UC Berkeley UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Distinct effects of beta-amyloid and tau on cortical thickness in cognitively healthy older adults

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3hm1v45n

Journal Alzheimer's & Dementia, 17(7)

ISSN 1552-5260

Authors

Harrison, Theresa M Du, Richard Klencklen, Giuliana <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2021-07-01

DOI

10.1002/alz.12249

Peer reviewed

FEATURED ARTICLE

Distinct effects of beta-amyloid and tau on cortical thickness in cognitively healthy older adults

Theresa M. Harrison¹ Richard Du¹ Giuliana Klencklen¹ Suzanne L. Baker² William J. Jagust^{1,2}

¹ Helen Wills Neuroscience Institute, UC Berkeley, Berkeley, California, USA

² Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California, USA

Correspondence

Theresa M. Harrison, Helen Wills Neuroscience Institute, University of California, Berkeley, 132 Barker Hall #3190, Berkeley, CA, USA.

E-mail: tessaharrison@berkeley.edu

Abstract

Introduction: Published reports of associations between β -amyloid (A β) and cortical integrity conflict. Tau biomarkers may help elucidate the complex relationship between pathology and neurodegeneration in aging.

Methods: We measured cortical thickness using magnetic resonance imaging, $A\beta$ using Pittsburgh compound B positron emission tomography (PiB-PET), and tau using flortaucipir (FTP)-PET in 125 cognitively normal older adults. We examined relationships among PET measures, cortical thickness, and cognition.

Results: Cortical thickness was reduced in PiB+/FTP+ participants compared to the PiB+/FTP- and PiB-/FTP- groups. Continuous PiB associations with cortical thickness were weak but positive in FTP- participants and negative in FTP+. FTP strongly negatively predicted thickness regardless of PiB status. FTP was associated with memory and cortical thickness, and mediated the association of PiB with memory.

Discussion: Past findings linking Aß and cortical thickness are likely weak due to opposing effects of A β on cortical thickness relative to tau burden. Tau, in contrast to A β , is strongly related to cortical thickness and memory.

KEYWORDS

Alzheimer's disease, atrophy, cortical integrity, flortaucipir, literature review, neurodegeneration, normal aging, PART, Pittsburgh compound B, positron emission tomography, publication bias, structural magnetic resonance imaging

1 | BACKGROUND

 β -amyloid (A β) accumulation and neurodegeneration are both key features of Alzheimer's disease (AD).¹ Models of AD pathogenesis describe $A\beta$ accumulation as an early event with neurodegeneration and corresponding cognitive impairment occurring later in the course of the disease.² Thus, many studies have examined the relationship between $A\beta$ and neurodegeneration hypothesizing that pathological Aß accumulation predicts neuronal loss. Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and positron emission tomography (PET) biomarkers of $A\beta$ and magnetic resonance (MR) measures of cortical volume or thickness have allowed

the in vivo assessment of this model. Findings have been mixed and possibly affected by publication bias such that unexpected relationships (eg, more A β predicting greater cortical thickness or volume) are underrepresented in the literature.^{3–5}

Abnormal, hyperphosphorylated tau, another key pathology in AD, appears to aggregate and spread in a process that is driven in part by $A\beta$ ^{2,6} With the more recent addition of tau biomarkers, studies have begun to explore relationships among $A\beta$, tau, and neurodegeneration hypothesizing that neuronal loss in AD is complex and related to both A β and tau.^{7,8} Pathological tau shows stronger associations to neurodegeneration and to cognition than $A\beta$.⁹ Thus, $A\beta$ and tau effects on

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2020 The Authors. Alzheimer's & Dementia published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of Alzheimer's Association

neurodegeneration could reflect a primary role of both pathologies, an interaction or mediation of one process relative to another, or unique effects of each protein aggregate on neuronal loss. Finally, while associations between tau and atrophy appear negative and roughly linear, $A\beta$ may have unique effects on cortical integrity that are non-linear and do not conform with conventional hypotheses.

The main goals of the present study were to understand how PET measures of $A\beta$ and tau relate to cortical thickness in normal aging, especially whether there are non-linear relationships between $A\beta$ and atrophy, and to interpret our findings in the context of the extensive literature. We also performed analyses relating $A\beta$ and tau to cognitive domain scores, for which we expected to observe stronger relationships with tau compared to $A\beta$. First, we categorized cognitively healthy older adults (OA) into groups based on $A\beta$ and tau biomarker status. Next, we compared cortical thickness across groups and measured the continuous effect of $A\beta$ and tau on cortical thickness. Finally, we examined how $A\beta$, tau, and cortical thickness are related to cognition and tested mediation models to better understand the complex relationships. Using a systematic review approach, we compared our findings to those reported in the literature and discuss possible drivers of conflicting results across studies.

2 METHODS

2.1 | Participants and study design

We enrolled 125 cognitively healthy OA participants who are part of the Berkeley Aging Cohort Study (BACS), an ongoing longitudinal observational study of normal aging. In the present study, participants were required to be age 55 or older with PET imaging for $A\beta$ and tau, structural magnetic resonance imaging (sMRI), and neuropsychological testing data (see supporting information). Pittsburgh compound B (PiB) and flortaucipir (FTP) were used to quantify $A\beta$ and tau, respectively. We used the FTP-PET imaging session as the baseline visit and required PiB-PET, sMRI, and neuropsychological testing within 6 months of baseline. The institutional review boards of participating institutions approved the present study and written, informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Participants were categorized into one of four possible groups based on their PiB-PET and FTP-PET scans: PiB-/FTP-, PiB-/FTP+, PiB+/FTP-, or PiB+/FTP+. This grouping strategy follows the principles of the A/T/N framework,¹⁰ grouping biomarkers into amyloid (A), tau (T), or neurodegeneration/neuronal injury (N) categories with binary classifications. We were specifically interested in how A/T classification affects cortical thickness, a measure of neurodegeneration (or "N"). Thus, in aggregate we refer to the four groups as "A/T groups" and do not classify based on an N biomarker.

2.2 | Image acquisition

Detailed descriptions of FTP and PiB-PET acquisition are available in previous publications.^{11,12} All PET scans were acquired on a Siemens

HIGHLIGHTS

- Past studies on amyloid beta (Aβ) and atrophy conflict and suggest a weak relationship.
- The relationship between Aβ and atrophy is dependent on tau.
- Tau accumulation is related to thinner cortex and worse memory in older adults.
- Our findings and literature review suggest Aβ drives tau, which then drives atrophy.

RESEARCH IN CONTEXT

- Systematic Review: We used defined search terms on PubMed to identify potentially relevant papers. We reviewed the literature broadly and then narrowed our focus to reports that were similar in design to the present study. The studies meeting criteria are summarized in Table 2.
- 2. Interpretation: The results of this work support a reframing of the relationship between amyloid beta $(A\beta)$ and atrophy, which we show is not straightforward or linear. The literature shows mixed results. In contrast, tau accumulation is related to neurodegeneration, and to memory, in a consistent and linear manner in the present study and in the literature.
- 3. Future directions: Our work suggests a temporal evolution of Alzheimer's disease pathology, even in healthy older adults. To test this model in vivo, we need longitudinal data to confirm causal relationships. Further work is also needed to determine the mechanism by which tau accumulation leads to neurodegeneration.

