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Multiple sclerosis (MS) is characterized by temporal and spatial dissemination of demyelinating lesions in the central nervous system. Associated neurodegenerative changes contributing to disability have been recognized even at early disease stages. Recent studies show the importance of gray matter damage for the accrual of clinical disability rather than white matter where demyelination is easily visualized by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The susceptibility to MS is influenced by genetic risk, but genetic factors associated with the disability are not known. We used MRI data to determine cortical thickness in 557 MS cases and 75 controls and in another cohort of 219 cases. We identified nine areas showing different thickness between cases and controls (regions of interest, ROI) (eight of them were negatively correlated with Kurtzke’s expanded disability status scale, EDSS) and conducted genome-wide association studies (GWAS) in 464 and 211 cases available from the two data sets. No marker exceeded genome-wide significance in the discovery cohort. We next combined nominal statistical evidence of association with physical evidence of interaction from a curated human protein interaction network, and searched for subnetworks enriched with nominally associated genes and for commonalities between the two data sets. This network-based pathway analysis of GWAS detected gene sets involved in glutamate signaling, neural development and an adjustment of intracellular calcium concentration. We report here for the first time gene sets associated with cortical thinning of MS. These genes are potentially correlated with disability of MS.
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Multiple sclerosis (MS) (OMIM: 126200) is the most prevalent cause of non-traumatic neurologic disability in young adults in Europe and North America (Trapp & Nave 2008), and is characterized by temporal and spatial dissemination of demyelinating lesions in the central nervous system (CNS) (Hauser & Oksenberg 2006). Most patients with MS have a relapsing remitting course with progressive neurological disability being common at the late stages of the disease. Recent studies, however, show that the neurodegenerative changes contributing to the sustained clinical deficits manifest even at an early phase in the natural history of the disease.

On the basis of demyelination patterns found by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and post-mortem studies, searches for the etiology of MS have been largely confined to the white matter (WM) (Noseworthy et al. 2000). However, advances in neuroimaging and neuropathological techniques have enabled the localization of damage to the gray matter (GM) as well, manifested as both focal demyelination and more generalized cortical tissue thinning (Bo et al. 2003; Calabrese et al. 2007; Geurts et al. 2005; Kutzelnigg et al. 2005; Nakamura & Fisher 2009; Patenaude et al. 2011). Furthermore, both cortical lesions and volume loss appear to occur already at early stages of MS (Calabrese et al. 2007; Chard et al. 2002; Dalton et al. 2004; De Stefano et al. 2003; Giorgio et al. 2011; Henry et al. 2008; Tiberio et al. 2005) and are more correlated with physical disability and cognitive impairment than WM lesion load (Calabrese et al. 2010b; Chen et al. 2004; Fisniku et al. 2008). Finally, GM damage could serve as a potential prognostic factor for disease progression (Calabrese et al. 2010b, 2011; Filippi et al. 2010). Altogether, these findings suggest that identifying the causes of GM pathology is a valid strategy to advance our understanding of the neurodegenerative processes underlying MS.

Susceptibility to MS is thought to be influenced by both environmental and genetic factors (Hauser & Oksenberg 2006). In addition to the well-documented effect of certain human leukocyte antigen (HLA) alleles, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) carried out on large sample sizes have shown that DNA polymorphisms at more than 100 non-HLA loci influence MS risk (Beecham et al. 2013; IMSGC et al. 2011; Patsopoulos et al. 2011). However, with the notable exception of the HLA system, genetic factors associated with clinical phenotype or quantitative neuroimaging outcomes have not been yet identified (Beecham et al. 2013; IMSGC et al. 2011; Okuda et al. 2009; Tur et al. 2014; Yates et al. 2011; 2013). How-
On the other hand, brain structure is also influenced by genetic factors. For example, comparison of monozygotic and dizygotic twins highlighted the genetic influence on cortical thickness and even with standardized measures of intellectual ability (Eyler et al. 2011, 2012; Joshi et al. 2011; Kochunov et al. 2011; Kremen et al. 2010; Rimol et al. 2010). One study reported that siblings with MS had similar patterns of cortical thinning and lesion numbers (Calabrese et al. 2012). Although small and not yet replicated, these observations suggest that genetic factors may influence GM pathology in MS.

The aim of this study is to identify genes correlated with GM pathology measured as brain cortical thinning in two large MS cohorts. We conducted a GWAS using cortical thickness as the outcome variable in both groups independently and conducted a pathway analysis using protein interaction networks (PINs). Loci identified through this effort will shed light on a novel aspect of genetic influence on MS, which may have an effect on the clinical course.

