

# Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

## Recent Work

### Title

ON THE USE OF THE TOMONAGA INTERMEDIATE COUPLING METHOD IN MESON THEORY

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3zh3v91z>

### Authors

Watson, Kenneth M.

Hart, Edward W.

### Publication Date

1950-03-30

UNIVERSITY OF  
CALIFORNIA

*Radiation  
Laboratory*

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy  
which may be borrowed for two weeks.  
For a personal retention copy, call  
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545*

BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

## **DISCLAIMER**

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

UNCLASSIFIED

COPY 2

UCRL 642  
Unclassified Distribution

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Radiation Laboratory

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

ON THE USE OF THE TOMONAGA INTERMEDIATE COUPLING  
METHOD IN MESON THEORY

Kenneth M. Watson and Edward W. Hart

March 30, 1950

Berkeley, California

<u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>
Argonne National Laboratory	8
Armed Forces Special Weapons Project	1
Atomic Energy Commission, Washington	2
Battelle Memorial Institute	1
Brookhaven National Laboratory	8
Bureau of Medicine and Surgery	1
Bureau of Ships	1
Carbide and Carbon Chemicals Corp. (K-25)	4
Carbide and Carbon Chemicals Corp. (Y-12)	4
Chicago Operations Office	1
Cleveland Area Office	1
Columbia University (Dunning)	2
Columbia University (Failla)	1
Dow Chemical Company	1
General Electric Company, Richland	6
Idaho Operations Office	1
Iowa State College	2
Kansas City	1
Kellogg Corporation	2
Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory	4
Los Alamos	3
Mallinckrodt Chemical Works	1
Massachusetts Institute of Technology (Gaudin)	1
Massachusetts Institute of Technology (Kaufmann)	1
Mound Laboratory	3
National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics	2
National Bureau of Standards	2
Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory	2
NEPA Project	2
New Brunswick Laboratory	1
New York Operations Office	3
North American Aviation, Inc.	1
Oak Ridge National Laboratory	8
Patent Advisor, Washington	1
Rand Corporation	1
Sandia Base	1
Sylvania Electric Products, Inc.	1
Technical Information Branch, ORE	15
U. S. Public Health Service	1
UCLA Medical Research Laboratory (Warren)	1
University of California Radiation Laboratory	5
University of Rochester	2
University of Washington	1
Western Reserve University (Friedell)	2
Westinghouse	4
Total	117
Information Division	
Radiation Laboratory	
Univ. of California	
Berkeley, California	

-3-

ON THE USE OF THE TOMONAGA INTERMEDIATE COUPLING  
METHOD IN MESON THEORY

Kenneth M. Watson and Edward W. Hart

March 30, 1950

ABSTRACT

It is shown that the Tomonaga method for obtaining an intermediate coupling approximation in meson theory can be formulated very simply and will in general give accurate results in the limits of weak and strong coupling. The problem of nuclear forces in the charged scalar theory is studied. The ratio of ordinary to exchange forces is given as a function of the coupling strength. Photo-meson production is studied in the intermediate coupling region for both charged scalar and charged pseudoscalar theories. The method is seriously limited in that nucleon recoil is neglected.

ON THE USE OF THE TOMONAGA INTERMEDIATE COUPLING  
METHOD IN MESON THEORY

Kenneth M. Watson and Edward W. Hart

March 30, 1950

Tomonaga<sup>1</sup> has given an intermediate coupling approximation for meson theory based on an Hartree approximation. In this method of treatment the mesons in the self-field of a nucleon are assumed to be in a finite set of orbital states, reducing the infinite number of degrees of freedom characteristic of field theory to a finite number. Rigorous results are obtained in the two extremes of weak and strong coupling.

The method is seriously limited in that it necessitates the neglect of nucleon recoil effects and requires the introduction of a finite "size" for the nucleons, making the theory non-relativistic. However, there exists no satisfactory theory for including the reactive effects of nucleon motion in a relativistically satisfactory manner: first, because the relativistic wave equation satisfied by free nucleons is not known; second, because the existing relativistically covariant meson theories involve divergent integrals which cannot be made finite even by renormalization of mass and mesonic charge, but must be handled by formal tricks<sup>2</sup>; third, the not-weak couplings between meson and nucleon in relativistic theories must be treated as if weak through the use of perturbation methods, casting considerable doubt on even the qualitative conclusions drawn from these theories. Whereas the Tomonaga

---

<sup>1</sup> S. Tomonaga, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 2, 6 (1947).

<sup>2</sup> J. Steinberger, *Phys. Rev.* 76, 1180 (1949).

method ignores the first two difficulties, it seems to permit at least a qualitative estimate of the effect of couplings which are neither strong nor weak. It may thus be of value in estimating the conditions under which perturbation theory will give untrustworthy results.

In the present paper the Tomonaga treatment will be reformulated in a manner which makes it somewhat easier to apply than was Tomonaga's original method. By way of illustration it will then be applied to the problem of nuclear forces in the charged scalar meson theory and to the problem of photo-meson production for charged scalar and charged pseudoscalar meson theories.

#### I Formulation of the Method.

We consider the interaction of nucleons with a meson field characterized by a set of field variables  $\phi_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$  ( $\lambda = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). We neglect relativistic effects pertaining to the nucleons, considering them to obey a Schrodinger equation. Associated with the field variables  $\phi_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ , will be a set of canonical variables  $\pi_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$  with the usual commutation relations

$$i \left[ \pi_\sigma(\mathbf{x}'), \phi_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \right] = \delta_{\lambda\sigma} \delta(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}), \quad (1)$$

all other combinations commuting.

