

# UC Berkeley

## UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

**Title**

Common zeroes of families of smooth vector fields on surfaces

**Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3jz7v3wr>

**Journal**

Geometriae Dedicata, 182(1)

**ISSN**

0046-5755

**Author**

Hirsch, MW

**Publication Date**

2016

**DOI**

10.1007/s10711-015-0126-0

Peer reviewed

# Common zeroes of families of smooth vector fields on surfaces

Morris W. Hirsch Mathematics Department  
University of Wisconsin at Madison  
University of California at Berkeley

August 2, 2016

## Abstract

Let  $Y$  and  $X$  denote  $C^k$  vector fields on a possibly noncompact surface with empty boundary,  $1 \leq k < \infty$ . Say that  $Y$  tracks  $X$  if the dynamical system it generates locally permutes integral curves of  $X$ . Let  $K$  be a locally maximal compact set of zeroes of  $X$ .

**Theorem.** Assume the Poincaré-Hopf index of  $X$  at  $K$  is nonzero, and the  $k$ -jet of  $X$  at each point of  $K$  is nontrivial. If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a supersolvable Lie algebra of  $C^k$  vector fields that track  $X$ , then the elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$  have a common zero in  $K$ .

Applications are made to attractors and transformation groups.

## Contents

|          |   |          |
|----------|---|----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>                     | <b>1</b> |
|          | Statement of the main results . . . . . | 2        |
|          | Applications . . . . .                  | 3        |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Index calculations</b>               | <b>5</b> |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Proof of Theorem 1.2</b>             | <b>6</b> |

## 1 Introduction

$M$  denotes a metrizable real analytic surface with empty boundary. The vector space of vector fields on  $M$  is  $\mathcal{V}(M)$ , topologized by uniform convergence on compact sets. The subspace of  $C^r$  vector fields is  $\mathcal{V}^r(M)$ . Here  $r \in \mathbb{N}_+$  (the set of positive integers), or  $r = \infty$  (infinitely differentiable), or  $r = \omega$  (analytic).

$X$  denotes a vector field on  $M$ , with zero set  $Z(X)$ . A compact set  $K \subset Z(X)$  is a *block* of zeroes for  $X$ , or an  *$X$ -block*, if it has a precompact open neighborhood  $U \subset M$  such that  $Z(X) \cap \overline{U} = K$ . We say  $U$  is *isolating* for  $X$  and for  $(X, K)$ .

The *index* of the  $X$ -block  $K$  is the integer  $i_K(X) := i(X, U)$  defined as the Poincaré-Hopf index [20, 13] of any sufficiently close approximation to  $X$  having only finitely many zeroes in  $U$ . This number is independent of  $U$ , and is stable under perturbations of  $X$ : If  $Y \in \mathcal{VM}$  is sufficiently close to  $X$  then  $U$  is isolating for  $Y$ , and  $i(Y, U) = i(X, U)$  (Proposition 2.2).<sup>1</sup>

$K$  is *essential* if  $i_K(X) \neq 0$ , which every isolating neighborhood of  $K$  meets  $Z(X)$ . This powerful condition implies that if  $Y$  is sufficiently close to  $X$  then  $Z(Y) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ .

We say  $Y$  *tracks*  $X$  provided  $X, Y \in \mathcal{V}^1(M)$ , and the corresponding local flows  $\Phi^Y = \{\Phi_t^Y\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  and  $\Phi^X = \{\Phi_t^X\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  have the following property: For each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  the  $C^1$  diffeomorphism  $\Phi_t^Y: \mathcal{D}_t \approx \mathcal{R}_t$  maps orbits of  $X|_{\mathcal{D}_t}$  to orbits of  $X|_{\mathcal{R}_t}$ . Equivalently: There exists  $f: M \setminus Z(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $X_p \neq 0 \implies [Y, X] = f(p)X_p$  (see HIRSCH, [12, Prop. 2.4] or [10, Prop. 2.3]).<sup>2</sup>

If  $X$  spans an ideal in a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathcal{V}^k(M)$ , every element of  $\mathfrak{g}$  tracks  $X$ . When  $X$  is  $C^\infty$ , the set of  $Y \in \mathcal{V}^\infty(M)$  that track  $X$  is an infinite dimensional Lie algebra.

## Statement of the main results

Throughout the rest of this article we assume:

- $X \in \mathcal{V}^k(M)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$ .

