

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

ON THE DYNAMICS OF HEMISPHERICAL PHASE GROWTH IN NONUNIFORM CONCENTRATION FIELDS

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3tj7439z>

Authors

Cheh, H.Y.

Tobias, Charles W.

Publication Date

1967-03-01

University of California
Ernest O. Lawrence
Radiation Laboratory

ON THE DYNAMICS OF HEMISPHERICAL PHASE GROWTH
IN NONUNIFORM CONCENTRATION FIELDS

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545*

Berkeley, California

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Submitted to: International Journal
of Heat and Mass Transfer

UCRL-17439
Preprint

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California
AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

ON THE DYNAMICS OF HEMISPHERICAL PHASE GROWTH IN
NONUNIFORM CONCENTRATION FIELDS

H. Y. Cheh and Charles W. Tobias

March, 1967

ON THE DYNAMICS OF HEMISPHERICAL PHASE GROWTH IN
NONUNIFORM CONCENTRATION FIELDS

H. Y. Cheh* and Charles W. Tobias
Inorganic Materials Research Division,
Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, and
Department of Chemical Engineering
University of California, Berkeley

March, 1967

ABSTRACT

Theoretical calculations on the dynamics of asymptotic bubble growth in an initially nonuniform concentration field are performed. A significant simplification is achieved by noting that the Jakob number for mass transfer is usually small so that the convective transport can be neglected in comparison with the diffusive transport. Numerical solutions are obtained for the growth rate of a hemispherical bubble on a surface with linear and exponential initial concentration fields of the supersaturated gas in the diffusion boundary layers and also for the cases involving concentration fields resulting from the constant interfacial concentration and the constant mass flux experiments.

* Present address: Bell Telephone Laboratories, Murray Hill, N. J.

I. Introduction

The dynamics of phase growth is of importance to many practical processes. For instance, the growth of bubbles is essential in understanding the overall mass or heat transfer aspects in electrolytic gas evolution or in nucleate boiling, respectively.

The growth of gas bubbles on electrodes is controlled by mass transfer with supersaturation serving as driving force whereas the growth of vapor bubbles in nucleate boiling is controlled by heat transfer with superheat as driving force. A numerical evaluation for the dynamics of bubble growth involves solving simultaneously the equation of continuity, the equation of motion and either the equation of convective diffusion for electrolytic gas evolution or the equation of heat flow for nucleate boiling. An exact solution is often too complex to be obtained. Reasonable assumptions based on a careful examination of a physical process are often used to simplify the individual problem.

It was found by Plesset and Zwick^[1], Forster and Zuber^[2], Scriven^[3], and Glas and Westwater^[4] that for all practical purposes, the consideration of the asymptotic stage of growth where viscous, inertia and surface forces can all be neglected in the extended Rayleigh equation of motion is adequate for describing bubble growth. The growth of very small bubble is usually slow due to the high surface force that arrests its radial motion. However, the transition from this slow growth to the asymptotic growth occurs in a very short time (approximately 10^{-2} sec)^[5]. It is, therefore, only this later stage which provides practical interest.

At this asymptotic stage, the extended Rayleigh equation reduces to a trivial equation which states that the pressure inside the bubble is equal to the pressure in the liquid. Only the convective diffusion

equation or the equation of heat flow need to be solved for the bubble dynamics.

Epstein and Plesset^[6] calculated the growth of a gas bubble in a uniformly supersaturated solution. The problem was greatly simplified by their assumption that the diffusion boundary layer was so thick that the convective transport can be neglected. Methods that include the convective transport at very rapid bubble growth in nucleate boiling have been developed by Plesset and Zwick^[1] and also by Forster and Zuber^[2]. Plesset and Zwick calculated the dynamics of spherical vapor phase growth using a thin thermal boundary layer approximation and the method of regular perturbation. Forster and Zuber considered the bubble as a spherically distributed heat sink and solved also for the dynamics of bubble growth at the asymptotic stage. An exact calculation using the method of similarity transform for the case of asymptotic growth in an initially uniform concentration or temperature field was obtained by Birkhoff, Margulies and Horning^[7] and also by Scriven^[3]. This exact solution reduces to Epstein and Plesset's result at low growth rate and to Plesset and Zwick's result at high growth rate. The numerical constant in Forster and Zuber's calculation is 9.3% lower than the exact solution. Skinner and Bankoff^[8], using the regular perturbation approach of Plesset and Zwick, established a parametric solution for the asymptotic bubble growth in general temperature fields at large superheat.

