

UC San Diego

UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Demographic and clinical associations to employment status in older-age bipolar disorder: Analysis from the GAGE-BD database project.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3tr4z27j>

Journal

Bipolar Disorders, 25(8)

Authors

Mallu, Amulya

Chan, Carol

Eyler, Lisa

et al.

Publication Date

2023-12-01

DOI

10.1111/bdi.13381

Peer reviewed



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Bipolar Disord. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2024 December 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Bipolar Disord. 2023 December ; 25(8): 637–647. doi:10.1111/bdi.13381.

Demographic and Clinical Associations to Employment Status in Older-Age Bipolar Disorder: Analysis from the GAGE-BD Database Project

Amulya Mallu,

Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Cleveland, Ohio, USA

Carol K. Chan,

Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, University Hospitals Case Medical Center, Cleveland, Ohio, USA

Lisa T. Eyler,

Department of Psychiatry, University of California San Diego, San Diego, CA, USA and Desert-Pacific Mental Illness Research Education and Clinical Center, VA San Diego Healthcare System, San Diego, CA, USA

Annemiek Dols,

Department of Psychiatry, UMC Utrecht Brain Center, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, the Netherlands, Department of Psychiatry, Amsterdam UMC, location VU Medical Center, Amsterdam Neuroscience, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Soham Rej,

MD, MSc, Department of Psychiatry, Jewish General Hospital/Lady Davis Institute, McGill University, Montreal, Canada

Hilary P. Blumberg,

Department of Psychiatry, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, USA

Kaylee Sarna,

Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, University Hospitals Case Medical Center, Cleveland, Ohio, USA

Brent P. Forester,

McLean Hospital, Belmont, Massachusetts, USA and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

Regan E. Patrick,

McLean Hospital, Belmont, MA, USA and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

Orestes V. Forlenza,

Corresponding author: Martha Sajatovic MD, Department of Psychiatry, W.O. Walker Bldg, 7th Floor, 10524 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44106, Tel: 216-844-2808, Fax: 216-844-1703, martha.sajatovic@uhhospitals.org.

Ethics Approval Statement: Approval to contribute data was obtained by each site's institutional review boards or ethics committees and by the GAGE-BD coordinating center (Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Cleveland, Ohio, USA).

Patient Consent Statement: Patients provided consent to participate in research studies at each individual sites as required by local institutional review board (IRB) or ethics committee.

Laboratory of Neuroscience (LIM-27), Departamento e Instituto de Psiquiatria, Hospital das Clinicas HCFMUSP, Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

Esther Jimenez,

Bipolar and Depressive Disorders Unit, Hospital Clinic, University of Barcelona, IDIBAPS, CIBERSAM, ISCIII, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain

Eduard Vieta,

Bipolar and Depressive Disorders Unit, Hospital Clinic, University of Barcelona, IDIBAPS, CIBERSAM,ISCIII, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain

Sigfried Schouws,

GGZ inGeest, Amsterdam UMC, location VU Medical Center, Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Ashley Sutherland,

Department of Psychiatry, University of California San Diego, San Diego, CA, USA

Joy Yala,

Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, University Hospitals Case Medical Center, Cleveland, Ohio, USA

Farren B. S. Briggs,

Department of Population and Quantitative Health Sciences, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Cleveland, Ohio, USA

Martha Sajatovic

Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, University Hospitals Case Medical Center, Cleveland, Ohio, USA

Abstract

Objective: The current literature on employment in older age bipolar disorder (OABD) is limited. Using the Global Aging and Geriatric Experiments in Bipolar Disorder Database (GAGE-BD), we examined the relationship of occupational status in OABD to other demographic and clinical characteristics.

Methods: 738 participants from 11 international samples with data on educational level and occupational status data were included. Employment status was dichotomized as employed vs unemployed. Generalized linear mixed models with random intercepts for study cohort were used to examine the relationship between baseline characteristics and employment. Predictors in the models included baseline demographics, education, psychiatric symptom severity, psychiatric comorbidity, somatic comorbidity, and prior psychiatric hospitalizations.

Results: In the sample, 23.6% (n=174) were employed while 76.4% were unemployed (n=564). In multivariable logistic regression models, less education, older age, a history of both anxiety and substance/alcohol use disorders, more prior psychiatric hospitalizations, and higher levels of BD depression severity were associated with greater odds of unemployment. In the sub-sample of individuals less than age 65 years, findings were similar. No significant association between manic symptoms, gender, or age of onset and employment status was observed.

Conclusion: Results suggest an association between educational level, age and psychiatric severity and comorbidity in relation to employment in OABD. Implications include need for management of psychiatric symptoms and comorbidity across the lifespan as well as improving educational access to people with BD and skills training or other support for those with work-life breaks to re-enter employment and optimize overall outcome.

Keywords

aging; bipolar disorder; employment; functioning; somatic burden

Introduction

The number of older adults with bipolar disorder (OABD) is projected to increase.¹ Despite this, the process of aging in bipolar disorder (BD) and optimal treatment for OABD patients have been incompletely studied.² Further, there is limited work exploring which factors relate to employment in BD.³ Employment status is recognized as an important disability outcome measure for many illnesses, including BD.^{4,5} Thus, factors that make patients susceptible to disability particularly need to be addressed.

Current evidence is mixed on the association between level of education and employment in BD: some have not observed an association^{6,7} while others note an inverse relationship between higher education and unemployment.⁸ Depressive symptoms, compared to manic symptoms, may be particularly associated with workforce disturbances.^{9,10} Some findings indicate that early age of onset is associated with lower levels of educational attainment.¹¹ Others note that age of onset is not the key factor, but rather, age of first treatment and symptom severity.^{6,12,13} Other reports note that high educational attainment is prevalent in BD¹³ but despite similar or higher education, individuals with BD may have lower incomes and worse employment outcomes.⁶

The relationship between older age and unemployment has had a more consistent pattern of findings in the literature, and some have argued that functional losses accumulate with age.^{4,6,14,15} Potentially independent of the impact of BD, many individuals in their 60s retire from the workforce with the average Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) retirement age being 64.2, though there are country-level and gender differences.¹⁶ Additionally, several findings indicate that number of hospitalizations, length of hospitalizations^{8,9} and symptom severity may contribute to reduced productivity and lost time at work, potentially leading to loss of employment.¹⁷ Studies on employment in OABD are limited by small sample sizes, homogenous participation, or limited clinical information due to obtaining data from registers. There is a need for greater understanding of the demographic and clinical factors associated with occupational status among OABD.

