Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

A PULSE MODULATOR THAT CAN BE USED AS AN AMPLIFIER, A MULTIPLIER, OR A DIVIDER

Permalink <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3w75j9w2>

Author Rosenthal, Jerome A.

Publication Date 1963-02-02

University of California

Ernest 0. **lawrence Radiation** Laboratory

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is *a Library Circulating Copy* which *may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call* Tech. *Info. Division, Ext.* 5545

A PULSE MODULATOR THAT CAN BE USED AS AN AMPLIFIER, A MULTIPLIER, OR A DIVIDER

III

Berkeley, California

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

$UCRL-10754$ UC-37 Instruments TID-4500 (19th Ed.)

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA.

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Berkeley, California

Contract No. W -7405 -eng-48

A PULSE MODULATOR THAT CAN BE USED AS AN AMPLIFIER, A MULTIPLIER, OR A DIVIDER

Jerome A. Rosenthal (M. S. Thesis)

April 2, 1963

*;} = x + 13 = x + 12

 $\alpha = \alpha + \beta + \alpha$. $\mathcal{P} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \cap \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}) \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ an amhrás (1848)
1962 - An Carlotter, amhrán
1962 - An Cairteann An Aon

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{d\mathbf{x}}\right|^{2}d\mathbf{x}^{2}d\mathbf{x}^{2}d\mathbf{x}^{2}d\mathbf{x}^{2}d\mathbf{x}^{2}d\mathbf{x}^{2}d\mathbf{x}^{2}d\mathbf{x}^{2}d\mathbf{x}^{2}d\mathbf{x}^{2}d\mathbf{x}^{2}d\mathbf{x}^{2}d\mathbf{x}^{2}d\mathbf{x}^{2}d\mathbf{x}^{2}d\mathbf{x}^{2}d\mathbf{x}^{2}d\mathbf{x}^{2}d\math$ CARD STREET BOARD CALLA $\begin{split} \mathcal{F}_{\text{in}}^{\text{in}}&=\lim_{\epsilon\rightarrow 0}\mathcal{F}_{\text{in}}^{\text{in}}\mathcal{F}_{\text{in}}^{\text{in}}\mathcal{F}_{\text{in}}^{\text{in}}\mathcal{F}_{\text{in}}^{\text{in}}\mathcal{F}_{\text{in}}^{\text{in}}\mathcal{F}_{\text{in}}^{\text{in}}\mathcal{F}_{\text{in}}^{\text{in}}\mathcal{F}_{\text{in}}^{\text{in}}\mathcal{F}_{\text{in}}^{\text{in}}\mathcal{F}_{\text{in}}^{\text{in}}\mathcal{F}_{\text{in}}^{\text{in}}\$

 \sim

 $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$

 $\overline{\mathbf{p}}$

 α , in a contract with the set of the set $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$.

 $\label{eq:2} \begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}) \\ \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r$

Printed in USA. Price \$1.25. Available from the Office of Technical Services U. S. Department of Commerce Washington 25, D.C.

A PULSE MODULATOR THAT CAN BE USED AS AN AMPLIFIER, A MULTIPLIER, OR A DIVIDER

Contents

A PULSE MODULATOR THAT CAN BE USED AS AN AMPLIFIER, A MULTIPLIER, OR A DIVIDER

Jerome A. Rosenthal

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California

......

April 2, 1963

ABSTRACT

A pulse modulator that offers several useful features is introduced. Some of its desirable features are that it can respond without delay to a change in input signal and that it is of very simple construetion. The modulator can be used as an amplifier, a multiplier, or a divider. It can also be used to control either proportional or on-off systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

This pulse modulator re suited from a desire to build a simple and efficient power amplifier. It has been known for some time that an amplifier with a saturated pulse output can satisfy both of those requirements, since such an amplifier is easy to construct and dissipates very little power in its output stages. Most commonly, pulse -width modulation amplifiers have been built to satisfy these needs. Operationally, a pulse -width modulator periodically samples the amplitude of an input signal and generates a pulse whose width is proportional to this amplitude (Fig. 1c). In terms of physical components, a pulse-width modulator usually consists of a ramp generator and a comparator.

The pulse modulator discussed in this paper can be of simple construction, requiring only a relay, a resistor, and a capacitor, although more complicated designs will give better performance. The modulator operates by continuously sensing (not sampling) the input signal. The output of the modulator is a pulse train whose frequency and pulse duration both vary with the input signal. By continuously sensing the input signal, the modulator can respond instantaneously and with maximum effort to a change in the input signal. Unlike pulse -width modulation, the output pulses of this modulator have a minimum duration, thereby placing' less stringent requirements on the frequency response of the elements in the system. As with other pulse modulators, this modulator is capable of both proportional and on-off control.

While analyzing the modulator, we discovered that it could easily be converted into an analogue multiplier or divider. All three uses of the modulator (amplification, multiplication, and division) can be performed separately or in combination.

Before we discuss the modulator, it might be of interest to review some of the other forms of modulation.

·A. Continuous-Wave Modulation

Methods of modulation can be divided into two convenient cate $gories -- continuous-wave modulation and pulse modulation. In$ continuous-wave modulation one of the parameters of a sinusoid are

医一样 医心血

 \sim \sim

Fig. **1.** Various kinds of pulse modulation

 \mathbb{Z}

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\mathbf{v}^{\prime}=\mathbf{v}^{\prime}$

 $\label{eq:1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$

 ~ 10 $\sim 10^7$ $\sim 10^{-10}$

-2-

varied as a function of frequency. The parameters that are usually varied are amplitude (amplitude modulation), instantaneous frequency (frequency modulation), or phase (phase modulation). The principle of superposition applies to amplitude modulation, but does not apply, in general, to the other forms of continuous -wave modulation. In all forms of continuous -wave modulation, the modulated wave form can respond instantaneously to a change in the modulating signal.

B. Pulse Modulation

 $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$

Pulse modulation consists of varying some of the parameters of a pulse train as a function of the modulating signal. The parameters that are usually varied, whether singly or in combination, are pulse amplitude, pulse width, pulse position, or pulse frequency.

C. Pulse-Amplitude Modulation

Operationally, pulse-amplitude modulation (PAM) consists of multiplying a carrier (pulse train) by the modulating signal. An analytic expression for a PAM signal, with constant period and pulse width is

$$
m(t) = e(t) \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} u(t - kT) - u(t - kT - w) , \qquad (1)
$$

where u is the unit step, T is the period of the pulse train, and w is the pulse width. Figure lb shows a PAM signal.

