Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

Title

Failure Process During Fast Charging of Lithium Metal Batteries with Weakly Solvating Fluoroether Electrolytes

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3zd030hf

Authors

Chen, Yuelang Yu, Zhiao Gong, Huaxin <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2024

DOI

10.1021/acs.jpcc.4c01740

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed



pubs.acs.org/JPCC

Article

Li morphology

Failure Process During Fast Charging of Lithium Metal Batteries with ² Weakly Solvating Fluoroether Electrolytes

3 Published as part of The Journal of Physical Chemistry C virtual special issue "Jens K. Nørskov Festschrift".

4 Yuelang Chen, Zhiao Yu, Huaxin Gong, Wenbo Zhang, Paul Rudnicki, Zhuojun Huang, Weilai Yu, s Sang Cheol Kim, David T. Boyle, Philaphon Sayavong, Hasan Celik, Rong Xu, Yangju Lin,

6 Shaoyang Wang, Jian Qin, Yi Cui,* and Zhenan Bao* Cite This: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.4c01740 **Read Online** ACCESS III Metrics & More Article Recommendations Supporting Information 7 ABSTRACT: While improving the lithium metal (Li) Coulombic 8 efficiency has been a focus for electrolyte design, the performance 9 under high current densities is less studied yet highly relevant for 10 practical applications. Here, we evaluate the charge-rate-dependent 11 cycling stability using three types of weakly solvating fluoroether 12 electrolytes. Although good cycle life was achieved in all three 13 electrolytes under low current densities, they all exhibited a soft 14 shorting behavior above various threshold current densities 15 (between 2 and 5.2 mA cm^{-2}). We attributed the current-

16 dependent electrode morphology to both Li growth and residual initial Li morphology before rSEI formation after cycling 17 solid electrolyte interface (rSEI) growth processes. In early cycles, 18 Li morphology guided the formation of rSEI structures. In later cycles, the rSEI structure partially impacted Li growth. Under low 19 current densities, the rSEI was inhomogeneous with large voids for subsequent bulky lithium growth. Under high current densities, 20 the rSEI became more dense, which aggravated the high-surface/volume-ratio Li growth through and on the top of the rSEI. Among 21 the three weakly solvating fluoroether electrolytes, the ones with lower ionic conductivity were observed to short within fewer cycles 22 and at lower charge current densities. Our work suggests that fast ion transport in electrolytes may be a desirable feature for the 23 stable operation at >1C charging in high-energy-density lithium metal batteries.

pristine Li

24 INTRODUCTION

25 As the world transitions toward renewable energy, there is an 26 increasing demand for high-energy-density batteries beyond 27 lithium-ion batteries (LIBs). The most ambitious negative 28 electrode chemistry is pure lithium metal (Li), which provides 29 more than 10 times the theoretical specific capacity compared 30 to graphite. Despite great progress toward improving the 31 Coulombic efficiency (CE) of the Li electrode, ¹⁻¹⁹ its rate 32 performance (particularly charge rate) is less explored. In 33 comparison, fast charging at 4C or above has been proposed 34 for LIBs for electric vehicles (EVs).²⁰ Therefore, for lithium 35 metal batteries (LMBs) to become competitive with the 36 incumbent, fast charging deserves further research.

Earlier investigations on carbonate electrolytes in LMBs²¹ 37 38 concluded that the poor cycle life under high charging current 39 densities was due to the thick and resistive degradation layer 40 formed on the Li surface, instead of short circuit by the Li 41 filament growth.^{22,23} More recently, the field has seen many 42 new electrolytes with greatly improved cycling stability. 43 However, most of these electrolytes were tested with charging 44 current densities lower than 1 mA cm^{-2} (Supplementary 45 Figure S1 and notes, with details in Table S1). Therefore, it is

crucial to evaluate the cycling stability and understand the 46 failure mechanisms under higher current densities.

rSEI structure

Herein, we investigate the fast-charging behavior of LMBs 48 using three types of weakly solvating fluoroether electrolytes: 1 49 M lithium bis(fluorosulfonyl)imide (LiFSI) in 1:3 (v/v) 1,2- 50 diethoxyethane: 2,2,3,3-tetrafluoro-1,4-diethoxylbutane (DEE- 51 FDEB), 1.54 M LiFSI in 1:3.6 (v/v) 1,2-dimethoxyethane: 52 1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethyl-2,2,3,3-tetrafluoropropyl ether (DME- 53 TTE),^{3,6} and 1 M LiFSI in 2,2,3,3-tetrafluoro-1,4-dimethox- 54 ylbutane $(FDMB)^2$ (Figure 1a). The concentrations for DME- 55 fl TTE and FDMB were determined according to the previous 56 literature reports.^{2,3} DEE-FDEB has not been reported 57 previously. The standard concentration of 1 M LiFSI was 58 used for DEE-FDEB, and the 1:3 (v/v) ratio of DEE:FDEB 59 was optimized. These electrolytes were selected for their high 60

Received:	March 17, 2024
Revised:	May 19, 2024
Accepted:	May 28, 2024



Figure 1. (a) Chemical structures and compositions of the weakly solvating fluoroether electrolytes studied in this work. (b) Overview of the current-dependent Li and rSEI growth processes proposed in this work. The compact solid electrolyte inter face (cSEI) refers to the passivation layer on the Li surface. The residual solid electrolyte interface (rSEI) refers to the layer of decomposition products that do not passivate Li.

61 CE at low current densities (>99% by the modified Aurbach 62 method²⁴), good oxidation stability, and different ionic 63 conductivities. By systematically varying the charge rates, we 64 observed a short circuit in all three electrolytes above a certain $_{65}$ current density (5.2 mA cm⁻² for the DEE-FDEB electrolyte, 2 66 mA cm⁻² for DME-TTE and FDMB electrolytes). We 67 attributed the poor fast-charging stability to the current-68 dependent Li deposition morphology and residual solid 69 electrolyte interface (rSEI) structure (Figure 1b). We observed 70 that the initial Li growth morphology guided the formation of 71 the rSEI structure, which then greatly impacted the subsequent 72 Li plating morphology. The rSEI formed under a low current 73 density (0.4 mA cm^{-2}) was inhomogeneous with large voids as 74 a result of bulky Li growth. In contrast, the rSEI formed under 75 a high current density (4 mA cm⁻²) was more compact, which 76 could aggravate the high-surface/volume-ratio Li growth 77 through and on top of the rSEI. Among the three fluoroether 78 electrolytes, the ones with lower ionic conductivity were 79 observed to short within fewer cycles and at lower charge 80 current densities. Therefore, this study suggests that fast Li⁺ 81 ion transport through the electrolyte may be a desirable feature 82 for the stable operation of LMBs under high charging current 83 densities.

84 METHODS

Materials. LiFSI was purchased from Arkema. DME (anhydrous, 99.5%), ethyl p-toluenesulfonate (98%), and riodomethane (99%) were purchased from Sigma. DEE (99%) was purchased from Fisher. 2,2,3,3-Tetrafluoro-1,4butanediol (97%) and TTE (99%) were purchased from Synquest. Sodium hydride (60% in paraffin) was purchased from TCI. Celgard 2325 (25 μ m thick, polypropylene/ polyethylene/polypropylene) was purchased from Celgard. Cu foil (25 μ m thick) was purchased from Alfa Aesar. Thick Li (600–750 μ m thick) and thin Li foils (50 μ m thick, freestanding) were purchased from China Energy Lithium and MSE Supplies. Single-sided NMC811 positive electrode sheets (ca. 4.5 mAh cm⁻²) were purchased from Targray. All of the 97 other components for 2032-type coin cells were purchased 98 from MTI. 99

Synthesis and Purification. FDMB was synthesized as 100 described previously.² For FDEB (Supplementary Figure S35), 101 a solution of 2,2,3,3-tetrafluoro-1,4-butanediol (1 equiv) in 102 THF was cooled in an ice bath, to which sodium hydride (2.5 103 equiv, 60% in paraffin) was added slowly under vigorous 104 stirring. After H₂ bubbling stopped, ethyl p-toluenesulfonate 105 (2.2 eqv.) was added in one portion, and the reaction mixture 106 was stirred at room temperature for 3 h, followed by heating at 107 60 °C overnight. The reaction mixture was filtered, and THF 108 was removed by a rotary evaporator. The crude product was 109 purified by vacuum distillation three times, with the second 110 and third times over sodium hydride to remove water. The 111 purity of the FDEB product was confirmed by NMR 112 (Supplementary Figures S36-S38). DEE and TTE were 113 purified once by vacuum distillation over sodium hydride. All 114 solvents were stored in an argon glovebox with fresh Li to 115 remove trace amounts of water. 116

Electrochemical Measurements. All coin cells were 117 fabricated as the 2032 type, with Celgard 2325 as the 118 separator. Electrochemical measurements were carried out on 119 Arbin, Land, and Biologic systems. 120

Full cells were assembled with NMC811 (ca. 4.5 mAh 121 cm⁻²), 50 μ m Li foil (N/P ~ 2), and 40 μ L of electrolyte (E/C 122 ~ 8.9 mL Ah⁻¹). The cells were cycled between 2.8 and 4.4 V 123 versus Li⁺/Li. Two formation cycles at 0.4 mA cm⁻² charge 124 and discharge current densities were performed, followed by 125 long-term cycling at various charge current densities (from 0.4 126 to 5.2 mA cm⁻²) and 4 mA cm⁻² discharge current density. A 127 constant voltage step was applied at the end of charging until 128 the current fell below 0.4 mA cm⁻².

