

UCSF

UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Language of violence: Do words matter more than we think?

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3s79q5tz>

Journal

Trauma Surgery & Acute Care Open, 7(1)

ISSN

2397-5776

Authors

Tatebe, Leah C

Thomas, Arielle

Regan, Sheila

et al.

Publication Date

2022-10-01

DOI

10.1136/tsaco-2022-000973

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

Language of violence: Do words matter more than we think?

Leah C Tatebe ¹, Arielle Thomas,² Sheila Regan,³ Levon Stone Sr,³ Rochelle Dicker⁴

¹Department of Surgery,
Northwestern University,
Chicago, Illinois, USA

²Department of Surgery,
Medical College of Wisconsin,
Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA

³Acclivus, Chicago, Illinois, USA

⁴Department of Surgery,
University of California Los
Angeles, Los Angeles, California,
USA

Correspondence to

Dr Leah C Tatebe; leah.tatebe@northwestern.edu

Poster presentation at the 2022 National Research Conference on Firearm Injury Prevention on December 1, 2022, in Washington DC.

Received 2 June 2022

Accepted 29 September 2022

SUMMARY

Firearm violence is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality among young adults. Identification of intervention targets is crucial to developing and implementing effective prevention efforts. Hospital Violence Intervention Programs (HVIPs) have used a multiprong social care approach to mediate the cycle of interpersonal violence. One struggle continually encountered is how to change the conversation around the future. Speech patterns have been associated with health outcomes and overall behavior modification. During violence prevention efforts, young victims of violence say things such as 'I'm living on borrowed time' and 'why should I worry about getting an education when I'll likely die soon anyway?' Such speech patterns may contribute to the cycle of violence and increase the likelihood of reinjury. Presented is a narrative review of the impact language has on health outcomes and how psychotherapy may be able to change thought patterns, alter language structure, and ultimately reduce risk of reinjury.

The biopsychosocial model of health posits that a person's health is dictated by a combination of biological, psychological, and social factors. By understanding that language exists in the personal context, it can serve as both an indicator and a tool for targeted interventions. Cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) works by retraining thought and speech patterns to affect change in emotion, physiology, and behavior. It is proposed here that CBT could be used in the HVIPs' multidisciplinary case management model by involving trained psychotherapists. Language is an important indicator of a patient's psychological state and approach to life-changing decisions. As such, language alteration through CBT could potentially be used as a novel method of injury prevention. This concept has not before been explored in this setting and may be an effective supplement to HVIPs' success.

INTRODUCTION

Traumatic injury is more than breaking the human body. It can shatter the human mind of not only the patient, but also the healer. It can also damage communities and shape entire cultures. The authors posit that the chronic exposure to violence and the systemic health inequities resulting from structural violence have even changed the very foundation of communication: language. Presented is a narrative review that describes current violence prevention strategies, examines the impact that language can have on health outcomes, and outlines a novel approach to mitigating risky behavior by targeting language as a point of intervention.

The toll of interpersonal violence

Interpersonal violence is an umbrella term that encompasses assault, violent crime, and sexual violence. In 2019, homicide was the third leading cause of death and loss of years of productive life in individuals aged 15–34 years, with more than 1.5 million treated in emergency departments for assault-related injuries.¹ Individual risk factors that have been associated with violence are a lack of social and emotional skills, poor conflict resolution strategies, hopelessness, community/familial aggregate stress and trauma, and lack of self-regulation and self-love.² Exposure to violence especially at a young age is linked to decreased academic performance, increased sleep disturbances, poor general and cardiovascular health, increased incidence of inflammatory-related diseases, and increased incidence of substance use/abuse, illegal drug use, and future violent behavior.³ When looking at firearm-specific injury, individuals are more likely to have daily pain, post-traumatic stress disorder, and worse physical and mental quality of life when compared with similarly injured motor vehicle crash victims.⁴ Cumulative exposure to trauma and other negative life experiences can add to psychological burden, create toxic stress, and result in more severe depressive and post-traumatic stress symptoms.⁵ Efficient prevention efforts seek to identify those most at risk of injury and intervene prior to the injury.

