UC Davis

UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Development of syngeneic murine cell lines for use in immunocompetent orthotopic lung cancer models.

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3x00t64k

Authors

Nolan, Kyle Verzosa, Gregory Cleaver, Tim <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2020

DOI

10.1186/s12935-020-01503-5

Peer reviewed

Open Access



Development of syngeneic murine cell lines for use in immunocompetent orthotopic lung cancer models

Kyle Nolan¹, Gregory Verzosa¹, Tim Cleaver¹, Darinee Tippimanchai¹, Lisa N. DePledge², Xiao-Jing Wang², Christian Young², Anh Le³, Robert Doebele³, Howard Li^{1,5} and Stephen P. Malkoski^{1,4*}¹

Abstract

Background: Immunocompetent animal models are required to study tumor-host interactions, immunotherapy, and immunotherapeutic combinations, however the currently available immunocompetent lung cancer models have substantial limitations. While orthotopic models potentially help fill this gap, the utility of these models has been limited by the very small number of murine lung cancer cell lines capable of forming orthotopic tumors in immunocompetent C57BL/6 hosts.

Methods: Primary lung tumors with specific genetic alterations were created in C57BL/6 background mice. These tumors were then passaged through other animals to increase tumorigenicity and select for the ability to grow in a non-self animal. Once tumors demonstrated growth in a non-self host, cell lines were established. Successful cell lines were evaluated for the ability to produce orthotopic lung tumors in immunocompetent hosts.

Results: We produced six murine lung cancer lines capable of orthotopic lung tumor formation in immunocompetent C57BL/6 animals. These lines demonstrate the expected genetic alterations based on their primary tumor genetics.

Conclusions: These novel cell lines will be useful for evaluating tumor-host interactions, the impact of specific oncogenic alterations on the tumor microenvironment, and immunotherapeutic approaches. This method of generating murine lines capable of orthotopic growth can likely be applied to other tumors and will broaden the applicability of pre-clinical testing of immunotherapeutic treatment regimens.

Keywords: Lung cancer, Mouse models, Orthotopic murine lung cancer models

Background

Although immunotherapy is the biggest treatment advance in metastatic lung cancer in over 30 years most patients do not respond to this approach and a better basic understanding of tumor-immune interactions is required for immunotherapy to reach its full

*Correspondence: Stephen.Malkoski@cuanschutz.edu

¹ Division of Pulmonary Sciences and Critical Care Medicine, University of Colorado Denver Anschutz Medical Campus, 12700 E. 19th Avenue,

RC2, Room #9112, Mail stop C272, Aurora, CO 80045, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

potential [1]. Unfortunately, the immunocompetent animal models required for these studies are extremely limited. While genetically engineered mouse models (GEMMs) produce tumors in an immunocompetent background, many GEMMs generate multifocal tumors of low malignant potential that may not accurately recapitulate the complex tumor-host interactions present during disease progression [2]. In addition, the low mutational burden of GEMM tumors may limit their utility for studying immunotherapy where therapeutic response is partially dependent on



© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativeco mmons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/ zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data. tumor neoantigens [3, 4]. That the viral vectors commonly used to initiate tumor formation also transduce resident immune cells further complicates the use of these models [5, 6]. Finally, generating tumors and monitoring therapeutic responses in GEMMs is complicated and costly.

Orthotopic systems where tumor cells are directly injected into the lungs of recipient mice can also be used to model tumor-host interactions. While this better models metastatic disease and allows for significantly shorter studies then GEMMs [7], this approach has been limited by the small number of transplantable murine lung cancer cell lines. To the best of our knowledge, there are only two commercially available C57BL/6 derived murine lung tumor lines capable of forming orthotopic lung tumors in immunocompetent hosts. The Lewis Lung Carcinoma (LLC) line was subcloned from a spontaneous lung tumor in 1951 [8, 9] while CMT167 was sub cloned for metastatic potential from the CMT64 line derived from a spontaneous lung tumor in 1976 [10, 11]. More recently, GEMM-derived lines developed in a mixed genetic background have been described [12-14], however the broad utility of these lines is unclear as these lines may have limited tumorigenicity in C57BL/6 mice. An exception is a *Kras*^{G12D}.*p*53^{-/-} line derived in a C57BL/6 background that forms lung tumors in C57BL/6 mice after tail vein injection [15]. The development of lines capable of orthotopic growth specifically in a C57BL/6 host is critical as many genetic tools for manipulating the murine immune system in vivo exist in this background.

In addition, all the above mentioned cell lines harbor activating Kras mutations [12–16] which may limit generalizability to other oncogenic drivers. Although the mechanistic relationships between oncogenic drivers and immunotherapeutic response remains unclear, human tumors with targetable oncogenic drivers appear poorly responsive to programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) blockade [17]. Moreover, the best characterized murine lung cancer cell lines (CMT and LLC) have disparate responses to programmed death ligand-1 (PD-L1) blockade [16], suggesting it will be difficult to discern the relationship between oncogenic driver and immunotherapeutic response without substantial additional tools. Herein, we describe a process for developing murine lung cancer cell lines with a variety of genetic alterations that are capable of forming orthotopic lung tumors in C57BL/6 hosts. This approach will facilitate assessment of tumor-host interactions in the context of different genetic drivers. These lines will be useful for testing combinations of chemotherapy, immunotherapy, and radiation therapy in preclinical models.

