

# Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

## Recent Work

### Title

A KINETIC STUDY OF FERROUS NITROSYL COMPLEXES

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3sj4c2m6>

### Authors

Littlejohn, D.  
Chang, S.S.

### Publication Date

1981-08-01



# Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

## ENERGY & ENVIRONMENT DIVISION

Submitted to The Journal of Physical Chemistry

A KINETIC STUDY OF FERROUS NITROSYL COMPLEXES

D. Littlejohn and S.S. Chang

August 1981


RECEIVED  
LAWRENCE  
BERKELEY LABORATORY

SEP 25 1981

LIBRARY AND  
DOCUMENTS SECTION

### TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy  
which may be borrowed for two weeks.  
For a personal retention copy, call  
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 6782



LBL-13068  
c.2

## **DISCLAIMER**


This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

## A KINETIC STUDY OF FERROUS NITROSYL COMPLEXES\*

D. Littlejohn and S.G. Chang  
 Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory  
 University of California  
 Berkeley, California 94720

Abstract

The formation and dissociation rate constants and equilibrium constants for the reversible binding of NO to Fe(II)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>5</sub>, Fe(II)(citrate), Fe(II)(acac)<sub>2</sub>, and Fe(II)(EDTA) in aqueous solution are reported. The constants were determined (at 25°C) as:




The visible spectra of the complexes are also reported.

\*This work was supported by the Morgantown Energy Technology Center under contract no. 81MC14002 through the Assistant Secretary for Fossil Energy of the U.S. Department of Energy under contract no. W-7405-ENG-48.

## Introduction

Efficient techniques for removing  $\text{NO}_x$  and  $\text{SO}_2$  from power plant flue gases are needed to meet air quality standards. One promising method for removing  $\text{NO}$ , which is only weakly soluble in aqueous solutions, is the formation of nitrosyl complexes from chelated metal ions.<sup>1</sup> Some metal chelates react rapidly and reversibly with  $\text{NO}$ . The nitrosyl complexes can react with the absorbed  $\text{SO}_2$  to form a variety of products.<sup>1-8</sup>

While a number of studies have been made of ferrous nitrosyl complexes,<sup>9-11</sup> little work has been done on the kinetics of the formation of these complexes<sup>12-14</sup>:



where L represents the ligand which is complexed to the ferrous ion. A study<sup>14</sup> indicates that in one case the forward rate constant is quite rapid, exceeding  $10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$  for the  $\text{Fe(II)(EDTA)NO}$  complex. This value was obtained indirectly and can be considered only an estimate.

Since no comprehensive study of the kinetics of ferrous nitrosyl complexes has been done, we have started a systematic study of the kinetics and spectra of these complexes with different ligands. We have investigated the kinetics of the following complexes:  $\text{Fe(II)(H}_2\text{O)}_5\text{NO}$ ,  $\text{Fe(II)(citrate)NO}$ ,  $\text{Fe(II)(acac)}_2\text{NO}$ , and  $\text{Fe(II)(EDTA)NO}$ .

## Experimental

Preparation of complexes. While the exact conditions under which each complex was studied varied somewhat depending on the ligand used, the general procedure for preparation was the same. The amount of the desired ligand to be used was measured and added to distilled water, along with  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  or  $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$  for improving solution conductivity, adjusting ionic strength, or buffering. In some experiments the pH of the solution was adjusted with concentrated

NaOH. All materials used were reagent grade. The pH of the solution was measured with a Corning Model 135 pH meter, calibrated with Mallinckrodt BuffAR buffer solutions. The ionic strengths of the solutions were  $\text{Fe(II)(H}_2\text{O)}_5\text{NO}$  -  $\mu = 0.54$ ,  $\text{Fe(II)(citrate)NO}$  -  $\mu = 0.15$ ,  $\text{Fe(II)(acac)}_2\text{NO}$  -  $\mu = 0.21$ , and  $\text{Fe(II)(EDTA)NO}$  -  $\mu = 0.15$ .