At times the pulse width or pulse rate of a PAM signal is not constant, but may vary either with or independently of the modulating signal. Often that is the case when a time -shared digital computer is part of the system. The pulse rate might vary independently of the signal if each sampling pulse were generated only after the computer had finished processing other information. On the other hand, a signal dependent sampling rate might arise if one wished to make economical use of the computer by sampling frequently when the signal were changing rapidly, and sampling infrequently when the signal were relatively constant.

If the pulse rate is much greater than the highest significant frequency in the modulating signal, then, as a mathematical artifice, the pulse train may be replaced by an impulse train. An impulse train has a mathematically tractable Laplace transform and lends itself to z-transform techniques; z-transforms are widely used to analyze PAM s ystems. $^\mathrm{I}$

Pulse -amplitude modulation is used in time multiplex systems and sampled-data control systems. A PAM system, unlike continuouswave systems, cannot always respond instantaneously to a change in the modulating signal, since pulses are present only a fraction of the time. This property introduces an inherent delay in the PAM process.

D. Pulse- Width Modulation

Pulse-width modulation (PWM) consists of operating on a pulse train by varying the width of its member pulses as a function of the . modulating signal. The pulse width can be modulated by varying the position .of the leading edge of the pulse (the position of the trailing edge being held constant), by varying the position of the trailing edge (keeping the position of the leading edge constant), or by varying the position of both edges. These three types of PWM are called, respectively, lead type, lag type and lead-lag type. $^{\mathbf{l},\,\mathbf{2},\,\mathbf{3}}$

An analytic expression for lead type PWM is

$$
m(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ u(t - kT) - u \left(t - kT - w(k) \right) \right\} sgn e(kT), \qquad (2)
$$

where $e(kT)$ means the value of e at time kT , sgn is the signum function,

$$
\operatorname{sgn} \, x \, = \, \begin{cases} \, 1 & \text{if } x > 0 \\ \, -1 & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases} \, ,
$$

w(k) is the width of the. kth pulse, which is expressed by

 $-4-$

$$
w(k) = T \text{ sat } \frac{d}{t} |e(kT)|,
$$

 $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{A}}$ are the properties of the set of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{Z}}$

医阿尔伯氏征 医单位的 医脑室下的 医单

where d is a positive constant, and the saturation function is defined as $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

$$
\text{sat } \mathbf{x} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x > 1 \\ \mathbf{x} & \text{if } |x| \le 1 \\ -1 & \text{if } x < -1 \end{cases}
$$

An analytic expression for lag type PWM is

$$
m(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ u[t - (k+1)T + w(k)] - u[t - (k+1)T] \right\} sgn e(kT).
$$
 (3)

An analytic expression for lead-lag PWM is

$$
m(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ u \left(t - \frac{kT + (k+1)T}{2} + \frac{w(kT)}{2} \right) - u \left(t - \frac{kT + (k+1)T}{2} - \frac{w(kT)}{2} \right) \right\}
$$
(4)
-
$$
\frac{w(kT)}{2} \left\}
$$
sgn e(kT).

Figure 1c shows lead-type PWM.

Pulse-width modulation is used in sampled-data systems and in power amplifiers. This form of modulation is well suited, from a device point of view, to power.amplifiers because it results in minimum power dissipation in the stages driving the load. Minimizing the dissipation in the driving stages is especially important when power is $\sim 10^{-11}$ scarce (as in space ships) or where increased dissipation increases the complexity of the hardware (as in transistorized power stages).

From an analytical point of view, PWM is more difficult than PAM. This difficulty results from the fact that the principle of superposition does not apply to PWM. Therefore, linear theory cannot be

freely used to analyze PWM systems. Some of the analytical tools that have been used to study this form of modulation $4-8$ are z-transforms, difference equations, the second theorem of Lyapunov, describing functions, and phase-plane analysis.

As with PAM, a pulse-width modulator is insensitive to changes in the modulating signal at times other than the sampling instants. Therefore, PWM exhibits an inherent delay.

This discussion has been limited to a pulse-width modulator that acted on the magnitude of the modulating signal. Of course, the modulator could act on any of a number of functions of the modulating signal. 2 .

E. Pulse-Position Modulation

In pulse-position modulation³ (PPM) one operates on a pulse train by varying the position of its member pulses as a function of the modulating signal. An analytic expression for PPM is

$$
m(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ u[t - T(k + \frac{1}{2}) - p(kT)] - u[t - T(k + \frac{1}{2}) - p(kT) + w] \right\},
$$
(5)

where

$$
p(kT) = \frac{T}{2} \text{ sat } \frac{d}{T} e(kT).
$$

Figure ld shows a·PPM signal.

Pulse-position modulation has not been widely applied, although it has found some uses in telephony.³ As with PWM, the principle of superposition does not apply to PPM. In general, a pulse-position modulator is incapable of responding immediately to a change in the modulating signal. · Thus; ·PPM exhibits an inherent delay.

F. Pulse-Ratio Modulation

Pulse-ratio modulation (PRM), which is most like· the type of modulation described in this paper, consists of varying both the pulse

-6-

frequency and pulse width as a function of the input signal. This has been done by turning two current sources on and off. The current in one source is proportional to the input voltage and the current in the other source is proportional to l minus the input voltage: we have

$$
I_1 = X I_c ,
$$

and

$$
I_2 = (1 - X) I_c
$$
,

where X is the normalized input voltage, I_c is a constant, and and I₂ are the currents in the two sources.

The time that the pulse is on is the time it takes to change the voltage across a capacitor by ΔV when the first current source is connected to the capacitor. The time that the pulse is off is the time that it takes to change the voltage across the capacitor by ΔV when the second current source is connected across the capacitor. The on and off times, then, are determined by the time taken to move a fixed distance along a voltage trajectory, the slope of the trajectory being a function of the input signal. Schaefer describes a circuit in which a negative resistance is used to switch the two current sources at the appropriate times. His expressions for t_{on} and t_{off} are

$$
t_{on} = \frac{CR_n}{1-X},
$$

and

$$
t_{off} = \frac{CR_n}{X} ,
$$

where C is the capacitor being charged, and R_n is the value of the negative resistance.

These equations are somewhat similar to the equations derived for a tristable variety of our modulator $[Eqs. (44)$ and $(45)]$. This similarity occurs because both the Schaefer modulator⁹ and our

modulator operate on timing trajectories whose slopes are functions of the input signals (the modulator described in this paper uses an exponential trajectory).

A pulse-ratio modulator is capable of responding instantaneously to a change in the input signal and, therefore, it does not exhibit delay. The principle of superposition does not apply to PRM_systems.

c.· Pulse-Code Modulation

In pulse-code modulation (PCM), 3 the amplitude of the modulating signal is transformed into a coded train of pulses (usually a binary code) and this train of pulses constitutes the modulated signal. An easy way of performing PCM is to sample the modulating signal and process the samples in an analogue-to-digital converter. Thus, PCM is basically PAM with the amplitude information appearing digitally, rather than as an analogue signal. The principle of superposition does not apply to PCM, which exhibits the same type of inherent delay as do the other pulse modulators discussed thus far.