Current violence prevention strategies

Violence Intervention Programs (VIPs) provide critical services by attempting to break the cycle of violence experienced by interpersonal injury survivors. These programs enroll victims of violence as clients through organizations based either in the hospital or community, which can be described as hospital-based or hospital-linked, respectively. Notable flagship hospital-based programs include the Wraparound Program in San Francisco, Healing Hurt People in Philadelphia and Chicago, and Project Ujima in Milwaukee. Highly impactful hospital-linked programs include Acclivus (formerly CeaseFire/CureViolence) in Chicago and the 414Life Program in Milwaukee. Programs can also be a hybrid of both or completely community based. For the sake of inclusivity, a general term of Hospital VIPs (HVIPs) will be used acknowledging that involving the lived-experience experts matters more than where they are employed. At the hospital level, the basic model is a multiprong social care approach incorporating many disciplines including case management, social work, nursing staff, physicians, skilled therapists, and community-based

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Tatebe LC, Thomas A, Regan S, et al. *Trauma Surg Acute Care Open* 2022;**7**:e000973.




Figure 1 Cognitive-behavioral therapy may be able to increase levels of futured speech and mitigate risk-taking behaviors thus reducing recidivism.

violence intervention groups who all work to provide safe discharge planning, social services, and trauma-informed care. Upon hospital discharge, these violence prevention professionals provide ongoing case management that is predicated on cultural humility and the capacity to assist the client in addressing the social determinants of health and mental healthcare. Violence prevention professionals are the backbone of many social care trauma programs that not only shepherd people to critical resources that can address the root causes of violence but offer intangibles. This includes the ability to help informally shape dialogue with clients to focus on not only the present state of recovery, but also on hope for the future.

From the mouths of trauma patients

Healthcare providers are trained to pay keen attention to the verbal and non-verbal cues of patients as potential indicators of the environment outside of the examination room that may critically inform their health condition. As an example, if a partner cuts off the patient to give an explanation of an injury and will not leave the bedside, this could be a sign of intimate partner violence.⁶ If a patient talks about being a burden to others and feeling trapped, this could indicate suicidal ideation.⁷ In urban trauma centers with high rates of interpersonal violence, a repeating narrative is heard from patients: one of hopelessness and living on borrowed time. Patients say, “I should have been dead by now anyway, so why does it matter what I do?” and “I could be shot dead any second. Now is the only thing that matters.” Psychological trauma often predisposes an individual to physical trauma and vice versa. Language can often be an indicator to the inner mindset of our patients. Traumatologists aim to provide comprehensive equitable care to trauma patients by integrating mental health and social care into trauma care.⁸ Recognizing and addressing the widespread impact of the symptoms of trauma in patients is the first step to achieving this aim.

Language can both dictate and expose individual motivations, understanding, and belief in oneself

Self-perception and interaction with others are intimately and iteratively tied to verbal language. From the pronouns used to the formality of speech patterns, who a person is and who they wish to be inform and color their language. Further, the structure of language has been shown to affect behavior patterns such as saving money, smoking tobacco, and having risky sexual practices.⁹

The association between language and its insight into an individual’s psychological state has been well described in the

literature.^{10–11} Emotional expression can be related to mental and physical health and can help regulate emotional and cognitive processing.¹² It can also be a marker of specific diagnoses. For example, individuals with depression have been found to use more negative emotion and first-person singular words than individuals who are not depressed.¹³ Absolutist word use was found to be associated with anxiety, depression, and suicidal ideation.¹⁴ The use of temporal focuses has also been found to moderate health outcomes. Patients with depression were found to attenuate the differences between past and present in personal narratives and demonstrate more rumination on past experiences rather than present or future speech patterns.¹⁵ Furthermore, inability to generate positive future thinking was associated with depression and suicidal thoughts¹⁶ and using words describing negative affect was found to be associated with using more past tense words.¹⁷ Beyond psychological outcomes, this association between language and health has also been found to hold true in certain biologic markers. In a group of women with HIV, usage of the present or future tense in an autobiographical narrative was associated with having an undetectable viral load and having CD4+ cells above 350.¹⁷ O’Donovan *et al* found that increased tendency toward pessimism is associated with shorter telomeres and higher levels of interleukin-6.¹⁸

