

UCSF

UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Cockayne syndrome B protein stimulates apurinic endonuclease 1 activity and protects against agents that introduce base excision repair intermediates

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3qb0k03c>

Journal

Nucleic Acids Research, 35(12)

ISSN

0305-1048

Authors

Wong, Heng-Kuan
Muftuoglu, Meltem
Beck, Gad
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2007-06-01

DOI

10.1093/nar/gkm404

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

Cockayne syndrome B protein stimulates apurinic endonuclease 1 activity and protects against agents that introduce base excision repair intermediates

Heng-Kuan Wong¹, Meltem Muftuoglu¹, Gad Beck¹, Syed Z. Imam²,
Vilhelm A. Bohr¹ and David M. Wilson III^{1,*}

¹Laboratory of Molecular Gerontology, National Institute on Aging, NIH, 5600 Nathan Shock Drive, Baltimore, MD 21224 and ²South Texas Veterans Health Care System and Departments of Medicine and Pharmacology, University of Texas Health Science Center, 7703 Floyd Curl Drive, San Antonio, TX 78229-3900, USA

Received January 8, 2007; Revised and Accepted May 2, 2007

ABSTRACT

The Cockayne syndrome B (CSB) protein—defective in a majority of patients suffering from the rare autosomal disorder CS—is a member of the SWI2/SNF2 family with roles in DNA repair and transcription. We demonstrate herein that purified recombinant CSB and the major human apurinic/aprimidinic (AP) endonuclease, APE1, physically and functionally interact. CSB stimulates the AP site incision activity of APE1 on normal (i.e. fully paired) and bubble AP-DNA substrates, with the latter being more pronounced (up to 6-fold). This activation is ATP-independent, and specific for the human CSB and full-length APE1 protein, as no CSB-dependent stimulation was observed with *Escherichia coli* endonuclease IV or an N-terminal truncated APE1 fragment. CSB and APE1 were also found in a common protein complex in human cell extracts, and recombinant CSB, when added back to CSB-deficient whole cell extracts, resulted in increased total AP site incision capacity. Moreover, human fibroblasts defective in CSB were found to be hypersensitive to both methyl methanesulfonate (MMS) and 5-hydroxymethyl-2'-deoxyuridine, agents that introduce base excision repair (BER) DNA substrates/intermediates.

INTRODUCTION

Cockayne syndrome (CS) is a rare autosomal recessive genetic disorder, classified as a segmental premature-aging syndrome (1–3). The clinical features of this disease include poor growth ('cachectic dwarfism'), neurological

abnormalities and cutaneous photosensitivity. However, in contrast to xeroderma pigmentosum (XP) patients—who also exhibit increased sensitivity to ultraviolet (UV) irradiation—individuals with CS do not display elevated cancer risk. CS is divided into two complementation groups: CSA (mutation in *CKN1*) and CSB (mutation in *ERCC6*). Of the patients suffering from CS, ~80% have mutations in the *CSB* gene (1).

The CSB protein is composed of 1493 amino acids, and based on sequence homology, has been placed into the SWI2/SNF2 family of proteins that harbor seven helicase-like ATPase motifs (4,5). Although no helicase activity has been ascribed to CSB (6,7), the protein possesses a DNA-dependent ATPase activity (6–8). Moreover, since purified CSB (i) promotes alterations in the DNA conformation upon binding to the double-helix and (ii) alters the arrangement of nucleosome complexes (at the expense of ATP hydrolysis), the protein has been suggested to function as a chromatin remodeling factor (9). This function appears dependent on the ability of the protein to wrap and unwrap DNA molecules (10). More recently, CSB was found to possess homologous DNA strand pairing activity (11).

Numerous studies indicate that CSB participates in transcription-coupled nucleotide excision repair (TC-NER), as well as in global genome DNA repair and general transcription (1,12). In particular, CSB mutant cells exhibit hypersensitivity to a number of DNA-damaging agents, including UV light (4), 4-nitroquinoline-1-oxide (4-NQO) (13), and *N*-acetoxy-2-acetylaminofluorene (NA-AAF) (14,15). In the case of UV, the hypersensitivity has been attributed to a specific defect in the ability of CSB mutant cells to repair DNA damage within actively transcribed genes (16,17). A link of CSB to TC-NER is supported by the observations that (i) CSB-defective cells are unable to

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: 410 558 8153; Fax: 410 558 8157; Email: wilsonda@grc.nia.nih.gov

recover RNA synthesis following DNA-damaging agent treatment (4) and (ii) CSB binds RNA polymerase II when arrested at a template lesion *in vitro* and promotes recruitment of TFIIH, a factor involved in transcription and NER (18–20). Results also indicate that CSB plays a more general role in DNA repair, promoting changes in the chromatin structure to facilitate damage processing, particularly within active genes (21), and assists RNA polymerase I- or II-directed transcription (18,22–25).

Accumulating evidence suggests a role for CSB in base excision repair (BER) (1). BER is responsible for correcting most spontaneous, oxidative, or alkylation forms of DNA base or sugar damage. The observation that CSB^{-/-} cells, at least certain cell types, display hypersensitivity to agents that generate reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as IR, paraquat and hydrogen peroxide, supports a role for the encoded protein in the repair of oxidative lesions (26–28). Moreover, biochemical assays using extracts from mutant cells indicate that CSB is responsible for promoting incision at 8-oxo-dG, a frequent oxidative base lesion and a marker of oxidative damage (26,29). In fact, global genome as well as mitochondrial DNA repair of 8-oxo-dG requires a functional CSB gene product *in vivo* (30–32). CSB mutant cells also exhibit a defect in the global repair of 8-hydroxyadenine, another oxidative base modification (33). Work from Flohr *et al.* (34) suggests that the efficient repair of oxidative base lesions through poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 (PARP-1), a key DNA damage response protein, is likewise dependent on CSB. In addition, Thorslund *et al.* (35) identified a physical and functional interaction between CSB and PARP-1, and reported that poly(ADP)-ribosylation of CSB inhibits its DNA-dependent ATPase activity. Spivak *et al.* (36) have shown that reactivation of a plasmid containing a thymine glycol is defective in CSB mutant cells, further supporting a role for this protein in oxidative DNA damage repair. Although evidence exists for a function of CSB specifically in TC-BER, such a role has recently become unclear (37). It has been postulated that a defect in gene-specific and/or global genome repair of endogenous DNA damage gives rise to the premature-aging symptoms and increased neurological deficiencies associated with CS patients (38).