In this paper, we shall treat the case of a gas bubble growing in an initially nonuniform concentration field.

II. Theoretical Analysis

We are dealing with a hemispherical bubble growing asymptotically on a surface in an initially axisymmetrical concentration field. Both phases are considered to be incompressible. Constant fluid density and constant mass diffusivity are assumed. The density of the gas phase is considered negligible when compared to the liquid density. The diffusion boundary layer is assumed to be so thick that convective transport can be neglected.

A general solution of the spherically symmetric case will first be derived. The solution for the axisymmetric case will then be obtained through a transformation which reduces the axisymmetric equation to the spherically symmetric form. The general result will then be applied to several practical problems [9].

A. Spherically Symmetric Case

This is the case where bubbles are assumed to be growing in a concentration field which is spherically symmetrical. Figure 1 shows the hypothetical concentration field in the solution before the formation of a bubble. Figure 2 gives the schematic concentration field around the bubble during its growth.

The diffusion equation in spherical coordinates for this case is

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = \frac{D}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial c}{\partial r} \right). \quad (1)$$

The boundary conditions are

1. at $t=0, r \geq 0, c=f(r),$ (2)

2. at $r=R, t > 0, c=c_s,$ (3)

3. at $r=R, t > 0,$ a mass balance gives

$$\rho_v \frac{dV}{dt} = AD \left(\frac{\partial c}{\partial r} \right)_{r=R},$$





Figure 1a.



XBL671-360

Figure 1b.

Initial Concentration Field for the Spherically Symmetric Case.





Figure 2a.



XBL671-361

Figure 2b.

Schematic Diagram for the Concentration Field for the Spherically Symmetric Case.

or

$$\rho_v \frac{dR}{dt} = D \left(\frac{\partial c}{\partial r} \right)_{r=R} \quad (4)$$

The solution to Eq. (1) subjected to boundary conditions Eqs. (2) and (3) can be found in Carslaw and Jaeger: [10]

$$c = \frac{1}{2r \sqrt{\pi Dt}} \int_R^\infty r' f(r') \left[e^{-(r-r')^2/4Dt} - e^{-(r+r'-2R)^2/4Dt} \right] dr' + c_s \frac{R}{r} \operatorname{erf} \frac{r-R}{2 \sqrt{Dt}} \quad (5)$$

Differentiating Eq. (5) with respect to r and letting r=R, combining with Eq. (4), we obtain an integro-differential equation for the bubble history,

$$\rho_v \frac{dR}{dt} = D \left[\frac{1}{2R \sqrt{\pi Dt}} \int_R^\infty r' f(r') \frac{(r'-R)}{Dt} e^{-(r'-R)^2/4Dt} dr' - c_s \left(\frac{1}{R} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi Dt}} \right) \right] \quad (6)$$

This can be nondimensionalized by letting

$$m = \frac{r-R}{l}, \quad \mathcal{R} = \frac{R}{l}, \quad \tau = \frac{Dt}{l^2} \text{ and } g(m) = \frac{f(m) - c_s}{f(0) - c_s}, \quad (7)$$

to give a more convenient form,

$$\frac{d\mathcal{R}}{d\tau} = \frac{J}{2\mathcal{R} \sqrt{\pi \tau^3}} \int_0^\infty (m+\mathcal{R}) g(m) m e^{-m^2/4\tau} dm, \quad (8)$$

where $J = \frac{[f(0) - c_s]}{\rho_v}$ is the Jakob number for mass transfer.

B. Axisymmetric Case

The spherically symmetric case in the presence of a planar surface is a hypothetical one. The concentration field is usually of axisymmetric nature. Using spherical coordinates, this means there is no dependence on ϕ . Figure 3 shows the initial concentration field and Figure 4 is a schematic picture of the concentration field during the bubble growth.

The diffusion equation for this case is

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = D \left[\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial c}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial c}{\partial \theta} \right) \right] \quad (9)$$





Figure 3a.



XBL671-362

Figure 3b.

Initial Concentration Field for the Axisymmetric Case.
(Axisymmetric with respect to x -axis)





Figure 4a.



XBL671-363

Figure 4b.