### Materials and methods

#### Subjects

A total of 851 subjects evaluated at the Multiple Sclerosis Center of the University of California, San Francisco (referred to as UCSF) (n=557 cases and 75 healthy controls) and at the University Hospital Basel, Switzerland (referred to as Basel) (n=219) were recruited to participate in a prospective study of phenotype-genotype biomarker associations using identical diagnostic and inclusion/exclusion criteria (Baranzini et al. 2009b). Individuals affected with all disease types were included: clinically isolated syndrome (CIS), relapsing remitting MS (RRMS), secondary progressive MS (SPMS), and primary progressive MS (PPMS) and progressive relapsing MS (PRMS) (Table 1). CIS was defined as the first well-established neurological event lasting ≥24h, involving the optic nerve, spinal cord, brainstem or cerebellum. In CIS patients, the presence of two or more hyperintense lesions on a T2-weighted (T2w) MRI sequence was also required for enrollment into the study. The diagnosis of RRMS was made utilizing the revised McDonald’s criteria (Polman et al. 2005). Secondary progressive MS was defined by 6 months of worsening neurological disability not explained by clinical relapse. Primary progressive MS was defined both by progressive clinical worsening for more than 12 months from symptom onset without any relapses, and by abnormal cerebrospinal fluid as defined by the presence of ≥2 oligoclonal bands or an elevated IgG index. Individuals were excluded if they had experienced a clinical relapse or received treatment with glatiramer acetate within the previous month of enrollment. The concomitant use of disease modifying therapies for MS was permitted. For all subjects, the expanded disability status scale (EDSS) score (Kurtzke 1983) was assessed. In this study, age of onset was defined as the first episode of focal neurological dysfunction suggestive of CNS demyelinating disease. This information was obtained via individual recall and verified through review of medical records.

The control group consisted of genetically unrelated individuals, primarily spouses/partners, friends and other volunteers. Control subjects were of northern European ancestry and matched as a group, proportionally with cases according to age (±5 years) and sex. A family history or current diagnosis of MS as well as a relation to another case or control subject in the cohort were sufficient reasons for exclusion. The protocols were approved by the Committee on Human Research in San Francisco and the ethics committee in Basel. Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

#### Image acquisition

Brain MRI scans were performed on all subjects upon entry into the study, and analyses were carried out without knowledge of disease subtype, duration or treatment history. Magnetic resonance imaging images at UCSF were acquired using an eight-channel phased array coil in reception and a body coil in transmission on a 3T GE Excite scanner (GE Healthcare Technologies, Waukesha, WI, USA). For the UCSF cohort, MRI examination included axial dual-echo spin echo sequences (TE at 20 and 90 milliseconds, TR = 2000 milliseconds, 512 × 512 × 44 matrix, 240 × 240 × 132 mm³ FOV, slice thickness = 3 mm, interleaved). A high-resolution inversion recovery spoiled gradient-echo T1-weighted isotropic, volumetric sequence (3D IRSPGR, 1 × 1 × 1 mm³, 180 slices) was also performed (TE/TR/T1 = 2/7/400 milliseconds, flip angle = 15°, 256 × 256 × 180 matrix, 240 × 240 × 132 mm³ FOV, slice thickness = 3 mm, interleaved). A 1.5T Magnetom Avanto scanner (Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany). High-resolution T1-weighted MPAGE images were acquired in sagittal plane (TR/T1/TE = 2080/1100/3.0 milliseconds; α = 15°, 160 slices, isotropic voxel of 1 × 1 × 1 mm). Axial 3 mm proton density-weighted (PDw) and T2w images were also acquired to determine the WM lesion load (double spin echo: TR/TE1/TE2 = 3980/14/108 milliseconds; 40 slices with an in-plane resolution of 1 × 1 mm). Brain lesions were identified by medical doctors specializing in MS after analyzing high-resolution T1-weighted, T2w and PDw images. To create T1 lesion masks, lesions were outlined based on semi-automated thresholding with manual editing utilizing in-house software in San Francisco and using Amira 3.1.1 (Mercury Computer Systems Inc., Hillsboro, OR, USA) in Basel (Blum et al. 2002).

Both intra- and inter-observer variability analyses were performed to ensure the accuracy of data acquired. T2-lesion volumes from regions of interest (ROI) selections were calculated by multiplying the area of the lesion by the slice thickness and the number of slices penetrated using in-house software.

#### Measurement of cortical thickness

FreeSurfer Image Analysis Suite v5.2.0 (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/) (Dale et al. 1999; Fischl et al. 1999) was used for cortical reconstruction, volumetric segmentation, subcortical (Fischl et al. 2004) and cortical parcellation (Desikan-Killiany Atlas). Volumes were normalized for intracranial volume (Desikan et al. 2006).
Segmentation results were assessed by an experienced operator to ensure accuracy and manually edited when needed. Both WM and juxtaocular lesions were filled before running FreeSurfer segmentation of the cortical thicknesses. Two cases were removed for having more than two times the interquartile range of median cortical thickness across all cortical regions. Thickness values were confined to a range of the mean ± 3 SD in each region.

**Defining cortices of interest for GWAS**

In order to define ROI for the GWAS, cortical thickness adjusted by age, sex and a volumetric scaling factor (used for standardizing head size) in each of the 34 regions parcellated by FreeSurfer was compared between cases and controls in UCSF using a linear regression model. The Bonferroni method was used to adjust significance for multiple hypotheses testing in each hemisphere. ROI were defined as cortex areas showing a statistically significant ($P_{corr} < 0.05$) difference between cases and controls in either hemisphere (corrected for 34 tests) and a nominal $P$-value of 0.05 in the contralateral hemisphere. The cortical thickness in the ROI was independently measured in patients from the Basel cohort in order to confirm the genetic associations observed. This analysis was performed using the R statistical package.