The solution was transferred to a vacuum line and degassed by evacuation of the air over the solution and sonification of the solution under vacuum. An argon atmosphere was placed over the solution and a measured amount of reagent grade  $\text{FeSO}_4$  was added. The molar quantity of ligand added was always well in excess of that of the ferrous ion. The solution was again degassed by the procedure mentioned previously.

Nitric oxide (Matheson, C.P.) was distilled three times, discarding the first and last portions of each distillate. The purified nitric oxide was stored in liquid nitrogen until used. The nitric oxide was bubbled through the ferrous complex solution until the nitric oxide pressure over the solution was approximately 1 atmosphere, as determined with a mercury manometer. The solution was then stirred for approximately 15 minutes to allow the chemical system to equilibrate.

Spectra. The prepared complexes were transferred under oxygen-free conditions to an evacuated 10-cm cell with Pyrex windows. The spectra of the complexes were obtained with a Cary Model 219 double-beam spectrophotometer. The scan conditions under which the spectra were taken were 5 nm per second with a time constant of 1 second and a slit width of 1.5 nm. The spectra were taken from 800 nm to 300 nm.

Kinetic studies. The kinetics of the ferrous nitrosyl complexes were investigated with a temperature-jump apparatus similar to that described by Czerlinski and Eigen.<sup>15</sup> A temperature jump of about 8°C was created by

discharging a 0.25-mfd capacitor, charged to 20 kV, through the cell, whose volume is approximately  $1.4 \text{ cm}^3$ . The discharge time is dependent on the ionic strength of the solution<sup>16</sup> but is generally less than 10 microseconds.

Light from a G.E. no. 1209 tungsten lamp is passed through a Bausch & Lomb high-intensity monochromator, directed through the cell and onto an RCA 1P28 photomultiplier tube. The signal from the PMT is amplified and displayed on an oscilloscope, where it is photographed with a Polaroid camera. The oscilloscope is triggered by the discharge across the spark gap. Data were obtained by transferring the solution containing the ferrous nitrosyl complex from the vacuum line to the temperature-jump cell under oxygen-free conditions, charging the capacitor, and photographing the oscilloscope trace obtained after the discharge of the capacitor. Several shots were taken for each condition, and the results were averaged to get a relaxation time for each condition. The initial cell temperature was not regulated and was at the ambient temperature,  $25 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ .

A typical oscilloscope trace is shown in Fig. 1. The horizontal scale is 200 microseconds per division, and the vertical scale is transmission in arbitrary units. The initial signal level is at the bottom of the graph. The complex under investigation when the trace was obtained was  $\text{Fe(II)(H}_2\text{O)}_5\text{NO}$ .

### Results and Discussion

Spectra. The spectra for the complexes studied are shown in Fig. 2a-d. All spectra were taken at  $25^\circ\text{C}$ . The spectra are for  $\text{Fe(II)(H}_2\text{O)}_5\text{NO}$  at pH 0.6,  $\text{Fe(II)(citrate)NO}$  at pH 5.1,  $\text{Fe(II)(acac)}_2\text{NO}$  at pH 7.0, and  $\text{Fe(II)(EDTA)NO}$  at pH 3.7. The spectra of the complexes without NO are also shown (dashed lines). All complexes were prepared with approximately 1 atmosphere of nitric oxide. Most spectra displayed some dependence on pH. Spectra obtained from complexes in acidic solutions often differed from those obtained from the same complexes

in neutral or basic solutions, probably due to the ligands' presence in deprotonated forms at higher pH and the smaller tendency of Fe(II) to bind to the ligands at low pH.