Schematic Diagram for the Concentration Field for the Axisymmetric Case.
(Axisymmetric with respect to x -axis)

The boundary conditions are

$$1. \text{ at } t=0, r \geq 0, \quad c=f(r,\theta), \quad (10)$$

$$2. \text{ at } r=R, t > 0, \quad c=c_s, \quad (11)$$

$$3. \text{ at } r=R, t > 0, \quad \rho_v \frac{dR}{dt} = \frac{D}{2} \int_0^\pi \left(\frac{\partial c}{\partial r} \right)_{r=R} \sin \theta \, d\theta. \quad (12)$$

This set of equations can be transformed to the same form as that of the spherically symmetric case by letting

$$c'(r,t) = \frac{\int_0^\pi [c(r,\theta,t) - c_s] \sin \theta \, d\theta}{\int_0^\pi [f(0,\theta) - c_s] \sin \theta \, d\theta}. \quad (13)$$

In terms of c' , Eqs. (9) to (12) are

$$\frac{\partial c'}{\partial t} = \frac{D}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial c'}{\partial r} \right), \quad (14)$$

and

$$1. \text{ at } t=0, r \geq 0, \quad c' = \frac{\int_0^\pi [f(r,\theta) - c_s] \sin \theta \, d\theta}{\int_0^\pi [f(0,\theta) - c_s] \sin \theta \, d\theta}, \quad (15)$$

$$2. \text{ at } r=R, t > 0, \quad c'=0, \quad (16)$$

$$3. \text{ at } r=R, t > 0, \quad \rho_v \frac{dR}{dt} = D \left(\frac{\partial c'}{\partial r} \right)_{r=R}. \quad (17)$$

Comparing Eqs. (1) and (2-4) to Eqs. (14) and (15-17), it is obvious that Eq. (8) is also valid for the axisymmetric case provided $g(m)$ and

$$J \text{ are redefined as } g(m) = \frac{\int_0^\pi [f(m,\theta) - c_s] \sin \theta \, d\theta}{\int_0^\pi [f(0,\theta) - c_s] \sin \theta \, d\theta}, \quad (18)$$

and

$$J = \frac{1}{\rho_v} \int_0^\pi [f(0, \theta) - c_s] \sin \theta \, d\theta . \quad (19)$$

C. Solutions

The radius-time history for the bubble may be obtained for various cases by solving Eq. (8) with the appropriate initial conditions $g(m)$. An exact solution will be presented for the case of uniform initial supersaturation. For cases of general initial conditions, no exact solutions can be obtained. The solution for cases of low and of high Jakob numbers will then be given.

1. Uniform Supersaturation

$g(m)$ for this case is simply unity. Eq. (8) is, therefore,

$$\frac{dR}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{2R\sqrt{\pi\tau^3}} \int_0^\infty (m+R)m e^{-m^2/4\tau} \, dm = J \left[\frac{1}{R} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\tau}} \right] . \quad (20)$$

If we let $\xi^2 = 2J\tau$ and $\beta = (J/2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, Eq. (20) simplifies to

$$\frac{dR}{d\xi} = \frac{1}{R} + 2\beta . \quad (21)$$

If the degree of supersaturation is low, 2β will be much smaller than $1/R$. This is equivalent to saying that

$$\int_0^\infty m^2 e^{-m^2/4\tau} \, dm \text{ is much larger than } \int_0^\infty Rm e^{-m^2/4\tau} \, dm .$$

The solution is then simply

$$R = (2J\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}} , \quad (22)$$

or

$$R = \sqrt{\frac{2D(c_\infty - c_s)}{\rho_v}} t . \quad (23)$$

If the degree of supersaturation is very high, i.e., $2\beta \gg 1/R$ or

$$\int_0^{\infty} m^2 e^{-m^2/4\tau} dm \text{ is much smaller than } \int_0^{\infty} \mathcal{R} m e^{-m^2/4\tau} dm ,$$

the solution is

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} J \tau^{\frac{1}{2}} , \quad (24)$$

or

$$R = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{c_{\infty} - c_s}{\rho_v} \sqrt{Dt} \quad (25)$$

Eqs. (23) and (25) are the same as those calculated by Epstein and Plesset [6]. A complete solution to Eq. (21) has also been obtained by them. Their result can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{R} = e^{fz} \left[\cosh \left[(1+f^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} z \right] + f (1+f^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sinh \left[(1+f^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} z \right] \right] , \quad (26)$$

$$\xi = e^{fz} (1+f^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sinh \left[(1+f^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} z \right] . \quad (27)$$