Biograph 6 Truepoint PET/CT scanner in 3D acquisition mode. Prior to PET scans a low-dose computed tomography (CT) scan was collected for attenuation correction. Beginning at the start of an injection of 15mCi of PiB into an antecubital vein, 90 minutes of dynamic emission data were acquired and subsequently binned into 35 frames (4 × 15 seconds, 8 × 30 seconds, 9 × 60 seconds, 2 × 180 seconds, 10 × 300 seconds, and 2 × 600 seconds). After the PIB-PET scan, participants were injected with 10 mCi of FTP and data binned as 4 × 5 minute frames starting 80 minutes after injection. PiB and FTP images were reconstructed using an ordered subset expectation maximization algorithm with weighted attenuation and smoothed with a 4 mm Gaussian kernel with scatter correction (image resolution $6.5 \times 6.5 \times 7.25$ mm³).

1.5T T1-weighted magnetization-prepared rapid acquisition with gradient echo (MPRAGE) sMRI scans were acquired for each participant on a Siemens Magnetom Avanto with the following parameters:

sagittal slice orientation, repetition time (TR) = 2110 ms, echo time (TE) = 3.58 ms, flip angle = 15° , voxel size = 1 mm isotropic.

2.3 | Image preprocessing

Distribution volume ratios (DVR) values for PiB-PET images were generated with Logan graphical analysis on PiB frames corresponding to 35 to 90 minutes post-injection using a cerebellar gray matter reference region.^{13,14} Participants' global A β burden was measured based on a mean cortical DVR (>1.065 used to determine PiB positivity) in FreeSurfer-derived frontal, temporal, parietal, and posterior cingulate regions of interest (ROIs) as previously described.^{15,16}

FTP standardized uptake value ratio (SUVR) images were created based on mean tracer uptake 80 to 100 minutes post-injection normalized by mean inferior cerebellar gray matter uptake.¹⁷ SUVR images were partial volume (PV) corrected using the Geometric Transfer Matrix approach¹⁸ on FreeSurfer-derived ROIs.¹⁹ An SUVR >1.26 in a region approximating Braak stage III/IV was used to determine FTP positivity.^{12,20} We focused on two additional ROIs for FTP measures in the present study: entorhinal cortex (ERC) and a MetaROI comprised of several temporal regions including ERC, amygdala, parahippocampal cortex, fusiform, and inferior and middle temporal gyri.²¹ For more on FTP cut-off and ROIs, see supporting information.

T1-weighted MPRAGE sMRI scans were processed using FreeSurfer version 5.3 (http://freesurfer.net/).²²⁻²⁴ Cortical thickness estimates for each ROI were obtained in native space. To calculate the MetaROI thickness, we averaged the thickness values for each of the cortical regions (i.e., excluding amygdala): ERC, parahippocampal cortex, fusiform, and inferior and middle temporal gyri.

2.4 Neuropsychological assessment

All BACS participants undergo neuropsychological testing to measure verbal and visual memory, working memory, processing speed, executive function, language, and attention. For the present study, composite z-scores were calculated as previously described for three cognitive domains: episodic memory, working memory, and processing speed (see supporting information and Harrison et al.²⁵).

2.5 Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were conducted using R (https://www.R-project. org/), jamovi (https://www.jamovi.org), and FreeSurfer tools (http:// freesurfer.net). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) models were used to compare demographic, cognitive scores, and cortical thickness in 34 bilateral Freesurfer cortical ROIs between the four A/T groups. Post hoc t-tests were used for pairwise group comparisons. Multiple regressions were used to explore continuous relationships between cortical thickness and pathology adjusting for age and sex. Associations with age and cognition were explored using Pearson correlation. Mediation Alzheimer's & Dementia[®] 1087

analyses were performed using the "medmod" package in jamovi. Briefly, mediation analyses were used to test whether a mediator accounted for a relationship observed between two variables.²⁶ General linear models implemented in FreeSurfer were used to run vertexwise cortical thickness comparisons between A/T groups. All statistical analyses used a two-tailed level of 0.05 for defining statistical significance. Omnibus tests were not corrected for multiple comparisons, but whether post hoc tests survived Benjamini–Hochberg correction at a false discovery rate of 0.05 was noted in the main text or figure legends.²⁷

2.6 Systematic literature review

A PubMed search was performed on February 5, 2020 for articles published in English with the keywords "amyloid or $A\beta$ or β -amyloid or amyloid- β " and "cortical thickness or gray matter volume or grey matter volume or VBM or MTL atrophy or MTL thickness" and "cognitively normal or normal control or CN or healthy control or older adults or aging or ADNI." The retrieved articles were reviewed for any relevant studies missed in the database search. The inclusion criteria for this literature review specify that a study must have: (1) a PET-based or CSFbased measure of A β in the brain, (2) a measure of gray matter thickness or volume in the brain, (3) a sample of at least 30 cognitively normal older adults, (4) a cross-sectional design and results of a direct comparison between $A\beta$ + and $A\beta$ - subjects or an association between continuous A β and gray matter across subjects. In some cases there were several papers published on overlapping datasets from the same senior author. In these cases, we included the article with the largest sample of cognitively healthy older adults. The included studies were carefully summarized focusing on demographic information, main findings regarding $A\beta$ and cortical integrity associations and, finally, whether the study used a measure of tau.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | A/T groups did not differ across demographic or cognitive measures

A total of 125 participants (age 76.6 \pm 6.7, 58% female) were categorized into four groups based on PiB and FTP status (Table 1). Our cohort was enriched for A β positivity to ensure an adequate distribution of tau burden (see supporting information). With this caveat, it is interesting to note that A/T groups were not evenly distributed with nearly half of participants being PiB-/FTP-. Only 11% of participants were PiB-/FTP+ and these individuals had lower FTP than the PiB+/FTP+ group in the MetaROI (P = .01), but this difference was a trend in ERC (P = .05). There were no significant differences between A/T groups in age; sex; years of education; Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score; or cognitive domain scores for episodic memory, working memory, and processing speed.

