UC Irvine

Faculty Publications

Title

Measurements of atmospheric dimethylsulfide, hydrogen sulfide, and carbon disulfide during GTE/CITE 3

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3md4x8jh>

Journal

Journal of Geophysical Research, 98(D12)

ISSN

0148-0227

Authors

Cooper, David J
Saltzman, Eric S

Publication Date

1993

DOI

10.1029/92JD00218

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

Measurements of Atmospheric Dimethylsulfide, Hydrogen Sulfide, and Carbon Disulfide During GTE/CITE 3

DAVID J. COOPER¹ AND ERIC S. SALTZMAN

Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, University of Miami, Miami, Florida

Measurements of atmospheric dimethylsulfide (DMS), hydrogen sulfide (H₂S), and carbon disulfide (CS₂) were made over the North and South Atlantic Ocean as part of the Global Tropospheric Experiment/Chemical Instrumentation Test and Evaluation (GTE/CITE 3) project. DMS and CS₂ samples were collected and analyzed using an automated gas chromatography/flame photometric detection system with a sampling frequency of 10 min. H₂S samples were collected using silver nitrate impregnated filters and analyzed by fluorescence quenching. The DMS data from both hemispheres have a bimodal distribution. Over the North Atlantic this reflects the difference between marine and continental air masses. Over the South Atlantic it may reflect differences in the sea surface source of DMS, corresponding to different air mass source regions. The median boundary layer H₂S and CS₂ levels were significantly higher in the northern hemisphere than the southern hemisphere, reflecting the higher frequency of samples influenced by pollutant and/or coastal emissions. Composite vertical profiles of DMS and H₂S are similar to each other, and are consistent with a sea surface source. Vertical profiles of CS₂ have maxima in the free troposphere, implicating a continental source. The low levels of H₂S and CS₂ found in the southern hemisphere constrain the role of these compounds in global budgets to significantly less than previously estimated.

INTRODUCTION

The distribution and chemistry of reduced sulfur gases in the atmosphere are of current interest with regard to several important geochemical processes. Much of the acidity in rainfall can be attributed to oxidized sulfur compounds. Quantification of natural background precursors is therefore an essential step in assessing the magnitude of anthropogenic perturbations, and in predicting the effect of pollutant emission controls. It has also been suggested that the atmospheric cycling of biogenic sulfur gases may play a role in the maintenance of the global energy budget by providing the precursors for the formation of submicron sulfate aerosols [Shaw, 1983]. Aerosols derived from a marine sulfur source may be the predominant cloud condensation nuclei over the remote oceans, controlling the albedo of marine clouds [Charlson *et al.*, 1987].

The lifetime of biogenic sulfur gases such as dimethylsulfide (DMS), hydrogen sulfide (H₂S), and carbon disulfide (CS₂) is on the order of a day in the troposphere. Hence, even low concentrations may indicate a substantial flux. Most environments contain these gases at the low parts-per-trillion (pptv) level [Maroulis and Bandy, 1978; Slatt *et al.*, 1978; Andreae and Raemdonck, 1983; Andreae *et al.*, 1985; Ferek *et al.*, 1986; Berresheim, 1987; Kim and Andreae, 1987; Saltzman and Cooper, 1988]. Many of the problems in the atmospheric chemistry of biogenic sulfur gases are best studied in remote regions, where the tropospheric oxidant balance is undisturbed by anthropogenic activities. While primarily an intercomparison study, the Global Tropospheric Experiment/Chemical Instrumentation Test and Evaluation (GTE/CITE 3) expedition consisted of sampling periods over both the polluted North Atlantic Ocean and the

relatively unpolluted tropical South Atlantic Ocean. This offered an excellent opportunity to study the contrast between these two environments. The comprehensive support measurements made during these flights facilitated analysis of the sources, sinks, and lifetimes of the various sulfur gases in the different regimes studied.

In this report, we describe an automated sampling and analysis system and present the first airborne DMS and CS₂ measurements made using such a system. The instrument is discussed in detail here, as it has not previously been described. Some of the analytical principles applied may also be of interest in the analysis of a number of sulfur-containing and other organic compounds. Data are also presented for atmospheric H₂S, simultaneously collected using a AgNO₃ impregnated filter technique [Natusch *et al.*, 1972; Cooper and Saltzman, 1987; Saltzman and Cooper, 1988].

SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS

Sampling

Measurements presented in this report were made from the NASA Wallops Flight Facility Lockheed Electra. Flights over the North Atlantic Ocean were staged from Wallops Island, Virginia; flights over the South Atlantic Ocean were staged from Natal, Brazil.

Samples were drawn into the analytical systems through Teflon PFA tubing (1/4-inch OD for DMS, 3/8-inch OD for H₂S) extending through bulkhead unions in the top of the aircraft. A 30-cm rearward facing rigid support ensured that samples were collected outside of the aircraft boundary layer. DMS samples or standards were drawn into the system using a small diaphragm pump while the aircraft was stationary, or a venturi pump while airborne. H₂S samples were collected only while airborne, using venturi pumps.

Dimethylsulfide Analysis

Oxidant removal. All current methods for DMS analysis involve preconcentration based on either cryogenic conden-

¹Now at Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Plymouth, England.

