UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Celecoxib use and circulating oxylipins in a colon polyp prevention trial

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3sj7b0kc

Journal

PLOS ONE, 13(4)

ISSN

1932-6203

Authors

Martinez, Jessica A Yang, Jun Wertheim, Betsy C <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2018

DOI

10.1371/journal.pone.0196398

Peer reviewed



Citation: Martinez JA, Yang J, Wertheim BC, Roe DJ, Schriewer A, Lance P, et al. (2018) Celecoxib use and circulating oxylipins in a colon polyp prevention trial. PLoS ONE 13(4): e0196398. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196398

Editor: John Green, University Hospital Llandough, UNITED KINGDOM

Received: August 12, 2017

Accepted: April 6, 2018

Published: April 26, 2018

Copyright: © 2018 Martinez et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This work was partially supported by the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) grant R01 ES002710, NIEHS Superfund Research Program grant P42 ES004699 (BDH) and a pilot project through the West Coast Metabolomics Center at UC Davis (National Institutes of Health/National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases grant U24 DK097154) (BDH, JAM, PAT), and Susan G.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Celecoxib use and circulating oxylipins in a colon polyp prevention trial

Jessica A. Martinez^{1,2}*, Jun Yang^{3,4}, Betsy C. Wertheim¹, Denise J. Roe^{1,5}, Alexander Schriewer^{3,4}, Peter Lance¹, David S. Alberts¹, Bruce D. Hammock^{3,4}, Patricia A. Thompson⁶

1 University of Arizona Cancer Center, Tucson, Arizona, United States of America, 2 Department of Nutritional Sciences, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, United States of America, 3 Department of Entomology, University of California Davis, Sacramento, California, United States of America, 4 UC Davis Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California Davis, Sacramento, California, United States of America, 5 Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Mel and Enid Zuckerman College of Public Health, University of Arizona, Tucson, United States of America, 6 Department of Pathology, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York, United States of America

* jam1@email.arizona.edu

Abstract

Drugs that inhibit cyclooxygenase (COX)-2 and the metabolism of arachidonic acid (ARA) to prostaglandin E₂ are potent anti-inflammatory agents used widely in the treatment of joint and muscle pain. Despite their benefits, daily use of these drugs has been associated with hypertension, cardiovascular and gastrointestinal toxicities. It is now recognized that ARA is metabolized to a number of bioactive oxygenated lipids (oxylipins) by cyclooxygenase (COX), lipoxygenase (LOX), and cytochrome P450 (CYP450) enzymes. Currently, the contribution of individual variability in ARA metabolism in response to the COX-2 inhibitors and potential adverse effects remains poorly understood. Using patient samples from the randomized, placebo-controlled phase III selenium/celecoxib (Sel/Cel) trial for the prevention of colorectal adenomatous polyps, we analyzed plasma concentrations of 74 oxylipins in a subset of participants who received celecoxib (n = 90) or placebo (n = 95). We assessed the effect of celecoxib (with and without low dose aspirin) on circulating oxylipins and systolic blood pressure (SBP). Individual CYP450- and LOX- but not COX-derived metabolites were higher with celecoxib than placebo (P<0.05) and differences were greater among non-aspirin users. LOX derived 5- and 8-HETE were elevated with celecoxib and positively associated with systolic blood pressure (P = 0.011 and P = 0.019 respectively). 20-HETE, a prohypertensive and rogen-sensitive CYP450 metabolite was higher with celecoxib absent aspirin and was positively associated with SBP in men (P = 0.040) but not women. Independent of celecoxib or aspirin, LOX derived metabolites from ARA were strongly associated with SBP including 5- and 8-HETE. These findings support oxylipins, particularly the ARA LOX-derived, in blood pressure control and indicate that pharmacologic inhibition of COX-2 has effects on LOX and CYP450 ARA metabolism that contribute to hypertension in some patients.

Komen CCR14299136 (JAM). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the

manuscript.

PLOS | ONE

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Introduction

Extensive work in animal and human studies led to the elucidation of prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂), an oxidized lipid product of arachidonic acid metabolism via cyclooxygenase (COX), as a potent inflammatory molecule resulting in the development and widespread use of the NSAID as COX-1/2 enzyme inhibitors [1]. COX-inhibitors, including the selective and nonselective non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), are now among the most widely used drugs for their effectiveness in the treatment of fever, arthritis, and muscle and joint pain. Additionally, there is interest in their anti-cancer effects, particularly in the colorectum [2]. Despite the benefits, serious adverse effects of NSAIDs with chronic use, including heart failure that was initially identified in large cancer prevention trials [3, 4], continue to plague this class of drugs and challenge the safety of their use. For example, findings of adverse effects, particularly cardiovascular, led to the removal of rofecoxib (Vioxx), a potent selective inhibitor of COX-2, from the market and ultimately to black box warnings for all drugs in the NSAID class [5]. The FDA response was recently supported by findings from the PRECISION Trial demonstrating that the renovascular effects of COX-2 selective inhibitors (i.e., rofecoxib, celecoxib) are not limited to COX-2 selective NSAIDs. Indeed, celecoxib was found noninferior for cardiovascular toxicity to the commonly used, over-the-counter, non-selective NSAIDs ibuprofen and naproxen, and showed superior performance for gastrointestinal and renal toxicity to ibuprofen, but not naproxen [6]; these results confirm those of the Standard Care versus Celecoxib Outcome Trial [7] and meta-analysis of observational studies [8]. Importantly, these studies demonstrate the need to better understand the mechanism of renovascular effects of NSAIDs and individual susceptibility.

Less understood are the mechanisms that underlie the increased risk of hypertension, edema, and heart failure with chronic NSAID use in patients. NSAIDs, as a class, inhibit COXmediated metabolism of arachidonic acid (ARA), a polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA). The PUFAs, including ω -3 [e.g., α -linolenic acid (ALA), eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA)] and the ω -6 fatty acids [e.g., arachidonic acid (ARA), linoleic acid (LA) and dihomo-y-linolenic acid (DGLA)] are fatty acid precursor molecules for enzymatic oxidation to 'oxylipin' products. The oxylipins are a diverse group of lipophilic signaling molecules generated from PUFAs by the action of three major enzymes: cyclooxygenase (COX), lipoxygenase (LOX), and cytochrome P450 (CYP450) (S1 Fig). These bioactive lipids include the well-studied prostaglandins and thromboxanes as well as the mono-, di-, and tri-hydroxy fatty acids (FAs), epoxy FAs, lipoxins and other oxidized lipids that mediate an array of physiological effects including acting as regulatory molecules of inflammation [e.g., prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂)] and as regulators of vascular tone and function [9]. This includes the oxylipin, prostacyclin I2 (PGI2), whose inhibition by the COX-2 inhibitors has been proposed as a mechanism of increased risk for the selective COX-2 inhibitors though their modest effects on the production of the potent platelet activator thromboxane (TX) A2. However, increases in hypertension and heart failure with the non-selective NSAIDs challenges this mechanism as the sole mediator of the cardiovascular effects of the NSAIDs [10].

As a potent pro-inflammatory molecule, the ω -6 ARA-derived PGE₂ produced from COX metabolism (Fig 1) is among the best-studied oxylipins as a drug target for its role in inflammation-associated diseases [11]. Importantly, while the COX-2 selective and non-selective NSAIDs (coxibs) were chosen for their inhibition of PGE₂, it has subsequently been demonstrated that inhibition of PGE₂ from ARA increases the generation of products of LOX- and CYP450-mediated metabolism of ARA, and select LOX- and CYP450-derived oxylipins produced from these enzymes effect vascular tone and blood pressure [12–15]. For instance, our group showed dramatically elevated levels of CYP450-derived 20-hydroxyeicosatetraenoic





Fig 1. Arachidonic acid (ARA) pathway. ARA is metabolized by three enzyme families, cyclooxygenase (COX), lipoxygenase (LOX), and cytochrome P450 (CYP450), to produce numerous bioactive metabolites called oxylipins. Enzymes are shown in grey boxes. Oxylipin class and biological activity are outlined in black below the oxylipins they represent. The COX arm produces prostaglandins and thromboxanes that are pro-inflammatory, can cause pain, and can stimulate chemokine production. The LOX arm produces lipoxins, leukotrienes, and hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acids that are also pro-inflammatory and can stimulate chemokine production, with the exception of LXA₄ which is anti-inflammatory. The CYP450 arm produces epoxyeicosatrienoic acids that decrease inflammation and are cardioprotective; however, these oxylipins are quickly metabolized by soluble epoxide hydrolase (sEH) to produce epoxyeicosatrienoic acid diols that are pro-inflammatory and cardiotoxic. The CYP450 arm also produces 20-hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid (20-HETE), which is pro-inflammatory and cardiotoxic. Only oxylipins from the ARA pathway that were quantified on our analytical platform are depicted, excluding THF diol which is produced from multiple epoxyeicosatrienoic acids.

acid (20-HETE), a prohypertensive oxylipin, in male mice treated chronically with rofecoxib. Demonstration that 20-HETE also induced vascular effects of rofecoxib in treated mice led us to hypothesize that increases in 20-HETE may explain rofecoxib cardiovascular toxicities observed in patients [14].