TABLE 1 Cohort Characteristics

	All subjects (n = 125)	PiB-/FTP- (n = 61)	PiB-/FTP+ (n = 14)	PiB+/FTP- (n = 28)	PiB+/FTP+ (n = 22)
Age (years)	76.6 (6.70)	76.1 (7.72)	78.2 (7.69)	76.1 (5.72)	77.7 (3.56)
Sex (M/F)	52/73	26/35	6/8	11/17	9/13
APOE ɛ4 (C/NC) a,b,c,d	32/90	5/54 *missing 2	1/13	13/14 *missing 1	13/9
Avg. years education (years)	16.9 (1.86)	17.2 (1.82)	17.4 (1.99)	16.5 (1.99)	16.4 (1.53)
Global PiB DVR ^{a, b, c, d, e}	1.14 (0.221)	1.02 (.0301)	1.02 (.0212)	1.26 (.213)	1.42 (.270)
ERC FTP SUVR ^{a, b, c, e, f}	1.28 (0.236)	1.16 (0.140)	1.38 (0.165)	1.26 (0.187)	1.56 (0.286)
MetaROI FTP SUVR ^{a, b, c, d, e, f}	1.26 (0.167)	1.17 (0.079)	1.34 (0.052)	1.23 (0.069)	1.50 (0.218)
MMSE	28.7 (1.20)	28.8 (1.12)	29.0 (.961)	28.8 (1.09)	28.1 (1.55)
Episodic memory	*	.0956 (.834)	.195 (.837)	0144 (.842)	371 (.759)
Working memory	*	-0.0494 (1.06)	.247 (1.28)	.123 (.793)	177 (.883)
Processingspeed	*	.00528 (.684)	.0291 (.393)	.0618 (.559)	-0.0948 (.504)

Abbreviations: APOE, apolipoprotein E; C, carrier; DVR, distribution volume ratio; ERC, entorhinal cortex; F, female; FTP, flortaucipir; M, male; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; NC, non-carrier; PiB, Pittsburgh compound B; ROI, region of interest; SUVR, standardized uptake value ratio; yrs, years.

^aSignificant differences between PiB-/FTP- and PiB+/FTP- groups (P < .05) ^bSignificant differences between PiB-/FTP- and PiB+/FTP+ groups (P < .05) ^cSignificant differences between PiB-/FTP+ and PiB+/FTP- groups (P < .05) ^dSignificant differences between PiB-/FTP+ and PiB+/FTP+ groups (P < .05) ^eSignificant differences between PiB+/FTP- and PiB+/FTP+ groups (P < .05) ^fSignificant differences between PiB-/FTP- and PiB+/FTP+ groups (P < .05) ^fSignificant differences between PiB-/FTP- and PiB-/FTP+ groups (P < .05) ^sApproaching 0 due to z-score generation process.

3.2 | A/T groups showed spatially widespread differences in cross-sectional cortical thickness

Using a standard atlas (Desikan et al.²³), we compared average bilateral cortical thickness in anatomical ROIs across A/T groups using ANOVAs. Association cortex in each cerebral lobe showed differences in cortical thickness across A/T groups (Figure 1A). Patterns of post hoc relationships driving significant ANOVAs followed one of two patterns: PiB+/FTP+ was significantly thinner than PiB+/FTP- (e.g., middle temporal gyrus; Figure 1B) or PiB+/FTP+ was significantly thinner than both PiB+/FTP- and PiB-/FTP- (e.g., inferior parietal lobe; Figure 1C).

Increasing age was associated with thinner cortex across the whole cohort and within PiB-/FTP- and PiB+/FTP+ groups (Figure S1 in supporting information). In all A/T groups, including those that did not reach statistical significance, there was a negative, linear relationship between age and cortical thickness.

3.3 \mid A β -cortical thickness relationships depended on tau but greater tau predicted lower cortical thickness regardless of A β

Next, we explored the continuous relationship between cortical thickness and PiB in FTP- and FTP+ participants separately. In all analyses we adjusted for age and sex. We found that in FTP- participants higher global PiB DVR was positively associated with cortical thickness in several regions including insula, inferior frontal gryrus, fusiform, and lingual gyrus (Figure 2A). There were no negative associations between global PiB DVR and cortical thickness in this low tau pathology group. In contrast, in the FTP+ participants higher global PiB DVR was negatively associated with cortical thickness in several temporal regions as well as paracentral and caudal middle frontal ROIs (Figure 2B). In the fusiform gyrus the relationship between cortical thickness and global PiB DVR was positive in FTP- participants and negative in FTP+ participants. We showed a significant interaction effect between global PiB DVR and FTP status on fusiform cortical thickness across the entire cohort (P = .002; Figure 2C).

Continuous relationships between ERC FTP SUVR and cortical thickness were negative regardless of PiB status. In PiB– participants, ERC FTP SUVR was associated with thinner cortex only in the ERC region (Figure 3A, B). In contrast, in PiB+ participants, ERC FTP SUVR predicted lower cortical thickness across most of the cortex, especially in temporal lobe including ERC (Figure 3C and D). We also compared A/T groups pairwise in vertexwise analyses (Figure S2 in supporting information). Results were statistically weak but consistent with ROI findings (see supporting information).

3.4 Tau and cortical thickness were related to episodic memory

Ultimately, understanding relationships between pathology and neurodegeneration may help uncover mechanisms of cognitive decline and dysfunction in aging and AD. Global PiB DVR predicted episodic mem-



FIGURE 1 A/T groups showed spatially widespread differences in cross-sectional cortical thickness. A) Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare cortical thickness across the four groups: PiB-/FTP- (n = 61), PiB-/FTP+ (n = 14), PiB+/FTP- (n = 28), and PiB+/FTP+ (n = 22). Significant (P < .05) differences in cortical thickness were observed in all illustrated regions of interest. B) Middle temporal thickness was plotted to demonstrate differences between the groups (ANOVA P = .013). Thickness in the PiB+/FTP- group (post hoc t-test P = .007). C) Inferior parietal thickness showed differences across groups (ANOVA P = .007). Inferior parietal thickness in the PiB+/FTP+ group was significantly lower than both the PiB-/FTP- (post hoc t-test P = .009) and the PiB+/FTP- group (post hoc t-test P = .010). Post hoc t-tests did not survive correction for multiple comparisons. FTP, flortaucipir; PiB, Pittsburgh compound B

ory performance (r = 0.20, P = .02), but this relationship was mediated by ERC FTP SUVR (indirect path P < .001, 89.7%; direct P = .79, 10.3%; total P = .02). In other words, the relationship between global PiB DVR and episodic memory performance was nearly 90% mediated by ERC FTP SUVR via an indirect path modeled as global PiB $DVR \rightarrow ERC FTP SUVR \rightarrow episodic memory performance. Global PiB$ DVR was not related to cognition in either the working memory (r = -0.06, P = .49) or processing speed (r = -0.02, P = .85) domains. We further explored relationships between cognition and FTP measures or cortical thickness in ERC and the temporal MetaROI. As expected, we observed that higher FTP in ERC or MetaROI were associated with worse episodic memory (Figure 4A and B). There were no significant associations between FTP measures and working memory (ERC: r = -0.02, P = .79; MetaROI: r = -0.03, P = .77) or processing speed (ERC: r = -0.10, P = .28; MetaROI: r = -0.15, P = .10). We also observed positive associations between ERC or MetaROI cortical thickness and episodic memory performance (Figure 4C and D). There were no significant associations between cortical thickness and working memory (ERC: r = -0.06, P = 0.52; MetaROI: r = 0.08, P = .40), but cortical thickness in ERC and MetaROI were both related to processing speed (ERC:

r = 0.19, P = .03; MetaROI: r = 0.35, P < .001; ERC finding does not survive multiple comparison correction).

