UC Office of the President

Research Grants Program Office (RGPO) Funded Publications

Title

Hmga2 is dispensable for pancreatic cancer development, metastasis, and therapy resistance

Permalink <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3w80g7kh>

Journal Scientific Reports, 8(1)

ISSN

2045-2322

Authors

Chiou, Shin-Heng Dorsch, Madeleine Kusch, Eva [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3w80g7kh#author)

Publication Date

2018-09-01

DOI 10.1038/s41598-018-32159-x

Peer reviewed

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 29 June 2018 Accepted: 3 September 2018 Published online: 18 September 2018

OPEN Hmga2 is dispensable for **pancreatic cancer development, metastasis, and therapy resistance**

Shin-HengChiou1, Madeleine Dorsch2, Eva Kusch2, Santiago Naranjo1, Margaret M. Kozak3, Albert C. Koong3,4, Monte M.Winslow1,5,6 & Barbara M.Grüner 2,7

Expression of the chromatin-associated protein HMGA2 correlates with progression, metastasis and therapy resistance in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC). Hmga2 has also been identifed as a marker of a transient subpopulation of PDAC cells that has increased metastatic ability. Here, we characterize the requirement for Hmga2 during growth, dissemination, and metastasis of PDAC *in vivo* **using conditional inactivation of Hmga2 in well-established autochthonous mouse models of PDAC. Overall survival, primary tumour burden, presence of disseminated tumour cells in the peritoneal cavity or circulating tumour cells in the blood, and presence and number of metastases were not signifcantly diferent between mice with Hmga2-wildtype or Hmga2-defcient tumours. Treatment of mice with Hmga2-wildtype and Hmga2-defcient tumours with gemcitabine did not uncover a signifcant impact of Hmga2-defciency on gemcitabine sensitivity. Hmga1 and Hmga2 overlap in their expression in both human and murine PDAC, however knockdown of** *Hmga1* **in Hmga2-defcient cancer cells also did not decrease metastatic ability. Thus, Hmga2 remains a prognostic marker which identifes a metastatic cancer cell state in primary PDAC, however Hmga2 has limited if any direct functional impact on PDAC progression and therapy resistance.**

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is among the most fatal of all malignancies^{[1](#page-8-0)}. Due to its high rate of metastatic spread and poor response to therapy the fve-year survival rate for PDAC patients remains below ten percent and PDAC is projected to be the second most common cause of cancer deaths by [2](#page-8-1)030². While PDAC is well characterized genomically,^{3,[4](#page-8-3)} the mechanisms that enable cancer cells to leave their primary site and form distant metastases remain largely unknown^{[5–](#page-8-4)[10](#page-8-5)}. The most common driver mutations in human PDAC are oncogenic mutations in KRAS and loss of the tumour suppressors CDKN2A, SMAD4, and TP53. Tese alterations are present in both primary tumours and metastases and additional genomic alterations specifc to metastases have not been identified^{[6,](#page-8-6)11}. Several recent studies have suggested that PDAC metastasis is driven by epigenetic alter-ations, metabolic changes, and microenvironment-induced gene expression alterations^{[10,](#page-8-5)[12–](#page-9-1)[15](#page-9-2)}. Due to its highly metastatic nature, most PDAC patients are diagnosed at an advanced disease stage with local or distant metastasis and are therefore not candidates for potentially curative surgery. Tus, the majority of patients are treated with chemotherapy. However, chemotherapeutic regimens and targeted therapies are largely inefective, and tumours that do respond rapidly develop resistance^{[12,](#page-9-1)15-[17](#page-9-3)}.

Genetically engineered mouse models of PDAC recapitulate many aspects of the human disease. Pancreas-specific expression of oncogenic Kras^{G12D} and *Cre/loxP*-based inactivation of the tumour suppressors that are frequently inactivated in human PDAC results in the development of murine PDAC that is histologically and molecularly similar to the human disease^{18,[19](#page-9-5)}. These models recapitulate the entire course of the disease, from the development of preneoplastic lesions to invasive carcinomas and the development of widespread metastatic

¹Department of Genetics, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA. ²Department of Medical Oncology, West German Cancer Center, University Hospital Essen, University Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany. ³Department of Radiation Oncology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA. ⁴Present address: Department of Radiation Oncology, MD Anderson Cancer Center, The University of Texas, Houston, TX, USA. ⁵Department of Pathology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA. ⁶Stanford Cancer Institute, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA. ⁷German Cancer Consortium (DKTK) partner site Essen, Düsseldorf, Essen, Germany. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.M.W. (email: [mwinslow@stanford.edu\)](mailto:mwinslow@stanford.edu) or B.M.G. (email: [barbara.gruener@uk-essen.de\)](mailto:barbara.gruener@uk-essen.de)

diseas[e19](#page-9-5)[–22.](#page-9-6) Importantly, these models also allow the impact of additional genetic alterations to be investigated during the initiation and progression of pancreatic cancer, as well as response to therapy *in vivo*[23–](#page-9-7)[25](#page-9-8).

HMGA2 (high mobility group A2) is a chromatin-associated DNA-binding protein that is expressed in embryonic stem cells and during development, but is absent from most adult somatic cells^{26[,27](#page-9-10)}. Interestingly, HMGA2 is re-expressed in many human malignancies, including PDAC, where high expression correlates with lymph node metastasis, increased tumour grade, and reduced patient survival[28](#page-9-11)[–34](#page-9-12). HMGA2 has been shown to interact with histones and alter DNA topology through binding to the minor groove of DNA^{26} . Due to its high expression in multiple cancer types at advanced stages as well as in metastases, it has been suggested that HMGA2 functions to drive metastatic ability[27](#page-9-10)[,28](#page-9-11)[,30](#page-9-13)[,34](#page-9-12)[–39.](#page-9-14) Recently, using genetically engineered mouse models, we defned a transient, Hmga2-positive subpopulation of PDAC cells that has increased metastatic ability¹³. However, it remains unclear whether Hmga2 is a functionally important driver of the pro-metastatic properties of these cells or only a marker of this state.

In this study, we directly investigated the importance of Hmga2 during PDAC development, metastasis, and therapy response *in vivo*. We conditionally inactivated Hmga2 in well-established autochthonous PDAC models and found no effect of Hmga2 inactivation on tumour initiation, progression, or metastasis. The absence of Hmga2 also did not dramatically alter the response of autochthonous PDAC to gemcitabine treatment.