Fig. 1. Schematic of the automated DMS/CS₂ analytical system. Reversing temperature zones are indicated by the shaded boxes. Gas flow is indicated by solid lines, and electrical connections are indicated by dashed lines.

sation [Saltzman and Cooper, 1988; Maroulis and Bandy, 1978] or chemisorption [Braman *et al.*, 1978; Steudler and Kijowski, 1984; Andreae *et al.*, 1985] onto solid surfaces. The reactivity of DMS and the highly oxidizing nature of air have made the development of analytical techniques particularly challenging. The need for oxidant removal prior to preconcentration of DMS from air has been demonstrated in several studies [Ammons, 1980; Andreae *et al.*, 1985; Kuster *et al.*, 1986; Saltzman and Cooper, 1989; Goldan, 1990]. These losses and conversions are generally attributed to the presence of ozone because sample losses (1) are associated with high levels of ozone in the sampled air, (2) can be simulated by the addition of ozone to gas streams, and (3) are alleviated by scrubbers which remove ozone from the sampled air. The actual mechanism of the interference is not known, but it is unlikely to result from the direct bimolecular reaction of ozone with DMS, which is relatively slow [Martinez and Herron, 1978]. More likely the losses result from the production of highly reactive free radical species from the interactions of ozone with various surfaces. Oxidants other than ozone, particularly NO_x (NO + NO₂), may also be involved in sample loss.

The reaction of iodide (I⁻) with ozone in neutral aqueous solution has been used for more than a century for the quantitative determination of atmospheric oxidants [Brodie, 1872]. We have employed this reaction for sulfur gas analysis on the presumption that the product, triiodide ion (I₃⁻), would be inert toward DMS. This indeed appears to be the case, as shown by a series of previously reported standard addition experiments [Saltzman and Cooper, 1989; Cooper and Saltzman, 1991].

The oxidant scrubbers used in this study were 250-mL glass bubblers with glass frits 20 mm in diameter. These contained 60 mL of neutral potassium iodide solution (2% wt/vol KI, 0.05 M KH₂PO₄, and 0.05 M Na₂HPO₄). Samples were drawn into the scrubbers through 1/4-inch OD Teflon PFA tubing, connected by Teflon TFE fittings (Cole

Parmer Instrument Co., Chicago, Illinois). The scrubbers were mounted inside an insulated cooler in an ice/water mixture, lowering the water vapor content of the sample stream.

In normal use, the solution is routinely changed on a weekly basis, although no deterioration in performance has ever been noted. The theoretical capacity of this scrubber exceeds 1000 m³ of air containing 100 ppbv of oxidants. By using an oxidant scrubber with such a large capacity, automated sampling and analysis of DMS and other reactive gases become possible. We have developed a system using Tenax GC (Alltech Associates, Inc., Chicago, Illinois) as a preconcentration medium for DMS, because of the relatively low temperatures required for desorption. The use of Tenax GC and other polymers for the determination of trace organics in air has previously been questioned due to the breakdown of the substrate and the release of various byproducts [Walling *et al.*, 1986]. In the absence of an oxidant scrubber, we have observed the conversion of DMS to dimethylsulfoxide and dimethylsulfone on Tenax columns, in addition to the appearance of elevated levels of organic components. The removal of oxidants from the sampled airstream was found to eliminate these interferences.

System design and operation. DMS and CS₂ are preconcentrated on Tenax GC cooled to approximately -20°C using a thermoelectric heat pump. These samples are desorbed thermally by reversing the voltage polarity to the thermoelectric units. Analysis is via GC using flame photometric detection (FPD).

A schematic diagram of the system is shown in Figure 1, and the timing cycle in Figure 2. The airstream is drawn first through the oxidant scrubber, then through a thermoelectrically cooled zone (TEC 1 or TEC 2), which contains a drier tube and Tenax trap. When sampling is complete, the sampling valve is switched, and the polarity of the 12 V dc supply to TEC 1 is reversed. This diverts the carrier gas through the Tenax trap and heats the block, desorbing the

sample onto a cooled preconcentration column (TEC 3). The airstream continues to flow through the now heated drier tube to waste, thus removing moisture which accumulated during sampling. After a brief transfer period, the polarity of the thermoelectric module cooling the preconcentration column is reversed, heating the unit and initiating the chromatographic run. Simultaneously with the injection of the sample collected on one channel, collection of the next sample on the second Tenax trap is started. After sample desorption, TEC 1 or TEC 2 is again cooled to begin the next sampling period. The total cycle time is 20 min per channel. Thus, with two channels operating alternately, one measurement is made every 10 min.

The system was calibrated manually during this study by switching of the airstream to sulfur-free air and injecting liquid standards (5–200 μ L) onto a plug of silanized glass wool, Teflon wool, or directly into the KI bubblers. The preparation of liquid standards for CS₂ and DMS has been described previously [Saltzman and Cooper, 1988; Cooper and Saltzman, 1991]. A typical calibration curve covers the range of 2–80 pmol, which is equivalent to 4–180 pptv in a 10-L sample.

The thermoelectric units were clamped between an aluminum heat sink, mounted on the inside of an insulated cooler (0°C), and an aluminum block. For the two sampling channels, a 3/8-inch-thick block was drilled to permit the entry of the drier tube and the Tenax sampling trap. The drier tube was 5/32-inch ID Teflon PFA tubing with no packing. The sampling trap was 1/4-inch ID Teflon PFA, packed with 35/60 mesh Tenax GC. All dead volume in the sampling tubes was packed with Teflon wool. A third 1/4-inch-thick aluminum block was drilled to accept the preconcentration column, a 1/8-inch OD $\times$ 1/16-inch ID PFA tube packed with 60/80 or 35/60 mesh Tenax. This final focusing trap was needed in order to achieve a sharp injection of the analytes onto the chromatographic column.

The thermoelectric modules used in this study were obtained from TECA (model 950-127, Chicago, Illinois). These units are 1.6 $\times$ 1.6 $\times$ 0.15 inches in size and pump 51 W at 12 V dc. With the heat sinks immersed in the ice bath, the temperature of the aluminum blocks during cooling was between –20 and –30°C. Stirring of the bath water with a submersible pump was necessary in order to attain these temperatures.

The sampling streams and carrier gas flows were routed through a 10-port, 1/8-inch gas injection valve (Valco Instruments Co., Inc., Houston, Texas). The temperatures of the heated zones were controlled at 80°C using proportional controllers (model CN 9000, Omega Engineering, Stamford,

Fig. 2. Schematic of the sampling cycle used during CITE 3. Shaded areas correspond to active periods; blank areas correspond to inactive periods.