The Schrodinger equation for the system consisting of  $N$  nucleons coupled to the meson field will be of the form\*

$$\left[ H_0 + H_\mu + H^\nu \right] \Omega = i \frac{d\Omega}{dt} \quad (2)$$

---

\* We use units in which  $\hbar = c = 1$ .



-6-

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_0 &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{p_i^2}{2M} \\
 H_\mu &= H_\mu(\pi, \phi) \\
 H^e &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\lambda=1}^n \left[ g O_\lambda \phi_\lambda(\underline{z}_i) + \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{g'}{\mu} O_{\lambda k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{ik}} \phi_\lambda(\underline{z}_i) \right] \quad (3)
 \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\underline{p}_i$  is the momentum operator of the  $i$ 'th nucleon,  $\underline{z}_i$  is its space coordinate, and  $M$  is its mass.  $H_\mu$  represents the Hamiltonian operator for free mesons,  $g$  and  $g'$  are coupling constants (of which one can in general be taken to be zero), and  $O_\lambda$  and  $O_{\lambda k}$  are matrix operators. Letting  $\mu$  represent the meson mass, we write

$$\omega^2 \equiv \mu^2 - \Delta \quad (4)$$

and introduce the variables

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_\lambda(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \omega^{1/2} \phi_\lambda(x) + i \omega^{-1/2} \pi_\lambda(x) \right] \\
 U_\lambda^+(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \omega^{1/2} \phi_\lambda(x) - i \omega^{-1/2} \pi_\lambda(x) \right] \quad (5)
 \end{aligned}$$

which satisfy the commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned}
 [U_\lambda(x), U_\sigma^+(x')] &= \delta_{\lambda\sigma} \delta(\underline{x} - \underline{x}') \\
 [U_\lambda(x), U_\sigma(x')] &= [U_\lambda^+(x), U_\sigma^+(x')] = 0 \quad (6)
 \end{aligned}$$

In terms of these variables,  $H_\mu$  and  $H^e$  will have the form (for vector and pseudovector theories a somewhat modified treatment is necessary)

$$H_\mu = \sum_{\lambda=1}^n \int d^3x U_\lambda^+(x) \omega U_\lambda(x) \quad (7)$$

(neglecting the vacuum fluctuation term) and

$$H' = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\lambda=1}^n 2^{-1/2} \omega^{-1/2}(z_i) \left\{ g_{\lambda} \mathbf{0}_{\lambda} [U_{\lambda}(z_i) + U_{\lambda}^+(z_i)] + \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{g'_{\mu}}{\mu} \mathbf{0}_{\lambda k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{ik}} [U_{\lambda}(z_i) + U_{\lambda}^+(z_i)] \right\} \quad (8)$$

The field angular momentum in this representation is

$$\underline{M} = \sum_{\lambda=1}^n \int d^3x U_{\lambda}^+(x) \left[ \underline{x} \times \frac{1}{i} \nabla \right] U_{\lambda}(x) \quad (9)$$

We now seek a unitary transformation,  $S$ , on Equation 2 which diagonalizes  $H_{\mu} + H'$ , i.e.,

$$S_{q\alpha} [H_{\mu\alpha\beta} + H'_{\alpha\beta}] S_{\beta q'}^{-1} = \delta_{qq'} \epsilon_q \quad (10)$$

in matrix form. Writing  $\Omega_q = \sum_{q'} S_{\alpha q'}^{-1} \Omega_{q'}$ , Equation 2 becomes

$$[(H_0 + \epsilon_q) \delta_{qq'} + (q | S [H_0, S^{-1}] | q')] \Omega_{q'} = i \frac{d\Omega_{q'}}{dt} \quad (2')$$

The quantity  $S [H_0, S^{-1}]$  represents the effects of nucleon recoil. Even if  $S$  were known, this term would make a general solution of Equation 2' difficult. However, if this term is considered to be small, it can be treated as a first order perturbation and Equation 2' becomes

$$\left\{ H_0 + \epsilon_0 + S_{0\alpha} [H_0, S_{\alpha 0}^{-1}] \right\} \Omega_0 = i \frac{d\Omega_0}{dt} \quad (2'')$$

where we represent the lowest eigenstate of Equation 10 by  $q = 0$ . For the problems that we are to consider the term  $S_{0\alpha} [H_0, S_{\alpha 0}^{-1}]$  gives no contribution and will henceforth be dropped.

-8-

From Equation 6 we see that a Fock<sup>3</sup> representation can be used for  $U_\lambda$  and  $U_\lambda^+$ . For instance, the operator

$$N_\lambda = \int d^3x U_\lambda^+ U_\lambda \quad (11)$$

has integral eigenvalues and represents the number of mesons of type " $\lambda$ " in the field. Let us now expand  $U_\lambda$ ,  $U_\lambda^+$  in a complete set of orthonormal functions,  $\Psi_\rho(x)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} U_\lambda(x) &= \sum_\rho a_{\lambda\rho} \Psi_\rho(x) \\ U_\lambda^+(x) &= \sum_\rho a_{\lambda\rho}^+ \Psi_\rho^*(x) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where it follows from Equations 6 and 11 that  $a_{\lambda\rho}$  and  $a_{\lambda\rho}^+$  are absorption and emission operators respectively for the state  $\rho$  and  $n_{\lambda\rho} = a_{\lambda\rho}^+ a_{\lambda\rho}$  is the occupation number of this state  $\rho$  by mesons of the type  $\lambda$ .