Interestingly, the PiB+/FTP- group appeared to show weaker associations than the other three A/T groups (see Figure S3 in supporting information) although there were no significant group interaction effects. Given both FTP measures and cortical thickness are related to memory, we tested mediation models to assess whether cortical thickness, a measure of neurodegeneration, mediates the effect of tau accumulation on memory function. Focusing on the MetaROI across our entire cohort we found evidence of a mediation of MetaROI thickness on the relationship between MetaROI FTP SUVR and memory (indirect path 24.5% mediation; Figure S4A in supporting information). In PiB- participants the mediation was not significant, while in PiB+ participants the mediation was stronger than in the whole cohort (indirect path 26.9% mediation; Figure S4B and C).

3.5 | Tau-dependent relationships between A β and cortical thickness may account for inconsistent literature and weak association between cross-sectional A β and cognition

We completed a systematic review of the literature focused on relationships between PET or CSF A β biomarkers and cortical integrity. The results of this review included 32 studies, which are presented in Table 2. Among the 22 studies where tau biomarkers were not available, the most common finding was a negative association between A β and neurodegeneration such that higher A β predicted thinner or lower volume cortex. A substantial proportion reported a null association between A β and cortical integrity and several reported positive associations between Aß and cortex thickness or volume. A subset of ten studies had both A β and tau biomarkers available. All but one used CSF analytes to measure A β and tau.⁷ A significant negative association between tau and cortical integrity was reported in eight of ten studies, including the single study that used PET measures. The remaining two reported null associations between tau and neurodegeneration. The relationships between $A\beta$ and cortical integrity in these studies were more variable with five studies reporting null findings, four reporting negative associations, and three reporting positive associations (two reported both positive and negative relationships).

4 DISCUSSION

Our findings in a normal aging cohort grouped according to A/T status indicated that the direction of the relationship between A β and cortical thickness was dependent on tau pathology. Moreover, the correlation between early A β (before significant tau accumulation) and cortical thickness may be positive, the opposite direction expected from AD pathogenesis models.² Based on these findings, we posit that measuring associations between A β and cortical integrity (thickness or volume) is challenging without a tau biomarker to stage tau burden.



FIGURE 2 Amyloid beta ($A\beta$)-cortical thickness relationships depended on tau. A) Global PiB DVR predicting cortical thickness in FTPsubjects (n = 89), adjusted for age and sex, yielded only positive significant associations in illustrated ROIs. B) Across all regions of interest (ROIs), global PiB DVR negatively predicted cortical thickness in FTP+ subjects (n = 36), adjusted for age and sex, in the illustrated ROIs. C) Generalized linear models of PiB DVR predicting fusiform thickness, adjusted for age and sex, stratified by FTP status (FTP- in green; FTP+ in red; Braak III/IV threshold >1.26 SUVR) showed a significant positive relationship in FTP- subjects (r = 0.211, P = .047) and a significant negative relationship in FTP+ subjects (r = -0.360, P = .031). There was a significant global PiB DVR by FTP status interaction (P = .002) across all subjects. Correlations between global PiB DVR and cortical thickness did not survive correction for multiple comparisons. DVR, distribution volume ratio; FTP, flortaucipir; PiB, Pittsburgh compound B; SUVR, standardized uptake value ratio



FIGURE 3 Tau predicted lower cortical thickness regardless of amyloid beta ($A\beta$). A) In PiB– subjects (n = 75), FTP SUVR was negatively associated with only ERC thickness. B) There was a significant negative relationship between entorhinal cortex (ERC) thickness, adjusted for age and sex, and ERC FTP SUVR in PiB– subjects (r = -0.317, P = .006). C) In PiB+ subjects (n = 50), FTP SUVR was negatively associated with a majority of cortical ROIs. D) In PiB+ subjects ERC FTP SUVR was a significant negative predictor of ERC thickness, adjusted for age and sex (r = -0.486, P < .001). Correlations between ERC FTP SUVR and cortical thickness survived correction for multiple comparisons. FTP, flortaucipir; PiB, Pittsburgh compound B; SUVR, standardized uptake value ratio



FIGURE 4 Tau and cortical thickness were related to episodic memory. A) ERC FTP SUVR was negatively associated with episodic memory performance (r = -0.414; P < .001) B) MetaROI FTP SUVR negatively predicted episodic memory performance (r = -0.338; P < .001). C) ERC thickness was positively related to episodic memory performance (r = 0.287; P = .001). D) MetaROI thickness was positively associated with episodic memory performance (r = 0.356; P < .001). All significant correlations with cognition survived correction for multiple comparisons. ERC, entorhinal cortex; FTP, flortaucipir; PiB, Pittsburgh compound B; SUVR, standardized uptake value ratio

Thus, before the relatively recent development of tau biomarkers, the ability to detect a significant relationship between A β and cortical integrity was likely complicated by the opposing effects of A β on cortical integrity in low- and high-tau individuals.

Other studies have reported positive associations between $A\beta$ biomarkers and cortical integrity.²⁸⁻³¹ One framework, dubbed the biphasic model, attempts to account for complex relationships between early $A\beta$, tau, and cortical integrity. This framework is consistent with the present study showing that cognitively normal A+/T- participants have thicker cortex compared to a A-/T- group.³⁰ They also report continuous association in tau- participants between their CSF $A\beta$ biomarker and cortical thickness such that more $A\beta$ accumulation is associated with greater cortical thickness in temporal and parietal regions.

The mechanism driving positive associations between A β and cortical thickness in tau– participants (Figure 2A) is unknown but there are several possibilities. First, A β accumulation could drive a neuroimmune response that causes local fluid increases (swelling) or glial recruitment leading to increases in estimates of cortical thickness or volume.^{32–34} Second, the accumulation of A β itself could cause estimates of cortical thickness or volume to increase due to the presence of spaceoccupying plaques. In support of this possibility, clinical trials of A β lowering therapies have shown that as A β is removed from cortex there is concurrent thinning.³⁵ Last, positive associations between A β and cortical integrity could be driven by measurement bias such that individuals with thicker cortex simply have more tissue in which A β can accumulate making PiB-PET signal higher. This, however, would not explain similar results reported with CSF biomarkers for A β and tau. 36,37

Our analyses examining continuous relationships between tau and cortical thickness showed that ERC tau predicted cortical thickness in only ERC in $A\beta$ - participants. In contrast, ERC tau negatively predicted cortical thickness across the majority of cortex in $A\beta$ + participants, nicely illustrating that the presence of $A\beta$ is associated with tau and cortical thickness relationships outside of the medial temporal lobe. This is consistent with the idea that $A\beta$ plays a role in driving tau spread, which then leads to neurodegeneration.^{6,38,39} Across the entire cohort, we found that tau measures in ERC and a temporal MetaROI were related to corresponding cortical thickness in ERC and the this relationship was mediated by tau. Mediation models also revealed that across the whole cohort, but especially in $A\beta$ + participants, thickness mediated the effect of tau on memory.