H. Some Other Types of Pulse Modulation

In pulse -frequency modulation (PFM) the repetition rate of a constant width and amplitude pulse is varied as a function of the modulating signaL As with other forms of modulation, the principle of superposition does not apply and the modulator exhibits an inherent delay.

In integral pulse -frequency modulation (IPFM), the modulator produces a pulse of fixed width and amplitude whenever the timeintegrated value of the modulating signal reaches a fixed value. The integrator is reset to zero after each output pulse. This form of modulation is used in electrical analogues of nerve action. The principle of superposition does not apply and the modulator exhibits an inherent delay.

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE MODULATOR

As can be seen from Fig. 2, the main element of the modulator is a relay (or regenerative switch). There is a feedback path going from the output to the input of the relay; the circuit elements of the feedback path are a low pass filter and an attenuator. The contact voltages of the relay are $+A$ volts and $-A$ volts, the hysteresis of the relay is $2\Delta V$ and the transfer function of the attenuator is B.

In a very general way, certain things can be said of the waveforms at different parts of the circuit. Assume that there is a de voltage impressed on the input. The output voltage, V_0 , oscillates between two values, +A and -A. The feedback path passes only the low frequency components of the output and attenuates them by a factor of B. The feedback voltage, V_f , is subtracted from the input voltage to form the error voltage, V_e . Now, the action of the circuit is such as to keep the magnitude of the error voltage small. If the magnitude of the error voltage exceeds ΔV , the output changes polarity, thereby decreasing the magnitude of the error voltage. Therefore, if the input is a de voltage, the amplitude of the error voltage will oscillate between $+\Delta V$ and $-\Delta V$. Since the error voltage is kept small, the feedback voltage, V_f , must be approximately equal to the input voltage, V_1 . This means that the output of the low pass filter must be approximately equal to $1/B$ times the input voltage. Therefore, the dc value of the output must be approximately equal to $1/B$ times the input voltage. To summarize this paragraph, we have seen that the modulator has converted the de input into a signal that oscillates between +A volts and -A volts and that the de value of the output is approximately equal to $1/B$ times the input (the gain of the modulator is $1/B$). We may also note that the low pass filter in the feedback loop acts as a demodulator of the output voltage.

Figure 3 shows some waveforms that were obtained from an experimental model of the modulator. The results of the experiment are discussed in detail in Sec. V. It might be helpful now to examine the waveforms in order to better understand the operation of the modulator. The upper trace in Fig. 3 is the input waveform, V_1 . The middle trace

ţ

 -9^{\prime}

MU-30507

Fig. 2. The basic modulator (bistable version).

 $\overline{}$

 \bar{z}

 -10

 $f_{\rm max}=-11$ -

 $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$ and The back of the arm of each support of the country of the country $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{E}}$ $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ general properties 医聚氧化物 医心包 医血管 الأرابين والأرادي $\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}} \geq 2$ $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^2$ 医血管 医神经病 $\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ or $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$ $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}^{(1)}$. $\mathcal{A}=\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}$, where \mathcal{A} $\chi_{L,M}$, $\chi_{L,M}$, $\chi_{L,M}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \left\langle \left(\mathbf{z}^{\dagger} \right) \right\rangle + \left\langle \mathbf{z}^{\dagger} \right\rangle \right\rangle = \left\langle \left(\mathbf{z}^{\dagger} \right) \right\rangle + \left\langle \left(\mathbf{z}^{\dagger} \right) \right\rangle$ **Carl Commercial** $\frac{1}{2}$ to $\chi = \frac{d}{2}$

..

 \bar{z}

 $\mathcal{F}_{\rm eff}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\rm eff}$. In

 $\chi_{\rm{max}}$

ZN-3700

 \mathcal{L}_{M} and \mathcal{L}_{M} are the set of the set of the set of the set of the set

Fig. 3. Wave forms exhibited in experimental modulator

- (a) Input voltage Time scale: 2 msec/division
- (b) Output voltage Voltage scale: (a) and (c) l V/division (c) Feedback voltage (b) 10 V/division.
- and the substantial contract of the state of the state of the state of the $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$, and
- a contract profile and provide a state of the contract of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}})$.

is the output voltage, V_0 . It can be seen that, for a positive input, the swinger of the relay spends most of its time on the contact that has +A volts on it. For a negative input voltage the swinger spends most of its time on the -A volt contact. The lowest trace is a picture of the feedback voltage, V_f . It looks very much like the input voltage, except that it has a ripple that is approximately equal to $2\Delta V$.

A more precise relationship between the input and the output voltage can be derived with the aid of Fig. 4. As indicated in that figure, the feedback voltage, V_f , will follow an exponential trajectory in time. The trajectory may be either positive going or negative going. Two representative trajectories are shown in Fig. 4.

Consider the steady state response to a dc input, V_1 . The dwell time on the positive contact equals the time taken to travel from V_1 - ΔV to V_1 + ΔV on the positive going trajectory. The dwell time on the negative contact equals the time taken to travel from $V_1 + \Delta V$ to V_1 - ΔV on the negative going trajectory. These times are functions of V_{1} .

The positive and negative dwell times may be derived as follows $*$ (Fig. 4):

$$
V_1 + \Delta V = -AB(1 - 2e^{-t_1/T}) = AB(1 - 2e^{-t_4/T}),
$$
 (6)

and

$$
V_1 - \Delta V = -AB(1 - 2e^{-t_2/T}) = AB(1 - 2e^{-t_3/T}),
$$
 (7)

where $T = RC$. From Eqs. (6) and (7), we obtain

$$
\frac{V_1 + \Delta V + AB}{V_1 - \Delta V + AB} = \frac{e^{-t_1/T}}{e^{-t_2/T}}.
$$
 (8)

The modulator has a relatively linear gain function for $-AB + \Delta V \le V_1 \le$ $AB - \Delta V$. Outside of this range the modulator saturates. Unless otherwise noted, all equations apply only to inputs within the linear range of the modulator.