Using data derived from the Global Aging and Geriatric Experiments in Bipolar Disorder Database (GAGE-BD), the aims of this study are to (1) investigate the relationship between employment status and educational level in OABD and (2) determine whether employment status may be associated with age, gender, age of onset, mania and BD depression severity, psychiatric hospitalizations, psychiatric and somatic comorbidity burden, and functioning. Based on the literature as noted above, we hypothesized that currently unemployed

individuals would have lower levels of education, be older, have more severe BD symptoms, have an earlier onset of BD, more likely to be men, have a greater number of psychiatric hospitalizations and more comorbidities compared to those who are employed.

Methods

Study Design

Data for these analyses were derived from the GAGE-BD collaboration, a harmonized dataset containing cross-sectional information for outpatients with OABD from multiple international study sites compiled by members of the International Society for Bipolar Disorders OABD taskforce. Briefly, the goal of the GAGE-BD project is to study the trajectory of BD with age.¹⁸ The integrated database contains de-identified data adapted from archival research and clinical studies from OABD samples. Approval to contribute data was obtained by each site's institutional review boards or ethics committees. Investigators from each study participated in a centralized "intake" process wherein de-identified data was uploaded to a shared and secure on-line drive. Site investigators provided meta-data information such as where the study was conducted, study inclusion and exclusion criteria, sample size, study design, and a data dictionary with variables listing and description. Investigators also reviewed meta-data and descriptive data from their own sites to help ensure that findings were consistent with individual, previously reported results.

Demographic characteristics (age, gender, education, and employment status) and clinical data (diagnosis, BD subtype, age of onset, depression severity, and mania severity) were harmonized across studies. Selected data domains were collected across studies in identical format such as age in years, age of onset in years, and manic symptom severity using the Young Mania Rating Scale (YMRS) total score.¹⁹ These variables were used in the master dataset "as is." It should be noted that while the method of recording age of onset was generally documented as chronological age in years, studies may have used different methods of defining onset (for example, first manic episode vs. first mood episode regardless of polarity).

Some variables required re-coding or harmonization based on meta-data or other variables, such as diagnostic group and subtype (e.g., BD Type I vs BD Type II), or whether individuals were currently employed. Generally, when data were re-coded or harmonized, some degree of granularity was lost. For example, depressive symptom severity from measures that used a continuous scale were re-grouped into ordinal categories by converting scores from standardized depression rating scales into severity bands following procedures established in preliminary work on dataset integration.^{20,21} Additionally, as might be expected in an archival dataset, not all measures were collected in each study. Additional details on harmonization, sample characteristics, and meta-data about the contributing studies to the first wave have also been described elsewhere.^{20, 21}

This analysis used baseline, cross-sectional data from Wave 1 of the GAGE-BD integrated dataset and included participants with BD for which both educational level and occupational status were collected (n=738). As noted in supplemental table 1, a total of 11 studies from seven sites contributed data to this analysis: Case Western Reserve University's 'Open-label,

prospective trial of lamotrigine for Symptoms of Geriatric Bipolar Depression', 'Asenapine in the Treatment of Older Adults with Bipolar Disorder', 'Ziprasidone switching in response to adherence in psychotropic-related weight gain concerns among patients with bipolar disorder', and multi-site study 'Treatment Adherence Enhancement in Bipolar Disorder'; McLean Hospital's 'Geriatric Mood Disorders Research Database'; University of California San Diego's 'Dynamic Inflammatory and Mood Predictors of Cognitive Aging in Bipolar Disorder'; University of Sao Paulo's 'Cognitive impairment and dementia in late life bipolar disorder'; University of Barcelona's 'University of Barcelona Bipolar Disorder Program Cohort'; Yale School of Medicine's 'Mood Disorders Research Program Database'; and GGZ inGeest's 'Dutch Older Bipolar Cohort' wave 2012 and wave 2017-2018. Inclusion and exclusion criteria from these 11 studies are noted in Supplemental Table 2. Not all cases from each study were included in the analyses if a key variable was missing (such as employment status). Among the 11 included studies, 4 (36.3 %) were interventional trials, with the remainder being observational studies. A majority of the studies (N=7, 63.6%) were conducted in the U.S., involving 448 participants (60.7 % of the sample). Studies done outside of the U.S. comprised 2 from the Netherlands, one from Brazil and one from Spain.

Outcome of Interest

Employment status was dichotomized as 'employed' vs 'unemployed'. Different datasets had differing categories of individuals who were not employed, some with clearly defined retired sub-groups vs. other datasets that had broader /non-specific categories. Our overall dataset did not provide information of sufficient granularity to be able to consistently parse out reasons for non-working status, for example, people who took early retirement due the effects of BD and /or retirement because of excessive job demands. Harmonization of categories within these dichotomous groupings resulting in further loss of granularity. For example, a classification of retired (when available) was classified in the unemployed category, as was unemployment due to disability (when available). Individuals classified as part-time employed were classified as employed.

Predictors of Interest

Demographic predictors were age and gender. Education was analyzed as a continuous variable (years of education). BD age of onset was also a continuous variable (in years). Data on the number of lifetime psychiatric hospitalizations, excluding admissions for substance use or dependence, were extracted. Information on comorbid substance use disorders and anxiety disorders were categorized as lifetime or current.

Psychiatric symptom measures included the Young Mania Rating Scale (YMRS) and harmonized ordinal depression severity categories. For this analysis, we focused on manic and depressive symptoms given the greater availability of these variables in the dataset. The YMRS is an 11-item self-report rating scale used to assess the severity of manic symptoms over the preceding 48 hours.¹⁹ Each item on the scale is rated out of 0-4 or 0-8 points, producing a score out of 60, where a higher total score denotes a higher severity of symptoms.

The process for categorizing depressive symptoms from the GAGE-BD collaboration into depression symptom severity bands has been described elsewhere.^{20,22} Briefly, symptoms of depression severity derived from the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D), Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS), and Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression (CES-D) were combined to create a severity score corresponding to mild-moderate and severe depression based on established cut-off scores and the distribution of scores in the dataset. The HAM-D is a clinician-rated scale consisting of 17 items, measuring somatic and affective symptoms of depression.²³ Each item is scored for severity on a scale of 0-2 or 0-5 points, where a higher score reflects higher symptom severity. The MADRS is a 10-item clinician-rated scale that assesses the severity of depressive symptoms in the past week, each rated from 0-6, where a higher score reflects higher depressive symptom severity.²⁴ The CES-D is a 20-item self-report scale that measures depressive symptoms during the previous week, with scores ranging from 0 to 60.²⁵ Scores 0 - 16 represent no depression, scores over 16 indicate clinically relevant depression. Scores 16-27 represent mild to moderate depression and scores over 28 represent severe depression. In our harmonized categories, no depression corresponded to HAM-D total score of 0-7, MADRS total score of 0-6, or CES-D total score of 0-15. Mild-moderate depression corresponded to HAM-D total score of 8-23, MADRS total score of 7-34 or CES-D total score of 16-27. Severe depression corresponded to HAM-D total score of 24, MADRS 35, or CES-D total score of 28.