These studies reveal that language can be an important indicator of patients’ psychological state. This understanding can be potentially useful in improving the health of individuals harmed by trauma, specifically interpersonal violence. Trauma patients have some of the high rates of undiagnosed and untreated mental illness.¹⁹ However, the language of the injured has only begun to be explored. Culyba *et al* showed that socially disadvantaged black youth who answered a future-oriented survey negatively were more likely to have instigated a weapon-related assault in the previous 9 months.²⁰ These findings were supported by a prior study that also showed an inverse correlation between future orientation and violent behavior in struggling high school students.²¹ Finally, a study of African American adolescents exposed to community violence showed a gender difference in how future orientation related to delinquent and aggressive behaviors.²² The discussions of these studies speculate that interventions seeking to improve future orientation for at-risk individuals may be effective prevention strategies for interpersonal violence. Given the novelty of this approach, it is clear that the relationship between futured thinking and interpersonal violence is far from defined.

Proposed new approach to injury prevention: change the language to inspire future orientation through cognitive-behavioral therapy

One cannot describe or seek to alter language without acknowledging and addressing the systemic, community, and individual factors that built a person’s foundational language structure. It is now thought that the social determinants of health and environment combined affect 50% of an individual’s health state.²³ These economic and sociodemographic factors are modifiable and can improve or detract from one’s health state. The socio-ecological model of health is typically used to explain how structural violence and the social determinants of health are associated with violence. However, the authors propose a similar model to understand how patient language interacts with the cycle of violence that affects the recovery process of many violently injured patients. The biopsychosocial model of health posits that a person’s health is dictated by a combination of biological, psychological, and social factors. Because language exists in the

personal context, it can serve as both an indicator and a tool for targeted interventions. Teasdale *et al* showed that an intervention for certain high-risk individuals with depression that focused on detaching from specific automatic dysfunctional cognitive (thinking/feeling) routines can prevent relapse.²⁴ Among patients receiving treatment for substance abuse, the overall use of negative emotion words decreased and positive emotion word use increased during a period of 9 months.²⁵ Cognitive processing is an important way of coping with stress and creating meaning after trauma, and providing care that targets an individual's language usage could begin to change beliefs.

Trauma prevention is thought to be about changing the conversation. The authors posit that the need is further to change the *language* of the conversation. Where Chen⁹ found that future language leads to an increase in risky behavior, it is hypothesized that patients may see no future to fear the consequences of their risk. How can belief in the future be inspired in those who are convinced it does not exist? This is especially difficult now. Healthcare is subsisting under a patchwork of bare-bone mental health services, and the pandemic has exacerbated the effects of structural violence.

Cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) is a highly validated psychotherapy technique founded on the principle that an individual's perception of events drives their emotions and behaviors. Prescriptive and iterative rewiring of thought patterns can chip away at destructive core beliefs, dysfunctional assumptions, and negative automatic thoughts.²⁶ CBT has been extensively shown to alter an individual's emotional state, physical symptoms, and behaviors. Although widely used in those with chronic diseases (cancer, immunocompromised state, obesity, etc) to reduce depression, anxiety, pain, and select for healthy behaviors,^{27–29} CBT has not yet been used as a violence prevention technique. The presented data suggest that CBT may be effective in promoting future-oriented language, thus reducing risk-taking behaviors and reinjury rates. CBT may be particularly effective in helping individuals process the aforementioned structural and systemic disadvantages they face (figure 1).

