsequently, DNA constructs were characterized by restriction mapping using EcoRI and NotI enzymes (New England Biolabs), and inserted elements were validated by sequencing. These constructs were packaged into lentiviruses as mentioned above.

Dissociated neuronal culture, RNAi, and cell treatment

Cultures of cortical neurons were prepared from embryonic day 18 (E18) Sprague Dawley rats of either sex. All animal procedures were performed in accordance with the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) and the University of California Merced animal care committee's regulations [NIEHS Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) approval: ASP#01-21; and University of California Merced IACUC approval: ASP#13-0007 and ASP#16-0004]. Dissociated cortical neurons were plated in Neurobasal medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 25 μ M glutamate (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.5 mM L-glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich), either B27 (Invitrogen) or NS21, and maintained in a similar medium without the glutamate. NS21 was prepared in the laboratory as previously described [\(Chen et al., 2008\)](#page-29-6). Neurons were routinely used between 10 and 14 d *in vitro* (DIV), a stage when synapses have formed and are effective. For infection with recombinant lentiviruses, the viral supernatant was diluted in neuronal media and cells were infected between DIV5 and DIV7 at a multiplicity of infection ranging from 2 to 5 for 4 –7 d. To induce gene transcription under resting conditions using synaptic circuits, we cotreated neurons with 50 μ M bicuculline (Bic; Sigma-Aldrich) and 75 µM 4-aminopyridine (4AP; Acros Organics; [Papadia](#page-30-13) [et al., 2005\)](#page-30-13). To induce gene transcription extrasynaptically, we blocked neuronal activity with $1-2$ μ M tetrodotoxin (TTX; Calbiochem) and activated the MAP kinase (MAPK) pathway (via PKC) with 1 μ M phorbol ester myristate (PMA; Sigma-Aldrich; [Schultz et al., 1997\)](#page-30-14). To induce gene transcription at the intranuclear level, neurons were treated with 100 μ M 5, 6-dichloro-1- β -Dribofuranosyl-benzimidazole (DRB; Sigma-Aldrich) alone, or in combination with 250 –500 nM triptolide (Trip; Tocris) for 1 h followed by washout with preconditioned media. To induce genes after homeostatic changes, a previously described protocol using prolonged treatment of TTX for 48 h followed by its washout was used [\(Saha et al., 2011\)](#page-30-0).

Electrophysiological recordings

Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were performed on dissociated cortical neurons coexpressing GFP and shRNA by an experimenter blinded to the identity of the treatment. Miniature EPSCs (mEPSCs) were assessed for frequency and amplitude as detailed previously [\(Saha](#page-30-0) [et al., 2011\)](#page-30-0). For visualization of infected neurons using GFP coexpression, the shRNA component was subcloned from the pLKO.1 to the pLKO.3G backbone as detailed previously [\(Saha et al., 2011\)](#page-30-0). To ensure adequate knockdown, PCR was performed in sister neuronal cultures with the same batch of viruses to confirm their efficacy.

RNA extraction and gene transcription quantification

Total RNA was isolated from dissociated neurons using the RNeasy Mini kit (QIAGEN) with in-column DNase (QIAGEN) digestion. cDNA was synthesized using MuLV reverse transcriptase (Promega), random primers (Promega), oligo dTs (Promega), and RNase inhibitors (Thermo Scientific). qPCR was performed using iTaq Universal Sybr Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) and the Bio-Rad CFX Connect real-time PCR Detection System. Pre-mRNA was estimated as described previously [\(Saha, et al., 2011\)](#page-30-0). Rat PCR primers used in this study are listed in [Table 1.](#page-5-0)

Expression microarray and data analysis

Gene expression analysis was performed using RNA obtained from four biological replicates. The Agilent Whole Rat Genome 4x44 multiplex format oligo arrays (014879, Agilent Technologies) were used following the Agilent 1-color microarray-based gene expression analysis protocol. Using 500 ng of total RNA, Cy3-labeled cRNA was produced according to the manufacturer's protocol. For each sample 1.65 μ g of Cy3-labeled cRNAs were fragmented and hybridized for 17 h in a rotating hybridization oven. Slides were washed and then scanned with an Agilent Scanner. Data were obtained using the Agilent Feature Extraction software (v9.5), using the 1-color defaults for all parameters. The Agilent Feature Extraction Software performed error modeling, adjusting for additive and multiplicative noise. Then, "gProcessedSignal" values were extracted for each probe and sample and used as the baseline expression measurements and quantile normalization was performed to normalize the samples. Probe-based expression data were collapsed down to a single measurement per gene by taking the median of all probes associated with each gene. Next, the average expression was calculated across all samples for each gene and the bottom 10% of genes with the lowest average expression was removed. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified by first performing a two-sample

Table 1. List of primers used in the study

Student's *t* test for each gene followed by Benjamini Hochberg correction. Genes were defined as differentially expressed if they had $p < 0.001$ and a fold change of 1.25 or greater. For the heat map depicting expression of these DEGs, the expression values were normalized to have a mean of zero and a standard deviation of one, and hierarchical clustering of these genes was performed. GO terms that were enriched for these DEGs were identified using the online tool DAVID [\(http://david.ncifcrf.gov/](http://david.ncifcrf.gov)). GEO accession number for these datasets is GSE96886.

Sample preparation for electrophoresis

Neurons were lysed in ice-cold $1 \times$ RIPA buffer (25 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Na-deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, and 1% NP-40) and supplemented with 1:100 protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich). Lysed neurons were sheared by sonication, cell debris pelleted at 15000 rpm for 5 min at 4°C, and the clarified supernatant transferred to a prechilled 1.7 ml microcentrifuge tube. Total cell extracts were denatured at 95°C for 5 min, using either home-made $5 \times$ Laemmli buffer, BOLT $4 \times$ Sample buffer (Life Technologies) and BOLT $10\times$ reducing agent (Life Technologies), $2\times$ -, or $4\times$ Laemmli sample buffer (both from Bio-Rad). To obtain synaptic fractions, cell pellets were treated with 1 ml ice-cold Syn-PER reagent (Thermo Scientific catalogue number 87793) and supplemented with 1:100 protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich). Neurons were incubated on ice for 10 min, gently triturated 10 times, centrifuged at 1200 \times g for 10 min at 4°C, and supernatant was transferred to ice-cold microcentrifuge tubes, then recentrifuged at $15,000 \times g$ for 20 min at 4°C. The pellet was then resuspended in 20 μ l 2 \times Laemmli sample buffer (Bio-Rad). Acid-extracted histone fractions were prepared using a protocol described previously [\(Shechter et al., 2007\)](#page-30-15). Histone pellets were denatured using $2 \times$ Laemmli sample buffer (Bio-Rad).

Immunoprecipitations (IPs)

All IPs were performed overnight, at 4° C in $1 \times$ IP buffer (0.5% Triton X-100, 0.002 M EDTA, 0.02 M Tris pH 7.75, 0.15 M NaCl, and 10% glycerol), or in $1 \times$ IP buffer from the SimpleChIP Enzymatic Chromatin IP kit (Cell Signaling Technology catalogue number 9003). Proteins were isolated from overnight IPs by incubating with Pierce Protein A/G Magnetic Beads (catalogue number 88803) for 1 h at 4°C. Subsequently, the beads were washed three times with IP buffer, denatured, separated by electrophoresis, and immune blotted as noted below.

Western blotting and imaging

Denatured protein samples were resolved on 4 –20% (Bio-Rad catalogue number 4568095), 4 –15% (Bio-Rad catalogue number 456 –1083), 8 –16% (Bio-Rad catalogue number 456 –1103) Mini PROTEAN gels, or on BOLT 4 –12% Bis-Tris Plus Gels (Life Technologies catalogue number NW04122BOX), in Tris/Glycine/SDS (Bio-Rad catalogue number 1610772), or $1 \times$ MOPS buffer (Life Technologies catalogue number B0001), respectively. Resolved proteins were transferred onto LF PVDF membrane, using the Bio-Rad TBT RTA kit and protocol (catalogue number 1704272). PVDF membranes were incubated at 4°C overnight with appropriate primary antibodies in $1 \times$ TBS-T with 0.5% BSA. The next day, membranes were washed three times in $1 \times$ TBS-T, probed with appropriate Alexa Fluor secondary antibodies (Life Technologies) for 30 – 45 min at room temperature, washed three times with $1 \times$ TBS-T, and imaged using Bio-Rad ChemiDoc Imaging System.

Chromatin digestion

Micrococcal nuclease (MNase) digests of rat dissociated neurons were performed using the SimpleChIP Enzymatic Chromatin IP kit (Cell Signaling Technology catalogue number 9003). Cells were fixed using Thermo Scientific Pierce methanol-free formaldehyde (catalogue number PI28906). The manufacturer's protocol was used with the exception that Thermo Scientific Pierce Micrococcal Nuclease (catalogue number PI88216) was used in place of CST MNase. Postdigest, samples were briefly sonicated at low settings to rupture the nucleus and then were either subjected to chromatin IP (ChIP), DNA extraction, or protein co-IP following the CST kit protocol.

ChIP

Neurons were fixed with formaldehyde for 5–10 min, lysed in the sonication buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 7.8, 2 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 0.5% SDS, and inhibitors for proteases and phosphatases), and then sonicated for sixteen cycles (bursts and intervals of 30 s each) with the Bioruptor (Diagenode) to obtain 200- to 1000-bp genomic DNA fragments. A fraction of the sonicated sample was then immunoprecipitated overnight at 4° C in the $1 \times$ IP buffer with 2- to 5- μ g antibody. Antigen-antibody complexes were immunoprecipitated with Pierce Protein A/G Magnetic Beads, washed once with low salt buffer, three times with high salt buffer, once with LiCl buffer, and once with Tris-EDTA buffer. Samples were reverse cross-linked at 65°C overnight, and chromatin DNA was eluted using the QIAquick Nucleotide Removal kit (QIAGEN). Eluted chromatin was quantified by qPCR.

Immunocytochemistry and microscopy

Infected neurons were washed twice with $1 \times$ ice-cold PBS (Fisher Scientific). The cells were then incubated with 4% paraformaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich) in $1\times$ PBS for 15 min at room temperature and then washed twice with $1\times$ PBS, permeabilized at room temperature for 20 min with 0.5% Triton X-100 (Fisher Scientific), washed twice with $1\times$ PBS, and blocked for 30 min with 10% goat serum (Gibco) in $1 \times$ PBS. Cells were incubated at 4° C overnight in 3% goat serum in $1\times$ PBS with primary antibodies at 1:500 dilution. The next day, the primary antibody solution was removed, and cells were washed three times with 0.05% Tween (Fisher) in $1 \times$ PBS (0.05% PBS-T), and incubated with appropriate secondary antibody in 3% goat serum in $1 \times$ PBS for 45 min, washed three times with 0.05% PBS-T, and cured overnight using ProLong Anti-Fade Gold with DAPI (Life Technologies). Images were captured with a Keyence BZ9000-E microscope at $20 \times$ magnification.