Somatic comorbidities were extracted from standardized evaluations, such as the Charlson Comorbidity Index,²⁶ the Cumulative Illness Rating Scale for Geriatrics²⁷, and/or medical comorbidity categories derived from the assessment of study participants. Extracted data was then categorized into 8 dichotomous variables of (somatic comorbidity present vs absent) in the following systems: cardiovascular, respiratory, gastrointestinal, hepatic/pancreatic, renal, genitourinary, musculoskeletal, and endocrine. For the analysis we focused on total number of systems with somatic comorbidities.

2.3 Statistical Analysis

To examine the relationship between demographic and clinical characteristics and employment, multivariable logistic mixed models were completed using generalized linear mixed models with cohort/study as a random intercept. The baseline model included age, gender, education and age of onset. Since complete data was not available across all observations, we iteratively examined the relationships for the following variables adjusting for baseline predictors: number of psychiatric hospitalizations, psychiatric comorbidity, somatic comorbidity, and psychiatric illness severity (based on YMRS and a 3-category depression band). Models were repeated, restricting to those <65 years of age (excluding those who were at typical retirement ages). The significance defined by a two-sided alpha of 5%. Statistical analyses were completed in SAS Version 9.4 and Stata SE v17.0.

Results

Demographic and clinical variables

Participant characteristics are described in Table 1. Out of the 738 included participants, 23.6% were employed (n=174) while 76.4% were unemployed (n=564). Mean (SD) age of the sample was 57.4 (11.9) years. The sample was predominantly high school educated (73.7%) or greater, with a mean (SD) years of education of 13.1 (3.7). Women made up 58% (n=428) of the overall sample. The majority of individuals (n= 543, 74.1%) had Type 1 BD and the sample had a mean age of BD onset of 28.0 (14.2) years. BD symptom severity was relatively mild in this sample with approximately 40% of the sample presenting no depressive symptoms and one-half having mild-moderate depressive symptoms. The mean YMRS score of 5.6 (6.0) suggests absent or mild manic symptom severity.

Noteworthy descriptive differences by employment status were a later mean age of onset, a lower proportion of at least a high school education, a higher proportion with mild to severe depression, a higher burden of cardiovascular disease, a greater history of psychiatric hospitalizations and comorbidity for both anxiety and substance/alcohol use disorders in those who were unemployed. Table 1a shows descriptive data (demographics and selected clinical variables) in the U.S. and non-U.S. sub-groups. The proportion of individuals who were unemployed was similar between the U.S. (23.9%) and non-U.S. (23.1%) sub-groups.

Associations with employment status

In multivariable logistic regression models (Table 2), older age and fewer years of education were consistently associated with greater odds of unemployment across models. In iterative models, the number of psychiatric hospitalizations (OR=1.09, p=0.046), history of both anxiety and substance/alcohol use disorder comorbidity (OR=2.74, p=0.028), number of somatic comorbidity domains (OR=1.27, p=0.027), and mild-moderate (OR=2.17, p=0.003) and severe depression (OR=3.56, p=0.023) were significantly associated with unemployment. The individuals with at least mild depression and both anxiety and substance/alcohol use disorders, had a two-fold increased odds of being unemployed, and these associations persisted when both variables were included in a multivariable model (data not shown). These associations largely persisted when restricting to those <65 years of age (Table 3); a key difference was that the effect of severe depression substantially increased (OR=7.3, p=0.018). No significant association between manic symptoms, gender, or age of onset and employment status was observed.

Discussion

In this large, heterogeneous, cross-sectional sample of patients with BD that adjusted for relevant demographic and clinical variables, and aligned with our initial hypotheses, we found that lower educational attainment, older age, more severe depressive symptoms, more prior psychiatric hospitalizations, and more comorbidity (particularly dual comorbidity of having substance use disorder and anxiety) were associated with greater odds of unemployment. While being unemployed or on work disability has been linked to lower education in the general population,^{28,29} our findings contribute to a pool of mixed results

in BD literature which have reported both a positive association^{8,12,30–32} and no association between education and employment.^{6,33–37}

Educational attainment is often used as a proxy for “cognitive reserve”, a theoretical construct that aims to explain the differences in individual susceptibility towards cognitive impairment due to brain pathology and aging.³⁸ In BD, cognitive impairment is observed even during remission³⁹; people with BD who have lower cognitive reserve also have worse performance on cognitive measures⁴⁰ and experience greater cognitive decline after illness onset.⁴¹ Since cognitive deficits have been found to be predictive of diminished occupational functioning in BD⁴² and OABD,^{43, 44} it is possible that those with higher education would have greater cognitive reserve and less decline in cognitive performance, making it easier to maintain employment.

Consistent with Kleinman et al,¹⁷ more severe BD symptoms are associated with reduced likelihood of employment. It is possible that the greater severity of BD symptoms could also have contributed to the finding of greater number of prior psychiatric hospitalizations among those who were unemployed. We additionally found that older age was associated with unemployment in all tested models, and this appeared to be independent from the associations with education and depressive symptoms in a model including all significant predictors from previous models. The associations persisted even when examining a pre-retirement-aged subsample (< 64 years), suggesting that retirement of previously employed individuals is not a major explanatory contributor to our findings. Overall, our finding indicating that older age is associated with a greater likelihood of unemployed status is consistent with prior studies.^{36,45–48} Our findings extend on these previous studies, most of them drew their conclusions by means of comparisons between employed vs unemployed individuals’ baseline characteristics, without adjusting for potentially confounding factors. In addition, there is a possibility that presence of cognitive impairment or lower cognitive reserve may explain the link between age and employment status. For example, ageism or stigma related to BD could make it harder for older people with BD to enter or re-enter the workforce after an interrupted period of work due to illness concerns.