Results

Hmga2 defciency does not impact the survival of PDAC bearing mice. To investigate the role of Hmga2 in PDAC, we frst confrmed Hmga2 expression in the carcinoma stage of pancreatic cancer tissue isolated from the well-established autochthonous *KrasLSL-G12D/*⁺*;p53LSL-R*172*H/*⁺*;Rosa26LSL-tdTomato/*⁺*;Pdx1-Cre* (*KP*¹⁷²*CT*) mouse model of PDAC (Fig. [1a,](#page-3-0) Supplementary Fig. S1a). Recently, we uncovered Hmga2 as a marker of a transient subpopulation of pancreatic cancer cells with increased metastatic ability in *KP*¹⁷²*CT* mice¹³. To mark Hmga2positive cells within autochthonous PDAC, we utilized a conditional *Hmga2* allele that converts from the unrecombined *Hmga2CK* conformation to a null-allele/GFP-knock-in reporter (*Hmga2GFP*) upon Cre-mediated inversion of a splice-acceptor-GFP-splice-donor cassette^{13,40}.

To initially investigate whether pancreas-specifc inactivation of Hmga2 would grossly alter pancreatic development and size, we generated *Hmga2CK/CK;Pdx1-Cre* mice. These mice were born at expected Mendelian ratios and developed normally. Pancreata from 4-week and 11-week-old mice showed no signs of malformation of the exocrine or endocrine compartments, as assessed both by organ weight and histology (Supplementary Fig. S1b,c).

To investigate the role of Hmga2 during pancreatic tumourigenesis, we incorporated the *Hmga2CK* allele and a *Rosa26LSL-tdTomato/*⁺ reporter allele into the *KrasLSL-G12D/*⁺*;p53LSL-R*172*H/*⁺*;Pdx1-Cre* (*KP*¹⁷²*C*) background (Fig. [1a](#page-3-0)). We generated $KP^{172}CT; Hmga2^{CK/+}$ and $KP^{172}CT; Hmga2^{CK/CK}$ tumour-bearing mice. Immunohistochemistry for Hmga2, tdTomato, and the GFP fusion protein confirmed that Hmga2 expression in neoplastic cells in *KP*¹⁷²*CT*;*Hmga2^{CK/+}* mice overlaps with GFP expression and that Hmga2 protein is absent from all tumours in *KP*¹⁷²*CT;Hmga2CK/CK* mice (Fig. [1b\)](#page-3-0). To analyse whether Hmga2 defciency impacts the development and progression of PDAC, we generated cohorts of $KP^{172}CT; Hmga2^{CK/CK}$ and $KP^{172}CT; Hmga2^{+/+}$ mice. Gross cancer-associated phenotypes were comparable, with mice showing similar cachexic symptoms as well as the development of ascites and jaundice in some mice (data not shown). Kaplan-Meier analysis showed no signifcant survival difference between *KP*¹⁷²*CT*;*Hmga2^{CK/CK}* and *KP*¹⁷²*CT*;*Hmga2*^{+/+} mice. There was even a trend towards slightly shorter survival of *KP*¹⁷²*CT;Hmga2CK/CK* mice (Fig. [1c](#page-3-0)).

To further investigate the impact of Hmga2 defciency on PDAC growth, we employed a second mouse model of PDAC, where instead of expression of a dominant negative *p53* allele (*p53LSL-R*172*^H*) we incorporated a *p53foxed* allele to enable conditional heterozygous deletion of $p53 (p53^{flox/+}$, Fig. [1a](#page-3-0) right panel). We generated *Kras^{LSL-G12D/+*;} *p53fox/*⁺*;Rosa26LSL-tdTomato/*⁺;*Pdx1-Cre;Hmga2*⁺/⁺ (*KPHetCT;Hmga2*⁺/⁺) and *KPHetCT;Hmga2CK/CK* mice and assessed overall survival. Consistent with our data from the *KP*¹⁷²*CT* model, Hmga2-defciency did not signifcantly alter overall survival and gross disease-associated phenotypes in both groups were comparable (Fig. [1d](#page-3-0) and data not shown). Collectively, these data suggest that Hmga2 inactivation has limited, if any, efect on overall pancreatic tumour growth.

Hmga2 defciency does not change PDAC phenotypes. To investigate the impact of Hmga2 defciency on pancreatic cancer phenotypes in *KP*¹⁷²*CT* and *KPHetCT* mice, we assessed tumour histology. At the time of analysis, most *KP*172*CT;Hmga2*⁺/⁺ and *KP*172*CT;Hmga2CK/CK* animals had developed pancreatic adenocarcinoma (Fig. [2a,c\)](#page-4-0). In these mice, tumours were of similar size and were characterized by the presence of mPanINs and glandular structures accompanied by an extensive desmoplastic reaction (data not shown). Regardless of genotype, tumours had both well- and poorly-diferentiated areas which is typical for tumours in this model¹⁸. Detailed histological analyses did not uncover any differences in the histological features of mice with *Hmga2*-defcient or *Hmga2*-wildtype tumours. Similarly, *KPHetCT;Hmga2*⁺/⁺ and *KPHetCT;Hmga2CK/CK* mice developed pancreatic cancer with full penetrance and comparable histology (Fig. [2b,c\)](#page-4-0). Thus, Hmga2-deficiency does not afect the histological phenotype of mouse pancreatic cancer.

To assess the efect of Hmga2-defciency on cancer cell dissemination and metastasis, we quantifed the development of -metastases by fuorescence-stereomicroscopy. Distant metastases were present in the liver, peritoneal cavity, and lung in about 30–50% of mice. Hmga2-defcient and Hmga2-wildtype metastases in the *KP*¹⁷²*CT* and *KPHetCT* models were histologically indistinguishable (Fig. [2a–c\)](#page-4-0).

We also utilized the bright fluorescent marking conferred by the *Rosa26^{tdTomato/+* allele to assess the presence} of disseminated tumour cells (DTCs) in the peritoneal fuid and circulating tumour cells (CTCs) in the blood by flow cytometry for tdTomato⁺ cancer cells (Fig. [2d\)](#page-4-0). DTCs were present in the peritoneal cavity of almost all *KP*¹⁷²*CT;Hmga2*⁺/⁺ and *KP*¹⁷²*CT;Hmga2CK/CK* mice and CTCs were present in fve out of eight *KP*¹⁷²*CT;Hmga2*⁺/⁺ and four out of eleven *KP*¹⁷²*CT*;*Hmga2^{CK/CK}* mice. The number of CTCs detectable in mice was variable, but was \overline{a}