The essence of the Tomonaga method involves the determination of the functions  $\Psi_\rho(x)$  so that to a first approximation one can keep only a finite number of terms in Equation 12--thus reducing the number of degrees of freedom to a finite number. Drawing a rough analogy to the case of atomic structure, we may expect that the mesons will show a tendency to be bound in that particular state  $\Psi_{\rho_0}$  (or set of states if there is degeneracy) for which the energy of the system is a minimum for just one meson, since they obey Bose statistics. In particular, it would seem reasonable on this assumption to find these lowest states from lowest order perturbation theory and assume that the additional mesons appearing from higher order terms will be bound in these same states. We now show that this choice of states satisfies

---

<sup>3</sup> V. Fock, Zeits. f. Phys. 75, 622 (1932)

simultaneously the weak and strong coupling hypotheses.

Consider the self-energy of a single nucleon with coordinate  $\underline{z}$  in the weak coupling case. Using a Fock<sup>3</sup> representation in which the coordinate of the  $i$ 'th meson of type  $\lambda$  is  $\underline{x}_i^\lambda$ , we can write to lowest order in  $g, g'$  the eigenvalue equation

$$(H_\mu + H') \chi = \epsilon \chi \quad (13)$$

as

$$\omega(\underline{x}_1^\lambda) \cdot c_1(\underline{x}_1^\lambda) + 2^{-1/2} \left\{ g \cdot 0_\lambda \omega^{-1/2}(\underline{x}_1^\lambda) \delta(\underline{x}_1^\lambda - \underline{z}) - \sum_k \frac{g'}{\mu} 0_{\lambda k} \omega^{-1/2}(\underline{x}_1^\lambda) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1k}^\lambda} \delta(\underline{x}_1^\lambda - \underline{z}) \right\} c_0 = 0 \quad (14)$$

or

$$c_1(\underline{x}_1^\lambda) = -2^{-1/2} \left\{ g \cdot 0_\lambda \omega^{-3/2}(\underline{x}_1^\lambda) \delta(\underline{x}_1^\lambda - \underline{z}) - \sum_k \frac{g'}{\mu} 0_{\lambda k} \omega^{-3/2}(\underline{x}_1^\lambda) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1k}^\lambda} \delta(\underline{x}_1^\lambda - \underline{z}) \right\} c_0 \quad (15)$$

where  $\chi$  in Equation 13 is the matrix  $\left\{ c_n \right\}$  with  $n$  representing the occupation number of the mesons in the field. From Equations 12 and 15 we see that the ground state eigenfunction  $\Psi_0(x)$  can be taken as

$$\Psi_0(x) = L^{-1/2} \omega^{-3/2}(x) \delta(\underline{x} - \underline{z}) \quad (16)$$

if there is no gradient coupling. Here  $L$  is chosen to normalize  $\Psi_0$  to unity. For the term with the gradient coupling, we have from Equation 15 three such states,

$$\Psi_k(x) = -L_1^{-1/2} \omega^{-3/2}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \delta(\underline{x} - \underline{z}) \quad (17)$$

-10-

where  $L_1$  is chosen to normalize  $\psi_k$  to unity.

Since the expressions for  $L$  and  $L_1$  involve divergent integrals, we adopt the cut-off convention of expressing all divergent integrals in momentum space and replacing

$$\int_0^{\infty} f(k) dk \quad \text{by} \quad \int_0^{1/a} f(k) dk$$

whenever the first integral diverges. It will be assumed that  $\mu \ll 1$ .

According to Equations 16 and 17, the Tomonaga approximation involves rewriting Equation 12 as

$$U_{\lambda}(x) = a_{\lambda 0} \psi_0(x) + \sum_{k=1}^3 a_{\lambda k} \psi_k(x) \quad (18)$$

and the corresponding adjoint equation. Using Equations 7, 8 and 18, we write the Tomonaga approximation to Equation 13 as

$$\sum_{\lambda} \left\{ \frac{I}{L} a_{\lambda 0}^+ a_{\lambda 0} + \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{I_1}{L_1} a_{\lambda k}^+ a_{\lambda k} + g \frac{I}{\sqrt{2L}} 0_{\lambda} [a_{\lambda 0} + a_{\lambda 0}^+] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{g^1}{\mu} \frac{I_1}{\sqrt{2L_1}} \sum_{k=1}^3 0_{\lambda k} [a_{\lambda k} + a_{\lambda k}^+] \right\} \chi = \epsilon \chi \quad (19)$$

where

$$I = \sqrt{L} \omega^{-1/2}(x) \psi_0(x) \Big|_{x=z}$$

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{L_1} \sum_{k=1}^3 \omega^{-1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \psi_k(x) \Big|_{x=z}$$

Defining canonical variables  $q_{\lambda \alpha}$  and  $p_{\lambda \alpha}$  ( $\alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3$ ) by

$$q_{\lambda \alpha} = 2^{-1/2} (a_{\lambda \alpha} + a_{\lambda \alpha}^+)$$

$$p_{\lambda \alpha} = -i 2^{-1/2} (a_{\lambda \alpha} - a_{\lambda \alpha}^+) \quad (20)$$

-11-

Equation 19 becomes

$$\sum_{\lambda} \left\{ \frac{I}{L} \frac{1}{2} (p_{\lambda}^2 + q_{\lambda}^2 - 1) + g \frac{I}{\sqrt{L}} O_{\lambda} q_{\lambda} + \sum_{k=1}^3 \left[ \frac{I_1}{L_1} \frac{1}{2} (p_{\lambda k}^2 + q_{\lambda k}^2 - 1) + \frac{g^{\circ}}{\mu} \frac{I_1}{\sqrt{L_1}} O_{\lambda k} q_{\lambda k} \right] \right\} \chi = \epsilon \chi \quad (21)$$