In mammalian cells, APE1 is the major apurinic/aprimidinic (AP) endonuclease, operating to initiate repair of mutagenic and cytotoxic AP sites by incising the DNA backbone immediately adjacent to the lesion (39). AP sites are formed by spontaneous base loss (at a frequency of ~10 000 events per mammalian genome per day), as well as by increased base release due to chemical modification (e.g. alkylation or oxidation) or through the action of DNA repair glycosylases that excise specific base damages. Following APE1 incision, subsequent participants of the BER pathway remove the 5'-terminal abasic fragment, replace the damaged nucleotide and seal the final nick to restore genome integrity. We describe herein data indicating a role for CSB in the repair of BER substrates/intermediates, and a specific functional

interaction of CSB with the predominant AP site repair enzyme, APE1.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Dot-blot interaction screen

The DiscoverLight Protein Array Kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA) was used to screen for interactions between purified CSB and select proteins. As described elsewhere (40,41), various protein amounts were spotted on the membranes, which were then dried and blocked in PBS-T (1 × PBS, 0.1% Tween 20) containing 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 1 h at room temperature. The membranes were then incubated with CSB (10 ng/ml) for 1 h at room temperature. A duplicate membrane was incubated with blocking buffer alone as a control for possible cross-reactivity with the CSB antibody. After washing with PBS-T, the membranes were probed with monoclonal mouse anti-CSB antibody (1 : 2000, kindly provided by Dr Jean Marc-Egly, Institut de Génétique et de Biologie Moléculaire et Cellulaire) overnight. After washing, the membranes were incubated with secondary antibody (1 : 10 000, anti-mouse IgG-horse-radish peroxidase (HRP); Vector, Burlingame, CA, USA) for 1 h at room temperature, washed again and then developed with the SuperSignal West Pico chemiluminescent kit (Pierce).

Direct and indirect ELISA

Full-length, recombinant CSB and APE1 proteins were expressed and purified as previously detailed (8,42). Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) were performed essentially as described (43). Briefly, in the direct ELISAs, either BSA or APE1 (30 fmol) was coated onto a 96-well plate and then incubated with increasing amounts of CSB for 2 h at 37°C. After several washes, bound CSB was detected with anti-CSB antibody, followed by HRP-conjugated secondary antibody. For the indirect ELISA, either CSB (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) or APE1 (Trevigen, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) polyclonal antibody was coated overnight at 4°C on a 96-well plate, and then incubated with either CSB (6 fmol = 1 ng) or APE1 (30 fmol = 1 ng) protein, respectively. After incubation with increasing amounts of the partner protein (i.e. APE1 or CSB) for 2 h at 37°C, bound protein was detected with either CSB or APE1 (Trevigen) monoclonal antibodies, followed by the secondary antibody steps described above. Where indicated, ethidium bromide (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) or DNase I (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) was added at 10 µg/ml or 5 µg/ml, respectively. The protein interaction was detected using OPD substrate (Sigma-Aldrich). Reactions were terminated after 3 min incubation with 3 N H₂SO₄ and plates were read at 490 nm using a Bio-Rad Benchmark Plus microplate spectrophotometer and Microplate Manager 5.2 software (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA).

Immunoprecipitation

ECFP-CSB-CS1AN cells, which were created as described (8), were lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 1% NP-40, 0.25% Na-deoxycholate, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 mM Na_3VO_4 , 1 mM NaF, 10 U/ml DNase I and 1 tablet Complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) per 50 ml] by sonication. After cell disruption, the suspension was centrifuged at 14000g for 15 min. The supernatant represented the whole cell extract, and the protein concentration was determined using the Bio-Rad Protein Assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories). For immunoprecipitation, ECFP-CSB whole cell extracts were pre-cleared with Protein G-Agarose beads (Invitrogen). The pre-cleared extracts (4 mg each) were then immunoprecipitated with either negative control rabbit IgG antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), living colors full-length A.v. (i.e. anti-ECFP; 1:100) polyclonal rabbit antibody (BD biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA), or mouse monoclonal APE1 antibody (Novus, Littleton, CO; 1:50) for overnight at 4°C. Samples were next incubated with Protein G-Agarose beads (30 μl) at 4°C for 1 h, followed by multiple washes. Bound proteins were eluted by boiling in SDS sample buffer and were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and western blotting using mouse anti-CSB (1:1000; Dr Egly), or mouse anti-APE1 (1:1000; Novus) antibodies, followed by chemiluminescent analysis (Pierce).

AP endonuclease assay

The AP site-containing (i.e. the tetrahydrofuran, F, analog) 42-mer oligonucleotides used were: CCGCTGAATTGCACCCTCGA^FCTAGGTCGATGATCCTAAGCA (42F-11), TGCTTAGGATCATCGACCTAGGTCGAGGGTGCAATTCAGCGG (42F comp) and TGCTTAGGATCATCGAGGATCGAGCTCGGTGCAATTCAGCGG (42F bubble comp). Following the generation of normal (42F-11:42 comp) or bubble (42F-11:42F bubble comp) ^{32}P -end labeled duplex substrates, incision assays were performed at 37°C for 10 min with APE1 (10 or 30 fmol) and analyzed on denaturing polyacrylamide gels as previously described (44). Reactions consisted of 50 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.4, 50 mM KCl, 5% glycerol, 10 mM MgCl_2 , 100 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ BSA, 0.05% Triton X-100 and 200 fmol of DNA. Where indicated, increasing amounts of CSB were added. *Escherichia coli* endonuclease IV and truncated $\Delta 29\text{APE1}$ were purified as described (42). Whole cell extract total AP endonuclease activity was determined for CSB-V and CSB-WT cells according to previously published methods (45).

Immunodepleted CSB supernatant fractions were obtained according to the procedure of (8). In brief, 15 μg of recombinant HA/His-tagged CSB was mixed with protein A magnetic beads (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) that had been pre-incubated with rabbit polyclonal anti-HA antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). After an overnight incubation, the supernatant was separated from the beads by centrifugation, and kept as the immunodepleted fraction (ID CSB). Beads were washed and CSB was eluted with 0.5 mg/ml of HA

peptide in buffer A (8) containing 0.5 M KCl to generate the immunoprecipitated (or immunopurified) CSB protein (IP CSB).

AP site measurements

The number of AP sites in isolated chromosomal DNA was determined using an aldehyde reactive probe reagent (*N'*-aminooxymethyl-carbonyl-hydrazino-D-biotin) and the DNA damage quantification kit of Dojindo Molecular Technology (Gaithersburg, MD, USA) as described (46).

Cellular sensitivity assays

CS1AN.S3.G2 SV40-transformed human skin fibroblast cell lines (4,47)—stably transfected with either the mammalian expression vector pcDNA3.1 (CSB-V), pcDNA3.1 containing the wild-type human CSB gene (CSB-WT), or pcDNA3.1 containing the E646Q ATPase domain II mutant CSB (CSB-E646Q) (48)—were cultured in Minimal Essential Medium plus 15% fetal bovine serum, antibiotics and 400 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ of geneticin (Invitrogen). To investigate methyl methanesulfonate (MMS) and 5-hydroxymethyl-2'-deoxyuridine (HmdU) sensitivity, colony forming assays were performed essentially as described (49). Briefly, 5×10^2 cells were plated onto a 60-mm dish, incubated for 16 h, and then treated with different concentrations of MMS for 1 h. For HmdU treatment, cells were plated in complete medium at a density of 5×10^4 cells per 35-mm dish. After overnight incubation, HmdU was added, and the cells were incubated for an additional 24 h to permit incorporation. After agent treatment, cells were re-plated in complete media at a density of 1×10^2 per 60-mm dish. Colonies were stained and counted after 10 days of growth.

