Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

A MOLECULAR BEAM STUDY OF MULTIPHOTON DISSOCIATION OF SF,

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3rh5w71h>

Author

Coggiola, M.J.

Publication Date

1976-09-01

A MOLECULAR BEAM STUDY OF MULTIPHOTON
DISSOCIATION OF SF_6

M. J. Coggiola, P. A. Schulz, Y. T. Lee, and
Y. R. Shen

September 1976

Prepared for the U. S. Energy Research and
Development Administration under Contract W-7405-ENG-48

For Reference

Not to be taken from this room

RECEIVED
JAN 21 1977
LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

LBL-5487

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Submitted to Physical Review Letters

LBL-5487

A MOLECULAR BEAM STUDY OF MULTIPHOTON
DISSOCIATION OF SF₆M. J. COGGIOLA^{*}, P. A. SCHULZ[†], Y. T. LEE^{*}, AND Y. R. SHEN[†]Materials and Molecular Research Division
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

SEPTEMBER 1976

ABSTRACT

Crossed molecular and CO₂ laser beams were used to study collisionless multiphoton dissociation of SF₆. Dissociation products were found to be SF₅ and F. Angular and velocity distributions of the SF₅ fragment were measured. The results indicate that the average excess energy absorbed by an SF₆ molecule beyond the dissociation limit was definitely less than 8000 cm⁻¹ and the activation energy for dissociation was less than 70 cm⁻¹.

^{*}Also associated with Chemistry Department, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720.

[†]Also associated with Physics Department, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720.

The discovery of multiphoton dissociation of polyatomic molecules in an intense laser field is one of the most exciting developments in recent years.^{1,2} The potential applicability of this method in promoting selective chemical reactions for chemical synthesis, in separation of isotopes, and in many other branches of chemistry has led to an intensive effort towards an understanding of the dissociation process. In spite of numerous detailed experimental studies¹⁻³ and the recently improved theoretical understanding^{1,4} of the nature of multiphoton absorption, many important questions have remained unanswered. For example, in the multiphoton dissociation of SF_6 molecules, the fragments have not yet been positively identified although it was believed that the major products could be either $\text{SF}_5 + \text{F}$ or $\text{SF}_4 + \text{F}_2$ or others depending on the laser intensity. It is also not sure how many photons a single molecule can absorb beyond the dissociation limit, and how the excess energy is distributed among the fragments. Furthermore, little is known about the dynamics of multiphoton molecular dissociation, the existence of an activation barrier in the exit channel beyond the dissociation limit and the effect of molecular collisions. These questions and many others can however be answered by studying multiphoton dissociation with a molecular beam machine.⁵ We have recently carried out such an experimental study on SF_6 with crossed laser and molecular beams. In this communication, we report some preliminary results of our new venture, providing identification of the primary fragments along with their angular and velocity distributions. Information about absorbed excess energy in SF_6 and activation energy beyond the dissociation limit was deduced.

The molecular beam apparatus used is shown schematically in Figure 1. The molecular beam was formed by expansion of pure SF_6 at ~ 75 torr stagnation pressure from a 0.1 mm diameter quartz nozzle. Three stages of differential pumping were used along with two conical skimmers and a final collimating aperture to produce a well defined beam of ~ 2 mm in diameter. The molecular beam had a very sharply delineated angular distribution of 1.2° full width at half maxima (FWHM). The velocity distribution of the beam could be characterized by a Mach number of ~ 6 , a peak velocity of 3.6×10^4 cm/sec, and a FWHM of 25% of the peak velocity. The density of molecules in the beam was $\sim 3 \times 10^{11}/\text{cm}^3$. A Tachisto TAC II grating tuned CO_2 TEA laser (~ 1.0 J/pulse) was used in our experiments as the excitation source. The laser beam was admitted into the vacuum chamber via a ZnSe lense with a 25.4 cm focal length. It crossed the molecular beam near the focal region with a focal diameter of 0.35 mm. The fragments produced by multiphoton dissociation of SF_6 at the small interaction region were detected by a triply differentially pumped quadrupole mass spectrometer utilizing electron bombardment ionization and ion counting. The angular position of the mass spectrometer around the beam intersection point could be varied so that the angular distribution of the fragments could be measured. The mass filter was always adjusted to provide better than unit mass resolution. External triggering at 0.5 Hz was used to fire the laser and to enable a dual channel scaler for recording counts of fragments from the mass spectrometer. Separate adjustments of delay and gate times were made to ensure that one scaler channel recorded only background (i.e. with the laser pulse off) while the other recorded both back-