$X$  has *order*  $\text{ord}_p(X) := j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  at  $p \in Z(X)$  if  $j$  is the smallest number in  $\{1, \dots, k\}$  such that the  $j$ -jet of  $X$  at  $p$  is nontrivial. In other words: Some (and hence every)  $C^{k+1}$  chart  $M \supset W' \approx W \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  on  $M$ , centered at  $p$ , represents  $X|_W$  by a  $C^k$  map  $F: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  whose partial derivatives at the origin satisfy

$$F^{(i)}(0) = 0 \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, j-1, \quad F^{(j)}(0) \neq 0. \quad (1)$$

If no integer  $j$  has this property,  $X$  is  *$k$ -flat* at  $p$ .

When  $X$  is analytic and nontrivial on a neighborhood of a continuum  $L \subset Z(X)$ , the order of  $X$  is constant on  $L$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume  $X, Y \in \mathcal{V}^k(M)$  have the following properties:*

- $K \subset Z(X)$  is an essential  $X$ -block,
- $X$  is not  $k$ -flat at any point of  $K$ ,
- $Y$  tracks  $X$ .

<sup>1</sup>Equivalently:  $i(X, U)$  is the intersection number of  $X|_U$  with the zero section of the tangent bundle (C. BONATTI [3]). If  $X$  is generated by a smooth local flow  $\phi$  then  $i(X, U)$  equals the fixed-point index  $I(\phi_t|_U)$  of A. DOLD [5] for sufficiently small  $t > 0$ .

<sup>2</sup>Article [10] is a preliminary version of [12].

Then  $Z(Y) \cap K \neq \emptyset$ .

When  $X$  and  $Y$  are commuting analytic vector fields, this is a special case of a remarkable theorem of C. BONATTI [3]— the inspiration for the present paper.

Theorem 1.1 is proved by demonstrating the strong form of the contrapositive stated below.

A *line field*  $\Lambda$  on a set  $N \subset M$  is a (continuous) section  $p \mapsto \Lambda_p$  of the fibre bundle over  $N$  whose fibre over  $p \in N$  is the circle of unoriented lines through the origin in the tangent space  $T_p(M)$ . If  $Y_p \in \Lambda_p$  for all  $p \in N$  then  $\Lambda$  *controls*  $Y$  in  $N$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Assume:*

- (a)  $X \in \mathcal{V}^k(M)$  is not  $k$ -flat at any point of the  $X$ -block  $K$ ,
- (b)  $Y \in \mathcal{V}^k(M)$  tracks  $X$ ,
- (c)  $Z(Y) \cap K = \emptyset$ ,
- (d)  $U \subset M$  is an isolating neighborhood for  $(X, K)$ .

Then:

- (i)  $i_K(X) = 0$ .
- (ii)  $K$  has only finitely many components, and each component is a  $C^k$ -embedded circle.
- (iii)  $X$  is controlled by a unique line field in  $U$ .
- (iv)  $X$  has index zero at each component of  $K$ .
- (v)  $X$  can be  $C^k$ -approximated by vector fields that have no zeroes in  $U$  and agree with  $X$  outside  $U$ .

The is in Section 3.

## Applications

Let  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathcal{V}^k(M)$  denote a Lie algebra— a linear subspace closed under Lie brackets. The zero set of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is defined as  $Z(\mathfrak{g}) := \bigcap_{Y \in \mathfrak{g}} Z(Y)$ . If every  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$  tracks  $X$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}$  *tracks*  $X$ . We call  $\mathfrak{g}$  *supersolvable* if it is faithfully represented by upper triangular real matrices.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Assume:*

- (a)  $K$  is an essential  $X$ -block,
- (b)  $X$  is not  $k$ -flat at any point of  $K$ ,
- (c)  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathcal{V}^k(M)$  is a supersolvable Lie algebra tracking  $X$ .

Then  $Z(\mathfrak{g}) \cap K \neq \emptyset$ .

Related theorems and counterexamples are discussed in HIRSCH [12].

**Theorem 1.4.** *Suppose the local flow of  $X \in \mathcal{V}^\omega(M)$  has a compact attractor  $P \subset M$  with Euler characteristic  $\chi(P) \neq 0$ . Then there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$  with the following property: If  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathcal{V}^k(M)$  is a supersolvable Lie algebra that tracks  $X$ , then  $Z(\mathfrak{h}) \cap Z(X) \cap P \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* If  $P = M$  then  $M$  is a closed surface and  $\chi(M) \neq 0$ . Therefore  $Z(X)$  is an essential  $X$ -block by Poincaré's Theorem [20], and the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.2.