RNA synthesis recovery

CSB-V and CSB-WT cells were grown in the presence of [^{14}C]thymidine (0.02 $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$, Amersham or GE healthcare) for 3 days to label chromosomal DNA. Cells were then washed with PBS prior to a 1 h treatment with 1 mM MMS. Fresh media was subsequently added to allow cells to recover prior to measuring RNA synthesis at the indicated times. To measure transcription, cells were pulse-labeled with 5 $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$ [^3H]uridine for 1 h at 37°C, washed twice with PBS, and lysed in 10 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA buffer containing 0.5% SDS and 100 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ proteinase K for 1 h at 37°C. Trichloroacetic acid (TCA) (20%) was added to the cell lysate and the samples were spotted onto glass fiber discs (Whatman, Maidstone, UK). The filters were sequentially washed in 5% TCA, 70% ethanol and acetone. The TCA-precipitable radioactivity was scintillation-counted.

RESULTS

APE1 and CSB physically interact

Evidence suggests a role for CSB in facilitating global genome, and possibly transcription-coupled BER (1). As a means of elucidating the molecular involvement of CSB in

BER, we examined for physical interactions of CSB with proteins operating in this and related DNA repair pathways. Specifically, initial studies employed a dot blot technique, where select proteins were (i) spotted and fixed to a capture membrane, (ii) incubated with purified CSB in solution and (iii) probed for binding of CSB using CSB-specific antibodies. Potential interactors identified using this technique were the strand break sensor protein PARP-1 (data not shown), the structure-specific endonuclease FEN1, the tyrosine kinase c-Abl and the major human abasic endonuclease APE1 (Supplementary Figure 1A: See online supplementary material for a color version of this figure). No significant interaction was seen with the tumor suppressor p53, the replication processivity factor PCNA, the end-joining binding complex Ku70/80, the Werner helicase, the protein defective in Nijmegen Breakage Syndrome NBS1, the telomere repeat binding protein TRF1, the recombination protein Rad51 and the single-stranded DNA binding protein RPA (Supplemental Figure 1A and data not shown). Detailed studies describing the interaction of CSB with PARP-1 have been reported elsewhere (35), and experiments with other putative binding partners (e.g. c-Abl and FEN1) are ongoing.

To interrogate the CSB–APE1 interaction further, we performed both direct and indirect ELISAs. Direct ELISAs, where APE1 was bound to the microtiter dish surface, supported that CSB physically interacts with APE1, as revealed by a concentration-dependent increase in the CSB protein signal that is not observed with the negative control protein BSA (Supplementary Figure 1B: See online Supplementary Data for a color version of this figure). Reciprocal experiments, however, where CSB was fixed to the surface, did not reveal an interaction (data not shown).

Thus, as an alternative method, an indirect ELISA approach, where either an APE1- (Figure 1A) or CSB-specific (Figure 1B) antibody was bound to the dish first and then incubated with the appropriate purified protein, was employed. In these studies, a reciprocal interaction was observed (albeit weaker when using APE1 as the binding probe; Figure 1B), and the increased signal in both cases was generally unaffected by the presence of ethidium bromide (EtBr) or DNase I (Figure 1A and B). The APE1 and CSB antibodies also did not cross-react non-specifically in the indirect ELISA experiments. These findings indicate that the APE1–CSB binding is the product of a direct, physical association and is independent of DNA. Analysis of the indirect ELISA experiments revealed an apparent binding affinity (i.e. the concentration at which approximately half maximal binding was observed) for CSB and APE1 of ≥ 4.5 (when APE1 was coated) to ≥ 20 nM (when CSB was coated).

APE1 and CSB are in a common complex within human cell extracts

We next examined whether APE1 and CSB existed in a common protein complex in human cell extracts using immunoprecipitation techniques. CSB mutant human cells (i.e. CS1AN.S3.G2) transfected with the pECFP–CSB




Figure 1. CSB associates with APE1. Interaction between CSB and APE1 in indirect ELISA. Mouse anti-APE1 (panel A) or anti-CSB (panel B) was coated to the well. Purified APE1 (30 fmol, 1 ng) or CSB (6 fmol, 1 ng) was then bound to the appropriate antibody. These complexes were next incubated with different concentrations of CSB (panel A) or APE1 (panel B) as indicated. Ethidium bromide (EtBr) or DNase I was added where indicated. Values are means \pm standard errors ($n = 3$). (C) CSB and Ape1 are in a common complex in human cell extracts. Lysates from CS1AN cells transfected with the ECFP–CSB plasmid were immunoprecipitated (IP) with either ECFP (left) or APE1 (right) antibodies. The IPs were then analyzed by immunoblotting (IB) with either anti-CSB or anti-APE1 antibodies. Lysates of 10% of the amount used for IP were loaded as input comparisons. As controls, lysates IPed with rabbit IgG only were loaded (IgG Control), and IPs with anti-ECFP were performed on extracts from ECFP-only expressing cells (Vector Control).

expression vector were employed [ECFP–CSB–CS1AN; (8)], largely because antibodies directed against human CSB worked inconsistently in our hands in immunoprecipitation experiments. As shown in Figure 1C,

immunoprecipitation with antibodies specific for either ECFP or APE1 pulled down both CSB and APE1 simultaneously from the ECFP-CSB-complemented CS1AN extracts. In contrast, neither the IgG antibody alone (negative control) nor the anti-ECFP antibody used against extracts containing only the ECFP fusion portion (vector control) precipitated either repair protein (Figure 1C). Semi-quantitative densitometry analysis of the western blot signals indicated that, depending on the experiment, between roughly 5% and 50% of either APE1 or CSB was co-immunoprecipitated with the other protein. The reason for the variability is presently unknown, but may reflect differences in cell culture conditions, cell cycle status or experimental handling.

CSB stimulates APE1 endonuclease activity

As APE1 and CSB were found to physically interact and co-immunoprecipitate from human cell extracts (Supplementary Figure 1 and Figure 1), we next explored whether this association had a functional effect on either APE1 or CSB enzymatic activities. Using a 42F normal (i.e. fully base-paired) duplex or a 42F 11-nt bubble substrate [where F is an abasic site analog (50)], we measured the effect of CSB on APE1 AP site incision activity. As shown in Figure 2A, APE1 cleavage of normal double-stranded AP-DNA was stimulated significantly in a CSB concentration-dependent manner, with roughly a 2-fold activation being observed at a molar ratio of APE1 to CSB of 1 : 3, and a 4-fold activation being detected at a 1 : 10 APE1 to CSB ratio. As expected, CSB itself exhibited no AP endonuclease activity. Moreover, no activation was observed with the CSB storage buffer (Figure 2A), suggesting that the stimulation was dependent on the CSB protein itself.