MU -30499

If the negative dwell time is called t_{off} , then we have

$$
t_{off} = t_2 - t_1 = T \ln \left(\frac{V_1 + \Delta V + AB}{V_1 - \Delta V + AB} \right) = T \ln \left(\frac{1 + \frac{\Delta V}{AB + V_1}}{1 - \frac{\Delta V}{AB + V_1}} \right).
$$
 (9)

To find the expression for the positive dwell time, t_{on} , we again use Eqs. (6) and (7) :

$$
\frac{AB - V_1 + \Delta V}{AB - V_1 - \Delta V} = \frac{e^{-t_3/T}}{e^{-t_4/T}},
$$

$$
t_{on} = t_4 - t_3 = T \ln \left(\frac{AB - V_1 + \Delta V}{AB - V_1 - \Delta V} \right),
$$

and

$$
t_{on} = T ln \left(\frac{1 + \frac{\Delta V}{AB - V_1}}{1 - \frac{\Delta V}{AB - V_1}} \right).
$$
 (10)

Plots of t_{on} , t_{off} and the period, $P = t_{on} + t_{off}$, for $\Delta V = 0.01 \text{ V}$, $AB = 1 \text{ V}$, and $T = 10^{-3} \text{ sec}$, appear in Fig. 5.

An alternative, but only approximate derivation of the positive and negative dwell times (Fig. 6) is based on the assumption that the slope of the exponential is constant during the dwell time. Thus, on the positive going trajectory (Fig. 6),

$$
V_1 = AB(1 - 2e^{-t_1/T}),
$$
 (11)

The slope of the positive going trajectory at V_1 is

Fig. 5. t_{on} , t_{off} and $P = t_{on} + t_{off}$ when $\Delta V = 0.01$ volts, $AB = 1$ volt, and $T = 10^{-3}$ sec (bistable modulator).

$$
m_1 = \frac{2AB}{T} e^{-t_1/T}.
$$
 (12)

Combining Eqs. (11) and (12), we obtain

2AB e^{-t}
$$
1
$$
^{/T} = AB - V₁ and m₁ = $\frac{AB - V_1}{T}$. (13)

If we call the approximate value of the positive dwell time that is derived via these equations t^{*}_{on} , then

$$
t_{on}^* = \frac{2 \Delta V}{m_1} \tag{14}
$$

Therefore we have

$$
t_{on}^* = \frac{2 \Delta VT}{AB - V_1} \,. \tag{15}
$$

To find the approximate expression for t_{off} , t_{off}^* , it is necessary to find the slope of the negative going trajectory at V_1 (Fig. 6). We have

$$
V_1 = -AB(1 - 2e^{-t_2/T})
$$
 (16)

The slope of the negative going trajectory at V_1 is

$$
m_2 = -\frac{2 AB}{T} e^{-t_2/T}.
$$
 (17)

Combining Eqs. (16) and (17},

$$
m_2 = -\frac{V_1 + AB}{T}.
$$
 (18)

But $t_{off}^* = -2 \Delta V/m_2$. So, we see that

$$
t_{off}^* = \frac{2 \Delta VT}{AB + V_1} \,. \tag{19}
$$

Plots of t^{*}_{on} , t^{*}_{off} and $P^{*} = t^{*}_{\text{on}} + t^{*}_{\text{off}}$ for AB = 1 V, $\Delta V = 0.01 \text{ V}$ and T = 10⁻³ sec, appear in Fig. 7.

Since the approximate expressions for t_{on} and t_{off} [Eqs. (15) and (19)] are more tractable than the exact expressions [Eqs. (9) and (**1** 0)], it is convenient to use the approximate expressions. We can justify doing this as follows:

From Eqs. (10) and (15) we have

$$
\frac{t_{on}}{T} = \ln\left(\frac{1 + t_{on}^{*}/2T}{1 - t_{on}^{*}/2T}\right),
$$
\n(20)

and by writing the series expansion for t_{on}/T we obtain

$$
\frac{t_{on}}{T} = \frac{t_{on}^{*}}{T} + \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{t_{on}^{*}}{2T}\right)^{3} + \frac{2}{5} \left(\frac{t_{on}^{*}}{2T}\right)^{5} + \cdots
$$
 (21)

So if we have $t_{on}^*/2T \ll 1$, then $t_{on} \ncong t_{on}^*$

The relative error is

$$
\frac{t_{on} - t_{on}^{*}}{t_{on}} = \frac{\frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{t_{on}^{*}}{2T}\right)^{3} + \frac{2}{5} \left(\frac{t_{on}^{*}}{2T}\right)^{5} + \frac{2}{7} \left(\frac{t_{on}^{*}}{2T}\right)^{7} + \cdots}{\frac{t_{on}^{*}}{T} + \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{t_{on}^{*}}{2T}\right)^{3} + \frac{2}{5} \left(\frac{t_{on}^{*}}{2T}\right)^{5} + \cdots}
$$
(22)

When the modulator is in its linear range, V_{\parallel} ranges from - $AB + \Delta V$ to $AB - \Delta V$. This range for V_1 corresponds to a range for $t_{\text{on}}^*/2T$ [Eq. (15)] that goes from $\frac{\Delta V}{\Delta V}$ to 1 ($\frac{\Delta V}{\Delta V}$ is much on^{α} ² α ¹ α ² α ¹ α ² α ³ α ³ less than 1 if $AB \gg \Delta V$). Since we find $t_{on}^*/2T \le 1$ for all values of

and a series of the state of the state of the process of the state of the state of the state of the $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}))=\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}))=\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}))=\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

the first product that the control of the control of the $\sim 10^{11}$ and $\sim 10^{11}$ \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} . The set of \mathcal{L}_{max} $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$, $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}).$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{A})$

 $\bar{\nu}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}} & \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}} & \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}} \\ \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}} & \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}} & \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}} \\ \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}} & \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}} & \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}} \end{array} \right. \end{array}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} \left(\mathcal{L} \right) \left(\mathcal{L} \right) \left(\mathcal{L} \right) \left(\mathcal{L} \right) \left(\mathcal{L} \right)$

MU-30500

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

Fig. 7. t^* , t^* . on' ^Lo: AB = **1** volt, and and $P^* = t_{\text{on}}^* + t_{\text{off}}^*$ when $\Delta V = 0.01$ volts, $T = 10^{-3}$ sec (bistable modulator).

 \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A} are the space of the space of the space \mathcal{A}

. The second particle is a sequence of the second second contract \mathcal{L}_c

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{S})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{S})$

 $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2}$

 $V₁$ within the linear range of the modulator and since the infinite series $2/3 + 2/5 + 2/7 + \cdots$ diverges, the maximum relative error is 1.