Material and methods

Mouse strains and background

IACUC All studies were approved (protocol B-95517(05)1E). All strains were backcrossed into C57BL/6 mice (JAX Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME) until a >95% C57BL/6 genetic background was obtained by SNP analysis (Dartmouse https://dartmouse.org/). Both male and female animals were used as detailed in "Results" and "Discussion". Mice with the following alleles were used: Kras^{LSL-G12D} knock-in (JAX #8179) [18], phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit alpha (*Pi3kca*) mutant knock-in (*R26Stop^{FL}P110**, JAX#12343) [19], conditional *TP53* deletion (*p53^{flox}*, JAX#8462) [20], conditional phosphatase and tensin homolog deletion (Ptenflox, JAX#6440) [21], conditional Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase Kinase Kinase 7 deletion (Map3k7flox) [22] (kindly provided by Dr. Scott Cramer, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus), conditional Smad4 deletion (Smad4^{flox}, JAX#17462) [23], conditional transforming growth factor type II receptor deletion (Tgfbr2^{flox}) [24], mTomato/mGFP (mT/mG) tracking allele (ROSA^{mTmG}, JAX #7576) [25]. Genotyping was performed as described in the primary references for the specific alleles.

Primary tumor formation

Adenovirus with Cre recombinase under the control of the cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter (Ad5-CMV-Cre) or the surfactant protein C (SPC) promoter (Ad5-SPC-Cre) was purchased from the University of Iowa Viral Vector Core (Iowa City, IA). Adenovirus capable of mediating the echinoderm microtubule-associated protein-like 4 (EML4) anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) gene fusion (Ad-EA) [26] was purchased from Viraquest (North Liberty, IA) with the permission of Dr. Andrea Ventura (Memorial Sloan Kettering). Tumor formation was initiated by injecting 2 µl of virus directly into the left lung or by tracheal instillation as previously described [27, 28] and as detailed in the Results. Cre recombinase viruses were used in animals harboring alleles for conditional oncogene knock-in and/or conditional tumor suppressor deletion while Ad-EA was used in C57BL/6 wild type mice. Animals harboring primary tumors were euthanized 11-36 weeks after tumor initiation.

Tumor passaging

Primary tumors were dissected from surrounding lung tissue then minced with razor blades. An aliquot of the minced homogenate was suspended in Hanks' Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS, 14170-112, Gibco, Grand Island, NY) supplemented with 1.3 mg/ml Matrigel (#354234, Corning, Oneonta, NY); 40 µl of this suspension was injected into the left lung of a recipient animal

as previously described [7] while 400 μ l was injected into the right flank of the same recipient. Animals harboring transplanted tumors were monitored until flank tumor size exceeded 1 cm or until animals showed signs suggestive of internal tumor burden (weight loss, hunched posture) or for up to 9 months. At this point, recipient animals were euthanized and tumors collected for passaging as described above and culture as described below. At each passage, lung tumors >5 mm were passaged separately (i.e., into separate recipient animals) from flank tumors while lung tumors <5 mm were combined with flank tumors from the same animal and passaged together (i.e., into the same recipient animal). To reduce the probability of rejection, sex matched recipients were used and all tumor recipients were genetically >90% C57BL/6 by SNP analysis.

Cell culture

Cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium containing 4.5 g/l D-glucose, L-glutamine, and sodium pyruvate (11885–084, Gibco), supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (16000-044, Gibco) and 100 µg/ml primocin (ANT-PM2, Invivogen, San Diego, CA) at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂. Tumors were minced with razorblades then cultured in 6-well plates (CC7682-7506, CytoOne). Lung tumors <5 mm were combined with flank tumors (from the same animal) for culture while lung tumors >5 mm were cultured independently from flank tumors.

Assay for orthotopic tumor formation

Once lines were established in vitro, they were mycoplasma tested, treated if positive, and used between passages 5–10 for orthotopic experiments. Cell suspensions in 50% HBSS/50% Matrigel were created then 500,000 cells in 400 μ l were injected into the right flank and 250,000 cells in 40 μ l were injected into the left lung as previously described [7]. Recipient mice were monitored until flank tumors exceeded 1 cm or until animals showed signs of internal tumor burden (weight loss, hunched posture) or for up to 45 days. If flank tumors developed too quickly to reliably evaluate lung tumor formation, flank and lung tumor formation was assessed in separate animals. At least four animals (two male and two female) were used to determine the tumorigenicity of each cell line. Lines were deemed successful if they formed tumors in at least 75% of recipient animals.