**SNP genotyping**

DNA was isolated from blood cells using standard protocols. Genotyping of subjects was performed at the Illumina facilities using the Sentrix® HumanHap550 BeadChip as described elsewhere (Baranzini et al. 2009b). Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that did not satisfy the following quality control criteria were excluded: genotype call rate < 95%, significant deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium $P < 0.001$ and minor allele frequency (MAF) < 0.005.

**GWAS with cortical thickness as outcome variable**

For the MS patients, allelic effects for cortical thickness were calculated based on a linear regression model including age at examination, sex, disease course, a volumetric scaling factor and T2 lesion load as covariates. Disease course was aggregated into two categories: non-progressive MS (CIS + RRMS) and progressive MS (SP + PP + PRMS). The genetic effects for thickness were tested using minor allele dominant/recessive/additive linear regression models and the most significant $P$-value among the three models was selected for each SNP. To keep minor allele homozygous sample size, MAF threshold was set at 0.15 in the recessive model. All calculations were performed in PLINK v1.07 (Purcell et al. 2007).

**Computing gene-wise $P$-values and association blocks**

The first step in any pathway analysis is to compute gene-level $P$-values. To that end, we used versatile gene-based association study (VEGAS v0.7.30), a previously described method that takes into account gene sizes and patterns of linkage disequilibrium (LD) (Liu et al. 2010). Versatile gene-based association study assigns SNPs to each of 17 787 autosomal genes according to positions on the UCSC Genome Browser (hg18 assembly). To capture regulatory regions and SNPs in LD, gene boundaries are defined as 50 kb beyond the 5′ and 3′ untranslated regulatory regions of each gene. Versatile gene-based association study factors in LD patterns between markers within a gene by using Monte–Carlo simulations from the multivariate normal distribution on the basis of the LD structure of a set of reference individuals (the HapMap2 CEU population). An empirical $P$-value of 0 from 105 VEGAS simulations can be interpreted as $P < 10^{-6}$, which exceeds a Bonferroni-corrected threshold of $P < 2.8 \times 10^{-6}$ (≈0.05/17 787; this threshold is likely to be conservative given the overlap between genes).

We defined association blocks as those groups of sequential genes with a $P$-value < 0.05. A block_id was assigned to each association block along the genome for each region. To evaluate the likelihood that these nominally significant genes were replicated in two data sets by chance, a hypergeometric test (one-sided Fisher’s exact test) was conducted in each region.

**PIN-based pathway analysis**

We downloaded the entire iRefIndex database (Razick et al. 2008), a collection of 15 human PIN data sets from different sources, and computed the union data set. This set comprised more than 400 000 interactions among ~25 000 proteins. However, many of these interactions were either predicted or backed up by a single experiment (i.e. a single publication). In order to minimize the rate of false positives, we then filtered this large network to keep only those interactions that were described in at least two independent publications. This resulted in a network of 6960 protein nodes and 27 724 interactions (edges). We used this high-confidence network for all subsequent analyses. The network was uploaded into Cytoscape 3.0.1 and annotated with genomic position, gene-wise $P$-value and block_id loaded as node attributes for all the analyzed regions.

For each region and data set, we computed significant first-order interactions by filtering the main network so as to keep only those genes (i.e. their encoded proteins) with VEGAS $P$-values < 0.05. Then, the number of resulting nodes and edges and the size of the largest connected component were computed within Cytoscape. To evaluate the likelihood that these numbers were obtained by chance (as a consequence of the sheer number of interactions), we computed 1000 simulations by assigning $P$-values at random from the same network and creating subnetworks of similar size. These simulations were used as background for estimating the significance of the subgraphs obtained with the real gene-wise $P$-values. We then used the program (plugin) jActiveModules to conduct searches of subnetworks enriched with (but not necessarily composed of) genes with significant $P$-values (Ideker et al. 2002). jActiveModules starts by converting each gene $P$-value into a Z score by using the inverse normal cumulative distribution function. Then, it produces an aggregate Z score ($Z_A$) for an entire subnetwork $A$ of $k$ genes by summing the $Z_i$ over all genes in the subnetwork $Z_A = 1/\sqrt{k} \times \sum Z_i$. Z score is later corrected for network size and topology and an $S_A$ value is produced. As in previous studies, we took an $S_A > 3$ as evidence of a biologically active subnetwork (Baranzini et al. 2009a; Ideker et al. 2002; IMSGC 2013). To evaluate the likelihood that these enriched networks were replicated in the two data sets by chance, a hypergeometric test (one-sided Fisher’s exact test) was performed in each region and the significance was estimated by a permutation test, in which we iteratively shuffled gene-wise $P$-values, mapped the shuffled $P$-values to the PIN, extracted the enriched subnetworks for 50 times and then we calculated an enrichment between any two out of the 50 independent data sets. Based on an enrichment distribution of the 1225 pair-wise combinations, we calculated the fraction of permutations that showed a more extreme value than the enrichment observed in each original data set.