With respect to comorbidity, we found that greater somatic burden and being comorbid for both substance use disorder and anxiety was associated with being unemployed. It has been reported that BD has a higher prevalence of substance-use disorders than any other psychiatric illness^{49,50} and that those with both BD and substance disorders have more complications, including more anxiety- and stress-related disorders as well as impulsivity and poor modulation of motivation⁵¹ all factors that could potentially contribute to difficulty in sustaining employment. This contrasts with Arvilommi et al. who suggested that psychiatric comorbidity did not predict being on employment disability in the long term.⁵² Konno et al. also found no difference in the proportions of individuals with psychiatric comorbidity among those with continuous employment vs. those who lost their jobs.¹⁰

Contrary to our initial hypotheses and in adjusted models, we did not find a significant relationship between gender, BD age of onset, manic symptoms, and employment status. These findings are largely consistent with prior studies that have failed to establish a robust relationship between these variables and employment. Although Konno et al. noted a gender

difference in employment status relative to comorbidity, suggesting that this finding was reflective of national gender differences in employment.¹⁰ Our finding is also in line with Zimmerman et al.⁷ who found no gender differences and Holm et al. study,⁸ who pointed out that gender differences in employment rate were reflective of the general population, despite gender difference being greater in BD samples vs. samples with schizophrenia.

Strengths of these analyses include the utilization of harmonized data from a large, multi-site and global sample. As with the strengths, limitations of this paper are also primarily attributable to its diverse sample collected from multiple centers across different countries, as well as the different focus of each study and differing study designs. Some of the variables such as comorbidities were available on a limited number of participants, hence our iteratively modelling approach. However, we tried to address these limitations by treating each study site as a random effect across models. Null findings in our covariate models may have been due to modest sample sizes (e.g. psychiatric comorbidities were only available in a subset of participants). The inability to analyze working and non-working sub-groups (such as retired vs. those on early disability) is another limitation of the analysis. Having only 4 countries represented is a limitation which hindered country-specific comparisons. Additionally, because our participants were recruited from different sites, local employment rates may affect our findings. Prior studies have cautioned against analyzing employment rates isolated from local employment rate as this could potentially jeopardize identification of the real extent of BD's involvement in unemployment.^{8,15} Similarly, given the aggregate nature of the data, it is difficult to ascertain whether differences in employment due to demographic factors, such as age, are reflective of the general population across sites. We did not have sufficient sample sizes of non-BD participants from each study to compare rates between those with and without BD in our dataset. Additionally, individual or regional specific factors, such as stressful life events or regional economic downturns, which may also contribute to unemployment,⁵³ were not considered in our analyses. It is important to note that all of our data were collected prior to the onset of the COVID pandemic and thus may not generalize to the COVID or post-COVID world. While we did address age of onset, we did not measure time spent in symptomatic stage, which may have additional implications for employment status. Finally, not having reliable/consistent data on number of past episodes, predominant polarity or presence of psychosis across the datasets are additional limitations of our report.

In conclusion, our results suggest an association between lower levels of education, older age, psychiatric severity, comorbidity and greater likelihood of unemployment in BD. Greater BD depressive symptoms are associated with greater likelihood of unemployment. Our findings come with clinical, public health, and socioeconomic implications, such as tailoring educational access to people with BD and supporting those who have had breaks in employment with skills training in order to re-enter the workforce. It is important for treatment to address occupational status in OABD to both reduce economic burden and to increase quality of life for patients.^{54,55} Optimizing BD symptom management, particularly depressive symptoms, throughout life-span would positively impact multiple daily-life domains, including occupational status. Overall, more research is needed on factors affecting educational attainment in BD across the lifespan.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements:

The authors are deeply grateful to Dr. Charles L. Bowden, who passed away in March 2022, and his wife Virginia Massey Bowden, for establishing the Bowden Massey Research Initiative, which has supported the GAGE-BD project. Dr. Bowden's deep commitment to advancing care for individuals living with bipolar disorder is a continuous inspiration to our GAGE-BD study team and the broader community of scientists focused on research in bipolar disorder.

The authors are also deeply grateful to Mrs. Joan Jenkins for supporting the ongoing work of the GAGE-BD project.

Funding:

This project was supported by the International Society for Bipolar Disorders (ISBD) Bowden Massey Strategic Research Initiative and made possible by logistical support from the ISBD; Support was also received from the Clinical and Translational Science Collaborative (CTSC) of Cleveland which is funded by the National Institutes of Health (NIH), National Center for Advancing Translational Science (NCATS), Clinical and Translational Science Award (CTSA) grant, UL1TR002548. LTE: VA Desert-Pacific Mental Illness, Research, Education, and Clinical Center, NIH MH103318, MH083968 and MH113230.

This publication's contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of ISBD. The ISBD is a 401c3 non-profit organization whose mission is to foster international collaboration in education and research. For more information, visit www.isbd.org.

Conflict of Interest Disclosure:

Dr. Sajatovic has research grants from Otsuka, the International Society of Bipolar Disorders (ISBD), NIH, and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). She is a consultant to Otsuka, Janssen, Lundbeck, Teva, Neurelis and has received publication royalties from Springer Press, Johns Hopkins University Press, Oxford Press and UpToDate.

Soham Rej has received a provincial clinician-scientist salary award from the Fond de Recherche Sante Quebec, is on the Steering Committee for a project at Abbvie, has received an operating grant/award for trainees from Mitacs, and is a shareholder of Aifred Health.

Hilary P. Blumberg is a consultant at the Milken Institute.

Brent Forester has received research funding from the Rogers Family Foundation, the Spier Family Foundation, Biogen, Eisai, and the NIA. He is a consultant at Patina Health, the P&T Committee at CVS Health, and Patina Health

Regan Patrick has received partial salary support from Biogen, Inc. (unrelated to current manuscript) and Rogers Family Foundation (indirectly supported McLean's data contributions for GAGE-BD).

Eduard Vieta has received grants and served as consultant, advisor or CME speaker for the following entities: AB-Biotics, AbbVie, Adamed, Angelini, Biogen, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Celon Pharma, Compass, Dainippon Sumitomo Pharma, Ethypharm, Ferrer, Gedeon Richter, GH Research, Glaxo-Smith Kline, Janssen, Lundbeck, Medincell, Merck, Novartis, Orion Corporation, Organon, Otsuka, Roche, Rovi, Sage, Sanofi-Aventis, Sunovion, Takeda, and Viatrix, outside the submitted work.

Lisa T. Eyler, Annemiek Dols, Kaylee Sarna, Joy Yala, Farren Briggs, Ashley Sutherland, Esther Jimenez, Sigfried Schouws, Orestes V. Forlenza, Carol K. Chan, and Amulya Mallu have no conflicts of interest to report.