The predominant model of AD pathogenesis describes a typical temporal order for the emergence of abnormal biomarkers.² In this model, the emergence of tau pathology occurs subsequent to $A\beta$ deposition but before neurodegeneration. Based on research criteria, even cognitively normal older adults who have $A\beta$ pathology are on the AD continuum, and those with evidence of both $A\beta$ and tau are defined as having preclinical AD.⁴⁰ Our and others' data suggest that it is challenging to link $A\beta$ to neurodegeneration without considering tau which, as the model suggests, likely represents a transition event between the

1092 | Alzheimer's & Dementia

THE JOURNAL OF THE ALZHEIMER'S ASSOCIATION

TABLE 2 Systematic Literature Review of Studies Examining A^β Biomarkers and Cortical Integrity in Cognitively Normal Older Adults

Study	Cohort	N	Mean age (SD)	Biomarker modality	GM∼ Aβ	GM~ Tau	Description of findings:
Desikan et al. PLoS One 2010	Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI)	208	76.0 (4.9)	CSF	Neg	Neg	Aβ+ subjects had decreased GM compared to Aβ− subjects in F. Tau+ subjects had decreased GM compared to tau- subjects in T.
Glodzik et al. Neurobiol Aging 2012	Center for Brain Health and Alzheimer's Disease Center at NYU School of Medicine	115	62.6 (9.5)	CSF	Neg	Neg	$A\beta$ +/tau+ subjects had decreased GM compared to $A\beta$ -/tau- subjects in P, T, L, W. Tau negatively predicted GM in P, T. Tau+ subjects had decreased GM compared to tau- subjects in P, L, T.
Stricker et al. Brain Imaging Behav. 2012	ADNI	103	75.5 (5.21)	CSF	Null	Neg	In all subjects, tau negatively predicted F GM.
Fortea et al. Alzheimers Dement 2014	ADNI	145	73.4 (6.2)	CSF	Pos	Neg	In tau– subjects, Aβ positively predicted P, O, T, GM. In Aβ+ subjects, tau negatively predicted F, P, O, T GM.
Wang et al. Neurology 2015	Knight Alzheimer's Disease Research Center at Washington University	188	73.0 (6.0)	CSF	Null	Neg	In tau+ subjects, tau negatively predicted P, O, T, L GM.
Pettigrew et al. Neuroimage Clin 2017	Biomarkers of Cognitive Decline Among Normal Individuals (BIOCARD)	207	56.9 (10.0)	CSF	Null	Null	
Maass et al. J Neurosci 2018	Berkeley Aging Cohort Study (BACS)	83	77 (6)	PET	Null	Neg	In all subjects, tau negatively predicted T GM. In $A\beta$ + subjects, tau was negatively related to change in T GM. In $A\beta$ - subjects, tau was negatively related to change in T GM.
Montal et al. Alzheimers Dement 2018	Hospital de Sant Pau, Barcelona, Spain; Hospital Marqués de Valdecilla, Satander, Spain; CITA Alzheimer, San Sebastian, Spain	254	58.6 (7.7)	CSF	Neg, Pos	Neg	$A\beta$ +/tausubjects had increased GM compared to $A\beta$ -/tau- subjects in P, T. $A\beta$ +/T+ subjects had decreased GM compared to $A\beta$ -/tau- subjects in T.
Batzu et al. Neurobiol Aging 2019	ADNI	122	72.3 (5.7)	CSF	Neg, Pos	Neg	$A\beta$ +/tau- subjects had increased GM compared to $A\beta$ -/tau- subjects in F, P, O. $A\beta$ +/tau+ subjects had decreased GM compared to $A\beta$ -/tau- subjects in F.
Luo et al. Front Neurosci 2019	ADNI	76	75.0 (5.5)	CSF	Null	Null	
Becker et al. Ann Neurol 2011	Massachusetts General and Brigham and Women's Hospitals, and referring memory clinics (S.S., G.M., and D.M.)	87	75.0 (8.0)	PET	Neg	N/A	In all subjects, A β negatively predicted F, P, T, L GM. A β + subjects had decreased GM compared to A β - subjects in F, P, L.
Arenaza-Urquijo et al. J Alzheimers Dis 2013	Alzheimer's Disease and Cognitive Disorders Unit, Neurology Service, Hospital Clinic, Barcelona, Spain	33	70.3 (7.1)	CSF	Neg	N/A	$A\beta$ + subjects had decreased GM compared to $A\beta$ - subjects in F, P, T, L.
Doré et al. JAMA Neurol 2013	Australian Imaging Biomarkers and Lifestyle Study of Ageing (AIBL)	93	73.9 (7.4)	PET	Neg	N/A	$A\beta$ + subjects had decreased GM in P, L compared to $A\beta$ - subjects. In $A\beta$ + subjects, $A\beta$ negatively predicted P, T, L GM. $A\beta$ + subjects had an increased rate of GM atrophy than $A\beta$ - subjects in P, T, L.

TABLE 2 (Continued)