Positive electrode half-cells were assembled in the same way 130 as full cells except for using thick Li as the negative electrode. 131 The cycling protocol was the same as full cells at 4 mA cm⁻² 132 charge and discharge current densities. The positive electrode 133 of each cell after about 40–50 cycles was used to construct a 134

135 new positive electrode half-cell to continue the cycling. 136 Additional positive electrode half-cells were also assembled 137 with 80 μ L of electrolyte (E/C ~ 17.8 mL Ah¹⁻).

Li negative electrode (50 μ m Li) half-cells were assembled 138 139 with thick Li as the counter electrode and 40 μ L of electrolyte. 140 Two formation cycles at 0.4 mA cm⁻² were followed by long-141 term cycling at 4 mA cm⁻² for 4 mAh cm⁻² in each step. 142 Chronopotentiometry was also carried out using Li negative 143 electrode half-cells. The cells were precycled twice at 0.4 mA 144 cm⁻² for 4 mAh cm⁻². Then, a constant current of 4 mA cm⁻² 145 was applied until cell shorting. Alternatively, two cycles at 0.4 146 mA cm⁻² for 4 mAh cm⁻² and 30 cycles at 4 mA cm⁻² for 4 147 mAh cm⁻² were applied before a constant current of 4 mA 148 cm⁻² was applied until cell shorting. In addition, the changes in 149 bulk resistance and interfacial resistance of Li negative ¹⁵⁰ electrode half-cells were tracked during cycling. The cells ¹⁵¹ were activated for two cycles at 0.4 mA cm⁻² for 4 mAh cm⁻². 152 Then, the EIS measurement was carried out every 10 cycles at 153 4 or 0.4 mA cm⁻² for 4 mAh cm⁻². The resistance values were 154 obtained from curve fitting of Nyquist plots using the 155 equivalent circuit (R1 + Q2/R2 + Q3/R3 + W1), where R1 156 is the bulk resistance and (R2 + R3) is the interfacial 157 resistance.

LillCu half-cells were assembled with thick Li, Cu foil, and 159 40 μ L of electrolyte. CE was measured by a modified Aurbach 160 method. The Cu surface was preconditioned by plating 5 mAh 161 cm⁻² of Li and completely stripping to 1 V. A reservoir of 5 162 mAh cm⁻² of Li was plated, followed by plating and stripping 1 163 mAh cm⁻² of Li for 10 cycles and then stripping to 1 V. The 164 current density was 0.5 mA cm⁻² for all steps. The CE was 165 calculated as the ratio between the total amount of Li stripped 166 and that plated. In addition, cyclic voltammetry was performed 167 at 1 mV s⁻¹ between -0.1 and 1.5 V versus Li⁺/Li, starting 168 with a cathodic scan.

169 LillAl cells were assembled with thick Li, 40 μ L of 170 electrolyte, Al foil (2.11 cm²), and Al-clad positive electrode 171 cases. The oxidative stability of each electrolyte was evaluated 172 by linear scanning voltammetry from an open-circuit voltage to 173 5.5 V versus Li⁺/Li at 1 mV s⁻¹, followed by 5.5 V hold for 174 about 18 h. In addition, cyclic voltammetry was performed at 1 175 mV s⁻¹ between 0.1 V versus OCV and 4.5 V versus Li⁺/Li, 176 starting with an anodic scan.

177 LillPt cells were assembled with thick Li, 40 μ L of 178 electrolyte, and Pt-clad positive electrode cases. Cyclic 179 voltammetry was performed at 1 mV s⁻¹ between 0.1 V versus 180 OCV and 4.5 V versus Li⁺/Li, starting with an anodic scan.

The intrinsic ionic conductivity was measured in a Swagelok-type cell with symmetric stainless-steel electrodes and without a separator. The apparent ionic conductivity in a ls4 cell was measured by stainless-steel symmetric coin cells with an electrolyte-soaked separator.

Solvation energy measurement was described previously.²⁵ The homemade apparatus was composed of a T-shaped glass Raffange assembled between an H-cell. Each of the three chambers contained a test electrolyte, a reference electrolyte, or a salt bridge electrolyte. Two porous junctions, each made of four layers of Celgard 2325, separated the three chambers. Two pieces of fresh lithium foil were used as the electrodes. Biologic VMP3 was used to measure the cell potential. Voltage was recorded after stabilization, which typically took up to 3 min.

¹⁹⁶ The Li⁺ transport number was measured in LillLi symmetric ¹⁹⁷ cells by the Vincent–Bruce method. The interface was stabilized by five cycles at 0.5 mA cm⁻² for 1 mAh cm⁻². 198 Chronoamperometry was carried out at 10 mV for 1 h, with 199 EIS before and after polarization. 200

The effective Fickian diffusivity (D_{eff}) of LiFSI in the 201 electrolyte-soaked Celgard 2325 separator was measured by 202 the restricted diffusion method.^{26–28} The LillLi symmetric cells 203 were constructed using 50 μ m thick Li foil (5/8 in. diameter) 204 and 10 pieces of the Celgard 2325 separator (each 25 μ m 205 thick). The concentration gradient was established by applying 206 a potentiostatic hold for 10 min, followed by measuring the 207 decay of the open-circuit voltage (OCV). The potentiostatic 208 hold voltage was selected such that the initial OCV decay 209 started around 50 mV, ensuring an approximately linear 210 relationship between the OCV and concentration polarization. 211 The effective Fickian diffusivity in the separator was calculated 212 based on 213

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{d \ln(\text{OCV})}{dt} = -\frac{\pi^2 D_{\text{eff}}}{L^2}$$

where *t* is time, and *L* is the interelectrode distance $(250 \ \mu\text{m})$. ²¹⁴ For each electrolyte, the measurement was carried out at three ²¹⁵ different potentiostatic hold voltages, validating that the ²¹⁶ calculated D_{eff} is irrespective of the initial concentration ²¹⁷ gradient. The results were averaged. ²¹⁸

The exchange current density for interfacial charge transfer ²¹⁹ was calculated as discussed previously.²⁹ Full details of the ²²⁰ measurement can be found in that reference. A beaker cell with ²²¹ about 1 mL of electrolyte, a lithium counter electrode (Alfa ²²² Aesar), and a home-built tungsten disc ultramicroelectrode ²²³ (radius = 12.5 μ m) was used. Previously, a third Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ ²²⁴ reference electrode was used. However, a two-electrode cell ²²⁵ was also sufficient, given the low nominal currents. The *iR* ²²⁶ drop was measured by EIS prior to the cyclic voltammetry ²²⁷ measurement for compensation. The resistance was generally ²²⁸ about 4–10 kΩ. Scan rates of 100–200 V s⁻¹ were used for the ²²⁹ CV measurements.²⁹ The low overpotential data in the reverse ²³⁰ sweep were used to calculate the exchange current density via *j* ²³¹ = *j*₀*f*(*E* - *E*_{eq}), where *f* = *F*/*RT*. ²³²

Galvanostatic staircase measurement of Li electrodeposition 233 was described in detail previously.³⁰ A three-electrode and *iR*-234 corrected beaker cell was used. The working electrode was a 235 homemade 0.005 cm² Cu electrode, and the counter and 236 reference electrodes were made of Li metal. The Cu electrode 237 was brought from its open-circuit voltage (~3 V vs Li⁺/Li) to 238 10 mV at $-50 \ \mu A \ cm^{-2}$ to reduce residual CuO and form an 239 SEI layer on Cu. After the voltage reached 10 mV versus Li⁺/ 240 Li, a constant current of 0.5 mA cm⁻² was applied until the 241 capacity reached 0.1 mAh cm⁻². Then, the cell was rested for 242 15 min. The current was then increased from 0.1 to 10 mA 243 cm⁻² at 120 s intervals. 244