Figure 1. Absence of Hmga2 does not alter overall survival in autochthonous mouse models of PDAC. (a) Alleles in the $KP^{172}CT(Kras^{LSL-G12D/+};p53^{LSL-R172H/+};R26^{LSL-Tom/+};Pdx1-Cre)$ and the $KP^{Het}CT(Kras^{LSL-G12D/+};Pdx1-Cre)$ *p53fox/*⁺*;R26LSL-Tom/*⁺*;Pdx1-Cre*) models before and afer allele recombination. (**b**) Hmga2 expression in pancreatic tumors in *KP*¹⁷²*CT;Hmga2CK/*⁺ mice overlaps with GFP in Tomato positive cancer cells. Hmga2 is absent in *KP*¹⁷²*CT*;*Hmga2CK/CK* tumours. Representative of >10 tumors. Scale bars=50µm. (**c,d**) Kaplan-Meier survival curves of *KP*¹⁷²*CT* (**c**) and *KPHetCT* (**d**) mice shows no signifcant diference with (*Hmga2CK/CK*) or without (*Hmga2*^{+/+}) Hmga2 inactivation.

not signifcantly diferent between *KP*¹⁷²*CT;Hmga2*⁺/⁺ and *KP*¹⁷²*CT;Hmga2CK/CK* mice (Fig. [2e](#page-4-0) and Supplemental Fig. S2). Collectively, these data suggest that Hmga2 is not required for dissemination and metastasis of pancreatic cancer.

Hmga2 deficiency does not alter PDAC sensitivity to gemcitabine. The nucleoside analogue gemcitabine is one of the standard agents of care for PDAC patient[s12.](#page-9-1) In addition to its association with more advanced and metastatic human PDAC, HMGA2 has also been suggested to promote gemcitabine resistance of PDAC^{41,42}. To investigate whether Hmga2 defciency would increase PDAC sensitivity to gemcitabine *in vivo*, we assessed the impact of Hmga2 defciency on overall survival with and without gemcitabine treatment. In the *KrasLSL-G12D/*⁺*; p53fox/fox;Pdx1-Cre* (*KPKOC*) model, pancreatic cancer develops rapidly and advanced disease is already present 4 weeks afer birth. *KPKOC* mice typically succumb to their very aggressive disease between 50 and 60 days of age⁴³. To determine whether Hmga2 deficiency leads to increased sensitivity to gemcitabine, we generated *KP^{KO}C;Hmga2^{+/+}* and *KP^{KO}C;Hmga2^{CK/CK}* mice (Fig. [3a](#page-5-0)). These mice were either treated with four intraperitoneal injections of gemcitabine (120 mg/kg) or vehicle control on days 28, 32, 35 and 39 of age (Fig. [3b](#page-5-0)). We monitored overall survival as a metric of tumour response. Consistent with published reports, gemcitabine provided a small survival benefit to $KP^{KO}C; Hmga2^{+/+}$ mice (median survival of 61 days in control treated mice versus 77 days in gemcitabine treated mice)^{[24](#page-9-20),[44](#page-9-21)}. However, the increase in survival was equally small in *KP^{KO}C;Hmga2^{CK/CK}* mice (16-day increase in survival in *KPKOC;Hmga2*⁺/⁺ mice treated with gemcitabine versus a 14-day increase in survival in *KPKOC;Hmga2CK/CK* mice treated with gemcitabine) and no signifcant diference in survival was detectable between gemcitabine treated *KPKOC;Hmga2*⁺/⁺ and gemcitabine treated *KPKOC;Hmga2CK/CK* mice

Figure 2. Hmga2 deficiency does not alter the phenotype of pancreatic cancer in multiple autochthonous mouse models. (**a,b**) Representative histology of primary PDAC tumours and metastases from *KP172CT* (**a**) and *KPHetCT* (**b**) mice with (*Hmga2*⁺/⁺) or without (*Hmga2CK/CK*) Hmga2. Scale bars=100 µm. (**c**) Incidence of primary PDAC and metastases in *KP172CT* and *KPHetCT* mice with (*Hmga2*⁺/⁺) or without (*Hmga2CK/CK*) Hmga2. All comparisons showed no signifcant diferences. (**d,e**) Incidence of circulating tumour cells (CTC) and disseminated tumour cells (DTC) is comparable in $KP^{172}CT; Hmga2^{+/+}$ and $KP^{172}CT; Hmga2^{CK/CK}$ mice (e) as detected by FACS (**d**) for tdTomato^{pos}, lineage^{neg}, DAPI^{neg} cancer cells in blood (CTC) and pleural fluid (DTC). No signifcant diferences in CTC number were observed.

 $(p=0.515)$. This suggests that the absence of Hmga2 does not increase PDAC sensitivity to this standard chemotherapeutic agent. Furthermore, histological analysis of the tumours from gemcitabine treated *KPKOC;Hmga2*⁺/⁺ and *KPKOC;Hmga2CK/CK* mice uncovered comparable histology, with complete destruction of normal pancreatic architecture and development of undiferentiated and anaplastic PDAC (Fig. [3c,d\)](#page-5-0). Tus, Hmga2 defciency did not lead to gemcitabine sensitivity *in vivo*.

Hmga1 expression overlaps with Hmga2 expression, but Hmga1 does not compensate for Hmga2 defciency. HMGA2 belongs to the family of HMGA proteins that consists of two family members, HMGA1 and HMGA[226](#page-9-9). Both proteins are considered architectural transcription factors that regulate transcription indirectly by altering DNA conformation. In normal adult cells, both family members are almost undetectable though they are abundant during embryonic development. Both family members have been shown to regulate cell signalling, proliferation, and differentiation with at least partially overlapping functions^{[26](#page-9-9),[27](#page-9-10),[31](#page-9-22),[45](#page-9-23)}. The expression of both HMGA1 and HMGA2 has been shown to correlate with advanced tumour stages and metastasis in PDAC patients³². To investigate whether Hmga1 expression overlaps with Hmga2 expression, we performed immunohistochemistry and western blot analysis on murine and human PDAC tissue samples. In tumours from *KP*¹⁷²*CT;Hmga2CK/*⁺ mice, Hmga1 and Hmga2 were expressed in primary tumour areas as well as in metastases in liver and lung (Supplemental Fig. [3a\)](#page-5-0). In PDAC from *KPHetCT;Hmga2*⁺/⁺ mice the expression of Hmga1 and Hmga2 generally overlapped, with Hmga1 also being highly expressed in advanced primary tumours as well as in metastases (Fig. [4a](#page-6-0)). In PDAC from *KPHetCT;Hmga2^{CK/CK}* mice, Hmga1 was still expressed at similar levels. Western blot analysis for Hmga1 and Hmga2 on sorted (lineagenegative, DAPInegative, TomatoPositive) cancer cells isolated from pancreatic tumours from *KP^{Het}CT*;*Hmga2*^{+/+} and *KP^{Het}CT*;*Hmga2^{CK/CK}* mice confirmed the absence of Hmga2, the presence of the GFP fusion protein in Hmga2-defcient tumours, and unaltered Hmga1 expression (Fig. [4b](#page-6-0)). Similarly, HMGA1 and HMGA2 proteins are ofen co-expressed in human PDAC (Fig. [4c](#page-6-0)). In TCGA PDAC dataset, *HMGA1* and *HMGA2* expression was also moderately correlated (0.414 Pearson correlation coefficient or 0.581 Spearman correlation coefficient, respectively). Correlation of overall survival with HMGA1 and HMGA2 protein expression showed that PDAC patients with tumours that were both HMGA1^{negative} and