Alzheimer's & Dementia[®] 1093

		Mean	Biomarker	GM~	GM~	
Cohort	N	age (SD)	modality	Aβ	lau	Description of findings:
Mayo Clinic Alzheimer's Disease Research Center and Mayo Clinic Study of Aging (MCSA)	230	80*	PET	Neg, Pos	N/A	$A\beta$ + subjects had increased GM compared to $A\beta$ - subjects in P; $A\beta$ + subjects had decreased GM compared to $A\beta$ - subjects in F, O, P, T
ADNI	57	76.7 (5.8)	PET	Null	N/A	
ADNI	40	75.2 (6.8)	PET	Neg	N/A	In all subjects, $A\beta$ + subjects had a higher rate of GM atrophy compared to $A\beta$ - in P, O, L.
Wisconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention (WRAP)	109	60.7 (5.7)	PET	Neg	N/A	Aβ+ subjects had decreased GM compared to Aβ- subjects in T.
Three-City Dijon Study	118	72.0 7 (3.98)	CSF	Neg	N/A	Subjects in the highest tertile of $A\beta$ at baseline and follow-up had faster GM atrophy in W than those in the lowest tertile.
Laboratory of Functional Neuroscience at Pablo de Olavide University	120	68.9 (3.7)	CSF	Neg	N/A	$A\beta$ + subjects had decreased GM compared to $A\beta$ - subjects in F, T.
ADNI	280	73.6(6.3)	PET	Null	N/A	
ADNI	103	75.5 (5.2)	CSF	Neg	N/A	$A\beta$ + subjects had decreased GM compared to $A\beta$ -subjects in P.
Harvard Aging Brain Study (HABS)	250	73.8 (6.0)	PET	Null	N/A	
HABS	186	73.8 (6.0)	PET	Neg	N/A	In all subjects, A β negatively predicted T, L GM.
ADNI	251	75.5 (6.5)	PET	Null	N/A	
N/A	89	73.1 (6.0)	CSF	Neg	N/A	In A β + subjects, A β negatively predicted F GM.
Biomarkers for Identifying Neurodegenerative Disorders Early and Reliably (BioFinder)	299	73.3 (5.0)	CSF	Null	N/A	
ADNI	86	74.3 (6.9)	PET	Null	N/A	
MCSA	1164	70.0 4 (10.0)	PET	Neg	N/A	In all subjects, A β negatively predicted O, T GM.
European Medical Information Framework for Alzheimer's Disease Multimodal Biomarker Discovery (EMIF-AD MBD)	337	66.5 (7.2)	PET	Neg	N/A	$A\beta$ + subjects had decreased GM compared to $A\beta$ - subjects in O, T.
	CohortMayo Clinic Alzheimer's Disease Research Center and Mayo Clinic Study of Aging (MCSA)ADNIJaboniWisconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention (WRAP)Three-City Dijon StudyIaboratory of Functional Neuroscience at Pablo de Olavide UniversityADNIADNIADNIADNIADNIADNIMassMassMassMARSADNIADNIMARSADNIADNIADNIMASSMARSMARSMARSMARSMARSMARSADNI<	CohortNMayo Clinic Alzheimer's Disease Research Center and Mayo Clinic Study of Aging (MCSA)323ADNI573ADNI403Misconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention (WRAP)109Three-City Dijon Study Neuroscience at Pablo de Olavide University120ADNI2803ADNI2803ADNI2803Mayo Clinic Study2803Mayo Clinic Study2813Mayo Clinic Study2813Mayo Clinic Study2813Mayo Clinic Study2813Mayo Clinic Study33Mayo Clinic Study33<	KohortNMean SubMayo Clinic Alzheimer's Disease Research Center and Mayo Clinic Study of Aging (MCSA)3080°ADNI5116.76.8ADNI405.2 (6.8)6.9Misconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention (WRAP)1016.7 (3.78)Three-City Dijon Study1038.9 (3.70)ADNI2807.3(6.9)ADNI2807.3(6.9)ADNI1037.5 (5.2)ADNI1037.5 (5.2)ADNI2103.8 (6.0)HABS2107.5 (6.5)IABS2117.5 (6.5)IAMA2117.5 (6.5)IAMA2117.5 (6.5)IAMA2117.3 (6.0)IAMA2117.3 (6.0)IAMA2117.3 (6.0)IAMA2117.3 (6.0)IAMA2117.3 (6.0)IAMA2117.3 (6.0)IAMA2117.3 (6.0)IAMA2117.3 (6.0)IAMA2117.3 (6.0)IAMA2117.3 (6.0)IAMA2117.3 (6.0)IAMA2117.3 (6.0)IAMA2117.3 (6.0)IAMA2117.3 (6.0)IAMA2117.3 (6.0)IAMA2117.3 (6.0)IAMA2117.3 (6.0)IAMA2117.3 (6.0)IAMA2117	CohortNMean (Set)MonarianMayo Clinic Alzheimer's Disease Research Center and Mayo Clinic Studyof3080°FETADNI5776.76.90FET61ADNI4075.26.90FET76.77.90FETMisconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention WWRAP10260.77.90FET76.77.90Three-City Dijon Study10375.70.90FET76.77.90FETADNI28073.66.30FET76.77.90FETADNI28073.66.30FET76.77.90FETADNI28073.60.90FETFET76.77.90FETADNI28073.60.90FETFETFETFETADNI25175.52.90FETFETFETFETADNI25175.63.90FETFETFETFETADNI25175.63.90FETFETFETFETADNI25175.63.90FETFETFETFETADNI25175.63.90FETFETFETFETADNI25175.63.90FETFETFETFETADNI25176.63.91FETFETFETFETADNI25176.93FETFETFETFETADNI25176.9376.93FETFETFETADNI25176.9376.93FETFETFETADNI26176.9376.93 <td>CohortNMean ge(SD)Biomarker ModalityGA/- ModalityMayo Clinic Alzheimer's Disease Research Center and Mayo Clinic Study of Aging (MCSA)S128.7FETNeg. PostADNIS17.6.7 (5.8)FETNullADNI407.2.6 (6.8)FETNeg.Mysonsin Registry for (WRAP)0.160.7 (5.7)FETNegMuteriser's Prevention (WRAP)12060.7 (5.7)FETNegLaboratory of Functional Neuroscience at Pablo de Olavide University12068.9 (3.7)SFENegADNI12061.5 (5.7)SFENullNegADNI1205.5.0SFENullADNI1307.5.6SFENullADNI1307.5.6SFENullADNI1307.5.6SFENullHarvard Aging Brain Study (HABS)1367.6.0PETNullMA1317.5.6FETNullMurodegenerative Biordersen and Machality1367.6.0PETNullMA1317.6.0SFENullNullMA1317.6.0SFENullNullMurodegenerative Biordersen Mandeliability1367.6.0PETNullMurodegenerative Biorefriders1367.0.0PETNullMurodegenerative Biorefriders1377.0.0PETNullMurodegenerative Biorefriders</td> <td>ColorNMean age (SDBiomarkerGM-/ ModalityGM-/ TauMayo Clinic Alzheimer's Disease Research Center and Mayo Clinic Study of Aging (MCSA)230$0^{\circ}$PETNeg.N/AADNI577.6.7 (S.8)PETNullN/AADNI407.5.2 (S.8)PETNeg.N/AADNI109$0.7$ (S.7)PETNeg.N/AWiscosin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention (WRAP)109$6.7$ (3.7)PETNeg.N/AThree-City Dijon Study$1187$7.2.0 (3.7)SFNeg.N/AN/AADNI1037.5.5 (S.2)CSFNeg.N/AN/AADNI1037.5.6SFNullN/AN/AADNI2507.3.8PETNullN/AADNI2517.5.6SFNullN/AHarvard Aging Brain Study (HABS)2517.5.6PETNullN/AADNI2517.5.6PETNullN/AN/AADNI2517.5.6PETNullN/AN/AMAS2517.5.6PETNullN/AN/AADNI2517.5.6PETNullN/AN/AADNI2517.5.6PETNullN/AN/AMAS255SFNullN/AN/AN/AADNI2517.5.6PETNullN/AN/AMan</td>	CohortNMean ge(SD)Biomarker ModalityGA/- ModalityMayo Clinic Alzheimer's Disease Research Center and Mayo Clinic Study of Aging (MCSA)S128.7FETNeg. PostADNIS17.6.7 (5.8)FETNullADNI407.2.6 (6.8)FETNeg.Mysonsin Registry for (WRAP)0.160.7 (5.7)FETNegMuteriser's Prevention (WRAP)12060.7 (5.7)FETNegLaboratory of Functional Neuroscience at Pablo de Olavide University12068.9 (3.7)SFENegADNI12061.5 (5.7)SFENullNegADNI1205.5.0SFENullADNI1307.5.6SFENullADNI1307.5.6SFENullADNI1307.5.6SFENullHarvard Aging Brain Study (HABS)1367.6.0PETNullMA1317.5.6FETNullMurodegenerative Biordersen and Machality1367.6.0PETNullMA1317.6.0SFENullNullMA1317.6.0SFENullNullMurodegenerative Biordersen Mandeliability1367.6.0PETNullMurodegenerative Biorefriders1367.0.0PETNullMurodegenerative Biorefriders1377.0.0PETNullMurodegenerative Biorefriders	ColorNMean age (SDBiomarkerGM-/ ModalityGM-/ TauMayo Clinic Alzheimer's Disease Research Center and Mayo Clinic Study of Aging (MCSA)230 0° PETNeg.N/AADNI577.6.7 (S.8)PETNullN/AADNI407.5.2 (S.8)PETNeg.N/AADNI109 0.7 (S.7)PETNeg.N/AWiscosin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention (WRAP)109 6.7 (3.7)PETNeg.N/AThree-City Dijon Study 1187 7.2.0 (3.7)SFNeg.N/AN/AADNI1037.5.5 (S.2)CSFNeg.N/AN/AADNI1037.5.6SFNullN/AN/AADNI2507.3.8PETNullN/AADNI2517.5.6SFNullN/AHarvard Aging Brain Study (HABS)2517.5.6PETNullN/AADNI2517.5.6PETNullN/AN/AADNI2517.5.6PETNullN/AN/AMAS2517.5.6PETNullN/AN/AADNI2517.5.6PETNullN/AN/AADNI2517.5.6PETNullN/AN/AMAS255SFNullN/AN/AN/AADNI2517.5.6PETNullN/AN/AMan