Material Characterizations. One-dimensional NMR 245 spectra were collected on a Varian Inova 500 MHz 246 spectrometer at 25 $^{\circ}$ C. A coaxial insert containing 1 M LiCl 247 in D₂O was used as the external standard for a 0 ppm shift. 248

DOSY NMR samples were prepared in an argon glovebox by 249 using a coaxial insert setup. Benzene- d_6 was placed in the inner 250 tube for lock and shim. In the outer tube, anhydrous toluene 251 (0.1 vol equiv) was added into the electrolytes as an internal 252 standard for viscosity change. The samples in Supplementary 253 Figure S3c were measured on a 500 MHz Bruker Avance I 254 spectrometer following the same procedure as described in 255 detail previously.¹² The calculation of coordination percen-256

pubs.acs.org/JPCC



Figure 2. Full-cell performance at various charge rates and 1C discharge rate $(1C = 4 \text{ mA cm}^{-2})$. The coin cells were assembled with NMC811 (ca. 4.5 mAh cm⁻²), 50 μ m thick Li foil (N/P ~ 2), and relatively lean electrolyte amount (E/C ~ 8.9 mL Ah⁻¹). All cells were cycled between 2.8 and 4.4 V versus Li⁺/Li. Two formation cycles at C/10 charge and discharge rates were performed, followed by long-term cycling at various charge rates (labeled on the graph) and a 1C discharge rate. A constant voltage step was applied at the end of charging until the current fell below C/10. (a–c) Discharge capacity and (d–f) CE over cycling for (a–d) DEE-FDEB, (b–e) DME-TTE, and (c–f) FDMB electrolytes. The replicated cells are shown with the same color and different symbols. See Supplementary Figure S39 and notes for data reproducibility. (g,i) Voltage profiles showing soft shorting characteristics at 1.3C charge rate in DEE-FDEB (g) and 1C charge rate in DME-TTE (h) and FDMB (i).

tages was described earlier.³¹ The samples, as shown in Figure 2.57 3b, were measured on a 400 MHz Varian NMR spectrometer 258 at 25 °C. ⁷Li- and ¹⁹F-pulsed field gradient (PFG) measure-259 ments were performed to determine the self-diffusion 260 coefficients of Li⁺ and FSI⁻ using dbppste_cc and pgste 261 ulse sequences, respectively. An array of gradient strength was 262 set to 2.908–12.504 G cm⁻¹ with 12 linear steps. Appropriate 263 diffusion delay (Δ) and gradient pulse duration (δ) were 264 selected to ensure a sufficient signal decay. Self-diffusion 266 coefficients were calculated by fitting peak integrals to the 267 Stejskal-Tanner equation.

Raman spectra were collected on a Horiba XploRA+ 268 confocal Raman with a 532 nm excitation laser. The 269 electrolytes were sealed under argon in quartz cuvettes. 270

The surface morphology of Al and Li was imaged by an FEI $_{271}$ Magellan 400 XHR SEM instrument with EDS capability. The $_{272}$ residual salt on the electrodes was rinsed off with the $_{273}$ corresponding solvents. The Al electrodes were obtained $_{274}$ from the LillAl cells after 5.5 V holding, as shown in $_{275}$ Supplementary Figure S6. To obtain the Li electrodes, Li $_{276}$ negative electrode half-cells were assembled as described $_{277}$ above. The cells were precycled twice at 0.4 mA cm⁻² for 4 $_{278}$ mAh cm⁻², followed by 10 cycles of either 4 mA cm⁻² plating $_{279}$ and striping (high-current formation) or 0.4 mA cm⁻² plating $_{280}$

287 the regions of SEI and Li metal. A lower voltage of 2 kV was 288 used to minimize the interaction volume of the electrons. The cross section of the rSEI was imaged by an FEI Helios 289 290 NanoLab 600i DualBeam SEM/FIB with EDS capability. Lill 291 Cu half-cells were assembled as described above. The Cu 292 electrode surface was preconditioned by 10 cycles between 0 $_{293}$ and 1 V at 0.2 mA cm⁻², followed by plating for 4 mAh cm⁻² ²⁹⁴ and stripping to 1 V at 0.4 mA cm⁻² for two cycles. Then, 295 either 10 or 30 cycles were carried out with 4 mAh cm⁻² Li 296 plating and stripping to 1 V. The plating current density was 297 either 0.4 mA cm⁻² (low current) or 4 mA cm⁻² (high $_{298}$ current), and the stripping current density was 4 mA cm⁻² for 299 all samples. The cells were disassembled in the stripped state. 300 Pt was deposited on the top surface to protect the surface structure. Cross sections were prepared by Ga⁺ ion beam. For 301 some samples, elemental mapping was carried out by EDS to 302

303 confirm the regions of the rSEI. MD Simulation. Molecular dynamics simulations were 304 305 carried out using GROMACS 2018,³² with electrolyte molar 306 ratios taken from those used in the experimental work. 307 Molecular forces were calculated using the optimized 308 potentials for liquid simulations all atom (OPLS-AA) force 309 field.³³ Topology files and bonded and Lennard–Jones 310 parameters were generated using the LigParGen server.³ 311 Atomic partial charges were calculated by fitting the molecular 312 ESP at atomic centers in Gaussian16 using the Møller-Plesset 313 second-order perturbation method with a cc-pVTZ basis set.³⁵ 314 Due to the use of a nonpolarizable force field, partial charges 315 for charged ions were scaled by 0.8 to account for electronic 316 screening, which has been shown to improve the predictions of 317 interionic interactions.^{36,37} The simulation procedure consisted 318 of energy minimization using the steepest descent method, 319 followed by 8 ns equilibration step using a Berendsen barostat 320 and a 40 ns production run using a Parrinello-Rahman 321 barostat, both at a reference pressure of 1 bar with a timestep 322 of 2 fs. A Nose-Hoover thermostat was used throughout with 323 a reference temperature of 300 K. The particle mesh Ewald 324 method was used to calculate electrostatic interactions with a 325 real space cutoff of 1.2 nm and a Fourier spacing of 0.12 nm. 326 The Verlet cutoff scheme was used to generate pairlists. A 327 cutoff of 1.2 nm was used for nonbonded Lennard-Jones 328 interactions. Periodic boundary conditions were applied in all 329 directions. Bonds with hydrogen atoms were constrained. 330 Convergence of the system energy, temperature, and box size 331 were checked to verify equilibration. The final 30 ns of the 332 production run was used for the analysis.

Density profiles and RDFs were generated by using GROMACS. Solvation shell statistics were calculated using the MDAnalysis Python package³⁸ by histogramming the observed first solvation shells for lithium ions during the production simulation, using a method similar to that of sag previous work.² The cutoff distance for each species in the first solvation shell was calculated from the first minimum occurring in the RDF (referenced to lithium ions) after the initial peak. 342

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Three types of weakly solvating fluoroether electrolytes (Figure 343 1a) were selected for this study since they have been identified 344 as a promising class of electrolytes for LMBs. All of them 345 exhibited good stability at the high-voltage positive electrode 346 and Li metal negative electrode, while the ion transport 347 properties vary.^{2,3,6} DME-TTE and FDMB showed relatively 348 low ionic conductivities, while DEE-FDEB was formulated as a 349 more conductive weakly solvating fluoroether electrolyte. 350 Detailed characterizations and discussions on the new DEE- 351 FDEB electrolyte are provided in Supporting Information 352 Figures S2–S8 and notes. The electrochemical stability of 353 these electrolytes on Cu, Al, and Pt was probed by cyclic 354 voltammetry (Supplementary X-ray Figure S40). 355