Figure 3. Hmga2 defciency does not dramatically alter PDAC sensitivity to gemcitabine. (**a–c**) $KP^{KO}C; Hmga2^{+/+}$ and $KP^{KO}C; Hmga2^{CK/CK}$ mice (a) were treated with four intraperitoneal gemcitabine injections at 28, 32, 35, and 39 days of age (**b**) and compared to their respective vehicle-treated control littermates. Gemcitabine treatment slightly increased median survival in both genotypes (65.5 days in *KPKOC;Hmga2CK/CK* and 77 days in *KPKOC;Hmga2*⁺/⁺ mice) compared to untreated controls (51.5 days in *KPKOC;Hmga2CK/CK* and 61 days in *KPKOC;Hmga2*⁺/⁺ mice), but no signifcant survival diference was observed between gemcitabine -treated *KP^{KO}C;Hmga2^{CK/CK}* and *KP^{KO}C;Hmga2^{+/+} mice (p=0.47)* (**c**). (**d**) Representative histology of tumours in *KP^{KO}C;Hmga2^{+/+}* and *KP^{KO}C;Hmga2^{CK/CK} mice treated with gemcitabine. Across all* mice, no consistent changes in histology were observed. Scale bars = $50 \mu m$.

HMGA2negative had better overall survival than patients whose tumours expressed HMGA1 and/or HMGA2 (Fig. [4d,e;](#page-6-0) $p = 0.0022$).

To test whether Hmga1 compensates for Hmga2 in PDAC metastasis, we generated PDAC cell lines from tumours from $KP^{172}CT$;*Hmga2^{+{+}}* and $KP^{172}CT$;*Hmga2^{CK/CK}* mice (n = 4 each). We performed qPCR analysis for Hmga1 and Hmga2 expression. *Hmga1* expression was not afected by *Hmga2*-defciency (Supplemental Fig. S3c,d). To investigate if Hmga1 could functionally compensate for Hmga2, we stably knocked down *Hmga1* in a cancer cell line generated from a *KP*¹⁷²*CT;Hmga2CK/CK* lymph node metastasis. Knockdown at the RNA level was confrmed by qPCR (Fig. [4f](#page-6-0)). However, no signifcant diference in metastatic ability between control and *Hmga1* knockdown cells was observed upon intravenous injection into immunocompetent recipient mice (Fig. [4g,h,i](#page-6-0)). Additionally, we stably knocked down *Hmga1* or *Hmga2* in a cell line generated from a liver metastasis in a *KP*¹⁷²*CT;Hmga2*⁺/⁺ mouse (Supplemental Fig. S4a). Intravenous transplantation of *Hmga1* knockdown, *Hmga2* knockdown, or control cells into immunocompetent recipient mice to seed metastases into the lungs showed no diference in the metastatic ability of control cells and cells defcient for either *Hmga1* or *Hmga2* (Supplemental Fig. S4b).

Discussion

To investigate the function of the chromatin-associated protein Hmga2 during pancreatic cancer growth, metastasis, and treatment response, we took a classical genetic approach of specifcally inactivating Hmga2 protein expression in the well-established *KP*¹⁷²*CT*, *KPHetCT*, and *KP^{KO}C* mouse models of PDAC. To our surprise, Hmga2-defcient tumours formed in the pancreata of *KP*¹⁷²*CT;Hmga2CK/CK, KPHetCT;Hmga2CK/CK*, and *KPKOC*;*Hmga2CK/CK* mice with similar rate and morphology and within a comparable time course as in control *KP*¹⁷²*CT, KPHetCT* and *KPKOC* mice (Fig. [2\)](#page-4-0). By all metrics, from overall survival to histology of primary tumours and metastases to the presence and number of CTCs and DTCs, Hmga2-defciency did not perturb PDAC development in any signifcant way.

Numerous *in vitro* studies across several diferent human cancer types have suggested a role for HMGA2 in controlling phenotypes associated with malignant transformation and metastasis^{[32](#page-9-24)[,36](#page-9-25)[,42](#page-9-18),[46](#page-9-26),[47](#page-9-27)}. However, very few studies have investigated Hmga2 function in autochthonous cancer models *in vivo*. In a mouse model of Wnt1-driven colorectal cancer, tumour formation was reduced in Hmga2[−]/[−] mice, suggesting a role for Hmga2 in cancer developmen[t48.](#page-9-28) However, Hmga2[−]/[−] mice have severe dwarfsm[49](#page-9-29), therefore the direct importance of

Figure 4. Hmga1 and Hmga2 are co-expressed in mouse and human pancreatic cancer. (**a**) Immunohistochemistry for Hmga1 and Hmga2 in wild type (*WT*), *KrasLSL-G12D/*⁺*;Pdx1-Cre (KC)* and *KrasLSL-G12D/*⁺*;p53fox/*⁺*;R26LSL-Tom/*⁺*; Pdx1-Cre* (*KPHetCT*) mice shows co-expression of Hmga1 and Hmga2 in PDAC and metastases but not in preneoplastic lesions or healthy pancreata. Scale bars=50 µm. (**b**) Western Blot on sorted cancer cells from *KPHetCT;Hmga2*⁺/⁺ and *KPHetCT;Hmga2CK/CK* mice documents the expression of the GFP-fusion reporter gene and the absence of Hmga2 in cells from *KPHetCT;Hmga2CK/CK* mice. Hmga1 expression is not afected. Corresponding full length images and details regarding probing of the membranes are available in Supplemental Fig. S4c. (**c**) Tissue microarrays from human pancreatic cancer patients were stained for HMGA1 and HMGA2. Scale bars=50µm. (**d**) Kaplan-Meier survival analysis for patients with HMGA1 and HMGA2 positive or negative tumours. (**e**) Median survival in months of PDAC patients with expression of HMGA1 and HMGA2 shows that patients with HMGA1^{negative}/HMGA2^{negative} tumours have significantly ($p=0.0022$) better overall survival than patients who have tumors that express of either HMGA1 or HMGA2 or both. (**f–i**) Knockdown of *Hmga1* in a cell line (2648LN) isolated from a lymph node metastasis from a *KP172CT;Hmga2CK/CK* mouse has no signifcant impact on their metastatic potential upon intravenous injection into recipient mice. (**f**) qPCR was used to assess knockdown; mean +/− SEM of triplicate wells is shown. (**g**) Representative brightfeld and fuorescent images of one lung lobe and corresponding histology. Scale bars in the upper and middle panels = 4 mm; scale bars in the lower panels = 50μ m. (**h,i**) Quantification of %-Tomato^{positive} area (**h**) and number of tdTomato^{positive} tumours in the lung (**i**), n = 3 mice per group; the red line indicates the mean.