To obtain the strong coupling approximation to Equation 13, we split the field  $\phi_{\lambda}$  into coupled and uncoupled parts, as usual:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\lambda}(x) &= \phi_{\lambda}^{\circ} \frac{1}{I} \omega^{-2}(x) \delta(\underline{x} - \underline{z}) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^3 \phi_{\lambda k}^{\circ} \frac{1}{I_1} \omega^{-2}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \delta(\underline{x} - \underline{z}) + \phi_{\lambda}^{\prime}(x) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where  $\phi_{\lambda}^{\prime}$  is not coupled to the nucleon. The portion of the Hamiltonian involving just  $\phi_{\lambda}^{\circ}$  and  $\phi_{\lambda k}^{\circ}$  is

$$\sum_{\lambda} \left\{ \frac{1}{I} (\phi_{\lambda}^{\circ})^2 + g O_{\lambda} \phi_{\lambda}^{\circ} + \sum_{k=1}^3 \left[ \frac{1}{I_1} (\phi_{\lambda k}^{\circ})^2 + \frac{g^{\circ}}{\mu} O_{\lambda k} \phi_{\lambda k}^{\circ} \right] \right\} \quad (23)$$

Defining  $\phi_{\lambda}^{\circ} = \frac{I}{\sqrt{L}} q_{\lambda}$  and  $\phi_{\lambda k}^{\circ} = \frac{I_1}{\sqrt{L_1}} q_{\lambda k}$  in Equation 23, we see that this then agrees with the part of Equation 21 that depends on the q's. Whenever the eigenvalue in the strong coupling limit depends only on the q's (and not on the canonical momenta) it is apparent that the Tomonaga method will indeed give correctly both the weak and strong coupling solutions with the choice of wave function given by Equations 16 and 17. With two nucleons present, a similar analysis applies.

As in strong coupling theory, we can expect to improve our approximation by including first order effects from the remainder of the field that was neglected in the approximate Equation 18.

-12-

To specialize Equation 19 to particular cases, we consider first the charged scalar theory. It is convenient to introduce complex fields  $\phi$  and  $\phi^*$  with respective canonical variables  $\pi$  and  $\pi^*$ . Fock variables U and V are introduced through the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= (2\omega)^{-1/2} [U + V^*] , \quad \phi^* = (2\omega)^{-1/2} [U^* + V] \\ \pi &= i 2^{-1/2} \omega^{1/2} [U^* - V] , \quad \pi^* = -i 2^{-1/2} \omega^{1/2} [U - V^*] \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

The total mesonic charge is

$$Q = e \int d^3x \left\{ U^*(x) U(x) - V^*(x) V(x) \right\} \quad (25)$$

Comparing this with Equation 11, we see that U,  $U^*$  are field variables for positive mesons while V,  $V^*$  are those for negative mesons. The interaction term  $H^0$  of Equation 3 is now

$$H^0 = g [\tau_+ \phi^*(z) + \tau_- \phi(z)] \quad (26)$$

where  $\tau_+$  is the operator in isotopic spin space changing a proton into a neutron and  $\tau_-$  is its adjoint.

In accordance with Equation 16 we take

$$\begin{aligned} U &= a \psi_0(x) \\ V &= b \psi_0(x) \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

and the corresponding adjoint equations.

The eigenvalue Equation 19 becomes

$$\frac{1}{L} \left\{ a^+ a + b^+ b + g^2 \omega^{-1/2} \sqrt{L} [\tau_+ (a^+ + b) + \tau_- (a + b^+)] \right\} \chi = \epsilon \chi \quad (28)$$

For the pseudoscalar theory with pseudovector coupling we keep the definitions (24) of the field variables U and V. The interaction,  $H^0$ , is now

-13-

$$H^{\dagger} = \frac{g}{\mu} \underline{\sigma} \cdot \nabla_z \left[ \tau_+ \phi^{\dagger}(z) + \tau_- \phi(z) \right] \quad (29)$$

In accordance with Equation 17 we take

$$\begin{aligned} U(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^3 a_k \psi_k(x) \\ V(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^3 b_k \psi_k(x) \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

and the corresponding adjoint equations. Introducing vector notation for the a's and b's and the canonical variables

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{q} &= 2^{-1/2} (\underline{a} + \underline{b}^{\dagger}) \\ \underline{p} &= i 2^{-1/2} (\underline{a}^{\dagger} - \underline{b}) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

we obtain the eigenvalue equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{I_1}{L_1} \left\{ \underline{p}^{\dagger} \cdot \underline{p} + \underline{q}^{\dagger} \cdot \underline{q} - 3 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{g}{\mu} \sqrt{L_1} \underline{\sigma} \cdot [\tau_+ \underline{q}^{\dagger} + \tau_- \underline{q}] \right\} \chi = \epsilon \chi \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

The angular momentum (Equation 9) and charge (Equation 25) operators for the "bound" field have the respective forms:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{L} &= \underline{q} \times \underline{p} + \underline{q}^{\dagger} \times \underline{p}^{\dagger} \\ Q &= i e \left[ \underline{q}^{\dagger} \cdot \underline{p}^{\dagger} - \underline{q} \cdot \underline{p} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

## II Nuclear Forces in the Charged Scalar Theory

We shall suppose the dynamical system to contain two nucleons with respective coordinates  $z_1$ , and  $z_2$ . The eigenvalue problem is of the form of Equation 13 with

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\dagger} = g \left[ \tau_+^{(1)} \phi^{\dagger}(z_1) + \tau_-^{(1)} \phi(z_1) \right. \\ \left. + \tau_+^{(2)} \phi^{\dagger}(z_2) + \tau_-^{(2)} \phi(z_2) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$



-14-

Introducing the Fock variables of Equation 24 and following the analysis leading to Equation 15, we find that the ground state for the mesons is doubly degenerate with wave functions of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_1 &= \omega^{-3/2}(\underline{x}) \delta(\underline{x} - \underline{z}_1), \\ \chi_2 &= \omega^{-3/2}(\underline{x}) \delta(\underline{x} - \underline{z}_2),\end{aligned}$$

corresponding to mesons bound to either nucleon. From these we construct two orthogonal wave functions.