Data Availability Statement:

Data is available as part of the GAGE-BD project and subject to the completion of appropriate data use agreements. Qualified scientists who wish to access the data should contact the study lead author.

References

1. Sajatovic M, Strejilevich SA, Gildengers AG, et al. A report on older-age bipolar disorder from the International Society for Bipolar Disorders Task Force. *Bipolar Disord*. Nov 2015;17(7):689–704. doi:10.1111/bdi.12331 [PubMed: 26384588]
2. Ljubic N, Ueberberg B, Grunze H, Assion HJ. Treatment of bipolar disorders in older adults: a review. *Ann Gen Psychiatry*. Sep 21 2021;20(1):45. doi:10.1186/s12991-021-00367-x [PubMed: 34548077]
3. Dominiak M, Ja d yk P, Antosik-Wójci ska AZ, et al. The impact of bipolar spectrum disorders on professional functioning: A systematic review. *Front Psychiatry*. 2022;13:951008. doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2022.951008 [PubMed: 36090375]
4. Chang HC, Huang KC, Chiu WC, Tang CH, Su KP. Change in employment status in bipolar disorder: a longitudinal study using national claims data. *J Clin Psychiatry*. Apr 2016;77(4):e429–35. doi:10.4088/JCP.14m09576 [PubMed: 27035073]
5. Vos T, Flaxman AD, Naghavi M, et al. Years lived with disability (YLDs) for 1160 sequelae of 289 diseases and injuries 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet*. Dec 15 2012;380(9859):2163–96. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61729-2 [PubMed: 23245607]
6. Schoeyen HK, Birkenaes AB, Vaaler AE, et al. Bipolar disorder patients have similar levels of education but lower socio-economic status than the general population. *J Affect Disord*. Mar 2011;129(1–3):68–74. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2010.08.012 [PubMed: 20832866]
7. Zimmerman M, Galione JN, Chelminski I, Young D, Dalrymple K, Ruggero CJ. Sustained unemployment in psychiatric outpatients with bipolar disorder: frequency and association with demographic variables and comorbid disorders. *Bipolar Disord*. Nov 2010;12(7):720–6. doi:10.1111/j.1399-5618.2010.00869.x [PubMed: 21040289]
8. Holm M, Taipale H, Tanskanen A, Tiihonen J, Mitterdorfer-Rutz E. Employment among people with schizophrenia or bipolar disorder: A population-based study using nationwide registers. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. Jan 2021;143(1):61–71. doi:10.1111/acps.13254 [PubMed: 33155273]
9. Hakulinen C, Musliner KL, Agerbo E. Bipolar disorder and depression in early adulthood and long-term employment, income, and educational attainment: A nationwide cohort study of 2,390,127 individuals. *Depress Anxiety*. Nov 2019;36(11):1080–1088. doi:10.1002/da.22956 [PubMed: 31508865]
10. Konno Y, Fujino Y, Ikenouchi A, et al. Relationship Between Mood Episode and Employment Status of Outpatients with Bipolar Disorder: Retrospective Cohort Study from the Multicenter Treatment Survey for Bipolar Disorder in Psychiatric Clinics (MUSUBI) Project. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2021;17:2867–2876. doi:10.2147/NDT.S322507 [PubMed: 34522098]
11. Glahn DC, Bearden CE, Bowden CL, Soares JC. Reduced educational attainment in bipolar disorder. *J Affect Disord*. Jun 2006;92(2–3):309–12. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2006.01.025 [PubMed: 16524631]
12. Gutiérrez-Rojas L, Jurado D, Gurpegui M. Factors associated with work, social life and family life disability in bipolar disorder patients. *Psychiatry Res*. Apr 30 2011;186(2–3):254–60. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2010.06.020 [PubMed: 20647154]
13. Vreeker A, Boks MP, Abramovic L, et al. High educational performance is a distinctive feature of bipolar disorder: a study on cognition in bipolar disorder, schizophrenia patients, relatives and controls. *Psychol Med*. Mar 2016;46(4):807–18. doi:10.1017/S0033291715002299 [PubMed: 26621616]