ground and signal. Typically, 50 - 100 laser shots were used to measure the fragments produced at each laboratory angle θ (see Fig. 1). The angular resolution of the measurements was $\sim 0.5^\circ$. Velocity distributions of the SF_6 beam and the fragments were measured with a 256 channel scaler (4 μsec /channel width).

Our observation of dissociation products from the beam interaction region gives a direct confirmation of the suggestion that multiphoton molecular dissociation is a uni-molecular process.⁴⁻⁶ Figure 2a shows the measured angular distributions of four species found in the ionization chamber of the mass spectrometer, SF_5^+ (m/e 127), SF_4^+ (m/e 108), SF_3^+ (m/e 89), and F^+ (m/e 19). No F_2^+ species was detectable. These data represent the average of several angular scans of the mass spectrometer. The P(18) lines of the CO_2 laser was used in the experiment with a peak power density of $\sim 5 \times 10^9 \text{ w/cm}^2$ in the beam interaction region. Throughout the angular range, the signal of SF_5^+ was always the most intense. It should be noted that this signal was always correlated with the laser pulse. To within experimental error, the angular distributions of the four fragments shown are the same. Because of the difference in kinematic relations, the angular distribution of a fragment in molecular dissociation is a very sensitive function of its mass and recoil velocity, and thus the invariance of the angular distributions shown in Fig. 2a for different species clearly implies that they are all from the same parent molecule, SF_5 . This result then unambiguously identifies the primary dissociation products as SF_5 and F .

The translational energy released in the dissociation of SF_6 can be

found easily from the angular distribution by using conservation of energy and linear momentum. Because of the mass difference, the F fragment should have a recoil velocity 6.7 times larger than the corresponding SF_5 fragment and hence a much broader angular distribution. Only a negligible fraction of the F^+ signal detected came from the F fragment directly. Fig. 2b shows a kinematic diagram of the dissociation process. The concentric circles centered on the tip of the SF_6 velocity vector represent the maximum recoil velocity in the center-of-mass coordinates that an SF_5 fragment can have for a given amount of released translational energy. Since the majority of SF_5 fragments were detected within 20° of the beam, the average energy released into translation should be less than 1.5 Kcal/mole. Confirmation of this was obtained by measuring the velocity distribution of the SF_5 fragments which at $\theta = 3^\circ$, has a peak velocity at 3.6×10^4 cm/sec and a FWHM of 43% of the peak velocity compared with 25% for the SF_6 beam. It is as yet not certain to what extent the excess absorbed energy is redistributed among the 15 vibrational degrees of freedom of SF_6 prior to its dissociation. However, even assuming complete randomization, the average excess energy absorbed by SF_6 would be less than 23 Kcal/mole (8000 cm^{-1} molecule), or less than 9 infrared photons per molecule.

Due to the presence of the strong SF_6 beam, it was not possible to measure dissociation fragments produced within an angle of 2.5° from the beam axis. However, it appears that the angular distribution of the SF_5 fragment peaks at or near 0° . Kinematically, such a result would indicate that no substantial activation barrier beyond the dissociation limit (< 0.2 Kcal/mole or 70 cm per molecule) exists for the dissociation of

SF_6 into $\text{SF}_5 + \text{F}$.

Finally, a study was made of the dependence of the SF_5 fragment signal as a function of SF_6 beam stagnation pressure. Over the range from 50 to 600 torr, no increase in intensity was seen beyond that due to the increased beam flux. If the measured signal had been due to the breakup of SF_6 dimers (or longer clusters) formed during the gas expansion, a noticeable enhancement of these species should have resulted from the increased stagnation pressure. That no such increase could be measured indicates that dissociation of van der Waals type complexes of SF_6 is not the origin of the fragment signal.