To determine the relationship between t_{off} and t_{off}^* , examine Eqs. (9) and (19) :

$$
\frac{t_{\rm off}}{T} = \ln\left(\frac{1 + t_{\rm off}^{*}/2T}{1 - t_{\rm off}^{*}/2T}\right),
$$
 (23)

and

 $\overline{}$

$$
\frac{t_{\text{off}}}{T} = \frac{t_{\text{off}}^*}{T} + \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{t_{\text{off}}^*}{2T} \right)^3 + \frac{2}{5} \left(\frac{t_{\text{off}}^*}{2T} \right)^5 + \cdots
$$
 (24)

So if we have $\frac{t_{off}}{2T} \ll 1$, The relative error i's

$$
\frac{t_{off} - t_{off}^*}{t_{off}} = \frac{\frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{t_{off}^*}{2T} \right)^3 + \frac{2}{5} \left(\frac{t_{off}^*}{2T} \right)^5 + \frac{2}{7} \left(\frac{t_{off}^*}{2T} \right)^7 + \cdots}{\frac{t_{off}^*}{T} + \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{t_{off}^*}{2T} \right)^3 + \frac{2}{5} \left(\frac{t_{off}^*}{2T} \right)^5 + \cdots},
$$
\n(25)

It can be seen that the expression for the relative error in t_{off} is of the same form as that for t_{on} . Furthermore, $t_{\text{off}}^{\text{*}}/2T$ also ranges between 1 and $\frac{\Delta V}{2 \text{ AB } - \Delta V}$. Therefore, the relative error in $t_{\rm off}$ never exceeds 1. A representation of the per cent error in $t_{\rm on}$ as a function of V_1 and as a function of t_{on}^*/T . (when $\Delta V = 0.01 V$, AB = 1 V, and $T = 10^{-3}$ sec) is shown in Fig. 8. The representation for the per cent error in t_{off} would be of the same shape. As can be seen from Fig. 8, the maximum value of V_1 (with the modulator still being in its linear range) is 0.99 V. When V_1 is as high as 0.9 V, the error is only 0.6% . We may then conclude that the approximation is a fairly valid one over 90% of the range of the modulator.

 \mathcal{A}_4

 $\hat{L}^{\rm eff}$ and

 $\tilde{f}(\cdot)$

 $\Delta \phi = \sqrt{2}$, and $\Delta \phi = 0$, where $\phi = 0$, and $\Delta \phi = 0$, where $\phi = 0$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{\substack{m=1\\m\neq m}}\frac{1}{m}\sum_{\substack{m=1\\m\neq m}}\frac{1}{m}\sum_{\substack{m=1\\m\neq m}}\frac{1}{m}\sum_{\substack{m=1\\m\neq m}}\frac{1}{m}\sum_{\substack{m=1\\m\neq m}}\frac{1}{m}\sum_{\substack{m=1\\m\neq m}}\frac{1}{m}\sum_{\substack{m=1\\m\neq m}}\frac{1}{m}\sum_{\substack{m=1\\m\neq m}}\frac{1}{m}\sum_{\substack{m=1\\m\neq$

 $-21 \mathcal{A}$

The period of the pulse train is $(Fig. 9)$

$$
P = t_{on} + t_{off} = 4 \Delta VT \left(\frac{AB}{(AB)^2 - V_1^2} \right).
$$
 (26)

The duty cycle of the pulse train is (Fig. 10)

$$
D_0 = \frac{t_{on}}{t_{on} + t_{off}} = \frac{AB + V_1}{2 AB} \tag{27}
$$

The dc value of the output voltage is

$$
V_0 = AD_0 - A(1 - D_0) = A(2D_0 - 1).
$$
 (28)

Combining Eqs. (27} and (28)

$$
V_0 = \frac{V_1}{B} \tag{29}
$$

The de gain of the circuit is

$$
K = \frac{V_0}{V_1} = \frac{1}{B} \tag{30}
$$

III. THE TIME RESPONSE OF THE MODULATOR TO OTHER THAN STEADY STATE DC INPUTS

It is difficult to find the time response of the modulator to a generalized input signal. However, it is possible, for certain types of signals, to find useful analytic expressions for the time response.

 $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$

MU-30505

A. Step Inputs

If the modulator receives a step input of amplitude C, it responds by saturating. That is, its initial response will be a step of amplitude A. The output will continue to be A volts until the RC network has integrated to $(C + \Delta V)/B$. At that time, the transient response will have been completed and the modulator will have a steady state output corresponding to an input voltage of C volts.

If we assume that the input step occurs at that instant when $V_f = D$ volts ($|D| \le \Delta V$), then the transient response of the modulator will be a pulse of width

$$
W = T \ln \left(\frac{AB - D}{AB - C - \Delta V} \right).
$$
 (31)

· It is interesting that this modulator, unlike other pulse modulators, offers an instantaneous maximum effort transient response. In that regard it is similar to a maximum effort (bang-bang) control system.

B. Response to Slowly Varying Signal's

If we assume that the slope of the input signal and the slope of V_f are both constant during the dwell time (a kind of piecewise linear approximation), we can arrive at useful expressions for the response.

Assume that the modulator has just switched positive at the instant (t_1) that the input signal first appears. Label the dwell times T_1 , T_2 , \cdots T_n (Fig. 11), the positive dwell times having odd subscripts and the negative dwell times having even subscripts. Label the switching instants t_1 , t_2 , $\cdots t_n$. Now, we have

$$
T_n = \frac{\Delta V_n}{|m_n|},
$$
\n(32)

 \sim i,

 $\mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{I}$

 \sim

MU-30506

where ΔV_n is the change in V_f during the nth dwell time and m_n is the slope of V_f during the nth dwell time. For odd n (positive dwell times)

$$
\Delta V_{n} = 2\Delta V + V_{1}^{'}(t_{n}) (t_{n+1} - t_{n}), \qquad (33)
$$

and

$$
m_n = \frac{2AB}{T} e^{-t_n/T} = \frac{AB - V_1(t_n)}{T} \ . \tag{34}
$$

Therefore, we get

$$
T_n = t_{n+1} - t_n = \frac{2\Delta VT}{AB - V_1(t_n) - V_1'(t_n)T}.
$$
 (35)

For negative dwell times (n even), we have

$$
\Delta V_n = 2\Delta V - V_1'(t_n) (t_{n+1} - t_n) ,
$$
 (36)

and

 $\sim 10^{-10}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{y}) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{y}) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{y})$

 $\gamma \to -\gamma$

$$
m_n = -\frac{V_1(t_n) + AB}{T} \tag{37}
$$

 Γ and Γ are Γ and Γ

 $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
T_n = t_{n+1} - t_n = \frac{2\Delta VT}{AB + V_1(t_n) + V_1'(t_n)T}.
$$
 (38)

 $\Delta \phi = 0.1$ and $\Delta \phi$

If. $V_1'(t_n) = 0$, Eqs. (35) and (38) reduce to Eqs. (15) and (19), which describe the steady state de response of the modulator.