14. Depp CA, Davis CE, Mittal D, Patterson TL, Jeste DV. Health-related quality of life and functioning of middle-aged and elderly adults with bipolar disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry*. Feb 2006;67(2):215–21. doi:10.4088/jcp.v67n0207 [PubMed: 16566616]
15. Marwaha S, Durrani A, Singh S. Employment outcomes in people with bipolar disorder: a systematic review. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. Sep 2013;128(3):179–93. doi:10.1111/acps.12087 [PubMed: 23379960]
16. OECD. Pensions at a Glance 2019. 2019.
17. Kleinman NL, Brook RA, Rajagopalan K, Gardner HH, Brizee TJ, Smeeding JE. Lost time, absence costs, and reduced productivity output for employees with bipolar disorder. *J Occup Environ Med*. Nov 2005;47(11):1117–24. doi:10.1097/01.jom.0000177048.34506.fc [PubMed: 16282872]
18. Sajatovic M, Eyler LT, Rej S, et al. The Global Aging & Geriatric Experiments in Bipolar Disorder Database (GAGE-BD) project: Understanding older-age bipolar disorder by combining multiple datasets. *Bipolar Disord*. Nov 2019;21(7):642–649. doi:10.1111/bdi.12795 [PubMed: 31081573]
19. Young RC, Biggs JT, Ziegler VE, Meyer DA. A rating scale for mania: reliability, validity and sensitivity. *Br J Psychiatry*. Nov 1978;133:429–35. doi:10.1192/bjp.133.5.429 [PubMed: 728692]
20. Eyler LT, Aebi ME, Daly RE, et al. Understanding Aging in Bipolar Disorder by Integrating Archival Clinical Research Datasets. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. Oct 2019;27(10):1122–1134. doi:10.1016/j.jagp.2019.04.003 [PubMed: 31097301]
21. Sajatovic M, Dols A, Rej S, et al. Bipolar symptoms, somatic burden, and functioning in older-age bipolar disorder: Analyses from the Global Aging & Geriatric Experiments in Bipolar Disorder Database project. *Bipolar Disord*. Jul 27 2021;doi:10.1111/bdi.13119
22. Orhan M, Millett C, Klaus F, et al. Comparing continuous and harmonized measures of depression severity in older adults with bipolar disorder: Relationship to functioning. *J Affect Disord*. Oct 01 2022;314:44–49. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2022.06.074 [PubMed: 35803392]
23. Hamilton M The Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression. In: Sartorius N, Ban TA, eds. *Assessment of Depression*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 1986:143–152.
24. Montgomery SA, Asberg M. A new depression scale designed to be sensitive to change. *Br J Psychiatry*. Apr 1979;134:382–9. doi:10.1192/bjp.134.4.382 [PubMed: 444788]
25. Radloff LS. The CES-D Scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Applied Psychological Measurement*. 1977;1:385–401. doi:10.1177/014662167700100306
26. Chaudhry S, Jin L, Meltzer D. Use of a self-report-generated Charlson Comorbidity Index for predicting mortality. *Med Care*. Jun 2005;43(6):607–15. doi:10.1097/01.mlr.0000163658.65008.ec [PubMed: 15908856]
27. Linn BS, Linn MW, Gurel L. Cumulative illness rating scale. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. May 1968;16(5):622–6. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.1968.tb02103.x [PubMed: 5646906]
28. Gravseth HM, Bjerkedal T, Irgens LM, Aalen OO, Selmer R, Kristensen P. Life course determinants for early disability pension: a follow-up of Norwegian men and women born 1967-1976. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2007;22(8):533–43. doi:10.1007/s10654-007-9139-9 [PubMed: 17530421]
29. Rodríguez-Hernández CF, Cascallar E, Kyndt E. Socio-economic status and academic performance in higher education: A systematic review. *Educational Research Review*. 2020/02/01/2020;29:100305. doi: 10.1016/j.edurev.2019.100305
30. Gilbert AM, Olino TM, Houck P, Fagiolini A, Kupfer DJ, Frank E. Self-reported cognitive problems predict employment trajectory in patients with bipolar I disorder. *J Affect Disord*. Aug 2010;124(3):324–8. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2009.11.012 [PubMed: 19942294]
31. Mur M, Portella MJ, Martinez-Aran A, Pifarre J, Vieta E. Influence of clinical and neuropsychological variables on the psychosocial and occupational outcome of remitted bipolar patients. *Psychopathology*. 2009;42(3):148–56. doi:10.1159/000207456 [PubMed: 19276630]
32. Reed C, Goetz I, Vieta E, Bassi M, Haro JM, Board EA. Work impairment in bipolar disorder patients—results from a two-year observational study (EMBLEM). *Eur Psychiatry*. Oct 2010;25(6):338–44. doi:10.1016/j.eurpsy.2010.01.001 [PubMed: 20435449]

33. Bonnín CM, Martínez-Arán A, Torrent C, et al. Clinical and neurocognitive predictors of functional outcome in bipolar euthymic patients: a long-term, follow-up study. *J Affect Disord.* Feb 2010;121(1–2):156–60. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2009.05.014 [PubMed: 19505727]
34. Dickerson FB, Boronow JJ, Stallings CR, Origoni AE, Cole S, Yolken RH. Association between cognitive functioning and employment status of persons with bipolar disorder. *Psychiatr Serv.* Jan 2004;55(1):54–8. doi:10.1176/appi.ps.55.1.54 [PubMed: 14699201]
35. Dickerson F, Origoni A, Stallings C, Khushalani S, Dickinson D, Medoff D. Occupational status and social adjustment six months after hospitalization early in the course of bipolar disorder: a prospective study. *Bipolar Disord.* Feb 2010;12(1):10–20. doi:10.1111/j.1399-5618.2009.00784.x [PubMed: 20148863]
36. Hammen C, Gitlin M, Altshuler L. Predictors of work adjustment in bipolar I patients: a naturalistic longitudinal follow-up. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* Apr 2000;68(2):220–5. doi:10.1037//0022-006x.68.2.220 [PubMed: 10780121]
37. Tabarés-Seisdedos R, Balanzá-Martínez V, Sánchez-Moreno J, et al. Neurocognitive and clinical predictors of functional outcome in patients with schizophrenia and bipolar I disorder at one-year follow-up. *J Affect Disord.* Aug 2008;109(3):286–99. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2007.12.234 [PubMed: 18289698]
38. Stern Y, Arenaza-Urquijo EM, Bartrés-Faz D, et al. Whitepaper: Defining and investigating cognitive reserve, brain reserve, and brain maintenance. *Alzheimers Dement.* Sep 2020;16(9):1305–1311. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2018.07.219 [PubMed: 30222945]
39. Martínez-Arán A, Vieta E, Reinares M, et al. Cognitive function across manic or hypomanic, depressed, and euthymic states in bipolar disorder. *Am J Psychiatry.* Feb 2004;161(2):262–70. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.161.2.262 [PubMed: 14754775]
40. Grande I, Sanchez-Moreno J, Sole B, et al. High cognitive reserve in bipolar disorders as a moderator of neurocognitive impairment. *J Affect Disord.* Jan 15 2017;208:621–627. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2016.10.012 [PubMed: 28029429]
41. Tsapekos D, Strawbridge R, Mantingh T, Cella M, Wykes T, Young AH. Role of cognitive reserve in cognitive variability in euthymic individuals with bipolar disorder: cross-sectional cluster analysis. *BJPsych Open.* Oct 30 2020;6(6):e133. doi:10.1192/bjo.2020.111 [PubMed: 33121561]
42. Koene J, Zyto S, van der Stel J, et al. The relations between executive functions and occupational functioning in individuals with bipolar disorder: a scoping review. *Int J Bipolar Disord.* Mar 14 2022;10(1):8. doi:10.1186/s40345-022-00255-7 [PubMed: 35286505]
43. Gildengers AG, Butters MA, Chisholm D, et al. Cognitive functioning and instrumental activities of daily living in late-life bipolar disorder. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* Feb 2007;15(2):174–9. doi:10.1097/JGP.0b013e31802dd367 [PubMed: 17272739]
44. Montejo L, Torrent C, Jiménez E, et al. Cognition in older adults with bipolar disorder: An ISBD task force systematic review and meta-analysis based on a comprehensive neuropsychological assessment. *Bipolar Disord.* Mar 2022;24(2):115–136. doi:10.1111/bdi.13175 [PubMed: 34978124]
45. Bauer MS, Kirk GF, Gavin C, Williford WO. Determinants of functional outcome and healthcare costs in bipolar disorder: a high-intensity follow-up study. *J Affect Disord.* Aug 2001;65(3):231–41. doi:10.1016/s0165-0327(00)00247-0 [PubMed: 11511403]
46. Elinson L, Houck P, Pincus HA. Working, receiving disability benefits, and access to mental health care in individuals with bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disord.* 2007;9(1–2):158–65. doi:10.1111/j.1399-5618.2007.00431.x [PubMed: 17391358]
47. Simon GE, Ludman EJ, Unützer J, Operskalski BH, Bauer MS. Severity of mood symptoms and work productivity in people treated for bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disord.* Sep 2008;10(6):718–25. doi:10.1111/j.1399-5618.2008.00581.x [PubMed: 18837866]
48. Waghorn G, Chant D, Jaeger J. Employment functioning and disability among community residents with bipolar affective disorder: results from an Australian community survey. *Bipolar Disord.* 2007;9(1–2):166–82. doi:10.1111/j.1399-5618.2007.00417.x [PubMed: 17391359]
49. Rounsaville BJ, Anton SF, Carroll K, et al. 1991. Psychiatric diagnoses of treatment-seeking cocaine abusers. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 48: 43–51. 3. [PubMed: 1984761]