Antibodies

Sheep anti-H2A.Z (Millipore, 09-862; RRID[:AB_1587118\)](https://scicrunch.org/resolver/AB_1587118), mouse anti-MYC (ThermoFisher Scientific, R95025; RRID: [AB_2556560\)](https://scicrunch.org/resolver/AB_2556560), rabbit anti-H3 (Cell Signaling Technology, 2650S; RRID[:AB_2115124\)](https://scicrunch.org/resolver/AB_2115124), mouse anti- β -ACTIN (Thermo-Fisher Scientific, AM4302; RRID[:AB_2536382\)](https://scicrunch.org/resolver/AB_2536382), rabbit anti-ARC (Synaptic Systems, 156003; RRID[:AB_887694\)](https://scicrunch.org/resolver/AB_887694), rabbit anti-p-p44/42 MAPK (T202/Y204; Cell Signaling Technology, 4370S; RRID[:AB_2315112\)](https://scicrunch.org/resolver/AB_2315112), mouse anti-p44/42 MAPK (Erk1/2; L34F12; Cell Signaling Technology, 4696S; RRID: [AB_390780\)](https://scicrunch.org/resolver/AB_390780), rabbit anti-HA (Cell Signaling Technology, 3724S; RRID[:AB_1549585\)](https://scicrunch.org/resolver/AB_1549585), mouse anti-MYC (Cell Signaling Technology, 2276S; RRID[:AB_331783\)](https://scicrunch.org/resolver/AB_331783), rabbit anti-H2A (Cell Signaling Technology, 12349S; RRID[:AB_2687875\)](https://scicrunch.org/resolver/AB_2687875), mouse anti-H2B (Cell Signaling Technology, 2934S; RRID: [AB_2295301\)](https://scicrunch.org/resolver/AB_2295301), mouse anti-H4 (Cell Signaling Technology, 2935S; RRID[:AB_1147658\)](https://scicrunch.org/resolver/AB_1147658), rabbit anti-H2A.Z (Cell Signaling Technology, 2718S; RRID[:AB_10694716\)](https://scicrunch.org/resolver/AB_10694716), mouse anti-PSD95 (Antibodies Incorporated, 75-028; RRID[:AB_2292909\)](https://scicrunch.org/resolver/AB_2292909), rabbit anti-SH3 and multiple ankyrin repeat domains 3 (SHANK3) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, SC30193; RRID[:AB_2301759\)](https://scicrunch.org/resolver/AB_2301759), goat anti-SHANK3 N16 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, SC23547; RRID[:AB_2187727\)](https://scicrunch.org/resolver/AB_2187727), mouse anti-GluR2 (antiGluA2; Millipore, MAB397; RRID[:AB_2113875\)](https://scicrunch.org/resolver/AB_2113875), mouse anti-HOMER1 (Synaptic Systems, 160011; RRID[:AB_2120992\)](https://scicrunch.org/resolver/AB_2120992), mouse anti-Synapsin1 (Synaptic Systems, 106001; RRID: [AB_887805\)](https://scicrunch.org/resolver/AB_887805), rabbit anti-RPB1 (Cell Signaling Technology, 14958S; RRID[:AB_2687876\)](https://scicrunch.org/resolver/AB_2687876), rabbit anti-acetyl-H2A.Z Lys 5,7,11 (Millipore, ABE1363; RRID[:AB_2687877\)](https://scicrunch.org/resolver/AB_2687877), and rabbit anti-pSer5-RPB1 (Active Motif, 61085; RRID[:AB_2687451\)](https://scicrunch.org/resolver/AB_2687451).

Single molecule fluorescent *in situ* **hybridization (smFISH)**

Rat brains were harvested at E18 and individually embedded in 22 \times 22 mm cryomolds using optimal cutting temperature compound (OCT) and flash frozen in 2-methylbutane, which was cooled to -20° C on a dry ice/ethanol slurry. Brains were sectioned on a Leica cryostat at 20 μ m and processed for single-molecule FISH according to the RNAscope Fluorescent Multiplex kit instructions (Advanced Cell Diagnostics). The following probes were used with the RNAscope fluorescent multiplex reagent kit: Rn-H2afv (20 ZZ probes targeting 414 – 1815 of NM_001106019.1; part# 495451), Rn-Hz1fz-C2 (13 ZZ probes targeting 12–792 of NM_022674.1; part# 495441-C2), 3-plex Positive Control Probe, rat (part# 320891), and 3-plex Negative Control Probe (part# 320871). Images were acquired on a Zeiss 880 confocal microscope using a $40\times$ oil-immersion lens. The image acquisition parameters were set using 3-plex negative controls (cDNA probes against bacterial RNAs not present in rat tissue) in each of the three channels (fluorescein isothiocyanate, CY3 and CY5) so that any signal above the level of background was acquired. At least three 212 \times 212 μ m z-stack images (1- μ m steps) of somatosensory cortex were acquired per rat. Images were processed and analyzed using FIJI software (NIH v.2). Maximum intensity projection images were thresholded to an average value per channel and particles were counted using the analyze particle function in FIJI. Background particle counts were subtracted from each value before taking the average particle count per channel per rat $(N = 3)$.

Nanostring assay and data analysis

NanoString probes were designed for indicated premRNAs by NanoString technologies and assays were performed following the manufacturer's protocol. Data were processed in R (version 3.3.2), using the limma package (version 3.30.8) from Bioconductor (version 3.4). Data were log2 transformed, then batch-adjusted within each experiment and time point to account for sample processing errors. The pre-mRNA measurements were normalized using pre-mRNA housekeeper genes. Sample quality and processing were reviewed and confirmed using MA-plots and heat maps at each step. Pairwise comparisons were performed using the limma-voom methodology as previously described. Statistical hits were defined by requiring one sample group mean log2 signal at least 2, absolute fold change above 1.5, and Benjamini Hochberg adjusted $p < 0.01$. Heat maps were generated using group mean log2 normalized abundances, centered to the indicated control group mean. Violin plots were generated using the same values, centered to the treated scrambled for the respective group of

treatments. Gene class changes per experiment and time point were tested using group mean values in a nonparametric, paired Wilcoxon test, with gene as the pairing factor, to test for coordinated gene changes between treated shH2A.Z.1 or treated shH2A.Z.2 and the corresponding treated scrambled of the same experiment time point.

Experimental replicates and statistics

Biological and technical replicates for each figure are indicated as *N* and *n*, respectively, in corresponding figure legends. Error bars represent standard error of mean throughout this article. Statistical comparison of datasets was performed with the two-tailed Student's *t* test (with Bonferroni corrections for multiple comparisons), twoway ANOVA, or Wilcoxon paired nonparametric test, unless otherwise stated in the figure legend.

Results

H2A.Z hypervariants and strategy to target them independently

First, we asked if both H2A.Z isoforms, H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2 [\(Fig. 1](#page-3-0)*A*), are expressed in the brain. Using the smFISH approach and specific probes that bind to *H2afz* or *H2afv*, we detected both H2A.Z isoforms in the E18 rat brain [\(Fig. 1](#page-3-0)*B*). While there were significantly more *H2afz* particles compared to *H2afv*, we observed that the majority of DAPI-positive cells expressed both RNAs. Further studies were performed in dissociated cells obtained from E18 rat brains.

Next, we used RNAi to target these hypervariants independently. Both genes have been duplicated during evolution and have multiple mammalian "gene copies" that have variable noncoding regions despite having the same coding region. For example, in rat, when compared to the annotated *H2afz* on chromosome 2, an unnamed "pseudogene" on chromosome 16 (rat rn6 location: 71438461- 71439260) codes for an mRNA that retains the *H2afz* coding sequence in totality amid dissimilar noncoding regions. Therefore, to ensure knockdown of these probable pseudogene mRNAs as well, the coding region was targeted for RNAi. Initially, we designed four shRNA against each of the *H2afz* and *H2afv* mRNA coding regions and evaluated their knockdown efficacy by qPCR. Only one of these four shRNA pairs efficiently knocked down each hypervariant independently; others knocked down both hypervariants to some degree. Interestingly, these efficient shRNAs against *H2afz* (sh*H2afz*) and *H2afv* (sh*H2afv*) targeted the same region on the respective mRNA [\(Fig. 1](#page-3-0)*A*). These 24-bp target regions on *H2afz* and *H2afv* mRNAs differ by only 5 bp. Because of such scant difference, we tested whether sh*H2afz* and sh*H2afv* were indeed specific for their respective hypervariant targets.

Lentivirus-mediated delivery of sh*H2afz* to dissociated rat cortical neurons significantly knocked down *H2afz*, but not *H2afv*, mRNA at all tested times after viral infection [\(Fig. 1](#page-3-0)*C*). Similarly, *H2afv*, but not *H2afz*, mRNA was knocked down by sh*H2afv* [\(Fig. 1](#page-3-0)*C*). We also noted that loss of one hypervariant was not compensated by significantly enhanced expression of the other hypervariant. Because efficient knockdown was noted between days 4 and 7 after infection, we decided to use this timeline for the rest of our assays [\(Fig. 1](#page-3-0)*D*). At the protein level, as detected by a commercial anti-H2A.Z antibody that does not discriminate between hypervariants, infection with sh*H2afz* or sh*H2afv* viruses partially depleted endogenous H2A.Z levels without affecting the expression of other histones [\(Fig. 1](#page-3-0)*E*). Although we were unable to completely abolish H2A.Z signal on infection with both sh*H2afz* and sh*H2afv* viruses, H2A.Z protein depletion was more pronounced on coinfection. We suspect that the protein remaining after coinfection is nucleosomal H2A.Z protected in the heterochromatin [\(Fig. 1](#page-3-0)*E*,*F*). The cumulative effect of such coinfection suggests that neurons express both hypervariants, and that they are sensitive to their respective shRNA only.

To test the above stated possibility further, we coexpressed sh*H2afz* or sh*H2afv* with either tagged HA-H2A.Z.1 or tagged Myc-H2A.Z.2. Protein expression of HA-H2A.Z.1 was attenuated by sh*H2afz*, but not sh*H2afv*. Similarly, MYC-H2A.Z.2 protein expression was sensitive to sh*H2afv*, but not sh*H2afz* [\(Fig. 1](#page-3-0)*G*,*H*, left panels). To test sh*H2afz* and sh*H2afv* specificity even further, we exchanged their 24-bp target sequence in our exogenous constructs with the expectation that shRNA sensitivity of these constructs will also be reversed. As expected, HA-H2A.Z.1-IS, the *H2afz* mRNA with the sh*H2afv* 24-bp target sequence, is sensitive to sh*H2afv*, but not sh*H2afz*. Similarly, Myc-H2A.Z.2-IS is sensitive to sh*H2afz*, but not *shH2afv* [\(Fig. 1](#page-3-0)*G*,*H*, right panels). Taken together, we show that sh*H2afz* and sh*H2afv* are specific for *H2afz* and *H2afv* mRNAs respectively, and that we can efficiently knockdown each targeted hypervariant independently.