Charge-Rate-Dependent Full-Cell Cycle Life. To 356 observe the effects of the charge rate on the full-cell cycle 357 life, we constructed coin cells mimicking the conditions in a 358 high-energy-density battery. A high-loading NMC811 positive 359 electrode (ca. 4.5 mAh cm^{-2}) was paired with a thin Li foil (50 360 μ m thick, negative/positive capacity ratio N/P ~ 2) and with a 361 relatively lean electrolyte amount (electrolyte/capacity ratio E/ 362 $C \sim 8.9$ mL Ah¹⁻). Previous studies on ether and carbonate 363 electrolytes found that Li metal cycling stability was improved 364 by setting the discharge rate higher than the charge rate. 39-41 365 To avoid this complication, we set the discharge rate to 1C 366 $(1C = 4 \text{ mA cm}^{-2})$ for all cells, and we selected slower or equal 367 charge rates up to 1C (except for 1.3C for the better- 368 performing DEE-FDEB). In addition, since the cycle life of 369 LMBs is sensitive to cycling capacity, we applied a constant 370 voltage charging step at the upper cutoff voltage (4.4 V) with a 371 C/10 current cutoff to ensure similar initial cycling capacity 372 regardless of the charge rates. 373

The discharge capacity and CE at various charge rates for 374 each electrolyte are listed in Figure 2a–f. For all three 375 f2 electrolytes, the full-cell cycle life decreased as the charging 376 rate increased. The only exception was DEE-FDEB cells at C/ 377 10, which showed worse performance than those at faster rates. 378 This might be due to the accumulated parasitic reactions at a 379 longer cycling time.⁴² 380

A threshold charge rate was observed for each electrolyte, 381 above which cells consistently failed by soft shorting (1.3C for 382 DEE-FDEB electrolyte, C/2 for DME-TTE electrolyte, and C/ 383 2 for FDMB electrolyte), as evidenced by a sharp decrease in 384 CE (Figure 2d-f shaded region and Figure S9) and spiky 385 voltage curves during charging (Figures 2g-i and S10). Here, 386 the soft shorting refers to the repeated destruction and 387 reformation of Li filament shorts, which led to the observed 388 unstable voltage at high charge rates. Similar observations have 389 previously been reported, and it was hypothesized that the thin 390 filaments may act as fuse which burns out when a large current 391 is passed through.^{43,44} During the subsequent fast discharge, a 392 normal voltage curve was observed (Figures 2g-i and S10) 393 likely due to dynamic polarization⁴⁵ and/or electrochemical 394 dissolution of Li filaments. 395

If the cells were cycled continuously after soft shorting, they 396 would eventually become completely shorted. We carried out 397 additional full-cell cycling at 1C charge and discharge rates to 398 facilitate visual inspection after cell failure. The cell with the 399 DEE-FDEB electrolyte did not show soft shorting at 1C or any 400 sign of Li penetration through the separator after 82 cycles 401 (Figure S11a and notes). The DME-TTE cell endured 80 402 cycles until complete short circuit, and we observed large areas 403



Figure 3. (a) Charge-rate-dependent full-cell cycle life. The cycle numbers were calculated from the cells, as shown in Figure 2. The end of cycle life was defined as <70% discharge capacity of the third cycle or <90% CE starting from the fourth cycle. The black lines indicate the averages. See Supporting Information Figure S39 and notes for data reproducibility. (b) Transport properties of the fluoroether electrolytes: ionic conductivity (blue), ionic conductivity in Celgard 2325 (red, average of three measurements each), self-diffusivity (green) of Li⁺ (filled symbols) and FSI⁻ (hollow symbols), Fickian diffusivity of salt in electrolyte-soaked Celgard 2325 (orange, details in Supplementary Figure S41), and Li⁺ transport number measured by Vincent–Bruce method (purple). Note: self-diffusivity and Fickian diffusivity describe diffusion without and with concentration gradient, respectively.



Figure 4. Secondary electron SEM images of Li plating morphology on a 50 μ m Li electrode at various current densities and areal capacities in DEE-FDEB electrolyte. The rSEI was formed by 10 cycles at either 4 mA cm⁻² plating and striping (high-current formation) or 0.4 mA cm⁻² plating and 4 mA cm⁻² stripping (low-current formation) for 4 mAh cm⁻². In the final step, 0.2, 0.5, or 1 mAh cm⁻² capacity was plated at 4 mA cm⁻² (high-current plating) or 0.4 mA cm⁻² (low-current plating). The applied current profiles for rSEI formation and final Li plating are shown on the left (with complete profiles in Figure S12), where the positive current corresponds to Li plating on the 50 μ m Li negative electrode (consistent with full cells). The capacities of the final Li plating are labeled by columns on the top. Yellow and green arrows indicate Li and the rSEI, respectively. The scale bars are 40 μ m. The schematics on the right illustrate the top surface morphologies of Li and rSEI.

 $_{404}$ of the separator penetrated by Li filaments (Supplementary $_{405}$ Figure S11b and notes). Interestingly, the FDMB cell showed $_{406}$ soft shorting mostly in the early cycles, followed by a low $_{407}$ cycling capacity in the later cycles. We were unable to see any $_{408}$ Li filament in the separator after 62 cycles, likely due to its reversibility or small quantity of filament formation (Figure 409 S11c and notes). 410

At higher charge rates ($\geq C/2$), we observed a casual ₄₁₁ correlation between cycling stability and ionic conductivity (σ) ₄₁₂ (Figure 3). For example, at 1C charge, no soft shorting was ⁴¹³ f³

pubs.acs.org/JPCC



Figure 5. Schematic illustrations of rSEI structure formation and its impact on Li morphology under low (a-d) and high (e-h) current densities in weakly solvating fluoroether electrolytes. (a,e) Li electrode under a fully charged state in the initial cycle without rSEI. The arrows indicate areas with the most SEI accumulation. (b,f) Li electrode under a fully discharged state with rSEI (dark blue) after several cycles. (c,g) Li electrode under a partially charged state with rSEI. (i-l) FIB-SEM images of rSEI formed on the Cu electrode after 10 cycles (4 mAh cm⁻²) at low (0.4 mA cm⁻², i,j) and high (4 mA cm⁻², k,l) plating current densities in the DEE-FDEB electrolyte. The cells were disassembled under fully stripped state. Pt was deposited before FIB sputtering to preserve the surface layer morphology. The dashed arrows in i and j indicate empty space directly connecting the top and bottom of rSEI. The scale bars are 2 μ m.

414 observed for DEE-FDEB (the highest σ), while soft shorting 415 occurred after about 30 cycles for DME-TTE (medium σ) and 416 during the first charging step for FDMB (the lowest σ). A 417 similar trend was observed at the C/2 charge rate. At even 418 lower charge rates ($\leq C/5$), such a correlation was lost (Figure 419 3). These observations suggest that the failure modes for full 420 cells are different at low and high charge rates. Therefore, 421 electrolytes that lead to good cycle life at low charge rates may 422 not be suitable for high charge rates. The higher Vincent-423 Bruce transport number (t_+) and effective Fickian diffusivity $_{424}$ (D_{eff}) in FDMB were not sufficient to enable fast charging (Figure 3), likely limited by its poor ionic conductivity. Since 425 426 the movement of ions is collectively determined by 427 conductivity, diffusivity, and transference number,²⁸ the 428 observations here suggest that it is important to simultaneously 429 achieve high σ_{t} , D_{t} and t_{+} when designing electrolytes for fast 430 charging.

⁴³¹ **Current-Density-Dependent Lithium and rSEI Mor**-⁴³² **phology.** To investigate the dependence of Li and rSEI ⁴³³ morphology on plating current density, thin Li (50 μ m thick) ⁴³⁴ half-cells were constructed with a piece of thick Li as the ⁴³⁵ counter electrode. All cells were activated at 0.4 mA cm⁻² and ⁴³⁶ 4 mAh cm⁻² for two cycles, followed by 10 cycles at 0.4 or 4 mA cm⁻² plating current densities to form the rSEI (low- or 437 high-current formation). In the final step, 0.2, 0.5, or 1 mAh 438 cm⁻² capacity was plated at 4 mA cm⁻² (high-current plating) 439 or 0.4 mA cm⁻² (low-current plating). These final plating 440 capacities were less than 25% of the cycling capacity, which 441 enabled the observation of initial Li growth by a scanning 442 electron microscope (SEM). The current profiles are listed in 443 Figure 4.