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_1 &= N [\chi_1 + b \chi_2] \\ \psi_2 &= N [b \chi_1 + \chi_2]\end{aligned}\tag{35}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}N^{-2} &= L + b^2 L + 2bJ \\ b &= -J / [L + \sqrt{L^2 - J^2}] \\ J &= \int \chi_1 \chi_2 d^3x = \omega^{-3}(\underline{z}_1) \delta(\underline{z}_1 - \underline{z}_2)\end{aligned}\tag{36}$$

and  $L$  is defined in connection with Equation 16. We note that if  $P$  is the permutation operator interchanging the two nucleons, then

$$P\psi_1 = \psi_2, \quad P\psi_2 = \psi_1\tag{37}$$

The Tomonaga approximation implies that we write the Fock variables of Equation 24 as

$$\begin{aligned}U &= \alpha_+ \psi_1 + \beta_+ \psi_2 \\ V &= \alpha_- \psi_1 + \beta_- \psi_2\end{aligned}\tag{38}$$

and similar equations for the adjoint operators  $U^\dagger, V^\dagger$ . Substituting these definitions into  $H^0$  of Equation 34 and into  $H_\mu$  of Equation 7 gives the

Tomonaga approximation to Equation 13. To write this as a differential equation it is convenient to introduce four pairs of canonical variables,  $(q_1, p_1)$ ,  $(q_2, p_2)$ , and the corresponding adjoint quantities by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_+ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ q_1 + q_2 + i (p_1^+ + p_2^+) \right] \\ \alpha_- &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ q_1^+ + q_2^+ + i (p_1 + p_2) \right] \\ \beta_+ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ q_1 - q_2 + i (p_1^+ - p_2^+) \right] \\ \beta_- &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ q_1^+ - q_2^+ + i (p_1 - p_2) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

and the corresponding adjoint equations. Denoting the q-variables by a single symbol, q, the eigenfunctions for our approximation to Equation 13 corresponding to an eigenvalue  $\epsilon_r$  will be of the form  $\chi_r(q)$ . Identifying  $\chi_r(q)$  with  $S^{-1}$  of Equation 10, we see that the solution,  $\Omega$ , of Equation 2 will have the form

$$\Omega = \sum_r \chi_r(q) \Omega_r^i \left[ S_1, S_2, z_1, z_2 \right] \quad (40)$$

where  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are the spin variables of the nucleons. With the assumption of small nucleon recoil effects (use of Equation 2<sup>n</sup>), we can keep only the term in Equation 40 with  $r = 0$ ; i.e.,

$$\Omega = \chi_0(q) \Omega_0^i \left[ S_1, S_2, z_1, z_2 \right] \quad (40')$$

Denoting the triplet and singlet isotopic spin states by  $\sum_1^\mu$  ( $\mu = 1, 0, -1$ ) and  $\sum_0$  respectively,  $\chi_0(q)$  will have the structure

$$\chi_0(q) = \sum_1^1 R_1(q) + \sum_1^0 R_2(q) + \sum_0 R_3(q) + \sum_1^{-1} R_4(q) \quad (41)$$

We are now able to establish certain symmetry conditions on the R's of Equation 41, since the permutation operator interchanging the two nucleons

-16-

must change the sign of  $\Omega$  by the Pauli principle. Since  $P$  commutes with the Hamiltonian in Equation 2<sup>st</sup>, we may take  $\Omega_0$  to be an eigenfunction of  $P$ ; i.e.,

$$P\Omega_0 = e\Omega_0 \quad (42)$$

Here  $e = \pm 1$  depending upon whether  $\Omega_0$  is symmetric or antisymmetric in the spins and coordinates of the two nucleons. According to Equations 37, 38 and 39 the interchange of the two nucleons is equivalent to leaving  $q_1, q_1^+$  unchanged and replacing  $q_2, q_2^+$  by  $-q_2, -q_2^+$ .

Further symmetry relations are

$$P \sum_1^\mu = \sum_1^\mu, \quad P \sum_0 = - \sum_0$$

Applying the operator  $P$  to Equation 40<sup>st</sup> and using Equations 41 and 42, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_i(q_1, q_1^+, q_2, q_2^+) &= -eR_i(q_1, q_1^+, -q_2, -q_2^+), \quad (i = 1, 2, 4) \\ R_3(q_1, q_1^+, q_2, q_2^+) &= eR_3(q_1, q_1^+, -q_2, -q_2^+) \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Denoting by  $R$  the matrix  $\{R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4\}$ , the eigenvalue problem for determining  $\epsilon_0$  is

$$[H + V] R = \epsilon R \quad (44)$$

where

$$H = \left\{ Y^+ [p_1^+ p_1 + q_1^+ q_1 - 1] + Y^- [p_2^+ p_2 + q_2^+ q_2 - 1] \right\} u \quad (45)$$

( $u$  is the unit  $4 \times 4$  matrix) and

$$V = g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T^+ q_1^+ & -T^- q_2^+ & 0 \\ T^+ q_1 & 0 & 0 & T^+ q_1^+ \\ -T^- q_2 & 0 & 0 & T^- q_2^+ \\ 0 & T^+ q_1 & T^- q_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (46)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} Y^+ &= (I + K)/(L + J) , & Y^- &= (I - K)/(L - J) \\ T^+ &= (I + K)/\sqrt{L + J} , & T^- &= (I - K)/\sqrt{L - J} \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

$$K = \omega^{-2}(z_1) \delta(z_1 - z_2) = (4\pi)^{-1} e^{-\mu|z_1 - z_2|} / (|z_1 - z_2|)$$

(I, L and J have their previous definitions.)