TABLE 2 (Continued)

Study	Cohort	N	Mean age (SD)	Biomarker modality	GM∼ Aβ	GM~ Tau	Description of findings:
Haller et al. Front Neurosci 2019	University Hospitals of Geneva	133	76.8 (4.0)	PET	Null	N/A	
Rabin et al. JAMA Neurol 2019	HABS	182	73.4 (6.2)	PET	Neg	N/A	In all subjects, $A\beta$ negatively predicted W GM.
Rahayel et al. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2019	Centre de recherche de l'Institut universitaire de gériatrie de Montréal (CRIUGM)	103	73.4 (6.2)	PET	Neg, Pos	N/A	In all subjects, $A\beta$ negatively predicted F and positively predicted F, T GM.

Abbreviations: $A\beta$, amyloid beta; F, frontal lobe; GM, gray matter; L, limbic lobe (cingulate gyrus, parahippocampal gyrus, dentate gyrus); Neg, negative association between pathology biomarker and cortical measure; O, occipital lobe; P, parietal lobe; Pos, positive association between pathology biomarker and cortical measure; O, occipital lobe; P, parietal lobe; Pos, positive association between pathology biomarker and cortical measure; SD, standard deviation; T, temporal lobe; W, whole cortex. Notes: Studies testing cross-sectional associations between $A\beta$ and cortical integrity (thickness or volume) in cognitively healthy older adults. Citation, cohort, sample size, mean age, and pathology biomarker modality are listed in addition to a brief summary of results. The locations of cortical areas with significant results are noted by the lobe of the brain for brevity. Studies including $A\beta$ and tau biomarkers are listed in chronological order followed by studies with only an $A\beta$ biomarker in chronological order.

*This study reported a median age.

emergence of $A\beta$ and atrophy. Some groups have addressed this challenge by focusing on only high $A\beta$ participants, but this of course has the effect of increasing the tau burden because $A\beta$ and tau are correlated.^{41,42} Here, we attempt to reframe interpretations away from the idea that high $A\beta$ is needed to detect atrophy effects, but rather that tau pathology is the likely driver of these associations when $A\beta$ is high. Isolated $A\beta$ may have detrimental effects on processes that affect cortical integrity, but it is increasingly clear that with current in vivo tools we cannot convincingly detect $A\beta$ effects on atrophy that are tau independent. Thus, in cognitively normal individuals with evidence of preclinical AD, pathology-related neurodegeneration appears to be driven by tau.

A review of the literature revealed that studies exploring the relationship between $A\beta$ and atrophy in cognitively healthy older adults most frequently reported negative associations such that higher $A\beta$ was related to thinner or lower volume cortex. However, of the studies we reviewed, 5 (15%) reported positive associations between $A\beta$ and neurodegeneration and 12 (38%) found no relationship. The higher proportion of negative associations could be the result of publication bias, though this is difficult to prove. In contrast, the relationship between tau and cortical integrity appeared more straightforward with higher levels of tau predicting more severe cortical thinning or volume loss in 8 of 10 reports. The remaining two found no association between a CSF-based tau biomarker and cortical integrity. This context supports the main findings of the present study and our interpretation that A β is not related to atrophy in a simple linear manner but rather tau deposition, which is associated with elevated A_β, is predictive of cortical thickness.

Our study had several limitations and caveats. First, 125 cognitively normal participants, while larger than the majority of the similar papers included in our literature review, is still a relatively small sample size to study potentially subtle effects. Relatedly, while some of our findings did not survive correction for multiple comparisons, our main focus is not on specific regional results but on the overall patterns of associations (eg, negative or positive) between pathology and cortical thickness. Second, this is a highly educated, relatively healthy volunteer convenience sample and is not reflective of the breadth of older adults. Third, we use cross-sectional data rather than longitudinal data to attempt to interpret temporal processes. Finally, 1.5T MRI and standard neuropsychological tests may be less sensitive than higher field strengths or specific preclinical AD cognitive composite approaches, respectively.

By presenting novel empirical findings and a systematic review of similar studies we aimed to accomplish two things: first, to highlight the fact that the relationship between $A\beta$ and cortical integrity in normal aging is not straightforward and may be biphasic and second, that measuring tau is critical to determining the effect of early AD pathology on cortical thickness or volume. We showed that $A\beta$ relationships with cortical thickness are relatively weak, are positive in tau– participants, and are negative in tau+ participants. By contrast, we showed strong negative associations between ERC tau and ERC thickness in both $A\beta$ - and $A\beta$ + participants, with additional strong negative associations to cortical thickness across the rest of the brain in the $A\beta$ + group. Finally, we demonstrated that tau is related not only to cortical thickness but also to memory, which is further evidence of the importance of tau pathology in driving early atrophy and functional decline in aging.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the National Institutes of Health grants F32-AG057107 (to T.M.H.) and R01-AG034570 (to William J. Jagust). Support was also provided by the Tau Consortium (to William J. Jagust) and the Swiss National Science Foundation (to G.K.). Avid Radiopharmaceuticals enabled the use of the [18F] flortaucipir tracer, but did not provide direct funding and were not involved in data analysis or interpretation.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

William J. Jagust serves as a consultant to Bioclinica, Biogen, Genentech, CuraSen, and Grifols.