Fig. 3. A typical chromatogram, taken in the boundary layer during flight 15. The concentrations measured were 54 pptv DMS and 0.7 pptv CS₂. The internal standard was generated by injecting a small (less than 50 μ L) loop of a gaseous DMS standard after the initial sample injection. It is equivalent to 9 pptv in this sample.

Connecticut). The thermoelectric units were operated at full load during cooling with no temperature control.

Airflow rates through the sampling channels were controlled using mass flow controllers (0–2 standard L/min, MKS Instruments, Andover, Massachusetts), and the air volumes were integrated using a voltage-to-frequency converter and counter. The system was typically operated at airflow rates of 0.5–1.0 standard L/min with a sampling time of 10 min.

Chromatographic separation was achieved using a 1/8 $\times$ 8 inch Chromosil 330 column (Supelco Inc., State College, Pennsylvania) held isothermal at 50°C. The carrier gas for the chromatographic separation was N₂ at 40 mL/min. These conditions provide baseline resolution between CS₂ and DMS. It should be noted that CS₂ emanates from many polymeric components in flow systems, and particular care is needed to ensure that the carrier gas stream is free from contamination. All tank gases used in the system were scrubbed with silica gel, molecular sieve, and charcoal prior to use. For the carrier gas and sulfur-free air (for calibration) an additional Pd-coated molecular sieve trap (Science Glass, Miami, Florida) was used immediately prior to the switching valve.

The sulfur gases were detected using an FPD (Tracor Instruments, Houston, Texas) and a combined high-voltage supply and electrometer (Pacific Instruments, Concord, California). In order to minimize the effect of changes in cabin pressure on the detector response, the flame chamber was maintained at a constant pressure of 15 psia (1.03 bar). The flame exhaust flowed through an absolute back pressure regulator (Moore Products, Allentown, Pennsylvania) to waste through a bulkhead in the airframe. This regulator was heated to 85°C to prevent condensation of water. The detection limit of the FPD is approximately 1 pmol of sulfur, which corresponds to 3 pptv of DMS or 1.5 pptv of CS₂ in a 10-L sample. In practice, the system has a small CS₂ background, for which the samples are corrected. Because of the nonlinear nature of the FPD, this has the effect of lowering the detection limit of CS₂ to less than 0.5 pptv.

The data acquisition and control functions were carried out using a PC-based chromatography software and analog-

Fig. 4. Results of experiments to determine the breakthrough volume of DMS through Tenax GC as a function of temperature.

to-digital electronics package (OMS Tech, Miami, Florida). This system provided timing and switching of valves and relays, real-time display and integration of the chromatogram, and storage of the chromatographic data and sample volumes to disk. The system was run on a laptop PC with an expansion chassis. An example of the real-time output from the system is shown in Figure 3.

Breakthrough volumes for DMS through cooled Tenax traps. The breakthrough characteristics of Tenax traps as a function of temperature have been evaluated by operating the two sampling channels in series. Sequential air samples were analyzed on the second trap after passage through the first trap. The KI bubbler was spiked prior to starting each experiment using a DMS standard several times higher than a normal atmospheric sample. Flow rates of 0.1–0.5 L/min air were used, with the normal sampling time of 10 min. For the purpose of this experiment, breakthrough was judged to occur when the first trace of DMS was evident in the second sample trap.

The temperature dependence of adsorption, and hence breakthrough, can be described using the Arrhenius relationship [Namiesnik, 1988]. Results of the breakthrough experiments using DMS are shown in Figure 4 in the form of an Arrhenius plot. The relatively large scatter in the plot results from the fact that breakthrough volumes in this experiment

Fig. 5. Frequency distribution of the DMS measurements made during GTE/CITE 3.

could only be determined to ± 1 L, and that temperatures generally drifted by $\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ during each run. This data can be used to predict the temperature requirement to achieve a given sample volume. A linear least squares fit of the data on a per gram Tenax basis gives the relationship $\log V/m = -9.897 + 3067/T$ ($r = 0.955$), where V is the maximum sampling volume in liters at an absolute temperature T . Using this relationship, a sampling volume of 10 L on 0.21 g of Tenax requires a temperature of -8.2°C . By keeping the heat sinks of the thermoelectric units immersed in ice water, temperatures between -20 and -30°C are attained. This is sufficient to collect air samples of more than 30 L before breakthrough occurs.

Hydrogen Sulfide Analysis

Hydrogen sulfide samples were collected and analyzed as described previously [Cooper, 1986; Saltzman and Cooper, 1988]. Briefly, air was drawn through silver nitrate impregnated filters (47 mm, Whatman 41) [after Natusch *et al.*, 1972]. Flow rates up to 16 L/min were used for sampling periods up to 110 min. Under these conditions the sensitivity of the method is less than 1 pptv. Flow rates were controlled manually using Teflon PFA needle valves (Cole Parmer, Chicago, Illinois), and monitored using mass flowmeters (MKS Instruments, Inc). Sample volumes were obtained from totalizing the output signal of the mass flowmeters. Samples were mostly collected as duplicate pairs of two filters in series. The sulfide signal on the back-up filter was used to correct for the interference of OCS on the front filter, in accordance with Cooper and Saltzman [1987]. The value of this correction varied up to approximately 0.1 nmol per sample, equivalent to approximately 5 pptv sulfide in a typical sample.

The detection limit of the method and its precision at low concentrations is determined largely from the variability of the filter blanks. With only one exception (flight 9), the blank variability within a given batch of filters was less than 20 pmol, equivalent to approximately 1 pptv on a single filter. This yields a precision of approximately 2 pptv in a 50-min sample collected at 10 L/min. These conditions represent the majority of the airborne intercomparison periods. A more practical estimate of the precision may be the relative standard deviation from the mean of duplicate samples, which averaged 24% in the 45 valid sample pairs.