With the 11-nt AP site-containing bubble substrate, which mimics a DNA transcription intermediate (44), CSB protein was again found to stimulate APE1 endonuclease activity in a concentration-dependent manner (Figure 2B). Significantly, CSB activation at either an equal molar ratio (2-fold) or at a higher ratio (up to 6-fold) was more profound with the bubble substrate than with the fully paired AP duplex (up to 4-fold). This observation suggests some DNA specificity in the activation, and is consistent with the higher affinity of CSB for transcription bubble structures than for normal double-stranded DNA (8)—an observation that supports that the stimulatory effect on APE1 is CSB-dependent. As above, CSB did not itself display AP endonuclease activity on the bubble substrate. Notably, addition of ATP did not affect the CSB stimulation of APE1 (Figure 2C). Last, APE1 had no effect on CSB ATPase activity in the presence of a 90-mer (35nt)-bubble substrate (data not shown) or the 42F(11)-bubble substrate (Supplementary Figure 2: See online Supplementary Data for a color version of this figure).

To determine whether the activation of APE1 was indeed dependent on the CSB protein, we performed incision assays using immunodepleted and immunoprecipitated CSB protein fractions (see Materials and Methods

section). As revealed by silver staining, immunodepletion of CSB with anti-HA antibodies reduced CSB protein levels in the recovered supernatant by ~90% (data not shown). Thus, as expected, and supportive of the stimulation being CSB-dependent, the immunodepleted supernatant (ID CSB) had a ~10-fold reduced ability to stimulate APE1 activity; that is, roughly equal stimulation was observed at a 10-fold higher amount of the immunodepleted CSB extract, relative to the purified CSB protein or the immunoprecipitated (i.e. immunopurified, IP CSB) CSB protein (Figure 3A).

As another means of evaluating the specificity of the CSB stimulation, we performed abasic site incision assays with purified bacterial AP endonuclease IV. Endonuclease IV is an *E. coli* protein with no sequence or structural homology to the human APE1 protein, although both enzymes exhibit many of the same biochemical repair functions (51). As shown in Figure 3B, CSB had no effect on endonuclease IV incision activity of the 11-nt bubble substrate, even when present at a molar ratio of 100:1 (i.e. at 10 fmol CSB); some inhibition was observed at the higher molar ratios. This result indicates that the AP endonuclease activation is specific to the human protein combination (i.e. CSB and APE1). As an aside, the findings here indicate that the ability to incise at AP sites in transcription bubble configurations is a general property of abasic endonucleases, both (unrelated) prokaryotic and eukaryotic.

We next examined whether CSB could stimulate the endonuclease activity of a truncated APE1 protein lacking the first 29 amino acid residues (i.e. $\Delta 29$ APE1). As shown in Figure 3C, purified CSB did not activate $\Delta 29$ APE1 incision activity of the 11 nt AP site-containing 42-mer bubble substrate, even at a molar ratio of 20 : 1. This result indicates that CSB physically interacts with the unique, unstructured N-terminal (REF-1) domain of APE1 (52) and that the protein contact is critical for the observed activation.

Employing a previously established electrophoretic mobility shift assay, which omits the divalent cation Mg^{2+} essential for APE1 catalysis (53), CSB was found not to obviously promote APE1 binding to fully paired or bubble-containing 42-mer AP-DNAs (Supplementary Figure 3: See online Supplementary Data for a color version of this figure). This finding argues that the endonuclease stimulation is mediated through a direct physical association with the APE1 protein (perhaps by promoting a favorable conformational change in APE1 through the N-terminal interaction) and/or the introduction of a topological alteration in the DNA substrate that permits a more efficient incision reaction. Interestingly, APE1 induces a kink in DNA to facilitate both recognition and strand cleavage of AP sites (52). Finally, some apparent binding of DNA was observed by CSB, particularly at the higher protein concentrations (Supplementary Figure 3).

The role of CSB in global genome AP site repair

As a means of evaluating the function of CSB in *in vivo* AP site repair, we measured the steady-state level of abasic




Figure 2. CSB stimulates APE1 endonuclease activity, independent of ATP. (A) APE1 incision of 42F fully paired duplex substrates with or without CSB. Reactions contained 10fmol of APE1 and 200fmol of 42F-11: 42 comp duplex DNA, either alone or with increasing amounts of CSB (10, 30, 100 and 300 fmol). CSB alone (300 fmol) was also assayed. (B) APE1 incision of a 42-nt bubble substrate with or without CSB. Reactions were carried out as above, except with 30fmol of APE1 and the 42F-11:42F bubble comp duplex substrate. AP endonuclease activity is the amount of radiolabeled F-DNA substrate (S) converted to the shorter incised DNA product (P) in 10 min. In panels A and B, values in graphs represent the average and standard deviation of a least three independent data points. The molar ratio of APE1 to CSB is indicated. (C) CSB stimulation of APE1 activity is not affected by ATP. Reactions were performed as above in panel B. ATP (0.5mM) was added in the reactions indicated. No enzyme, as well as APE1 and CSB (300 fmol) alone, reactions are shown. Percentage of S converted to P is denoted under each lane.

lesions in total chromosomal DNA isolated from both CSB-V and CSB-WT fibroblasts using an established aldehyde reactive probe method (54). These studies found that both human cell lines maintain similar AP site levels (Figure 4A). Consistent with this, both CSB-WT and CSB-V whole cell extracts exhibited comparable total AP site incision capacities on fully paired 34-mer duplex substrates (Figure 4B and C). In addition, as with the whole cell extracts, we did not detect any difference in incision efficiency of normal duplex or bubble substrate

AP site-containing DNAs using nuclear extracts prepared from CSB-WT or CSB-V cells (data not shown). These results suggest that CSB does not obviously modulate global genome repair of abasic lesions. However, the data does not exclude a more specialized role for the CSB-APE1 interaction in the repair of a subset of APE1 substrates, perhaps in regions of complex DNA structure. Significantly, addition of recombinant CSB protein to whole cell extracts prepared from CSB-V cells resulted in a concentration-dependent stimulation of AP site incision




Figure 4. Global AP site repair in CSB-V and CSB-WT cells. (A) Steady-state AP site levels in total chromosomal DNA from CSB-V and CSB-WT cells. AP sites measured per 10⁶ nucleotides (nt) are shown. Values represent the average and standard deviation of three independent measurements. (B) Total AP endonuclease activity of CSB-V and CSB-WT whole cell extracts. Shown is a representative gel of reactions performed at the indicated concentration of CSB-V or CSB-WT whole cell extract (μg/10 μl) with 34F duplex substrates (44). NE = no enzyme control. Percentage of intact substrate (S) converted to incised product (P) is denoted under each lane. (C) AP site incision kinetics. Three microgram/10 μl of the indicated extract was incubated for the time specified, and the percentage of S converted to P was determined. Plotted is the average and standard deviation of at least three data points. (D) Recombinant CSB activates AP site incision in whole cell extracts. Extracts (0.2 μg) were prepared from CSB-V cells and assayed for AP site incision efficiency, without or with supplemented recombinant CSB protein (10, 30, 100, 300 fmol).

addition to coordination with DNA glycosylases in endogenous base damage repair and PARP-1 in DNA damage responses (see Introduction section), the interaction with APE1 suggests a more general function of CSB in modulating BER processes.