Further supporting the adverse effects of COX-2 inhibition on blood pressure, we recently reported increased hypertension, particularly among men, in the Selenium and Celecoxib (Se/Cel) Phase III, placebo controlled, randomized study of 400 mg daily celecoxib for the prevention of colorectal adenoma [16]. Here we extend our investigation of COX-2 inhibitor effects on circulating plasma oxylipin concentrations and their relation to blood pressure in a subset of participants in the Sel/Cel Trial.

Materials and methods

Study population

The Selenium and Celecoxib Trial (Sel/Cel) was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase III clinical trial of selenium (200 µg daily as selenized yeast), celecoxib (400 mg daily), the combination of selenium and celecoxib, or double placebo for the prevention of colorectal adenoma (the Sel/Cel Trial; ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier NCT00078897 [16–18]). In the parent trial, there were 824 participants that were randomly assigned to celecoxib or placebo. Concentrations of 74 oxylipins were obtained in the 12-month plasma sample for a subset of study subjects (n = 185). For the primary analysis of the sub-study presented here, only randomization to celecoxib or its placebo were considered (i.e. celecoxib alone and celecoxib plus selenium versus selenium alone and double placebo).

Plasma sample collection and preparation

After collection, fasting plasma samples were immediately stored at -80 °C and never thawed until oxylipin profiling. Plasma samples were prepared as previously described [19]. Briefly, once thawed, triphenylphosphine and butylated hydroxytoluene (0.2% w/w) were added to 250 μ L plasma. The sample was then spiked with a set of deuterated isomers of 9 target analytes (including hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acids, thromboxanes, epoxides, prostaglandins, and diols) contained in 10 μ L methanol and was then subjected to solid phase extraction. The collected eluents were evaporated to dryness using a centrifugal vacuum concentrator and re-constituted with 50 μ L methanol solution with 1-cyclohexyl-dodecanoic acid urea as an internal standard. The spiked samples were vortexed and centrifuged before transfer to high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) vials for analysis.

Reverse phase chromatography with HPLC-MS

Oxylipin profiling was performed using an Agilent 1200 HPLC (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) with AB Sciex 4000 QTRAP mass spectrometer (Sciex, Redwood City, CA). Acquisition parameters were as previously described [20] with minor modifications. The system was operated with scheduled MRM scan mode to overcome sensitivity loss with the increased number of analytes. Surrogate analytes and internal and external standards were used to monitor extraction efficiency and ensure accurate quantitation. The acquired data were quantified by Multiquant Software (Sciex, Redwood City, CA) using 9 isotope-labeled internal standards.

Statistical analysis

Median oxylipin levels were compared across trial arms using non-parametric Wilcoxon ranksum tests. Due to the known effects of aspirin on oxylipin levels, these tests were repeated after stratifying the study sample according to aspirin use at baseline. Further tests were conducted for aspirin (or NSAID) users versus non-users, in both trial arms combined. Oxylipin pathways were characterized by summing individual metabolites within ARA pathway arms, and these sums were compared across trial arms. Several analyses were stratified by sex, aspirin use, and/or NSAID use. Associations between oxylipins and systolic blood pressure (SBP) were tested using multivariate linear regression, adjusted for age, body mass index (BMI), aspirin use, hypertension medication use, and celecoxib assignment. Potential interactions between individual oxylipins and sex on SBP were tested using likelihood ratio tests. Statistical analyses were conducted using Stata 14.2 (StataCorp, College Station, TX). Given the limited sample size, high correlation between measures, and hypothesis-generating nature of the study, no adjustments were made for multiple comparisons. Oxylipin concentrations are presented in nM as median [interquartile range (IQR)].

Results

Patient characteristics

Placebo and celecoxib arms were balanced in terms of number of males, average age of the participants, and selenium randomization (Table 1). Trial arms were also balanced in terms of BMI, waist circumference, lipid profiles, diabetes and lipid-lowering medication use. There were more aspirin users, never-smokers, and hypertension-medication users in the placebo group. The celecoxib group had a slightly higher personal history of colorectal polyps and family history of colorectal cancer.

Effect of COX-2 inhibition on COX-, LOX-, and CYP450-derived metabolites from ω -6 or ω -3 fatty acids

After 12 months on study, there were no detectable differences in the summed circulating COX-derived or LOX-derived metabolite concentrations between participants randomized to celecoxib or placebo from either ω -6 or ω -3 fatty acids (Fig 2). Median (IQR) levels of summed CYP450-derived metabolites of ω -6 (but not ω -3) fatty acids were marginally higher in those on celecoxib [28.9 nM (22.2–41.9)] than placebo [25.4 nM (19.4–37.5)] (P = 0.054). Individual oxylipin levels between the two groups are shown in Table 2. There were no significant differences in individual COX-derived oxylipins between the celecoxib and placebo arms. In contrast, ARA LOX-derived oxylipin 8-HETE was significantly higher in the celecoxib arm than placebo arm (P = 0.005). Additional oxylipins that were significantly higher with celecoxib than placebo were; ω -6 CYP450-derived 5(6)-EpETrE (P = 0.045) and 12(13)-EpOME (P = 0.023), and ω -3 CYP450-derived 11,12-DiHETE (P = 0.042) and 17(18)-EpETE (P = 0.047).

Characteristic	Placebo	Celecoxib	
	(<i>n</i> = 95)	(<i>n</i> = 90)	
Male	66 (69.5)	60 (66.7)	
Age (y)	61.0 ± 9.4	63.1 ± 10.1	
Cigarette smoking			
Never	44 (46.8)	34 (38.6)	
Previous	41 (43.6)	49 (55.7)	
Current	9 (9.57)	5 (5.68)	
Personal history of colorectal polyps	23 (24.2)	27 (30.3)	
History of colorectal cancer in a first-degree relative	24 (25.8)	24 (28.2)	
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	29.4 ± 5.2	28.8 ± 4.8	
Waist circumference (in)	40.2 ± 6.4	39.9 ± 5.9	
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	198 ± 30	200 ± 35	
High-density lipoprotein (mg/dL)	48.8 ± 14	52.0 ± 15	
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	141 ± 72	153 ± 115	
Diabetes	7 (7.37)	6 (6.67)	
Aspirin, regular use	46 (48.4)	36 (40.0)	
Hypertension medication	41 (43.2)	34 (37.8)	
Lipid-lowering medication	32 (33.7)	29 (32.2)	
Selenium randomization	47 (50.5)	46 (49.5)	

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of selected sample from the Sel/Cel Trial: Mean \pm SD or n (%).

Missing data: waist (n = 4), smoking (n = 3), history of polyps (n = 1), family history of colorectal cancer (n = 7).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196398.t001



Fig 2. Pathway-based differences for all participants: Celecoxib (n = 90) versus placebo (n = 95). (A) Summed oxylipins from ω -3 CYP450, ω -6 CYP450, and ω -6 LOX pathway arms. Asterisk (*) indicates P = 0.054. (B) Summed oxylipins from ω -3 LOX, ω -3 COX, and ω -6 COX pathways arms. Note that A and B are on different scales. Different colors represent the sum of all quantified oxylipins in a given pathway arm.

PLOS ONE

Effect of COX-2 inhibition on COX-, LOX-, and CYP450-derived metabolites when stratified by aspirin use

To account for the high prevalent use of low-dose (81 mg/day) aspirin, a non-selective inhibitor of COX-1/2, analyses were stratified by aspirin use. Among aspirin *non-users*, the sum of CYP450-derived oxylipins from ω -6 (but not ω -3) fatty acids was higher in circulation in participants randomized to the celecoxib arm [31.2 nM (22.2–45.1)] than the placebo arm



Table 2. Oxylipin levels, by intervention arm: Median (interquartile range).