**Functional annotation enrichment analysis**

The reported biological significance of gene sets was evaluated by gene ontology (GO) analysis (biological process FAT set) by using the Cytoscape plug-in ClueGO v2.1.5 (Bindea et al. 2009) with the following parameters: statistical test = enrichment (right-sided hypergeometric test), correction method = Bonferroni step down, minimum level = 3, maximum level = 8, number of genes = 3, minimum percentage = 5.0 and Kappa score threshold = 0.3.

**Results**

Here, we present a gene-level association study of cortical thickness on 557 MS patients and 75 controls of European ancestry studied at UCSF. An independent cohort of 219 patients studied in Basel was used as replication. The complete workflow of our strategy is depicted in Fig. S1. Through this approach, we merge statistical evidence of association

**DNA variation affects cortical thickness in MS**

We defined association blocks as those groups of sequential genes with a $P$-value < 0.05. A block_id was assigned to each association block along the genome for each region. To evaluate the likelihood that these nominally significant genes were replicated in two data sets by chance, a hypergeometric test (one-sided Fisher’s exact test) was conducted in each region.
**Table 2**: Comparing adjusted thickness between cases and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frontal lobe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal pole</td>
<td>3.05E-03</td>
<td>9.34E-01</td>
<td>-1.57E-02</td>
<td>6.59E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial orbital frontal</td>
<td>3.94E-02</td>
<td>6.90E-02</td>
<td>3.91E-02</td>
<td>6.05E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral orbital frontal</td>
<td>-3.28E-02</td>
<td>6.65E-02</td>
<td>-2.14E-02</td>
<td>2.28E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pars orbitalis</td>
<td>-1.66E-02</td>
<td>4.91E-01</td>
<td>1.65E-02</td>
<td>5.03E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pars triangularis</td>
<td>-1.66E-02</td>
<td>4.91E-01</td>
<td>1.65E-02</td>
<td>5.03E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pars opercularis</td>
<td>-1.82E-02</td>
<td>2.31E-01</td>
<td>-8.49E-03</td>
<td>6.05E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior frontal*</td>
<td>-4.50E-02</td>
<td>1.27E-03</td>
<td>-3.00E-02</td>
<td>3.90E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rostral middle frontal</td>
<td>-9.69E-03</td>
<td>4.38E-01</td>
<td>-3.18E-02</td>
<td>1.70E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudal middle frontal</td>
<td>-3.43E-02</td>
<td>1.87E-02</td>
<td>-1.26E-02</td>
<td>4.35E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paracentral*</td>
<td>-4.31E-02</td>
<td>1.09E-02</td>
<td>-6.22E-02</td>
<td>1.73E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precentral</td>
<td>-3.77E-02</td>
<td>6.88E-03</td>
<td>-1.36E-02</td>
<td>3.19E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal lobe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precuneus*</td>
<td>-6.36E-02</td>
<td>7.78E-06</td>
<td>-5.94E-02</td>
<td>1.90E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postcentral</td>
<td>-1.49E-02</td>
<td>2.91E-01</td>
<td>-1.25E-02</td>
<td>3.77E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior parietal*</td>
<td>-4.56E-02</td>
<td>9.39E-04</td>
<td>-4.39E-02</td>
<td>2.51E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supramarginal*</td>
<td>-5.16E-02</td>
<td>3.80E-04</td>
<td>-1.97E-02</td>
<td>1.68E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP*</td>
<td>-7.20E-02</td>
<td>6.97E-06</td>
<td>-4.47E-02</td>
<td>6.44E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal lobe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse temporal</td>
<td>3.42E-02</td>
<td>1.42E-01</td>
<td>6.50E-02</td>
<td>1.00E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior temporal</td>
<td>2.82E-04</td>
<td>9.87E-01</td>
<td>-2.18E-02</td>
<td>2.07E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banks of superior temporal sulcus*</td>
<td>-9.03E-02</td>
<td>1.49E-06</td>
<td>-8.14E-02</td>
<td>3.03E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle temporal</td>
<td>-1.56E-02</td>
<td>3.70E-01</td>
<td>-2.22E-02</td>
<td>1.75E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior temporal</td>
<td>-2.96E-02</td>
<td>1.00E-01</td>
<td>-2.66E-02</td>
<td>1.44E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUS*</td>
<td>-6.59E-02</td>
<td>2.00E-04</td>
<td>-4.56E-02</td>
<td>1.39E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal pole</td>
<td>9.87E-02</td>
<td>8.56E-02</td>
<td>1.50E-01</td>
<td>7.78E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital lobe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuneus</td>
<td>-1.80E-02</td>
<td>2.80E-01</td>
<td>-1.05E-02</td>
<td>5.23E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pericalcarine</td>
<td>3.06E-03</td>
<td>8.53E-01</td>
<td>-9.25E-03</td>
<td>5.87E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral occipital</td>
<td>-2.68E-02</td>
<td>8.61E-02</td>
<td>-3.37E-02</td>
<td>2.75E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lingual</td>
<td>-4.20E-02</td>
<td>6.81E-03</td>
<td>-2.41E-02</td>
<td>1.01E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limbic system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rostral anterior cingulate</td>
<td>-3.64E-03</td>
<td>9.15E-01</td>
<td>7.13E-02</td>
<td>3.08E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudal anterior cingulate</td>
<td>5.36E-02</td>
<td>1.44E-01</td>
<td>4.69E-02</td>
<td>1.32E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior cingulate</td>
<td>-1.66E-02</td>
<td>3.85E-01</td>
<td>-4.07E-02</td>
<td>4.48E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isthmus cingulate*</td>
<td>-1.93E-01</td>
<td>2.11E-12</td>
<td>-1.47E-01</td>
<td>1.96E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parahippocampal</td>
<td>2.03E-02</td>
<td>5.79E-01</td>
<td>5.01E-02</td>
<td>1.18E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entorhinal</td>
<td>-1.33E-01</td>
<td>6.69E-03</td>
<td>-8.48E-03</td>
<td>8.74E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insula</td>
<td>-3.17E-02</td>
<td>1.19E-01</td>
<td>-4.64E-02</td>
<td>2.17E-02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ROI. P-value corrected by Bonferroni method <0.05 in one hemisphere and nominal P-value < 0.05 in the contralateral hemisphere.