2. Low Supersaturation

This is the case where in Eq. (8),

$$\int_0^{\infty} m^2 g(m) e^{-m^2/4\tau} dm \text{ is much greater than } \int_0^{\infty} \mathcal{R} m g(m) e^{-m^2/4\tau} dm .$$

Eq. (8), therefore, reduces to

$$\frac{d\mathcal{R}}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{2\mathcal{R}\sqrt{\pi\tau^3}} \int_0^{\infty} m^2 g(m) e^{-m^2/4\tau} dm , \quad (28)$$

which can be integrated to give

$$\mathcal{R}^2 = 2J \int_0^{\infty} m g(m) \operatorname{erfc} \frac{m}{2\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}} dm . \quad (29)$$

This is the basic solution for the low supersaturation case. It will now be applied to several practical cases.

a. Linear Concentration Field

A linear decay of concentration from the surface to the distance l and constant thereafter has often been used in literature in performing mass transfer calculations. This distribution can be given in spherical coordinates by

$$f(r, \theta) = \begin{cases} c_{\infty} + (c_w - c_{\infty}) \left(1 - \frac{r \cos \theta}{l}\right), & r/l < 1, & (30) \\ c_{\infty}, & 0 < \theta < \cos^{-1} \frac{l}{r}, & (31) \\ c_{\infty} + (c_w - c_{\infty}) \frac{r \cos \theta}{l}, & \cos^{-1} \frac{l}{r} < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}, & (32) \end{cases} \quad r/l > 1,$$

where c_{∞} is the bulk concentration and c_w is the concentration at $\theta = \pi/2$.

Substituting Eqs. (30-32) into Eq. (18) yields

$$g(m) = \begin{cases} 1 - \omega \left(1 - \frac{1}{2m}\right), & m > 1, & (33) \\ 1 - \frac{\omega m}{2}, & m < 1, & (34) \end{cases}$$

where

$$\omega = \frac{c_w - c_{\infty}}{c_w - c_s}. \quad (35)^*$$

Substituting Eqs. (33) and (34) into Eq. (29) and integrating, the following analytic solution is obtained,

$$\mathcal{R}^2 = 2J \left[\tau - \omega \left[\frac{1}{6} \operatorname{erfc} \frac{1}{2\tau^{1/2}} + \tau \operatorname{erfc} \frac{1}{2\tau^{1/2}} + \frac{4\tau^{3/2}}{3\sqrt{\pi}} \left(1 - \left(1 + \frac{1}{4\tau}\right) e^{-\frac{1}{4\tau}} \right) \right] \right]. \quad (36)$$

*

ω will again appear in later cases. It can be expressed more generally by

$$\omega = \frac{\text{interface concentration} - \text{bulk concentration}}{\text{interface concentration} - \text{saturation concentration}}.$$

Therefore, $\omega=0$ signifies the uniform supersaturation case. $0 < \omega < 1$ means that the solution is supersaturated, however a gradient of concentration from the bulk to the interface exists. $\omega=1$ means the bulk solution is saturated and $\omega > 1$ is the case where the bulk is below saturation.

This result is given in Figure 5. For cases where $\omega > 1$, a maximum of radius occurs. The growth of bubble is followed by a collapse which is due to the low concentration of gas in the bulk solution.

b. Exponential Concentration Field

A better approximation to the linear concentration field in the diffusion boundary layer is the exponential representation, i.e.,

$$f(r, \theta) = c_{\infty} + (c_w - c_{\infty}) \exp\left(\frac{r \cos \theta}{-l}\right), \quad 0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad (37)$$

or

$$g(m) = 1 - \omega \left[1 - \frac{1}{m} (1 - e^{-m})\right], \quad (38)$$

where $\omega = \frac{c_w - c_{\infty}}{c_w - c_s}$. Eq. (29) can also be integrated in closed form for this case,

$$R^2 = 2J \left[\tau - \omega \left(\tau - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \tau^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 - e^{\tau} \operatorname{erfc} \tau^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right]. \quad (39)$$

A numerical solution is given in Figure 6.

c. Constant Interfacial Concentration*


The interfacial concentration c_w is maintained to be a constant. The well-known solution of the diffusion equation prior to the appearance of a bubble in a semi-infinite medium provides us the initial condition for this case, [10]

$$\begin{aligned} f(r, \theta) &= c_{\infty} + (c_w - c_{\infty}) \operatorname{erfc} \frac{x}{2\sqrt{Dt}} \\ &= c_{\infty} + (c_w - c_{\infty}) \operatorname{erfc} \frac{r \cos \theta}{l}, \quad 0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where $l = 2(Dt)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and t can be interpreted as the waiting time for bubble growth as is often done in the literature of nucleate boiling.