50. Salloum IM & Thase ME. 2000. Impact of substance abuse on the course and treatment of bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disord.* 2: 269–280. [PubMed: 11249805]
51. Swann AC. 2010. The strong relationship between bipolar and substance-use disorder. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 1187: 276–93. [PubMed: 20201858]
52. Arvilommi P, Pallaskorpi S, Linnaranta O, et al. Long-term work disability due to type I and II bipolar disorder: findings of a six-year prospective study. *Int J Bipolar Disord.* Jul 11 2022;10(1):19. doi:10.1186/s40345-022-00264-6 [PubMed: 35811322]
53. Yan-Meier L, Eberhart NK, Hammen CL, Gitlin M, Sokolski K, Altshuler L. Stressful life events predict delayed functional recovery following treatment for mania in bipolar disorder. *Psychiatry Res.* Apr 30 2011;186(2-3):267–71. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2010.08.028 [PubMed: 20888051]
54. Nordt C, Müller B, Rössler W, Lauber C. Predictors and course of vocational status, income, and quality of life in people with severe mental illness: a naturalistic study. *Soc Sci Med.* Oct 2007;65(7):1420–9. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2007.05.024 [PubMed: 17583402]
55. Van Dongen CJ. Quality of life and self-esteem in working and nonworking persons with mental illness. *Community Ment Health J.* Dec 1996;32(6):535–48. doi:10.1007/BF02251064 [PubMed: 8905226]

Table 1.

Descriptive statistics for GAGE-BD total sample by Employment Status (n=738)

Descriptive Variables	All cases (n=738)	Employed (n=174)	Unemployed (n=564)
Age, years (mean(SD))	57.4 (11.9)	55.5 (10.9)	58.0 (12.1)
Age range, years	18-90	18-85	19-90
Age by decade (N%)			
18-30 yrs	14(1.9%)	4(2.3%)	10(1.8%)
30-40 yrs	47(6.4%)	15(8.6%)	32(5.7%)
40-50 yrs	74(10.0%)	8(4.6%)	66(11.7%)
50-60 yrs	260(35.2%)	81(46.6%)	179(31.7%)
60-70 yrs	243(32.9%)	58(33.3%)	185(32.8%)
70-80 yrs	83(11.3%)	6(3.5%)	77(13.7%)
80+ yrs	17(2.3%)	2(1.2%)	15(2.7%)
Age 50	603(81.7%)	147(84.5%)	456(80.9%)
Sex			
Male	310(42.0%)	86(49.4%)	224(39.7%)
Female	428(58.0%)	88(50.6%)	340(60.3%)
Diagnosis (n=733)			
Bipolar I	543(74.1%)	132(75.9%)	411(73.5%)
Bipolar II	186(25.4%)	41(23.6%)	145(25.9%)
Other bipolar	4(0.6%)	1(0.6%)	3(0.5%)
Age of onset, years (n=679; mean(SD))	28.0(14.2)	25.1(12.8)	28.9(14.5)
Years of education (mean(SD))	13.1(3.7)	14.8(3.2)	12.6(3.7)
12 years education (N%)	544(73.7%)	152(87.4%)	392(69.5%)
Depression Band (n=672)			
No depression	274(40.8%)	96(57.1%)	178(35.3%)
Mild to moderate	358(53.3%)	66(39.3%)	292(57.9%)
Severe	40(5.9%)	6(3.6%)	34(6.8%)
YMRS total (n=707)	5.6(6.0)	5.0(5.7)	5.8(6.1)
Somatic Comorbidity			
Cardiovascular (n = 705)	257(36.5%)	43(25.0%)	214(40.2%)
Respiratory (n =665)	210(31.6%)	48(27.9%)	162(32.9%)
GI (n = 666)	121(18.2%)	23(13.4%)	98(19.8%)
Hepatic/pancreatic (n = 664)	34(5.1%)	10(5.9%)	24(4.9%)
Renal (n = 524)	25(4.8%)	8(5.8%)	17(4.4%)
GU (n = 344)	44(12.8%)	6(5.0%)	38(17.0%)
Musculoskeletal (n = 706)	256(36.3%)	50(29.1%)	206(38.6%)
Endocrine (n = 706)	188(26.6%)	35(20.2%)	153(28.7%)
Number of somatic comorbidities (n=344)	1.7 (1.3)	1.3 (1.5)	2.0 (1.8)
Psychiatric Comorbidity			

Descriptive Variables	All cases (n=738)	Employed (n=174)	Unemployed (n=564)
Number of psychiatric hospitalizations(*)(n=489)	3.5(5.9)	2.0(3.5)	3.9(6.4)
Lifetime non-bipolar psychiatric diagnosis (n=580)			
None	232(40.0%)	67(50.4%)	165(36.9%)
Lifetime Anxiety Disorder	123(21.2%)	35(26.3%)	88(19.7%)
Lifetime Substance/Alcohol Use Disorder	102(17.6%)	18(13.5%)	84(18.8%)
Lifetime Anxiety & Substance/Alcohol Use Disorders	123 (21.2%)	13 (9.8%)	110 (24.6%)

Note: Of the 738 BD participants represented in the total sample, there was some missing data for all measures, so sample size for each measure is given separately. SD = standard deviation,

* excluding substance use/dependence

YMRS = Young Mania Rating Scale, score range 0-60 with higher scores indicating more severe manic symptoms

GI = gastro-intestinal, GU = genito-urinary

Table 1a:

Descriptive characterization of U.S. vs. Non-U.S. samples

Descriptive Variables	U.S. studies (N=7)	Non- U.S. studies (N=4)
N	448 (60.7%)	290 (39.3%)
Age, years (mean(SD))	53.7 (12.3)	63.2 (8.4)
Age 50	313 (60.0%)	290 (100%)
Sex		
Male	182 (40.6%)	128 (44.1%)
Female	266 (59.4%)	162 (55.9%)
Diagnosis (n=733)		
Bipolar I	362 (81.7%)	181 (62.4%)
Bipolar II	77 (17.4%)	109 (37.6%)
Other bipolar	4 (0.9%)	0
Age of onset, years (mean(SD); n=679)	24.5 (12.9)	32.7 (14.5)
Years of education (mean(SD))	13.8 (2.9)	12.0 (4.5)
12 years education (N/%)	368 (82.1%)	176 (60.7%)
Employed (N/%)	107 (23.9%)	67 (23.1%)
Depression Band (n=672)		
No depression	98 (22.6%)	176 (73.6%)
Mild to moderate	313 (72.3%)	45 (18.8%)
Severe	22 (5.1%)	18 (7.5%)
YMRS total (n=707)	7.0 (6.0)	3.4 (5.5)
Comorbidity		
Number of somatic comorbidities (n=344)	2.2 (1.9)	0.7 (0.5)
Number of psychiatric hospitalizations*(n=489)	4.8 (7.5)	1.9 (2.5)
Lifetime non-bipolar psychiatric diagnosis (n=580)		
None	77 (22.0%)	155 (67.4%)
Lifetime Anxiety Disorder	102 (29.1%)	21 (9.1%)
Lifetime Substance/Alcohol Use Disorder	58 (16.6%)	44 (19.1%)
Lifetime Anxiety & Substance/Alcohol Use Disorders	113 (32.3%)	10 (4.4%)

Table 2.

Multivariable logistic regression associations for unemployment

Predictor	Baseline Model 1 ¹		Model 2 ¹		Model 3 ¹		Model 4 ¹		Model 5 ¹	
	OR (95% CI)	P	OR (95% CI)	P	OR (95% CI)	P	OR (95% CI)	P	OR (95% CI)	P
Age	1.06 (1.03, 1.09)	<0.001	1.06 (1.03, 1.10)	<0.001	1.05 (1.02, 1.08)	0.003	1.04 (1.00, 1.08)	0.027	1.05 (1.02, 1.08)	0.001
Female	1.41 (0.95, 2.11)	0.091	1.51 (0.92, 2.46)	0.103	1.61 (1.02, 2.54)	0.041	1.14 (0.68, 1.91)	0.615	1.33 (0.87, 2.02)	0.190
Education (yrs)	0.87 (0.81, 0.92)	<0.001	0.89 (0.82, 0.96)	0.002	0.89 (0.83, 0.95)	0.001	0.91 (0.84, 0.98)	0.009	0.88 (0.82, 0.94)	<0.001
Age of onset	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.852	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.739	1.01 (0.99, 1.03)	0.609	1.01 (0.98, 1.03)	0.676	1.01 (0.99, 1.03)	0.469
Number of Psychiatric hospitalizations			1.09 (1.00, 1.18)	0.046						
Psychiatric comorbidity (reference = None)										
Lifetime Anxiety Disorder (current or past)					1.43 (0.75, 2.73)	0.274				
Lifetime Substance/Alcohol Use Disorder (current or past)					1.88 (0.88, 4.03)	0.103				
Lifetime Anxiety & Substance/Alcohol Use Disorders					2.74 (1.11, 6.73)	0.028				
Number of medical comorbidities							1.27 (1.03, 1.57)	0.027		
Current symptoms										
YMRS total score									1.01 (0.98, 1.05)	0.456
Mild-moderate depression (vs low)									2.17 (1.30, 3.61)	0.003
Severe depression (vs low)									3.56 (1.19, 10.65)	0.023

Generalized Linear Mixed Model with a random intercept for study cohort

Model 1: N=679

Model 2: N=484

Model 3: N=530

Model 4: N=289

Model 5: N=601

Note: CI=confidence interval, YMRS (Young Mania Rating Scale)

Table 3.

Multivariable logistic regression associations for unemployment in those <65 years of age

Predictor	Baseline Model 1 ¹		Model 2 ¹		Model 3 ¹		Model 4 ¹		Model 5 ¹	
	OR (95% CI)	P	OR (95% CI)	P	OR (95% CI)	P	OR (95% CI)	P	OR (95% CI)	P
Age	1.06 (1.02, 1.09)	0.001	1.60 (1.02, 1.10)	0.004	1.05 (1.01, 1.09)	0.018	1.05 (1.00, 1.10)	0.031	1.05 (1.01, 1.09)	0.006
Female	1.26 (0.81, 1.95)	0.310	1.47 (0.87, 2.49)	0.146	1.44 (0.88, 2.36)	0.146	1.06 (0.61, 1.84)	0.842	1.16 (0.73, 1.84)	0.534
Education (yrs)	0.88 (0.82, 0.94)	< 0.001	0.90 (0.83, 0.97)	0.007	0.90 (0.84, 0.97)	0.009	0.93 (0.85, 1.01)	0.069	0.88 (0.81, 0.94)	< 0.001
Age of onset	0.99 (0.97, 1.01)	0.440	0.99 (0.97, 1.01)	0.396	0.99 (0.97, 1.01)	0.358	1.00 (0.97, 1.03)	0.860	1.01 (0.97, 1.05)	0.728
Number of Psychiatric hospitalizations			1.10 (1.00, 1.20)	0.041						
Psychiatric comorbidity (reference = None)										
Lifetime Anxiety Disorder (current or past)					1.34 (0.66, 2.72)	0.418				
Lifetime Substance/Alcohol Use Disorder (current or past)					1.70 (0.73, 3.95)	0.214				
Lifetime Anxiety & Substance/Alcohol Use Disorders					2.67 (1.02, 6.96)	0.045				
Number of medical comorbidities							1.12 (0.89, 1.41)	0.335		
Current symptoms										
YMRS total score									1.01 (0.98, 1.05)	0.549
Mild-moderate depression (vs low)									2.17 (1.30, 3.61)	0.001
Severe depression (vs low)									3.56 (1.19, 10.65)	0.005

Generalized Linear Mixed Model with a random intercept for study cohort

Model 1: N=516

Model 2: N=406

Model 3: N=431

Model 4: N=234

Model 5: N=490

Note: CI=confidence interval, YMRS (Young Mania Rating Scale)