and physical evidence of interaction at the protein level to identify associated loci and highlight functional pathways involved in cortical thickness of MS.

**Defining ROI for GWAS**

Cortical thickness was determined in all cases and controls at UCSF for 34 regions bilaterally using FreeSurfer (see Methods and methods). We first selected ROI for GWAS by comparing the thickness of each region between MS and age- and sex-matched controls. Demographic features are summarized in Table 1. Cortical thickness was independently measured in 219 MS patients from the Basel cohort. Cases enrolled in Basel had significantly longer disease duration and more disability than cases in UCSF, and the frequency of progressive MS was also higher in Basel than in UCSF (Table 1). One individual who had more than two times the interquartile range of median cortical thickness was excluded from the Basel data set. Differences in the mean adjusted cortical thickness between cases and controls were statistically significant in nine regions (Table 2). Eight of the nine regions showed evidence for bilateral pathology (Bonferroni P-value <0.05 in one hemisphere and nominal P-value <0.05 in the contralateral hemisphere); the remaining region (supramarginal cortex) was significant on the left hemisphere but did not reach significance on the right hemisphere. These nine regions were defined as ROI. As expected, the mean thickness in cases was lower (i.e. thinner) than controls for all ROI (Fig. S2). Based on the bilateral pattern of thinning observed for most ROI, we used an average thickness of right and left hemispheres as a trait for GWAS. We also found that cortical thickness adjusted by age, sex and head size was negatively correlated with EDSS in eight of the nine ROI (with the exception of banks of superior temporal sulcus) in both UCSF and Basel data sets (Table S1).
SNP-level GWAS
Genotypes ($n=553,139$) for 464 (out of 557) cases from UCSF, and 211 (out of 219) cases from Basel obtained with the Illumina HumanHap550 BeadChip were available for this analysis. After quality control (see Materials and methods), GWAS was carried out on 550,067 SNPs in 464 cases from UCSF and 211 cases from Basel using left–right average thickness at each ROI as phenotype (nine GWAS in total). To this end, a linear regression model was used for each data set including age at examination, sex, volumetric scaling factor, T2 lesion load and disease course (non-progressive or progressive MS) as covariates. After correcting for the number of GWAS performed, no marker was statistically significant at genome-wide level in either data set. We explored the possibility of replication at the SNP level by selecting the top 100 SNPs for each ROI in one data set (UCSF) and examined the corresponding GWAS in the Basel data set. None of the top 100 SNPs chosen was replicated for any ROI.

Nominal gene-level associations with cortical thickness
Previous work by us and others suggest that gene-level analysis afford greater power by virtue of aggregation of variants into functionally relevant units (IMSGC 2013; IMSGC et al. 2010; Liu et al. 2010; Purcell et al. 2009). Thus, we first converted SNP-level $P$-values into gene-level $P$-values and subsequently conducted a PIN-based pathway analysis. We started by computing gene-wise $P$-values using the software VEGAS, which aggregates evidence of association for all SNPs mapping to a given gene taking into account relative genomic position, number of SNPs within a gene and LD patterns for the appropriate ethnic background. Because our main hypothesis states that even modestly associated genes might participate in biologically plausible pathways, we considered all nominally significant genes (VEGAS $P<0.05$). As in previous analyses, we observed that the distribution of nominally significant genes was not random across the genome, but it followed patterns resembling LD blocks. We then defined ‘association blocks’ as stretches of contiguous genes showing $P<0.05$. This resulted in an average of 1286 blocks across the nine GWAS (range: 1249–1334) for the UCSF data set and 1273 blocks (range: 1213–1330) for the Basel data set. An average of 2762 genes were nominally significant in the UCSF data set (range: 2629–2913) and 2654 (range: 2462–2778) in the Basel data set. While most of these genes may represent false positives (given the low threshold of significance), we computed the overlap between the two data sets and compared against a background distribution generated with 1000 permutations of randomly selected genes from the same distribution, as a measure of replication. We found that the GWAS for fusiform (FUS) and inferior parietal (IP) ROI resulted in higher than expected (45% and 51%, respectively), statistically significant (one-sided Fisher’s exact test, $P=0.0388$ and 0.0107, respectively) overlap (Table S2). It is noteworthy that, unlike the SNP-level analysis, the gene-level analysis was able to suggest global gene-level replication across sites, at least for two ROI. A representative Manhattan plot visualization of the GWAS on IP at the gene level shows similar peaks (arrowheads) in both data sets (Fig. 1). The remaining plots are available in Fig. S3.