With the exception of the Fe(II)(acac)<sub>2</sub> complex, none of the complexes exhibited strong coloration in the absence of nitric oxide. The Fe(II)(acac)<sub>2</sub> complex had a strong yellow coloration with a broad absorption centered at 420 nm. The spectra for the complexes with nitric oxide show three local absorption maxima over the spectral range in which the spectra were taken. The maximum in the near ultraviolet region occurs between 320 and 360 nm; the maximum in the blue region occurs between 420 and 460 nm; and the maximum in the red region occurs between 580 and 660 nm.

The apparent extinction coefficient of the Fe(II)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>5</sub>NO complex displayed a linear dependence on the nitric oxide pressure that was over the solution when it was prepared. This effect was not confirmed above a nitric oxide pressure of 1 atmosphere and was not investigated in detail for the other complexes, where it would be expected to occur.

The spectra of the complexes also show a temperature dependence. The apparent extinction coefficients decrease with temperature due to the dissociation of the ferrous nitrosyl complex.

The strength with which the nitric oxide molecule binds to the ferrous complex manifests itself in several ways. A complex in which the nitric oxide is strongly bound will have a larger apparent extinction coefficient, a smaller dependence on nitric oxide pressure, and a smaller temperature dependence than a complex in which the nitric oxide is weakly bound.

The stoichiometries of the ligands bound to the ferrous ion were not checked in this study. The stoichiometries listed in Ringbom<sup>17</sup> have been used.

Kinetics. The response of the ferrous nitrosyl complexes to the temperature



jump was monitored at a wavelength that yielded an optical density between 0.1 and 1.0 in the temperature-jump cell. With the exception of  $\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_2$ , the ferrous complexes without nitric oxide did not have any significant absorptions in the visible region.  $\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_2\text{NO}$  was monitored at 480 nm, where there was a large difference in absorption between  $\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_2$  and  $\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_2\text{NO}$ . The difficulty with cavitation in solution immediately following the discharge of the capacitor that was observed by Kustin et al.<sup>12</sup> was generally not a problem with the system in this study.

All the ferrous nitrosyl complexes investigated in this study displayed a single exponential relaxation in response to the jump in temperature. Consequently, the rate constants can be determined from the equation

$$1/\tau = k_{-1} + k_1([\text{Fe}(\text{II})(\text{L})] + [\text{NO}]) , \quad (2)$$

where  $\tau$  is the relaxation time obtained from photographs of the oscilloscope traces and  $[\text{Fe}(\text{II})(\text{L})]$  is the concentration of the ferrous ion complex which is not bound to nitric oxide.

A number of factors must be considered in calculating the concentration of the  $\text{Fe}(\text{II})(\text{L})$  concentration. The amount of ferrous ion bound to the ligand in solution must be determined, and corrections must be made for the amount of complex bound to nitric oxide. Minor corrections must be made for hydrolysis and complexation with the buffer in solution.

The nitric oxide concentration in solution was determined from the nitric oxide pressure over the solution and the Henry's constant for nitric oxide. The Henry's law coefficient of  $1.9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M atm}^{-1}$  given by Armor<sup>18</sup> was used, with correction made for ionic strength and temperature.

The data were analyzed by assuming a value for  $K_{\text{eq}}$  where

$$K_{\text{eq}} = k_1/k_{-1} , \quad (3)$$

and calculating the concentration of  $\text{Fe(II)(L)}$  that should be present. Values for the rate constants  $k_1$  and  $k_{-1}$  are obtained from a least squares fit to the reciprocal relaxation times and the calculated values of  $([\text{Fe(II)(L)}] + [\text{NO}])$ . From these values of  $k_1$  and  $k_{-1}$ , a value for  $K_{\text{eq}}$  is calculated. If the calculated value of  $K_{\text{eq}}$  differs significantly from the value assumed, the calculations are reiterated until convergence is obtained.