REFERENCES

- 1. Hyman BT, Phelps CH, Beach TG, et al. National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association guidelines for the neuropathologic assessment of Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's Dement*. 2012;8:1-13.
- Jack CR, Knopman DS, Jagust WJ, et al. Tracking pathophysiological processes in Alzheimer's disease: an updated hypothetical model of dynamic biomarkers. *Lancet Neurol.* 2013;12:207-216.
- Whitwell JL, Tosakulwong N, Weigand SD, et al. Does amyloid deposition produce a specific atrophic signature in cognitively normal subjects?. *NeuroImage Clin.* 2013;2:249-257.
- Becker JA, Hedden T, Carmasin J, et al. Amyloid-β associated cortical thinning in clinically normal elderly. Ann Neurol. 2011;69:1032-1042.
- Hanseeuw Bernard J., Schultz Aaron P., Betensky Rebecca A., Sperling Reisa A., Johnson Keith A. (2016) Decreased hippocampal metabolism in high-amyloid mild cognitive impairment. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, 12 (12), 1288–1296. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2016.06.2357.
- Pooler AM, Polydoro M, Maury EA, et al. Amyloid accelerates tau propagation and toxicity in a model of early Alzheimer's disease. Acta Neuropathol Commun. 2015;3:14.
- Maass A, Lockhart SN, Harrison TM, et al. Entorhinal tau pathology, episodic memory decline, and neurodegeneration in aging. *J Neurosci.* 2018;38.
- Montal V, Vilaplana E, Alcolea D, et al. Cortical microstructural changes along the Alzheimer's disease continuum. *Alzheimer's Dement*. 2018;14:340-351.
- 9. Nelson PT, Alafuzoff I, Bigio EH, et al. Correlation of Alzheimer disease neuropathologic changes with cognitive status: a review of the literature. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. 2012;71:362-381.
- Jack CR, Bennett DA, Blennow K, et al. A/T/N: an unbiased descriptive classification scheme for Alzheimer disease biomarkers. *Neurol*ogy. 2016;87:539-547.
- Ossenkoppele R, Schonhaut DR, Schöll M, et al. Tau PET patterns mirror clinical and neuroanatomical variability in Alzheimer's disease. *Brain*. 2016;139:1551-1567.
- 12. Schöll M, Lockhart SN, Schonhaut DR, et al. PET Imaging of tau deposition in the aging human brain. *Neuron*. 2016;89:971-982.
- Logan J, Fowler JS, Volkow ND, et al. Distribution volume ratios without blood sampling from graphical analysis of PET data. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 1996:834-840.
- Price JC, Klunk WE, Lopresti BJ, et al. Kinetic modeling of amyloid binding in humans using PET imaging and Pittsburgh Compound-B. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 2005;25:1528-1547.
- Mormino EC, Smiljic A, Hayenga AO, et al. Relationships between βamyloid and functional connectivity in different components of the default mode network in aging. *Cereb Cortex*. 2011;21:2399-2407.
- Villeneuve S, Rabinovici GD, Cohn-Sheehy BI, et al. Existing Pittsburgh Compound-B positron emission tomography thresholds are too high: statistical and pathological evaluation. *Brain*. 2015;138:2020-2033.
- Baker SL, Lockhart SN, Price JC, et al. Reference tissue-based kinetic evaluation of 18F-AV-1451 for tau imaging. J Nucl Med. 2017;58:332-338.
- Rousset OG, Ma Y, Evans AC. Correction for partial volume effects in PET: principle and validation. J Nucl Med. 1998;39:904-911.
- Baker SL, Maass A, Jagust WJ. Considerations and code for partial volume correcting^{18F}-AV-1451 tau PET data. *Data Br.* 2017;15:648-657.
- Maass A, Landau S, Baker SL, et al. Comparison of multiple tau-PET measures as biomarkers in aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroimage*. 2017;157:448-463.
- Jack Clifford R., Wiste Heather J., Weigand Stephen D., et al. (2017) Defining imaging biomarker cut points for brain aging and Alzheimer's

disease. Alzheimer's & Dementia, 13 (3), 205–216. http://doi.org/10.

- Fischl B, Dale AM. Measuring the thickness of the human cerebral cortex from magnetic resonance images. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2000;97:11050-11055.
- Desikan RS, Ségonne F, Fischl B, et al. An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. *Neuroimage*. 2006;31:968-980.
- 24. Dale AM, Fischl B, Sereno MI. Cortical surface-based analysis. *Neuroimage*. 1999;9:179-194.
- Harrison TM, Maass A, Baker SL, Jagust WJ. Brain morphology, cognition, and β-amyloid in older adults with superior memory performance. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2018;67:162-170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neurobiolaging.2018.03.024.
- Hayes AF. Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis: A regression-based approach. Guilford Press; 2013.
- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Soc. 1995;57:289-300.
- Oh H, Habeck C, Madison C, Jagust W. Covarying alterations in Aβ deposition, glucose metabolism, and gray matter volume in cognitively normal elderly. *Hum Brain Mapp.* 2014;35:297-308.
- Chételat G, Villemagne VL, Pike KE, et al. Larger temporal volume in elderly with high versus low beta-amyloid deposition. *Brain*. 2010;133:3349-3358.
- 30. Fortea J, Vilaplana E, Alcolea D, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid β -amyloid and phospho-tau biomarker interactions affecting brain structure in preclinical Alzheimer disease. *Ann Neurol.* 2014;76:223-230.
- Fortea J, Sala-Llonch R, Bartrés-Faz D, et al. Cognitively preserved subjects with transitional cerebrospinal fluid ß-Amyloid 1-42 values have thicker cortex in Alzheimer's disease vulnerable areas. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2011;70:183-190.
- Batzu L, Westman E, Pereira JB. Cerebrospinal fluid progranulin is associated with increased cortical thickness in early stages of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2020;88:61-70.
- Hanzel CE, Pichet-Binette A, Pimentel LSB, et al. Neuronal driven preplaque inflammation in a transgenic rat model of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2014;35:2249-2262.
- Grand'Maison M, Zehntner SP, Ho MK, et al. Early cortical thickness changes predict β-amyloid deposition in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Dis.* 2013;54:59-67.
- Fox NC, Black RS, Gilman S, et al. Effects of Aβ immunization (AN1792) on MRI measures of cerebral volume in Alzheimer disease. *Neurology*. 2005;64:1563-1572.
- 36. Fortea J, Vilaplana E, Alcolea D, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid β-amyloid and phospho-tau biomarker interactions affecting brain structure in preclinical Alzheimer disease. Ann Neurol. 2014;76:223-230.
- Fortea J, Sala-Llonch R, Bartrés-Faz D, et al. Cognitively preserved subjects with transitional Cerebrospinal Fluid ß-Amyloid 1-42 values have thicker cortex in Alzheimer's disease vulnerable areas. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2011;70:183-190.
- 38. Hurtado DE, Molina-Porcel L, Iba M, et al. $A\beta$ accelerates the spatiotemporal progression of tau pathology and augments tau amyloidosis in an Alzheimer mouse model. *Am J Pathol.* 2010;177:1977-1988.
- Jack CR, Wiste HJ, Botha H, et al. The bivariate distribution of amyloidβ and tau: relationship with established neurocognitive clinical syndromes. *Brain*. 2019;142:3230-3242.
- Jack Clifford R., Bennett David A., Blennow Kaj, et al. (2018) NIA-AA Research Framework: Toward a biological definition of Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimer's & Dementia, 14 (4), 535–562. http://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jalz.2018.02.018.
- Kaffashian S, Tzourio C, Soumaré A, et al. Association of plasma βamyloid with MRI markers of structural brain aging the 3-City Dijon study. *Neurobiol Aging.* 2015;36:2663-2670.

42. Jack CR, Wiste HJ, Botha H, et al. The bivariate distribution of amyloid- β and tau: relationship with established neurocognitive clinical syndromes. *Brain*. 2019;142:3230-3242.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article. How to cite this article: Harrison TM, Du R, Klencklen G, Baker SL, Jagust WJ. Distinct effects of amyloid beta and tau on cortical thickness in cognitively healthy older adults. *Alzheimer's Dement*. 2021;17:1085–1096. https://doi.org/10.1002/alz.12249