We first investigated Li and rSEI morphologies at low and 445 high current densities in the DEE-FDEB electrolyte. Under the 446 low-current formation and low-current plating conditions, large 447 Li grains were observed in the 11th cycle and were separated 448 by the rSEI (Figure 4a–c), similar to some previous 449 observations.^{10,41,46} Elemental mapping by energy-dispersive 450 X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) confirmed the regions of Li and 451 rSEI (Supplementary Figure S13), which are marked by yellow 452 and green arrows, respectively, as shown in Figure 4b. The Li 453 plating morphology observed here validated our previous 454 hypothesis that in some weakly solvating fluoroether electro-455 lytes, the plated Li first grew through the porous rSEI layer⁴⁶ 456 before forming the typical pancake-like morphology^{2,3,12} on 457 the top of the rSEI. Under the slow-charging condition here, a 458 minimum of 25% of cycled Li (1 out of 4 mAh cm⁻²) was 459 ⁴⁶⁰ surrounded by the rSEI as evidenced by the lack of Li plating ⁴⁶¹ above rSEI, as shown in Figure 4c.

Under the high-current formation and high-current plating 462 463 conditions, a very different surface morphology was observed $_{464}$ (Figure 4d-f). The regions of Li and rSEI were confirmed by 465 EDS (Supplementary Figure S14). A more compact rSEI 466 structure was formed (Figure 4d). At 0.2 mAh cm^{-2} deposition 467 capacity, scarce and inhomogeneous Li nucleation was 468 observed on the top of the rSEI (Figure 4d). As the deposition 469 capacity increased, the Li grain size continued to increase 470 (Figure 4d-f) but remained smaller than those as shown in 471 Figure 4a-c. Importantly, unlike the morphology observed 472 under the slow-charging condition, the plated Li was not 473 surrounded by the rSEI after merely 0.2 mAh cm⁻² capacity 474 under the fast-charging condition. Since the SEI should be 475 electrically insulating, there must be a small amount of Li 476 growth within the rSEI (<0.2 mAh cm^{-2} or <5% of cycling 477 capacity) connecting the uncycled Li underneath the rSEI and 478 the plated Li above the rSEI. Such scarce and dispersed points 479 for Li deposition led to significant inhomogeneity and hotspots 480 for Li growth. The origin and effects of these morphologies will 481 be discussed in the later sections.

482 The same sets of experiments were carried out for the DME-483 TTE electrolyte (Supplementary Figure S15a-f). The 484 observations were identical to those described above. 485 Furthermore, the zoomed-out SEM images for DEE-FDEB 486 and DME-TTE samples (Supporting Information Figures S16, 487 S17, S19, S20) are provided to show the generality of our 488 observations. Additional experiments under high-current 489 formation and low-current plating were carried out to 490 investigate the relative contributions from current density 491 and rSEI structure to Li morphology (Figure 4g-i, S15g-i, 492 S18, and S21). Details will be discussed in later sections.

Formation of rSEI Structure and Its Impact on Li 493 494 Morphology. In addition to the compact SEI (cSEI, the film 495 in direct contact with Li metal),^{1,47⁺} our groups previously 496 discovered the unconventional rSEI structure in the FDMB 497 electrolyte in anode-free CullLFP cells (2.2 mAh cm⁻²) after 498 around 100 cycles at C/5.46 The cross-sectional images 499 revealed that the rSEI was sandwiched between the Cu 500 current collector and deposited Li. Therefore, during charging, 501 Li grew from the Cu current collector upward through the 502 porous rSEI structure before finally depositing as large grains 503 on the top of the rSEI. It was proposed that the interfacial 504 energy, surface Li diffusivity, and structural support of the rSEI 505 derived from FSI⁻ are critical for the improved Li stability in 506 the FDMB electrolyte.⁴⁶ A similar porous rSEI structure was 507 also reported for the DME-TTE electrolyte.⁶ Notably, the 508 growth of Li within and on the top of the rSEI appears to be 509 unique to weakly solvating fluoroether electrolytes, whereas Li 510 deposition underneath the rSEI was more commonly observed 511 in carbonate electrolytes (as illustrated in Supplementary 512 Figure S22).^{22,23,48}

Since the rSEI is formed by the accumulation of cSEI and Si4 dead Li throughout cycling,^{6,46} we hypothesize that the Si5 structure of rSEI is modulated by Li morphology in the initial Cycles through the following processes. (1) The deposited Li Si7 grains with higher surface/volume ratios have more cSEI, Si8 thereby leading to more rSEI accumulation. (2) Most rSEI accumulates from the cSEI on the side of Li grains as the Li Si0 shrinks and the cSEI folds during discharging (as indicated by Si1 arrows in Figure 5a,e). In comparison, the rSEI from the cSEI si2 on the flat top surface of Li is thinner. It might break more easily and move to the side of expanding Li grains during 523 charging. (3) Bulky Li grains create larger voids within the 524 rSEI, whereas mossy Li creates more compact rSEI. 525

Given the large exchange current densities in the fluoroether 526 electrolytes (Supporting Information Table S2), we hypothe- 527 size that the Li plating is mass transfer-controlled. The faster 528 mass transfer toward the tips of an uneven Li surface leads to 529 poor deposition morphology, and this process can be 530 accelerated by high current density and slow ion transport in 531 electrolytes.⁴⁹⁻⁵¹ Consequently, under the fast-charging 532 condition, the plated Li has a high surface/volume ratio, 533 which leads to more rSEI in tightly packed structures (Figure 534 5f). In contrast, since the slow-charging condition favors bulky 535 Li deposition, less rSEI is formed and is separated by large 536 voids (Figure 5b). These hypotheses are consistent with our 537 observation of large Li grains separated by the porous rSEI at 538 low current densities and small Li grains on the top of a 539 compact rSEI at high current densities (Figure 4). 540

We characterized the cross sections of the rSEI by SEM. A 541 Cu electrode was used instead of thin Li to show better 542 contrast between the rSEI and the substrate. The rSEI was 543 formed by cycling LillCu half-cells in the DEE-FDEB 544 electrolyte at either 0.4 mA cm⁻² (low current) or 4 mA 545 cm⁻² (high current) plating current density for 10 cycles. The 546 cells were disassembled under the stripped state, and cross 547 sections were prepared by a focused ion beam (FIB). The rSEI 548 formed under high current showed closely packed structures 549 (Figure 5k,l). The pores appeared to be tortuous since no 550 direct pathway between the bottom and the top of the rSEI 551 could be observed on the 2D cross section. In contrast, the 552 rSEI formed under low current was thinner and showed 553 channels connecting the top and the bottom of the rSEI 554 (Figure 5i). We also observed patches of the rSEI separated by 555 empty volume (Figures 5j and S23), which was presumably 556 occupied by Li in the plated state. These observations were 557 consistent with our hypothesis above. In addition, the rSEI 558 thickened with cycling, as evidenced by comparing the 559 electrodes cycled at 4 mA cm⁻² for 10 and 30 cycles (Figures 560 5k,l and S24). The exact progression of the rSEI over cycling 561 requires further investigations. 562

We further hypothesize that the structure of the rSEI could 563 modulate the subsequent Li morphology. Under the low- 564 current condition, the rSEI is inhomogeneous with large voids 565 for subsequent Li growth (Figure 5b,i,j). We observed Li 566 deposition in large grains to fill the voids (Figures 5c, 4a-c, 567 and S15a-c) before growing uniformly on the top of the rSEI 568 (Figure 5d). Notably, a minimum of 25% of the cycled Li was 569 plated within the rSEI structure as estimated in the previous 570 sections, highlighting the important role of the rSEI in 571 modulating Li plating under low current densities. Under the 572 high-current conditions, we observed a more compact rSEI 573 (Figure 5f,k,l). The small voids within the rSEI likely guide 574 high-aspect-ratio Li deposition morphology through the rSEI 575 (Figure 5g). In addition, the high current density should favor 576 the upward growth of Li. As a result, only a small amount of Li 577 is plated within the rSEI (Figure 5g), after which most new Li 578 deposition occurs above the rSEI (Figure 5h). This was 579 evidenced by the small Li grains on the top of the rSEI after 580 plating only 0.2 mAh cm⁻² capacity (Figures 4d and S15d). In 581 this case, the rSEI creates an inhomogeneous electrode surface 582 where the electrochemically active area is limited and 583 dispersed, which further increases the local current density. 584 The repeated formation of a large amount of high-surface-area 585