Fourteen genes in UCSF and 57 genes in Basel data set were nominally significant in all nine ROI (Table S3), and
two genes (RYR2 and CDH13) were replicated in both data sets (55.5-fold enrichment, one-sided Fisher’s exact test, \( P = 0.00090 \)).

**Pathway analysis using PINs**

In order to identify loci associated with cortical thickness by combining statistical evidence of gene association and physical evidence of interaction of their respective gene products, we assigned gene-wise association \( P \)-values to a curated human PIN data set consisting of 8960 proteins (nodes) and 27,724 interactions (edges) in each GWAS data set. All subsequent experiments were performed with Cytoscape, an open-source and extensible tool for network visualization and analysis (Shannon et al. 2003). We first extracted the nodes with \( P \)-values < 0.05 and computed the number of nodes and edges in the resulting subnetworks for the UCSF and Basel data sets, respectively (Table S4) (we refer to these as first-order interaction networks, as all nodes are at least nominally significant). We next computed the overlap between genes (proteins) in each subnetwork between the UCSF and Basel data sets, as a measure of replication. In both data sets, the subnetworks in most of the regions were more connected than would be expected by chance, as shown by a simulation experiment in which 1000 networks of similar size were extracted from the same PIN at random (Fig. 2a).

In each case, subnetworks were composed of a large connected component and several smaller networks or isolated nodes (singletons). We also tested whether the size of the main component was higher than what would be expected by chance (given the number of edges in the first-order network) (Fig. 2b). Using these two indices of network complexity, networks identified in seven of the nine ROI were beyond the 75 percentiles of at least one index in random networks in either UCSF or Basel data set. In general, nominally significant genes were more connected and had a larger main connected component than expected. Our results suggest that the properties of these networks are consistent with those displayed by other biologically relevant networks.

Given the small-world topology of the human protein interactome, it is possible that a few highly connected nodes (hubs) bring together several associated genes, even though the hubs themselves are not associated. To explore this possibility, we conducted searches for subnetworks enriched with significant genes by using JActiveModules, a Cytoscape plugin based on a greedy heuristic algorithm with internal cross-validation (Ideker et al. 2002) Between 23 and 36 significant and minimally overlapping (<20%) modules were identified in each region for both data sets (Table 3). We next computed the union of all modules for each cortical region within each data set, resulting in a single connected network of 1763.8 nodes on average (range: 1592–1963) for the UCSF data set and another of 1898 nodes on average (range: 1707–2087) for the Basel data set. We next computed the nodes in common between both data sets for each cortical region, resulting in an average overlap of 698.6 nodes (range: 610–784).

We then considered only replicated genes and further searched for subnetworks in order to detect networks robustly associated with cortical thickness. In each region, two to four enriched subnetworks composed by 9–92 nodes were identified. In each subnetwork, we extracted genes that had nominally significant \( P \)-values in both UCSF and Basel data sets (Table S5). These genes are of highest importance to our approach because they had significant \( P \)-values in both data sets and because they were identified as components of significant networks in both data sets. Among these genes, MPAS3 (Neuronal PAS domain protein 3 is a brain-enriched transcription factor belonging to the bHLH-PAS superfamily of transcription factors), OPCML and HS3ST4 were frequently observed across all regions. They were observed in eight, seven and seven regions, respectively.

**Biological significance of associated genes in MS**

To explore the biological significance of the genes constituting the significant pathways, we conducted a GO analysis by using Cytoscape plug-in, ClueGO, for significant subnetworks identified in both data sets. GO analysis (biological process) identified up to nine significantly enriched categories in all regions except FUS cortex (Figs 3 and S4, Table S6). The category ‘negative regulation of neuron differentiation’ was significantly enriched in IP (enrichment: 73.5, \( P_{corr} = 1.73 \times 10^{-6} \)), paracentral (enrichment: 82.8, \( P_{corr} = 1.51 \times 10^{-6} \)), superior parietal (enrichment: 32.3, \( P_{corr} = 8.74 \times 10^{-7} \)) and terms related to glutamate biology were identified in...