Cell line validation

Once the ability to form orthotopic tumors was established, lines were assayed for the anticipated genetic rearrangements by PCR of genomic DNA as previously described: Kras^{G12D} [29], Smad4 [30], Tgfbr2 [31], Map3k7 [22], Tp53 [20], Pten [21], R26Stop^{FL}P110* [32], Eml4-Alk [26]. PCR primer sequences are shown in Table 1. Presence or absence of target gene products (or downstream targets) was also evaluated by Western blotting as previously described [33] using the following antibodies: KRAS^{G12D} (Cell Signaling #14429 1:1000), SMAD4 (Abcam #ab40759 1:5000), TGFBR2 (R&D Systems #AF532), TP53 (Cell Signaling #32532 1:1000), PTEN (Cell Signaling #9559 1:1000), MAP3K7 (Cell Signaling #4505 1:1000), pAKT-Ser473 (Cell Signaling #4058 1:1000), total AKT (Cell Signaling #4691 1:1000), GAPDH (Abcam #ab8245 1:10,000). CMT167 and LLC control cells were kindly provided by Dr. Raphael Nemenoff (University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus). These cells were mycoplasma tested upon receipt, treated if positive, and used between passages 10–20 (from receipt).

To assess GFP expression, cultured cells were heat fixed (95 °C for 5 min) to glass slides, counterstained with DAPI and examined at 510 nm. Western blotting for pAKT and pERK after crizotinib (Selleck, Houston, TX) treatment was performed as previously described [34] using the following antibodies: pAKT S437 (Cell Signaling #4058), total AKT (Cell Signaling #2920), pERK1/2 T202/Y204 (Cell Signaling clone D13.14.4E), total

S

Target	Recombinant PCR-forward	Recombinant PCR-reverse	Recombinant PCR-reverse 2
p53	CACAAAAACAGGTTAAACCCA	GAAGACAGAAAAGGGGAGGG	
Kras	GGGTAGGTGTTGGGATAGCTG	TCCGAATTCAGTGACTACAGATGTACAGAG	
PTEN	ACTCAAGGCAGGGATGAGC	AATCTAGGGCCTCTTGTGCC	GCTTGATATCGAATTCCTGCAGC
SMAD4	TCCCACATTCCTCTTAGTTTTGA	CCAGCTTCTCTGTCCAGGTAGTA	
PIK3CA	CACAGCTCGCGGTTGAGG	TGCTCGACGTTGTCACTGAA	CGGGTGTACTCCTCATATAACA
TGFβR2	AGGGATGAATGGGCTTGCTT	CTCACCTCAGAGCCTGATTA	
TAK1	GCAACTTCGACAACTTGCCTTCCTGTG	GCACTTGAATTAGCGGCCGCAAGCTTATAACT	
EML-ALK	GAGCCTTGTTGATACATCGTTC	TAGGAGGCAGTTTGGGCTAC	CAAGGCAGTGAGAACCTGAA

ERK1/2 (Cell Signaling clone L34F12). In vitro cell viability assay was performed as previously described [35]. Briefly, cells were plated into 96-well plates at 1000 cells/ well 24 h prior to drug treatment then treated with serial dilutions of the ALK inhibitor TAE-684 (Selleck) for 72 h and viability determined by MTS assay (CellTiter96 AQueous Kit, Promega). Percent inhibition and IC50 were calculated using GraphPad.

Results

General approach to development of syngeneic murine lines with orthotopic growth potential

We generated mice for primary tumor formation using combinations of conditionally activated tumor-initiating oncogenes (Kras^{LSL-G12D} or R26Stop^{FL}P110*) and conditionally deleted tumor suppressor alleles (Smad4^{flox}, Tgfbr2^{flox}, Map3k7flox, PTEN flox, p53^{flox}; an example is shown in Fig. 1a). Specific oncogene/tumor suppressor combinations were selected based on prior work [36–38] and ongoing projects. Tumors were initiated by injecting adenovirus that expresses Cre recombinase directly into the left lung [27, 28]. Upon Cre recombinase exposure, oncogenes are activated via excision of an upstream loxPstop-loxP sequence while tumor suppressors are deleted via LoxP sites surrounding exons. Some animals also harbored a tracking allele ($ROSA^{mTmG}$) in which cells switch from expressing mTomato to mGFP after recombination; an example of a primary tumor is shown in Fig. 1b.

To enhance the development of tumor lines that could grow in a non-self host, primary tumors were passaged through the flanks and lungs of recipient animals. At each passage after P1, we attempted to establish cell lines from passaged tumors. Once cell lines were established, we tested their ability to form orthotopic tumors. If a cell line was capable of forming orthotopic tumors, we assessed the line for the expected genetic and molecular changes as described in methods and shown in subsequent figures. Workflow is shown in Fig. 1c. Generation of these lines was time intensive, taking 300–500 days from the time that primary tumors were initiated through the time that orthotopic tumor formation was established (Table 2); this excludes time required for breeding and genotyping animals prior to tumor initiation and time for validating lines after orthotopic tumor formation ability was established. This process is also relatively inefficient with only 5% (6/113) primary tumors ultimately leading to lines capable of orthotopic tumor formation (Fig. 1d). Interestingly, the majority of failures (77%; 87/113) occurred at P1; if a successful P1 tumor was established, 6/26 (23%) tumors ultimately led to a cell line capable of orthotopic tumor formation.