665

666

586 Li above the rSEI will eventually penetrate the separator and 587 lead to soft shorting.

As a control experiment, we formed rSEI at 4 mA cm^{-2} , 588 s89 followed by plating Li at 0.4 mA cm^{-2} (high-current formation 590 and low-current plating) (Figures 4g-i, S15g-i, S18, and S21). 591 We again focus our discussions on the DEE-FDEB electrolyte 592 first. Compared to Figure 4d-f (high-current formation and 593 high-current plating), the amount of Li plated within the rSEI 594 was higher, as shown in Figure 4g-i, as evidenced by the lower 595 coverage of Li on the top of the rSEI. In addition, the Li grain 596 size was larger, as shown in Figure 4g-i. These differences 597 validated that the upward anisotropic growth of Li is favored 598 under higher current densities even in the presence of the same 599 rSEI. Compared to the Li morphology, as shown in Figure 4a-600 c (low-current formation and low-current plating), the plated 601 Li was less homogeneous and grew well above the rSEI, as 602 shown in Figure 4g-i. Therefore, the rSEI structure formed 603 under high current densities can indeed guide undesirable Li 604 deposition morphology even after switching to lower plating 605 current densities. This leads to the inhomogeneous electro-606 chemically active surface for further Li deposition above the 607 rSEI. The same sets of experiments were carried out for the 608 DME-TTE electrolyte. The general trend was similar to what 609 was observed in the DEE-FDEB electrolyte albeit slight differences (Supplementary Figures S15g-i, S21, and notes). 610 Other Factors Considered for Fast-Charging Stability. 611 612 We further consider other factors that might limit the fast-613 charging stability of LMBs using the fluoroether electrolytes. 614 The details are provided in Supplementary Figures S25-S34 615 and notes. (1) Increasing the Li metal thickness or the 616 electrolyte volume did not impact cycle life, indicating that the 617 cycle life at 1C was not limited by Li inventory or electrolyte 618 depletion.^{6,48} (2) The reconstructed cell using the 1C cycled 619 NMC showed complete capacity recovery, proving that the cell 620 capacity decay was not due to NMC degradation. (3) Various 621 LillLi cell tests showed the short-circuit behavior distinctive 622 from Sand's time,⁴⁹ indicating that complete surface ion 623 depletion is not a requirement for the internal short circuit. (4) 624 The galvanostatic staircase measurements found no evidence 625 of complete SEI breakdown in the fluoroether electrolytes, 626 which is quite different from the carbonate electrolytes and $_{627}$ which likely reflects the robustness of the SEI.³⁰ (5) Measured 628 by EIS in LillLi cells, the electrolyte resistance dominates over 629 SEI resistance, demonstrating the need to further improve bulk 630 ion transport. In summary, the fast-charging stability was not 631 limited by these factors. Therefore, we can attribute the 632 internal short circuit during fast charging to the current-633 dependent interplay between the Li deposition morphology 634 and the rSEI morphology.

635 CONCLUSIONS

636 Many promising electrolyte designs have been reported to 637 enable stable cycling of LMBs under slow-charging conditions. 638 However, since the development of LMBs is largely motivated 639 by their application in the transportation sector, fast-charging 640 capability is an important requirement. In this work, we 641 evaluated three weakly solvating fluoroether electrolytes that 642 enabled stable cycling at low charge rates. However, all of them 643 showed soft shorting behavior when cycled at high charge 644 rates, which was attributed to the current-dependent interplay 645 between the Li deposition morphology and the rSEI 646 morphology. The structure of the rSEI is modulated by Li 647 plating morphology in the initial cycles. Subsequently, the rSEI guides the deposition morphology of Li. In the fluoroether 648 electrolytes, the rSEI structure formed under a lower current 649 density allows bulky Li deposition, whereas that formed under 650 a higher current density aggravates the inhomogeneous and 651 anisotropic growth of Li. 652

Additionally, the stability of LMBs under higher charge 653 current densities seemed to have a correlation with ion 654 transport properties—an aspect that requires further under-655 standing. The design of weakly solvating electrolytes must 656 overcome a paradox: increased Li⁺-FSI⁻ ion pairs and 657 aggregates can improve CE but may slow ion transport. 658 Therefore, proper characterization and understanding of ion 659 transport properties are crucial for the future electrolytes.⁵² 660 Encouragingly, a recent report demonstrated fast-charging 661 LMBs under stringent conditions by electrolyte design with 662 high ionic conductivity,⁵³ showing significant room for 663 improvement in this field.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at 667 https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpcc.4c01740. 668

Comparison of electrolyte performance from the recent 669 literature; design and characterization of DEE-FDEB 670 electrolyte; additional electrochemical characterizations; 671 additional SEM images and EDS maps; and synthesis 672 and NMR spectra (PDF) 673

AUTHOR INFORMATION	674
Corresponding Authors	675
Yi Cui – Department of Materials Science and Engineering,	676
Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, United	677
States; Stanford Institute for Materials and Energy Sciences,	678
SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park,	679
California 94025, United States; 💿 orcid.org/0000-0002-	680
6103-6352; Email: yicui@stanford.edu	681
Zhenan Bao – Department of Chemical Engineering, Stanford	682
University, Stanford, California 94305, United States;	683
© orcid.org/0000-0002-0972-1715; Email: zbao@	684
stanford.edu	685
A such a sur	
Authors	686
Yuelang Chen – Department of Chemical Engineering and	687
Department of Chemistry, Stanford University, Stanford,	688
California 94305, United States; 6 orcid.org/0000-0002-	689
5249-0596	690
Zhiao Yu – Department of Chemical Engineering and	
Department of Chemistry, Stanford University, Stanford,	692
California 94305, United States; Sorcid.org/0000-0001-	693
8/46-1640	694
Huaxin Gong – Department of Chemical Engineering,	695
Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, United	696
States; ^(b) orcid.org/0000-0002-2493-0/93	697
Wenbo Zhang – Department of Materials Science and	698
Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305,	699
United States; © orcid.org/0000-0002-0828-594X	700
Paul Rudnicki – Department of Chemical Engineering,	701
Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, United	702
States; © orcid.org/0000-0003-3518-1721	703
Zhuojun Huang – Department of Materials Science and	704
Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305,	705

United States;
⁽⁰⁾ orcid.org/0000-0001-6236-8693

706

- Weilai Yu Department of Chemical Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, United States;
 orcid.org/0000-0002-9420-0702
- 710 Sang Cheol Kim Department of Materials Science and
- Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305,
 United States; o orcid.org/0000-0002-1749-8277
- 713 David T. Boyle Department of Chemistry and Department
- of Materials Science and Engineering, Stanford University,
- 715 Stanford, California 94305, United States;
 [©] orcid.org/ 716 0000-002-0452-275X
- 717 Philaphon Sayavong Department of Chemistry and
- Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Stanford
 University, Stanford, California 94305, United States;
- ⁷²⁰ orcid.org/0000-0001-7605-8194
 ⁷²¹ Hasan Celik College of Chemistry Nuclear Magnetic
- Resonance Facility (CoC-NMR), University of California,
 Berkeley, California 94720, United States
- Rong Xu Department of Materials Science and Engineering,
 Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, United
- 726 States; orcid.org/0000-0002-3694-595X
- Yangju Lin Department of Chemical Engineering, Stanford
 University, Stanford, California 94305, United States;
- ⁷²⁹ © orcid.org/0000-0001-6378-7179
- Shaoyang Wang Department of Chemical Engineering,
 Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, United
- 732 States
 733 Jian Qin Department of Chemical Engineering, Stanford
- 734 University, Stanford, California 94305, United States;
- ⁷³⁵ orcid.org/0000-0001-6271-068X

736 Complete contact information is available at:

737 https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.4c01740

738 Notes

739 The authors declare the following competing financial 740 interest(s): The FDMB and FDEB solvents have been filed 741 as International Application No. PCT/US2020/048423 (based 742 on U.S. Application No. 62/928,638). The DEE solvent has 743 been filed as U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 63/ 744 270,506.

745 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

746 The work was supported by the Assistant Secretary for Energy 747 Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Office of Vehicle Tech-748 nologies of the U.S. Department of Energy under the Battery 749 500 Consortium program. Y. Chen acknowledges the support 750 from Chevron Fellowship. Part of this work was performed at 751 the Stanford Nano Shared Facilities (SNSF), supported by the 752 National Science Foundation under Award ECCS-2026822.

753 **REFERENCES**

(1) Wang, H.; Yu, Z.; Kong, X.; Kim, S. C.; Boyle, D. T.; Qin, J.;
755 Bao, Z.; Cui, Y. Liquid Electrolyte: The Nexus of Practical Lithium
756 Metal Batteries. *Joule* 2022, 6 (3), 588–616.