Suppose  $P \neq M$ . The basin of attraction of  $P$  contains a smooth compact surface  $N$  with boundary such that  $Y$  is inwardly transverse to  $\partial N$ . The interior  $N_0 := N \setminus \partial N$  is a precompact open set which is positively invariant under  $\Phi^Y$  (F. WILSON [25, Th. 2.2]). Therefore

$$t > s \geq 0 \implies \Phi_t^Y(N_0) \subset \Phi_s^Y(N_0), \quad \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \Phi_t^Y(N_0) = P.$$

The inclusion maps  $P \hookrightarrow N_0 \hookrightarrow N$  induce isomorphisms of Čech cohomology groups, hence  $\chi(N_0) \neq 0$ . The conclusion follows from Theorem 1.3 applied to  $X := Y|_{N_0}$  and the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathcal{V}^k(N_0)$  comprising the restrictions of the vector fields in  $\mathfrak{h}$  to  $N_0$ . ■

**Example 1.5.** Assume  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a compact global attractor for  $X \in \mathcal{V}^\omega(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathcal{V}^k(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is a supersolvable Lie algebra tracking  $Y$ . Then:

- $Z(\mathfrak{h}) \cap Z(X) \cap P \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.*  $\chi(P) \neq 0$  because  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is contractible and  $P$  is a global attractor, so Theorem 1.4 yields the conclusion. ■

**Corollary 1.6.** *Let  $G$  be a connected Lie group whose Lie algebra is supersolvable, with an effective  $C^\infty$  action on a compact surface  $M$ . If  $\chi(M) \neq 0$  and the action is analytic on a normal 1-dimensional Lie subgroup, then  $G$  fixes a point of  $M$ .*

The special case in which  $M$  is compact and  $G$  acts analytically is due to HIRSCH & WEINSTEIN [7].

*Proof.* The action of  $G$  on  $M$  induces an isomorphism  $\theta$  from the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $G$  onto a subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathcal{V}^\infty(M)$ . Let  $Y \in \mathfrak{a}$  span the Lie algebra of  $H$  and set  $\theta(Y) = X \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $X \in \mathcal{V}^\omega(M)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  tracks  $X$ , whence the conclusion from Theorem 1.4. ■

Related results on Lie group actions and Lie algebras of vector fields can be found in the articles [1, 2, 4, 8, 9, 11, 15, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 24].

## 2 Index calculations

**Proposition 2.1.** *Assume  $Y, X \in \mathcal{V}^k(M)$  and  $Y$  tracks  $X$ .*

(i)  $Z(X)$  is invariant under  $\Phi^Y$ .

(ii) If  $p, q \in Z(X)$  are in the same orbit of  $\Phi^Y$ , then  $\text{ord}_p(X) = \text{ord}_q(X)$ . ■

*Proof.* Follows from the definition of tracking. ■

These properties of the index function are crucial:

**Proposition 2.2 (STABILITY).** *Let  $U \subset M$  be isolating for  $X$ .*

(a) If  $i(X, U) \neq 0$  then  $Z(X) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ .

(b) If  $Y$  is sufficiently close to  $X$  then  $i(Y, U) = i(X, U)$ .

(c) Let  $\{X^t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$  be a deformation of  $X$ . If each  $X^t$  is nonsingular on the frontier of  $U$ , then  $i(X^t, U) = i(X, U)$ .

*Proof.* This is Theorem 3.9 of [12]. ■

**Proposition 2.3.** *Assume  $Y, Y' \in \mathcal{V}(M)$  and  $U \subset M$  is isolating for both  $Y$  and  $Y'$ . Assume  $N := \overline{U}$  is a compact  $C^1$  surface such that  $Y_p$  and  $Y'_p$  are linearly dependent at all  $p \in \partial N$ . Then  $i(Y, U) = i(Y', U)$ .*

*Proof.* This consequence of Proposition 2.2 is a special case of [10, Prop. 3.12] or [12, Prop. 3.11]. ■

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $K \subset M$  be a block of zeroes for  $X \in \mathcal{V}^k(M)$ , and  $U \subset M$  an isolating neighborhood for  $(X, K)$ . If  $X|U$  is controlled by a line field  $\Lambda$  on  $U$ , then  $i_K(X) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By shrinking  $U$  slightly, we assume  $N := \overline{U}$  is a compact  $C^1$  surface.

Suppose  $\Lambda$  is an orientable line field. Then  $\Lambda$  controls a nonsingular vector field  $Y$  on  $N$ , and  $i(Y, U) = 0$  because  $Z(Y) = \emptyset$ . Evidently  $U$  is isolating for both  $X$  and  $Y$ , and  $X_p, Y_p$  are linearly dependent at all  $p \in N$ . Proposition 2.3 implies  $i(X, U) = i(Y, U) = 0$ , hence  $i_K(X) = 0$ .