H2A.Z hypervariant depletion alters expression of nonoverlapping sets of genes

To examine the impact of individual H2A.Z hypervariant knockdown on basal level of neuronal gene transcription, we performed a genome-wide microarray analysis of RNA obtained from dissociated cortical neurons depleted of H2A.Z.1 or H2A.Z.2. Gene expression levels in these samples were compared to samples from neurons treated with a scrambled shRNA (referred to as control neurons hereafter). The degree of change in the mRNA levels for every gene, whose transcription was altered due to H2A.Z.1 or H2A.Z.2 deficiency $(>1.25$ -fold), is plotted in [Figure 2](#page-8-0) as a heat map. A total of 338 genes were differentially expressed due to depletion of H2A.Z.1 (154 genes were upregulated and 184 were downregulated), while H2A.Z.2 depletion altered expression of 271 genes (94 genes were upregulated and 177 were downregulated). Only 24 genes were sensitive to depletion of either H2A.Z isoform [\(Fig. 2](#page-8-0)*B*,*C*; [Tables 2](#page-19-0)[–5\)](#page-26-0). Such relative dearth of common genes affected by depletion of either hypervariant suggests that H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2 regulate, directly or indirectly, independent gene cohorts. Functional gene ontology analysis of H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2 target genes revealed that targets of the former are enriched for ionotropic postsynaptic receptors [\(Fig. 2](#page-8-0)*D*). Three of the top 10 molecular GO pathways belonged to this category.

Figure 2. H2A.Z hypervariant depletion alters baseline expression of mostly nonidentical gene cohorts. *A*, Heat map showing changes in gene expression as detected by microarray analysis after depletion of H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2 compared to control neurons. $N = 4$. Genes showing >1.25-fold change with $p < 0.001$ are shown here. **B**, **C**, Venn diagrams depicting total number of genes that are either upregulated (*B*) or downregulated (*C*) after depletion of H2A.Z.1 or H2A.Z.2. Note the nonoverlapping nature of such gene sets. **D**, Top five GO terms derived from molecular function gene ontology analysis (DAVID) for H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2 target genes.

This finding contrasts with H2A.Z.2 target genes, where none of the hits were related to synaptic function. Interestingly, knockdown of H2A.Z.1, but not H2A.Z.2, led to altered expression of several candidate genes linked to psychiatric disorders such as autism and schizophrenia (*Neurexin1*, *Shank3*, *CaMK2G*, *Vamp7*, *Gsk3b*, *Tuba8*, *Grm8*, etc.).

H2A.Z hypervariant depletion changes synaptic protein expression

Because glutamatergic synaptic function was indicated as a hypervariant-specific GO term in the above analysis, and to test whether H2A.Z hypervariant depletions have any impact on the physiologic health of neurons, we performed electrophysiological analyses of membrane and excitatory synaptic properties. Resting membrane potentials and input resistances were not significantly different between control and H2A.Z.1- or H2A.Z.2-depleted neurons. Presynaptic function and/or synaptic density, as inferred by mEPSC frequency, was also not significantly different between conditions (mEPSC frequency per 3 s for control, H2A.Z.1-, and H2A.Z.2 depleted neurons were 0.31 \pm 0.31, 0.14 \pm 0.06, and 0.13 ± 0.07 , respectively). However, mEPSC amplitude, typically indicative of postsynaptic function, was significantly diminished in neurons depleted of either H2A.Z hypervariant [\(Fig. 3](#page-9-0)*A*,*B*). To better understand mechanisms of such mEPSC amplitude reduction in H2A.Z hypervariant-depleted neurons, we assessed mRNA and protein levels of several postsynaptic molecules, including many from our microarray screen. A few interesting

cases representing diverse effects of hypervariant depletion are presented in [Figure 3](#page-9-0)*C*,*D*.

A contrasting effect of hypervariant depletion was noted in two instances: postsynaptic density protein 95 (PSD-95) and SHANK3. PSD-95 is the anchoring protein encoded by *Dlg4* and found exclusively in the postsynaptic density, while SHANK3 is another member of the postsynaptic density that is encoded by the high-confidence autism candidate gene *Shank3*. These two gene products were strongly reduced in neurons depleted of H2A.Z.1, but not H2A.Z.2 [\(Fig. 3](#page-9-0)*D*). Compared to control, PSD-95 band intensities were 48.58 \pm 12.4%, 102.34 \pm 26.72%, and 65.95 \pm 17.42% in H2A.Z.1, H2A.Z.2, and both KD, respectively $(N = 3)$. Such an effect of H2A.Z.1 KD on PSD-95 must be indirect (not regulated at the gene transcription level), as *Dlg4* mRNA levels remained unchanged in H2A.Z.1-depleted neurons [\(Fig. 3](#page-9-0)*C*). For SHANK3, compared to control, band intensities were 20.25 \pm 7.6%, 47.74 \pm 20.35%, and 15.34 \pm 12.6% in H2A.Z.1, H2A.Z.2, and both KD, respectively $(N = 3)$. However, the effect on SHANK3 is interesting, as *Shank3* mRNA levels are upregulated specifically in response to H2A.Z.1 depletion, perhaps as a response to cellular feedback pathways triggered by loss of the protein.

We also tested levels of the ligand-gated ion channel GluA2 (AMPA receptor; coded by *Gria2*, also called GluR2) and postsynaptic density protein HOMER1 (coded by *Homer1*) after H2A.Z hypervariant depletion. As shown in [Figure 3](#page-9-0)*D*, we observe no notable difference in signal of either GluA2 or HOMER1B/C after H2A.Z.1 or H2A.Z.2 knockdown. However, the activity-induced short *Homer1a*

Figure 3. H2A.Z hypervariant-specific RNAi has synaptic effects. *A*, *B*, Amplitude of mEPSCs decrease after H2A.Z.1 or H2A.Z.2 depletion. Shown are example traces in *A* with quantification of mEPSC amplitude is in *B* ($N = 3$; $n = 15$ neurons for Sc, 10 for H2A.Z.1 KD, and 8 for H2A.Z.2 KD; \ast p < 0.05 and $\ast\ast$ p < 0.001). Neurons were infected for 5–7 d. C, mRNA levels of indicated genes, normalized to *Gapdh*, represented as fold change of their abundance in control neurons (broken line at 1 on *y*-axis). *N* 3–5. -*p* 0.05. *D*, Representative Western blottings of synaptic fraction from control or H2A.Z hypervariant-depleted neurons as indicated. Loading control (β -ACTIN) for individual blots are provided and molecular weights of the nearest protein ladder band is indicated. Arrow indicates quantified SHANK3 band (see text). Neurons were infected for 7 d. $N = 3$. Note: levels of synapsin, a presynaptic molecule, remain unaltered after hypervariant depletions.

mRNA isoform was downregulated by H2A.Z.1 loss and upregulated by H2A.Z.2 depletion [\(Fig. 3](#page-9-0)*C*). Further studies are required to confirm if such effects are direct influences of H2A.Z hypervariants on *Homer1a* transcription.

Together, we find that depletion of both H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2 leads to decreased amplitude of mEPSCs, which may be explained by altered expression of postsynaptic proteins. The effects of H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2 knockdown on the tested synaptic proteins, however, are apparently mediated by transcription-independent means in most cases given the lack of change at the pre-mRNA level.

H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2 depletion differentially impact *Arc/Arg3.1* **transcription**

To study direct roles of H2A.Z hypervariants on transcriptional regulation of a model gene, we chose to study the IEG *Arc/Arg3.1* (referred to as *Arc* from here on), which responds rapidly to neuronal activity [\(Guzowski et al., 1999;](#page-29-7) [Saha](#page-30-0) [et al., 2011\)](#page-30-0). *Arc* was chosen for several reasons: (1) it is a neuron-specific IEG, which has been extensively studied previously by us and others, (2) its promoter and transcriptional start site (TSS) regions are enriched with H2A.Zcontaining nucleosomes (our unpublished observations), and (3) it is one of the genes recently shown to be regulated by H2A.Z in cognitive functions [\(Zovkic et al., 2014\)](#page-30-6). Neuronal activity was stimulated in dissociated neurons by $GABA_A$ receptor antagonist (Bic)-induced disinhibition and K^+ channel blocker (4AP)-induced increased burst frequency (Bic

4AP; [Papadia et al., 2005\)](#page-30-13). Using the Bic $+$ 4AP protocol, we induced gene transcription and evaluated *Arc* mRNA abundance at 15 min after treatment. Basal and induced *Arc* mRNA levels were unaffected by H2A.Z.1 depletion but were significantly diminished in H2A.Z.2-depleted cells [\(Fig. 4](#page-10-0)*A*).