Development and validation of a Kras^{G12D}.**Smad4**^{+/-} **cell line** A 6 week old *Kras*^{LSL-G12D/+}.*Smad4*^{fl/+} male mouse was injected with 2 µl of 10¹⁰ PFU/ml Ad5-CMV-Cre into the left lung. When this animal was euthanized 26 week later, a 12 mm primary tumor was passaged into a male recipient animal. After 3 passages through recipient animals (two of which included small lung tumors), cell line X577 was established that was capable of forming orthotopic tumors in immunocompetent C57BL/6 animals (Fig. 2a). As expected, X577 cells demonstrate genetic recombination at the *Kras* and *Smad4* loci (Fig. 2b). By Western blot, X577 cells express KRAS^{G12D} but not SMAD4 (Fig. 2c).

Development and validation of a Kras^{G12D}.Tgfbr2^{-/-} cell line

A 6 wk old $Kras^{LSL-G12D/+}.Tgfbr2^{fl/fl}$ male mouse was injected with 2 µl of 10¹⁰ PFU/ml Ad5-SPC-Cre into the left lung. When this animal was euthanized 29 week later, a 9 mm primary tumor was passaged into a male recipient. After two passages through recipient animals (one of which included a lung tumor), cell line X911 was established that was capable of forming orthotopic tumors in C57BL/6 animals (Fig. 3a). As expected, X911 cells exhibit genetic recombination at the *Kras* and *Tgfbr2* loci (Fig. 3b) and express KRAS^{G12D} but not TGFBR2 (Fig. 3c).

Development and validation of a Kras^{G12D}.Map3k7^{-/-}.GFP⁺ cell line.

An 8 wk old *Kras^{LSL-G12D/+}.Map3k7fl^{/fl}. ROSA^{mTmG}* male mouse was injected with 2 µl of 10⁹ PFU/ml Ad5-CMV-Cre into the left lung. When this animal was euthanized 26 week later, an 8 mm primary tumor was passaged into a male recipient. After two passages through the flanks of

(See figure on next page.)

Fig. 1 Strategy for developing syngeneic tumor lines with orthotopic growth potential. **a** Example of a genetic background that could be used for primary tumor formation. This animal harbors a Cre-inducible tumor-initiating oncogene (*Kras*^{LSL-G12D}), a Cre-deletable tumor suppressor (*p53*^{flox}), and a tracking allele (*ROSA*^{mTmG}). Homozygous or heterozygous tumor suppressor deletion can promote tumor formation depending on the tumor suppressor. **b** Example of a primary adenocarcinoma showing recombination of the *ROSA*^{mTmG} tracking allele. Tumor cells express mGFP while the surrounding non-recombined lung expresses mTomato. **c** Workflow for producing cell lines capable of orthotopic tumor growth. Detailed procedural details are included in the methods. **d** Outcome of attempts to establish cell lines capable of orthotopic tumor formation. There was no discernable pattern or marker that predicted which tumors were likely or unlikely to successfully move through the various stages of development other than establishment of a first passage tumor



Table 2	Summary of murin	ne cell	l lines capable of (orthotopic tu	umor form	ation								
Cell Line	Genotype	Sex	Treatment	Primary tumor size (mm)	Priamary tumor age	P1 time	P2 time	P3 time	P4 time	Total time in vivo	Time in culture	Time in orthotopic	Total time in vitro	Total Time
X577	Kras ^{G12D} Smad4 ^{#/+}	Σ	2 ul 10 ¹⁰ CMV-Cre	6	184	179	52	35	26	476	23	10	33	509
X911	Kras ^{G12D} Tgfbr2 ^{fl/fl}	Σ	2 ul 10 ¹⁰ SPC-Cre	12	202	66	49			350	26	27	53	403
E889	Kras ^{G12D} Map3K7fl ^{/fl} ROSA ^{mTmG}	Σ	2 ul 10 ⁹ CMV-Cre	m	180	184	54			418	45	45	06	508
X381	Kras ^{G12D} Pten ^{fl/+} .p53 ^{fl/+} ROSA ^{mTmG}	Σ	2 ul 10 ¹⁰ SPC-Cre	1-3	134	135	25	24		318	29	27	56	374
Y856	R26Stop ^{FL} P110* ^{6/+} p53 ^{6/+}	ш	2 ul 10 ¹⁰ CMV-Cre	6	78	81				159	125	24	149	308
Y143	C57BL/6	ш	30ul 5 × 10 ⁶ Ad-EA	3-5	66	203	46			348	53	25	78	426
All times a	re in days													

~
-
•
·==
-
10
2
<u>-</u>
-
.0
-
<u> </u>
0
_
-
_
÷
U
·
Q
~
-
0
_
Ξ.
ō
÷
•
Ð
_
0
3
õ
<u> </u>
- CD
U
Ψ
2
•=
=
le
Cell
e cell
lleo er
ne cell
rine cell
urine cell
urine cell
nurine cell
murine cell
f murine cell
of murine cell
of murine cell
y of murine cell
ry of murine cell
ary of murine cell
ary of murine cell
mary of murine cell
nmary of murine cell
mmary of murine cell
ummary of murine cell
iummary of murine cell
Summary of murine cell
Summary of murine cell
2 Summary of murine cell
2 Summary of murine cell



recipient animals, cell line E889 was established that was capable of forming orthotopic tumors in C57BL/6 animals (Fig. 4a). This line demonstrates genetic recombination at the *Kras* and *Map3k7* loci (Fig. 4b) and expresses KRAS^{G12D} but not MAP3K7 (Fig. 4c) by Western blot. Because this line was derived from an animal harboring the *ROSA^{mTmG}* tracking allele [25], it also expresses GFP (Fig. 4d).

