

UC Irvine

UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Reply to Chapman: Perfect imperfection?

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3xj7z642>

Journal

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 107(29)

ISSN

0027-8424

Author

Avise, John C

Publication Date

2010-07-20

DOI

10.1073/pnas.1007473107

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

Reply to Chapman: Perfect imperfection?

I welcome Chapman's (1) commentary on the etiology of molecular flaws in the human genome. If I understand his argument correctly, the human genome provides a biological example of a small world network (SWN), because its multitudinous components have become self-configured (under the influence of natural selection) in ways that tend to maximize genomic stability and resiliency, while nevertheless, leaving this otherwise imperfect molecular system highly vulnerable to focused insults such as particular deleterious mutations. I find this hypothesis to be quite interesting both scientifically and theologically. It is scientifically useful, because it raises several questions that, in principle, might be addressed empirically and/or logically (2). For example, what kinds of network structures are, in theory, most robust, and does natural selection

truly promote maximally robust SWNs? The hypothesis is also theologically intriguing (3). Chapman states (1), "[i]f I were the creator, I would organize the genome as an SWN." In some ways, this sentiment harkens back to a famous statement by Dobzhansky (4): "I am a creationist and an evolutionist. Evolution is God's, or Nature's method of creation."

John C. Avise¹

Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697

1. Chapman RW (2010) The genome is the perfect imperfect machine. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107:E1119.
2. Barabási AL, Albert R (1999) Emergence of scaling in random networks. *Science* 286: 509–512.
3. Avise JC (2010) *Inside the Human Genome: A Case for Non-Intelligent Design* (Oxford University Press, London).
4. Dobzhansky T (1973) Nothing in biology makes sense except in the light of evolution. *Am Biol Teach* 35:125–129.

Author contributions: J.C.A. wrote the paper.

The author declares no conflict of interest.

¹E-mail: javise@uci.edu.