Because mRNA abundance is not necessarily a reflection of transcription per se but rather the net result of both synthesis and decay rates, we next evaluated the direct *Arc* transcription product: its pre-mRNA [\(Wu and Brewer,](#page-30-16) [2012\)](#page-30-16). We detected no significant differences in the basal level of *Arc* pre-mRNA with H2A.Z hypervariant depletions [\(Fig. 4](#page-10-0)*B*, 0-min time point). After Bic 4AP treatment, *Arc* pre-mRNA levels increased significantly within 15 min and continued to increase with time in control neurons. However, in agreement with the mRNA data [\(Fig. 4](#page-10-0)*A*), the pre-mRNA response in H2A.Z.2-depleted neurons was attenuated at the early time point (15 min) and was also significantly reduced at later points [\(Fig. 4](#page-10-0)*B*). In contrast, H2A.Z.1 depletion had no effect on *Arc* pre-mRNA levels at 15 min. However, at later time points, H2A.Z.1 depleted neurons had significantly less *Arc* pre-mRNA than in control neurons and yet had significantly more than in neurons depleted of H2A.Z.2 [\(Fig. 4](#page-10-0)*B*). These results suggest that although the loss of H2A.Z.1 impaired *Arc* transcription significantly at later time points, loss of H2A.Z.2 had a much stronger effect at all time points. At the protein level, hypervariant-specific effects on activityinduced ARC abundance were generally reflective of *Arc*

Figure 4. H2A.Z hypervariant-specific RNAi has differential effects on activity-induced *Arc* transcription. *A*, Graphical representation of *Arc* mRNA level at 15 min after treatment with Bic + 4AP in indicated neuronal groups as detected by qPCR and normalized to *Gapdh*. *N* = 3–4 for each group; -*p* 0.05. NS, not significant. *B*, *C*, Representation of *Arc* pre-mRNA level at different time points after treatment with Bic + 4AP (B) or TTX + PMA (C) in indicated groups of neurons (5-6 d postinfection) as detected by qPCR and normalized to *Gapdh*. *N* $p = 3-4$ for each time point; $\ast p < 0.05$ and $\ast \ast p < 0.01$. NS, not significant. Two sets of data in statistical comparison are represented by the position and the color of asterisk(s) or a NS. For example, in **B**, the green $*$ on top of the 15 min H2A.Z.2 KD time point (red triangle) represents a statistical difference between the means of H2A.Z.2 and H2A.Z.1 KD group (green square) at the same time point. *D*, *E*, Representative Western blottings of whole-cell lysate from neurons infected as indicated for 5-6 d. Neurons were treated with Bic + 4AP (*D*) or TTX PMA (*E*) for either 60 min to detect ARC, or for 15 min to detect phosphorylated ERK1/2. *F*, Representation of *Arc* pre-mRNA level at 15 min after treatment with Bic + 4AP in indicated neuronal groups as detected by qPCR and normalized to Gapdh. N = 3; **p < 0.01.

pre-mRNA expression patterns [\(Fig. 4](#page-10-0)*D*). When both hypervariants were depleted, pre-mRNA results were not significantly greater than H2A.Z.2 depletion alone, and were in fact intermediate between the two hypervariants. While trying to knockdown both H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2, we had to titer our viral infection and infect with less of each virus kind to avoid causing viral overload-induced cell death. Therefore, less knockdown was achieved in the dual KD cells compared to their single KD counterparts. Such reduced knockdown may explain the intermediate effect of knocking down both H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2 on *Arc* transcription.

Because some synaptic alterations were noted due to H2A.Z hypervariant depletion and because Bic $+$ 4AP treatment is a synapse-dependent activity induction protocol, we next tried to induce *Arc* nonsynaptically by jump-starting the MAPK pathway, otherwise employed by activity-induced gene transcription signals [\(Rosen et al.,](#page-30-17) [1994;](#page-30-17) [Wiegert and Bading, 2011\)](#page-30-18). The MAPK pathway was extrasynaptically activated via PKC using PMA [\(Schultz et al., 1997\)](#page-30-14) in the presence of TTX to prevent any extracellular input via neuronal activity, and this treatment induced rapid transcription of *Arc* [\(Fig. 4](#page-10-0)*C*). When H2A.Z hypervariant-depleted neurons were stimulated using this

Figure 5. Distinct properties of HA-H2A.Z.1- and MYC-H2A.Z.2-containing nucleosomes. *A*, Representative image of immunocytochemical analysis of HA-H2A.Z.1 and MYC-H2A.Z.2 expression in unstimulated neurons. Approximately 70% neurons expressed both hypervariants. Scale bar, 20 μm. **B**, Co-IP assay from nuclear lysate preparation of neurons exogenously expressing a control vector or HA-H2A.Z.1 and MYC-H2A.Z.2. *N* 3. *C*, Representative image of genomic DNA obtained from untreated or MNase-treated nuclei of neurons treated as described above. The band size of \sim 150 bp indicates that MNase digestion predominantly produced mononucleosomes. $N = 3$. D , Co-IP assay from single nucleosome preparation of neurons exogenously expressing HA-H2A.Z.1 and MYC-H2A.Z.2. Antigen-antibody complex was resolved by electrophoresis and blotted for indicated histones. The 15-kDa protein ladder band is indicated in all panels. Arrow indicates unique band found only in anti-MYC precipitate. $N = 3$.

protocol, the outcome was similar to what was seen with the Bic $+$ 4AP protocol, both at the pre-mRNA and protein levels [\(Fig. 4](#page-10-0)*C*,*E*). These observations suggest that consequences of H2A.Z hypervariant depletion on activity-induced *Arc* transcription are most likely not limited to effects of changing synaptic components. Supporting this assertion is the observation that H2A.Z hypervariant depletion had no effect on activity-induced activation of the MAPK pathway as indicated by phospho-ERK1/2 levels [\(Fig. 4](#page-10-0)*D*,*E*).

To further test the specificity of the H2A.Z.2 depletioninduced phenotype, we attempted to rescue rapid *Arc* induction by combining shRNA-mediated knockdown with coexpression of shRNA-insensitive H2A.Z.2 (Myc-H2A.Z.2- IS). Several such experimental designs were unsuccessful, likely due to lack of regulatory post-translational modifications on exogenous constructs in the absence of endogenous proteins and/or perturbation of a critical balance of endogenous levels of these hypervariants and their chaperones. The latter possibility is based on a recent study where it was demonstrated that phenotype loss due to depletion of ANP32E, an H2A.Z chaperone that removes nucleosomal H2A.Z, could be rescued by codepletion of ANP32E and H2A.Z [\(Alatwi and Downs,](#page-29-8) [2015\)](#page-29-8). Accordingly, we codepleted ANP32E (92.0 \pm 0.1% knockdown on day 5 after infection) with H2A.Z.2 and observed a partial rescue of rapid *Arc* transcription [\(Fig.](#page-3-0) 4*[F](#page-3-0)*). Taken together, our data show nonredundant roles of H2A.Z hypervariants in the regulation of *Arc* transcription and hint at the importance of a fine balance between histones and their chaperones in mediating such responses.

H2A.Z hypervariants are present near the *Arc* **TSS and regulate its transcription**

H2A.Z is enriched near *Arc* TSS [\(Zovkic et al., 2014\)](#page-30-6). To identify specific hypervariant(s) in this enrichment, we exogenously expressed distinctly tagged hypervariants (HA-H2A.Z.1 and MYC-H2A.Z.2) in neurons and probed for their expression using antibodies against the HA- or MYC-epitope tags [\(Fig. 5](#page-11-0)*A*). Usually, protein overexpression is concerning, because it may cause, among other things, artifacts that include ectopic subcellular localizations and inaccurate protein complex formations. Despite such limitations, we reasoned that increased dosage of tagged-H2A.Z hypervariants in the free-floating nucleoplasmic pool may not have any negative consequences as H2A.Z incorporation into its functionally relevant complex (nucleosomes) is tightly regulated by chaperone-dependent mechanisms [\(Billon and Cote, 2013\)](#page-29-9), and so this overexpression will not necessarily have unwanted nucleosomal incorporation of H2A.Z despite its artificial abundance.

To verify nucleosomal incorporation of tagged-H2A.Z hypervariants, we performed co-IP assays. These assays, with anti-IgG, anti-H2A.Z, anti-HA and anti-MYC from nuclear lysates, or anti-HA and anti-MYC from mononucleosome preparations [\(Fig. 5](#page-11-0)*B*–*D*), detected stable association of both hypervariants with other nucleosomal histones H2B, H2A, H3 and H4 suggesting that tagged-H2A.Z molecules are incorporated into nucleosomes. Interestingly, (1) HA and MYC IPs probed for their respective hypervariants revealed very little association between HA-H2A.Z.1 and MYC-H2A.Z.2, suggesting that very few H2A.Z nucleosomes contain both hypervariants; and (2) we detected a unique band in H2B, presumably representing an unidentified post-translationally modified state of H2B, in the precipitate with MYC-H2A.Z.2, but not HA-H2A.Z.1 [\(Fig. 5](#page-11-0)*B*,*D*). These data suggest that certain H2A.Z.2-containing nucleosomes may have unique posttranslational signatures and possibly unique functions.

To confirm that functional incorporation of tagged-H2A.Z molecules into the *Arc* promoter region did not interfere with transcriptional activity, we expressed HA-H2A.Z.1 and MYC-H2A.Z.2 in mature neurons and induced *Arc* transcription with PMA + TTX. Overexpression

Figure 6. Both H2A.Z hypervariants are present near the *Arc* TSS and mediate its transcription. *A*, Representative Western blotting of whole-cell lysate from neurons infected as indicated for $5-6$ d. Neurons were treated with TTX $+$ PMA for 60 min to assess ARC expression. $N = 3$. **B**, top, Graphical map (not to scale) depicting relative position of ChIP primers near *Arc* TSS. Bottom, Quantification of H2A.Z, MYC-H2A.Z.2, and HA-H2A.Z.1 binding to the *Arc* TSS region in untreated neurons as determined by ChIP with antibodies against H2A.Z, MYC, and HA epitopes. $N = 4$. C, Quantification of H2A.Z, Ac-H2A.Z, MYC-H2A.Z.2, and HA-H2A.Z.1 binding to Arc TSS region in neurons treated with Bic + 4AP for indicated time periods. $N = 4$. Data are normalized as indicated and expressed as fold change compared to untreated samples (denoted by the broken line at 1 on *y*-axis).

of one or both hypervariants did not alter *Arc* transcription at pre-mRNA or protein level [\(Fig. 6](#page-12-0)*A*).

Next, we performed ChIP assays to detect H2A.Z and its hypervariants near the *Arc* TSS. We tested several anti-H2A.Z antibodies and found the ChIP grade antibody from Millipore to be most efficient. Using this antibody, we detected significant enrichment of H2A.Z near the *Arc* TSS (primer pair 1, 2, and 3) compared to *Arc* gene body (primer 4; [Fig. 6](#page-12-0)*B*). Primer pairs 1 and 3 roughly represent the -1 and $+2$ (often referred to as $+1$) nucleosomes across the *Arc* TSS [\(Kim et al., 2010\)](#page-29-10), while primer pair 2 denotes a region across the TSS, which instead of being nucleosome-free, houses a nucleosome (+1) in *Arc* (see H3K4.me data in the study by [Kim et al., 2010\)](#page-29-10). Like total H2A.Z, both MYC-H2A.Z.2 and HA-H2A.Z.1 were also enriched near the TSS compared to the gene body [\(Fig.](#page-12-0) 6*[B](#page-12-0)*). Although strong signals were obtained near the TSS with both anti-HA and anti-MYC ChIP compared to empty vector controls, these signals cannot be directly compared as their apparent difference is likely to be due to dissimilarity in antibody efficiency. For example, this trend is reversed when similar ChIP assays were performed after overexpressing hypervariants with swapped tags (data not shown). Taken together, we conclude that both H2A.Z hypervariants are present near the *Arc* TSS.