(2) Yu, Z.; Wang, H.; Kong, X.; Huang, W.; Tsao, Y.; Mackanic, D.
G.; Wang, K.; Wang, X.; Huang, W.; Choudhury, S.; et al. Molecular
Design for Electrolyte Solvents Enabling Energy-Dense and LongCycling Lithium Metal Batteries. *Nat. Energy* 2020, 5 (7), 526–533.
(3) Ren, X.; Zou, L.; Cao, X.; Engelhard, M. H.; Liu, W.; Burton, S.
D.; Lee, H.; Niu, C.; Matthews, B. E.; Zhu, Z.; et al. Enabling HighVoltage Lithium-Metal Batteries under Practical Conditions. *Joule*764 2019, 3 (7), 1662–1676.

765 (4) Liu, H.; Holoubek, J.; Zhou, H.; Chen, A.; Chang, N.; Wu, Z.; 766 Yu, S.; Yan, Q.; Xing, X.; Li, Y.; et al. Ultrahigh Coulombic Efficiency Electrolyte Enables LillSPAN Batteries with Superior Cycling 767 Performance. *Mater. Today* 2021, 42 (xx), 17–28. 768

(5) Yoo, D.; Yang, S.; Kim, K. J.; Choi, J. W. Fluorinated Aromatic 769 Diluent for High-Performance Lithium Metal Batteries. *Angew. Chem.* 770 **2020**, 132 (35), 14979–14986. 771

(6) Niu, C.; Liu, D.; Lochala, J. A.; Anderson, C. S.; Cao, X.; Gross, 772 M. E.; Xu, W.; Zhang, J. G.; Whittingham, M. S.; Xiao, J.; et al. 773 Balancing Interfacial Reactions to Achieve Long Cycle Life in High-774 Energy Lithium Metal Batteries. *Nat. Energy* **2021**, *6* (7), 723–732. 775 (7) Zhang, H.; Zeng, Z.; He, R.; Wu, Y.; Hu, W.; Lei, S.; Liu, M.; 776 Cheng, S.; Xie, J. 1,3,5-Trifluorobenzene and Fluorobenzene Co-777 Assisted Electrolyte with Thermodynamic and Interfacial Stabilities 778 for High-Voltage Lithium Metal Battery. *Energy Storage Mater.* **2022**, 779 *48*, 393–402. 780

(8) Eldesoky, A.; Louli, A. J.; Benson, A.; Dahn, J. R. Cycling 781
Performance of NMC811 Anode-Free Pouch Cells with 65 Different 782
Electrolyte Formulations. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2021, 168 (12), 120508. 783
(9) Weber, R.; Genovese, M.; Louli, A. J.; Hames, S.; Martin, C.; 784
Hill, I. G.; Dahn, J. R. Long Cycle Life and Dendrite-Free Lithium 785
Morphology in Anode-Free Lithium Pouch Cells Enabled by a Dual-786
Salt Liquid Electrolyte. Nat. Energy 2019, 4 (8), 683-689. 787

(10) Louli, A. J.; Eldesoky, A.; Weber, R.; Genovese, M.; Coon, M.; 788 deGooyer, J.; Deng, Z.; White, R. T.; Lee, J.; Rodgers, T.; et al. 789 Diagnosing and Correcting Anode-Free Cell Failure via Electrolyte 790 and Morphological Analysis. *Nat. Energy* **2020**, *5* (9), 693–702. 791

(11) Kim, M. S.; Zhang, Z.; Rudnicki, P. E.; Yu, Z.; Wang, J.; Wang, 792 H.; Oyakhire, S. T.; Chen, Y.; Kim, S. C.; Zhang, W.; et al. Suspension 793 Electrolyte with Modified Li+ Solvation Environment for Lithium 794 Metal Batteries. *Nat. Mater.* **2022**, *21*, 445–454. 795

(12) Yu, Z.; Rudnicki, P. E.; Zhang, Z.; Huang, Z.; Celik, H.; 796 Oyakhire, S. T.; Chen, Y.; Kong, X.; Kim, S. C.; Xiao, X.; et al. 797 Rational Solvent Molecule Tuning for High-Performance Lithium 798 Metal Battery Electrolytes. *Nat. Energy* **2022**, 7 (1), 94–106. 799

(13) Holoubek, J.; Liu, H.; Wu, Z.; Yin, Y.; Xing, X.; Cai, G.; Yu, S.; 800 Zhou, H.; Pascal, T. A.; Chen, Z.; et al. Tailoring Electrolyte Solvation 801 for Li Metal Batteries Cycled at Ultra-Low Temperature. *Nat. Energy* 802 **2021**, *6*, 303–313. 803

(14) Xue, W.; Huang, M.; Li, Y.; Zhu, Y. G.; Gao, R.; Xiao, X.; 804 Zhang, W.; Li, S.; Xu, G.; Yu, Y.; et al. Ultra-High-Voltage Ni-Rich 805 Layered Cathodes in Practical Li Metal Batteries Enabled by a 806 Sulfonamide-Based Electrolyte. *Nat. Energy* **2021**, *6*, 495–505. 807

(15) Fan, X.; Chen, L.; Borodin, O.; Ji, X.; Chen, J.; Hou, S.; Deng, 808 T.; Zheng, J.; Yang, C.; Liou, S. C.; et al. Non-Flammable Electrolyte 809 Enables Li-Metal Batteries with Aggressive Cathode Chemistries. *Nat.* 810 *Nanotechnol.* **2018**, *13* (8), 715.

(16) Chen, Y.; Yu, Z.; Rudnicki, P.; Gong, H.; Huang, Z.; Kim, S. C.; 812 Lai, J.-C.; Kong, X.; Qin, J.; Cui, Y.; et al. Steric Effect Tuned Ion 813 Solvation Enabling Stable Cycling of High-Voltage Lithium Metal 814 Battery. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2021**, 143 (44), 18703–18713. 815

(17) Qian, J.; Henderson, W. A.; Xu, W.; Bhattacharya, P.; 816 Engelhard, M.; Borodin, O.; Zhang, J. G. High Rate and Stable 817 Cycling of Lithium Metal Anode. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 6362. 818

(18) Lee, M. S.; Roev, V.; Jung, C.; Kim, J. R.; Han, S.; Kang, H. R.; 819 Im, D.; Kim, I. S. An Aggregate Cluster-Dispersed Electrolyte Guides 820 the Uniform Nucleation and Growth of Lithium at Lithium Metal 821 Anodes. *ChemistrySelect* **2018**, 3 (41), 11527–11534. 822

(19) Huang, F.; Ma, G.; Wen, Z.; Jin, J.; Xu, S.; Zhang, J. Enhancing 823 Metallic Lithium Battery Performance by Tuning the Electrolyte 824 Solution Structure. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2018**, *6* (4), 1612–1620. 825

(20) Logan, E. R.; Dahn, J. R. Electrolyte Design for Fast-Charging 826 Li-Ion Batteries. *Trends Chem.* **2020**, *2*, 354–366. 827

(21) Zheng, J.; Engelhard, M. H.; Mei, D.; Jiao, S.; Polzin, B. J.; 828 Zhang, J. G.; Xu, W. Electrolyte Additive Enabled Fast Charging and 829 Stable Cycling Lithium Metal Batteries. *Nat. Energy* **2017**, *2* (3), 830 17012. 831

(22) Lv, D.; Shao, Y.; Lozano, T.; Bennett, W. D.; Graff, G. L.; 832 Polzin, B.; Zhang, J.; Engelhard, M. H.; Saenz, N. T.; Henderson, W. 833 A.; et al. Failure Mechanism for Fast-Charged Lithium Metal Batteries 834 835 with Liquid Electrolytes. Adv. Energy Mater. 2015, 5 (3), 836 No. 1400993.

(23) Jiao, S.; Zheng, J.; Li, Q.; Li, X.; Engelhard, M. H.; Cao, R.;
838 Zhang, J. G.; Xu, W. Behavior of Lithium Metal Anodes under
839 Various Capacity Utilization and High Current Density in Lithium
840 Metal Batteries. *Joule* 2018, 2 (1), 110–124.

841 (24) Adams, B. D.; Zheng, J.; Ren, X.; Xu, W.; Zhang, J. G. Accurate 842 Determination of Coulombic Efficiency for Lithium Metal Anodes

843 and Lithium Metal Batteries. Adv. Energy Mater. 2018, 8 (7), 1–11.