Hmga2 in colorectal cancer cells *in vivo* remains unclear. Furthermore, in squamous skin cancer mouse models, Hmga2 was found to be dispensable for tumour initiation and progression⁵⁰. While we recently showed that Hmga2 is a marker of a transient metastatic subpopulation in PDAC *in vivo*[13,](#page-9-15) our current study shows that neither deletion of Hmga2 in autochthonous mouse models of PDAC, nor knockdown of *Hmga2* in an aggressive

pancreatic cancer cell line impacted pancreatic tumour initiation, progression, or metastatic ability. In the genetically engineered mouse models that we used, Hmga2 deletion occurs concomitantly with expression of KrasG12D and inactivation of p53 during tumour initiation, and therefore tumours develop in the complete absence of Hmga2. Tis could lead to an alternative, Hmga2-independent mechanism for PDAC growth and progression. Second hit mouse models of PDAC could provide additional information on whether acute Hmga2 inactivation in PDAC impacts growth, progression, or therapy response.

In contrast to human non-small cell lung cancer cell lines³⁵, cell lines derived from the Kras^{G12D}-driven p53-defcient mouse lung adenocarcinoma mode[l38,](#page-9-32) and the mouse breast cancer cell line 4T1[48](#page-9-28), *Hmga2* knockdown in murine PDAC cells did not reduce metastatic ability *in vivo*. This is particularly interesting as PDAC is among the most genetically stable cancer entities and its progression is based on epigenetic changes rather than acquisition of additional DNA mutations^{[11,](#page-9-0)14}, suggesting an even greater importance of epigenetic reprogramming of malignant cells $39,51$.

HMGA2 has been previously implicated in resistance to gemcitabine in human pancreatic cancer cell lines *in vitro*, where *HMGA2* knockdown sensitized PDAC cells to gemcitabine treatment⁴². HMGA2 mediated gemcitabine resistance in three-dimensional collagen cultures of PDAC cell lines via increased histone acetylatio[n41.](#page-9-17) To test whether Hmga2 mediates gemcitabine resistance *in vivo*, we utilized a well-established clinically relevant treatment model[44](#page-9-21),[52](#page-9-35),[53](#page-9-36). Neither overall survival nor histology of the tumours in mice treated with gemcitabine were signifcantly afected by Hmga2-defciency. While these models have limited resolution to detect modest efects, our results suggest that Hmga2 has limited if any efect on gemcitabine resistance *in vivo*. Despite Hmga2 having no efect in gemcitabine-treated mouse models of pancreatic cancer, it remains possible that HMGA2 may still be a determinant of therapy sensitivity in human PDAC or of response to other chemotherapies.

We also investigated whether HMGA1, a HMGA2 family member with related functions, compensates for HMGA2 during PDAC metastasis. We and others have shown that HMGA1 and HMGA2 overlap in their expression in human PDAC and in *KP*¹⁷²*CT* and *KPHetCT* mice (Fig. [4,](#page-6-0) ref.[32](#page-9-24)). Additionally, the expression of HMGA1 and HMGA2 correlates with poor survival of PDAC patients^{[32](#page-9-24)}. Notably, PDAC patients with HMGA1^{negative} HMGA2negative tumours have significantly better survival than all other patients (Fig. [4d](#page-6-0)). Cancer cells from *KPHetCT;Hmga2*⁺/⁺ and *KPHetCT;Hmga2CK/CK* mice express Hmga1 at similar levels, therefore Hmga1 could compensate for Hmga2 defciency to enable malignant transformation and metastasis. Knockdown of *Hmga1* in a PDAC cancer cell line generated from a *KP*¹⁷²*CT;Hmga2CK/CK* mouse had no impact on metastatic ability afer intravenous transplantation. *Hmga1* knockdown in our system might not have been sufficient to entirely remove Hmga1 function, with remaining Hmga1 levels still being adequate to enable metastasis. Alternatively, a pro-metastatic efect of Hmga1/Hmga2 could be stable and not depend on continued Hmga1 expression. *In vivo* models that incorporate genetic deletion of both Hmga1 and Hmga2 will allow this question to be addressed defnitively.

Taken together, we provide evidence that Hmga2 is functionally dispensable for the malignant transformation, progression, and metastatic ability of pancreatic cancer *in vivo*. Our results support Hmga2 being solely of prognostic value, as has been shown for multiple cancer types^{[29](#page-9-37)[–31,](#page-9-22)34}. Hmga2 remains a prognostic marker which identifes an advanced cancer cell state in primary pancreatic tumours and marks a metastasis-driving subpopulation of cancer cells.

Methods

Mice. *KrasLSL-G12D*, *p53LSL-R172H*, *p53fox*, *Pdx1-Cre*, *Rosa26LSL-tdTomato*, and *Hmga2CK* mice have been describe[d40](#page-9-16),[54](#page-9-38)[–58.](#page-10-0) For gemcitabine treatment, 28 day old *KrasLSL-G12D/⁺*;*p53flox/flox*;*R26LSL-Tom/⁺;Pdx1-Cre* and *KrasLSL-G12D/⁺; p53fox/fox;Hmga2CK/CK;R26LSL-Tom/⁺;Pdx1-Cre* were randomly separated into two groups each (mixed gender) and treated with four intraperitoneal injections of gemcitabine (120mg/kg) or saline on day 28, 32, 35, and 39 of age. For transplantation of knockdown cell lines, 2×10^4 cells were injected into the lateral tail vein of male 129/Bl6 F1 mice (Jackson Laboratories, Stock number 101043). Mice were analysed 3 weeks afer injection. No statistical method was used to predetermine sample size. All experimental protocols were approved by the Stanford University Animal Care and Use Committee and performed in accordance with their guidelines.