Now suppose  $\Lambda$  is nonorientable. There is a double covering  $\pi: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$  of an open neighborhood  $V \subset M$  of  $N$ , isolating for  $(X, K)$ , such that  $\Lambda$  lifts to an orientable line field on  $\tilde{V}$ . The orientable case shows that the vector field  $\tilde{X}$  on  $\tilde{V}$  that projects to  $X|V$  under  $\pi$  has index zero in  $\tilde{V}$ .

Fix  $X_1 \in \mathcal{V}(V)$  with  $Z(X_1)$  finite, and such that the sum of the Poincaré-Hopf indices of the zeroes of  $X_1$  equals  $i(X, V)$ . Define  $\tilde{X}_1 \in \mathcal{V}(\tilde{V})$  to be the vector field projecting to  $X_1$  under  $\pi$ . Each zero  $p$  of  $X_1$  is the image under  $\pi$  of exactly two zeroes  $q_1, q_2$  of  $\tilde{X}_1$ , and the Poincaré-Hopf indices of  $\tilde{X}$  at  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  both equal the Poincaré-Hopf index of  $X$  at  $p$ . Therefore

$$0 = i(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{V}) = 2i(X_1, V) = 2i(X, V) = 2i_K(X),$$

completing the proof. ■

Other calculations of indices can be found in [5, 6, 14, 16, 21].

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $L \subset K$  be a component. Then  $X$  has the same order at each point of  $L$ .*

*Proof.* The sets  $L_j = \{p \in L: \text{ord}_p(X) = j\}$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  are relatively open in  $L$  and mutually disjoint. As  $L$  is connected and covered by the  $L_j$ , it coincides with one of them. ■

Let  $p \in K$  be arbitrary. Choose a  $C^{k+1}$  flowbox  $h_p$  for  $Y$  centered at  $p$ :

$$h_p: W'_p \approx W_p = J_p \times J'_p \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \quad h(p) = (0, 0). \quad (2)$$

This means  $W'_p$  is open in  $M$ ,  $J_p, J'_p \subset \mathbb{R}$  are open intervals around 0, and the  $C^{k+1}$  diffeomorphism  $h_p$  transforms  $Y|_{W'_p}$  to the constant vector field  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}|_{W_p}$ , where  $x, y$  are the usual planar coordinates. Notice that  $h_p(K \cap W'_p) = J_p \times \{0\}$  because  $K$  is  $Y$ -invariant.

The transform of  $X|_{W'_p}$  by  $h_p$  is a  $C^k$  vector field

$$\hat{X}(p) \in \mathcal{V}^k(W_p), \quad (x, y) \mapsto F_p(x, y),$$

where  $F_p: W_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is  $C^k$ .

Set  $\text{ord}_p(X) = l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . The partials of  $F_p$  satisfy

$$F_p^{(i)}(0) = 0 \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, l-1, \quad F_p^{(l)}(0) \neq 0.$$

In a sufficiently small open disk

$$D := D_p \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (0, 0) \in D_p, \quad (3)$$

the  $l$ 'th order Taylor expansion of  $F_p$  about  $(0, 0)$  takes the form

$$F_p(x, y) = y^l g(x, y), \quad g(x, y) \neq (0, 0) \quad (4)$$

with  $g: D_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  continuous. Therefore

$$Z(\hat{X}(p)) = F_p^{-1}(0, 0) = J_p \times \{0\},$$

whence  $K \cap W'_p$  is an open arc, relatively closed in  $W'_p$ .

It follows that  $K$  has an open cover by open arcs. Thus  $K$  is a compact 1-manifold having only finitely many components, each of which is a topological circle. The restriction of  $\Phi^Y$  to any component  $L \subset K$  is a smooth flow with no fixed points. Therefore  $L$  is a periodic orbit of  $\Phi^Y$ , and is thus a smooth submanifold. This proves Theorem 1.2(ii).

**Lemma 3.2.**  *$\hat{X}$  is controlled by a unique line field  $\Lambda(p)$  on  $D_p$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the unit vector field  $\hat{F}$  on  $D' := D \setminus J \times \{0\}$ , as

$$\hat{F}(x, y) := \text{sign}(y) \frac{F(x, y)}{\|F(x, y)\|}$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the Euclidean norm. Equation (4) implies

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \hat{F}(x, y) = \frac{g(x, 0)}{\|g(x, 0)\|} \text{ uniformly in } D'.$$

Therefore  $\hat{F}$  extends to a unique continuous map  $\tilde{F}: D \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^1$  (the unit circle). The desired line field sends  $(x, y) \in D$  to the line through  $(0, 0)$  spanned by  $\tilde{F}_{(x, y)}$ . ■

Next we prove 1.2(iii). For each  $p \in K$  let  $V_p := h_p^{-1}(D_p)$ , with notation as in Equations (2), (3). Define  $V := \bigcap_{p \in K} V_p$ . Let  $\Lambda(p)$  be the line field defined in Lemma 3.2. The pullback of  $\Lambda(p)$  by  $h_p$  is the unique line field  $\Gamma(p)$  on  $V_p$  controlling  $X|_{V_p}$ . The unique line field  $\Gamma$  on  $V$  that restricts to  $\Gamma(p)$  for each  $p \in K$  has the required properties.