Despite the strong biochemical stimulation noted above, we found no clear evidence for a role of CSB in the global genome repair of endogenous, natural (hydrolytic) abasic sites (Figure 4). However, in light of the more pronounced activation seen with the bubble substrate, we favor a model whereby the CSB–APE1 interaction is most critical to regions of the genome where complex DNA structures are formed, such as during transcription or replication, or at sites of recombination (R-DNA or telomeres), where the local, relative concentrations of these proteins may also be higher. Moreover, a more specialized role for this interaction in the repair of a specific subset of APE1-targeted damages could exist. For instance, CSB may promote excision of oxidized AP sites or certain base modifications (e.g. 5,6-dihydro-2'-deoxyuridine, 5,6-dihydrothymidine, 5-hydroxy-2'-deoxyuridine, α-2'-deoxyadenosine and α-thymidine adducts), which have all been shown to be substrates for APE1 repair activity (60,61). We note that we did not see any obvious activation of the APE1 3' to 5' exonuclease activity on a 3'-recessed primer-template DNA duplex (unpublished data), supporting a specificity to the CSB–APE1 interaction.

While the idea of TCR of BER substrates is controversial, it is possible that CSB facilitates APE1 repair within actively transcribed genes. Interestingly,

our preliminary data indicate a delayed RNA synthesis recovery in CSB mutant cells following MMS exposure (Supplementary Figure 4: See online Supplementary Data for a color version of this figure), suggesting that certain BER substrates/intermediates may indeed be handled in a gene-specific or potentially TCR-specific manner. Prior reports in fact indicate that hydrolytic or oxidized abasic lesions create a pause or arrest site for elongating RNA polymerases (62–68), and a stalled RNA polymerase is critical for the initiation of TCR and the recruitment of CSB (20,69). SSBs are also blocks to RNA polymerase progression (70), whereas alkylation base damage does not appear to be corrected in a TCR mechanism (71). Innovative methodologies to accurately and specifically evaluate genome (region)-specific repair and TCR of BER lesions are needed.

To our knowledge, we provide the first evidence that CSB mutant cells are defective in the repair of MMS-induced DNA damage, as well as DNA products generated after HmdU incorporation. Since both of these agents induce cell killing through the production of BER substrates/intermediates, the colony survival assays herein (Figure 5) provide compelling evidence that CSB mutant cells are defective in the efficient or productive removal of cytotoxic BER products, likely AP sites and/or DNA SSBs, which are common to these two agents. Consistent with this interpretation, prior studies have documented a clear and reproducible hypersensitivity of cells defective in a central BER participant to MMS and HmdU challenges. In particular, APE1, POLβ, XRCC1 and PARP1 mutant cells exhibit extreme sensitivity to both MMS (72–76) and




Figure 5. CSB deficient cells exhibit hypersensitivity to MMS and HmdU. (A) MMS sensitivity. CSB-V, CSB-E646Q, and CSB-WT cells were exposed to MMS at different concentrations (indicated) for 1 h, and subsequently incubated for 10 days in drug-free medium. The surviving fraction of cells (% survival) was calculated by dividing the number of colonies in treated dishes by those counted in the untreated control. (B) HmdU sensitivity. The indicated cell lines were exposed to HmdU at different concentrations (denoted) for 24 h and plated in complete media. The colony formation ability was determined after 10 days. Values shown in both panels represent the mean and standard deviation of six independent data points.

HmdU [(77–79) and unpublished data]. Thus, combined with the reduced base damage removal and the increased sensitivity to oxidizing agents displayed by CSB mutant cells (see Introduction section), evidence is mounting that supports a role for CSB in facilitating a BER-related response.

The lack of an effect of ATP on the CSB-dependent activation of APE1, and the observation that the ATPase mutant protein (E646Q) only partially corrects the MMS sensitivity of CSB mutant cells (Figure 5A), suggests that this SNF2/SWI2 family member can function in BER in a chromatin remodeling-independent manner. This conclusion is in line with previous findings that uncovered normal hydrogen peroxide and γ irradiation sensitivity, as well as 8-oxo-dG incision activity, for CS1AN.S3.G2 cells transfected with the E646Q mutant (26,48). These results imply that CSB modulates BER primarily through protein complex associations (direct or indirect), or via a more subtle (ATP-independent) modification of the DNA structure. Notably, a functional ATPase activity, while necessary for chromatin remodeling, is not required for the induction of topological change in naked DNA (6). Thus, a story is emerging that suggests a distinct role for

CSB in facilitating BER in comparison with NER, where the ATPase function of the protein (and energy) is more critical to the repair of UV-induced DNA damage (13,48). With that said, the partial complementation of E646Q (Figure 5A) does indicate a role for the ATPase function (and presumably the remodeling activity) of CSB in the MMS response as well. Further studies to more thoroughly define the precise biochemical contributions of CSB to the different DNA damage-response pathways (i.e. the contribution of protein–protein interactions, the ATP-dependent remodeling activity, or the ability to manipulate naked DNA) are a priority.

In summary, we report a physical and functional interaction between CSB and APE1, where the CSB-dependent stimulatory effect on APE1 incision activity, particularly on a bubble structure, is quite pronounced relative to other APE1 interactions (80). Moreover, we demonstrate that CSB mutant cells exhibit a profound hypersensitivity to DNA-damaging agents that create BER-type substrates/intermediates, namely MMS and HmdU, and display an impaired RNA synthesis recovery following MMS exposure. These findings provide further evidence for a role of CSB in the processing of BER-specific DNA substrates, potentially in regions of the genome that take on complex structures.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Mr Daniel R. McNeill (NIA) for assistance with a number of the experiments within and Mr Alfred May (NIA) for technical advice on this project. We thank Dr Robert Brosh and Dr. Mohammad Hedayati (NIA) for critical reading of this manuscript. This research and funding to pay the Open Access publication charges for this article were supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute on Aging, NIH.