Histology and immunohistochemistry on mouse PDAC. Tissues were fxed in 4% formalin in PBS overnight and transferred to 70% ethanol prior to parafn embedding. Afer de-parafnization, re-hydration, and antigen retrieval, IHC was performed on 4 μm sections with the ABC Vectastain kit (PK-4001, Vector Laboratories Inc.) with antibodies to Hmga2 (59170AP, BioCheck Inc.), Tomato (600-401-379, Rockland Inc.), GFP (ab6673, Abcam), CK19 (TROMA-III, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), Insulin (A0564, Dako) and Hmga1 (sc-8982, Santa Cruz). Sections were developed with DAB and counterstained with haematoxylin. Haematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) staining was performed using standard methods.

Tumour dissociation and cancer cell flow cytometric analysis. Pancreatic tumours and metastasis were dissociated into a single cell suspension prior to FACS analysis. Briefy, tumours were minced with sharp scissors and incubated for 20 minutes at 37°C in HBSS medium (Corning, 21-022-CV) with collagenase IV (Worthington, 1 mg/ml, LS004188) and dispase (Corning, 354235) followed by quenching with ice-cold L-15 medium (Invitrogen, 21083-027) containing 10% FBS and DNase (20 μg/mL). Cells were stained with Allophycocyanin (APC)-conjugated antibodies to CD45 (30-F11), CD31 (390), F4/80 (BM8), and Ter119 (TER-119, all from BioLegend) to exclude hematopoietic and endothelial cells. DAPI was used to exclude dead cells. Cells within the peritoneal cavity were collected immediately afer euthanasia by making a small incision in the peritoneum followed by introduction of 1ml PBS. For circulating tumour cell analysis blood was collected immediately afer euthanasia by cardiac puncture. Red blood cell lysis was performed by incubation with ACK lysis buffer (155 mM NH₄Cl, 10 mM KHCO₃, 0.1 mM EDTA). BD LSR II analysers and FACSAria sorters (BD) Biosciences) were used and FlowJo sofware was utilized for analysis.

Immunohistochemistry for HMGA1 and HMGA2 on human PDAC. Expression of HMGA1 and HMGA2 was assessed using IHC on 4 μm sections of parafn-embedded tissue from each TMA. Sections were heated at 60 °C for 60 minutes, de-paraffinized in xylene and rehydrated through a graded alcohol series. Three percent H₂O₂ block was used to quench endogenous peroxidase activity. Antigen retrieval was performed in a de-cloaking chamber using a Borg Decloaker RTU antigen retrieval solution (Biocare Medical). Sections were then blocked in normal horse serum (Vector Laboratories) and incubated in a humidifed chamber overnight at 4 °C with a 1:1000 dilution of primary anti-HMGA1 antibody (sc-8982, Santa Cruz) or anti-HMGA2 antibody (59170AP, BioCheck). Slides were washed in PBS and incubated with biotinylated anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Vector Laboratories) for 30minutes at room temperature. Staining was visualized using DAB (Vector Laboratories) at room temperature. Slides were then counterstained with haematoxylin, rinsed in water and dehydrated through a graded alcohol series and xylene, and mounted with VectaMount (Vector Laboratories). HMGA1 and HMGA2 staining of individual samples was evaluated manually and an arbitrary cutoff of staining intensity was used to determine if a sample was considered negative or positive. Overall survival (OS) was defned as the time of surgery to the date of death from any cause. OS was analysed using Kaplan–Meier and log-rank tests. Significance was determined as a p-value < 0.05 .

Tis study was approved by the Stanford University Institutional Review Board and performed in accordance with their guidelines, with a waiver of informed consent to use patient tissue and specimens.

Generation and selection of murine PDAC cell lines. We generated polyclonal cell lines from primary tumours and metastases that formed in the autochthonous *KrasLSL-G12D/+;Trp53LSL-R172H/+;RosaLSL-tdTomato*_; *Pdx1-Cre* pancreatic cancer mouse model with (*Hmga2*^{+/+}) and without (*Hmga2^{CK/CK}*) Hmga2 expression^{22,54}. To establish the cell lines, a piece of the tumour or macro-metastasis was dissociated into a single cell suspension, washed twice with cold PBS, minced with a scalpel and transferred to a tissue culture dish containing DMEM media (high glucose with 10% FBS and antibiotics). Cells were allowed to attach and grown for one week with two media changes. Then cells were passaged at least 3 times to select away from fibroblast contamination. Purity was confrmed by FACS for tdTomato and MycoAlert Mycoplasma detection kit (Lonza) was used to verify the lack of Mycoplasma contamination.

Lentiviral knockdown and qRT-PCR analysis. *Hmga1* and *Hmga2* were knocked down using pLKO lentiviral vectors; mouse sh*Hmga1*1 (TRCN0000182651) and mouse sh*Hmga*2 (TRCN0000126044). Te control vector was pLKO-shLuciferase. Virus production, cell infection, and selection were performed as previously describe[d59](#page-10-1). *K*nockdown was confirmed by qPCR and western blotting. qRT-PCR for mouse *Hmga1* (Hmga1_Fw GGGGCAGACCCAAGAAAC and Hmga1_Rv GGCACTGCGAGTGGTGAT) and *Hmga2* (Hmga2_Fw GGATCCTGGCAGAAACTTCC and Hmga2_Rv AACGGGACAGAGATAGAGACTGA) was performed using standard SYBR green qPCR protocols and normalized to mouse *Gapdh* (*Gapdh*_Fw TTTGATGTTAGTGGGGTCTCG and *Gapdh*-Rv AGCTTGTCATCAACGGGAAG).

Western blot analyses. Cells were pelleted before lysis with standard RIPA bufer supplemented with 1/100 volume of protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, P8340). Lysates were denatured in Laemmli bufer with β-mercaptoethanol before loading onto a precast 4–12% Bis-Tris PAGE gel (Invitrogen, NP0321BOX). Separated samples were then transferred onto a PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad, 162-0177) before staining with the following antibodies overnight: anti-Hmga2 (Biocheck, 59170AP, or Thermo Scientific, P52926), anti-Hsp90 (BD Biosciences, 610418), and anti-Hmga1 (Santa Cruz, sc-8982 or sc-393213). For the secondary antibodies, goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP (Santa Cruz Biotech, sc-2004), goat anti-mouse IgG-HRP (Santa Cruz Biotech, sc-2005), and donkey anti-goat IgG-HRP (Santa Cruz Biotech, sc-2020) were used. For enhanced chemiluminescence, Thermo Scientific Pierce ECL 2 Western Blotting Substrate (PI80196) was used.