TABLE 1. Summary of All Boundary Layer Sulfur Gas Measurements

	North Atlantic		South Atlantic
	Marine	Continental	Marine
Dimethylsulfide			
Median	124.1	22.2	26.7
Mean	118.0	27.2	34.0
Sigma (<i>n</i>)	13.3 (11)	18.8 (77)	16.8 (97)
Hydrogen sulfide			
Median	N/A	57.0	2.5
Mean	8.4	116.8	2.9
Sigma (<i>n</i>)	1.2 (2)	138.7 (14)	1.4 (13)
Carbon disulfide			
Median	5.8	4.2	0.7
Mean	6.4	5.4	0.9
Sigma (<i>n</i>)	1.3 (11)	4.8 (77)	0.7 (97)

Concentrations units are pptv; N/A, not applicable.

Fig. 6a. Maps of DMS data over the North Atlantic Ocean. The east coast of the United States is shown from Georgia to Massachusetts. The upper plot shows the free tropospheric data; the lower plot shows the boundary layer data. Note the different scales on the vertical axes.

The filters were stored in Teflon holders while on the aircraft, capped with Teflon plugs. Postflight analysis was made by extracting the filters in 20 mL of 0.1 M NaOH/NaCN, and measuring the fluorescence quenching of dilute fluorescein mercuric acetate with a Turner Designs fluorometer. Blanks were obtained from unexposed filters that were taken aboard the aircraft and treated identically to the samples.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Overview

Results are presented here from the 16 data missions flown during GTE/CITE 3. Flights 4–10 were conducted over the temperate North Atlantic from Wallops Island, Virginia, and flights 13–19 over the Tropical South Atlantic from Natal, Brazil. The flight paths during the North Atlantic missions were chosen with the main objective of comparing the various instruments in the measurement of SO₂, DMS, OCS, H₂S, and CS₂ over a wide range of concentrations. These paths are discussed in the project overview paper [Hoell *et al.*, this issue] and meteorological analysis [Shipham *et al.*, this issue]. Air mass trajectory analyses show that North Atlantic tropical maritime air was sampled during most of flights 4 and 5. Continental air of Canadian polar origin was sampled during flights 6 and 9. Continental air from the

northeast United States was sampled during flights 7, 8, and 10.

The DMS and H₂S results obtained using the technique described in this report were in excellent agreement with the results of other investigators. No significant deviations were noted under any conditions encountered during the intercomparison study. Detailed descriptions of the intercomparison procedures and results are given by Gregory *et al.* [this issue].

In addition to the airborne intercomparison, atmospheric and aqueous DMS was measured on board a research vessel by P. A. Matrai. This vessel was overflown twice during flights 6 and 7 to compare the atmospheric DMS measurements. Reasonable agreement was found, with airborne measurements of 11–30 pptv (mean 20.1, $s = 8.1$, $n = 4$) corresponding to shipboard measurements of 9–15 pptv (mean 12.6, $s = 3.0$, $n = 3$) during the two periods. This simple test demonstrates the lack of a near-surface gradient in atmospheric DMS under these meteorological conditions (wind speed 0–8 m/s). This result is in contradiction to a similar experiment performed by Ferek and Bates [1989], possibly reflecting a more homogeneous DMS source during the present study. The greater variability in the aircraft data most likely results from the greater distance covered during each sample.

Fig. 6b. Maps of CS₂ data over the North Atlantic Ocean. The east coast of the United States is shown from Georgia to Massachusetts. The upper plot shows the free tropospheric data; the lower plot shows the boundary layer data.

South Atlantic tropical maritime air masses were sampled during flights 13–19. Flight paths over the South Atlantic were selected primarily for the purpose of diurnal studies, with three pairs of duplicated missions. This paper focuses mainly on the spatial distribution of DMS, H₂S, and CS₂ found during these flights, while the diurnal variation is discussed in more detail by [Saltzman *et al.*, 1993].

The boundary layer measurements of all compounds are summarized in Table 1, after grouping the data into the different air mass types discussed by Shipham *et al.* [this issue]. The highest average levels of DMS (median 124 pptv) occurred in marine air over the North Atlantic, the lowest levels in North American continental air (median 22 pptv). The highest levels of H₂S (median 57 pptv) were found in North American continental air, the lowest in South Atlantic marine air (median 2.5 pptv). Levels of CS₂ over the North Atlantic were similar in marine and continental air (median 5.8 and 4.2 pptv, respectively). In contrast, extremely low levels of CS₂ (median 0.7 pptv) were measured in South Atlantic marine air.

Distribution of Sulfur Compounds

North Atlantic. The boundary layer DMS concentrations are shown as a frequency distribution in Figure 5. A pronounced bimodal distribution is evident in the North

Atlantic data, largely reflecting the difference between marine air and continental air. Most of the high DMS values (over 80 pptv) were measured in the tropical maritime air mass during flight 4 and the offshore leg of flight 5. During these legs the ozone concentrations were the lowest recorded in the North Atlantic flights, approximately 20 ppbv. High DMS levels (95–109 pptv) were also found in three samples during the inshore leg of flight 6. These data appear anomalous because the simultaneous measurements of ozone (approximately 50 ppbv) and carbon monoxide (approximately 180 ppbv) indicate a substantial degree of pollution. It appears from the trajectory analysis that the airflow was along the coast at this time, crossing productive coastal waters and extensive *Spartina* salt marshes. These environments have elevated emissions of reduced sulfur compounds relative to the open ocean [Cooper *et al.*, 1989; Cooper and Saltzman, 1991]. An elevated H₂S concentration of 67 pptv was also measured at this time.