To distinguish the potentially different roles of H2A.Z hypervariants in activity-induced *Arc* transcription at the gene level, we treated neurons with Bic $+$ 4AP for various time periods and performed ChIP with antiH2A.Z, anti-MYC, anti-HA, and anti-acetylated H2A.Z (Ac-H2A.Z) antibodies [\(Fig. 6](#page-12-0)*C*). Acetylation of H2A.Z is an important epigenetic modification associated with active or primed promoters [\(Soboleva et al., 2014\)](#page-30-5). On $Bic + 4AP$ treatment, H3-normalized total H2A.Z levels were unchanged at any of the three nucleosomes across the *Arc* TSS at all time points tested (see Discussion). However, ChIP signal for Ac-H2A.Z drops significantly at all three nucleosomes at 15 min after treatment and remains reduced in the $+1$ and $+2$ nucleosomes after 30 min. Although total level of H2A.Z remained unchanged, we detected a significant drop of H2A.Z-normalized MYC-H2A.Z.2 and HA-H2A.Z.1 at the +2 nucleosome 15 and 30 min after treatment, suggesting ongoing activity-induced nucleosomal turnover of H2A.Z (nucleosomal remodeling). Interestingly, at the $+1$ nucleosome, only MYC-H2A.Z.2 levels dropped significantly at 15 min after treatment, indicating a possible H2A.Z.2-specific role for this nucleosome at this early time point. This inference is corroborated by our data in that the most severe effect on *Arc* induction was found after the depletion of H2A.Z.2 [\(Fig. 4](#page-10-0)*B–E*).

H2A.Z.2 is required for RNA Pol II pausing near the *Arc* **TSS**

To study the underlying mechanism of H2A.Z.2's role in rapid *Arc* transcription [\(Fig. 4\)](#page-10-0), we tested the effect of its knockdown on histone acetylation and promoter proximal RNA Pol II pausing based on recent reports [\(Saha et al.,](#page-30-0)

Figure 7. H2A.Z.2 facilitates priming of the *Arc* promoter. *A*, Representative Western blotting of whole-cell lysate showing Ac-H2A.Z levels in neurons depleted of H2A.Z hypervariants as indicated. $N = 3$. **B**, Graphical map (not to scale) depicting relative position of ChIP primers near *Arc* TSS. *C*, Quantification of Ac-H2A.Z (normalized by total H2A.Z) binding to *Arc* TSS region in neurons treated as indicated, determined by ChIP. *N* = 4. Two sets of data in statistical comparison are represented by the position and the color of asterisk(s). *D*, ChIP data demonstrating binding of RPB1 to the *Arc* TSS region in control neurons or H2A.Z hypervariant-depleted neurons as indicated. *N* 4. Note the enrichment of RPB1 at the TSS (primer 2) in control neurons (black) indicating promoter-proximal RNA Pol II pausing. *E*, ChIP data demonstrating binding of pSer5-RPB1 to the *Arc* TSS in control and hypervariant-depleted neurons. N = 3. * p < 0.05 and ** p < 0.01.

[2011;](#page-30-0) [Vardabasso et al., 2015\)](#page-30-12). In melanoma cells, knockdown of H2A.Z.2, but not H2A.Z.1, attenuates acetylation of histone H3 and H4 globally [\(Vardabasso et al., 2015\)](#page-30-12). We observed no such effect of H2A.Z.2 depletion on H3 or H4 acetylation in neurons (data not shown). This effect is presumably a cell-type-specific phenomenon relevant to dividing cells. Instead, we noted that H2A.Z.2 depletion led to a decrease in Ac-H2A.Z levels globally [\(Fig. 7](#page-13-0)*A*) and near the *Arc* TSS [\(Fig. 7](#page-13-0)*B*,*C*). Note that the data presented in [Figure 7](#page-13-0)*C* are normalized by total H2A.Z, the level of which remained unaltered after hypervariant knockdown. The effect was not mimicked by H2A.Z.1 depletion. This suggests that H2A.Z.2 depletion results in selective loss of acetylation, not total H2A.Z, near the TSS.

Presence of TSS proximal H2A.Z highly correlates with another chief component of rapid IEG transcription, RNA Pol II pausing [\(Day et al., 2016\)](#page-29-11). We have previously shown that RNA Pol II is loaded and paused near TSS of *Arc* and other rapidly induced IEGs, and underlies the ability of these genes to respond to activity within a few minutes [\(Saha et al.,](#page-30-0) [2011\)](#page-30-0). Here, we tested whether there is a relation between Pol II pausing and H2A.Z hypervariants at the *Arc* TSS. Using ChIP with an antibody against RPB1 (N-terminus), the largest Pol II subunit, we found that RNA Pol II pausing at the *Arc* TSS is diminished significantly in neurons depleted of H2A.Z.2, but not H2A.Z.1. While it is unlikely that our anti-RPB1 antibody precipitates nonspecific proteins or is less efficient after hypervariant depletion, we sought to corroborate our findings with another antibody to further ensure that H2A.Z.2 depletion was affecting paused Pol II. We performed ChIP with an antibody specific to RPB1 phosphorylated at serine 5 (pSer5) residue of C-terminal domain heptad repeats. pSer5-RPB1 represents the pool of RPB1 that is transcriptionally engaged, but has not entered productive elongation (paused). Our data indicate that actively engaged Pol II at the 1 nucleosome of *Arc* is significantly reduced by the loss of H2A.Z.2, but not H2A.Z.1 [\(Fig. 7](#page-13-0)*E*). This hypervariant-specific loss of Pol II pausing provides an explanation for our observations at 15-min post-Bic $+$ 4AP treatment [\(Fig. 4](#page-10-0)*B*,*C*), where *Arc* pre-mRNA levels were minimal in H2A.Z.2-, but not in H2A.Z.1-, depleted neurons.

July/August 2017, 4(4) e0040-17.2017 eNeuro.org

H2A.Z.1 plays context-dependent roles in *Arc* **transcription**

To determine a potential role for H2A.Z.1 in *Arc* transcription, we hypothesized that it may facilitate Pol II recruitment or elongation during active transcription. To test these possibilities, we induced *Arc* transcription using the DRB washout protocol with or without Trip. DRB is a nucleoside analog that inhibits mammalian transcription by interfering with the DRB sensitivity-inducing factor complex that enables RNA Pol II pausing in the presence of negative elongation factor (NELF), and then facilitates productive elongation once NELF falls off in response to external signals [\(Kwak and Lis, 2013\)](#page-30-19). Because DRB can be washed out, DRB washout assays have been used to achieve rapid and synchronized transcription elongation without additional extranuclear signals [\(Lee et al., 2015\)](#page-30-20). When control neurons were subjected to this assay, we observed a rapid and robust *Arc* induction [\(Fig. 8](#page-14-0)*A*). DRB washout resulted in significant upregulation of *Arc* premRNA levels within three minutes, which then continued to increase with time to reach $>$ 100-fold at 15 min. Depletion of H2A.Z.2 resulted in, as we saw with Bic $+$ 4AP and $TTX + PMA$ assays, an attenuated response at the early time point that then partially recovered at later time points while remaining significantly less than the normal response [\(Fig. 8](#page-14-0)*A*). In contrast, depletion of H2A.Z.1 had no effect on DRB washout-triggered robust *Arc* transcription [\(Fig. 8](#page-14-0)*A*), indicating that H2A.Z.1 is likely not involved in the productive elongation phase.

Next, to test for any role of H2A.Z in RNA Pol II recruitment, a phenomenon that sustains robust responses by providing the gene promoter with a chain of Pol II complexes, we washed out DRB in the presence of Trip, a diterpene triepoxide isolated from natural sources. Trip inhibits Pol II recruitment to promoters by inhibiting TFIIH, the pre-initiation complex component that mediates Pol II binding to DNA [\(Titov et al., 2011\)](#page-30-21). When DRB washout was performed in the presence of a low concentration of Trip, *Arc* transcription was significantly reduced at later time points [\(Fig. 8](#page-14-0)*A*) suggesting that the slightest interference with Pol II recruitment compromises the robustness

Figure 8. H2A.Z.1 plays context-dependent roles in *Arc* transcription. *A*, Graphical representation of the level of *Arc* pre-mRNA at different time points after 1-h treatment with DRB followed by its washout for indicated time periods in the presence or absence of Trip in indicated groups of neurons (infected for 5–6 d) as detected by qPCR and normalized to Rn18s (18s rRNA; DRB inhibition-insensitive, Pol III-dependent transcription). Gray, green and red asterisk(s) or NS represent statistical comparison between control (Scrambled) responses and responses in Scrambled w/Trip, H2A.Z.1 KD w/Trip and H2A.Z.2 KD, respectively. Connecting brackets delineate other comparisons, shown in black asterisk(s) or NS. *B*, Similar dataset as in *A*, except that control neurons or hypervariant-depleted neurons were treated with TTX for 48 h followed by its washout for indicated time periods. Two sets of data in statistical comparison are represented by the position and the color of asterisk(s) or NS. For both **A**, **B**, $N = 4-5$ for each time point; $p < 0.05$ and \exp < 0.01 . NS, not significant.

of the response. Had H2A.Z.1 played a role in Pol II recruitment, its depletion would have had an effect comparable to washout with Trip in control cells. However, that does not seem to be the case as the *Arc* response is significantly different between these two groups [\(Fig. 8](#page-14-0)*A*, compare broken black line with green line). Moreover, control and H2A.Z.1-depleted neurons responded similarly to induction in the presence of Trip [\(Fig. 8](#page-14-0)*A*, compare broken black and green lines), suggesting that H2A.Z.1 is unlikely to be required for Pol II recruitment.

To test the roles of H2A.Z hypervariants in another neurobiological context, we next performed the TTX washout assay [\(Rao et al., 2006;](#page-30-22) [Saha et al., 2011\)](#page-30-0). This assay involves treating neurons with TTX for 48 h to induce homeostatic synaptic changes, followed by TTX washout to trigger rapid and robust network activity resulting in *Arc* transcription [\(Fig. 8](#page-14-0)*B*). Consistent with other induction assays so far, H2A.Z.2 depletion had an attenuating effect on early transcriptional response, followed by partial recovery at later time points [\(Fig. 8](#page-14-0)*B*). Surprisingly, depletion of H2A.Z.1 significantly reduced the *Arc* response throughout, even the early time points. This is in contrast with the insensitivity of the *Arc* response at early time points in all induction assays, including 15-min postinduction with Bic $+$ 4AP under resting (control) conditions. Together, our data suggest that H2A.Z.1 exerts context-dependent effects on activity-induced *Arc* transcription.