ω -6 ARA COX PGD2 0.09 (0.05-0.21) 0.08 (0.05-0.13) 0.096 Image: Constraint of the state	Class	PUFA	Pathway	Oxylipin	Placebo (n = 95) nM	Celecoxib (n = 90) nM	Rank-Sum P-Value
Image: space of the system	ω-6	ARA	COX	PGD ₂	0.09 (0.05-0.21)	0.08 (0.05-0.13)	0.096
Image: style				PGE ₂	0.16 (0.09–0.28)	0.17 (0.10-0.25)	0.831
Image: space				PGF _{2α}	0.14 (0.07–0.22)	0.16 (0.07–0.27)	0.293
Image: state in the state i				TXB ₂	0.06 (0.00-0.21)	0.03 (0.00-0.20)	0.540
Image: Note of the synthesis of the synt				6 -keto-PGF _{1α}	0.21 (0.03–0.22)	0.06 (0.03-0.22)	0.604
Image: series 0.57 (0.05-1.49) 0.15 (0.05-1.26) 0.357 Image: series 6-trans-LTB4 0.37 (0.31-0.47) 0.38 (0.28-0.48) 0.863 Image: series 20-OH-LTB4 0.04 (0.01-0.14) 0.12 (0.01-0.15) 0.305 Image: series 20-OOH-LTB4 1.14 (0.61-2.18) 1.29 (0.76-2.44) 0.204 Image: series 20-OOH-LTB4 1.14 (0.61-2.18) 1.29 (0.76-2.44) 0.204 Image: series 20-OOH-LTB4 1.14 (0.61-2.18) 1.29 (0.76-2.44) 0.204 Image: series 0.24 (0.16-0.40) 0.26 (0.14-0.47) 0.790 Image: series 1.5 THETE 1.45 (1.09-1.83) 1.34 (1.05-2.16) 0.779 Image: series 0.16 (0.10-0.22) 0.01 (0.01-0.02) 0.636 0.563 Image: series 0.16 (0.10-0.22) 0.16 (0.12-0.25) 0.548 0.503 Image: series 0.16 (0.01-0.32) 0.16 (0.12-0.25) 0.548 0.563 Image: series 0.16 (0.01-0.22) 0.16 (0.12-0.25) 0.548 0.563 Image: series 1.2-ttert 0.90 (0.0			LOX	5-HETE	1.11 (0.62–1.46)	1.26 (0.77–1.68)	0.063
$ $				5-oxo-ETE	0.57 (0.05–1.49)	0.15 (0.05–1.26)	0.357
$ $				6-trans-LTB ₄	0.37 (0.31–0.47)	0.38 (0.28-0.48)	0.863
				20-OH-LTB ₄	0.04 (0.01-0.14)	0.12 (0.01-0.15)	0.305
Image: series of the series				20-COOH-LTB ₄	1.14 (0.61–2.18)	1.29 (0.76–2.44)	0.204
Image: series of the series				11,12-,15-TriHETrE	0.24 (0.16-0.40)	0.26 (0.14-0.47)	0.790
Image: height stateLXA40.01 (0.01-0.02)0.01 (0.01-0.02)0.636Image: height state15-xxo-ETE0.16 (0.11-0.22)0.16 (0.12-0.25)0.548Image: height state12-HETE0.90 (0.49-1.59)0.81 (0.43-1.42)0.503Image: height state12-xxo-ETE1.88 (0.99-2.96)1.69 (0.88-2.78)0.484Image: height state0.00 (0.00-0.00)0.00 (0.00-0.17)0.005Image: height state9-HETE0.80 (0.06-1.23)0.79 (0.03-1.20)0.784Image: height state0.99 (0.01-0.31)0.06 (0.01-0.33)0.9590.959Image: height state0.97 (0.67-1.62)1.19 (0.80-2.10)0.004Image: height state6.9-EPETE0.97 (0.67-1.62)1.19 (0.80-2.10)0.061Image: height state6.9-EPETE0.34 (0.23-0.58)0.43 (0.29-0.74)0.061Image: height state11(12)-EPETE0.55 (0.38-1.09)0.70 (0.43-1.20)0.211Image: height state5.6-DiHETE0.28 (0.21-0.38)0.27 (0.21-0.37)0.949Image: height state5.6-DiHETE0.31 (0.26-0.39)0.34 (0.27-0.44)0.258				15-HETE	1.45 (1.09–1.83)	1.34 (1.05–2.16)	0.779
Image: system of the				LXA ₄	0.01 (0.01-0.02)	0.01 (0.01-0.02)	0.636
Image: style				15-oxo-ETE	0.16 (0.11-0.22)	0.16 (0.12-0.25)	0.548
Image: style				12-HETE	0.90 (0.49–1.59)	0.81 (0.43-1.42)	0.503
Image: Marking Series 8-HETE ^a 0.00 (0.00-0.00) 0.00 (0.00-0.17) 0.005 Image: Marking Series 9-HETE 0.80 (0.06-1.23) 0.79 (0.03-1.20) 0.784 Image: Marking Series 11-HETE 0.09 (0.01-0.31) 0.06 (0.01-0.33) 0.959 Image: Marking Series 0.97 (0.67-1.62) 0.75 (0.54-1.07) 0.094 Image: Marking Series 5(6)-EpETrE 0.97 (0.67-1.62) 1.19 (0.80-2.10) 0.045 Image: Marking Series 8(9)-EpETrE 0.34 (0.23-0.58) 0.43 (0.29-0.74) 0.061 Image: Marking Series 11(12)-EpETrE 0.41 (0.25-0.91) 0.50 (0.32-0.97) 0.128 Image: Marking Series 14(15)-EpETrE 0.55 (0.38-1.09) 0.70 (0.43-1.20) 0.211 Image: Marking Series 5,6-DiHETrE 0.28 (0.21-0.38) 0.27 (0.21-0.37) 0.949 Image: Marking Series 8,9-DiHETrE 0.31 (0.26-0.39) 0.34 (0.27-0.44) 0.258				12-oxo-ETE	1.88 (0.99–2.96)	1.69 (0.88-2.78)	0.484
Image: Mark Stress of the stress of				8-HETE ^a	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.17)	0.005
Image: Marking Series				9-HETE	0.80 (0.06-1.23)	0.79 (0.03-1.20)	0.784
CYP450 20-HETE 0.64 (0.45-0.89) 0.75 (0.54-1.07) 0.094 Image: CYP450 5(6)-EpETrE 0.97 (0.67-1.62) 1.19 (0.80-2.10) 0.045 Image: CYP450 8(9)-EpETrE 0.34 (0.23-0.58) 0.43 (0.29-0.74) 0.061 Image: CYP450 11(12)-EpETrE 0.41 (0.25-0.91) 0.50 (0.32-0.97) 0.128 Image: CYP450 14(15)-EpETrE 0.55 (0.38-1.09) 0.70 (0.43-1.20) 0.211 Image: CYP450 5,6-DiHETrE 0.28 (0.21-0.38) 0.27 (0.21-0.37) 0.949 Image: CYP450 8,9-DiHETrE 0.31 (0.26-0.39) 0.34 (0.27-0.44) 0.258				11-HETE	0.09 (0.01-0.31)	0.06 (0.01-0.33)	0.959
Image: Mark State 5(6)-EpETrE 0.97 (0.67-1.62) 1.19 (0.80-2.10) 0.045 Image: Mark State 8(9)-EpETrE 0.34 (0.23-0.58) 0.43 (0.29-0.74) 0.061 Image: Mark State 11(12)-EpETrE 0.41 (0.25-0.91) 0.50 (0.32-0.97) 0.128 Image: Mark State 14(15)-EpETrE 0.55 (0.38-1.09) 0.70 (0.43-1.20) 0.211 Image: Mark State 5,6-DiHETrE 0.28 (0.21-0.38) 0.27 (0.21-0.37) 0.949 Image: Mark State 8,9-DiHETrE 0.31 (0.26-0.39) 0.34 (0.27-0.44) 0.258			CYP450	20-HETE	0.64 (0.45-0.89)	0.75 (0.54–1.07)	0.094
Image: Mark Stress 8(9)-EpETrE 0.34 (0.23-0.58) 0.43 (0.29-0.74) 0.061 Image: Mark Stress 11(12)-EpETrE 0.41 (0.25-0.91) 0.50 (0.32-0.97) 0.128 Image: Mark Stress 14(15)-EpETrE 0.55 (0.38-1.09) 0.70 (0.43-1.20) 0.211 Image: Mark Stress 5,6-DiHETrE 0.28 (0.21-0.38) 0.27 (0.21-0.37) 0.949 Image: Mark Stress 8,9-DiHETrE 0.31 (0.26-0.39) 0.34 (0.27-0.44) 0.258				5(6)-EpETrE	0.97 (0.67–1.62)	1.19 (0.80-2.10)	0.045
Image: Mark Strength Strengt Strength Strength Strength Strength Strength Stren				8(9)-EpETrE	0.34 (0.23-0.58)	0.43 (0.29-0.74)	0.061
Image: Mark Strength Strengt Strength Strength Strength Strength Strength Stren				11(12)-EpETrE	0.41 (0.25-0.91)	0.50 (0.32-0.97)	0.128
Image: System 5,6-DiHETrE 0.28 (0.21-0.38) 0.27 (0.21-0.37) 0.949 Image: System 8,9-DiHETrE 0.31 (0.26-0.39) 0.34 (0.27-0.44) 0.258				14(15)-EpETrE	0.55 (0.38-1.09)	0.70 (0.43-1.20)	0.211
8,9-DiHETrE 0.31 (0.26-0.39) 0.34 (0.27-0.44) 0.258				5,6-DiHETrE	0.28 (0.21-0.38)	0.27 (0.21-0.37)	0.949
				8,9-DiHETrE	0.31 (0.26-0.39)	0.34 (0.27-0.44)	0.258
11,12-DiHETrE 0.44 (0.35–0.57) 0.44 (0.35–0.61) 0.942				11,12-DiHETrE	0.44 (0.35–0.57)	0.44 (0.35-0.61)	0.942
14,15-DiHETrE 1.05 (0.91–1.38) 1.04 (0.86–1.35) 0.652				14,15-DiHETrE	1.05 (0.91–1.38)	1.04 (0.86-1.35)	0.652
THF diol 0.00 (0.00-0.02) 0.01 (0.00-0.03) 0.186				THF diol	0.00 (0.00-0.02)	0.01 (0.00-0.03)	0.186
LA LOX 9,10,13-TriHOME 5.10 (2.30–7.86) 4.04 (2.42–9.86) 0.947		LA	LOX	9,10,13-TriHOME	5.10 (2.30-7.86)	4.04 (2.42-9.86)	0.947
9,12,13-TriHOME 17.3 (12.78–23.4) 18.84 (13.42–26.6) 0.372				9,12,13-TriHOME	17.3 (12.78–23.4)	18.84 (13.42–26.6)	0.372
9-HODE 6.94 (4.80–9.38) 6.42 (5.00–8.22) 0.468				9-HODE	6.94 (4.80-9.38)	6.42 (5.00-8.22)	0.468
9-oxo-ODE 1.91 (1.20-3.32) 2.51 (1.47-3.58) 0.156				9-oxo-ODE	1.91 (1.20-3.32)	2.51 (1.47-3.58)	0.156
13-HODE 15.46 (11.92–22.2) 15.11 (11.98–19.22) 0.711				13-HODE	15.46 (11.92-22.2)	15.11 (11.98–19.22)	0.711
13-oxo-ODE 0.69 (0.50–0.98) 0.61 (0.43–0.89) 0.171				13-oxo-ODE	0.69 (0.50-0.98)	0.61 (0.43-0.89)	0.171
CYP450 9(10)-EpOME 4.16 (2.76-6.94) 5.34 (3.36-9.84) 0.061			CYP450	9(10)-EpOME	4.16 (2.76-6.94)	5.34 (3.36-9.84)	0.061
12(13)-EpOME 4.56 (3.28–7.80) 5.72 (3.9–10.32) 0.023				12(13)-EpOME	4.56 (3.28-7.80)	5.72 (3.9–10.32)	0.023
9,10-DiHOME 2.74 (2.12-3.92) 3.09 (2.38-3.98) 0.279				9,10-DiHOME	2.74 (2.12-3.92)	3.09 (2.38-3.98)	0.279
12,13-DiHOME 6.40 (5.20–9.02) 7.34 (5.36–9.40) 0.210				12,13-DiHOME	6.40 (5.20-9.02)	7.34 (5.36-9.40)	0.210
Auto-oxidation EKODE 2.42 (1.35-5.34) 3.17 (1.38-5.80) 0.375			Auto-oxidation	EKODE	2.42 (1.35-5.34)	3.17 (1.38–5.80)	0.375
DGLA LOX 15(S)-HETrE 0.45 (0.36-0.64) 0.49 (0.35-0.63) 0.794		DGLA	LOX	15(S)-HETrE	0.45 (0.36-0.64)	0.49 (0.35–0.63)	0.794
ω-3 ALA LOX 9-HOTrE 0.33 (0.25-0.46) 0.33 (0.24-0.42) 0.776	ω-3	ALA	LOX	9-HOTrE	0.33 (0.25-0.46)	0.33 (0.24–0.42)	0.776
13-HOTrE 0.41 (0.30–0.59) 0.37 (0.26–0.53) 0.196				13-HOTrE	0.41 (0.30-0.59)	0.37 (0.26-0.53)	0.196
CYP450 9(10)-EpODE 0.39 (0.19-0.76) 0.50 (0.25-1.05) 0.109			CYP450	9(10)-EpODE	0.39 (0.19–0.76)	0.50 (0.25-1.05)	0.109
12(13)-EpODE 0.15 (0.07–0.30) 0.19 (0.10–0.40) 0.143				12(13)-EpODE	0.15 (0.07-0.30)	0.19 (0.10-0.40)	0.143
15(16)-EpODE 2.14 (1.59–3.86) 2.66 (1.58–4.08) 0.512				15(16)-EpODE	2.14 (1.59-3.86)	2.66 (1.58-4.08)	0.512
9,10-DiHODE 0.02 (0.00-0.08) 0.03 (0.00-0.08) 0.248				9,10-DiHODE	0.02 (0.00-0.08)	0.03 (0.00-0.08)	0.248