Maps of the North Atlantic DMS, CS₂, and H₂S data are shown in Figures 6 and 7. The high DMS levels in offshore areas correspond to the lowest levels of H₂S and CS₂. Conversely, the areas of high CS₂ and H₂S were generally low in DMS. This clearly demonstrates the influence of continental air masses on the speciation and concentrations of atmospheric sulfur gases present in the coastal air. The

Fig. 7. Maps of H₂S data over the North Atlantic Ocean. The upper plot shows the free tropospheric data; the lower plot shows the boundary layer data. The east coast of the United States is shown from South Carolina to New Jersey. Boxes are plotted to show the geographic range of each sample. Note the different scales on the vertical axes.

highest levels of H₂S and CS₂ were found off the New Jersey/New York coast, downwind of pollutant sources in the industrialized northeast United States.

The DMS data over the North Atlantic Ocean are similar to previous shipboard studies [Andreae *et al.*, 1985; Cooper and Saltzman, 1991] and aircraft studies [Van Valin and Luria, 1988]. In a north-south transect off the east coast of the United States, Cooper and Saltzman [1991] found DMS concentrations reaching 120 pptv in easterly (onshore) airflow, dropping to less than 10 pptv in westerly (offshore) airflow. This range is almost identical to the present study. Van Valin and Luria [1988] measured an average DMS level of 27 pptv close to the east coast of the United States and 54 pptv further offshore in the vicinity of Bermuda.

South Atlantic. The DMS concentrations from the South Atlantic boundary layer appear to have a bimodal distribution, similar to the North Atlantic data. However, there is no suggestion of advection over land masses in the 5-day back trajectories [Shiphani *et al.*, this issue]. Air at all but the highest aircraft altitudes was transported westward across the equatorial Atlantic during all flights. There is, however, evidence for the presence of haze layers or aged biomass burning plumes during some flights (CITE 3 unpublished

data). This suggests that despite the seemingly homogeneous trajectories, there was variation in the source regions of air parcels at different altitudes. The highest level trajectories in some cases indicate recirculation from Brazil (most notably during flight 13), and in other cases indicate transport across the equator from northern Africa (most notably during flight 16). The highest DMS levels were measured during flight 17, reaching 82 pptv. During this flight the highest level trajectory showed transport across the equator from the Gulf of Guinea region.

There is also chemical evidence that the air encountered during the various boundary layer legs may have originated from different regions. This is demonstrated in the time series shown in Figure 8. With the exception of NO, all the species appear distinctly inhomogeneous. Carbon monoxide was significantly higher than average during most of flight 16, supporting the concept of air transport from the northern hemisphere. Flight 17 was characterized by high and homogeneous levels of both DMS and ozone, but significantly lower NO_y. The air mass sampled during this flight was clearly different from that sampled during flight 16, although the flights were made 12 hours apart over an identical path. During flights 14 and 15, also separated by 12 hours, the

Fig. 8. Line graph showing all boundary layer DMS and CS₂ measurements with the corresponding 10-min averaged measurements of ozone, carbon monoxide, NO_x, and NO_y.

ozone and CO time series do not show significant changes. However, both CS₂ and NO_y decreased throughout the two flights, whereas DMS was higher during the second flight. This suggests that marine sources were progressively more dominant during this flight pair.

It is interesting to note that the DMS time series during flight 15 appears to show an inverse correlation with the NO_x levels. This raises the question of the possibility for nighttime removal of DMS by NO₃ in those parcels of air. However, the origin of these high NO_x levels is uncertain. In general, they appear to coincide with spikes in total sulfur (S. O. Farwell et al., CITE 3 unpublished data, 1992) and SO₂ [Thornton et al., this issue], suggesting a pollution source, possibly due to plumes from ship traffic.

The relatively low DMS levels encountered over the South Atlantic (median 27 pptv) are somewhat surprising when compared to previous studies over tropical waters [Andreae and Raemdonck, 1983; Andreae et al., 1985; Ferek et al., 1986; Saltzman and Cooper, 1988]. These levels suggest that the flux of DMS from the sea surface was smaller than encountered previously. This is reasonable in view of the facts that (1) the air mass trajectories indicate transport over the central South Atlantic gyre, which is a relatively unproductive water mass, and (2) this study was conducted at the end of austral winter, which corresponds to the seasonal minimum in phytoplankton growth.

The average boundary layer H₂S and CS₂ concentrations were significantly lower over the South Atlantic than the North Atlantic. The H₂S and CS₂ levels measured in South Atlantic marine air during this study (median 2.5 and 0.7 pptv, respectively) are the lowest values available in the published literature. All previous studies have been conducted in the northern hemisphere [Maroulis and Bandy, 1980; Kim and Andreae, 1987; Cooper and Saltzman, 1991].

The South Atlantic data are mapped in Figure 9. Unlike the North Atlantic data, there are no clear geographic gradients in the DMS levels, even though significant variability is evident in the boundary layer data. An interesting feature evident in the maps of the South Atlantic DMS data is the occurrence of relatively high DMS levels in several of the samples taken at 5000 ft, which were considered to be free tropospheric air. This suggests that a portion of the sample was enriched in air from the boundary layer. Two explanations for such an enrichment are (1) that boundary layer air had been transported vertically through cloud processes, as proposed by Chatfield and Crutzen [1984] and noted previously by Ferek et al. [1986] or (2) that the height of the boundary layer depth was close to 5000 ft, so that boundary layer air was collected for at least part of the sample. The simultaneous dew point and ozone profiles also show abrupt changes at about 6000-ft altitude.

In general, there does not appear to be any significant

Fig. 9a. Maps of DMS data over the South Atlantic Ocean. The upper plot shows the free tropospheric data; the lower plot shows the boundary layer data. Note the different scales on the vertical axes.

change in the depth of the trade wind inversion layer between any of the seven South Atlantic flights, although significant structure was sometimes observed within this inversion [Shipham *et al.*, this issue]. However, the occurrence of high DMS levels in the boundary layer did not coincide with changes in this structure, ruling out the possibility that the DMS variability was a direct result of mixing height changes.