Gene- and context-specific roles of H2A.Z hypervariants in rapid IEG transcription

In a previous study, we identified two groups of IEGs classified based on the presence or absence of paused Pol II near the promoter [\(Saha et al., 2011\)](#page-30-0). We refer to these two groups as rapid IEGs (genes with paused Pol II) and delayed IEGs (genes without paused Pol II; [Saha and](#page-30-23) [Dudek, 2013\)](#page-30-23). Because H2A.Z.2 likely regulates *Arc* transcription via RNA Pol II pausing, we next asked if the roles played by these hypervariants are universal across other rapid IEGs. Several rapid IEGs, identified in our previous screen, were then studied under two neurobiological contexts: (1) activity induced in previously untreated neurons (Bic $+$ 4AP assays); and (2) activity induced after homeostatic changes (TTX washout assays). Responses of a few IEGs in control and H2A.Z hypervariant-depleted neurons are shown in [Figure 9,](#page-15-0) and salient inferences drawn from these data are noted in the following paragraph.

All IEGs in [Figure 9](#page-15-0) respond to activity under both normal and homeostatic conditions. With some genes, such responses are more robust at early time points after 48 h of TTX treatment (*Npas4*, *cFos*, *Cyr61*, etc.). In general though, most rapid IEGs maintained similar temporal dynamics of expression in both conditions; *Btg2* was an exception in that its response peaked at different times under different conditions. In most of these instances, depletion of either H2A.Z.1 or H2A.Z.2 had either no effect or reduced gene transcription. *Fbxo33* was an exception to this observation, with H2A.Z.1 depletion resulting in a significantly stronger transcriptional response at the early time point in the Bic $+$ 4AP condition only. Several genes (*Cyr61*, *Btg2*, and *Dusp1*) resembled *Arc* in that H2A.Z.2 depletion caused the most severe reductions. In contrast to *Arc* and these genes, H2A.Z.1 or H2A.Z.2 knockdown exerted statistically similar effect on several rapid IEGs (*Npas4*, *cFos*, *Nr4a3*, etc.) under both contexts. Interestingly, we noticed that H2A.Z hypervariant depletions induced different outcomes under different contexts in a few cases. For example, H2A.Z.2 depletion did not alter *Btg2* and *Dusp1* responses significantly at the early time point under normal conditions, but impaired them at the same time point after 48 h of TTX. Interestingly, these two genes are insensitive to H2A.Z.1 depletion for both contexts at all tested time points. Collectively, our data in [Figure 9](#page-15-0) suggest that H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2 do not have generalized roles in rapid IEG transcription; instead, they play genespecific roles, which may vary further under different neurobiological contexts.

To further investigate the role of H2A.Z hypervariants in IEG transcription, we conducted NanoString analyses, a

Figure 9. H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2 play context-dependent roles in activity-induced transcription of rapid IEGs. Graphical representation of pre-mRNA levels for noted IEGs at different time points after two treatment regimens. Left, Bic $+$ 4AP treatment. Right, 48-h treatment with TTX followed by its washout for specified time periods in indicated groups of neurons as detected by

Figure 9. continued

qPCR and normalized to *Gapdh*. $N = 4-7$ for each time point; \ast p $<$ 0.05 and $\ast\ast$ p $<$ 0.01. NS, not significant. Two sets of data in statistical comparison are represented by the position and the color of asterisk(s).

multiplexed gene expression analysis system [\(Geiss et al.,](#page-29-12) [2008\)](#page-29-12), on control and hypervariant-depleted cells under the different neurobiological contexts described above using all IEGs identified in our previous screen [\(Saha et al.,](#page-30-0) [2011\)](#page-30-0). [Figure 10](#page-16-0)*A*,*B* shows the results for rapid IEGs and non-IEGs (control genes) displayed as heat maps. Notably, results of the NanoString analyses were consistent with our initial qPCR analyses of rapid IEGs in [Figure 9.](#page-15-0) Although there appeared to be gene-specific regulation due to loss of H2A.Z.1 and/or H2A.Z.2, overall comparison of all rapid IEGs tested in control neurons to those tested in hypervariant-depleted neurons suggests that loss of either hypervariant generally resulted in diminished transcription of rapid IEGs at each time point under both neurobiological contexts [\(Fig. 10](#page-16-0)*C*,*D*).

Gene- and context-specific roles of H2A.Z hypervariants in transcription of delayed IEGs

Because we saw gene-to-gene variation in H2A.Z hypervariant regulation of rapid IEG transcription, we asked if these hypervariants might also be involved in regulating transcription of delayed IEGs. Based on the lack of a role for paused Pol II in the delayed IEG transcription, we expected to find no effect of hypervariant depletion. However, we found a context-dependent regulation of delayed IEGs, and some trends are noted along the following lines: at 60-min postinduction, depletion of H2A.Z.1 appears to result in downregulation of *Cox2*, *Klf4*, and *Nur77* with Bic 4AP induction, yet results in upregulation of the same genes on induction after TTX-induced changes. Differential regulation between neurobiological contexts was also evident after loss of H2A.Z.2 in *Cartpt* and *Fam46a* [\(Fig.](#page-17-0) [11](#page-17-0)*A*). Interestingly, group statistical comparisons of delayed IEG responses in hypervariant-depleted neurons to control neurons show that loss of H2A.Z.1 only has a significant effect at the early time point on induction after 48 h of TTX. However, on induction in normal neurons (Bic 4AP assays), H2A.Z.1 depletion only significantly affects the group at the late time point [\(Fig. 11](#page-17-0)*B*). Overall, these data suggest that the roles of H2A.Z hypervariants in regulating transcription of delayed IEGs may vary depending on specific genes and cellular states.

Discussion

H2A.Z is enriched in nucleosomes near promoters and enhancers of many vertebrate genes and therefore is postulated to play a critical role in gene transcription [\(Farris et al., 2005;](#page-29-13) [Raisner and Madhani, 2006;](#page-30-24) [Zlatanova](#page-30-25) [and Thakar, 2008\)](#page-30-25). However, roles of the hypervariants H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2 in these processes have often been presumed to be redundant, and have not been categorically questioned [\(Soboleva et al., 2014\)](#page-30-5). In the current study, we have demonstrated several instances of H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2 nonredundancies: (1) H2A.Z.1 and

Figure 10. Effect of H2A.Z hypervariant depletion on transcription of rapid IEGs and nonactivity-induced genes. *A*, Nanostring multiplexed gene expression data (heat maps) representing pre-mRNA levels in control and hypervariant-depleted neurons treated with Bic + 4AP for indicated times. **B**, Heat maps representing pre-mRNA levels in control and hypervariant-depleted neurons treated TTX for 48 h followed by washout and collected at indicated times. *C*, *D*, Violin plots depicting pre-mRNA abundance of all rapid IEGs from hypervariant-depleted neurons compared to that of control neurons treated with Bic 4AP (*C*) or TTX for 48 h followed by washout (D) for indicated times. $N=3$ for each treatment all time points. $sp< 0.05$ and $\ast sp < 0.01$ (Wilcoxon paired nonparametric test).

H2A.Z.2 depletion in cortical neurons affects basal expression of predominantly nonoverlapping sets of genes; (2) depletion of these hypervariants has differential effects on important synaptic molecules like PSD-95 and SHANK3; (3) H2B, perhaps when modified in a certain way, interacts with MYC-H2A.Z.2, but not HA-H2A.Z.1; (4) H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2 depletion differently influence activity-induced *Arc* transcription kinetics; (5) in normal neurons, H2A.Z.2,

Figure 11. Effect of H2A.Z hypervariant depletion on transcription of delayed IEGs. *A*, Nanostring multiplexed gene expression data (heat maps) representing pre-mRNA levels in control and hypervariant-depleted neurons treated with Bic $+$ 4AP for indicated times. **B**, Heat map representing pre-mRNA levels in control and hypervariant-depleted neurons treated TTX for 48 h followed by washout and collected at indicated times. *C*, *D*, Violin plots depicting pre-mRNA abundance of all delayed IEGs from hypervariant-depleted neurons compared to that of control neurons treated with Bic 4AP (*C*) or TTX for 48 h followed by washout (*D*) for indicated times. $N=3$ for each treatment at all time points. $\ast p < 0.05$ and $\ast \ast p < 0.01$ (Wilcoxon paired nonparametric test).

but not H2A.Z.1, is required near the *Arc* TSS for RNA Pol II pausing; (6) activity-induced transcription of certain IEGs is sensitive to depletion of only one of the two hypervariants (e.g., *Btg2* and *Dusp1* response is less after H2A.Z.2, but not H2A.Z.1, knockdown); and (7) certain IEGs appear to be differentially sensitive to one or both hypervariants in a context-dependent manner (*Fbxo33* and *Maff* after H2A.Z.1 depletion, *Fam46a* after loss of H2A.Z.2). Taken together, our data strongly suggest that H2A.Z hypervariants have important, nonredundant roles and/or functional specificity that should be considered for a full understanding of the part played by H2A.Z in gene transcription.

Three lines of evidence inspired our hypothesis about functional specificity of H2A.Z hypervariants. First, several instances have been observed in nature where genes duplicated during evolution encode very similar protein products that have achieved functional diversity. An important example is found in yeast where several paralogous ribosomal proteins are functionally dissimilar to their nearly identical counterparts [\(Komili et al., 2007;](#page-30-26) [Steffen](#page-30-27) [et al., 2012\)](#page-30-27). Because mechanisms that work are often preserved in nature, we reasoned that the three amino acid differences in these hypervariants might be enough to allow functional diversity. Second, all three variant amino acid residues reside in functionally pertinent regions thereby making them suitable to mediate alternative functionalities. H2A.Z.1^{14S}/H2A.Z.2^{14A} is located in the H2A.Z N-terminal tail, which is heavily acetylated and is linked to H2A.Z transcriptional activity [\(Bruce et al., 2005;](#page-29-14) [Valdés-Mora et al., 2012\)](#page-30-28). H2A.Z.1 $38T$ /H2A.Z.2 $38S$ is located at the edge of the L1 loop, which plays an essential part in intranucleosomal interactions of H2A.Z [\(Horikoshi](#page-29-4) [et al., 2013\)](#page-29-4). Such intranucleosomal interactions are also facilitated by the unstructured region of H2A.Z C-terminus [\(Wratting et al., 2012\)](#page-30-29), which houses the H2A.Z.112^{128V}/ H2A.Z.2^{128A} variation. Third, knockout of H2A.Z.1 results in embryonic lethality in mice [\(Faast et al., 2001\)](#page-29-5) indicating that H2A.Z.2 cannot compensate for the loss of its paralog. Together, these observations suggest that H2A.Z hypervariants have nonredundant functions that have not yet been adequately explored.