(Continued)



Table 2. (Continued)

Class	PUFA	Pathway	Oxylipin	Placebo (n = 95) nM	Celecoxib (n = 90) nM	Rank-Sum P-Value
			12,13-DiHODE	0.09 (0.05-0.16)	0.11 (0.04–0.18)	0.354
			15,16-DiHODE	5.70 (3.78-8.24)	5.80 (4.00-7.52)	0.854
	EPA	COX	Resolvin E1	0.05 (0.00-0.12)	0.05 (0.00-0.13)	0.754
		LOX	5-HEPE	0.25 (0.17-0.34)	0.27 (0.19-0.43)	0.107
			12-HEPE	0.18 (0.10-0.29)	0.16 (0.09-0.31)	0.602
			15-HEPE	0.09 (0.04-0.14)	0.09 (0.04-0.17)	0.481
		CYP450	8,9-DiHETE	0.04 (0.03-0.07)	0.05 (0.03-0.10)	0.163
			11,12-DiHETE	0.04 (0.03-0.05)	0.05 (0.03-0.07)	0.042
			14,15-DiHETE	0.08 (0.06-0.11)	0.08 (0.05-0.12)	0.919
			17,18-DiHETE	0.41 (0.31-0.57)	0.39 (0.30-0.63)	0.761
			8,15-DiHETE	0.04 (0.01-0.11)	0.06 (0.02-0.14)	0.061
			8(9)-EpETE	0.06 (0.05-0.09)	0.07 (0.05-0.10)	0.095
			11(12)-EpETE	0.06 (0.03-0.10)	0.06 (0.04-0.11)	0.434
			14(15)-EpETE	0.02 (0.00-0.06)	0.02 (0.00-0.06)	0.376
			17(18)-EpETE	0.09 (0.05-0.17)	0.13 (0.07-0.25)	0.047
	DHA	LOX	17-HDoHE	0.40 (0.25-0.71)	0.45 (0.25-0.69)	0.705
		CYP450	7(8)-EpDPE	2.54 (1.49-5.48)	3.10 (1.78-6.62)	0.241
			10(11)-EpDPE	0.25 (0.15-0.42)	0.30 (0.17-0.60)	0.166
			13(14)-EpDPE	0.13 (0.07-0.23)	0.17 (0.09-0.35)	0.094
			16(17)-EpDPE	0.16 (0.09-0.31)	0.19 (0.10-0.36)	0.320
			19(20)-EpDPE	0.35 (0.21-0.65)	0.43 (0.23-0.81)	0.287
			7,8-DiHDPE	0.09 (0.05-0.13)	0.09 (0.06-0.13)	0.585
			10,11-DiHDPE	0.12 (0.09-0.19)	0.14 (0.10-0.23)	0.191
			13,14-DiHDPE	0.13 (0.10-0.20)	0.13 (0.11-0.21)	0.321
			16,17-DiHDPE	0.24 (0.16-0.36)	0.25 (0.18-0.40)	0.580
			19,20-DiHDPE	1.99 (1.35–2.74)	2.03 (1.34-3.02)	0.778
			4,5-DiHDPE	0.48 (0.35-0.74)	0.54 (0.34-1.02)	0.259