As an example of the application of Eqs. (35) and (38), let V_1 be a ramp with slope a, Then, we get

$$
T_n = \frac{2\Delta VT}{AB - V_1(t_n) - aT}
$$
 (n odd),

and

$$
T_n = \frac{2\Delta VT}{AB + V_1(t_n) + aT}
$$
 (n even),

where $t_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} T_k$. 1

The response to a sine input can be found if we let $V_1 = C$ $sin 2\pi ft$:

$$
T_n = \frac{2\Delta VT}{AB - C\sin 2\pi ft_n - T C\cos 2\pi ft_n}
$$
 (n odd),

and

$$
T_n = \frac{2\Delta VT}{AB + C\sin 2\pi ft_n + T C\cos 2\pi ft_n}
$$
 (n even).

C. Response to Rapidly Varying Signals

If a signal has a slope much greater than $1/T$, then we may consider the feedback loop of the modulator to be open circuited. That is, the signal changes faster than the RC network can respond. For such signals the modulator degenerates to a relay (or regenerative switch).

IV. APPLICATIONS FOR THE MODULATOR

The modulator (or slightly modified forms of it) has at least four applications. It can be used as a modulator, an amplifier, a multiplier, and a divider.

A. As a Modulator

The operation of the circuit as a modulator has been described in the last two sections, where the response to various kinds of signals has been derived. In comparison to other pulse modulators, it has two desirable features (both of which are also found in PRM, 9 but not in other pulse-modulation schemes).

The first feature is that it responds instantaneously and with maximum effort to changes in the input. The modulator does not have to wait for sampling instants, and therefore does not exhibit a delay.

The second feature is that t_{on} and t_{off} have minimum values greater than zero. This means that the transmitting medium has to (have a bandwidth no greater than that required to transmit the minimum pulse width. The minimum t_{on} occurs when $V_1 = AB - \Delta V$ and the minimum t_{off} occurs when $V_1 = -AB + \Delta V$. From Eqs. (15) and (19), we have

$$
\min t_{on} = \min t_{off} = \frac{2 \text{TAV}}{2 \text{AB} - \text{AV}} \,. \tag{39}
$$

B. As an Amplifier

An undesirable feature of the modulator is that it has a squarewave output (de value equal to zero) for an input of zero volts. This results in a large amount of dissipation in the load when the input is zero volts. It would be better, from the viewpoint of efficiency, for the output to be identically zero when the input is zero.

There are at least two ways of making the amplifier output be zero volts for an input of zero volts and still maintain a bipolar output. One method is to detect the absolute value of V_1 and gate the output of the amplifier when $|V_1|$ is greater than some threshold voltage. The previous analysis would apply to such an amplifier except that the amplifier would have a dead zone for input signals whose absolute values were below the threshold level.

A second way of achieving the same end (Fig. 12) is to have a tristable relay in the forward loop, rather than the bistable relay of

$-30-$

$\label{eq:2.1} \left\|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^2\right\|^2\leq \left\|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^2\right\|^2\leq \left\|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^2\right\|^2\leq \left\|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^2\right\|^2\leq \left\|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^2\right\|^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ $\label{eq:R1} \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{w}) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{w}) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{w}) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{w}) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{w})$ $\chi \approx 10^{-10}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\label{eq:2} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y})$ **Contract Contract Contract** $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\mathbf{z} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{2} \left(\mathbf{z} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\math$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$

> \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} $\sim 10^{-1}$ ~ 0.01 $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(\log n))$. The set of $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ ~ 3

 $\mathcal{L}_{\rm{max}}$

 \mathcal{L}_{max} , and \mathcal{L}_{max}

 $\sim 10^{11}$

 \sim \sim

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 \sim 84 \sim 1

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\rm{max}}$

 $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}$, we have $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}$ sa store

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}} \left(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}} \right) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}} \left(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}} \right)$ $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ \mathcal{L}_{max} .

Fig. 12. The tristable modulator. $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$, and the set of the function of the set of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$, we have a set of the $\sim 10^{-11}$. The simple point of the complex step is the point of the simple $\mathcal{O}(1)$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$. The set of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\math$ $\sim 10^{11}$

and the composition of the third product of the component of the component of the component of the

Fig. 2. Tristable relays are manufactured by several companies and they are usually called bipolar relays. The swinger of a bipolar relay is connected to one of two contacts according to whether the coil voltage is positive or negative. If the coil voltage is insufficient to energize the relay, the swinger comes in contact with neither contact.

A bipolar relay can be constructed from two bistable relays, by making each relay sensitive to a different polarity input. This can be accomplished by placing a diode in series with each coil. The output of the two relays must then be added (Fig. 13}.

An electronic bipolar relay can be constructed with two regenerative switches in parallel, the output of the switches being added (Fig. 13).

The sensitivity of the bipolar relay can be modified by inserting an amplifier between the summing junction and the relay. This has the effect of dividing the dead zone and hysteresis of the relay by the gain of the amplifier. The dead zone canbe made ze'ro by properly biasing the relay (or regenerative amplifier).

The analytic expressions for the amplifier of Fig. **12** are different than those for the amplifier of Fig. 2. The operation of the tristable amplifier can be explained with the aid of Fig. **14.** t From Fig. **14,** we see that

$$
V_1 + \Delta V = ABe^{-t_1/T} = AB(1 - e^{-t_4/T}),
$$
 (40)

$$
V_1 = ABe^{-t}z/T = AB(1 - e^{-t}z/T)
$$
, (41)

$$
t_2 - t_1 = t_{off} = T ln \left(1 + \frac{\Delta V}{|V_1|} \right),
$$
 (42)

[†] The amplifier is in its linear range for $\Delta V \le |V_1| \le AB - \Delta V$. These equations apply only when the input signal is within the above limits.

 \sim \sim

 \mathcal{A} $\lambda=1$

 \sim

 \sim

 $\sim 10^{-10}$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ is a subset of the $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{z})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{z})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{z})$ $\label{eq:1} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{r})$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$, where $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ $\sim 10^{10}$ $\Delta \sim 10^{11}$ and $\Delta \sim 10^{11}$ \mathcal{L}_{c} \mathcal{A} ~ 10 $\sim 10^{-11}$ $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$ $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathcal{G}}(x)$

 ~ 1 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

 \mathcal{L}_{max} and

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$

MU-30508

 \mathcal{A}

 $\begin{split} \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} & = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\rm{max}}$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{max}}$, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{max}}$ $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$

 \sim

Fig. 14. Typical trajectories for the tristable modulator.

 $\sim \tau_{\rm g}$ \sim $\sim 10^{11}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \, dx$ J.

 $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)$, $\frac{1}{2}$

and

$$
t_4 - t_3 = t_{on} = T \ln \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{\Delta V}{|AB| - |V_1|}} \right).
$$
 (43)

Where the polarity of the output voltage is the same as the sign of V_1 , and t_{off} is the time that $V_0 = 0$.