Our study into functional specificity of H2A.Z hypervariants in activity-induced *Arc* transcription revealed a few interesting aspects of H2A.Z biology. Overall, *Arc* transcription requires both H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2. Depletion of either hypervariant had unique effects on *Arc* transcriptional kinetics that were not compensated by the other paralog. In normal previously untreated neurons (i.e., without homeostatic changes induced by silencing), H2A.Z.2, but not H2A.Z.1, was found to be necessary for the rapid phase of activity-induced *Arc* transcription (within 15 min of treatment) by facilitating RNA Pol II promoter proximal pausing [\(Fig. 7\)](#page-13-0). Pol II pausing near promoters is facilitated, among other factors, by the position of the $+1$ nucleosome [\(Kwak and Lis, 2013;](#page-30-19) [Jimeno-González et al., 2015\)](#page-29-15). Although exact mechanisms remain unknown, it is presumed that the $+1$ nucleosome acts as a physical barrier to the transcriptionally engaged Pol II. In mammals, the presence of H2A.Z in the $+1$ nucleosome is positively correlated with Pol II pausing [\(Ku et al., 2012;](#page-30-30) [Day et al., 2016\)](#page-29-11). In our study, considering that (1) H2A.Z.2 is required for Pol II pausing near the Arc TSS and (2) Bic $+$ 4AP treatment removes MYC-H2A.Z.2 from the $+1$ nucleosome [\(Fig. 6\)](#page-12-0), we suggest that H2A.Z.2-containing nucleosomes play a dual role in mediating activity-induced transcription. In the resting state before activity, the $+1$ H2A.Z.2-containing nucleosome would maintain a rigid barrier to Pol II, thereby facilitating its pausing. After activity, dynamic turnover of this nucleosome allows Pol II to commence productive elongation. If true, such a dual-role model could fuel further queries about possible activity-induced signals that convert a rigid nucleosomal barrier into a dynamic gate for Pol II productive elongation. Although this model holds true for activity induced under both normal and prolonged TTX treatment-enabled conditions for *Arc*, further investigation into other IEGs revealed the potential for both gene- and context-specific roles of H2A.Z hypervariants in gene transcription. However, future studies will be required to determine if these hypervariants are directly or indirectly involved in the transcription of other IEGs investigated in this study.

One of our observations was puzzling and therefore difficult to interpret: although there was turnover of MYC-H2A.Z.2 in response to acute increases in neuronal activity, the total levels of H2A.Z (as reported by the Millipore anti-H2A.Z antibody) near the *Arc* TSS did not change over the entire duration o*f* testing. This could be due to weak efficiency of the commercial H2A.Z antibody [\(Fig.](#page-12-0) 6*[B](#page-12-0)*, compare signals), which was the best anti-H2A.Z ChIP antibody in our hands. We believe that, as has been previously reported [\(Zovkic et al., 2014\)](#page-30-6) and is also shown by our anti-MYC ChIP data, activity does indeed induce H2A.Z turnover at the *Arc* TSS.

In comparison to the Pol II pausing-related defined role of H2A.Z.2 in *Arc* transcription, the exact role of H2A.Z.1 in the process is less apparent. In normal, unsilenced neurons, lack of H2A.Z.1 impairs only the late segment of activity-induced *Arc* transcription (30 min and later). As suggested by our DRB washout assays, this is most likely via indirect mechanisms (discussed further below). However, after subjecting neurons to homeostatic plasticityrelated changes with TTX exposure for 48 h, H2A.Z.1 depletion also impairs early responses of *Arc* transcription. This repurposing of H2A.Z.1 after prolonged lack of activity is likely mediated by a context-dependent newfound ability to facilitate Pol II pausing. Alternatively, these data could also be explained by heterogeneity of nucleosomal composition in the cell population (discussed further below).

Considering that we could not detect strong interactions of tagged H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2 in our co-IPs [\(Fig. 5\)](#page-11-0), indicating that they likely do not often coexist in the same nucleosome, and yet show H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2 enrichment at the same nucleosomal positions [\(Fig. 6](#page-12-0)*B*), it is possible that these *Arc* TSS nucleosomal loci are populated by different H2A.Z hypervariants in different alleles and/or cells in the population. In normal neurons, only the fraction of these alleles and/or cells that have H2A.Z.2, and therefore paused Pol II, responds to activity. After prolonged TTX treatment, additional alleles and/or cells with H2A.Z.1 attain Pol II pausing via unknown mechanisms and facilitate an enhanced response after TTX washout. This explanation aligns with the noticeably more robust expression of *Arc* after prolonged TTX treatment and washout in comparison to disinhibition-induced activity under previously untreated conditions (compare [Figs. 4](#page-10-0)*B*, 8*[B](#page-14-0)*). Also, the effect of H2A.Z.1 depletion on late, not early, *Arc* transcriptional response [\(Fig. 4](#page-10-0)*B*,*D*) falls within the scope of this explanation. On induction of activity in resting conditions, H2A.Z.1-containing alleles and/or cells undertake *Arc* transcription in a Pol II pausing-

independent "delayed" fashion, as delayed IEGs do [\(Saha](#page-30-0) [et al., 2011\)](#page-30-0), thereby accounting for impairments at later time points in H2A.Z.1-depleted neurons. These are exciting possibilities that will require further probing.

Additional experiments will also be required to understand the relevance of the H2A.Z chaperone ANP32E in regulating H2A.Z functions [\(Mao et al., 2014;](#page-30-31) [Obri et al.,](#page-30-32) [2014\)](#page-30-32). In our hands, several traditional "rescue" attempts involving expression of shRNA-insensitive H2A.Z.2 failed to reverse the loss of *Arc* transcription in H2A.Z.2-depleted neurons. However, the phenotype loss could be partially rescued by codepletion of ANP32E. Because ANP32E removes H2A.Z from nucleosomes [\(Mao et al.,](#page-30-31) [2014;](#page-30-31) [Obri et al., 2014;](#page-30-32) [Gursoy-Yuzugullu et al., 2015\)](#page-29-16), it is likely that the presence of ANP32E in H2A.Z.2-depleted neurons facilitates continued removal of nucleosomal H2A.Z.2 and subsequent fallouts thereof, including loss of Pol II pausing and inability to respond rapidly. However, codepletion of the chaperone along with the histone preserves nucleosomal H2A.Z.2, at least in part, enabling *Arc* to rapidly respond to some extent. A similar precedence of H2A.Z and ANP32E codepletion partially rescuing phenotype loss due to depletion of one of these factors exists in non-neuronal cells [\(Alatwi and Downs, 2015\)](#page-29-8). Our findings, combined with this previous report, advocate for the need to approach the complex biology of H2A.Z hypervariants and their chaperones together.

Collectively, our current studies indicate that H2A.Z hypervariants mediate activity-induced IEG transcription in a context-dependent (H2A.Z.1) or context-independent (H2A.Z.2) fashion, where the extent of their involvement in the transcriptional process varies from gene to gene. Our data also suggest spatial and temporal cooperation of these hypervariants in manifesting the maximum gene response under a given circumstance. Natural extensions of the current work will be to test the above hypothesis about allele/cell-specificity of these hypervariants, and to investigate molecular mechanisms connecting H2A.Z hypervariants and paused Pol II. Additionally, sequentially mutating H2A.Z.1 to H2A.Z.2 could provide insight into which amino acid differences are necessary for functional specificity. Particular attention may be paid to the H2A.Z.1^{14S}/H2A.Z.2^{14A} variation, where H2A.Z.1, but not H2A.Z.2, could be potentially phosphorylated. It will also be of interest to learn about hypervariant-specific H2A.Z chaperones, if any, and understand how these hypervariants differentially regulate expression of several crucial synaptic molecules. Finally, it is our hope that the data presented here will increase appreciation for functional specificity of H2A.Z hypervariants and promote toolbuilding efforts to enable a comprehensive understanding of diverse roles played by these paralogs at different genes and under different neurobiological contexts.

Table 2. Continued

Table 3. List of DEGs after H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2 KD-part B (downregulated after H2A.Z.1 KD)

Table 4. List of DEGs after H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2 KD-part C (upregulated after H2A.Z.2 KD)

Table 4. Continued

Table 5. List of DEGs after H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2 KD-part D (downregulated after H2A.Z.2 KD)

Table 5. Continued

References

- Adelman K, Lis JT (2012) Promoter-proximal pausing of RNA polymerase II: emerging roles in metazoans. Nat Rev 13:720 –731. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrg3293) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22986266)
- Alatwi HE, Downs JA (2015) Removal of H2A.Z by INO80 promotes homologous recombination. EMBO Rep 16:986 –994. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.15252/embr.201540330) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26142279)
- Billon P, Cote J (2013) Precise deposition of histone H2A.Z in chromatin for genome expression and maintenance. Biochim Biophys Acta 1819:290 –302.
- Bruce K, Myers FA, Mantouvalou E, Lefevre P, Greaves I, Bonifer C, Tremethick DJ, Thorne AW, Crane-Robinson C (2005) The replacement histone H2A.Z in a hyperacetylated form is a feature of active genes in the chicken. Nucleic Acids Res 33:5633–5639. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki874)
- Chen Y, Stevens B, Chang J, Milbrandt J, Barres BA, Hell JW (2008) NS21: re-defined and modified supplement B27 for neuronal cultures. J Neurosci Methods 171:239 –247. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2008.03.013) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18471889)
- Day DS, Zhang B, Stevens SM, Ferrari F, Larschan EN, Park PJ, Pu WT (2016) Comprehensive analysis of promoter-proximal RNA polymerase II pausing across mammalian cell types. Genome Biol 17:120 –122. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13059-016-0984-2) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27259512)
- Dryhurst D, Ishibashi T, Rose KL, Eirín-López JM, McDonald D, Silva-Moreno B, Veldhoen N, Helbing CC, Hendzel MJ, Shabanowitz J, Hunt DF, Ausió J (2009) Characterization of the histone H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 isoforms in vertebrates. BMC Biol 7:86. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1741-7007-7-86) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20003410)
- Faast R, Thonglairoam V, Schulz TC, Beall J, Wells JR, Taylor H, Matthaei K, Rathjen PD, Tremethick DJ, Lyons I (2001) Histone variant H2A.Z is required for early mammalian development. Curr Biol 11:1183–1187. [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11516949)
- Farris SD, Rubio ED, Moon JJ, Gombert WM, Nelson BH, Krumm A (2005) Transcription-induced chromatin remodeling at the c-myc gene involves the local exchange of histone H2A.Z. J Biol Chem 280:25298 –25303. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M501784200) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15878876)

Filipescu D, Szenker E, Almouzni G (2013) Developmental roles of histone H3 variants and their chaperones. Trends Genet 29:630 – 640. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2013.06.002) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23830582)