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

REFERENCES

- Licht,C.L., Stevnsner,T. and Bohr,V.A. (2003) Cockayne syndrome group B cellular and biochemical functions. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, **73**, 1217–1239.
- Lehmann,A.R. (2003) DNA repair-deficient diseases, xeroderma pigmentosum, Cockayne syndrome and trichothiodystrophy. *Biochimie*, **85**, 1101–1111.
- Cleaver,J.E. (2005) Cancer in xeroderma pigmentosum and related disorders of DNA repair. *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, **5**, 564–573.
- Troelstra,C., van Gool,A., de Wit,J., Vermeulen,W., Bootsma,D. and Hoeijmakers,J.H. (1992) ERCC6, a member of a subfamily of putative helicases, is involved in Cockayne's syndrome and preferential repair of active genes. *Cell*, **71**, 939–953.
- Durr,H., Flaus,A., Owen-Hughes,T. and Hopfner,K.P. (2006) Snf2 family ATPases and DExx box helicases: differences and unifying concepts from high-resolution crystal structures. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **34**, 4160–4167.
- Citterio,E., Rademakers,S., van der Horst,G.T., van Gool,A.J., Hoeijmakers,J.H. and Vermeulen,W. (1998) Biochemical and biological characterization of wild-type and ATPase-deficient

- Cockayne syndrome B repair protein. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **273**, 11844–11851.
7. Selby, C.P. and Sancar, A. (1997) Human transcription-repair coupling factor CSB/ERCC6 is a DNA-stimulated ATPase but is not a helicase and does not disrupt the ternary transcription complex of stalled RNA polymerase II. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **272**, 1885–1890.
 8. Christiansen, M., Stevnsner, T., Modin, C., Martensen, P.M., Brosh, R.M. Jr and Bohr, V.A. (2003) Functional consequences of mutations in the conserved SF2 motifs and post-translational phosphorylation of the CSB protein. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **31**, 963–973.
 9. Citterio, E., van den Boom, V., Schnitzler, G., Kanaar, R., Bonte, E., Kingston, R.E., Hoeijmakers, J.H. and Vermeulen, W. (2000) ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling by the Cockayne syndrome B DNA repair-transcription-coupling factor. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, **20**, 7643–7653.
 10. Beerens, N., Hoeijmakers, J.H., Kanaar, R., Vermeulen, W. and Wyman, C. (2005) The CSB protein actively wraps DNA. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **280**, 4722–4729.
 11. Muftuoglu, M., Sharma, S., Thorslund, T., Stevnsner, T., Soerensen, M.M., Brosh, R.M. Jr and Bohr, V.A. (2006) Cockayne syndrome group B protein has novel strand annealing and exchange activities. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **34**, 295–304.
 12. van Hoffen, A., Balajee, A.S., van Zeeland, A.A. and Mullenders, L.H. (2003) Nucleotide excision repair and its interplay with transcription. *Toxicology*, **193**, 79–90.
 13. Brosh, R.M. Jr, Balajee, A.S., Selzer, R.R., Sunesen, M., Proietti, D.S. and Bohr, V.A. (1999) The ATPase domain but not the acidic region of Cockayne syndrome group B gene product is essential for DNA repair. *Mol. Biol. Cell*, **10**, 3583–3594.
 14. van Oosterwijk, M.F., Versteeg, A., Filon, R., van Zeeland, A.A. and Mullenders, L.H. (1996) The sensitivity of Cockayne's syndrome cells to DNA-damaging agents is not due to defective transcription-coupled repair of active genes. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, **16**, 4436–4444.
 15. Sunesen, M., Selzer, R.R., Brosh, R.M. Jr, Balajee, A.S., Stevnsner, T. and Bohr, V.A. (2000) Molecular characterization of an acidic region deletion mutant of Cockayne syndrome group B protein. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **28**, 3151–3159.
 16. Mayne, L.V. and Lehmann, A.R. (1982) Failure of RNA synthesis to recover after UV irradiation: an early defect in cells from individuals with Cockayne's syndrome and xeroderma pigmentosum. *Cancer Res.*, **42**, 1473–1478.
 17. Laine, J.P. and Egly, J.M. (2006) When transcription and repair meet: a complex system. *Trends Genet.*, **22**, 430–436.
 18. Tantin, D., Kansal, A. and Carey, M. (1997) Recruitment of the putative transcription-repair coupling factor CSB/ERCC6 to RNA polymerase II elongation complexes. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, **17**, 6803–6814.
 19. van den Boom, V., Citterio, E., Hoogstraten, D., Zotter, A., Egly, J.M., van Cappellen, W.A., Hoeijmakers, J.H., Houtsmuller, A.B. and Vermeulen, W. (2004) DNA damage stabilizes interaction of CSB with the transcription elongation machinery. *J. Cell. Biol.*, **166**, 27–36.
 20. Laine, J.P. and Egly, J.M. (2006) Initiation of DNA repair mediated by a stalled RNA polymerase IIO. *EMBO J.*, **25**, 387–397.
 21. Fousteri, M., van, H.A., Vargova, H. and Mullenders, L.H. (2005) Repair of DNA lesions in chromosomal DNA impact of chromatin structure and Cockayne syndrome proteins. *DNA Repair*, **4**, 919–925.
 22. Bradsher, J., Auriol, J., Proietti De, S.L., Iben, S., Vonesch, J.L., Grummt, I. and Egly, J.M. (2002) CSB is a component of RNA pol I transcription. *Mol. Cell*, **10**, 819–829.