Integrating Eq. (18), $g(m)$ is obtained as,

* This corresponds to the case of constant potential in electrolytic gas evolution.



XBL671-354

Figure 5. Low Supersaturation with an Initially Linear Concentration Field.



XBL671-67

Figure 6. Low Supersaturation with an Initially Exponential Concentration Field.

$$g(m) = 1 - \omega \left[\operatorname{erf} m - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} m} (1 - e^{-m^2}) \right]. \quad (41)$$

The bubble history is, therefore,

$$\mathcal{R}^2 = 2J \int_0^\infty m \left[1 - \omega \left(\operatorname{erf} m - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} m} (1 - e^{-m^2}) \right) \right] \operatorname{erfc} \frac{m}{2\tau^{1/2}} dm. \quad (42)$$

A numerical solution is given in Figure 7.

d. Constant Mass Flux*

The initial condition for this case is, [10]

$$\begin{aligned} f(r, \theta) &= c_\infty + q \sqrt{\frac{4t}{D}} \operatorname{ierfc} \frac{x}{2\sqrt{Dt}} \\ &= c_\infty + c_q \operatorname{ierfc} \frac{r \cos \theta}{l}, \quad 0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

where q is the constant mass flux, $c_q = q(4t/D)^{1/2}$ and $l = 2(Dt)^{1/2}$. $g(m)$ for this case is

$$g(m) = 1 - \omega \left[1 - e^{-m^2} + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} m \operatorname{erfc} m - \frac{1}{2m} \gamma \left(\frac{3}{2}, m^2 \right) \right], \quad (44)$$

where

$$\omega = \frac{c_q \sqrt{\pi}}{c_\infty + \frac{c_q}{\sqrt{\pi}} - c_s}.$$

The bubble history is, therefore,

$$\mathcal{R}^2 = 2J \int_0^\infty m \left[1 - \omega \left(1 - e^{-m^2} + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} m \operatorname{erfc} m - \frac{1}{2m} \gamma \left(\frac{3}{2}, m^2 \right) \right) \right] \operatorname{erfc} \frac{m}{2\tau^{1/2}} dm. \quad (45)$$


A numerical solution is given in Figure 8.

3. High Supersaturation

This is the case where


$$\int_0^\infty \mathcal{R} m g(m) e^{-m^2/4\tau} dm \text{ is much greater than } \int_0^\infty m^2 g(m) e^{-m^2/4\tau} dm.$$

* This corresponds to the case of constant current in electrolytic gas evolution.



XBL671-69

Figure 7. Low Supersaturation for the Case of Constant Interfacial Concentration.



XBL671-71

Figure 8. Low Supersaturation for the Case of Constant Mass Flux.

Eq. (8) thus reduces to

$$\frac{dR}{d\tau} = \frac{J}{2\sqrt{\pi\tau^3}} \int_0^{\infty} mg(m)e^{-m^2/4\tau} dm, \quad (46)$$

which can be integrated to give

$$R = J \int_0^{\infty} g(m) \operatorname{erfc} \frac{m}{2\tau^{1/2}} dm. \quad (47)$$

This equation is applied to the same cases treated in the last section. We shall merely give the bubble-history equation whose numerical solutions are presented in Figure 9 to Figure 12.

a. Linear Concentration Field

$$R = J \left[\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \tau^{1/2} - \omega \left(\int_0^1 \frac{m}{2} \operatorname{erfc} \frac{m}{2\tau^{1/2}} dm + \int_1^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2m}\right) \operatorname{erfc} \frac{m}{2\tau^{1/2}} dm \right) \right]. \quad (48)$$

b. Exponential Concentration Field

$$R = J \int_0^{\infty} \left[1 - \omega \left(1 - \frac{1}{m} (1 - e^{-m}) \right) \right] \operatorname{erfc} \frac{m}{2\tau^{1/2}} dm. \quad (49)$$


c. Constant Interfacial Concentration

$$R = J \int_0^{\infty} \left[1 - \omega \left(\operatorname{erf} m - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} m} (1 - e^{-m^2}) \right) \right] \operatorname{erfc} \frac{m}{2\tau^{1/2}} dm. \quad (50)$$

d. Constant Mass Flux


$$R = J \int_0^{\infty} \left[1 - \omega \left(1 - e^{-m^2} + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} m \operatorname{erfc} m - \frac{1}{2m} \gamma \left(\frac{3}{2}, m^2 \right) \right) \right] \operatorname{erfc} \frac{m}{2\tau^{1/2}} dm. \quad (51)$$

Thus we have completed our calculation for the bubble dynamics under the condition that the convective transport can be neglected. It is found that for the case of uniform supersaturation, the radius is




XBL 671-73

Figure 9. High Supersaturation with an Initially Linear Concentration Field.