Diurnal Variation in Atmospheric DMS, CS₂, and H₂S

Day/night flight pairs were conducted over the South Atlantic for the purpose of studying diurnal variability. The map of the DMS distribution (Figure 9a) shows that considerable variation was occurring between flights over identical paths. The ratio of nighttime maximum to daytime minimum found between flights 16 and 17, a factor of approximately 3, is considerably higher than found previously in remote marine air [Andreae *et al.*, 1985; Berresheim, 1987; Saltzman and Cooper, 1988]. A nighttime/daytime ratio of approximately 1.5 was found during flights 14 and 15, similar to the previous studies. Flights 18 and 19 were conducted sequentially on the same day, with sunrise occurring in the middle of flight 18. In this case the DMS declines steadily in a

manner that is consistent with fairly rapid daytime photochemical removal.

While the large differences in DMS levels between flights 16 and 17 appear to be due to a slightly different air mass trajectory, the air mass trajectories and the tracers shown in Figure 8 suggest that the conditions during flights 18 and 19 were similar. The rapid decrease in DMS during this flight pair therefore suggests that either (1) oxidant levels may have been higher than previously encountered in remote marine air or (2) vertical mixing processes may have intensified during the flights. These differences have been discussed in detail by Saltzman *et al.* [1993].

Diurnal variation by a factor of approximately 2 in H₂S levels is also consistent with the preceding discussion. The diurnal variation of CS₂, though smaller, appears to support a change in source between flights 16 and 17, with the highest levels occurring during the daytime flights. This suggests that variation in the sources of these compounds produced the observed temporal variability in the first two flight pairs, and not oxidation processes.

Vertical Profiles of DMS, CS₂, and H₂S

The free tropospheric measurements of DMS, H₂S, and CS₂ are summarized in Table 2. It is clear that DMS and H₂S

Fig. 9b. Maps of CS₂ data over the South Atlantic Ocean. The upper plot shows the free tropospheric data; the lower plot shows the boundary layer data.

concentrations were significantly lower in the free troposphere than the boundary layer (Table 1), which is consistent with a sea surface source for these compounds. In contrast, levels of CS₂ in the free troposphere were not significantly different to those in the boundary layer. This suggests that horizontal advection may be an important source of CS₂ to

the marine atmosphere. The lifetime of CS₂ to oxidation by OH is approximately 4 times longer than DMS or H₂S [Hynes and Wine, 1989]. This allows the presence of CS₂ in aged continental air over the oceans, in which much of the continental H₂S has already been oxidized. This effect may also explain the similarity between CS₂ levels in the North Atlantic marine and continental air masses (Table 1). Even though the marine air has reached steady state with oceanic DMS, it may still contain residual pollutants from its previous landfall. Long-range transport of pollution from Europe has been observed under similar circumstances in aerosol data taken at Barbados [Savoie *et al.*, 1989].

In order to study the vertical gradient of the reduced sulfur gases in more detail, the data from all flights have been averaged into groupings containing measurements made in narrow altitude ranges (approximately 1000-ft intervals). Although not strictly vertical profiles due to the large geographic distances covered and the use of data from multiple flights, the composite profiles represent the range of values at a given altitude within the range of the study. The composite vertical profiles of DMS and CS₂ obtained using this averaging procedure are shown in Figures 10 and 11. There is no significant difference between the North Atlantic and the South Atlantic DMS profiles, but the greater standard deviation of the northern hemispheric data reflects the inclusion of both marine and continental air masses. The

TABLE 2. Summary of All Free Tropospheric Sulfur Gas Measurements

	North Atlantic		South Atlantic
	Marine	Continental	Marine
Dimethylsulfide			
Median	11.7	4.7	4.7
Mean	12.4	5.3	6.8
Sigma (<i>n</i>)	7.6 (15)	3.3 (39)	6.9 (58)
Hydrogen Sulfide			
Median	2.3	17.6	1.0
Mean	3.0	20.5	1.0
Sigma (<i>n</i>)	3.2 (4)	14.7 (6)	2.2 (9)
Carbon disulfide			
Median	2.3	2.3	0.4
Mean	4.2	6.7	0.8
Sigma (<i>n</i>)	4.8 (15)	8.0 (43)	1.0 (58)

Concentration units are pptv.

DMS data are similar to previously published vertical profiles [Ferek *et al.*, 1986; Andreae *et al.*, 1988] and are clearly consistent with a sea surface DMS source. Small, but measurable, levels of DMS (up to 3 pptv) were found up to 17,000 ft.

In contrast, both the North Atlantic and South Atlantic CS₂ profiles show a maximum above the boundary layer. This confirms the possibility of advective processes carrying continental CS₂ long distances over the oceans, which may constitute a greater source of CS₂ to the marine atmosphere than emissions from the sea surface.

Composite vertical profiles of H₂S are shown in Figure 12. In this case, the individual data points are plotted with no averaging. The data show similar structure to the DMS vertical profiles, the only major difference being the extremely low H₂S levels in the South Atlantic. Although the South Atlantic H₂S data are sparse, there is a hint of a maximum corresponding to the CS₂ maximum at an altitude of 10,000–14,000 ft. This would be consistent with a conti-

Fig. 10. Vertical profiles of DMS measured over (a) the North Atlantic and (b) the South Atlantic. All data from constant altitude legs are included. The symbols represent the means of the data at various altitudes, with the standard deviation shown as horizontal bars.

Fig. 11. Vertical profiles of CS₂ measured over (a) the North Atlantic and (b) the South Atlantic. All data from constant altitude legs are included. The symbols represent the means of the data at various altitudes, with the standard deviation shown as horizontal bars.

nental origin of free tropospheric H₂S in addition to an oceanic source.