 23. Balajee, A.S., May, A., Dianov, G.L., Friedberg, E.C. and Bohr, V.A. (1997) Reduced RNA polymerase II transcription in intact and permeabilized Cockayne syndrome group B cells. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **94**, 4306–4311.
 24. Tantin, D. (1998) RNA polymerase II elongation complexes containing the Cockayne syndrome group B protein interact with a molecular complex containing the transcription factor IIH components xeroderma pigmentosum B and p62. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **273**, 27794–27799.
 25. van Gool, A.J., Citterio, E., Rademakers, S., van Os, R., Vermeulen, W., Constantinou, A., Egly, J.M., Bootsma, D. and Hoeijmakers, J.H. (1997) The Cockayne syndrome B protein, involved in transcription-coupled DNA repair, resides in an RNA polymerase II-containing complex. *EMBO J.*, **16**, 5955–5965.
 26. Tuo, J., Muftuoglu, M., Chen, C., Jaruga, P., Selzer, R.R., Brosh, R.M. Jr, Rodriguez, H., Dizdaroglu, M. and Bohr, V.A. (2001) The Cockayne Syndrome group B gene product is involved in general genome base excision repair of 8-hydroxyguanine in DNA. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **276**, 45772–45779.
 27. de Waard, H., de Wit, J., Gorgels, T.G., van den, A.G., Andressoo, J.O., Vermeij, M., van Steeg, H., Hoeijmakers, J.H. and van der Horst, G.T. (2003) Cell type-specific hypersensitivity to oxidative damage in CSB and XPA mice. *DNA Repair*, **2**, 13–25.
 28. de Waard, H., de Wit, J., Andressoo, J.O., van Oostrom, C.T., Riis, B., Weimann, A., Poulsen, H.E., van Steeg, H., Hoeijmakers, J.H. et al. (2004) Different effects of CSA and CSB deficiency on sensitivity to oxidative DNA damage. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, **24**, 7941–7948.
 29. Osterod, M., Larsen, E., Le Page, F., Hengstler, J.G., van der Horst, G.T., Boiteux, S., Klungland, A. and Epe, B. (2002) A global DNA repair mechanism involving the Cockayne syndrome B (CSB) gene product can prevent the in vivo accumulation of endogenous oxidative DNA base damage. *Oncogene*, **21**, 8232–8239.
 30. Sunesen, M., Stevnsner, T., Brosh, R.M. Jr, Dianov, G.L. and Bohr, V.A. (2002) Global genome repair of 8-oxoG in hamster cells requires a functional CSB gene product. *Oncogene*, **21**, 3571–3578.
 31. Stevnsner, T., Nyaga, S., Souza-Pinto, N.C., van der Horst, G.T., Gorgels, T.G., Hogue, B.A., Thorslund, T. and Bohr, V.A. (2002) Mitochondrial repair of 8-oxoguanine is deficient in Cockayne syndrome group B. *Oncogene*, **21**, 8675–8682.
 32. Trapp, C., Reite, K., Klungland, A. and Epe, B. Deficiency of the Cockayne syndrome B (CSB) gene aggravates the genomic instability caused by endogenous oxidative DNA base damage in mice. *Oncogene*, Epub ahead of print.
 33. Tuo, J., Jaruga, P., Rodriguez, H., Bohr, V.A. and Dizdaroglu, M. (2003) Primary fibroblasts of Cockayne syndrome patients are defective in cellular repair of 8-hydroxyguanine and 8-hydroxyadenine resulting from oxidative stress. *FASEB J.*, **17**, 668–674.
 34. Flohr, C., Burkle, A., Radicella, J.P. and Epe, B. (2003) Poly(ADP-ribosylation) accelerates DNA repair in a pathway dependent on Cockayne syndrome B protein. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **31**, 5332–5337.
 35. Thorslund, T., von Kobbe, C., Harrigan, J.A., Indig, F.E., Christiansen, M., Stevnsner, T. and Bohr, V.A. (2005) Cooperation of the Cockayne syndrome group B protein and poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase I in the response to oxidative stress. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, **25**, 7625–7636.
 36. Spivak, G. and Hanawalt, P.C. (2006) Host cell reactivation of plasmids containing oxidative DNA lesions is defective in Cockayne syndrome but normal in UV-sensitive syndrome fibroblasts. *DNA Repair*, **5**, 13–22.
 37. Check, E. (2005) Retracted papers damage work on DNA repair. *Nature*, **435**, 1015.
 38. Andressoo, J.O. and Hoeijmakers, J.H. (2005) Transcription-coupled repair and premature ageing. *Mutat. Res.*, **577**, 179–194.
 39. Wilson, D.M. III and Barsky, D. (2001) The major human abasic endonuclease: formation, consequences and repair of abasic lesions in DNA. *Mutat. Res.*, **485**, 283–307.
 40. Hu, J., Imam, S.Z., Hashiguchi, K., de Souza-Pinto, N.C. and Bohr, V.A. (2005) Phosphorylation of human oxoguanine DNA glycosylase (alpha-OGG1) modulates its function. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **33**, 3271–3282.
 41. Muftuoglu, M., Wong, H.K., Imam, S.Z., Wilson, D.M. III, Bohr, V.A. and Opreko, P.L. (2006) Telomere Repeat Binding Factor 2 Interacts with Base Excision Repair Proteins and Stimulates DNA Synthesis by DNA Polymerase {beta}. *Cancer Res.*, **66**, 113–124.
 42. Erzberger, J.P., Barsky, D., Scharer, O.D., Colvin, M.E. and Wilson, D.M. III. (1998) Elements in abasic site recognition by the major human and Escherichia coli apurinic/apyrimidinic endonucleases. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **26**, 2771–2778.
 43. Cheng, W.H., von, K.C., Opreko, P.L., Fields, K.M., Ren, J., Kufe, D. and Bohr, V.A. (2003) Werner syndrome protein phosphorylation by abl tyrosine kinase regulates its activity and distribution. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, **23**, 6385–6395.