XBL671-75

Figure 10. High Supersaturation with an Initially Exponential Concentration Field.



XBL671-77

Figure 11. High Supersaturation for the Case of Constant Interfacial Concentration.



XBL671-79

Figure 12. High Supersaturation for the Case of Constant Mass Flux.

proportional to $(Dt)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for both the low and the high supersaturation cases. However, the dependence of \mathcal{R} on the dimensionless driving force, J , is different. It is proportional to $J^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for the case of low supersaturation and to J for the high supersaturation case. For other cases, the dependence on J remains the same as the uniform supersaturation case. However, the radius of the bubble is no longer proportional to $(Dt)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Since no generation term is considered in the derivation, the bubble cannot grow indefinitely. For cases where $\omega \leq 1$, the growth will cease after the bubble has completely exhausted the supersaturated concentration in the solution. For cases where $\omega > 1$, a collapse often occurs due to the low gas content in the bulk solution.

III. Discussion

Westerheide and Westwater^[11] and also Glas and Westwater^[4] measured the growth of various kinds of gas bubbles during electrolysis. For the majority of bubbles they studied, the radius of the bubble was found to be proportional to the square root of time. From the radius-time plot, using Scriven's solution^[3] for the asymptotic growth of bubble in a uniformly supersaturated solution, these authors calculated the supersaturation ratio defined as c_{∞}/c_s for these various types of gas bubbles. Extensive results tabulated in Glas' dissertation^[12] are summarized in Table 1.

The difference of values of c_{∞}/c_s between hydrogen and oxygen to chlorine and carbon dioxide can be explained qualitatively by considering the difference in solubilities of hydrogen and oxygen to chlorine and carbon dioxide in electrolytes. Although these supersaturation ratios appear to be quite different from each other, the concentration gradients

Table 1.

Various Supersaturation Ratios Reported by Glas [12]

Gas	c_s^* in water at 25°C, 1 atm moles/cc	Average c_∞/c_s	Number of Bubbles Used in Averaging
Hydrogen	7.13×10^{-7}	4.65	433
Oxygen	11.4×10^{-7}	5.67	21
Chlorine	8.15×10^{-5}	1.09	86
Carbon dioxide	3.00×10^{-5}	1.31	112

* c_s 's are taken from Landolt-Bornstein, Zahlenwerte und Funktionen, 6. Auflage, II. Band, 2. Teil b, p.1-p.19, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1962).

which are the driving force for mass transfer may not be too different.

Glas and Westwater^[4] tried to correlate their measured growth coefficient to current density which represents the rate of formation of gas by a so-called "unsteady-state model." The concentration field prior to the formation of the new phase is given by the solution of the diffusion equation (cf. Eq. (43)). The concentration at $x=10^{-3}$ cm was chosen arbitrarily as the hypothetical uniform supersaturation in Scriven's model to calculate the growth coefficient. The waiting time which was not measured was used as an adjustable parameter to fit the data. Better agreement was obtained for cases of chlorine and oxygen.

Using our model, the Jakob number for the case of constant mass flux can be obtained by combining Eq. (19) and Eq. (43),

$$\begin{aligned}
 J &= \frac{1}{\rho_v} \int_0^{\pi} [f(0, \theta) - c_s] \sin \theta \, d\theta \\
 &= \frac{1}{\rho_v} \left[c_{\infty} + \frac{q}{D} \sqrt{\frac{4Dt}{\pi}} - c_s \right]. \quad (52)
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the example of hydrogen where ρ_v and c_s are the smallest, using waiting periods ranging from 0.02 to 0.2 sec and a current density of 3.95×10^{-2} amp/cm^{2*} which corresponds to a mass flux of 4.09×10^{-7} g equiv/cm² sec for the case where the solution is saturated with hydrogen initially, J varies from 0.095 to 0.30. Therefore, it can be concluded that all the observations made by Westwater and co-workers fall exclusively in the case where the convective transport can be neglected. However, since the actual waiting time has not been measured, a quantitative evaluation using our model was not possible.