- 844 (25) Kim, S. C.; Kong, X.; Vilá, R. A.; Huang, W.; Chen, Y.; Boyle, 845 D. T.; Yu, Z.; Wang, H.; Bao, Z.; Qin, J.; et al. Potentiometric 846 Measurement to Probe Solvation Energy and Its Correlation to 847 Lithium Battery Cyclability. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143* (27), 848 10301–10308.
- 849 (26) Thompson, S. D.; Newman, J. Differential Diffusion
 850 Coefficients of Sodium Polysulfide Melts. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1989,
 851 136 (11), 3362–3369.
- 852 (27) Wang, A. A.; Hou, T.; Karanjavala, M.; Monroe, C. W. Shifting-853 Reference Concentration Cells to Refine Composition-Dependent

854 Transport Characterization of Binary Lithium-Ion Electrolytes. 855 Electrochim. Acta 2020, 358, No. 136688.

- (28) Wang, A. A.; Gunnarsdóttir, A. B.; Fawdon, J.; Pasta, M.; Grey,
 7 C. P.; Monroe, C. W. Potentiometric MRI of a Superconcentrated
 858 Lithium Electrolyte: Testing the Irreversible Thermodynamics
 859 Approach. ACS Energy Lett. 2021, 6 (9), 3086–3095.
- 860 (29) Boyle, D. T.; Kong, X.; Pei, A.; Rudnicki, P. E.; Shi, F.; Huang, 861 W.; Bao, Z.; Qin, J.; Cui, Y. Transient Voltammetry with 862 Ultramicroelectrodes Reveals the Electron Transfer Kinetics of 863 Lithium Metal Anodes. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2020**, *5* (3), 701–709.
- (30) Boyle, D. T.; Li, Y.; Pei, A.; Vilá, R. A.; Zhang, Z.; Sayavong, P.;
 Kim, M. S.; Huang, W.; Wang, H.; Liu, Y.; et al. Resolving CurrentDependent Regimes of Electroplating Mechanisms for Fast Charging
 Lithium Metal Anodes. *Nano Lett.* 2022, 22 (20), 8224–8232.
- 868 (31) Su, C. C.; He, M.; Amine, R.; Rojas, T.; Cheng, L.; Ngo, A. T.;
 869 Amine, K. Solvating Power Series of Electrolyte Solvents for Lithium
 870 Batteries. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2019, *12* (4), 1249–1254.
- 871 (32) Abraham, M. J.; Murtola, T.; Schulz, R.; Páll, S.; Smith, J. C.; 872 Hess, B.; Lindah, E. Gromacs: High Performance Molecular 873 Simulations through Multi-Level Parallelism from Laptops to 874 Supercomputers. *SoftwareX* **2015**, *1*–2, 19–25.
- 875 (33) Jorgensen, W. L.; Maxwell, D. S.; Tirado-Rives, J. Development 876 and Testing of the OPLS All-Atom Force Field on Conformational 877 Energetics and Properties of Organic Liquids. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, 878 *118* (45), 11225–11236.
- 879 (34) Dodda, L. S.; De Vaca, I. C.; Tirado-Rives, J.; Jorgensen, W. L.
 880 LigParGen Web Server: An Automatic OPLS-AA Parameter
 881 Generator for Organic Ligands. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2017, 45 (W1),
 882 W331–W336.
- (35) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.;
 Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Petersson,
 G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; et al. *Gaussian 16, Revision B.01*. Gaussian Inc.:
 Wallingford CT, 2016.
- (36) Leontyev, I.; Stuchebrukhov, A. Accounting for Electronic
 Polarization in Non-Polarizable Force Fields. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *13* (7), 2613–2626.
- 890 (37) Self, J.; Fong, K. D.; Persson, K. A. Transport in Super-891 concentrated LiPF6 and LiBF4/Propylene Carbonate Electrolytes. 892 ACS Energy Lett. **2019**, *4* (12), 2843–2849.
- (38) Michaud-Agrawal, N.; Denning, E. J.; Woolf, T. B.; Beckstein,
 894 O. Software News and Updates MDAnalysis: A Toolkit for the
 895 Analysis of Molecular Dynamics Simulations. *J. Comput. Chem.* 2011,
 896 32 (10), 2319–2327.
- (39) Qian, J.; Adams, B. D.; Zheng, J.; Xu, W.; Henderson, W. A.;
 Wang, J.; Bowden, M. E.; Xu, S.; Hu, J.; Zhang, J. G. Anode-Free
 Rechargeable Lithium Metal Batteries. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2016, 26
 (39), 7094–7102.
- 901 (40) Zheng, J.; Yan, P.; Mei, D. H.; Engelhard, M. H.; Cartmell, S. 902 S.; Polzin, B. J.; Wang, C. M.; Zhang, J. G.; Xu, W. Highly Stable 903 Operation of Lithium Metal Batteries Enabled by the Formation of a

Transient High-Concentration Electrolyte Layer. Adv. Energy Mater. 904 2016, 6 (8), 1–10. 905

(41) Louli, A. J.; Coon, M.; Genovese, M.; deGooyer, J.; Eldesoky, 906 A.; Dahn, J. R. Optimizing Cycling Conditions for Anode-Free 907

Lithium Metal Cells. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2021**, *168* (2), No. 020515. 908 (42) Xu, K. Navigating the Minefield of Battery Literature. Commun. 909 Mater. **2022**, *3* (1), 1–7. 910

(43) Dollé, M.; Sannier, L.; Beaudoin, B.; Trentin, M.; Tarascon, J. 911 M. Live Scanning Electron Microscope Observations of Dendritic 912 Growth in Lithium/Polymer Cells. *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.* **2002**, 913 5 (12), A286–A289. 914

(44) Kong, L.; Xing, Y.; Pecht, M. G. In-Situ Observations of 915 Lithium Dendrite Growth. *IEEE Access* **2018**, *6*, 8387–8393. 916

(45) Liu, F.; Xu, R.; Wu, Y.; Boyle, D. T.; Yang, A.; Xu, J.; Zhu, Y.; 917 Ye, Y.; Yu, Z.; Zhang, Z.; et al. Dynamic Spatial Progression of 918 Isolated Lithium during Battery Operations. *Nature* **2021**, *600* 919 (7890), *659*–*663*. 920

(46) Wang, H.; Huang, W.; Yu, Z.; Huang, W.; Xu, R.; Zhang, Z.; 921 Bao, Z.; Cui, Y. Efficient Lithium Metal Cycling over a Wide Range of 922 Pressures from an Anion-Derived Solid-Electrolyte Interphase Frame-923 work. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2021**, *6*, 816–825. 924

(47) Zhang, J. G.; Xu, W.; Xiao, J.; Cao, X.; Liu, J. Lithium Metal 925 Anodes with Nonaqueous Electrolytes. *Chem. Rev.* **2020**, *120* (24), 926 13312–13348. 927

(48) Jo, Y.; Jin, D.; Lim, M.; Lee, H.; An, H.; Seo, J.; Kim, G.; Ren, 928 X.; Lee, Y. M.; Lee, H. Structural and Chemical Evolutions of Li/ 929 Electrolyte Interfaces in Li-Metal Batteries: Tracing Compositional 930 Changes of Electrolytes under Practical Conditions. *Adv. Sci.* 931 (*Weinheim, Ger.*) **2023**, *10*, No. e2204812. 932

(49) Wu, Z.; Liu, H.; Holoubek, J.; Anderson, C.; Shi, L.; 933 Khemchandani, H.; Lu, D.; Liu, D.; Niu, C.; Xiao, J.; et al. The 934 Role of Ion Transport in the Failure of High Areal Capacity Li Metal 935 Batteries. ACS Energy Lett. **2022**, *7*, 2701–2710. 936

(50) Monroe, C.; Newman, J. Dendrite Growth in Lithium/Polymer 937 Systems. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2003, 150 (10), A1377. 938

(51) Xu, X.; Jiao, X.; Kapitanova, O. O.; Wang, J.; Volkov, V. S.; Liu, 939 Y.; Xiong, S. Diffusion Limited Current Density: A Watershed in 940 Electrodeposition of Lithium Metal Anode. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2022**, 941 12 (19), No. 2200244. 942

(52) Fong, K. D.; Self, J.; McCloskey, B. D.; Persson, K. A. Ion 943 Correlations and Their Impact on Transport in Polymer-Based 944 Electrolytes. *Macromolecules* **2021**, *54* (6), 2575–2591. 945

(53) Zhang, W.; Lu, Y.; Wan, L.; Zhou, P.; Xia, Y.; Yan, S.; Chen, X.; 946 Zhou, H.; Dong, H.; Liu, K. Engineering a Passivating Electric Double 947 Layer for High Performance Lithium Metal Batteries. *Nat. Commun.* 948 **2022**, 13 (1), 2029. 949