Implications for the Global Sulfur Cycle

Although the highest DMS levels were found in the North Atlantic tropical maritime air, the ratio of DMS to H₂S and/or CS₂ in this air mass was similar to the ratio evident in both the South Atlantic marine air and the previous study of Saltzman and Cooper [1988]. Table 3 shows the relative contribution of the various reduced sulfur species to non-sea-salt sulfate, based on these concentrations and the most reliable oxidation rate measurements. Clearly, DMS accounts for the majority of the background sulfate in all the marine air masses. The relative contribution of these gases to non-sea-salt sulfate in continental air is likely to be variable, depending on the history of the air mass. The reduced sulfur gas levels measured in continental air during this study would account for an insignificant fraction of

Fig. 12. Vertical profiles of H₂S measured over (a) the North Atlantic and (b) the South Atlantic. All data from constant altitude legs in all flights are included.

non-sea-salt sulfate when compared to the high levels of sulfur dioxide measured simultaneously by other investigators [Thornton *et al.*, this issue], which are presumably anthropogenic.

The average levels of H₂S and CS₂ found in the North Atlantic tropical maritime air masses (mean 8.4 and 6.4 pptv,

respectively) are similar to those reported by Saltzman and Cooper [1988] and Kim and Andreae [1987], respectively. The average levels measured in the southern hemisphere (median 2.5 and 0.7 pptv) are significantly lower than in the previous studies. The H₂S levels are approximately a factor of 3 lower than found in the North Atlantic tropical maritime air masses, and the CS₂ levels almost an order of magnitude smaller. These low levels further constrain the importance of biogenic emissions of these compounds in global budgets. The evidence for advection of air from the continents to the remote marine free troposphere suggests that oceanic sources of these compounds may also be smaller than previously assumed from atmospheric concentrations.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by NASA grant NAG1918 and NSF grant ATM 87-09802. Thanks are due to the expert technical assistance of David Odum of OMS Tech (Miami, Florida) and Tom Snowden of the University of Miami.

REFERENCES

- Ammons, J. M., Preconcentration methods for the determination of gaseous sulfur compounds in air, Ph.D. dissertation, Univ. of South Fla., Tampa, 1980.
- Andreae, M. O., and H. Raemdonck, Dimethyl sulfide in the surface ocean and the marine atmosphere: A global view, *Science*, **221**, 744–747, 1983.
- Andreae, M. O., R. O. Ferek, F. Bermond, K. P. Byrd, R. T. Engstrom, S. Hardin, P. D. Houmère, F. LeMarrec, H. Raemdonck, and R. B. Chatfield, Dimethyl sulfide in the marine atmosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **90**, 12,891–12,900, 1985.
- Andreae, M. O., H. Berresheim, T. W. Andreae, M. A. Kriz, T. S. Bates, and J. T. Merrill, Vertical distribution of dimethylsulfide, sulfur dioxide, aerosol ions, and radon over the northeast Pacific Ocean, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, **6**, 149–173, 1988.
- Barnes, I., V. Bastion, K. H. Becker, E. H. Fink, and W. Nelson, Oxidation of sulphur compounds in the atmosphere, I, Rate constants of OH radical reactions with sulphur dioxide, hydrogen sulphide, aliphatic thiols, and thiophenol, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, **4**, 445–466, 1986.
- Berresheim, H., Biogenic sulfur emissions from the sub-Antarctic and Antarctic oceans, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **92**, 13,245–13,262, 1987.
- Braman, R. S., J. M. Ammons, and J. L. Bricker, Preconcentration and determination of hydrogen sulfide in air by flame photometric detection, *Anal. Chem.*, **50**, 992–996, 1978.
- Brodie, Sir B. C., On the action of electricity on oxygen, *Philos. Trans.*, **162**, 435–484, 1872.
- Charlson, R. J., J. E. Lovelock, M. O. Andreae, and S. G. Warren, Oceanic phytoplankton, atmospheric sulphur, cloud albedo, and climate, *Nature*, **326**, 655–661, 1987.
- Chatfield, R. B., and P. J. Crutzen, Sulfur dioxide in remote oceanic air: Cloud transport of reactive precursors, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **89**, 7111–7132, 1984.
- Cooper, D. J., Variability in biogenic hydrogen sulfide emissions from selected Florida ecosystems, M.S. thesis, Univ. of Miami, Miami, Fla., 1986.
- Cooper, D. J., and E. S. Saltzman, Uptake of carbonyl sulfide by silver nitrate impregnated filters: Implications for the measurement of low-level atmospheric H₂S, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **14**, 206–209, 1987.
- Cooper, D. J., and E. S. Saltzman, Measurements of atmospheric dimethyl sulfide and carbon disulfide in the western Atlantic boundary layer, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, **12**, 153–168, 1991.
- Cooper, D. J., W. J. Cooper, W. Z. deMello, E. S. Saltzman, and R. G. Zika, Variability in biogenic sulfur emissions from Florida wetlands, in *Biogenic Sulfur in the Environment*, ACS Symp. Ser. 393, edited by W. J. Cooper and E. S. Saltzman, American Chemical Society, Washington, D. C., 1989.
- Ferek, R. J., and T. S. Bates, Simultaneous shipboard and aircraft measurements of DMS in the marine atmosphere, *Eos Trans. AGU*, **70**, 1030, 1989.
- Ferek, R. J., M. O. Andreae, and R. B. Chatfield, Vertical distri-

TABLE 3. The Relative Contribution (Percentage) of Various Sulfur-Containing Species to Background (Non-Sea-Salt) Sulfate

Species	Rate Constant	North Atlantic		South Atlantic Marine
		Marine	Continental	
DMS	6.0×10^{-12}	93.8	30.8	91.5
H ₂ S	5.0×10^{-12}	5.3	68.1	7.2
CS ₂	1.1×10^{-12}	0.8	0.9	0.4
OCS	2.0×10^{-15}	0.1	0.2	0.5

Rate constant units are cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹, taken from Hynes *et al.* [1986], Barnes *et al.* [1986], Hynes and Wine [1989], and Wahner and Ravishankara [1987] for 298 K and 760 torrs.