![Figure 2: Statistical significance of identified modules. The number of connections among significant genes is evaluated in the background of 1000 random simulations. (a) The total number of edges is plotted as a function of the number of significant genes for each region. (b) The size of the largest connected component is plotted as a function of the total number of edges. The colored lines represent the 50th (red), 75th (blue), 90th (green), 95th (purple) and 99th (orange) percentiles obtained through simulations with random gene sets of similar size.](image-url)
DNA variation affects cortical thickness in MS

We identified nine cortical regions in which the average thickness of cases and controls was significantly different and conducted a GWAS within MS patients to identify genetic variants that could associate with this phenotype. The thinner regions were distributed mainly along the parietal lobe, with portions of frontal and temporal lobes also involved. This pattern is somewhat different than reported in previous studies, in which the frontal and temporal lobes were predominantly involved (Achiron et al. 2013; Calabrese et al. 2010; Rimol et al. 2010). The isthmus of the cingulate gyrus and the banks of superior temporal sulcus were significantly thinner in CIS cases than in controls. When comparing established MS (SP, PP and PRMS) and controls, eight of the nine ROI (except for superior parietal cortex in RRMS) were similarly involved. The finding that these regions were reproducibly identified even in different disease courses underscores the importance of these ROI in MS. Interestingly, cortical thickness in almost all ROI correlated with EDSS, suggesting the relevance of these regions in the development of disability in MS. Intriguingly, eight of the nine ROI (except banks of superior temporal sulcus) were previously reported as highly heritable based on twin studies (Joshi et al. 2011; Kremen et al. 2010; Rimol et al. 2010), indicating a genetic effect to cortical thinning in MS.

Possibly due to the limited size of the cohorts analyzed, no marker exceeded a genome-wide significant P-value.

Table 3: Summary of enriched network modules in each ROI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROI</th>
<th>Enriched networks</th>
<th>Size of union net</th>
<th>Intersection nodes</th>
<th>Enrichment</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Enriched networks in intersection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UCSF</td>
<td>Basel</td>
<td>UCSF</td>
<td>Basel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banks superior temporal sulcus</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1809</td>
<td>1847</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>3.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUS</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1632</td>
<td>1829</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>3.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1834</td>
<td>1758</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isthmus cingulate</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1701</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>3.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paracentral</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>2087</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>3.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior frontal</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1592</td>
<td>1954</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>3.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior parietal</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1867</td>
<td>1924</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supramarginal</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1659</td>
<td>1707</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>3.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supramarginal</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1818</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>709</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Representative gene network. (a) A representative subnetwork enriched with genes with significant P-values in intersection nets (IP cortex). Node and the border color represent P-value in UCSF and Basel data set, respectively. Non-significant genes are displayed as white circle or circle with gray border. (b) Detected categories enriched with genes which are included in a subnetwork shown in (a).
Matsushita et al.

\( P < 9.42 \times 10^{-6} \), and no replication was observed between the two data sets at the SNP level. However, markers detected in GWAS explain a limited fraction of the heritable component of common diseases and traits and a sizable proportion of risk alleles are still being missed under an assumption that each marker has independent effect (Boedmer & Bonilla 2008).

Recently, novel approaches to GWAS analysis have been proposed. These strategies focus on the combined effects of several loci, acknowledging that each may make a small contribution to the overall phenotype, and potentially providing valuable insights into the genetic basis of common disease (IMSGC et al. 2010; Purcell et al. 2009). By analyzing gene-wise \( P \)-values, we report replication of more nominally significant genes \( (P < 0.05) \) than expected by chance in two data sets (IP and FUS) (Table S2). Also, while discrepancies existed between studies (probably due to the limited size of the smaller data set), the list of nominally significant genes in each cohort contain more shared associations than expected (Table S3). However, when genes arranged in interaction networks were compared between the two cohorts, higher replication rates were observed (Table 3). This strategy produces comparable results to the more established approach extending a fixed genetic distance from the lead SNP to the next recombination hotspot and maximizes the potential of finding bona fide associations(IMSGC 2013). In fact, proteins encoded by nominally associated genes were more connected in the PIN than what would be expected by chance in most of the regions (Fig. 2). Thus, analyzing nominal gene-level significance and studying genes in the context of biological networks appears a reasonable approach for these data sets.

Of the 194 genes that were nominally significant in both data sets, 53 were observed in more than one region. This finding correlates well with a previous report that both global and regionally specific genetic factors influence cortical surface areas (Eylar et al. 2011). Variation within NPAS3 was a robust finding in eight of the nine ROI. NPAS3 encodes a member of the basic helix-loop-helix and PAS [The PAS domain is a protein domain contained in many signaling proteins where it functions as a signal sensor. The PAS domain was named after the three proteins in which it was first discovered: Per (period circadian protein); Arnt (aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator protein); Sim (single-minded protein)] domain-containing family of transcription factors and the protein is involved in neurogenesis. Chromosomal abnormalities that affect the coding potential of this gene are associated with schizophrenia and mental retardation (Pickard et al. 2005) and SNPs within this domain are associated with efficacy of antipsychotic iloperidone in patients with schizophrenia (Lavedan et al. 2009).