$\text{Fe(II)(H}_2\text{O)}_5\text{NO}$ . Concentrated  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  (13.5 ml) was diluted with water to 1.0 l volume for the solution to which the  $\text{Fe(II)(H}_2\text{O)}_5\text{NO}$  complex was prepared. A plot of  $1/\tau$  vs.  $([\text{Fe(II)(H}_2\text{O)}_5] + [\text{NO}])$  is shown in Fig. 3. The points are the average of the values of  $1/\tau$  obtained from each run and the line is a least squares fit to all values of  $1/\tau$  obtained. The values corresponding to the line are:  $k_1 = 7.1 \pm 1.0 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ ,  $k_{-1} = 1.5 \pm 0.6 \times 10^3 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ , and  $K_{\text{eq}} = 470 \pm 200 \text{ M}^{-1}$ . These values are in good agreement with those given by Kustin et al.<sup>12</sup> and Hikita et al.<sup>13</sup>

$\text{Fe(II)(citrate)NO}$ . Solutions of 0.15 M  $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$  were adjusted to pH 5.1 with concentrated NaOH. While phosphate is not a particularly good buffer at this pH, it was used to improve the conductivity of the solution and to adjust the ionic strength. It also does not interact appreciably with ferrous ion. Spot measurements made after the preparation of the complex indicated that the pH did not change appreciably from the initial value. Above pH 4.5, essentially all the citrate ions are present as the totally deprotonated species.<sup>17</sup> This simplifies the kinetics since only one type of ferrous ion-citrate ion complex will be present. In addition, virtually all the ferrous ions will be in the form of a ferrous-citrate complex under these conditions. A plot of  $1/\tau$  vs.  $([\text{Fe(II)(citrate)}] + [\text{NO}])$  is also shown on Fig. 3. It was found that values of  $[\text{Fe(II)(citrate)}]$  greater than approximately  $3.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}$  gave erratic and inconsistent relaxations, possibly due to interaction between complex molecules

or formation of different types of complexes at high concentrations. The least squares fit to the values of  $1/\tau$  obtained gave the following values for the rate and equilibrium constants:  $k_1 = 4.4 \pm 0.8 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ ,  $k_{-1} = 660 \pm 240 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ , and  $K_{\text{eq}} = 670 \pm 200 \text{ M}^{-1}$ .

Fe(II)(acac)<sub>2</sub>NO. The Fe(II)(acac)<sub>2</sub>NO complex was prepared in a 0.15-M KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> solution that had been adjusted to pH 6.6 with concentrated NaOH. This pH was used as a compromise between the tendency of ferrous ions to precipitate at high pH and the inability of acetylacetonone to bind ferrous ions at low pH, being in the un-ionized form. Concentrations of acetylacetonone were in large excess of the concentrations of ferrous ions used to insure that almost all the ferrous ions were bound to acetylacetonone in the form of Fe(II)(acac)<sub>2</sub>. The relaxation times of Fe(II)(acac)<sub>2</sub>NO were much longer than those of the other complexes studied. A plot of  $1/\tau$  vs. ( $[\text{Fe(II)(acac)}_2] + [\text{NO}]$ ) is shown in Fig. 4. A least squares fit to the data provided the following results:  $k_1 = 400 \pm 300 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ ,  $k_{-1} = 24 \pm 2 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ ,  $K_{\text{eq}} = 17 \pm 14 \text{ M}^{-1}$ .

Fe(II)(EDTA)NO. This complex was prepared in 0.15-M KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> solutions adjusted to pH 5.1 with concentrated NaOH. At this pH EDTA binds Fe(II) ions strongly, so that very few free ferrous ions are present in solution. The relaxation times due to the jump in temperature were too fast to be measured with the present system.