Development and validation of a Kras^{G12D}.PTEN^{+/-}.p53^{+/-}. GFP⁺ cell line

A 7 week old $Kras^{LSL-G12D/+}.PTEN^{fl/+}.p53^{fl/+}.ROSA^{mTmG}$ male mouse was injected with 2 µl of 10¹⁰ PFU/ml Ad5-SPC-Cre into the left lung. When this animal was euthanized 19 week later, multiple primary tumors between 1 and 3 mm were passaged together into a recipient

animal. After 3 passages two of which were through the lung, cell line X381 was established that was capable of forming orthotopic tumors in C57BL/6 animals (Fig. 5a). This line demonstrates genetic recombination at the *Kras, Pten,* and *Tp53* loci (Fig. 5b). As expected, X381 cells express KRAS^{G12D} but have reduced expression of PTEN and TP53 (Fig. 5c). Because this line was derived from an animal harboring the *ROSA*^{*mTmG*} tracking allele, it also expresses mGFP (Fig. 5d).

Development and validation of a Pi3kca⁺.p53^{+/-} cell line

A 20 wk old $R26Stop^{FL}P110^* f^{1/+}.p53^{f^{1/+}}$ female mouse was injected with 2 µl of 10⁹ PFU/ml Ad5-CMV-Cre into the left lung. When this animal was euthanized 11 week later, a 6 mm left lung tumor was combined with multiple metastasis (contralateral lung, pericardial, pleural)



then passaged into a female recipient animal. After one passage through the flank, cell line Y856 was established; this line was capable of forming orthotopic tumors in C57BL/6 animals (Fig. 6a). Y856 demonstrates genetic recombination of the *Pik3ca* and *Tp53* alleles (Fig. 6b) and reduced TP53 expression (Fig. 6c). Consistent with constitutive PIK3CA activation, Y856 cells demonstrate increase pAKT expression without increased total AKT (Fig. 6c).

Development and validation of an EML4-ALK mutant cell line

An 8 wk old C57BL/6 female mouse was treated with 30 μ l of 10⁶ PFU/ml Ad-EA by tracheal instillation as previously described [27, 28]. The Ad-EA vector has an Ad5 backbone and harbors Cas9 and guide RNAs that lead to the EML4-ALK gene fusion [26]. When this animal was euthanized 14 week later a group of multifocal tumors 3–5 mm in size were combined and passaged into a recipient animal. For this line, tumors formed in both

lung and flank; these tumors were combined and then passaged together into both lung and flank sites of recipient animals. Subsequently, a cell line (Y143) was established that was capable of forming orthotopic tumors in C57BL/6 animals (Fig. 7a). The EML4-ALK genetic rearrangement was validated using PCR as previously described [26] (Fig. 7b). In Y143 cells treatment with the EML4-ALK inhibitor crizotinib inhibits phosphorylation of AKT and ERK is inhibited in a dose dependent manner (Fig. 7c) and treatment with the ALK kinase inhibitor, TAE684, inhibits growth of Y143 cells (Fig. 7d).

Discussion

Rationale for developing syngeneic murine lung cancer cell lines

Better immunocompetent murine lung cancer models are required to study tumor-immune interactions and optimize immunotherapeutic approaches. GEMMs are limited by the production of multifocal tumors of relatively low malignant potential with limited mutational



burden [2-4] while orthotopic models are limited by the small number of transplantable murine lung cancer cell lines and limited diversity of driver mutations. Our goal was to develop a systematic approach for producing murine lung cancer cell lines with different genetic alterations that were capable of orthotopic tumor formation in C57BL/6 background recipients. This is of particular relevance as most immune system genetic models exist in a C57BL/6 background and changing the genetic background is labor intensive and expensive. Table 2 summarizes the developmental details of our six novel murine lung cancer lines and illustrates that this process is time intensive, requiring 10-18 months from tumor initiation plus additional time to generate animals for primary tumor formation and validate cell line genetics. Because of the length required to establish successful cell lines, these lines assuredly acquired other genetic alterations that contribute to cell survival, immune evasion, or other characteristics typical of cancers. Transcriptome characterization of lines during development could provide interesting insight into the common pathways required for both in vivo tumor formation and in vitro propagation.