Of interest, most of the genes identified in this study do not harbor variants associated with susceptibility, most of which are involved in inflammatory processes (IMSGC et al. 2011; Patsoopoulos et al. 2011). Thus, our results underscore the need to differentiate genetic variation that affects susceptibility, from that affecting endophenotypes such as neural degeneration (Kutzelinigg et al. 2005; Weg et al. 2006).

In this model, inflammation is a pervasive feature in the pathology of MS, and varying degrees of neurodegeneration ensue thereafter. Results from the work presented here suggest that part of the variability in neurodegeneration might be due to genetic variation. Notable exceptions are FOXP1 (para-central), SDK1 (FUS, paracentral, precuneus, superior frontal, superior parietal and supramarginal), SLC2A4RG (paracentral) and WWOX (precuneus and superior parietal). NXPH1 had nominally significant effect on cortical thickness of IP cortex in both data sets and EPHA4 was included in a significant pathway for superior frontal cortex. SNPs proximal to these genes have been recently reported to have a significant effect on GM density of frontal lobe in Alzheimer’s disease. Interestingly, GO categories related to glutamate biology were significantly enriched in banks of superior temporal sulcus and superior frontal cortex. We previously identified a module with high relevance to glutamate biology by using GWAS for glutamate concentration in MS and reported that individuals carrying a higher number of associated alleles from genes in the module showed greater decreases in brain volume over 1 year of follow-up (Baranzini et al. 2010). Thus, pathways related to glutamate may influence cortical thickness as well as overall brain volume. The GO categories ‘glutamate toxicity’ and ‘neuron differentiation’ were also involved in more than one region highlighting their potential role in the neurodegenerative process seen in MS. For example, PAX6 is a critical gene shown to regulate development of CNS and axon guidance (Georgala et al. 2011). It is then plausible that genes involved in neural development would influence brain cortical thickness and disability in MS.

Other significant GO categories were ‘cell proliferation’ and ‘calcium signaling’. Multiple growth factors, their receptors (EGFR, FGF12, IGF1R, IGF2, KIT, PDGFB and FGFRB) and their downstream signaling cascade (phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase cascade) were identified, all of which are related to cell proliferation or survival and overlap with neural development pathways. Ryanodine receptor 2 (RYR2), which had nominally significant \( P \)-values in all ROI in both data sets, mediates the release of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) into the cytoplasm. The ryanodine receptor-mediated calcium release from the ER causes intra-axonal calcium overload and results in axonal injury (Stirling & Stys 2010). In superior frontal cortex, genes controlling re-uptake of cytoplasmic calcium (CHRM3 and ADCY2) were also involved. These findings suggest that dysregulation of intra-axonal calcium level contributes to the cortical thinning.

In summary, we report variation of genes associated with cortical thinning, and indirectly with disability in MS by GWAS based on two independent data sets. The genes identified were largely independent from those harboring variants associated with MS risk and were involved in glutamate signaling, neural development and an adjustment of intracellular calcium concentration. These results suggest that excitotoxicity and genetic vulnerability for axonal damage can poise the MS brain to initiate the cascade that will result in neurological disability. This study highlights the genetic influence on an aspect of neurodegeneration of MS and may be helpful in the search for therapeutic targets of disability in MS.
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**Figure S1:** Strategy of this study. In the first step, ROI were determined by comparing thickness of cortex between cases and controls in UCSF data set. Thickness of the cortex in these regions was independently measured for patients in UCSF and Basel data set. Then, GWAS for the thickness were conducted. Next, these regions was independently measured for patients in UCSF data set. Thickness of the cortex in and controls in UCSF data set. Thickness of the cortex in determined by comparing thickness of cortex between cases and controls in UCSF data set. Thickness of the cortex in determined by comparing thickness of cortex between cases and controls in UCSF data set. Thickness of the cortex in determined by comparing thickness of cortex between cases and controls in UCSF data set. Thickness of the cortex in determined by comparing thickness of cortex between cases and controls in UCSF data set. Thickness of the cortex in determined by comparing thickness of cortex between cases and controls in UCSF data set. Thickness of the cortex in determined by comparing thickness of cortex between cases and controls in UCSF data set.
merged and finally the intersection network was computed. Finally, subnetworks enriched with significant genes were extracted from the intersection network and a GO analysis was conducted for the subnetworks.

**Figure S2:** Comparison of cortical thickness adjusted by age, sex and head size in ROI between cases and controls. In all detected regions, thickness is significantly lower in cases than in controls.

**Figure S3:** Manhattan plots showing the gene-level P-values of both GWAS for cortical thickness in all ROI. Gene-level P-values from the GWAS in UCSF data set are displayed at the top, and those corresponding to the GWAS in Basel data set are at the bottom. Genes that are not nominally significant (P > 0.05) are displayed as gray points and nominally significant genes are displayed as blue points.

**Table S1:** Correlation between adjusted thickness in ROI and EDSS

**Table S2:** Numbers of nominally significant genes on protein interaction nets in UCSF and Basel data sets

**Table S3:** Nominally significant genes in all ROI in both UCSF and Basel data sets

**Table S4:** Connectedness of first-order interaction networks

**Table S5:** Nominally significant genes in intersection nets

**Table S6:** Main categories in enriched intersection nets