A typical trace is shown in Fig. 5. The dashed line represents the initial level of the signal. The transmission change in response to the jump in temperature was smaller for Fe(II)(EDTA)NO than that observed in the other complexes. Consequently, the signal-to-noise ratio was smaller for the Fe(II)(EDTA)NO complex than that for the other complexes. An upper limit of 10 microseconds was established for the relaxation time. The limiting factor in the present experimental setup is the length of time it

takes to discharge the capacitor through the solution. Teramoto et al.<sup>14</sup> obtained a value of about  $1 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}$  for the equilibrium constant for the formation of  $\text{Fe(II)(EDTA)NO}$ , while the values of  $\Delta H$  and  $\Delta S$  for the formation of  $\text{Fe(II)(EDTA)NO}$  published by Hishinuma et al.<sup>19</sup> yield a value of about  $1 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}$  for the equilibrium constant. These results indicate that the equilibrium constant is very high, and essentially all the  $\text{Fe(II)(EDTA)}$  complex will be bound to nitric oxide. Since the concentration of  $\text{Fe(II)(EDTA)}$  will be small compared to that of nitric oxide, Eqn. (2) can be approximated as

$$1/\tau \approx k_{-1} + k_1 [\text{NO}] . \quad (4)$$

The lowest concentration of nitric oxide used in the experiments with EDTA was  $1.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}$ . Using the upper limit of  $\tau$  as 10 microseconds and Teramoto's value of  $1 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}$  for  $K_{\text{eq}}$ , and  $K_{\text{eq}} = k_1/k_{-1}$ , one obtains a value of about  $6 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$  for the lower limit of  $k_1$  and a value of about  $60 \text{ sec}^{-1}$  for the lower limit for  $k_{-1}$ . The lower limit for  $k_1$  is in good agreement with the value of  $k_1 = 1.7 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$  obtained by indirect means by Teramoto et al.

### Conclusions

A metal chelate used as a binding agent for NO in a wet flue gas denitri-  
fication system must react rapidly and reversibly with NO to be effective.<sup>1</sup>  
The forward and reverse rate constants and the equilibrium constants for the  
binding of NO to the metal chelates must be known in order to properly evaluate  
them. We have investigated four ferrous nitrosyl complexes in this study.

Values for the rate and equilibrium constants for the formation of  
 $\text{Fe(II)(H}_2\text{O)}_5\text{NO}$  determined in this study are in good agreement with those deter-  
mined by other groups.<sup>12</sup> The forward and reverse rate constants for the forma-  
tion of  $\text{Fe(II)(citrate)NO}$  are somewhat smaller than the values for the  
 $\text{Fe(II)(H}_2\text{O)}_5\text{NO}$  complex, while the equilibrium constant is larger. The kinetics  
for the formation and dissociation of the  $\text{Fe(II)(acac)}_2\text{NO}$  complex are much

slower than any other complex studied.

The lower limits for the rate constants for the formation of Fe(II)(EDTA)NO indicate that the nitric oxide in aqueous solutions reacts very rapidly with the Fe(II)(EDTA) complex. Since the equilibrium constant strongly favors the nitrosyl form of the complex, this compound provides a very efficient mechanism to bind nitric oxide in solution. It is much more efficient in binding nitric oxide than the Fe(II)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>5</sub>NO, Fe(II)(citrate)NO, and Fe(II)(acac)<sub>2</sub>NO complexes.

While Fe(II)(EDTA)NO may be of value in wet flue gas denitrification systems, other compounds may be of equal or greater value. A study<sup>20</sup> indicates the Fe(II)(NTA)NO also binds NO rapidly and efficiently. NTA has the advantage of being less expensive than EDTA. Another important consideration is the ability of the nitrosyl complexes to regenerate the metal chelates in a scrubber system by reacting with absorbed SO<sub>2</sub>.

#### Acknowledgment

We thank Professors Leo Brewer, Robert Connick, and Scott Lynn for helpful discussions; and we appreciate the support and encouragement of Mr. Gary Friggen and Mr. Jack Halow. This work was supported by the Morgantown Energy Technology Center, contract no. 81MC14002, through the Assistant Secretary of Fossil Energy of the U.S. Department of Energy under contract no. W-7405-ENG-48.