Further investigations by the authors are planned to compare adding future-oriented CBT with standard HVIP interventions and follow change in the degree of future expressed in patients' speech patterns and objective measures of the overall health state (risk-taking behaviors, employment, financial security, etc). Correspondingly, the ability for someone to alter their language patterns may signal a receptiveness to other violence intervention strategies. The authors call for other injury prevention researchers to consider incorporating and evaluating future-oriented interventions into their strategies. This approach could be effective at both primary and secondary injury prevention, and based on the research cited here, may also improve the physical and mental outcomes for patients. It is critical to note, however, that inspiring future orientation is only a small part of the solution. A better future *must* be tangible and accessible. Funding pragmatic solutions to address structural barriers to such a future is essential.

CONCLUSION

Each patient is an opportunity. Traumatologists can either patch *holes* and send patients on their way, or providers can treat the *hole* and send patients into a better future. Now is the time to seek the next evolution in injury prevention—one where providers and patients work together to realize the future and eliminate the very language of violence.

Twitter Leah C Tatebe @LeahTatebe

Contributors LCT developed the primary design. All authors contributed to honing the concepts. LCT and AT performed the literature search and drafted the article. All authors provided critical edits.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iD

Leah C Tatebe <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0401-3813>

REFERENCES

- 1 WISQARS. (*web-based injury statistics Query and reporting system*). Washington, DC: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2019.
- 2 Ross KM, Sullivan T, O'Connor K, Hitti S, Leiva MN. A community-specific framework of risk factors for youth violence: a qualitative comparison of community stakeholder perspectives in a low-income, urban community. *J Community Psychol* 2021;49:1134–52.
- 3 Wright AW, Austin M, Booth C, Klierer W. Exposure to community violence and physical health outcomes in youth: a systematic review. *J Pediatr Psychol* 2016;24:jsw088.
- 4 Herrera-Escobar JP, de Jager E, McCarty JC, Lipsitz S, Haider AH, Salim A, Nehra D. Patient-reported outcomes at 6 to 12 months among survivors of firearm injury in the United States. *Ann Surg* 2021;274:e1247–51.
- 5 Richmond TS, Wiebe DJ, Reilly PM, Rich J, Shults J, Kassam-Adams N. Contributors to postinjury mental health in urban black men with serious injuries. *JAMA Surg* 2019;154:836–43.
- 6 Ali P, McGarry J, Dhingra K. Identifying signs of intimate partner violence. *Emerg Nurse* 2016;23:25–9.
- 7 Miller IW, Camargo CA, Arias SA, Sullivan AF, Allen MH, Goldstein AB, Manton AP, Espinola JA, Jones R, Hasegawa K, *et al*. Suicide prevention in an emergency department population: the ED-SAFE study. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2017;74:563–70.
- 8 Dicker RA, Thomas A, Bulger EM, Stewart RM, Bonne S, Dechert TA, Smith R, Love-Craighead A, Dreier F, Kotagal M, *et al*. Strategies for trauma centers to address the root causes of violence: recommendations from the improving social determinants to attenuate violence (ISAVE) workgroup of the American College of surgeons committee on trauma. *J Am Coll Surg* 2021;233:471–8.
- 9 Chen MK. The effect of language on economic behavior: evidence from savings rates, health behaviors, and retirement assets. *Am Econ Rev* 2013;103:690–731.
- 10 Pennebaker JW, King LA. Linguistic styles: language use as an individual difference. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 2000;77:1296–312.
- 11 Pennebaker JW, Mehl MR, Niederhoffer KG. Psychological aspects of natural language use: our words, our selves. *Annu Rev Psychol* 2003;54:547–77.
- 12 Lambert NM, Gwinn AM, Baumeister RF, Strachman A, Washburn JJ, Gable SL, Fincham FD. A boost of positive affect. *J Soc Pers Relat* 2013;30:24–43.
- 13 Zimmermann J, Wolf M, Bock A, Peham D, Benecke C. The way we refer to ourselves reflects how we relate to others: associations between first-person pronoun use and interpersonal problems. *J Res Pers* 2013;47:218–25.
- 14 Al-Mosaiwi M, Johnstone T. In an absolute state: elevated use of absolutist words is a marker specific to anxiety, depression, and suicidal ideation. *Clin Psychol Sci* 2018;6:529–42.
- 15 Habermas T, Ott L-M, Schubert M, Schneider B, Pate A. Stuck in the past: negative bias, explanatory style, temporal order, and evaluative perspectives in life narratives of clinically depressed individuals. *Depress Anxiety* 2008;25:E121–32.
- 16 Conaghan S, Davidson KM. Hopelessness and the anticipation of positive and negative future experiences in older parasuicidal adults. *Br J Clin Psychol* 2002;41:233–42.
- 17 Firpo-Perretti YM, Cohen MH, Weber KM, Brody LR, Past BLR. Past, present or future? Word tense and affect in autobiographical narratives of women with HIV in relation to health indicators. *J Behav Med* 2018;41:875–89.
- 18 O'Donovan A, Lin J, Tillie J, Tillie JM, Dhabhar FS, Wolkowitz OM, Wolkowitz O, Blackburn EH, Blackburn E, Epel ES, *et al*. Pessimism correlates with leukocyte telomere shortness and elevated interleukin-6 in post-menopausal women. *Brain Behav Immun* 2009;23:446–9.
- 19 Wan JJ, Morabito DJ, Khaw L, Knudson MM, Dicker RA. Mental illness as an independent risk factor for unintentional injury and injury recidivism. *J Trauma* 2006;61:1299–304.