The approximate equations, analogous to Eqs. (15) and (19) of the bistable case are

$$
t_{on}^* = \frac{\Delta VT}{|AB| - |V_1|},\qquad(44)
$$

and

$$
t_{off}^* = \frac{\Delta VT}{|V_1|} \,. \tag{45}
$$

The approximate and exact formulas are related by $\frac{1}{2}$

$$
\frac{t_{\text{off}}}{T} = \ln\left(1 + \frac{t_{\text{off}}^*}{T}\right) \tag{46}
$$

and

$$
\frac{t_{\text{on}}}{T} = \ln\left(\frac{1}{t_{\text{on}}^*}\right). \tag{47}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\frac{t_{\text{off}}}{T} = \frac{t_{\text{off}}^*}{T} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{t_{\text{off}}^*}{T} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{t_{\text{off}}^*}{T} \right)^3 + \cdots,
$$

which indicates that t_{off}^*/T is close to t_{off}/T for small values of t_{off}^*/T .

We can also observe that

$$
\frac{t_{on}}{T} = \ln\left(1 + \frac{t_{on}^{*}}{T} + \left(\frac{t_{on}^{*}}{T}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{t_{on}^{*}}{T}\right)^{3} + \cdots\right)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{t_{on}^{*}}{T} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{t_{on}^{*}}{T}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{t_{on}^{*}}{T}\right)^{3} + \cdots,
$$

which indicates that t_{on}^*/T is close to t_{on}/T for small values of t_{on}^*/T .

on^{\int *}
Plots of t_{off} and t_{off} are shown in Fig. 15, t_{on} and t_{on} in Fig. 16, and $P = t_{on} + t_{off}$ and $P^* = t_{on}^* + t_{off}^*$ in Fig. 17. The gain of the tristable amplifier is (using the approximate expressions for t_{on} and t_{off}),

$$
K = \frac{A}{V_1} \frac{t_{on}}{t_{on} + t_{off}} = \frac{1}{B}.
$$
 (48)

This amplifier is especially well suited for use with transistorized power stages. The advantage results from the fact that the power transistors are always, except during switching, in cutoff or in saturation. While in cutoff the current through the power transistors is small and while in saturation the voltage drop across the transistors is small. Therefore, compared to linear do amplifiers, relatively little power is dissipated in the transistors for a given power delivered to the load. Since transistors are severely limited in the amount of power that they can dissipate (that is, heat), this type of amplifier results in simpler driving stages.

C. As a Multiplier and as a Divider

If we modify the modulator by placing a saturating amplifier (a regenerative switch or relay would do as well) in the feedback loop, we

MU-30510

 $\alpha = 1$

Fig. 15. t_{off} and t_{off}^* when $\Delta V = 0.01$ volts, AB = 1 volt,
and T = 10-3 sec (tristable modulator). $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{L}$

 $\bar{\beta}$

J.

 $\mathcal{P}_{\rm{int}}$ $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ $\lambda_{\rm{max}}$ and $\lambda_{\rm{max}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}})$ $\sim 10^7$ **Control**

Fig. 16. t_{on} and t_{on}^* when $\Delta V = 0.01$ volts, AB = 1 volt, and T = 10-3 sec (tristable modulator).

 \bar{u}

Fig. 17. The pulse period and the approximate pulse period
when $\Delta V = 0.01$ volts, AB = 1 volt, and T = 10-3 sec
(tristable modulator).

 $\label{eq:2.1} \begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}) & = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}) \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}) & = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}) \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}) \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}) \\ & = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}) \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}) \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}) \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}) \\ & = \mathcal{L}_{\math$ $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A})$ $\Delta \sim 10^{11}$ km s $^{-1}$

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$

 \mathcal{A}

 $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\rm L}$

 $\bar{\beta}$

can use the modulator as a divider, or a multiplier, or both (Fig. 18). The bistable relay in the forward loop switches between $+E$ and $-E$ volts,' while the saturating amplifier switches between +A and -A volts. From Eqs. (27) and (28) we have

$$
V_0 = \frac{EV_1}{AB},
$$
 (49)

where Eq. (27) applies directly, while in Eq. (28) the "A" must be changed to "E."

If we allow A to be time varying, the modulator can function as a divider. The modulation will still be of the same type discussed previously. The equations of Sec s. II and III still apply [with the exception of Eqs. (29) and (30)]. In equation (28) one must change "A" to "E. II

If we cause E to be time varying, the modulator functions as a multiplier. In this case, the type of modulation has changed, since the frequency, width, and amplitude of the pulse train all vary as a function of EV_1 . All the expressions of Secs. II and III apply. In Eq. (28) one must substitute "E" for "A."

It is obvious that the modulator can function simultaneously as a multiplier and as a divider.

V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Two electronic versions of the modulator were constructed $$ a bistable model (Fig. 20) and a tristable model (Figs. 22 and 23). The regenerative switch of the forward loop of the bistable model consists of a de amplifier with positive feedback (via the 75K resistor) from the output to the base of the input transistor. Important parameters that were determined are $C = 0.5 \mu F$, $R = 930 \Omega$, $T = 462 \mu$ sec, $AB = 1.1 \text{ V}$ and $2\Delta V = 0.150 \text{ V}$. The gain of the amplifier without regenerative feedback is 300. The potentiometer in the emitters of the differential stage was set for zero de output with zero input. The

 $\sim 10^{11}$ Δ , Δ , Δ , Δ , Δ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$, and the following constant $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$, and the following constant $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \left\langle \left\langle \phi_{\alpha} \right\rangle \right\rangle = \left\langle \left\langle \phi_{\alpha} \right\rangle \right\rangle$ 1000年 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\mathcal{L}_{\rm{max}}$

 $\bar{\beta}$

MU-30513

 \mathcal{L} \sim $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

18. The multiplier or divider: $V_0 = \left(\frac{1}{B}\right) \left(\frac{EV_1}{A}\right)$. Fig. $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ are the set of the set $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L}$ **Controlled Stores** $\frac{1}{2}$

positive and negative dwell times for different input voltages were measured with an Eput meter (Fig. 21). The results of these measurements are shown in Fig. 19. Also shown in Fig. 19 are some typical values for the dwell times that were calculated by means of Eqs. (9) and (10). The minimum t_{on} and the minimum t_{off} were both 32 μ sec. Minimum t_{on} occurred when $V_1 = 1V$ and minimum $\rm t_{off}$ occurred when $\rm V_{1}$ = -1 V. Using Eq (39), one calculates a minimum dwell time of $31.6 \mu sec$. Some waveforms exhibited by this modulator are shown ,in Fig. 3.