Introducing polar coordinates<sup>4</sup> and writing Equation 44 as a differential equation, the solution can be easily found in the limits of weak and strong coupling. For weak coupling this is the usual perturbation solution

$$\epsilon_0 = -g^2 [I - P^0 K] \quad (48)$$

where  $P^0$  is the operator permuting coordinate and spin variables of the nucleons.

In the strong coupling limit the results of Serber and Dancoff<sup>4</sup> are obtained:

$$\epsilon_0 = -\frac{1}{2} g^2 [I + K] \quad (49)$$

for  $\frac{1}{2} g^2 K$  large compared to the separation of isobaric states of the individual nucleons. When  $\frac{1}{2} g^2 K$  is small compared with the separation of isobaric states,

$$\epsilon_0 = -\frac{1}{2} g^2 \left[ I - \frac{1}{2} P^0 K \right] \quad (50)$$

The solution to Equation 44 for the neutron-proton system was further studied to obtain an estimate of  $\epsilon_0$  in the intermediate coupling range. Equation 44 was solved by perturbation methods to (and including) terms of order  $g^6$ . (For any finite order perturbation calculation in the Tomonaga

---

<sup>4</sup> R. Serber and S. Dancoff, Phys. Rev. 63, 143 (1942)

-20-

the phase factor  $e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{z}}$  is absorbed in the operators  $a_1$  and  $b_1$ . Also  $a_1, b_1$  commute with  $a^+, b^+$ , etc.

The Schrodinger equation for the system now becomes

$$\left\{ k_0 [a_1^+ a_1 + b_1^+ b_1] + H_T \right\} \chi^i = \epsilon^i \chi^i \quad (56)$$

where  $k_0 = (k^2 + \mu^2)^{1/2}$  and  $H_T$  is the Hamiltonian of Equation 28. The desired solution to Equation 56 is of the form

$$\epsilon^i = \epsilon + k_0, \quad \chi^i = v \chi$$

where  $\epsilon$  and  $\chi$  are the quantities obtained from Equation 28 and  $v$  is the eigenfunction of

$$k_0 [a_1^+ a_1 + b_1^+ b_1] v = k_0 v \quad (57)$$

The matrix element for the production of a positive meson from a proton is  $(\Omega(2), H^i \Omega(1))$ , where according to Equations 2 and 2''

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(1) &= \chi \Omega^i(1) \\ \Omega(2) &= \chi^i \Omega^i(2) \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

and  $\Omega^i(1)$  and  $\Omega^i(2)$  represent respectively the proton in state "1" and the neutron in state "2". The dependence of this matrix element on the meson field variables is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi^i, H^i \chi) &= \\ &= \frac{e \sqrt{2\pi} \underline{k} \cdot \hat{e}_p e^{i(\underline{p}-\underline{k})\cdot\mathbf{z}}}{p^{1/2} L^{1/2} V^{1/2} k_0^{1/2} [(k-p)^2 + \mu^2]} (\chi, [a + b^+] \chi) \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

obtained by using the definitions of  $U$  and  $V$  of Equation 55 in the current  $\underline{j}$  of Equation 52. In Equation 59,  $\underline{p}$  is the momentum of the photon and  $\hat{e}_p$  is its polarization vector. The exponential,  $\exp [i(\underline{p} - \underline{k})\cdot\mathbf{z}]$ , gives total

momentum conservation when combined with the wave functions  $\Omega^i(1)$  and  $\Omega^i(2)$  (Equation 58) of the nucleons. It should be noted that our formulation of the neglect of nucleon recoil effects for virtual emission and absorption (Equation 2<sup>ii</sup>) does not imply such a neglect for real emission and absorption processes.

As the quantity  $(\chi, [a + b^*] \chi)$  is independent of the free meson momentum, we see that the angular distribution and energy dependence of the cross section will be independent of the coupling constant  $g$ . (This conclusion is independent of the Tomonaga approximation, depending only on the fact that a meson produced by the photon is not coupled to the nucleon.)

The differential cross section for the production of a meson depends thus on the coupling only through the multiplicative factor

$$|(\chi, [a + b^*] \chi)|^2.$$

It will differ from that of perturbation theory by the deviation of this factor from its perturbation limit. As the perturbation cross section has been given by several authors (notably Brueckner<sup>6</sup>), we give only the ratio of the differential (and total) cross section to its perturbation limit in Figure 2 as a function of the coupling constant (the cut-off was chosen as  $\mu = 1/6$ ). For the determination of the wave function  $\chi$ , a variational method (shown to give good results by Tomonaga<sup>1</sup>) was used in which the trial wave functions were

$$\chi_n = e^{-\frac{1}{2}D^2} (n!)^{-1/2} D^n \quad (60)$$

in a representation in which  $n$  represents the number of virtual mesons in the field and  $D$  is the variational parameter.

<sup>6</sup> K. A. Brueckner, Phys. Rev., in press, ("Production of Mesons by Photons")

#### IV Photo-Meson Production in the Charged Pseudoscalar Theory.

The self-field of the nucleon is determined by the solution of Equation 32. In the strong coupling limit Equation 32 can be handled by the methods of Pauli and Dancoff.<sup>7</sup> We obtain then the result of these authors,

$$\epsilon_0 = -\frac{1}{4\pi a^3} \frac{g^2}{4\pi\mu^2} \quad (61)$$

where  $a$  is the cut-off radius and  $a\mu \ll 1$ .