- 20 Culyba AJ, Abebe KZ, Albert SM, Jones KA, Paglisotti T, Zimmerman MA, Miller E. Association of future orientation with violence perpetration among male youths in low-resource neighborhoods. *JAMA Pediatr* 2018;172:877–9.
- 21 Stoddard SA, Zimmerman MA, Bauermeister JA. Thinking about the future as a way to succeed in the present: a longitudinal study of future orientation and violent behaviors among African American youth. *Am J Community Psychol* 2011;48:238–46.
- 22 So S, Gaylord-Harden NK, Voisin DR, Scott D. Future orientation as a protective factor for African American adolescents exposed to community violence. *Youth Soc* 2018;50:734–57.
- 23 National Academies of Sciences E. *Medicine. Integrating Social Care into the Delivery of Health Care: Moving Upstream to Improve the Nation's Health*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press, 2019:194 p.
- 24 Teasdale JD, Segal ZV, Williams JM, Ridgeway VA, Soulsby JM, Lau MA. Prevention of relapse/recurrence in major depression by mindfulness-based cognitive therapy. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2000;68:615–23.
- 25 Liehr P, Marcus MT, Carroll D, Granmayeh LK, Cron SG, Pennebaker JW. Linguistic analysis to assess the effect of a mindfulness intervention on self-change for adults in substance use recovery. *Subst Abus* 2010;31:79–85.
- 26 Fenn K, Byrne M. The key principles of cognitive behavioural therapy. *InnovAiT* 2013;6:579–85.
- 27 Hofmann SG, Asnaani A, Vonk IJJ, Sawyer AT, Fang A. The efficacy of cognitive behavioral therapy: a review of meta-analyses. *Cognit Ther Res* 2012;36:427–40.
- 28 Crepaz N, Passin WF, Herbst JH, Rama SM, Malow RM, Purcell DW, Wolitski RJ, . HIV/AIDS Prevention Research Synthesis Team. Meta-analysis of cognitive-behavioral interventions on HIV-positive persons' mental health and immune functioning. *Health Psychol* 2008;27:4–14.
- 29 Jassim GA, Whitford DL, Hickey A, Carter B. Psychological interventions for women with non-metastatic breast cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015:CD008729.