The results of this experiment were in close agreement with theory. However, the largest discrepancy occurs when V_1 is close in value to AB and when $\frac{\Delta V}{V_1 + AB}$ is close in value to 1, because it is for those values that Eqs. (9) and (10) become most sensitive to experimental error in determining ΔV and AB.

The tristable modulator (Figs. 22 and 23) was used to drive a 100 W (output) de printed circuit motor. The amplifier delivered as much as $15A$ at $12V$ to the motor. The gain of the modulator section of the amplifier was about 50 and the voltage gain of the power stage was 2. Tachometer feedback was placed around the amplifier and motor and a de gain of 30, flat to 30 cycles, was achieved (the output velocity being underdamped).

VI. CONCLUSION

It has been shown that a pulse modulator, which can be constructed with simple components, can be used as an amplifier, a divider, or as a multiplier. As an amplifier, the modulator has fairly linear gain over most of its range.

The accuracy of the modulator is mainly determined by how well one can control ΔV . All of the other parameters (T, A, and B) can easily be realized such that they are both precise and drift free. If a relay is used in the forward loop of the modulator, ΔV will not be very stably or accurately determined (although this might not be a limitation for many applications). If a regenerative switch (whose basic

 $\mathcal{A}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, $\mathcal{A}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ \hat{A}

1,550,4227

Fig. 19. The results of the experiment using the bistable modulator.

the company of $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{(1)}$. $\mathcal{I}=\{1,\ldots,n\}$. The set of $\mathcal{I}=\{1,\ldots,n\}$ $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$, and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$, and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$, and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$ $\lambda_{\rm{in}}$

Fig. 20. Circuit diagram of bistable modulator used in experimental setup.

MU-30517

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$

 \mathbf{e}

 \sim

 \sim

 $\ddot{}$

 $\bar{\lambda}$

 ~ 10

Fig. 23. The experimental tristable amplifier.

element is a differential amplifier) is used in the forward loop, then ΔV can be/much more precisely and stably determined.

Our modulator and Schaefer's⁹ share some advantages over other forms of pulse modulation. They both respond instantaneously with maximum effort to a change in input signal. They both have minimum on and off times (which conserves bandwidth).

The high frequency gain of our modulator is excessive, although this fault can be mitigated by proper filtering. In terms of realizing a variety of configurations our modulator seems to offer many advantages. In his article, $9\text{ Schaefer offers only a unipolar amplifier and it}$ is not obvious how a bipolar model could be simply constructed. Besides being used in a variety of bipolar and unipolar configurations, the modulator described in this paper can also be used as a multiplier or divider.

There are still some variations of this circuit that might be worth investigating. One such variation would be to place something other than a low pass filter in the feedback path (e. g., a delay line). It might also be worthwhile to place in the feedback path an integrating network whose time constant is a function of input voltage. This could be accomplished by replacing the R of the RC network with a resistor whose resistance was a function of the voltage across it.

Still another variation would be to place the low pass filter in the forward loop, between the summing junction and the relay (Fig. 24). The results of such a change would be a circuit very similar to the one discussed, except that the circuit would not respond instantaneously to a change in input voltage (there would be a small delay). However, since the high frequency response of the circuit would be less, the circuit would be less sensitive to high frequency noise. If a literal relay were used in the circuit, the coil of the relay could serve as the low pass filter.

It is perhaps interesting that such a simple circuit lends itself to such varied and useful applications. This is especially striking when one realizes that the modulator is directly analogous to so simple a system as a thermostatically controlled heater. In that analogy, the relay in the forward loop of the modulator is analogous to the bimetallic

Ş.

 \mathcal{L}^{out}

MU-30498

 $\sim 10^{11}$ and $\sim 10^{11}$ \sim $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]^{2}$

Fig. 24. Modulator with low pass filter in forward loop.

 $\mathcal{D}^{(k)}$, and $\mathcal{D}^{(k)}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac$ $\overline{1}$ \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ \sim \sim \mathcal{L}_{L} , where \mathcal{L}_{L} and \mathcal{L}_{L} $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\sim 10^{10}$. $\sqrt{2}$, \mathcal{L}_{eff}

strip in the thermostat, V_1 is analogous to the setting of the thermostat, the RC element is analogous to the thermal medium coupling the heater to the thermostat, and V_0 is analogous to the pulses of heat that are emitted by the heating element.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thanks E. I. Jury for his suggestions during the preparation of this paper, T. Taussig for his suggestions for circuit design, and Dorothy Rosenthal for her help in preparing the manuscript.

This work done under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

REFERENCES

- 1. E. I. Jury, Sampled-Data, Control Systems (John Wiley and Sons, Inc., NewYork, N.Y., 1958).
- 2. E. I. Jury, Sampling Schemes in Sampled-Data Control Systems, IRE Trans. Auto. Control, AC-6, 1 (February 1961), pp. 86-88.
- 3. H. S. Black, Modulation Theory (D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1953).

4. T. T. Kadota and H. C. Bourne, Jr., Stability Conditions of Pulse Width Modulated Systems Through the Second Method of Lyapunov, IRE Trans. Auto. Control, AC-6, 3 (September 1961), pp. 266-2 76.

- 5. E. Polak, Stability and Graphical Analysis of First Order Pulse-Width-Modulated Sampled-Data Regulator Systems, IRE Trans. Auto. Control, AC-6, 3 (September 1961), pp. 276-282.
- 6. F. R. Delfeld and G. J. Murphy, Analysis of Pulse-Width-Modulated Control Systems, IRE Trans. Auto. Control, AC -6, 3 (September 1961), pp. 283-292.
- 7. R. E. Andeen, Analysis of Pulse Duration Sampled-Data Systems with Linear Elements, IRE Trans. Auto. Control, AC -5, 4 (September 1960), pp. 306-313.
- 8. E. I. Jury and T. Nishimura, On the Periodic Modes of Oscillation in Pulse-Width-Modulated Feedback Systems, Trans. ASME Series D, J. Basic Eng., 84, 1 (March 1962), pp. 71-84.
- 9. R. A. Schaefer, A New Pulse Modulator for Accurate de Amplification with Linear or Nonlinear Devices, IRE Trans. Instr. I-11, 2 (September 1962), pp. 34-37.

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

 $\frac{1}{\mathbf{f}} \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{f} & \mathbf{f} \\ \mathbf{f} & \mathbf{f} \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{f}} \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{f} & \mathbf{f} \\ \mathbf{f} & \mathbf{f} \end{array} \right)$ $\epsilon_{\rm max}$ an
Kabupatèn Baga 经营销 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 &$ $\label{eq:1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$ $\bar{\bar{z}}$. $\frac{1}{\pi}$