Developing syngeneic murine lung cancer cell lines: maximizing primary tumor malignancy

Although Kras^{LSL-G12D/+} mice treated with tracheal Ad5-CMV-Cre expire 2–4 months of tumor initiation with lungs that are several times normal in size, most tumors are small adenomas or well differentiated adenocarcinomas [18]. This may explain our limited success in generating tumor lines from Kras^{LSL-G12D/+} animals (not shown). To address this issue, we initiated primary tumor formation via direct injection of virus into the left lung [27]. While tumor production takes significantly longer (4–8 months depending on genotype and virus), this approach allows the development of significantly larger and presumably more malignant tumors. Perhaps not surprisingly, half of our tumor lines originated from primary tumors that were



larger than 5 mm (Table 2). That we had two cell lines develop after combining small tumors at the initial passage, suggests this can also be a successful strategy. Although primary tumor histology was not assessed, all orthotopic tumors except Y856 (which may have undergone EMT) had clear adenocarcinoma morphology (see Figs. 2a, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7a). This is consistent the observation that most GEMMs produce predominantly adenocarcinoma spectrum tumors.

Developing syngeneic murine lung cancer cell lines: minimizing host rejection

We hypothesized that passaging tumors through a second animal would allow for additional tumor growth and might select for tumors more likely to grow in a nonself host, however this approach must consider genetic background as murine lung cancer lines developed from mixed backgrounds [12, 39] do not form orthotopic tumors in C57BL/6 hosts (personal communication



Howard Li). Accordingly, we generated primary tumors in animals that were >95% C57BL/6 by SNP analysis and used tumor recipients for passaging that were >90% C57BL/6 with no SNP mismatches on chromosome 17 where the mouse major histocompatibility locus (MHC) is located. We also sex-matched primary tumors and tumor recipients to reduce the chances of tumor rejection based on sex specific proteins. Despite these steps, greater than 75% (87/113) of primary tumors failed at the first passage while 23% (6/26) of tumors that successfully completed a first passage ultimately gave rise to lines capable of forming orthotopic tumors. Because our goal was to produce lines capable of orthotopic growth in an immunocompetent host, we did not assess the impact of creating cell lines as the first step in cell line generation.

Potential utility of syngeneic murine tumors models

The lines described herein are capable of forming both lung and flank tumors in both male and female recipients (not explicitly shown) however there are clear differences in the flank and lung tumor microenvironment and these differences can critically alter immunotherapeutic responses [16]. For monitoring purposes we passaged through flank to allow for tumor amplification and ease



treatment reduces pAKT and pERK in Y143 cells. d Y143 growth can be inhibited in vitro by TAE684 with an IC50 of 22 nM

of monitoring. While it is unknown what effects this may have had on cell line phenotype, 4 of the 6 lines that were successfully established were also passaged through the lung during development. While all tumor lines formed tumors in at least 75% of recipient C57BL/6 host lungs (not shown); tumorigenicity in other background strains was not assessed. Our goal was to establish tumorigenicity of these cell lines in the lungs of C57BL/6 hosts; future investigators will have to optimize experimental conditions with respect to the number of cells injected and the timing of experimental endpoints that balance primary tumor formation and metastases development.

Although we did not directly compare the responses of the novel cell lines to immunotherapy we did find that IFNy treatment increases PD-L1 mRNA expression in CMT167, Y856, X577, E889, and X381 cells (Additional file 1: Figure S1); this characteristic is associated with sensitivity to anti-PD-1 treatment in vivo [40]. That LLC and X911 cells fail to respond to INFy stimulation (and that Y143 cells are equivocal) illustrates how having a broader array of cell lines to test in vivo potentially increases the generalizability of a given observation and also allows investigators to explore mechanistic differences underpinning a specific characteristic. In addition, as these lines have defined oncogenic drivers and retain responsiveness to inhibition of these drivers (at least in the case of the EML4-ALK line), this sets the stage for experiments combining small molecule inhibitor and immunotherapeutic approaches which had previosly been beyond the scope of a typical orthotopic experiment.

Conclusions

We produced six novel murine lung cancer cell lines capable of orthotopic tumor formation in syngeneic immunocompetent animals. These lines will be invaluable for preclinical studies of small molecule inhibitor and immunotherapy combinatorial approaches. Our methods provide a broader road map for the development of additional murine cancer cell lines capable of orthotopic tumor formation in immunocompetent hosts.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12935-020-01503-5.

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Upregulation of PD-L1 in murine lung cancer cells in response to treatment with IFNγ. Cancer cell lines were treated with recombinant murine IFNγ (100 ng/mL R&D Systems) or vehicle for 16 h. RNA was isolated using an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) and cDNA synthesized using an iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad). Real-time PCR analysis was conducted in an iCycler (Bio-Rad). PD-L1 mRNA expression was determined by qRT-PCR and normalized to β-actin. Data represent the mean ± SEM of three independent experiments. Primer sequences: PD-L1 (For: 5'-TGCTGCATAATCAGCTACGG-3', Rev: 5'-GCTGGTCACATTGAG AAGCA-3'), β-actin (For: 5'-GGCTGTATTCCCCTCCATCG-3', Rev: 5'-CCAGTT GGTAACAATGCCATGT-3').

Abbreviations

GEMMs: Genetically engineered mouse models; LLC: Lewis lung carcinoma; PD-L1: Programmed death ligand 1; CMV: Cytomegalovirus; SPC: Surfactant protein C.

Acknowledgements

NUTIE.