^aThe median value for 8-HETE is below the limit of quantitation (< 0.0008 nM).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196398.t002

[23.6 nM (19.1–37.5); P = 0.032; Fig 3a]. At the individual-oxylipin level, 11 CYP450-derived oxylipins were higher in celecoxib than placebo at P < 0.05 (Fig 3c and 3d): ARA-derived 20-HETE (P = 0.030); 5(6)-EpETrE (P = 0.008); 8(9)-EpETrE (P = 0.020); 11(12)-EpETrE (P = 0.028); and 14(15)-EpETrE (P = 0.045); LA-derived 9(10)-EpOME (P = 0.012) and 12 (13)-EpOME (P = 0.011); EPA derived 17(18)-EpETE (P = 0.039); and DHA derived 10(11)-EpDPE (P = 0.031), 13(14)-EpDPE (P = 0.030) and 4,5-DiHDPE (P = 0.039). While there were no differences overall in the summed LOX pathway metabolites, 5-HETE and 8-HETE (both ARA-derived) were higher in celecoxib than in placebo (P = 0.040 and P = 0.027 respectively).

Providing evidence of a modulating effect of aspirin, there were no differences at the pathway-level between celecoxib and placebo among aspirin users (Fig 4a). Individually, the ARA metabolite PGD₂ (a COX product) was higher in the placebo-plus-aspirin group than the celecoxib-plus-aspirin group (P = 0.038; Fig 4c and 4d). Additionally, LOX metabolites 15-HEPE (EPA) and 8-HETE (ARA) were higher in celecoxib-plus-aspirin than placebo-plus-aspirin (P = 0.039 and P = 0.049 respectively).





D.

Class	PUFA	Pathway	Oxylipin	Placebo (nM) median (IQR)	Celecoxib (nM) median (IQR)	<i>P</i> -value
ω-6	ARA	LOX	5-HETE	0.84 (0.61–1.46)	1.14 (0.76–1.79)	0.040
			8-HETE	0.00 (0.00–0.10)	0.00 (0.00–0.08)	0.027
		CYP450	20-HETE	0.58 (0.41–0.79)	0.77 (0.60–0.93)	0.030
			5(6)-EpETrE 0.86 (0.67–1.47) 1.38 (0.87–2.36)		0.008	
			8(9)-EpETrE	0.32 (0.23–0.53)	0.46 (0.33–0.77)	0.020
			11(12)-EpETrE	0.37 (0.23–0.57)	0.56 (0.33–1.13)	0.028
			14(15)-EpETrE	0.51 (0.33–1.00)	0.77 (0.49–1.26)	0.045
ω-6	LA	CYP450	9(10)-EpOME	3.46 (2.62–5.84)	5.82 (3.70–12.4)	0.012
			12(13)-EpOME	4.28 (3.06–7.00)	6.27 (3.92–12.5)	0.011
ω-3	EPA	CYP450	17(18)-EpETE	0.11 (0.04–0.19)	0.14 (0.09–0.25)	0.039
	DHA	CYP450	10(11)-EpDPE	0.19 (0.14–0.42)	0.32 (0.18–0.66)	0.031
			13(14)-EpDPE	0.11 (0.06–0.23)	0.19 (0.09–0.35)	0.030
			4,5-DiHDPE	0.41 (0.26–0.71)	0.60 (0.35–1.11)	0.039

Fig 3. Celecoxib (n = 54) versus placebo (n = 49) among aspirin non-users only. (A) Summed oxylipins from ω-3 CYP450, ω-6 CYP450, and ω -6 LOX pathway arms. Asterisk (*) indicates P = 0.032. (B) Summed oxylipins from ω -3 LOX, ω -3 COX, and ω -6 COX pathways arms. Note that A and B are on different scales. Different colors represent the sum of all quantified oxylipins in a given pathway arm. (C) Overlapping box plots showing significantly different individual oxylipins between placebo (gray) and celecoxib (pink) groups. (D) Median (IQR) levels of oxylipins that are significantly different between groups, with Wilcoxon rank-sum test P-values. Note that the median value for 8-HETE is below the limit of quantitation (< 0.0008 nM).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196398.g003



Fig 4. Celecoxib (n = 36) versus placebo (n = 46) among aspirin users only. (A) Summed oxylipins from ω -3 CYP450, ω -6 CYP450, ω -6 CYP450, and ω -6 LOX pathway arms. (B) Summed oxylipins from ω -3 LOX, ω -3 COX, and ω -6 COX pathways arms. Note that A and B are on different scales. Different colors represent the sum of all quantified oxylipins in a given pathway arm. (C) Overlapping box plots showing significantly different individual oxylipins between placebo (gray) and celecoxib (pink) groups. (D) Median (IQR) levels of oxylipins that are significantly different between groups, with Wilcoxon rank-sum test *P*-values. Note that the median value for 8-HETE among the Placebo is below the limit of quantitation (< 0.0008 nM).

Effect of aspirin and any NSAID on COX-, LOX-, and CYP450-derived metabolites

Next, we considered differences between aspirin users and aspirin non-users with both trial arms combined, to explore aspirin-specific effects. Oxylipins derived from COX metabolism of ω -6 (but not ω -3) fatty acids were non-significantly lower in aspirin users [0.64 (0.43–1.04) nM] compared to non-users [0.71 (0.48–1.47) nM; P = 0.109] (Fig 5b). TBX₂, consistent with being a primary target for aspirin action, was significantly lower (P = 0.0003), whereas LOX-derived oxylipins, 15-HETE and 15(S)-HETrE, were significantly higher (P = 0.042 and



				median (IQR)	median (IQR)	
ω-6	ARA	COX	TXB ₂	0.09 (0.00–0.37)	0.01 (0.00–0.06)	< 0.001
		LOX	15-HETE	1.36 (0.99–1.81)	1.48 (1.18–2.14)	0.042
ω-3	EPA	LOX	15(S)-HETrE	0.44 (0.34–0.58)	0.50 (0.42–0.66)	0.029

Fig 5. No aspirin (n = 103) versus aspirin (n = 82) among all participants. (A) Summed oxylipins from ω -3 CYP450, ω -6 CYP450, and ω -6 LOX pathway arms. (B) Summed oxylipins from ω -3 LOX, ω -3 COX, and ω -6 COX pathways arms. Note that A and B are on different scales. Different colors represent the sum of all quantified oxylipins in a given pathway arm. (C) Overlapping box plots showing significantly different individual oxylipins between aspirin non-users (gray) and users (pink). (D) Median (IQR) levels of oxylipins that are significantly different between groups, with Wilcoxon rank-sum test *P*-values.

P = 0.029, respectively), among aspirin users than non-aspirin users (Fig 5c and 5d). When restricted to *only* the placebo arm to control for effects of celecoxib, only TBX₂ remained significantly lower in aspirin users compared to nonusers (P = 0.012).