References


1. L.H. Yaverbaum, Nitrogen Oxides Control and Removal, Recent Developments, Noyes Data Corporation, Park Ridge, New Jersey (1979).
2. S.B. Oblath, S.S. Markowitz, T. Novakov, and S.G. Chang, *J. Phys. Chem.* 85, 1017 (1981).
3. S.G. Chang, R. Toossi, and T. Novakov, *Atmos. Environ.* 15, 1287 (1981).
4. S. Gomiscek, R. Clem, T. Novakov, and S.G. Chang, *J. Phys. Chem.*, to be published.
5. S.B. Oblath, S.S. Markowitz, T. Novakov, and S.G. Chang, accepted for publication in *J. Phys. Chem.*
6. S.B. Oblath, S.S. Markowitz, T. Novakov, and S.G. Chang, submitted to *J. Phys. Chem.*
7. S.G. Chang, paper presented at the Technical Advisory Committee, DOE/Advanced Environmental Control Technology Program, Morgantown, West Virginia, November 6-7, 1980; Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Report LBL-11800 (1980).
8. S.G. Chang, talk presented at the Symposium on Advances in Flue Gas Desulfurization, American Chemical Society Meeting, Atlanta, Georgia, March 30, 1981.
9. N.G. Connelly, *Inorg. Chim. Acta Rev.*, 47 (1972).
10. K.G. Caulton, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 14, 317 (1975).
11. J.A. McCleverty, *Chem. Rev.* 79, 53 (1979).
12. K. Kustin, I.A. Taub, and E. Weinstock, *Inorg. Chem.* 5, 1079 (1966).
13. H. Hikita, S. Asai, H. Ishikawa, and S. Hirano, *J. Chem. Eng. Japan* 10, 120 (1977).
14. M. Teramoto, S. Hiramane, Y. Shimada, Y. Sugimoto, and H. Teranishi, *J. Chem. Eng. Japan* 11, 450 (1978).

15. G. Czerlinski and M. Eigen, *Z. Elektrochem.* 63, 652 (1959).
16. G. Czerlinski, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 33, 1184 (1962).
17. A. Ringbom, *Complexation in Analytical Chemistry*, Interscience, New York (1963).
18. J.N. Armor, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* 19, 82 (1974).
19. Y. Hishinuma, R. Kaji, H. Akimoto, F. Nakajima, T. Mori, T. Kamo, Y. Arikawa, and S. Nozawa, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan* 52, 2863 (1979).
20. N.H. Lin, D. Littlejohn, S.G. Chang, submitted to *J. Phys. Chem.*

Figure Captions


- Figure 1. A typical oscilloscope trace for the response of  $\text{Fe(II)(H}_2\text{O)}_5\text{NO}$  solution to a jump in temperature. The initial signal level is at the baseline.
- Figure 2. Absorption spectra of ferrous ion complexes from 800 nm to 300 nm for  $\text{Fe(II)(H}_2\text{O)}_5\text{NO}$ ,  $\text{Fe(II)(citrate)NO}$ ,  $\text{Fe(II)(acac)}_2\text{NO}$ , and  $\text{Fe(II)(EDTA)NO}$ . The dashed lines represent the absorption spectra of the complexes without NO.
- Figure 3. A plot of  $[\text{Fe(II)(ligand)}] + [\text{NO}]$  vs. reciprocal relaxation time for  $\text{Fe(II)(citrate)NO}$  and  $\text{Fe(II)(H}_2\text{O)}_5\text{NO}$ .
- Figure 4. A plot of  $[\text{Fe(II)(acac)}_2] + [\text{NO}]$  vs. reciprocal relaxation time for  $\text{Fe(II)(acac)}_2\text{NO}$ .
- Figure 5. A typical oscilloscope trace for the response of  $\text{Fe(II)(EDTA)NO}$  to a jump in temperature. The dashed line indicates the initial signal level.






XBL 816-5930

Figure 1




XBL 818-6223

Figure 2




XBL 817-6133

Figure 3



XBL817-6132

Figure 4



XBL818-6222

Figure 5

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT  
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720