Parts (i) and (iv) of 1.2 are consequences of (iii) and Proposition 2.4.

Part (v) follows from Propositions 3.13 and 3.14 of [12] when  $U$  is connected, and this implies the general case because the compact set  $K$  is covered by finitely many components of  $U$ .

## References

- [1] M. Belliard, *Actions sans points fixes sur les surfaces compactes*, Math. Z. **225** (1997), 453–465
- [2] M. Belliard & I. Liousse, *Actions affines sur les surfaces*, Publications IRMA, Université de Lille, **38** (1996) exposé X
- [3] C. Bonatti, *Champs de vecteurs analytiques commutants, en dimension 3 ou 4: existence de zéros communs*, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N. S.) **22** (1992), 215–247
- [4] A. Borel, *Groupes linéaires algébriques*, Ann. Math. **64** (1956), 20–80
- [5] A. Dold, “Lectures on Algebraic Topology,” Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften Bd. 52, second edition. Springer, New York 1972
- [6] D. Gottlieb, *A de Moivre like formula for fixed point theory*, in: “Fixed Point Theory and its Applications (Berkeley, CA, 1986).” Contemporary Mathematics **72** Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 1988
- [7] M. Hirsch & A. Weinstein, *Fixed points of analytic actions of supersoluble Lie groups on compact surfaces*, Ergod. Th. Dyn. Sys. **21** (2001), 1783–1787
- [8] M. Hirsch, *Actions of Lie groups and Lie algebras on manifolds*, in “A Celebration of the Mathematical Legacy of Raoul Bott.” Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, U. de Montréal. Proceedings & Lecture Notes **50**, (P. R. Kotiuga, ed.), Amer. Math. Soc. Providence RI 2010



- [9] M. Hirsch, *Smooth actions of Lie groups and Lie algebras on manifolds*, J. Fixed Point Th. App. **10** (2011), 219–232
- [10] M. Hirsch, *Zero sets of Lie algebras of analytic vector fields on real and complex 2-manifolds*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1310.0081> (2013)
- [11] M. Hirsch, *Fixed points of local actions of nilpotent Lie groups on surfaces*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1405.2331> (2014)
- [12] M. Hirsch, *Zero sets of Lie algebras of analytic vector fields on real and complex 2-manifolds*, submitted (2015)
- [13] H. Hopf, *Vektorfelder in Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Math. Annalen **95** (1925), 340–367
- [14] B. Jubin, *A generalized Poincaré-Hopf index theorem*, (2009) <http://arxiv.org/abs/0903.0697>
- [15] E. Lima, *Common singularities of commuting vector fields on 2-manifolds*, Comment. Math. Helv. **39** (1964), 97–110
- [16] M. Morse, *Singular Points of Vector Fields Under General Boundary Conditions*, Amer. J. Math. **52** (1929), 165–178
- [17] J. Plante, *Fixed points of Lie group actions on surfaces*, Erg. Th. Dyn. Sys. **6** (1986), 149–161
- [18] J. Plante, *Lie algebras of vector fields which vanish at a point*, J. London Math. Soc (2) **38** (1988) 379–384
- [19] J. Plante, *Elementary zeros of Lie algebras of vector fields*, Topology **30** (1991) 215–222
- [20] H. Poincaré, *Sur les courbes définies par une équation différentielle*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **1** (1885), 167–244
- [21] C. Pugh, *A generalized Poincaré index formula*, Topology **7** (1968), 217–226
- [22] A. Sommese, *Borel’s fixed point theorem for Kaehler manifolds and an application*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **41** (1973), 51–54.
- [23] F.-J. Turiel, *An elementary proof of a Lima’s theorem for surfaces*, Publ. Mat. **3** (1989) 555–557
- [24] F.-J. Turiel, *Analytic actions on compact surfaces and fixed points*, Manuscripta Mathematica **110** (2003), 195–201
- [25] F. W. Wilson, *Smoothing derivatives of functions and applications*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (1969), 413–428