We also considered use of *any* NSAID (i.e., aspirin, celecoxib, or both) in the study sample. Overall, we observed a pattern similar to that of celecoxib alone, with higher CYP450 oxylipin levels derived from ω -6 metabolism in circulation of NSAID users than non-users [28.4 (21.4–40.0) versus 23.6 (19.1–37.5) nM; *P* = 0.036; Fig 6a], with no differences in ω -3 metabolism. Of 12 individual oxylipins that were significantly different between NSAID users and non-users, 11 were higher among NSAID users; 9 of these were CYP450-derived oxylipins that included





•	
_	

Class	PUFA	Pathway	Oxylipin	Placebo (nM) median (IQR)	Celecoxib (nM) median (IQR)	P-value
ω-6	ARA	COX	TXB ₂	0.13 (0.00–0.55)	0.02 (0.00–0.17)	0.016
		LOX	5-HETE	0.84 (0.61–1.46)	1.24 (0.77–1.60)	0.018
			8-HETE	0.00 (0.00–0.00)	0.00 (0.00–0.10)	0.006
		CYP450	20-HETE	0.58 (0.41–0.79)	0.74 (0.54–1.07)	0.016
			5(6)-EpETrE	0.86 (0.67–1.47)	1.17 (0.74–2.11)	0.041
			11(12)-EpETrE	0.37 (0.23–0.57)	0.49 (0.31–0.97)	0.034
			THF diol	0.00 (0.00–0.01)	0.00 (0.00–0.03)	0.023
	LA	CYP450	9(10)-EpOME	3.46 (2.62–5.84)	5.03 (3.35–9.79)	0.015
			12(13)-EpOME	4.28 (3.06–7.00)	5.41 (3.83–9.60)	0.022
ω-3	EPA	CYP450	8,15-DiHETE	0.02 (0.01–0.11)	0.06 (0.02–0.13)	0.027
	DHA	CYP450	13(14)-EpDPE	0.11 (0.06–0.23)	0.16 (0.09–0.31)	0.037
		CYP450	7,8-DiHDPE	0.07 (0.04–0.12)	0.09 (0.07–0.13)	0.049

Fig 6. No NSAID (n = 49) versus any NSAID (aspirin and/or celecoxib; n = 136) among all participants. (A) Summed oxylipins from ω -3 CYP450, ω -6 CYP450, and ω -6 LOX pathway arms. Asterisk (*) indicates P = 0.036. (B) Summed oxylipins from ω -3 LOX, ω -3 COX, and ω -6 COX pathways arms. Note that A and B are on different scales. Different colors represent the sum of all quantified oxylipins in a given pathway arm. (C) Overlapping box plots showing significantly different individual oxylipins between NSAID non-users (gray) and users (pink). (D) Median (IQR) levels of oxylipins that are significantly different between groups, with Wilcoxon rank-sum test *P*-values. Note that the median value for 8-HETE is below the limit of quantitation (< 0.0008 nM).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196398.g006



Class PUFA		Pathway	Oxylipin		All (n = 185)			Men (n = 126)			Women (n = 59)		
				Beta	SE	P-value	Beta	SE	P-value	Beta	SE	P-value	
ω-6	ARA	LOX	LXA ₄	89.6	40.8	0.029	109.5	46.3	0.020	-7.3	93.3	0.938	
	ARA	LOX	15-oxo-ETE	17.5	7.4	0.018	23.0	7.7	0.004	-34.8	25.6	0.180	
	ARA	LOX	5-HETE	3.5	1.4	0.011	6.0	1.9	0.003	1.1	2.0	0.596	
	ARA	LOX	8-HETE	14.7	6.2	0.019	20.5	7.4	0.006	-0.5	11.9	0.966	
	ARA	LOX	11,12-,15-TriHETrE	6.0	2.4	0.015	7.1	2.6	0.008	-3.4	7.3	0.644	
	ARA	CYP450	20-HETE	1.0	0.9	0.293	2.4	1.2	0.040	-1.1	1.5	0.476	
	LA	LOX	13-HODE	0.2	0.1	0.139	0.4	0.2	0.031	-0.1	0.2	0.593	
	LA	LOX	9-HODE	0.5	0.3	0.044	0.7	0.3	0.035	0.2	0.5	0.741	
ω-3	ALA	LOX	9-HOTrE	17.9	7.1	0.013	27.1	9.2	0.004	3.8	11.4	0.743	
	EPA	LOX	12-HEPE	3.9	1.6	0.016	3.9	1.7	0.023	2.9	6.6	0.661	
	DGLA	LOX	15(S)-HETrE	9.5	4.2	0.024	15.3	5.1	0.003	-3.3	8.2	0.692	

Table 3. Associations¹ between oxylipins and systolic blood pressure overall and stratified by sex².

¹Adjusted for age, sex, BMI, aspirin, hypertension medication, and celecoxib

²Only oxylipins that were significantly correlated with systolic blood pressure in at least one analysis are shown

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196398.t003

20-HETE from ARA (P = 0.016; Fig 6c and 6d). In addition, LOX-derived 5-HETE (P = 0.018) and 8-HETE (P = 0.006) from ARA were also higher in NSAID users. For COX-derived oxylipins, only TBX₂ from ARA was significantly lower among NSAID users compared to non-NSAID users (P = 0.016).

Oxylipins and blood pressure in the Sel/Cel Trial

We recently reported increased risk of hypertension with daily 400 mg celecoxib in the parent Sel/Cel Trial, observing that the effect of celecoxib on blood pressure was strongest in men [16]. Based on our previous observation that rofecoxib, a potent COX-2 inhibitor in the same class of drug as celecoxib, increases pro-hypertensive 20-HETE in male mice [14] and evidence here for effects of celecoxib on circulating 20-HETE, we next tested for an association between 20-HETE and SBP. In multivariable adjusted analyses, we found no main effect of 20-HETE on SBP (Table 3). However, stratified by sex, we found evidence for a positive association between 20-HETE and SBP in men but not women ($P_{interaction} = 0.058$). In men, a 1-nM increase in 20-HETE was associated with a 2.4-mmHg increase in SBP (P = 0.040).

Because 20-HETE is one of many oxylipins implicated in vascular tone, we also explored the associations between all measured oxylipins and SBP. In analyses of men and women combined, 9 oxylipins, all derived from LOX mediated metabolism, were found to be positively associated with SBP (Table 3). Of these, the LOX-derived LXA₄, 15-oxo-ETE, 8-HETE, and 9-HOTrE exhibited the greatest effect on SBP, consistent with published studies demonstrating an important role of LOX enzyme in hypertension [21]. Analyses stratified by sex suggest that associations between oxylipins and SBP are stronger in men than women. However, the test for oxylipin-by-sex interaction on SBP was P<0.1 for only LOX-derived 13-HODE, 15(S)-HETrE, and 15-oxo-ETE and CYP450-derived 20-HETE (P = 0.064, P = 0.055, and P = 0.058 respectively).

Discussion

Utilizing a mass spectrometry approach to profile 74 oxylipins from COX, LOX, and CYP450 metabolism of PUFAs, we found no evidence for differences in plasma COX-derived metabolites between participants randomized to a 400-mg daily dose of celecoxib or its placebo after

12 months on intervention. In contrast, plasma levels of 4 CYP450-derived metabolites and LOX-derived 8-HETE, were higher in the celecoxib arm compared to placebo. Among non-aspirin users there were 11 CYP450-derived metabolites and 2 LOX-derived. Of these, 2 ARA-derived oxylipins (e.g., LOX-derived 8-HETE and 5-HETE) were positively associated with blood pressure overall with a stronger association among men, and CYP450-derived 20-HETE was positively associated with blood pressure among men only.

In the initial hypothesis testing, it was expected that levels of COX-derived metabolites, in particular PGE_2 , would be lower in the celecoxib group relative to placebo. However, only 7 COX-derived oxylipins exhibited sufficient detectability in plasma and, of those, 4 had > 60% of measurements below the limit of quantitation. This finding is consistent with the instability of these metabolites in circulation and highlights the challenges associated with quantifying the unstable products of the COX-2 pathway [22]. Thus, interpretation of celecoxib effects on the COX pathway metabolites should be interpreted with caution.

CYP450 metabolites derived from ω -6 fatty acids, including 20-HETE, are strongly suspect as causal in hypertension and cardiotoxicity of the ω -6 fatty acids [23]. In this study, the only CYP450 ω -6 derived metabolites that were significantly higher in the celecoxib than placebo arms were two putative cardioprotective epoxygenase metabolites: 5(6)-EpETrE and 12(13)-EpOME, derived from ARA and LA, respectively [24]. In addition, two ω -3 CYP450 oxylipins, 11,12-DiHETE and 17(18)-EpETE, both derived from EPA, were found to be present at higher plasma concentrations in the celecoxib group. These epoxygenase metabolites from ω -6 and ω -3 are metabolized by the soluble epoxide hydrolase (sEH) enzyme to corresponding 1,2-dihydroxy-fatty acids (i.e., DiHOMEs and DiETEs); which have been positively associated with cardiotoxic effects [25]. Preclinical studies have shown that inhibition of the sEH enzyme leading to increases in the EpOME/DiHOME and EpETE/DiHETE ratios has cardioprotective activity [26, 27]. In our study, we found no differences between placebo and celecoxib groups in either of these ratios or stratified by sex (*data not shown*) suggesting no effect of celecoxib on sEH. Additional studies however are needed to confirm the lack of celecoxib effects on cardiac outcomes due to perturbing of the epoxygenase metabolites.