We are currently in the process of making detailed measurements and analysis on how the laser intensity, power, polarization, and wavelength may affect the dynamics of multiphoton dissociation of SF_6 . We also plan to use the scheme to study multiphoton dissociation with the SF_6 beam at different vibrational and rotational temperatures. The results should yield detailed information about dissociation dynamics, and internal energy distribution and energy transfer of an excited molecule before dissociation, and hence a better understanding of the physical mechanism of multiphoton dissociation.

This work was done with support from the U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration.

REFERENCES

1. See, for example, papers on the subject presented at the Laser Chemistry Conference, Steamboat Springs, Colorado, (February, 1976) and at the Nordfjord Conference on Tunable Lasers and Applications (June, 1976), and references therein.
2. See, for example, review articles by V. S. Letokhov and C. B. Moore, Sov. J. Quantum Electronics 3, 259 (1976) [English translation: Kvantovaya Elektronika 3, 485 (1976)]; J. L. Lyman and S. D. Rockwood, Proc. Conference on Lasers and Electro-Optics, Anaheim, Calif. (Nov., 1975) (to be published); and references therein.
3. R. V. Ambartzumian, V. S. Letokhov, E. A. Ryabov, and N. V. Chekalin, JETP Lett. 20, 273 (1974); J. L. Lyman, R. J. Jensen, J. Rink, C. P. Robinson, and S. D. Rockwood, Appl. Phys. Lett. 27, 87 (1975); J. L. Lyman and S. D. Rockwood, J. Appl. Phys. 47, 595 (1975); R. V. Ambartzumian, Yu. A. Gorokhov, V. S. Letokhov, and G. N. Makarov, JETP Lett. 21, 171 (1975); 22, 43 (1975); R. V. Ambartzumian, Yu. A. Gorokhov, V. S. Letokhov, and A. A. Puretskii, JETP Lett. 22, 177 (1975); S. M. Freund and J. J. Ritter, Chem. Phys. Lett. 32, 255 (1975); G. Hancock, J. D. Cambell, and K. H. Welge, Optics Comm. 16, 177 (1976).
4. N. Bloembergen, Optics Comm. 15, 416 (1975); D. M. Larsen and N. Bloembergen, Optics Comm. 17, 254 (1976); R. V. Ambartzumian, Yu. A. Gorokhov, V. S. Letokhov, G. N. Makarov, and A. A. Puretskii, ZhETF Pis. Red. 23, 26 (1976); S. Mukamel and J. Jortner, Chem. Phys. Lett. 40, 150 (1976); C. D. Cantrell and H. W. Galbraith (to be published);

- V. S. Letokhov and A. Makarov (to be published).
5. K. L. Kompa and coworkers have recently studied multiphoton dissociation with crossed molecular and laser beams. They have found a 30% depletion of SF_6 in the beam by the laser field. However, limited by their apparatus, they have not been able to carry out the type of measurements reported in the present paper. See K. L. Kompa, Proc. Laser Chemistry Conference, Steamboat Springs, Colorado (1976) (to be published).
6. N. K. Isenor and M. C. Richardson, Appl. Phys. Lett. 18, 225 (1971); N. K. Isenor, V. Merchant, R. S. Hallsworth, and M. C. Richardson, Can. J. Phys. 51, 281 (1973); E. Yablonovitch (to be published).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

- Fig. 1. Schematic of the apparatus used for the measurement of angular distribution of the fragments from multiphoton dissociation of SF_6 . The molecular beam is triply differentially pumped, allowing a low pressure (10^{-7} torr) to be maintained in the fragmentation region.
- Fig. 2. (a) Angular distribution of the fragment products
(b) Kinematic diagram for fragments of SF_6 . The circles show the total amount of translational energy released (in kcal), when the SF_5 is found in the phase space which lies on the circle.

0 0 0 0 4 6 0 4 4 0

XBL 768-10162

This report was done with support from the United States Energy Research and Development Administration. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the United States Energy Research and Development Administration.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720