Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
Recent Work

Title
ON THE SPIN OF THE K* RESONANCE

Permalink
https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3pj751nx

Authors
Chinowsky, William
Goldhaber, Gerson
Goldhaber, Sulamith
et al.

Publication Date
1962-08-27

eScholarship.org Powered by the California Digital Library
University of California

UCRL-10428

University of California

Ernest O. Lawrence Radiation Laboratory

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

Berkeley, California

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Submitted for publication in Phys. Rev. Letters

UCRL-10428

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

ON THE SPIN OF THE K^* RESONANCE

William Chinowsky, Gerson Goldhaber, Sulamith Goldhaber,
Wonyong Lee, and Thomas O'Halloran

August 27, 1962

On the Spin of the K^* Resonance†

William Chinowsky, Gerson Goldhaber, Sulamith Goldhaber, Wonyong Lee,†† and Thomas O'Halloran

Physics Department and Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California, Berkeley, California

August 27, 1962

The production of the K^* resonance[1] in the reaction[2] $K^+ + p \rightarrow K^* + N_{33}^*$ at 1.96 BeV/c has permitted us to identify the K^* as a vector meson. Following a method due to Adair,[3] we examined the distribution in the angle α between the outgoing K^+ meson in the K^* c.m. and the incident K^+ direction. We find a strong anisotropy which can be fitted with $\cos^2\alpha$, and hence conclude that the spin[4,5] of the K^* is ≥ 1. Alston et al. presented evidence for the K^* spin to be less than 2 within 3 standard deviations.[1] This result, combined with the present data, allows us to assign spin 1^- to the K^*.[6]

The experiment was carried out with the 20-inch Brookhaven bubble chamber[7] in a separated beam[8] tuned to K^+ mesons.

The reaction we have studied is

$$K^+ + p \rightarrow K^+ + \pi^- + p + \pi^+. \qquad (1)$$

This reaction, which amounts to about 10% of the total cross section, has the property that a large proportion of events occur in the double resonant state:

$$K^+ + p \rightarrow K^{*0} + N_{33}^{*++} \qquad (2)$$

$$K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+ + \pi^- \qquad N_{33}^{*++} \rightarrow p + \pi^+ .$$

The evidence for Reaction (2) is shown in Fig. 1, where we present a plot of the effective mass distribution $M_{p\pi^+}$ against $M_{K^+\pi^-}$. The triangle shown in the figure gives the kinematic mass limits for the two particle states $K\pi$ and $p\pi$. It should be noted that this is not a "uniform-density surface" in phase space. The projections on the two mass axes are also shown in the figure. Here we have defined events with effective mass $840 \leq M_{K^+\pi^-} \leq 940$ MeV as lying within the K^* resonance and events with $1130 \leq M_{p\pi^+} \leq 1300$ MeV as lying within the N^*_{33} resonance. These mass limits corresponds roughly to a level of 10% of the respective peak values. To date we have completed the analysis[9] of about 80% of our available data, namely 310 events. Of these, 201 events lie within <u>both</u> of the above mass limits, i.e., within the "double resonance region." In what follows, we confine our discussion to these latter events, which can then be considered as examples of a "two-particle" reaction, as given in (2).

We observe that the production angle of the K^* is strongly forward peaked, as shown in Fig. 2. Here θ_{K^*} is the angle between the incident K^+ meson and the outgoing K^* in the K^+-p c.m. system.

In order to perform an "Adair analysis," we now limit ourselves further to those events for which $1.0 \geq \cos\theta_{K^*} \geq 0.8$. There are 69 such events in our sample. In Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) we present the unfolded and folded distributions in the K^* decay angle, α, defined above. The nonisotropy of the distribution immediately rules out a spin-zero assignment for the K^*. In the decay of a spin-one K^*, the angular distribution depends on the state of alignment of the K^* spin as determined by the dynamics of the production reaction. For the cases of maximal alignment of the spin vector with respect to the incident direction, the distributions would have the forms given in Table I. For a nonaligned spin this distribution would be isotropic. Also given there are the corresponding distributions for the N^* decay. Any combination of the listed distributions is allowed. The <u>observed</u> distribution in the K^* decay angle is

fitted well with a pure $\cos^2\alpha$ intensity distribution. In Figs. 4(a) and 4(b) we present the corresponding distributions for the N^* decay angle β. For a completely aligned N^* with $m_s(N^*) = \pm 1/2$, the predicted distribution is $1 + 3\cos^2\beta$ (see curve in Fig. 4b). Such a fit is consistent[10] with our data ($\chi^2 = 7.0$).

These distributions thus imply a strong alignment of the K^* spin, with the component $m_s(K^*) = 0$ along the incident beam direction. It is perhaps worth noting that just such an alignment would result if the one-pion exchange were a dominant contributor to the production reaction. This can be seen by noting that for events with $\cos\theta_{K^*} \geq 0.8$, the angle α differs little from the $K\pi$ scattering angle at the K^* vertex. At that vertex the K^* spin can have only the projection $m_s(K^*) = 0$ on the "incident" K-π axis, since here we are dealing with two spin-zero "incident" particles. Hence the projection on the incident beam direction (i.e., K-p axis) is also zero, which then results in a $\cos^2\alpha$ distribution.

We wish to take this opportunity to thank the many members of the staff of the Brookhaven National Laboratory for their very helpful attitude in making this experiment possible. In particular, we would like to express our appreciation to Dr. Hildred Blewett, Dr. Hugh Brown, Dr. Ralph Shutt, Dr. James Sanford, Mr. Julius Spiro, Dr. Metford Webster, and the AGS crew.