Some CYP450 oxylipins have potent microcirculatory effects, with adverse effects on the vasculature as well as renal, cerebral, and cardiac health [25]. 20-HETE, a CYP450-derived ω hydroxylation metabolite of ARA, is among the better-characterized vascular-acting oxylipins [28]. 20-HETE plays a complex role in blood pressure regulation, including a proposed prohypertensive activity resulting from induction of the endothelial angiotensin-converting enzyme and stimulation of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system. Previously, we found that administration of the COX-2-selective inhibitor rofecoxib resulted in a 120-fold increase in 20-HETE in the plasma of male mice and that administered 20-HETE shortened tail bleeding time (a bioindicator for cardiotoxic activity) [13]. Plasma 20-HETE levels in this study were found to be non-significantly increased in the celecoxib arm compared to placebo, and significantly higher in participants on celecoxib not taking aspirin when compared to the placebo arm not taking aspirin (Fig 3c and 3d). There was no evidence for similar dramatic increases in 20-HETE levels with celecoxib in either men or women as observed with rofecoxib in male mice. This suggests that the observed increase in 20-HETE levels in mice is either specific to rofecoxib, shown to have higher cardiovascular toxicities, and/or that COX-2 inhibition and effects on 20-HETE metabolism differ between mice and humans. Our observation of a positive association between 20-HETE and blood pressure in men however does support a role for 20-HETE on vascular tone. The lack of an association in women is interesting given evidence that 20-HETE mediates both androgen-induced and androgen-independent hypertension in experimental models [29]. The contribution of sexual dimorphism in NSAID-specific adverse

events, including a possible role for androgen-regulated CYPs in ARA metabolism [30], is intriguing and merits further investigation.

In addition to the effects of COX-2 inhibition on CYP450 metabolism of PUFAs, LOX metabolism has been reported to be disturbed with inhibition of COX-2 and is supported by our findings. Two LOX-derived oxylipins from ARA, 5-HETE and 8-HETE, were higher in celecoxib compared to placebo. Plasma concentrations of 8-HETE were increased with celecoxib, independent of aspirin, and positively associated with SBP. Notably, 8-HETE was the only metabolite of ARA that was significantly higher in individuals on both celecoxib and low dose aspirin. We did not observe independent effects of aspirin on 8-HETE, though our sample size for this group is limited. Further work is needed to determine if this represents any additive effects of the two drugs. While less characterized for its effects, 8-HETE has been shown to be pro-inflammatory and to induce cardiac hypertrophy in cultured cardiomyocytes [31]. Overall, 5-HETE was higher in the celecoxib arm compared to placebo (but not statistically significant), and among non-aspirin users was statistically significantly higher in the celecoxib arm. Similar to 8-HETE, 5-HETE was also positively associated with SBP. The association with SBP is supported by pre-clinical studies and results from clinical trials where 5-HETE has been shown to induce pulmonary vasoconstriction as well as play a role in the development of hypertension and the pathogenesis of cardiac hypertrophy [32].

When any NSAID (aspirin, celecoxib, or both) was compared to no NSAID use (Fig 6), we observed differences between the groups in the level of plasma CYP450 metabolites derived from ω -6 fatty acids with a pattern similar to celecoxib alone. There were 9 total CYP450-derived metabolites that were significantly higher in NSAID users, including 20-HETE. As with celecoxib alone, increases in the putative cardioprotective epoxygenase metabolites 5(6)-EpE-TrE (from ARA), 11(12)-EpETrE (from ARA), and 13(14)-EpDPE (from DHA) were significantly higher among NSAID users [25]. However, among the any NSAID use group, sEH-derived metabolites 8,15-DiHETE (from EPA) and 7,8-DiHDPE (from DHA), were significantly higher. In addition to these metabolites, two LA oxylipins from CYP450 metabolism (i.e., 9(10)-EpOME and 12(13)-EpOME) were significantly higher among NSAID users. Their significance is unclear. The literature on LA oxylipins is limited and inconsistent; some, but not all, preclinical studies suggest these oxylipins are cardiotoxic [33]. Analysis of the EpOME/DiHOME and EpETE/DiHETE ratio for any NSAID use versus no NSAID use in all subjects or sex-stratified analyses were null (data not shown).

To gain further insight on the relationship between circulating oxylipins and blood pressure independent of NSAIDs, we also investigated the association between the individual oxylipins and SBP controlling for NSAIDs, age, sex and medication for hypertension and stratified on sex. Three of the oxylipins that exhibited differences between the celecoxib and placebo arms (5-HETE, 8-HETE and 20-HETE) were significantly different when the analysis was restricted to non-aspirin users and also were independently associated with SBP. This strengthens the potential significance of COX-2 inhibitor perturbations on these metabolites in risk for hypertension. It is noteworthy that 9 of the 11 oxylipins found to be independently and positively associated with SBP were derived from LOX mediated metabolism. Of these, LXA_4 , 15-oxo-ETE, 8-HETE and 9-HOTrE exhibited the greatest effect on SBP. The predominant involvement of the LOX derived metabolites is interesting given limited, but compelling, experimental evidence demonstrating an important role of LOX enzymes in experimental hypertension in mice [21]. Interpreting these results and their significance for hypertension is challenging given the unclear relationship between mouse and human LOX enzyme/metabolite systems and physiologic effects. As an aside, for all the oxylipin associations with SBP, the associations were more striking for men and in some cases test for interaction were suggestive of sex specific differences. However, these should be interpreted with caution and considered only

hypothesis generating due in part to smaller numbers of women in the study and the influence of other uncontrolled factors including dietary differences in fatty acids between men and women or actual adherence to blood pressure medications.

Conclusions

Here we provide evidence from a randomized trial that celecoxib use increases specific circulating CYP450- and LOX-derived oxylipin metabolites that are positively associated with measured blood pressure. Overall effects of celecoxib use on CYP450 and LOX derived metabolites were more evident in participants who did not report taking regular aspirin on study. This result suggests modulating effects of aspirin in combination with COX-2 inhibitors on circulating oxylipins. Importantly, this is the first study to identify LOX ARA derived 8- and 5-HETE as putative mediators of celecoxib adverse effects on blood pressure. While our findings require replication, and are hypothesis-generating in nature, this is the first report on celecoxib effects on plasma oxylipin levels using a large mass spectrometry panel of oxylipin metabolites in a randomized sample. Further, it is the first to support effects of COX-2 inhibitors on 20-HETE and relate this to blood pressure in men.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Metabolism of ω -6 and ω -3 fatty acids. ω -6 (panel A) and ω -3 (panel B) fatty acids are metabolized by three enzyme families, cyclooxygenase (COX), lipoxygenase (LOX), and cytochrome P450 (CYP450), to produce multiple bioactive metabolites called oxylipins. CYP450 metabolites are metabolized by soluble epoxide hydrolase (sEH). Only oxylipins that were quantified on our analytical platform are depicted, excluding THF diol which is produced from multiple epoxyeicosatrienoic acids. Enzymes are shown in grey boxes. (PDF)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Jessica A. Martinez, Bruce D. Hammock, Patricia A. Thompson.

Formal analysis: Betsy C. Wertheim, Denise J. Roe.

Funding acquisition: Jessica A. Martinez, Jun Yang, Bruce D. Hammock, Patricia A. Thompson.

Methodology: Jun Yang, Alexander Schriewer.

Project administration: Jessica A. Martinez.

Supervision: Bruce D. Hammock, Patricia A. Thompson.

Writing - original draft: Jessica A. Martinez, Betsy C. Wertheim, Patricia A. Thompson.

Writing – review & editing: Jessica A. Martinez, Jun Yang, Denise J. Roe, Peter Lance, David S. Alberts, Bruce D. Hammock, Patricia A. Thompson.

References

- Jiang J, Dingledine R. Prostaglandin receptor EP2 in the crosshairs of anti-inflammation, anti-cancer, and neuroprotection. Trends Pharmacol Sci. 2013; 34(7):413–23. Epub 2013/06/26. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.tips.2013.05.003 PMID: 23796953.
- Moreira L, Castells A. Cyclooxygenase as a target for colorectal cancer chemoprevention. Curr Drug Targets. 2011; 12(13):1888–94. PMID: 21158711.