44. Wilson,D.M.III. (2005) Apel abasic endonuclease activity is regulated by magnesium and potassium concentrations and is robust on alternative DNA structures. *J. Mol. Biol.*, **345**, 1003–1014.
45. McNeill,D.R., Narayana,A., Wong,H.K. and Wilson,D.M.III. (2004) Inhibition of Apel nuclease activity by lead, iron, and cadmium. *Environ. Health Perspect.*, **112**, 799–804.
46. Wong,H.K., Kim,D., Hogue,B.A., McNeill,D.R. and Wilson,D.M.III. (2005) DNA damage levels and biochemical repair capacities associated with XRCC1 deficiency. *Biochemistry*, **44**, 14335–14343.
47. Mayne,L.V., Priestley,A., James,M.R. and Burke,J.F. (1986) Efficient immortalization and morphological transformation of human fibroblasts by transfection with SV40 DNA linked to a dominant marker. *Exp. Cell Res.*, **162**, 530–538.
48. Selzer,R.R., Nyaga,S., Tuo,J., May,A., Muftuoglu,M., Christiansen,M., Citterio,E., Brosh,R.M. Jr and Bohr,V.A. (2002) Differential requirement for the ATPase domain of the Cockayne syndrome group B gene in the processing of UV-induced DNA damage and 8-oxoguanine lesions in human cells. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **30**, 782–793.
49. Wong,H.K. and Wilson,D.M.III. (2005) XRCC1 and DNA polymerase beta interaction contributes to cellular alkylating-agent resistance and single-strand break repair. *J. Cell. Biochem.*, **95**, 794–804.
50. Wilson,D.M.III, Takeshita,M., Grollman,A.P. and Demple,B. (1995) Incision activity of human apurinic endonuclease (Ape) at abasic site analogs in DNA. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **270**, 16002–16007.
51. Mol,C.D., Hosfield,D.J. and Tainer,J.A. (2000) Abasic site recognition by two apurinic/aprimidinic endonuclease families in DNA base excision repair: the 3' ends justify the means. *Mutat. Res.*, **460**, 211–229.
52. Mol,C.D., Izumi,T., Mitra,S. and Tainer,J.A. (2000) DNA-bound structures and mutants reveal abasic DNA binding by APE1 and DNA repair coordination. *Nature*, **403**, 451–456.
53. Wilson,D.M.III, Takeshita,M. and Demple,B. (1997) Abasic site binding by the human apurinic endonuclease, Ape, and determination of the DNA contact sites. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **25**, 933–939.
54. Nakamura,J., Walker,V.E., Upton,P.B., Chiang,S.Y., Kow,Y.W. and Swenberg,J.A. (1998) Highly sensitive apurinic/aprimidinic site assay can detect spontaneous and chemically induced depurination under physiological conditions. *Cancer Res.*, **58**, 222–225.
55. Wyatt,M.D. and Pittman,D.L. (2006) Methylating agents and DNA repair responses: Methylated bases and sources of strand breaks. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.*, **19**, 1580–1594.
56. Boorstein,R.J., Cummings,A.Jr, Marenstein,D.R., Chan,M.K., Ma,Y., Neubert,T.A., Brown,S.M. and Teebor,G.W. (2001) Definitive identification of mammalian 5-hydroxymethyluracil DNA N-glycosylase activity as SMUG1. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **276**, 41991–41997.
57. Boorstein,R.J., Chiu,L.N. and Teebor,G.W. (1992) A mammalian cell line deficient in activity of the DNA repair enzyme 5-hydroxymethyluracil-DNA glycosylase is resistant to the toxic effects of the thymidine analog 5-hydroxymethyl-2'-deoxyuridine. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, **12**, 5536–5540.
58. Foustari,M., Vermeulen,W., van Zeeland,A.A. and Mullenders,L.H. (2006) Cockayne syndrome A and B proteins differentially regulate recruitment of chromatin remodeling and repair factors to stalled RNA polymerase II in vivo. *Mol. Cell*, **23**, 471–482.
59. Christiansen,M., Thorslund,T., Jochimsen,B., Bohr,V.A. and Stevnsner,T. (2005) The Cockayne syndrome group B protein is a functional dimer. *FEBS J.*, **272**, 4306–4314.
60. Demple,B. and DeMott,M.S. (2002) Dynamics and diversions in base excision DNA repair of oxidized abasic lesions. *Oncogene*, **21**, 8926–8934.
61. Gros,L., Ishchenko,A.A., Ide,H., Elder,R.H. and Saparbaev,M.K. (2004) The major human AP endonuclease (Ape1) is involved in the nucleotide incision repair pathway. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **32**, 73–81.
62. Verly,W.G., Tuy,M., Brakier,L. and James,M. (1970) Interaction between T7 coliphage denatured DNA and Sarcina lutea RNA. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **217**, 192–195.
63. Boule-Charest,L. and Mamet-Bratley,M.D. (1972) Initiation by RNA polymerase on alkylated T7 DNA. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **277**, 276–279.
64. Flamee,P.A. and Verly,W.G. (1985) Action of intact AP (apurinic/aprimidinic) sites and AP sites associated with breaks on the transcription of T7 coliphage DNA by Escherichia coli RNA polymerase. *Biochem. J.*, **229**, 173–181.
65. Zhou,W. and Doetsch,P.W. (1993) Effects of abasic sites and DNA single-strand breaks on prokaryotic RNA polymerases. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **90**, 6601–6605.
66. Sanchez,G., Racine,J.F. and Mamet-Bratley,M.D. (1994) Effect of abasic sites on bacteriophage T7 protein synthesis. *Mutat. Res.*, **325**, 39–45.
67. Sanchez,G. and Mamet-Bratley,M.D. (1994) Transcription by T7 RNA polymerase of DNA containing abasic sites. *Environ. Mol. Mutagen.*, **23**, 32–36.
68. Wang,Y., Sheppard,T.L., Tornaletti,S., Maeda,L.S. and Hanawalt,P.C. (2006) Transcriptional inhibition by an oxidized abasic site in DNA. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.*, **19**, 234–241.
69. Sarker,A.H., Tsutakawa,S.E., Kostek,S., Ng,C., Shin,D.S., Peris,M., Campeau,E., Tainer,J.A., Nogales,E. et al. (2005) Recognition of RNA polymerase II and transcription bubbles by XPG, CSB, and TFIIH: insights for transcription-coupled repair and Cockayne Syndrome. *Mol. Cell*, **20**, 187–198.
70. Kathe,S.D., Shen,G.P. and Wallace,S.S. (2004) Single-stranded breaks in DNA but not oxidative DNA base damages block transcriptional elongation by RNA polymerase II in HeLa cell nuclear extracts. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **279**, 18511–18520.
71. Plosky,B., Samson,L., Engelward,B.P., Gold,B., Schlaen,B., Millas,T., Magnotti,M., Schor,J. and Scicchitano,D.A. (2002) Base excision repair and nucleotide excision repair contribute to the removal of N-methylpurines from active genes. *DNA Repair*, **1**, 683–696.
72. Luo,M. and Kelley,M.R. (2004) Inhibition of the human apurinic/aprimidinic endonuclease (APE1) repair activity and sensitization of breast cancer cells to DNA alkylating agents with lucanthone. *Anticancer Res.*, **24**, 2127–2134.
73. Sobol,R.W., Horton,J.K., Kuhn,R., Gu,H., Singhal,R.K., Prasad,R., Rajewsky,K. and Wilson,S.H. (1996) Requirement of mammalian DNA polymerase-beta in base-excision repair. *Nature*, **379**, 183–186.
74. Thompson,L.H., Brookman,K.W., Jones,N.J., Allen,S.A. and Carrano,A.V. (1990) Molecular cloning of the human XRCC1 gene, which corrects defective DNA strand break repair and sister chromatid exchange. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, **10**, 6160–6171.
75. Ding,R. and Smulson,M. (1994) Depletion of nuclear poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase by antisense RNA expression: influences on genomic stability, chromatin organization, and carcinogen cytotoxicity. *Cancer Res.*, **54**, 4627–4634.
76. Trucco,C., Oliver,F.J., de,M.G. and Menissier-de,M.J. (1998) DNA repair defect in poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase-deficient cell lines. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **26**, 2644–2649.
77. Madhusudan,S., Smart,F., Shrimpton,P., Parsons,J.L., Gardiner,L., Houlbrook,S., Talbot,D.C., Hammonds,T., Freemont,P.A. et al. (2005) Isolation of a small molecule inhibitor of DNA base excision repair. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **33**, 4711–4724.
78. Horton,J.K., Joyce-Gray,D.F., Pachkowski,B.F., Swenberg,J.A. and Wilson,S.H. (2003) Hypersensitivity of DNA polymerase beta null mouse fibroblasts reflects accumulation of cytotoxic repair intermediates from site-specific alkyl DNA lesions. *DNA Repair*, **2**, 27–48.
79. Horton,J.K., Stefanick,D.F., Naron,J.M., Kedar,P.S. and Wilson,S.H. (2005) Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase activity prevents signaling pathways for cell cycle arrest after DNA methylating agent exposure. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **280**, 15773–15785.
80. Fan,J. and Wilson,D.M.III. (2005) Protein-protein interactions and posttranslational modifications in mammalian base excision repair. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.*, **38**, 1121–1138.
81. Muftuoglu,M., Selzer,R., Tuo,J., Brosh,R.M.Jr and Bohr,V.A. (2002) Phenotypic consequences of mutations in the conserved motifs of the putative helicase domain of the human Cockayne syndrome group B gene. *Gene*, **283**, 27–40.