- Geiss GK, Bumgarner RE, Birditt B, Dahl T, Dowidar N, Dunaway DL, Fell HP, Ferree S, George RD, Grogan T, James JJ, Maysuria M, Mitton JD, Oliveri P, Osborn JL, Peng T, Ratcliffe AL, Webster PJ, Davidson EH, Hood L, et al. (2008) Direct multiplexed measurement of gene expression with color-coded probe pairs. Nat Biotechnol 26:317–325. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nbt1385) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18278033)
- Greer PL, Greenberg ME (2008) From synapse to nucleus: calcium-dependent gene transcription in the control of synapse development and function. Neuron 59:846 –860. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2008.09.002) [Med](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18817726)[line](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18817726)
- Gursoy-Yuzugullu O, Ayrapetov MK, Price BD (2015) Histone chaperone Anp32e removes H2A.Z from DNA double-strand breaks and promotes nucleosome reorganization and DNA repair. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 112:7507–7512. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1504868112) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26034280)
- Guzowski JF, McNaughton BL, Barnes CA, Worley PF (1999) Environment-specific expression of the immediate-early gene Arc in hippocampal neuronal ensembles. Nat Neurosci 2:1120 – 1124.
- Horikoshi N, Sato K, Shimada K, Arimura Y, Osakabe A, Tachiwana H, Hayashi-Takanaka Y, Iwasaki W, Kagawa W, Harata M, Kimura H, Kurumizaka H (2013) Structural polymorphism in the L1 loop regions of human H2A.Z.1 and H2A.Z.2. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 69:2431–2439. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S090744491302252X) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24311584)
- Jimeno-González S, Ceballos-Chávez M, Reyes JC (2015) A positioned +1 nucleosome enhances promoter-proximal pausing. Nucleic Acids Res 43:3068 –3078. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv149) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25735750)
- Kim TK, Hemberg M, Gray JM, Costa AM, Bear DM, Wu J, Harmin DA, Laptewicz M, Barbara-Haley K, Kuersten S, Markenscoff-Papadimitriou E, Kuhl D, Bito H, Worley PF, Kreiman G, Greenberg ME (2010) Widespread transcription at neuronal activity-regulated enhancers. Nature 465:182–187. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagrm.2011.10.004)

- Komili S, Farny NG, Roth FP, Silver PA (2007) Functional specificity among ribosomal proteins regulates gene expression. Cell 131: 557–571. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2007.08.037) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17981122)
- Ku M, Jaffe JD, Koche RP, Rheinbay E, Endoh M, Koseki H, Carr SA, Bernstein BE (2012) H2A.Z landscapes and dual modifications in pluripotent and multipotent stem cells underlie complex genome regulatory functions. Genome Biol 13:R85. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/gb-2012-13-10-r85)
- Kwak H, Lis JT (2013) Control of transcriptional elongation. Annu Rev Genet 47:483–508. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genet-110711-155440) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24050178)
- Lee K, Hsiung CC, Huang P, Raj A, Blobel GA (2015) Dynamic enhancer-gene body contacts during transcription elongation. Genes Dev 29:1992–1997. [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4604340)
- Mao Z, Pan L, Wang W, Sun J, Shan S, Dong Q, Liang X, Dai L, Ding X, Chen S, Zhang Z, Zhu B, Zhou Z (2014) Anp32e, a higher eukaryotic histone chaperone directs preferential recognition for H2A.Z. Cell Res 24:389 –399. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/cr.2014.30) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24613878)
- Matsuda R, Hori T, Kitamura H, Takeuchi K, Fukagawa T, Harata M (2010) Identification and characterization of the two isoforms of the vertebrate H2A.Z histone variant. Nucleic Acids Res 38:4263– 4273. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq171) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20299344)
- Maze I, Noh KM, Soshnev AA, Allis CD (2014) Every amino acid matters: essential contributions of histone variants to mammalian development and disease. Nat Rev 15:259 –271. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrg3673) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24614311)
- Maze I, Wenderski W, Noh KM, Bagot RC, Tzavaras N, Purushothaman I, Elsässer SJ, Guo Y, Ionete C, Hurd YL, Tamminga CA, Halene T, Farrelly L, Soshnev AA, Wen D, Rafii S, Birtwistle MR, Akbarian S, Buchholz BA, Blitzer RD, et al. (2015) Critical role of histone turnover in neuronal transcription and plasticity. Neuron 87:77–94. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.06.014) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26139371)
- Michod D, Bartesaghi S, Khelifi A, Bellodi C, Berliocchi L, Nicotera P, Salomoni P (2012) Calcium-dependent dephosphorylation of the histone chaperone DAXX regulates H3.3 loading and transcription upon neuronal activation. Neuron 74:122–135. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.02.021) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22500635)
- Obri A, Ouararhni K, Papin C, Diebold ML, Padmanabhan K, Marek M, Stoll I, Roy L, Reilly PT, Mak TW, Dimitrov S, Romier C, Hamiche A (2014) ANP32E is a histone chaperone that removes H2A.Z from chromatin. Nature 505:648 –653. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature12922) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24463511)
- Owen-Hughes T, Gkikopoulos T (2012) Making sense of transcribing chromatin. Curr Opin Cell Biol 24:296 –304. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2012.02.003) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22410403)
- Papadia S, Stevenson P, Hardingham NR, Bading H, Hardingham GE (2005) Nuclear Ca2 + and the cAMP response element-binding protein family mediate a late phase of activity-dependent neuroprotection. J Neurosci 25:4279 –4287. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5019-04.2005) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15858054)
- Raisner RM, Madhani HD (2006) Patterning chromatin: form and function for H2A.Z variant nucleosomes. Curr Opin Genet Dev 16:119 –124. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gde.2006.02.005) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16503125)
- Rao VR, Pintchovski SA, Chin J, Peebles CL, Mitra S, Finkbeiner S (2006) AMPA receptors regulate transcription of the plasticityrelated immediate-early gene Arc. Nat Neurosci 9:887–895. [Med](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16732277)[line](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16732277)
- Rosen LB, Ginty DD, Weber MJ, Greenberg ME (1994) Membrane depolarization and calcium influx stimulate MEK and MAP kinase via activation of Ras. Neuron 12:1207–1221. [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8011335)
- Saha RN, Dudek SM (2013) Splitting hares and tortoises: a classification of neuronal immediate early gene transcription based on poised RNA polymerase II. Neuroscience 247:175–181. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2013.04.064) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23711585)
- Saha RN, Wissink EM, Bailey ER, Zhao M, Fargo DC, Hwang JY, Daigle KR, Fenn JD, Adelman K, Dudek SM (2011) Rapid activity-

induced transcription of Arc and other IEGs relies on poised RNA polymerase II. Nat Neurosci 14:848 –856. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nn.2839) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21623364)

- Schultz H, Engel K, Gaestel M (1997) PMA-induced activation of the p42/44ERK- and p38RK-MAP kinase cascades in HL-60 cells is PKC dependent but not essential for differentiation to the macrophage-like phenotype. J Cell Physiol 173:310 –318. [Cross-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-4652(199712)173:3<310::AID-JCP2>3.0.CO;2-Q)[Ref](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-4652(199712)173:3<310::AID-JCP2>3.0.CO;2-Q) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9369943)
- Shechter D, Dormann HL, Allis CD, Hake SB (2007) Extraction, purification and analysis of histones. Nat Protoc 2:1445–1457. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2007.202) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17545981)
- Soboleva TA, Nekrasov M, Ryan DP, Tremethick DJ (2014) Histone variants at the transcription start-site. Trends Genet 30:199 –209. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2014.03.002) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24768041)
- Steffen KK, McCormick MA, Pham KM, MacKay VL, Delaney JR, Murakami CJ, Kaeberlein M, Kennedy BK (2012) Ribosome deficiency protects against ER stress in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics 191:107–118. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1534/genetics.111.136549) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22377630)
- Talbert PB, Ahmad K, Almouzni G, Ausió J, Berger F, Bhalla PL, Bonner WM, Cande WZ, Chadwick BP, Chan SW, Cross GA, Cui L, Dimitrov SI, Doenecke D, Eirin-López JM, Gorovsky MA, Hake SB, Hamkalo BA, Holec S, Jacobsen SE, et al. (2012) A unified phylogeny-based nomenclature for histone variants. Epigenetics Chromatin 5:7. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1756-8935-5-7) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22650316)
- Teves SS, Weber CM, Henikoff S (2014) Transcribing through the nucleosome. Trends Biochem Sci 39:577–586. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2014.10.004) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25455758)
- Titov DV, Gilman B, He QL, Bhat S, Low WK, Dang Y, Smeaton M, Demain AL, Miller PS, Kugel JF, Goodrich JA, Liu JO (2011) XPB, a subunit of TFIIH, is a target of the natural product triptolide. Nat Chem Biol 7:182–188. [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21278739)
- Valdés-Mora F, Song JZ, Statham AL, Strbenac D, Robinson MD, Nair SS, Patterson KI, Tremethick DJ, Stirzaker C, Clark SJ (2012) Acetylation of H2A.Z is a key epigenetic modification associated with gene deregulation and epigenetic remodeling in cancer. Genome Res 22:307–321. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gr.118919.110) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21788347)
- Vardabasso C, Gaspar-Maia A, Hasson D, Pünzeler S, Valle-Garcia D, Straub T, Keilhauer EC, Strub T, Dong J, Panda T, Chung CY, Yao JL, Singh R, Segura MF, Fontanals-Cirera B, Verma A, Mann M, Hernando E, Hake SB, Bernstein E (2015) Histone variant H2A.Z.2 mediates proliferation and drug sensitivity of malignant melanoma. Mol Cell 59:75–88. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2015.05.009) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26051178)
- Weber CM, Henikoff S (2014) Histone variants: dynamic punctuation in transcription. Genes Dev 28:672–682. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gad.238873.114) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24696452)
- Wiegert JS, Bading H (2011) Activity-dependent calcium signaling and ERK-MAP kinases in neurons: a link to structural plasticity of the nucleus and gene transcription regulation. Cell Calcium 49: 296 –305. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ceca.2010.11.009) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21163523)
- Wratting D, Thistlethwaite A, Harris M, Zeef LA, Millar CB (2012) A conserved function for the H2A.Z C terminus. J Biol Chem 287: 19148 –19157. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.317990) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22493515)
- Wu X, Brewer G (2012) The regulation of mRNA stability in mammalian cells: 2.0. Gene 500:10 –21. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2012.03.021) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22452843)
- Yang Y, Yamada T, Hill KK, Hemberg M, Reddy NC, Cho HY, Guthrie AN, Oldenborg A, Heiney SA, Ohmae S, Medina JF, Holy TE, Bonni A (2016) Chromatin remodeling inactivates activity genes and regulates neural coding. Science 353:300 –305. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aad4225) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27418512)
- Zlatanova J, Thakar A (2008) H2A.Z: view from the top. Structure 16:166 –179. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2007.12.008) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18275809)
- Zovkic IB, Paulukaitis BS, Day JJ, Etikala DM, Sweatt JD (2014) Histone H2A.Z subunit exchange controls consolidation of recent and remote memory. Nature 515:582–586. [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature13707) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25219850)