- bution of dimethylsulfide in the marine atmosphere, *Nature*, **320**, 514–516, 1986.
- Goldan, P. D., Analysis of low concentration level gaseous sulfur compounds in the atmosphere, *Monitoring Methods for Toxics in the Atmosphere*, *ASTM Standard Tech. Publ.*, **1052**, 1990.
- Gregory, G., Operational overview of the NASA CITE 3 airborne instrument intercomparison for sulfur dioxide, hydrogen sulfide, carbonyl sulfide, dimethyl sulfide, and carbon disulfide, *J. Geophys. Res.*, this issue.
- Hoell, J. M., Jr., et al., Operational overview of the NASA GTE/CITE 3 airborne instrument intercomparisons for sulfur dioxide, hydrogen sulfide, carbonyl sulfide, dimethyl sulfide, and carbon disulfide, *J. Geophys. Res.*, this issue.
- Hynes, A. J., and P. H. Wine, OH-initiated oxidation of biogenic sulfur compounds: kinetics and mechanisms under atmospheric conditions, in *Biogenic Sulfur in the Environment*, *ACS Symp. Ser.* **393**, edited by W. J. Cooper and E. S. Saltzman, American Chemical Society, Washington, D. C., 1989.
- Hynes, A. J., P. H. Wine, and D. H. Semmes, Kinetics and mechanism of OH reactions with organic sulfides, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **90**, 4148–4156, 1986.
- Kim, K.-H., and M. O. Andreae, Carbon disulfide in seawater and the marine atmosphere over the North Atlantic, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **92**, 14,733–14,738, 1987.
- Kuster, W. C., P. D. Goldan, and D. L. Albritton, Ozone interference with ambient dimethyl sulfide measurements: The problem and a solution, *Eos Trans. AGU*, **67**, 887, 1986.
- Maroulis, P. J., and A. R. Bandy, Estimate of the contribution of biologically produced DMS to the global sulfur cycle, *Science*, **196**, 647–648, 1978.
- Maroulis, P. J., and A. R. Bandy, Measurements of atmospheric concentrations of CS₂ in the eastern United States, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **7**, 681–684, 1980.
- Martinez, R. I., and J. T. Herron, Stopped-flow study of the gas phase reaction of ozone with organic sulfides: Dimethyl sulfide, *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.*, **10**, 433–452, 1978.
- Namiesnik, J., Preconcentration of gaseous organic pollutants in the atmosphere, *Talanta*, **35**, 567–587, 1988.
- Natusch, D. F. S., H. B. Klonis, H. D. Axelrod, R. J. Teck, and J. P. Lodge, Jr., Sensitive method for the determination of atmospheric hydrogen sulfide, *Anal. Chem.*, **44**, 2067–2070, 1972.
- Saltzman, E. S., and D. J. Cooper, Shipboard measurements of atmospheric dimethylsulfide and hydrogen sulfide in the Caribbean and Gulf of Mexico, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, **6**, 191–209, 1988.
- Saltzman, E. S., and D. J. Cooper, Dimethyl sulfide and hydrogen sulfide in marine air, in *Biogenic Sulfur in the Environment*, *ACS Symp. Ser.* **393**, edited by W. J. Cooper and E. S. Saltzman, American Chemical Society, Washington, D. C., 1989.
- Saltzman, E. S., et al., Diurnal variations in atmospheric sulfur gases over the western equatorial Atlantic Ocean, *J. Geophys. Res.*, in press, 1993.
- Savoie, D. L., J. M. Prospero, and E. S. Saltzman, Non-sea-salt sulfate and nitrate in trade wind aerosols at Barbados: Evidence for long-range transport, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **94**, 5069–5080, 1989.
- Shaw, G. E., Bio-controlled thermostat involving the sulfur cycle, *Clim. Change*, **5**, 297–303, 1983.
- Shipham, M. C., CITE 3 meteorological highlights, *J. Geophys. Res.*, this issue.
- Slatt, B. J., D. F. S. Natusch, J. M. Prospero, and D. L. Savoie, H₂S in the atmosphere of the northern Equatorial Atlantic Ocean and its relation to the global sulphur cycle, *Atmos. Environ.*, **12**, 981–991, 1978.
- Steudler, P. A., and W. Kijowski, Determination of reduced sulfur gases in air by solid adsorbent preconcentration and gas chromatography, *Anal. Chem.*, **56**, 1432–1436, 1984.
- Thornton, D. C., A. R. Bandy, N. Beltz, A. Driedger, and R. Ferek, Advection of sulfur dioxide over the western Atlantic Ocean during CITE 3, *J. Geophys. Res.*, this issue.
- Van Valin, C. C., and M. Luria, O₃, CO, hydrocarbons and dimethyl sulfide over the western Atlantic Ocean, *Atmos. Environ.*, **22**, 2401–2409, 1988.
- Wahner, A., and A. R. Ravishankara, The kinetics of the reaction of OH with OCS, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **92**, 2189–2194, 1987.
- Walling, J. F., J. E. Bumgarner, D. J. Driscoll, C. M. Morris, A. E. Riley, and L. H. Wright, Apparent reaction products desorbed from Tenax used to sample ambient air, *Atmos. Environ.*, **20**, 51–57, 1986.
- D. J. Cooper, Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Prospect Place, Plymouth PL1 3DH, England.
- E. S. Saltzman, Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, University of Miami, Miami, FL 33149.

(Received August 12, 1991;
revised January 27, 1992;
accepted January 27, 1992.)