Statistical analysis. Graphs and statistics were generated using GraphPad Prism sofware. Signifcance, where indicated, was calculated using the Wilcoxon test for non-normally distributed data. Survival was compared using Kaplan–Meier plots and log-rank tests. Significance was determined as a p-value < 0.05. No statistical method was used to predetermine sample size.

Data Availability

No datasets were generated during the current study.

References

- 1. Siegel, R. L., Miller, K. D. & Jemal, A. Cancer statistics, 2018. *CA Cancer J Clin* **68**, 7–30 (2018).
- 2. Rahib, L. *et al*. Projecting cancer incidence and deaths to 2030: the unexpected burden of thyroid, liver, and pancreas cancers in the United States. *Cancer Res* **74**, 2913–2921 (2014).
- 3. Ying, H. *et al*. Genetics and biology of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Genes Dev* **30**, 355–385 (2016).
- 4. Waddell, N. *et al*. Whole genomes redefne the mutational landscape of pancreatic cancer. *Nature* **518**, 495–501 (2015).
- 5. Yachida, S. & Iacobuzio-Donahue, C. A. Te pathology and genetics of metastatic pancreatic cancer. *Archives of pathology & laboratory medicine* **133**, 413–422 (2009).
- 6. Yachida, S. *et al*. Distant metastasis occurs late during the genetic evolution of pancreatic cancer. *Nature* **467**, 1114–1117 (2010).
- 7. Moffitt, R. A. *et al*. Virtual microdissection identifies distinct tumor- and stroma-specific subtypes of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Nature genetics* **47**, 1168–1178 (2015).
- 8. Jones, S. *et al*. Core signaling pathways in human pancreatic cancers revealed by global genomic analyses. *Science* **321**, 1801–1806 (2008).
- 9. Knudsen, E. S., O'Reilly, E. M., Brody, J. R. & Witkiewicz, A. K. Genetic Diversity of Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma and Opportunities for Precision Medicine. *Gastroenterology* **150**, 48–63 (2016).
- 10. Whittle, M. C. *et al*. RUNX3 Controls a Metastatic Switch in Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. *Cell* **161**, 1345–1360 (2015).
- 11. Makohon-Moore, A. P. *et al*. Limited heterogeneity of known driver gene mutations among the metastases of individual patients with pancreatic cancer. *Nature genetics* **49**, 358–366 (2017).
- 12. Kleef, J. *et al*. Pancreatic cancer. *Nature reviews. Disease primers* **2**, 16022 (2016).
- 13. Chiou, S. H. *et al*. BLIMP1 Induces Transient Metastatic Heterogeneity in Pancreatic Cancer. *Cancer discovery* **7**, 1184–1199 (2017). 14. McDonald, O. G. *et al*. Epigenomic reprogramming during pancreatic cancer progression links anabolic glucose metabolism to
- distant metastasis. *Nature genetics* **49**, 367–376 (2017). 15. Neureiter, D., Jager, T., Ocker, M. & Kiesslich, T. Epigenetics and pancreatic cancer: pathophysiology and novel treatment aspects. *World J Gastroenterol* **20**, 7830–7848 (2014).
- 16. Herreros-Villanueva, M., Hijona, E., Cosme, A. & Bujanda, L. Adjuvant and neoadjuvant treatment in pancreatic cancer. *World J Gastroenterol* **18**, 1565–1572 (2012).
- 17. Binenbaum, Y., Na'ara, S. & Gil, Z. Gemcitabine resistance in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Drug resistance updates: reviews and commentaries in antimicrobial and anticancer chemotherapy* **23**, 55–68 (2015).
- 18. Hruban, R. H. *et al*. Pathology of genetically engineered mouse models of pancreatic exocrine cancer: consensus report and recommendations. *Cancer Res* **66**, 95–106 (2006).
- 19. Mazur, P. K. & Siveke, J. T. Genetically engineered mouse models of pancreatic cancer: unravelling tumour biology and progressing translational oncology. *Gut* (2011).
- 20. Aguirre, A. J. *et al*. Activated Kras and Ink4a/Arf defciency cooperate to produce metastatic pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Genes Dev* **17**, 3112–3126 (2003).
- 21. Bardeesy, N. *et al*. Smad4 is dispensable for normal pancreas development yet critical in progression and tumor biology of pancreas cancer. *Genes Dev* **20**, 3130–3146 (2006).
- 22. Hingorani, S. R. *et al*. Trp53R172H and KrasG12D cooperate to promote chromosomal instability and widely metastatic pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma in mice. *Cancer Cell* **7**, 469–483 (2005).
- 23. Algul, H. *et al*. Pancreas-specific RelA/p65 truncation increases susceptibility of acini to inflammation-associated cell death following cerulein pancreatitis. *J Clin Invest* **117**, 1490–1501 (2007).
- 24. Ardito Gruner *et al*. EGF Receptor Is Required for KRAS-Induced Pancreatic Tumorigenesis. *Cancer Cell* **22**, 304–317 (2012).
- 25. Mazur, P. K. *et al*. Notch2 is required for progression of pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia and development of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **107**, 13438–13443 (2010).
- 26. Cleynen, I. & Van de Ven, W. J. Te HMGA proteins: a myriad of functions (Review). *International journal of oncology* **32**, 289–305 (2008).
- 27. Pfannkuche, K., Summer, H., Li, O., Hescheler, J. & Droge, P. Te high mobility group protein HMGA2: a co-regulator of chromatin structure and pluripotency in stem cells? *Stem Cell Rev* **5**, 224–230 (2009).
- 28. Abe, N. *et al*. An increased high-mobility group A2 expression level is associated with malignant phenotype in pancreatic exocrine tissue. *Br J Cancer* **89**, 2104–2109 (2003).
- 29. Meyer, B. *et al*. HMGA2 overexpression in non-small cell lung cancer. *Molecular carcinogenesis* **46**, 503–511 (2007).
- 30. Motoyama, K. *et al*. Clinical signifcance of high mobility group A2 in human gastric cancer and its relationship to let-7 microRNA family. *Clin Cancer Res* **14**, 2334–2340 (2008).
- 31. Pallante, P., Sepe, R., Puca, F. & Fusco, A. High mobility group a proteins as tumor markers. *Frontiers in medicine* **2**, 15 (2015).
- 32. Piscuoglio, S. *et al*. HMGA1 and HMGA2 protein expression correlates with advanced tumour grade and lymph node metastasis in pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *Histopathology* **60**, 397–404 (2012).
- 33. Sun, M. *et al*. HMGA2/TET1/HOXA9 signaling pathway regulates breast cancer growth and metastasis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **110**, 9920–9925 (2013).
- 34. Wang, X. *et al*. Overexpression of HMGA2 promotes metastasis and impacts survival of colorectal cancers. *Clin Cancer Res* **17**, 2570–2580 (2011).
- 35. Di Cello, F. *et al*. HMGA2 participates in transformation in human lung cancer. *Molecular cancer research: MCR* **6**, 743–750 (2008).
- 36. Hristov, A. C. *et al*. HMGA2 protein expression correlates with lymph node metastasis and increased tumor grade in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Modern pathology: an official journal of the United States and Canadian Academy of Pathology, Inc²², 43-49* (2009)
- 37. Raskin, L. *et al*. Transcriptome profling identifes HMGA2 as a biomarker of melanoma progression and prognosis. *Te Journal of investigative dermatology* **133**, 2585–2592 (2013).
- 38. Winslow, M. M. *et al*. Suppression of lung adenocarcinoma progression by Nkx2-1. *Nature* **473**, 101–104 (2011).
- 39. Fusco, A. & Fedele, M. Roles of HMGA proteins in cancer. *Nature reviews. Cancer* **7**, 899–910 (2007).
- 40. Chiou, S. H. *et al*. A conditional system to specifcally link disruption of protein-coding function with reporter expression in mice. *Cell reports* **7**, 2078–2086 (2014).
- 41. Dangi-Garimella, S., Sahai, V., Ebine, K., Kumar, K. & Munshi, H. G. Tree-dimensional collagen I promotes gemcitabine resistance *in vitro* in pancreatic cancer cells through HMGA2-dependent histone acetyltransferase expression. *PLoS One* **8**, e64566 (2013).
- 42. Xiao, G., Wang, X. & Yu, Y. CXCR4/Let-7a Axis Regulates Metastasis and Chemoresistance of Pancreatic Cancer Cells Trough Targeting HMGA2. *Cellular physiology and biochemistry: international journal of experimental cellular physiology, biochemistry, and pharmacology* **43**, 840–851 (2017).
- 43. Gruner, B. M. et al. Modeling Therapy Response and Spatial Tissue Distribution of Erlotinib in Pancreatic Cancer. Mol Cancer Ther **15**, 1145–1152 (2016).
- 44. Mazur, P. K. *et al*. Combined inhibition of BET family proteins and histone deacetylases as a potential epigenetics-based therapy for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Nat Med* **21**, 1163–1171 (2015).
- 45. Sgarra, R. *et al*. High Mobility Group A (HMGA) proteins: Molecular instigators of breast cancer onset and progression. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1869**, 216–229 (2018).
- 46. Watanabe, S. *et al*. HMGA2 maintains oncogenic RAS-induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition in human pancreatic cancer cells. *Am J Pathol* **174**, 854–868 (2009).
- 47. Jiang, W. *et al*. miRNA-101 Suppresses Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition by Targeting HMGA2 in Pancreatic Cancer Cells. *Anti-cancer agents in medicinal chemistry* **16**, 432–439 (2016).
- 48. Morishita, A. *et al*. HMGA2 is a driver of tumor metastasis. *Cancer Res* **73**, 4289–4299 (2013).
- 49. Zhou, X., Benson, K. F., Ashar, H. R. & Chada, K. Mutation responsible for the mouse pygmy phenotype in the developmentally regulated factor HMGI-C. *Nature* **376**, 771–774 (1995).
- 50. White, A., Flores, A., Ong, J. & Lowry, W. E. Hmga2 is dispensable for cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma. *Experimental dermatology* **25**, 409–412 (2016).
- 51. Sgarra, R. *et al*. Nuclear phosphoproteins HMGA and their relationship with chromatin structure and cancer. *FEBS Lett* **574**, 1–8 (2004) .
- 52. Gruner, B. M. *et al*. Modeling therapy response and spatial tissue distribution of erlotinib in pancreatic cancer. *Mol Cancer Ter* (2016).
- 53. Olive, K. P. *et al*. Inhibition of Hedgehog signaling enhances delivery of chemotherapy in a mouse model of pancreatic cancer. *Science* **324**, 1457–1461 (2009).
- 54. Hingorani, S. R. *et al*. Preinvasive and invasive ductal pancreatic cancer and its early detection in the mouse. *Cancer Cell* **4**, 437–450 (2003).
- 55. Jackson, E. L. *et al*. Analysis of lung tumor initiation and progression using conditional expression of oncogenic K-ras. *Genes Dev* **15**, 3243–3248 (2001).
- 56. Madisen, L. *et al*. A robust and high-throughput Cre reporting and characterization system for the whole mouse brain. *Nature neuroscience* **13**, 133–140 (2010).
- 57. Olive, K. P. *et al*. Mutant p53 gain of function in two mouse models of Li-Fraumeni syndrome. *Cell* **119**, 847–860 (2004).
- 58. Jackson, E. L. *et al*. Te diferential efects of mutant p53 alleles on advanced murine lung cancer. *Cancer Res* **65**, 10280–10288 (2005)
- 59. Gruner, B. M. *et al*. An *in vivo* multiplexed small-molecule screening platform. *Nat Methods* **13**, 883–889 (2016).