- Rostom A, Dube C, Lewin G, Tsertsvadze A, Barrowman N, Code C, et al. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors for primary prevention of colorectal cancer: a systematic review prepared for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med. 2007; 146(5):376–89. PMID: 17339623.
- Solomon SD, Wittes J, Finn PV, Fowler R, Viner J, Bertagnolli MM, et al. Cardiovascular Risk of Celecoxib in 6 Randomized Placebo-Controlled Trials. The Cross Trial Safety Analysis. 2008; 117 (16):2104–13. https://doi.org/10.1161/circulationaha.108.764530 PMID: 18378608
- Bibbins-Domingo K, Force USPST. Aspirin Use for the Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease and Colorectal Cancer: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. Ann Intern Med. 2016; 164(12):836–45. https://doi.org/10.7326/M16-0577 PMID: 27064677.
- Nissen SE, Yeomans ND, Solomon DH, Lüscher TF, Libby P, Husni ME, et al. Cardiovascular Safety of Celecoxib, Naproxen, or Ibuprofen for Arthritis. New England Journal of Medicine. 2016; 375(26):2519– 29. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1611593 PMID: 27959716.
- MacDonald TM, Hawkey CJ, Ford I, McMurray JJ, Scheiman JM, Hallas J, et al. Randomized trial of switching from prescribed non-selective non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs to prescribed celecoxib: the Standard care vs. Celecoxib Outcome Trial (SCOT). Eur Heart J. 2016. https://doi.org/10.1093/ eurhearti/ehw387 PMID: 27705888.
- Varas-Lorenzo C, Riera-Guardia N, Calingaert B, Castellsague J, Salvo F, Nicotra F, et al. Myocardial infarction and individual nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs meta-analysis of observational studies. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2013; 22(6):559–70. https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3437 PMID: 23616423.
- Gabbs M, Leng S, Devassy JG, Monirujjaman M, Aukema HM. Advances in Our Understanding of Oxylipins Derived from Dietary PUFAs. Adv Nutr. 2015; 6(5):513–40. https://doi.org/10.3945/an.114. 007732 PMID: 26374175.
- Fanelli A, Romualdi P, Vigano R, Lora Aprile P, Gensini G, Fanelli G. Non-selective non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and cardiovascular risk. Acta Biomed. 2013; 84(1):5–11. Epub 2013/11/ 06. PMID: 24189757.
- 11. Wang D, DuBois RN. An inflammatory mediator, prostaglandin E2, in colorectal cancer. Cancer J. 2013; 19(6):502–10. https://doi.org/10.1097/PPO.000000000000003 PMID: 24270349.
- Schmelzer KR, Inceoglu B, Kubala L, Kim IH, Jinks SL, Eiserich JP, et al. Enhancement of antinociception by coadministration of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and soluble epoxide hydrolase inhibitors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006; 103(37):13646–51. Epub 2006/09/05. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.0605908103 PMID: 16950874.
- Liu JY, Li N, Yang J, Qiu H, Ai D, Chiamvimonvat N, et al. Metabolic profiling of murine plasma reveals an unexpected biomarker in rofecoxib-mediated cardiovascular events. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2010; 107(39):17017–22. Epub 2010/09/15. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1011278107 PMID: 20837537.
- Wu CC, Gupta T, Garcia V, Ding Y, Schwartzman ML. 20-HETE and blood pressure regulation: clinical implications. Cardiol Rev. 2014; 22(1):1–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/CRD.0b013e3182961659</u> PMID: 23584425.
- Affara NI, Ruffell B, Medler TR, Gunderson AJ, Johansson M, Bornstein S, et al. B cells regulate macrophage phenotype and response to chemotherapy in squamous carcinomas. Cancer Cell. 2014; 25 (6):809–21. Epub 2014/06/10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2014.04.026 PMID: 24909985.
- Thompson PA, Ashbeck EL, Roe DJ, Fales L, Buckmeier J, Wang F, et al. Celecoxib for the Prevention of Colorectal Adenomas: Results of a Suspended Randomized Controlled Trial. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2016; 108(12). https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djw151 PMID: 27530656.
- Thompson PA, Ashbeck EL, Roe DJ, Fales L, Buckmeier J, Wang F, et al. Selenium Supplementation for Prevention of Colorectal Adenomas and Risk of Associated Type 2 Diabetes. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2016; 108(12). https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djw152 PMID: 27530657.
- Thompson P, Roe DJ, Fales L, Buckmeier J, Wang F, Hamilton SR, et al. Design and baseline characteristics of participants in a phase III randomized trial of celecoxib and selenium for colorectal adenoma prevention. Cancer prevention research. 2012; 5(12):1381–93. Epub 2012/10/13. https://doi.org/10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-12-0204 PMID: 23060037.
- Yang J, Schmelzer K, Georgi K, Hammock BD. Quantitative profiling method for oxylipin metabolome by liquid chromatography electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry. Analytical chemistry. 2009; 81(19):8085–93. Epub 2009/09/01. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac901282n PMID: 19715299.
- Zivkovic AM, Yang J, Georgi K, Hegedus C, Nording ML, O'Sullivan A, et al. Serum oxylipin profiles in IgA nephropathy patients reflect kidney functional alterations. Metabolomics: Official journal of the Metabolomic Society. 2012; 8(6):1102–13. Epub 2013/07/09. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-012-0417-5</u> PMID: 23833568.

- Kriska T, Cepura C, Magier D, Siangjong L, Gauthier KM, Campbell WB. Mice lacking macrophage 12/ 15-lipoxygenase are resistant to experimental hypertension. American Journal of Physiology—Heart and Circulatory Physiology. 2012; 302(11):H2428–H38. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.01120.2011 PMID: 22467300
- 22. Tsikas D, Zoerner AA. Analysis of eicosanoids by LC-MS/MS and GC-MS/MS: a historical retrospect and a discussion. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci. 2014; 964:79–88. Epub 2014/04/20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2014.03.017 PMID: 24742369.
- Imig JD. Epoxyeicosatrienoic Acids and 20-Hydroxyeicosatetraenoic Acid on Endothelial and Vascular Function. Adv Pharmacol. 2016; 77:105–41. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.apha.2016.04.003</u> PMID: 27451096.
- Jamieson KL, Endo T, Darwesh AM, Samokhvalov V, Seubert JM. Cytochrome P450-derived eicosanoids and heart function. Pharmacol Ther. 2017; 179:47–83. Epub 2017/05/30. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.pharmthera.2017.05.005 PMID: 28551025.
- Morisseau C, Hammock BD. Impact of soluble epoxide hydrolase and epoxyeicosanoids on human health. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol. 2013; 53:37–58. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pharmtox-011112-140244 PMID: 23020295.
- Hanif A, Edin ML, Zeldin DC, Morisseau C, Nayeem MA. Deletion of soluble epoxide hydrolase enhances coronary reactive hyperemia in isolated mouse heart: role of oxylipins and PPARgamma. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol. 2016; 311(4):R676–R88. Epub 2016/08/05. https://doi.org/10. 1152/ajpregu.00237.2016 PMID: 27488890.
- Lee JP, Yang SH, Lee HY, Kim B, Cho JY, Paik JH, et al. Soluble epoxide hydrolase activity determines the severity of ischemia-reperfusion injury in kidney. PLoS One. 2012; 7(5):e37075. Epub 2012/05/17. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0037075 PMID: 22590647.
- Hoopes SL, Garcia V, Edin ML, Schwartzman ML, Zeldin DC. Vascular actions of 20-HETE. Prostaglandins Other Lipid Mediat. 2015; 120:9–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prostaglandins.2015.03.002 PMID: 25813407.
- Wu C-C, Mei S, Cheng J, Ding Y, Weidenhammer A, Garcia V, et al. Androgen-Sensitive Hypertension Associates with Upregulated Vascular CYP4A12–20-HETE Synthase. Journal of the American Society of Nephrology. 2013; 24(8):1288–96. https://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2012070714 PMID: 23641057
- Dominianni C, Wu J, Hayes RB, Ahn J. Comparison of methods for fecal microbiome biospecimen collection. BMC Microbiol. 2014; 14. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-14-103 PMID: 24758293
- Maayah ZH, Abdelhamid G, El-Kadi AO. Development of cellular hypertrophy by 8-hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid in the human ventricular cardiomyocyte, RL-14 cell line, is implicated by MAPK and NFkappaB. Cell biology and toxicology. 2015; 31(4–5):241–59. Epub 2015/10/24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/</u> s10565-015-9308-7 PMID: 26493311.
- Maayah ZH, El-Kadi AO. The role of mid-chain hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acids in the pathogenesis of hypertension and cardiac hypertrophy. Arch Toxicol. 2016; 90(1):119–36. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/</u> s00204-015-1620-8 PMID: 26525395.
- Mitchell LA, Grant DF, Melchert RB, Petty NM, Kennedy RH. Linoleic acid metabolites act to increase contractility in isolated rat heart. Cardiovasc Toxicol. 2002; 2(3):219–30. PMID: 12665667.