Authors' contributions

KN cultured murine lung tumors, performed murine cell line validation, performed in vivo experiments, and assisted with manuscript drafting. GV generated primary murine lung tumors and cultured murine tumor cell lines. TC generated primary murine lung tumors and cultured murine tumor cell lines. DT cultured murine lung cancer cell lines. XJW contributed to the conception and design of the studies. CY contributed to murine cell line analysis. AL performed analysis of the EML-ALK line. RD provided reagents and support for analyzing the EML-ALK line. HL provided data about control murine cell lines and advised on orthotopic tumor formation experiments. SPM conceived the experiments and drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

D.T. was supported by the NIH/NCI under a Ruth L. Kirschstein National Research Service Award (T32 CA174648), the Cancer League of Colorado, and the Gift of Life and Breath Foundation. H.L. was supported by NIH/NCI (P50 CA058187), VA (IK2BX001282), and the Cancer League of Colorado. C.Y. was supported by the Cancer League of Colorado. X.J.W was supported by NIH R01 DE024371 and VA I01 BX003232. S.P.M. was supported by the NIH/NCI (R21 CA194662, P50 CA058187, and P30 CA046934) and the Cancer League of Colorado. Funding sources had no role in study design, data collection, analysis, or interpretation, or manuscript writing.

Availability of data and materials

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study. Cell lines generated in this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All animals studies were IACUC approved (protocol B-95517(05)1E) and carried out in accordance with institutional guidelines.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹ Division of Pulmonary Sciences and Critical Care Medicine, University of Colorado Denver Anschutz Medical Campus, 12700 E. 19th Avenue, RC2, Room #9112, Mail stop C272, Aurora, CO 80045, USA. ² Department of Pathology, University of Colorado Denver Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, USA. ³ Division of Medical Oncology, University of Colorado Denver Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, USA. ⁴ Sound Critical Care, Sacred Heart Medical Center, Spokane, WA, USA. ⁵ Division of Pulmonary Disease and Critical Care Medicine, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, USA.

Received: 9 December 2019 Accepted: 18 August 2020 Published online: 28 August 2020

References

- Attili I, Passaro A, Pavan A, Conte P, De Marinis F, Bonanno L. Combination immunotherapy strategies in advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): does biological rationale meet clinical needs? Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 2017;119:30–9.
- Hayes SA, Hudson AL, Clarke SJ, Molloy MP, Howell VM. From mice to men: GEMMs as trial patients for new NSCLC therapies. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 2014;27:118–27.

- Westcott PM, Halliwill KD, To MD, et al. The mutational landscapes of genetic and chemical models of Kras-driven lung cancer. Nature. 2015;517(7535):489–92.
- McFadden DG, Politi K, Bhutkar A, et al. Mutational landscape of EGFR-, MYC-, and Kras-driven genetically engineered mouse models of lung adenocarcinoma. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2016;113(42):E6409–E64176417.
- Tippimanchai DD, Nolan K, Poczobutt J, et al. Adenoviral vectors transduce alveolar macrophages in lung cancer models. Oncoimmunology. 2018;7(6):e1438105.
- Weeden CE, Ah-Cann C, Asselin-Labat ML. Studying the immune landscape in lung cancer models: choosing the right experimental tools. Transl Lung Cancer Res. 2018;7(Suppl 3):S248–S250250.
- Li H, Sorenson AL, Poczobutt J, et al. Activation of PPARgamma in myeloid cells promotes lung cancer progression and metastasis. PLoS ONE. 2011;6(12):e28133.
- Sugiura K, Stock CC. Studies in a tumor spectrum. III. The effect of phosphoramides on the growth of a variety of mouse and rat tumors. Cancer Res. 1955;15(1):38–51.
- 9. Bertram JS, Janik P. Establishment of a cloned line of lewis lung carcinoma cells adapted to cell culture. Cancer Lett. 1980;11(1):63–73.
- Franks LM, Carbonell AW, Hemmings VJ, Riddle PN. Metastasizing tumors from serum-supplemented and serum-free cell lines from a C57BL mouse lung tumor. Cancer Res. 1976;36(3):1049–55.
- Franks LM, Layton MG. Ultrastructural tumour differentiation and organ specificity in high and low metastatic lines from a mouse lung carcinoma. Br J Cancer. 1984;49(4):423–9.
- 12. Wislez M, Spencer ML, Izzo JG, et al. Inhibition of mammalian target of rapamycin reverses alveolar epithelial neoplasia induced by oncogenic K-ras. Cancer Res. 2005;65(8):3226–355.
- Yang Y, Ahn YH, Chen Y, et al. ZEB1 sensitizes lung adenocarcinoma to metastasis suppression by PI3K antagonism. J Clin Invest. 2014;124(6):2696–708.
- Doles J, Oliver TG, Cameron ER, et al. Suppression of Rev3, the catalytic subunit of Pol{zeta}, sensitizes drug-resistant lung tumors to chemotherapy. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010;107(48):20786–91.
- Wieckowski S, Hemmerle T, Prince SS, et al. Therapeutic efficacy of the F8-IL2 immunocytokine in a metastatic mouse model of lung adenocarcinoma. Lung Cancer. 2015;88(1):9–15.
- Li HY, McSharry M, Bullock B, et al. The tumor microenvironment regulates sensitivity of murine lung tumors to PD-1/PD-L1 antibody blockade. Cancer Immunol Res. 2017;5(9):767–77.
- Gainor JF, Shaw AT, Sequist LV, et al. EGFR mutations and ALK rearrangements are associated with low response rates to PD-1 pathway blockade in non-small cell lung cancer: a retrospective analysis. Clin Cancer Res. 2016;22(18):4585–93.
- Jackson EL, Willis N, Mercer K, et al. Analysis of lung tumor initiation and progression using conditional expression of oncogenic K-ras. Genes Dev. 2001;15(24):3243–8.
- 19. Srinivasan L, Sasaki Y, Calado DP, et al. PI3 kinase signals BCR-dependent mature B cell survival. Cell. 2009;139(3):573–86.
- Marino S, Vooijs M, van Der Gulden H, Jonkers J, Berns A. Induction of medulloblastomas in p53-null mutant mice by somatic inactivation of Rb in the external granular layer cells of the cerebellum. Genes Dev. 2000;14(8):994–1004.
- 21. Lesche R, Groszer M, Gao J, et al. Cre/loxP-mediated inactivation of the murine Pten tumor suppressor gene. Genesis. 2002;32(2):148–9.
- 22. Sato S, Sanjo H, Takeda K, et al. Essential function for the kinase TAK1 in innate and adaptive immune responses. Nat Immunol. 2005;6(11):1087–95.