Acknowledgements

We thank the Stanford Shared FACS Facility for expert assistance; Pauline Chu for histology services; Teri Longacre for the analysis of human PDAC IHC samples; Grace Kim for the analysis of mouse PDAC histology samples; Jennifer Brady, Pawel Mazur, the Winslow and the Grüner laboratories for helpful comments; and Sean Dolan and Alexandra Orantes for administrative support. Tis work was supported by a Pancreatic Cancer Action Network-AACR Award in memory of Skip Viraugh 13-20-25-WINS (to MMW), and in part by the Stanford Cancer Institute support grant (P30-CA124435) from the National Cancer Institute. B.M.G. was supported by the Pancreatic Cancer Action Network – AACR Fellowship in memory of Samuel Stroum (14-40-25-GRUE), was a Hope Funds for Cancer Research Fellow supported by the Hope Funds for Cancer Research (HFCR-15-06-07), and is a recipient of an Emmy Noether Award from the German Research Foundation (DFG).

Author Contributions

S.-H.C., B.M.G. and M.M.W. designed the project. B.M.G., S.-H.C., M.D. and E.K. performed experiments. S.N. genotyped mice. M.K. performed human TMA-IHC analysis. A.C.K. advised on the project. B.M.G. and M.M.W. wrote the manuscript with comments from all authors.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-32159-x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-32159-x).

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

To Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

 \circ The Author(s) 2018