* These are some typical conditions reported in Glas' work^[12].

IV. Conclusions

Theoretical calculations on the dynamics of bubble growth in a nonuniform concentration field were performed. Solutions were obtained for the linear, the exponential concentration fields, the constant interfacial concentration and the constant mass flux cases at both low and high degrees of supersaturation. Unfortunately, no experimental data are available to test these theoretical results.

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank Mr. J. P. Earhart for his assistance with some of the numerical calculations in this work.

This work was performed under the auspices of the United States Atomic Energy Commission.

Nomenclature

- A: Interfacial area between two phases [cm²]
 D: Diffusivity of a species in a homogeneous medium [cm²/sec]
 J: Jakob number for mass transfer = $\frac{1}{\rho_v} \int_0^\pi [f(0, \theta) - c_s] \sin \theta \, d\theta$
 R: Radius of a bubble [cm]
 V: Volume of a bubble [cm³]
 c: Concentration of a species [gm/cm³]
 f: An initial concentration field for bubble growth [gm/cm³]
 g: A dimensionless concentration = $\frac{\int_0^\pi [f(m, \theta) - c_s] \sin \theta \, d\theta}{\int_0^\pi [f(0, \theta) - c_s] \sin \theta \, d\theta}$
 m: A dimensionless distance coordinate = $\frac{r-R}{\ell}$
 q: A constant flux of mass [gm/cm² sec]
 t: Time [sec]

J : A modified Jakob number = $(J/2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

l : A characteristic length [cm]

R : A dimensionless radius = R/l

ξ : A dimensionless parameter = $(2J\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

ρ : Density of a fluid [gm/cm³]

τ : A dimensionless time = Dt/l^2

ω : A dimensionless concentration = $\frac{\text{Interface concentration}-\text{bulk concentration}}{\text{interface concentration}-\text{saturation concentration}}$

Subscripts

- q: Refers to the case of constant mass flux
- s: Refers to quantities at saturation
- v: Refers to quantities in the vapor or gas phase
- ∞ : Refers to quantities in the bulk medium

References

1. M. S. Plesset and S. A. Zwick, The Growth of Vapor Bubble in Superheated Liquids, J. Appl. Phys. 25, 493-500 (1954).
2. H. K. Forster and N. Zuber, Growth of a Vapor Bubble in a Superheated Liquid, J. Appl. Phys. 25, 474-478 (1954).
3. L. E. Scriven, On the Dynamics of Phase Growth, Chem. Eng. Sci. 10, 1-13 (1959).
4. J. P. Glas and J. W. Westwater, Measurement of the Growth of Electrolytic Bubbles, Intern. J. Heat Mass Transfer 7, 1427-1443 (1964).
5. L. A. Waldman and G. Houghton, Spherical Phase Growth in Superheated Liquids, Chem. Eng. Sci. 20, 625-636 (1965).
6. P. S. Epstein and M. S. Plesset, On the Stability of Gas Bubbles in Liquid-Gas Solutions, J. Chem. Phys. 18, 1505-1509 (1950).
7. G. Birkhoff, R. S. Margulies and W. A. Horning, Spherical Bubble Growth, Phys. Fluids 1, 201-204 (1958).

8. L. A. Skinner and S. G. Bankoff, Dynamics of Vapor Bubbles in Spherically Symmetric Temperature Fields of General Variation, *Phys. Fluids* 7, 1-6 (1964). Dynamics of Vapor Bubbles in General Temperature Fields, *ibid.* 8, 1417-1420 (1965).
9. H. Y. Cheh, On the Mechanism of Electrolytic Gas Evolution, Ph.D. Thesis, UCRL-17324, University of California, Berkeley, 1967.
10. H. S. Carslaw and J. C. Jaeger, *Conduction of Heat in Solids*, 2nd ed., Oxford (1959).
11. D. E. Westerheide and J. W. Westwater, Isothermal Growth of Hydrogen Bubbles during Electrolysis, *A.I.Ch.E. Journal* 7, 357-362 (1961).
12. J. P. Glas, Microscopic Growth of Electrolytic Bubbles, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Illinois, Urbana, 1965.

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

[Faint, illegible text covering the majority of the page]