- Yang X, Li C, Herrera PL, Deng CX. Generation of Smad4/Dpc4 conditional knockout mice. Genesis. 2002;32(2):80–1.
 Chytil A, Magnuson MA, Wright CV, Moses HL. Conditional inactivation of
- the TGF-bet type II receptor using Creix. Genesis. 2002;32(2):73–5.
- Muzumdar MD, Tasic B, Miyamichi K, Li L, Luo L. A global double-fluorescent Cre reporter mouse. Genesis. 2007;45(9):593–605.
- Maddalo D, Manchado E, Concepcion CP, et al. In vivo engineering of oncogenic chromosomal rearrangements with the CRISPR/Cas9 system. Nature. 2014;516(7531):423–7.
- 27. Fushiki H, Kanoh-Azuma T, Katoh M, et al. Quantification of mouse pulmonary cancer models by microcomputed tomography imaging. Cancer Sci. 2009;100(8):1544–9.
- DuPage M, Dooley AL, Jacks T. Conditional mouse lung cancer models using adenoviral or lentiviral delivery of Cre recombinase. Nat Protoc. 2009;4(7):1064–72.
- Jackson EL, Olive KP, Tuveson DA, et al. The differential effects of mutant p53 alleles on advanced murine lung cancer. Cancer Res. 2005;65(22):10280–8.
- Pan D, Schomber T, Kalberer CP, et al. Normal erythropoiesis but severe polyposis and bleeding anemia in Smad4 deficient mice. Blood. 2007;110:3049–55.
- Munoz NM, Upton M, Rojas A, et al. Transforming growth factor beta receptor type II inactivation induces the malignant transformation of intestinal neoplasms initiated by Apc mutation. Cancer Res. 2006;66(20):9837–44.
- Kim SY, Ebbert K, Cordeiro MH, et al. Constitutive activation of PI3K in oocyte induces ovarian granulosa cell tumors. Cancer Res. 2016;76(13):3851–61.
- Ziemke M, Patil T, Nolan K, Tippimanchai D, Malkoski SP. Reduced Smad4 expression and DNA topoisomerase inhibitor chemosensitivity in nonsmall cell lung cancer. Lung Cancer. 2017;109:28–35.
- Doebele RC, Pilling AB, Aisner DL, et al. Mechanisms of resistance to crizotinib in patients with ALK gene rearranged non-small cell lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2012;18(5):1472–82.
- Davies KD, Le AT, Theodoro MF, et al. Identifying and targeting ROS1 gene fusions in non-small cell lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2012;18(17):4570–9.
- Malkoski SP, Haeger SM, Cleaver TG, et al. Loss of transforming growth factor beta type II receptor increases aggressive tumor behavior and reduces survival in lung adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2012;18(8):2173–83.
- Malkoski SP, Cleaver TG, Thompson JJ, et al. Role of PTEN in basal cell derived lung carcinogenesis. Mol Carcinog. 2014;53(10):841–6.
- Haeger SM, Thompson JJ, Kalra S, et al. Smad4 loss promotes lung cancer formation but increases sensitivity to DNA topoisomerase inhibitors. Oncogene. 2016;35(5):577–86.
- Mollaoglu G, Guthrie MR, Bohm S, et al. MYC drives progression of small cell lung cancer to a variant neuroendocrine subtype with vulnerability to aurora kinase inhibition. Cancer Cell. 2017;31(2):270–85.
- Bullock BL, Kimball AK, Poczobutt JM, et al. Tumor-intrinsic response to IFNgamma shapes the tumor microenvironment and anti-PD-1 response in NSCLC. Life Sci Alliance. 2019;2(3):e201900328.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.