We also wish to thank Dr. Samuel Berman, Dr. Giro Takeda, and Dr. Charles Zemach for a number of helpful discussions. Finally, this work would not have been possible without the active help and interest of our scanning, measuring and computing personnel.

Footnotes and References

†Work done under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

††Present address: Physics Department, Columbia University, New York, N. Y.

1. M. H. Alston, L. W. Alvarez, P. Eberhard, M. L. Good, W. Graziano, H. K. Ticho, and S. G. Wojcicki, Phys. Rev. Letters 6, 300 (1961).

2. W. Chinowsky, G. Goldhaber, S. Goldhaber, W. Lee, and T. O'Halloran, in Proceedings of the 1962 International Conference on High Energy Physics at CERN, Geneva, Switzerland (in publication); also The Reaction $K^* + p \rightarrow K^* + N_{33}^*$, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report UCRL-10337, 1962 (unpublished).

3. R. K. Adair, Phys. Rev. 100, 1540 (1955).

4. R. Armenteros, L. Montanet, D. R. O. Morrison, A. Shapira, S. Nilsson, J. Vandermeulen, Ch. D'Andlau, A. Astier, C. Ghesquiere, B. P. Gregory, D. Rahm, P. Rivet, and F. Solmitz, in Proceedings of the 1962 International Conference on High Energy Physics at CERN, Geneva, Switzerland (in publication); also CERN/TC/PHYSICS 62-9 (unpublished).

5. M. H. Alston, G. R. Kalbfleisch, H. K. Ticho, and S. G. Wojcicki, in Proceedings of the 1962 International Conference on High Energy Physics at CERN, Geneva, Switzerland (in publication); also K^* Production and Decay, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report UCRL-10232, 1962 (unpublished).

6. At the 1962 High Energy Conference at CERN, evidence was presented both in favor of K^* spin ≥ 1 (Ref. 4) and spin -0 (Ref. 5).

7. R. I. Louttit, in Proceedings of an International Conference on Instrumentation for High-Energy Physics, Berkeley, California (Interscience Publishers Inc., New York 1960), p. 117.

8. C. Baltay, J. Sandweiss, J. Sanford, H. Brown, M. Webster, and S. Yamamoto, in Proceedings of the 1962 International Conference on Intrumentation for High Energy Physics at CERN, Geneva, Switzerland (in publication).

9. In this work we utilized a modification of the geometrical reconstruction (PANG) and kinematical fitting (KICK) programs of the Alvarez Group. J. P. Berge, F. T. Solmitz, and H. D. Taft, Rev. Sci. Instr. (in press), and A. H. Rosenfeld and J. M. Snyder, Rev. Sci. Instr. (in press).

10. With the present data an isotropic fit to the observed distribution in β is equally likely ($\chi^2 = 6.5$).

Table I. Allowed spin projections on the incident K^+p axis of a spin-one K^* and the N^*_{33} for an initial proton spin projection of $m = 1/2$. The corresponding angular distributions for the K^* decay angle, α, and the N^* decay angle, β, are also given.

$m_s(K^*)$	$m_s(N^*)$	$I(\alpha)$	$I(\beta)$
+1	- 1/2	$\frac{1}{2}\sin^2\alpha$	$1 + 3\cos^2\beta$
0	+ 1/2	$\cos^2\alpha$	$1 + 3\cos^2\beta$
-1	+ 3/2	$\frac{1}{2}\sin^2\alpha$	$3\sin^2\beta$

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Scatter diagram of the effective mass distribution $M_{p\pi^+}$ versus $M_{K^+\pi^-}$. The triangle delineates the kinematical limits. The projections on the $M_{p\pi^+}$ mass axis show the N^*_{33} production, while the projection on the $M_{K^+\pi^-}$ axis shows the simultaneous K^* production. The curves give the distributions expected from phase-space calculations without dynamic effects.

Fig. 2. The angular distribution for K^* production. The events shown here are chosen to lie inside both the N^* and K^* resonances.

Fig. 3. The angular distribution of α, the angle of the outgoing K^+ in the K^* c.m. system with respect to the incident K^+ direction. The 69 events shown are selected to lie inside the N^* and K^* resonances.

Fig. 4. The angular distribution of β, the angle of the outgoing proton in the N^* c.m. system with respect to the incident K^+ direction. The same events described in Fig. 3 are shown here.


$K^+ + p \longrightarrow (K^+ + \pi^-) + (p + \pi^+)$
310 events
1500
1400
1300
1200
1100
$M_{p\pi^+}$ (MeV)
60
40
20
0
Number of events
1400
1200
$M_{p\pi^+}$ (MeV)
60
40
20
0
Number of events
600
800
1000
$M_{K^+\pi^-}$ (MeV)
MUB-1285

Fig. 1



MU-27917

Fig. 2


$K^+ + p \rightarrow K^* + N_{33}^*$
$1.0 \geq \cos\theta_{K^*} \geq 0.8$
(69 events)
(a)
Number of events per 0.4 in cos α
cos α
(b)
"Folded"
cos² α
Number of events per 0.2 in |cos α|
|cos α|
MU-27848

Fig. 3


$K^+ + p \rightarrow K^* + N_{33}^*$
$1.0 > \cos\theta_{K^*} > 0.8$
(69 events)
(a)
Number of events per 0.4 in cos β
cos β
(b)
"Folded"
1+3 cos² β
Number of events per 0.2 in |cos β|
|cos β|
MU-27916

Fig. 4

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.