To calculate photo-meson production, we must now evaluate the matrix element of  $H''$  (Equation 52) for the transition from a state consisting of a photon and a proton to a state with a neutron and a meson. For pseudoscalar theory the meson current occurring in Equation 52 is

$$\underline{j}(x) = ie \left[ \phi^+(x) \nabla \phi(x) - \phi(x) \nabla \phi^+(x) \right] - ie \frac{g}{\mu} \left[ \phi(x) \tau_- - \phi^+(x) \tau_+ \right] \delta(\underline{x} - \underline{z}) \underline{\sigma} \quad (62)$$

where  $\underline{z}$  is again the nucleon coordinate.

In Figure 3 is plotted the differential cross section for the production process in both the strong and weak coupling limits. The angular distribution is the same in both limits, the cross section in the strong coupling limit being just 1/6 that for weak coupling. The flatness of the angular distribution has been noted by Brueckner.<sup>6</sup> This is due primarily to the fact that for energies not too far above threshold the second term in Equation 62 (which is linear in the meson-field variables) is responsible for the greater part of the cross section. Indeed, this term causes interaction only with mesons of zero angular momentum with respect to the nucleon coordinate.

The above discussion indicates that we can calculate photo-meson production to a good approximation by including just the term in  $\underline{j}(x)$  that is

---

<sup>7</sup> W. Pauli and S. Dancoff, Phys. Rev. 62, 85 (1942)

-23-

linear in  $\phi$  and  $\phi^*$ . This approximation makes the calculation especially simple, since the mesons produced will not interact directly with the nucleon through  $H'$  of Equation 29. (Equation 29 couples only mesons in p-states; the linear term in Equation 62 couples only those in s-states).

Taking the wave function of the photo-meson to be

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = n \frac{\sin k |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|}{k |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|}, \quad (63)$$

where  $\mathbf{k}$  is its momentum and  $n$  normalizes  $u$  in a large volume  $\mathcal{V}$ , we can write the Tomonaga approximation to  $U$  and  $V$  (Equation 30) as

$$\begin{aligned} U(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{k=1}^3 a_k \psi_k(\mathbf{x}) + a u(\mathbf{x}) \\ V(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{k=1}^3 b_k \psi_k(\mathbf{x}) + b u(\mathbf{x}) \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

(and similarly for  $U^*$  and  $V^*$ ).

As with the scalar theory, the Tomonaga eigenvalue problem is separable into Equation 32 and

$$k_0 [a^*a + b^*b] v = k_0' v \quad (65)$$

with wave function  $\chi' = v\chi$  and eigenvalue  $\epsilon' = \epsilon + k_0'$ . Using Equation 64 in Equation 62, we obtain for the matrix element of the transition from a state consisting of proton and a photon to one consisting of a neutron and a meson:

$$(2 | H'' | 1) = -ie \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}\mu} \frac{n}{k_0^{1/2}} (v_1, a^+ v_0) (\chi_N, \sigma \tau_+ \chi_P) \cdot \underline{A} \quad (66)$$

where  $v_0$  and  $v_1$  are the solutions of Equation 65 for states represented by no free meson and one free meson, respectively;  $\chi_P$  and  $\chi_N$  are solutions of Equation 32 for the self-field of a proton and neutron, respectively;



and  $A^0$  is the matrix element of the electromagnetic potential for the absorption of the initial photon.

To obtain information concerning Equation 66 in the intermediate coupling region,  $(2|H''|1)$  was evaluated by perturbation methods to the seventh power of  $g$ . This gave the cross section to terms including  $g^8$ . The result for an unpolarized photon incident on a proton of random spin orientation is given in Figure 4. The quantity plotted is the ratio of the cross section to its value obtained by using only the lowest order perturbation formula. For  $\frac{g^2}{4\pi} > .2$  the power series in  $g$  apparently becomes invalid (the cross section seeming to fall off too rapidly). The asymptotic value for large  $g$  (obtained from the strong coupling solution) for the ratio is  $1/6$ . The rapid approach to the strong coupling limit is due to the strong singularities introduced by the gradient coupling in  $H'$  (Equation 29). It is interesting that carrying perturbation theory to a high enough order seemed to bridge the gap between the weak and strong coupling limits (a similar expansion in powers of the coupling constant for photo-meson production in the charged scalar theory gave good agreement with the variational calculation used in obtaining the results shown in Figure 2).

## V Conclusions.

The discussion of the validity of the Tomonaga approximation is considerably hampered by the non-existence of a satisfactory theory to which it can be considered as an approximation. While the neglect of nucleon recoil effects is almost certainly not valid at high energies, it is not known whether there exists a range of energies for which such effects can be considered as small.

Granting the form of meson field theory in which the motion of the nucleon is neglected, there still remains the question of the validity of the Tomonaga

-25-

approximation to this theory. We have seen that the approximate method gives exact results in both the weak coupling and strong coupling limits. Extending the calculation to higher order terms will give some indication of the error incurred in the Tomonaga method. Assuming the cut-off,  $a$ , is such that  $a\mu \ll 1$ , the fourth order nucleon self-energy turns out to be:

## Scalar Theory

$$W^{(4)} = g^4 \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^4 \frac{1}{a} \ln\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{a\mu}}\right) \quad (\text{Tomonaga approximation})$$

$$W^4 = g^4 \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^4 \frac{1}{a} \ln 4 \quad (\text{rigorous})$$

## Pseudoscalar Theory

$$W^{(4)} = \frac{g^4}{48} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^4 \frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{1}{a\mu}\right)^4 \quad (\text{Tomonaga approximation})$$

$$W^4 = 0.92 \frac{g^4}{48} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^4 \frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{1}{a\mu}\right)^4 \quad (\text{rigorous})$$

(The factor, 0.92, comes from the evaluation of numerical factors.) The energy of separation of isobaric nucleon states is:

## Scalar Theory

$$\Delta W = \frac{2\pi^2}{a\mu [\ln a\mu]^2} \mu \frac{m^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{g^2} \quad (\text{Tomonaga approximation})$$

$$\Delta W = 8\pi\mu \frac{m^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{g^2} \quad (\text{rigorous})^4$$

## Pseudoscalar Theory

$$\Delta W = \frac{4\pi^2\mu^2 a}{g^2} \left[2j(j+1) - m^2 - \frac{5}{4}\right] \quad (\text{Tomonaga approximation})$$

$$\Delta W = \frac{3}{4} \frac{4\pi^2\mu^2 a}{g^2} \left[2j(j+1) - m^2 - \frac{5}{4}\right] \quad (\text{rigorous})^7$$

-26-

where  $m$  is the isobaric quantum number and  $j$  is that for the total angular momentum. In each case the Tomonaga method is incorrect by a numerical factor. The fourth order nuclear forces in the charged scalar theory had the correct sign and very nearly the correct shape as given by the Tomonaga method, but again were multiplied by incorrect numerical factors. (It should be emphasized that by carrying the Tomonaga approximation one step farther, one could expect to obtain agreement in the above examples. One might, for instance, introduce variation parameters into the trial wave function as did Tomonaga;<sup>1</sup> or one might introduce formally the remainder of the meson field that is neglected in the first approximation, as is done in strong coupling theory. Also the introduction of additional trial wave functions seems capable of giving improved results. However, the equations obtained by the lowest order approximation in the Tomonaga method are sufficiently complicated that it is desirable to carry the approximation no further, when permissible.)

The source of the error in the Tomonaga method can be easily seen when higher order perturbation calculations by this method are compared to those of the rigorous theory. The same virtual emission and absorption processes occur, but the integrals occurring in the rigorous theory are replaced in the Tomonaga approximation by "average" values of the integrands--which are obtained from the corresponding lowest order perturbation values.

We can then conclude that exact numerical accuracy will certainly not be obtained by the Tomonaga approximation. However, it seems quite probable that satisfactory qualitative conclusions may often be drawn from results obtained by this method.

The rapidity with which one leaves the region of weak coupling with increasing  $g$  is indicated in Figures 1, 2, and 4. It is highly doubtful

if the strong dependence on cut-off characteristic of the pseudoscalar theory is real. Thus one would hesitate to determine a numerical value for the coupling constant in this theory. The persisting flatness of the angular distribution for photo-meson production as the coupling increases may be significant, however, since this is in agreement with the experiments of Steinberger and Bishop.<sup>8</sup>

Since only the logarithm of the cut-off is important in determining the strength of the coupling in the scalar theory, somewhat more reasonable conclusions may here be drawn about coupling strength. Referring to Figure 1, the strength of coupling to give about equal exchange and ordinary forces (as observed<sup>9</sup>) is  $\frac{g^2}{4\pi} \sim 2$  which is well within the intermediate coupling region. From Figure 2 and from Brueckner's<sup>6</sup> total cross section in the perturbation limit, we can fit the total cross section for photo-meson production<sup>8</sup> in the scalar theory with  $\frac{g^2}{4\pi} \sim 2.5$ . There is, of course, little reason to take the scalar meson theory seriously; however, the fact that one finds couplings from it that are neither weak nor strong may be meaningful.

Strong evidence against the scalar theory is the incorrect angular distribution of photo-mesons (which is independent of the coupling constant) and the fact that the exchange force for the neutron-proton system has the wrong sign for all values of the coupling constant--according to the Tomonaga approximation. The evidence from photo-meson production seems to be particularly valid (in view of the results of Brueckner<sup>6</sup>) that nucleon recoil effects are unimportant for the lowest order perturbation calculation and first order radiative corrections in scalar theory, and since for this theory it seems that these perturbation calculations may have some qualitative validity.

---

<sup>8</sup> J. Steinberger and A. S. Bishop, Phys. Rev., in press.

<sup>9</sup> R. S. Christian and E. W. Hart, Phys. Rev. 77 441, (1950)

-28-

As a final conclusion, we may venture to suggest that there seems to be little justification for treating meson-nucleon couplings as weak, and that the problem of understanding intermediate couplings may be as significant and as important as the understanding of the nature of relativistic effects and divergences in meson theory.

#### VI Acknowledgments.

The authors would like to express their appreciation to Professor R. Serber for his discussion of many points concerning the present problem and in particular those concerning strong coupling theory. Thanks are due to Mr. K. A. Brueckner for discussions of his calculations concerning photo-meson production and to Dr. J. Steinberger for showing us his paper in advance of publication.

This work was sponsored by the Atomic Energy Commission.

Information Division  
scb/4-6-50

Figure Captions

- Fig. 1 The ratio,  $R$ , of ordinary to exchange force as a function of the coupling constant for the charged scalar theory. The ordinate,  $R$ , is defined in Equation 51.
- Fig. 2 The ratio of the photo-meson production cross section in the charged scalar theory to its value obtained from lowest order perturbation theory given as a function of the coupling constant. The dotted line gives the asymptotic limit of this ratio for large  $\frac{g^2}{4\pi}$ .
- Fig. 3 The angular dependence for both strong and weak coupling cross section,  $\sigma$ , for the charged pseudoscalar theory with pseudo-vector coupling, plotted in arbitrary units in the barycentric system. Photon energy in the laboratory system is 260 Mev.
- Fig. 4 The ratio of the photo-meson production cross section in the charged pseudoscalar theory to its value obtained from lowest order perturbation theory. The dotted line gives the asymptotic value for large  $\frac{g^2}{4\pi}$ .





FIG. 1

Mu 108








FIG. 3

Mu 110




FIG. 4

Mu III